

A CLASSIFICATION OF PRIME GRAPHS OF PSEUDO-SOLVABLE GROUPS

ZIYU HUANG, THOMAS MICHAEL KELLER, SHANE KISSINGER, WEN PLOTNICK, MAYA ROMA, YONG YANG

ABSTRACT. The prime graph $\Gamma(G)$ of a finite group G (also known as the Gruenberg-Kegel graph) has as its vertices the prime divisors of $|G|$, and $p-q$ is an edge in $\Gamma(G)$ if and only if G has an element of order pq . Since their inception in the 1970s these graphs have been studied extensively; however, completely classifying the possible prime graphs for larger families of groups remains a difficult problem. For solvable groups such a classification was found in 2015. In this paper we go beyond solvable groups for the first time and characterize prime graphs of a more general class of groups we call pseudo-solvable. These are groups whose composition factors are either cyclic or A_5 . The classification is based on two conditions: the vertices $\{2, 3, 5\}$ form a triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ or $\{p, 3, 5\}$ form a triangle for some prime $p \neq 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we continue the study of prime graphs of finite groups. For a finite group G , its prime graph $\Gamma(G)$ is a simple graph with the set of vertices $\pi(G)$, the set of prime divisors of $|G|$. For any two primes $p, q \in \pi(G)$, $p-q$ is an edge in $\Gamma(G)$ if and only if there exists an element in G of order pq . In 2015, Gruber, Keller, Lewis, Naughton, and Strasser studied the prime graphs of solvable groups (which are the groups with only cyclic composition factors) and obtained the following characterization:

Lemma 1.1. [5, Theorem 2.10] *A simple graph is isomorphic to the prime graph of a solvable group if and only if the complement of the graph is 3-colorable and triangle-free.*

This result was somewhat surprising in that one had not expected that there would be such a neat description for prime graphs of such a large class of groups. In this paper we take the first step into the realm of non-solvable groups by completely characterizing the prime graphs of what we call pseudo-solvable groups. These are finite groups whose composition factors are either cyclic or isomorphic to A_5 , the alternating group on five elements. (In particular, they are a generalization of solvable groups.) In a way pseudo-solvable groups are as close to solvable (without having to be solvable) as possible: Their only possible non-cyclic composition factors are isomorphic to the smallest non-abelian simple group. So naïvely one might think that their prime graphs must be differing as little as possible from the prime graphs of solvable groups, but this is far from true. In forthcoming work [2], which builds on the ideas and techniques developed here, the prime graphs of many more

Key words and phrases. prime graph, A_5 , $SL(2, 5)$.

classes of groups are classified, where A_5 is replaced by other arithmetically small non-abelian simple groups, and it turns out that the prime graphs are much less complicated than the ones for pseudo-solvable groups studied here. This shows that despite (or probably because of) its smallness, A_5 is "extra hard", and the reason for this is easily explained. Edges in the complement of the prime graph often come from Frobenius actions, and it is well-known that any non-solvable Frobenius complement must contain a section of $SL(2, 5)$ which, of course, has A_5 as a factor group. Thus A_5 is the only simple group which in the guise of $SL(2, 5)$ can be part of a Frobenius action. This essentially is what complicates matters in this paper and yields a richer structure of possible prime graphs than when allowing other "small" simple groups.

In studying pseudo-solvable groups, we are not starting from zero. In recent work Florez, Higinis, Huang, Keller, Shen, and Yang [3] started the study of prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups. They had two results. The first is a generalization of Lemma 1.1:

Lemma 1.2. [3, Theorem 6.6] *If G is a pseudo-solvable group and $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ is the complement of its prime graph, then $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$, with the edge 3-5 (if it exists) removed, is 3-colorable and triangle-free.*

This result implies that all triangles in the graph $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ have a base edge 3-5. They also gave a further description:

Lemma 1.3. [3, Theorem 6.8] *If G is a pseudo-solvable group, then one of the following holds for $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$:*

- (1) *The subgraph $\bar{\Gamma}(G)[\{2, 3, 5\}]$ is not a triangle;*
- (2) *For any prime p in $\pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$, $2-p$ is not an edge in $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$.*

In this paper, we build on Lemma 1.3 to give a complete classification of prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups G . If $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ is triangle-free and 3-colorable, then it can also be realized by some solvable group G' by Lemma 1.1 so these graphs are not of interest to us here any more, and we refer to these graphs as "trivial". Instead, we will discuss the following two categories of $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$:

- (1) $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ is 3-colorable and the vertices $\{2, 3, 5\}$ form a triangle in $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$;
- (2) $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ is 3-colorable and the vertices $\{p, 3, 5\}$ form a triangle for some prime $p \neq 2$ in $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$.

Theorem 4.1 shows that all the complement prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups are 3-colorable, so the above two categories cover all the nontrivial cases of prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups. Moreover, Theorem 4.3 tells us that the two categories are disjoint from each other. Theorems 3.6 and 4.11 then give graph theoretic descriptions of the two categories, respectively. Both descriptions are necessary and sufficient. So these two theorems are the desired classification of the prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups; unfortunately, they are a little too technical to state here in the introduction. We do, however, derive a neat consequence from our work, which appears below as Corollary 4.12 and which summarizes our graph-theoretical classification of pseudo-solvable groups. It is the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a pseudo-solvable group and $\Gamma = \Gamma(G)$, then Γ is in exactly one of the following categories.*

- (1) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and triangle-free;
- (2) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and the $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph is a triangle. For an arbitrary prime divisor r , all the $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraphs are of types in Figure 1 below;
- (3) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and there exists an odd prime p such that the $\{p, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph is a triangle. For an arbitrary prime divisor r , all the $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraphs are of types in Figure 2 below;
- (4) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and there exists an odd prime p such that the $\{p, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph is a triangle. For an arbitrary prime divisor r , all the $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraphs are of types in Figure 3 below.

The proof of our classification results Theorems 3.6 and 4.11 both will have two parts. We will refer to deriving properties of the graph $\Gamma(G)$, which it needs to satisfy, as the "forward direction", and we will refer to constructing a pseudo-solvable group G based on a given graph Γ such that $\Gamma = \Gamma(G)$ as the "backward direction."

We close this introduction by giving a brief outline of the paper and establishing some notation. In Section 2, we will introduce some preliminary results. In Section 3 and Section 4, we will classify the prime graphs in Category (1) and Category (2), respectively. Here are some conventions and notations that will be used. All groups are finite and all graphs are simple. The complement of a graph Γ is denoted $\bar{\Gamma}$. Given a subset of vertices $\pi \subset V(\Gamma)$, $\Gamma[\pi]$ is the induced subgraph restricting to the vertices in π and $\Gamma[\pi']$ is the induced subgraph restricting to the vertices that are not in π . π' denotes the subset of vertices $V(\Gamma) \setminus \pi$. The prime graph of a group G is denoted $\Gamma(G)$. When the context is clear, when we say that $2-p$ is an edge we automatically assume $p \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$. A pseudo-solvable group is *strictly pseudo-solvable* if it is not solvable. If G is an extension of K by N , we write $G = N.K$, i.e there exists a normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ such that $G/N \simeq K$. If the extension splits, we write $G = N \rtimes K$, i.e G is a semidirect product of K and N equipped with a group action $K \curvearrowright N$. Unless stated otherwise, we denote the group identity 1. We also denote the trivial group 1. For any other unspecified notations, we inherit them from [3] and [5]. A normal series of G is a series of normal subgroups $1 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_m = G$ such that $N_i \triangleleft G$ for any i . We refer the quotients of consecutive terms as the *factors* or *sections* of G . We call the last factor N_m/N_{m-1} the *top factor* of the normal series. For each N_i , we refer all terms $N_j, j < i$, as the *previous terms* and all terms $N_k, k > i$, as the *later terms*. We abbreviate the words "without loss of generality" as WLOG.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We briefly introduce some more notation and present main results in [3] and [5]. We first make the following definitions about Frobenius groups. A group action $A \curvearrowright N$ is *fixed point free* if for any $a \in A, a \neq 0$, a has no nontrivial fixed points acting on N . Such an action is also called *Frobenius*. A group G is *Frobenius* if $G = N \rtimes K$ such that the action $K \curvearrowright N$ is

Frobenius. N is called a *Frobenius kernel* of G and K is called a *Frobenius complement* of G . If G is a *Frobenius group* with the Frobenius action $K \curvearrowright N$, and if K is a q -group and N is a p -group, then we say G is a *Frobenius group of type (p, q)* . Let $F_1 = F_1(G) := \text{Fit}(G)$ be the Fitting subgroup of G and $F_2 = F_2(G)$ be the second Fitting subgroup such that $F_2/F_1 = \text{Fit}(G/F_1)$. A solvable group G is 2-Frobenius if F_2 is a Frobenius group with action $F_2/F_1 \curvearrowright F_1$ and G/F_1 is a Frobenius group with action $G/F_2 \curvearrowright F_2/F_1$. F_2/F_1 is called the *upper kernel* of G and F_1 is called the *lower kernel* of G . If G is a 2-Frobenius group with F_1 and F_2 specified as in the previous definition, and if G/F_2 and F_1 are p -groups and F_2/F_1 is a q -group, then we say G is a *2-Frobenius group of type (p, q, p)* . If $G = PQR$ where P, Q, R are p -, q -, r -groups respectively, PQ is a Frobenius group of type (p, q) , QR is either a Frobenius group of type (q, r) or a 2-Frobenius group of type (r, q, r) , then we say G is a 2-Frobenius group of type (p, q, r) . Some properties directly follow from the above definitions. If $G = N.K$ is Frobenius, then $(|N|, |K|) = 1$. The Frobenius kernel N is unique and the Frobenius complement K is unique up to conjugation. $N = \text{Fit}(G)$ is a nilpotent group. The Sylow p -subgroup of K is either cyclic or generalized quaternion. All of these results are obtained from [7, Chapter 6]. Also, many results about Frobenius groups and prime graphs are described in [5, Section 2] in detail.

Let $\pi \subset \pi(G)$. A Hall π -subgroup of G is a π -subgroup $H \leq G$ such that $(H, |G|/|H|) = 1$, i.e., H has order of the highest powers of all prime divisors in π . We denote a Hall π -subgroup by H_π . If $\pi = \{p, q\}$ or $\pi = \{p, q, r\}$, we denote H_π by H_{pq} or H_{pqr} respectively. By a theorem due to Philip Hall [6], a finite group G is solvable if and only if for any $\pi \subset \pi(G)$, G has a Hall π -subgroup. Thus, when G is solvable, for any $\pi \subset \pi(G)$, $\Gamma(G)[\pi]$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(H_\pi)$. By [12, Theorem A], if $\Gamma(G)$ is disconnected, then $\Gamma(G)$ has two components and G is either Frobenius or 2-Frobenius. If H is the Frobenius kernel (or upper Frobenius kernel) of G , then $\Gamma(H)$ is one of the components.

We now define an orientation for the complement of a prime graph. First, if G is solvable, Gruber et al. gave the following orientation to $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ [5, Theorem 2.8]. For any prime $p, q \in \pi(G)$, if $p-q$ is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$, then H_{pq} is either a Frobenius or 2-Frobenius group. If H_{pq} is Frobenius of type (p, q) or 2-Frobenius of type (p, q, p) , we direct the edge $p-q$ as $q \rightarrow p$. $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ equipped with this orientation is a directed graph, and we call it the *Frobenius digraph* of G , denoted $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(G)$.

The proof of Lemma 1.1 depends on the Frobenius digraph. In the Frobenius digraph $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(G)$, we label the vertices with in-degree 0 and nonzero out-degree by \mathcal{O} , the vertices with nonzero in- and out-degrees by \mathcal{D} , and the remaining vertices by \mathcal{I} . Since $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ has no directed 3-path, the labeling \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{I} gives a 3-coloring for $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. We will refer to this coloring as the *3-coloring for solvable graphs*. On the other hand, to show that a 3-colorable and triangle-free graph Γ is isomorphic to $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$, for some pseudo-solvable group G , involves an explicit construction of a pseudo-solvable group G . That construction will be explained

later in this paper and will be used for our purposes. We then mention two lemmas in [3] which we will use later.

Lemma 2.1. [3, Lemma 6.2, Lemma 6.3] *Let G be a strict pseudo-solvable subgroup, i.e a pseudo-solvable subgroup that is not solvable, then G admits a subgroup $K \simeq N.(A_5 \times H)$ where N, H are solvable and $(|H|, 30) = 1$. Furthermore, $|K|$ and $|G|$ have the same set of prime divisors. Thus, $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ is obtained from $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ by removing edges.*

The above lemma implies when we investigate graph properties that are preserved under removing edges, such as chromatic number or not containing k -cycles, it suffices to check them for the subgroup $K \simeq N.(A_5 \times H)$ of G . We also have the following lemma about normal series.

Lemma 2.2. [3, Lemma 6.4] *Let $\pi \subset \pi(G)$ and H is a Hall π -subgroup of G . Suppose G has a normal series $1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft N_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_m = G$, then H has an induced normal series $1 = N_0 \cap H \triangleleft N_1 \cap H \triangleleft N_2 \cap H \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_m \cap H = H$ such that $N_i \cap H$ is a Hall π -subgroup of N_i , for any $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$.*

Florez et al. also defined orientations for edges of complements of prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups [3, Theorem 6.6]. Let G be a pseudo-solvable subgroup. If G is solvable, then $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ admits the orientation of the Frobenius digraph $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. If G is strictly pseudo-solvable, take the subgroup of $K \simeq N.(A_5 \times H)$ of G . K has subgroups $K_1 \simeq N.(D_{10} \times H)$ and $K_2 \simeq N.(A_4 \times H)$, which both are solvable. $\overline{\Gamma}(K_1)$ and $\overline{\Gamma}(K_2)$ admit orientations. By the arguments of [3, Theorem 6.6], we can assign an orientation to $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ based on the orientations of $\overline{\Gamma}(K_1)$ and $\overline{\Gamma}(K_2)$. For a pair p - q in $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$, if $p, q \notin \{2, 3, 5\}$, the direction is assigned by the rule of Frobenius digraphs in [5]. If $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ and $q \notin \{2, 3, 5\}$, then $p \rightarrow q$ is assigned. Directions $3 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 5$ are assigned when the edges exists. We leave the edge 3-5 with unassigned direction. Therefore, we have defined an orientation for $\overline{\Gamma}(K) \setminus \{3-5\}$. We denote it by $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ and call it the Frobenius digraph of the group K . If we reverse the direction $2 \rightarrow 5$ to $5 \rightarrow 2$, then the graph $\overline{\Gamma}(K) \setminus \{3-5\}$ has an orientation with no directed 3-path. We denote this digraph $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$.

3. CATEGORY (1): $\{2, 3, 5\}$ FORMS A TRIANGLE

In this section, we discuss the case when $\{2, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle in the prime graph of a pseudo-solvable group G . By Lemma 1.1, G is strictly pseudo-solvable so it must contain a section of A_5 . We will prove that the subgraph $\overline{\Gamma}(G)[\{2, 3, 5\}']$ is still triangle-free and 3-colorable. Moreover, for any prime $r \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$, $r-2$ and $r-3$ are not edges in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. Hence the only triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ is given by the vertices $\{2, 3, 5\}$. We first note the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. [11, Proposition 4.2] *Given G a finite group and $H \triangleleft G$ such that $G/H \simeq \text{PSL}(2, 2^\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \geq 2$. If H is not a 2-group, then for any 3-element $t \in G$, t has a fixed point acting on H .*

The case we need is when $\alpha = 2$, $\text{PSL}(2, 4) \simeq A_5$. That is, when H is a p -group and the quotient G/H is A_5 , t must fix an element of order p and so eliminating the edge $3-p$ in $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a strictly pseudo-solvable group. If $\{2, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle in $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$, then $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ does not contain an edge $3-p$, for any prime $p \neq 2, 5$.*

Proof. Since G is strictly pseudo-solvable, it has a subgroup $K \simeq N.(A_5 \times H)$ as described above. Since $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ is obtained by $\bar{\Gamma}(K)$ removing edges, we know $\{2, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle in $\bar{\Gamma}(K)$. It suffices to show $\bar{\Gamma}(K)$ does not contain an edge $3-p$, for any prime $p \neq 2, 5$. We claim that $(|N|, 15) = 1$. If $3 \mid |N|$, we look at the subgroup $K_1 \simeq N.(D_{10} \times H)$ and find that $\pi(K) = \pi(K_1)$. Thus, $\bar{\Gamma}(K)$ is obtained by removing edges from $\bar{\Gamma}(K_1)$. Since K_1 is solvable, $\bar{\Gamma}(K_1)$ does not contain any triangles, which is a contradiction. Similarly, we know $5 \mid |N|$ by looking at the subgroup $K_2 \simeq N.(A_4 \times H)$. Thus, the claim is proved.

For any prime $q \in \pi(H)$, there exists an element of order $3q$ so it suffices to show the statement for $N.A_5$. So without loss $K = N.A_5$ for the remainder of the proof. We choose a chief series of K , $1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_I = N \triangleleft K = N.A_5$ where A_5 is the top factor. If $2 \nmid |N|$, then $(|N|, |A_5|) = 1$. By Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, the extension $N.A_5$ splits so $K \simeq N \rtimes A_5$. Since N is solvable, its chief factors are elementary abelian groups. Thus, for any $p \in \pi(K) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$, there exists $\alpha \in \{0, \dots, I-1\}$ such that $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$ is an elementary abelian p -group. Consider K/N_α , which is isomorphic to $(N/N_\alpha) \rtimes A_5$. $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$ is a normal subgroup of N/N_α so $A_5 \curvearrowright N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$ is a subaction of $A_5 \curvearrowright N/N_\alpha$, which implies $(N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha) \rtimes A_5$ is a subgroup of K/N_α . By Lemma 3.1, there exists $t \in K/N_\alpha$ of order 3 such that it has a fixed point when acting on $N_{\alpha+1}/N_\alpha$. Thus, K/N_α has an element of order $3p$ and so does K . Therefore, $\bar{\Gamma}(K)$ does not have an edge $3-p$.

We then discuss the possibility that $2 \mid |N|$. Since $15 \nmid |N|$, any normal series of $K \simeq N.A_5$ contains exactly one copy of A_5 in the factors. We first consider a special case $K = (Q \rtimes S_2).A_5$ where S_2 is a 2-group and Q is an elementary abelian q -group for some other prime $q \neq 2, 3, 5$. Then K has a normal series

$$1 \triangleleft Q \triangleleft Q \rtimes S_2 \triangleleft (Q \rtimes S_2).A_5.$$

Since $(|Q|, |K/Q|) = 1$, Q has a complement $L \simeq S_2.A_5$. If the group action $L \curvearrowright Q$ is faithful, then S_2 also acts on Q faithfully and thus nontrivially. For an element of order 3, if $\langle t \rangle$ acts on $Q \rtimes S_2$ Frobeniusly, then the Frobenius kernel $Q \rtimes S_2$ is nilpotent, contradicting $S_2 \curvearrowright Q$ is nontrivial. Therefore, the action $\langle t \rangle \curvearrowright Q \rtimes S_2$ is non-Frobenius, which means an element in $Q \rtimes S_2$ is fixed when conjugating by t . That element cannot have order 2 since $2-3$ is an edge in $\bar{\Gamma}(K)$. Thus, $3-q$ is not an edge in $\bar{\Gamma}(K)$.

On the other hand, if the action $L \curvearrowright Q$ is nonfaithful, then let $1 < A \triangleleft L$ be its kernel. If there is $t \in A$ of order 3, then an element in Q is fixed when conjugating by t and so $3-q$ is not an edge in $\bar{\Gamma}(K)$ and we are done. Otherwise, consider $t \in L \setminus A$ of order 3. Note that S_2A/S_2 is a normal subgroup of $L/S_2 \simeq A_5$, and so $S_2A = L$ or $S_2A = S_2$. If

$S_2A = L$, then $t \in S_2A$. By the order of t , we find $t \in A$, which contradicts $t \in L \setminus A$. Thus $S_2A = S_2$, so $A \leq S_2$. Hence we have a faithful action of L/A on Q , and L/A has A_5 as a top composition factor, so just as in the faithful case above we obtain that there exists an element of order 3, $tA \in L/A$, that fixes a nontrivial element in $x \in Q$. Thus, $xt \in K$ has order divisible by $3q$ so $3-q$ is not an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(Q)$ as desired.

Consider the general case of $2 \mid |N|$ and $15 \nmid |N|$. We reduce the general case to the special case using Hall subgroups. For any $p \in \pi(K) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$, let $\pi = \{2, 3, 5, p\}$. Since the composition factors of K are either cyclic or isomorphic to A_5 , K is π -separable so K has a Hall π -subgroup, denoted E_π . By Hall's theorem, we identify $\overline{\Gamma}(E_\pi)$ with $\overline{\Gamma}(K)[\{2, 3, 5, p\}]$. By Lemma 2.2, any chief series $1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_J = N.H \triangleleft K = N.(A_5 \times H)$ induces a normal series by intersecting with E_π . By removing the repetitive terms, we obtain $1 = M_0 \triangleleft M_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft M_J = E_\pi$. For all indices $j \in \{0, \dots, J-2\}$, M_{j+1}/M_j is either an elementary abelian 2-group or an elementary abelian p -group, and $M_J/M_{J-1} \simeq A_5$. Pick the maximal index r such that M_{r+1}/M_r is an elementary abelian p -group. Consider $E_0 = E_\pi/M_r$. If $r = J-2$, then $E_0/(M_{J-1}/M_{J-2}) \simeq A_5$ so by Lemma 3.1, E_0 has an element of order $3p$ and so does E_π . Otherwise, $r < J-1$ so for all indices $r < j < J-1$, M_{j+1}/M_j is an elementary abelian 2-group. Thus, we know $E_0 = (P \times S_2).A_5$ where $P \simeq M_{r+1}/M_r$ is an elementary abelian p -group, S_2 is a Sylow 2-subgroup. By the above special case, E_0 has an element of order $3p$ and so does E_π . Therefore, $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ does not have the edge $3-p$. Thus the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 3.2 together imply the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a strictly pseudo-solvable group. Assume $\{2, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. Let r be a prime that divides $|G|$ but does not equal 2, 3, or 5, then the $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph of $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ must be one of the graphs described in Figure 1.*




Figure 1. Subgraphs constructed by the representations of A_5

Now we move to the graph theory aspect. We will find that the graphs in Figure 1 are not only possible, but we can realize them through the irreducible representations of A_5 . The following lemma tells us how to construct a finite group action using an ordinary representation while preserving fixed points of the representation. This is well-known, but a good reference seems to be hard to find, so we present the argument here for completeness.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a finite group and p prime such that $p \nmid |G|$. If $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is an ordinary representation over \mathbb{C} , then there exists a finite field K of characteristic p and a K -representation $\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$ such that for any element $g \in G$, $\pi(g)$ and $\sigma(g)$ have the same number of fixed points.*

Proof. The proof uses ideas from [8, Section 15] and [1, Section 9].

Let R be the ring of algebraic integers in \mathbb{C} and M be a maximal ideal of R containing pR . Thus, we have a quotient $*$: $R \rightarrow R/M = F$. Let $U = \{\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} : \epsilon^m = 1 \text{ for some integer } m \text{ not divisible by } p\}$. By [8, Lemma 15.1], we know $U \subset R$ and $*|_U$ is an isomorphism from U to F^\times ; also, F is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Let \tilde{R} be the localization of R at M , and we extend $*$ to a map $\tilde{R} \rightarrow F$ canonically.

By [8, Theorem 15.8], WLOG we assume all entries of $\pi(g)$, for any $g \in G$, lie in \tilde{R} . We consider the image of π under $*$, which is a F -representation $\pi^* : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V^*)$ where for any $g \in G$, $\pi^*(g) = \pi(g)^*$ by applying $*$ entry by entry. Notice that since G is finite, the eigenvalues of $\pi(g)$ are roots of 1 so the eigenvalues of $\pi(g)$ is contained in U . Let $\mathrm{char}(g, \pi)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\pi(g)$ and so $\mathrm{char}(g, \pi^*)$ is the image of $\mathrm{char}(g, \pi)$ under $*$. Since $*$: $U \rightarrow F^\times$ is an isomorphism, the multiplicity of 1 as a root in $\mathrm{char}(g, \pi)$ is the same as the multiplicity in $\mathrm{char}(g, \pi^*)$. Thus, $\pi(g)$ has the same number of fixed points as $\pi^*(g)$.

Let $V^* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m F e_i$. Since F is a direct limit of finite fields of characteristic p , we can pick a sufficiently large finite subfield $K \subset F$ such that the image $\pi^*(G)$ lies in $\mathrm{GL}_m(K) \leq \mathrm{GL}_m(F)$ and also $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m K e_i$ contains the fixed points of $\pi^*(g)$ for any g . Therefore, the F -representation $\pi^* : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V^*)$ is reduced to a K -representation $\sigma := \pi^*|_K : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$ where all the fixed points are preserved. \square

Index	Degrees	Orders				
		1	2	3	5	5
t_1	1	0	0	0	0	0
t_2	3	0	0	0	0	0
t_3	3	0	0	0	0	0
t_4	4	0	0	0	-	-
t_5	5	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1. The values of $\det(t(g) - I)$ for irreducible representations and conjugacy class representatives of A_5

We notice that whether a coprime action $G \curvearrowright W$ has a fixed point can be important to prime graphs since for any $q \in \pi(G)$, $q-p$ is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(W.G)$ if and only if the action is fixed point free. Therefore, we use GAP [4] to check the fixed points of the irreducible representations of A_5 . The first row of Table 1 displays the orders of the representatives of conjugacy classes in A_5 . t_1 through t_5 denotes the irreducible representations of A_5 . The

rest of the entries are calculations of $\det(t(g) - I)$ where g is the conjugacy class representative of the column, t is the representation of the row, and I is the identity matrix of the appropriate dimension. If $\det(t(g) - I)$ equals 0, then the entry displays "0". Otherwise the entry displays "-". The entry values "0" or "-" signals whether the elements of that conjugacy class has fixed points through the representation. "0" implies the conjugacy class has a fixed point and "-" implies the class is fixed point free.

In Table 1, we observe that t_1, t_2, t_3 , and t_5 has fixed points for conjugacy classes of all orders. Therefore, the finite group actions that they generate through Lemma 3.4 gives complement prime graphs that are isomorphic to Figure 1(a). In t_4 , all the elements of order 5 in A_5 does not have a fixed point so its finite group action gives a graph that is isomorphic to Figure 1(b), where $5-r$ is an edge.

The next step is to construct a bigger group that contains A_5 as a section while its complement prime graph contains Figure 1 as a subgraph. We borrow the idea from the proof of [5, Theorem 2.8]. In particular, we prove an enhanced version of [9, Lemma 1.8].

Lemma 3.5. *Given two finite groups A, B such that $|B| = q_1 \cdots q_m p_1 \cdots p_n$ where q_i, p_j are $m + n$ mutually distinct primes. Assume $|\text{Fit}(B)| = q_1 \cdots q_m$. Given a \mathbb{C} -representation $\pi : A \rightarrow \text{GL}(U)$ and a prime r such that $|A \times B| \mid r - 1$, then there exists a finite field F of characteristic r and a F -representation $\rho : A \times B \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ such that the following holds:*

- (1) For any element $a \in A$, $\pi(a)$ is fixed point free if and only if $\rho(a)$ is fixed point free;
- (2) $\text{Fit}(B)$ acts fixed point freely on V .

Proof. Denote $G = A \times B$, $H = A \times \text{Fit}(B) \triangleleft G$. By the order, we know $\text{Fit}(B) = C_{q_1} \times \cdots \times C_{q_m}$. Since $r \nmid |A|$, by Lemma 3.4, we know there exists a finite field F of characteristic r and a F -representation $\sigma : A \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ such that for any $a \in A$, $\pi(a)$ and $\sigma(a)$ have the same number of fixed points.

For any index $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, since $q_i \mid r - 1$, we pick a nontrivial 1-dimensional F -representation $\rho_i : C_{q_i} \rightarrow \text{GL}(F)$, where a generator $c \in C_{q_i}$ acts on F by multiplication with a q_i th root of unity in F . Consider the F -representation $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \otimes \rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_n : H \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$. For any $a \in A$, the number of fixed points of a is preserved; for any $i, c \in C_{q_i}$, c acts by scaling a nontrivial element so is fixed point free. Consider the induced representation $W = \text{Ind}_H^G V$ where we denote the F -representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$. Then, W has the direct sum decomposition

$$W = \bigoplus_{Hy \in G/H} W_y = \bigoplus_{Hy \in G/H} V^y,$$

where each y is a representative in the coset Hy . Each W_y is a F -subspace of W that is preserved by the action of H , and G acts on them by $g \cdot W_y = W_{yg}$. Each V^y is the conjugate representation of V by y , and since H is normal, the action is by $\tilde{\sigma}^y(h) = \tilde{\sigma}(h^{y^{-1}})$. We have a canonical H -bijection $W_y \xleftrightarrow{\sim} V^y$ so for any $h \in H$, we know whether h is fixed

point free acting on W by counting its fixed points acting on each V^y . For any $a \in A \times 1$, $\tilde{\sigma}^y(a)$ is fixed point free if and only if $\tilde{\sigma}(a)$ is fixed point free. Thus, $\rho(a)$ is fixed point free if and only if $\tilde{\sigma}(a)$ is fixed point free if and only if $\sigma(a)$ is fixed point free. Also, for any $b \in 1 \times \text{Fit}(B)$, since $\tilde{\sigma}(b)$ is fixed point free, each $\tilde{\sigma}^y(b)$ is fixed point free and so $\rho(b)$ is fixed point free. Therefore, $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$ over F is the desired representation. \square

Notice that in Lemma 3.5, if $(|A|, |B|) = 1$, given a prime $p \mid |A|$, if all p -elements in A acts fixed point freely on U , then all p -elements in $A \times B$ act fixed point freely on V . This result is given by the fact that all p -elements in $A \times B$ lie in $A \times 1$. It guarantees that new fixed points are not generated in ρ when it comes from π . In all the following cases that we apply Lemma 3.5, we will know $(|A|, |B|) = 1$ and so we will assume this result implicitly.

We now give a description for prime graphs of solvable groups in category (1).

Theorem 3.6. *The following are equivalent for a simple graph Γ :*

- (1) $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma(G)$ for some pseudo-solvable group G such that $\{2, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$;
- (2) Γ with a choice of vertices $\{a, b, c\} \in V(\Gamma)$ satisfies the following conditions:
 - (a) $\overline{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable;
 - (b) $\{a, b, c\}$ forms the only triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}$;
 - (c) For any element $r \in V(\overline{\Gamma}) \setminus \{a, b, c\}$, the $\{r, a, b, c\}$ -subgraph of $\overline{\Gamma}$ is isomorphic to one of the subgraphs in Figure 1 where a is mapped to 2, b is mapped to 3, and c is mapped to 5, r is mapped to r ;
 - (d) $\overline{\Gamma}$ has a choice of coloring $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I}$ such that the vertices adjacent to c , except for a and b , are of one color.

Proof. Assume condition (1), we want to prove condition (2). By Lemma 1.2, since 3- p is not an edge for any $p \neq 2, 5$, $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ has only one triangle so 2(b) holds. We then take the subgroup $K \simeq N.(H \times A_5)$ of G . It has an orientation $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ with no directed 3-paths. If we direct the edge 3-5 by $5 \rightarrow 3$ and then give the new directed graph the 3-coloring \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{I} , we find $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ is 3-colorable with $2 \in \mathcal{I}, 5 \in \mathcal{O}$, and $3 \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus, we have showed 2(a). By Lemma 3.3, 2(c) holds. We consider the subgroup $K_1 \simeq N.(D_{10} \times H)$ of K . K_1 is solvable so its Frobenius digraph $\overline{\Gamma}(K_1)$ has no directed 3-paths. Due to the edge $2 \rightarrow 5$ the set of vertices with edges outpointing from 5, $N_{\downarrow}^1(5)$, all has out-degree 0 so $N_{\downarrow}^1(5) \subset \mathcal{I}$. $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ inherits those directions from $\overline{\Gamma}(K_2)$ so $N(5) \setminus \{2, 3\} \subset \mathcal{I}$. Thus, we have proved 2(d).

Assume condition (2), we want to show condition (1) by constructing such a pseudo-solvable group G . We assign 5 to c . Take a 3-coloring $\{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I}\}$ of $\overline{\Gamma}$ where \mathcal{D} is the color that contains 5, \mathcal{I} is the color that contains $N(5) \setminus \{a, b\}$, and \mathcal{O} is the remaining color. We direct the edges of $\overline{\Gamma}$ by assigning the arrows pointing from \mathcal{O} to \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{I} . From the vertices $\{a, b\}$, we assign 2 to the vertex that lies in \mathcal{I} and 3 to the vertex that lies in \mathcal{O} . Thus, $\overline{\Gamma}$ becomes a directed graph $\overline{\Gamma}^{\rightarrow}$ with the assigned orientation. Denote $\overline{F} := \overline{\Gamma}^{\rightarrow} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$, $n_o := |\mathcal{O} \cap \overline{F}|$, $n_d := |\mathcal{D} \cap \overline{F}|$, $n_i := |\mathcal{I} \cap \overline{F}|$. For any $v_j \in \mathcal{O} \cap \overline{F}$, we equate v_j with a prime

p_j such that the set $\mathcal{P} = \{p_j \mid j = 1, \dots, n_o\}$ is a set of distinct primes. Set p to be the product of the distinct primes in \mathcal{P} . By Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, we pick a set of distinct primes $\mathcal{Q} := \{q_k \mid k = 1, \dots, n_d\}$ such that $q_k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, for any k . We equate each vertex in $\mathcal{D} \cap \overrightarrow{F}$ with a prime in \mathcal{Q} . We define groups $P = C_{p_1} \times \dots \times C_{p_{n_o}}$ and $Q = C_{q_1} \times \dots \times C_{q_{n_d}}$. If $p_j \rightarrow q_k$ is an edge in $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}$, we let C_{p_j} acts fixed point freely on C_{q_k} . If p_j and q_k are not adjacent to each other, we let C_{p_j} acts trivially on C_{q_k} . Thus, we have defined a group action $P \curvearrowright Q$ and we denote the induced semidirect product $K = Q \rtimes P$. Since K is solvable, it follows that a Hall $\{p_j, q_k\}$ -subgroup of K is a nontrivial product if and only if p_j - q_k is an edge in $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}$. Thus, we know $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(A_5 \times K) \simeq \overrightarrow{\Gamma}[\mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{D} \cup \{2\}]$.

For any $v_j \in \mathcal{I}$, let $N^1(v_j)$ be the set of primes in \overrightarrow{F} with distance 1 to v_j and $N^2(v_j)$ be the set of primes in \overrightarrow{F} with distance 2 to v_j . If $N^1(v_j)$ is nonempty, let B_{v_j} be a Hall $N^1(v_j) \cup N^2(v_j)$ -subgroup of K . By the definition of K , we know $\text{Fit}(B_{v_j})$ is a Hall $N^1(v_j)$ -subgroup of K . Since K has square-free order and so B_{v_j} has square-free order. If $5 \rightarrow v_j$ is an edge in $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}$, we consider the representation t_4 in Table 1. By arithmetic progression, we pick a prime r_j such that $|A_5 \times B_{v_j}| \mid r_j - 1$. Let r_j be large enough such that there exists an elementary abelian r_j -group R_{v_j} . A_5 acts on R_{v_j} through the representation t_4 as described by Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 3.5, define R_{v_j} to be a $A_5 \times B_{v_j}$ -representation. Therefore, if f has an order that is divisible by 5 or any primes in $N^1(v_j)$, then f acts fixed point freely on R_{v_j} . If $5 \rightarrow v_j$ is not an edge, we let R_{v_j} be a $A_5 \times B_{v_j}$ -representation induced by the trivial representation t_1 of A_5 . We let $\mathcal{R} = \{r_j \mid j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$. Based on the way the r_j are chosen, by arithmetic progression, we can further require \mathcal{R} to be disjoint from $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$. We let $J = R_{v_1} \times \dots \times R_{v_{n_i}}$. We define $G = J \rtimes (K \times A_5)$ where the action $K \times A_5 \curvearrowright J$ is induced by each $A_5 \times B_{v_j}$ acts on R_{v_j} as a group representation. By the way the actions are defined, we know the Frobenius digraph of the subgroup $R_{v_j} \rtimes (A_5 \times B_{v_j})$ is isomorphic to the subgraph $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}[\{2, 3, 5, v_j\} \cup N^1(v_j) \cup N^2(v_j)]$. Therefore, G is a pseudo-solvable group such that $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(G) \simeq \overrightarrow{\Gamma}$. \square

4. CATEGORY (2): $\{p, 3, 5\}$ FORMS A TRIANGLE

We now discuss the second case. Assume that in the complement prime graph $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(G)$, there exists a prime $p \notin \{2, 3, 5\}$ such that $\{p, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle. We first show that all complement prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups are 3-colorable.

Theorem 4.1. *Given a pseudo-solvable group G , $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(G)$ is 3-colorable.*

Proof. Given a pseudo-solvable group G , we look at the subgroup $K = N.(A_5 \times H)$ where $\pi(G) = \pi(K)$. Let $K_1 = N.(D_{10} \times H)$ and $K_2 = N.(A_3 \times H)$. If $3 \mid |N|$ or $5 \mid |N|$, then $\pi(K) = \pi(K_1)$ or $\pi(K) = \pi(K_2)$. Since K_1 and K_2 are solvable, $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(K)$ is 3-colorable and so is $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(G)$.

Assume $(|N|, 15) = 1$. First note that if $\{2, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle in $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(G)$, then it is 3-colorable by Theorem 3.6. Also, if $3 - 5$ is not an edge in $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(G)$, then we are done by [3,

Theorem 6.6]. So we may assume that $\{2, 3, 5\}$ is not a triangle, the 3 and 5 are linked by an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$.

We give $\overline{\Gamma}(K) \setminus \{3-5\}$ the Frobenius digraph orientation based on K_1, K_2 discussed in Section 2, which we denoted $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(K)$. Since we have at most one of the edges $3 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 5$, by orienting the edge $3-5$ by $3 \rightarrow 5$ we see that in $\{2, 3, 5\}$ there is no directed 2-path. The directed graph deleting $\{2, 3, 5\}$ does not contain any directed 3-path. Therefore to show that the whole directed graph does not contain a directed 3-path, it suffices to show that all the edges starting from 2, 3, 5 (which are by definition outgoing edges), end on vertices in \mathcal{I} . This will imply that $\overrightarrow{\Gamma}(K)$ with the added $3 \rightarrow 5$ does not contain a directed 3-path, which leads to the conclusion that $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ is 3-colorable. Therefore, more formally we claim for any prime p such that $q \rightarrow p$ is an edge for some $q \in \{2, 3, 5\}$, p is a terminal vertex, i.e. $p \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $r \in \pi(G) \setminus \{p, 2, 3, 5\}$ and $p \rightarrow r$ be an edge, we take a Hall $\{q, p, r\}$ -subgroup H_{qpr} and it must be a 2-Frobenius group of type (r, p, q) . Hence a Sylow p -subgroup P is cyclic. Let $\pi = \{p, 2, 3, 5\}$. Consider a Hall π -subgroup of K . We take its chief series with A_5 as a top factor. Then, we take the last p -factor in the series and denote it P_1 . We quotient everything before P_1 from H_π and denote this new group H_1 . P_1 is a chief factor so is an elementary abelian p -group. It is also a factor of the cyclic group P so it is cyclic and thus $P_1 \simeq C_p$. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, $H_1 = P_1 \rtimes (S.A_5)$ where S is a 2-group. The automorphism group of P_1 is cyclic, which implies that A_5 is a section of the kernel in the action of $S.A_5$ on P_1 . This contradicts $q \rightarrow p$ being an edge and completes the proof of the theorem. □

The following lemma shows that category (1) is disjoint from category (2).

Lemma 4.2. *Given G a finite pseudo-solvable group, if the vertices $\{p, 3, 5\}$ form a triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$, where p is some prime that is not 2, then 2-5 and 2-3 are not edges in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$.*

The proof will be similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2, where we first prove some special cases and then generalize them.

Proof. Consider $K \simeq N.(A_5 \times H) \leq G$ where $\pi(K) = \pi(G)$. If $3 \mid |N|$ or $5 \mid |N|$, $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ cannot contain a triangle $\{p, 3, 5\}$ (which is seen in the usual way of going to suitable solvable subgroups). Thus $(|N|, 15) = 1$, and so by Lemma 3.2, 3- p and 2-3 cannot be edges at the same time. This proves that 2-3 is not an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$.

Now assume 2-5 is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. We will first show a special case $G = (P \rtimes Q).A_5$, where P is an elementary abelian p -group and Q is a 2-group. We assume G has a normal series

$$1 \triangleleft P \triangleleft P \rtimes Q \triangleleft (P \rtimes Q).A_5.$$

Denote $N = P \rtimes Q$. By Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, since $P \triangleleft G$, G has a subgroup $H \simeq Q.A_5$ such that $G = P \rtimes H$. Let K_0 be the kernel of the action $H \curvearrowright P$. Since K_0 centralizes P and is normal in H , K_0 is normal in $G = P \rtimes H$. Since 3- $p, 5$ - p are edges in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$, K_0 has no elements of order 3 or 5 so K_0 is a 2-group. Thus clearly $K_0 \leq N$.

WLOG, by working with $G/K_0 \simeq P \rtimes (Q/K_0).A_5$, we assume $K_0 = 1$ and the action $H \curvearrowright P$ is faithful. Let $f \in G$ be a 5-element. Since 5- p , 5-2 are edges in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$, $\langle f \rangle$ acts on $N = P \rtimes Q$ frobeniusly. Since N is a Frobenius kernel, N is nilpotent so $N = P \times Q$. However, since the action of H on P is faithful, $Q = 1$. By Lemma 3.1, $G = P.A_5$ so a 3-element t acts on P with a fixed point, implying 3- p is not an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$, which is a contradiction.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we extend the special case to the general case. G must be strictly pseudo-solvable so we take the subgroup $K \simeq N.(A_5 \times H)$ where $(|N|, 15) = 1$. By working with a Hall subgroup, we assume $\pi(K) = \{2, 3, 5, p\}$. Take a chief series of K where A_5 is the last factor. By quotienting out the subgroups before the last p -group factor, we assume K has a normal series $1 \triangleleft P \triangleleft P \rtimes Q \triangleleft (P \rtimes Q).A_5 = K$, where P is an elementary abelian p -group, Q is a 2-group. By the above special case, 2-5 is not an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$ and thus the same statement holds in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. \square

Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 shows that category (1) and (2) describes two disjoint classes of prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups. Together, they describe all the nontrivial prime graphs.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a pseudo-solvable group and $\Gamma = \Gamma(G)$. Then, $\overline{\Gamma}$ satisfies exactly one of the following statements:*

- (1) $\overline{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and triangle-free;
- (2) $\overline{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and the $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph is a triangle;
- (3) $\overline{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and there exists a prime $p \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$ such that the $\{p, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph is a triangle.

Proof. Combine Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. \square

It remains to classify all the $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ where $\{p, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle for some $p \neq 2$. By Lemma 1.2, all possible triangles of the complement prime graph $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ have a base edge 3-5. That condition restricts on how many copies of A_5 can appear in the chief factor of G .

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a strictly pseudo-solvable group where 3-5 is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$, then G has exactly one non-solvable chief factor which is isomorphic to A_5 .*

Proof. Let $\pi = \{2, 3, 5\}$. By definition, the nonsolvable sections of G are isomorphic to A_5 so G is π -separable. Let H be a Hall π -subgroup of G . Let $N \triangleleft H$ be a solvable group such that all minimal normal subgroups of H/N are nonsolvable. Denote $H_0 = H/N$ and let M be a minimal normal subgroup of H_0 . By the fact that a minimal normal subgroup of finite groups must be a direct product of copies of the same simple group, we know $M \simeq A_5^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $k > 1$, M contains an element of order 15 and thus so does G , contradicting that 3-5 is an edge. Thus, $M \simeq A_5$. H_0 acts on M by conjugation so the action sends $H_0/C_{H_0}(M)$ to $\text{Aut}(M) \simeq S_5$ injectively. Since H_0 does not contain an element of order 15, $C_{H_0}(M)$ does not contain an element of order 3 or 5. Since $|H_0/C_{H_0}(M)|$ divides $|S_5| = 120$, $|H_0/C_{H_0}(M)|$ is divisible by 3 and 5 to the first power. Thus, $|H_0|$ is divisible by 3 and 5 only to the first power but not higher powers. By the group order, M is the only section

that is isomorphic to A_5 in H_0 . Since $H_0 = H/N$ where N is solvable, we know H contains only one section of A_5 and so G only contains one section of A_5 . \square

Furthermore, we discover more properties of $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. When A_5 acts on a r -group R , the action of 3-elements is closely related to the actions of 5-elements.

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a finite group and N a normal subgroup of G such that $G/N \cong A_5$ and N is solvable. Suppose also that $|N|$ is divisible by a prime $p \notin \{2, 3, 5\}$ such that G does not contain any elements of orders $3p$ and $5p$. Now let V be a finite G -module over the field with q elements, where q is a prime not dividing $|G|$. If the semidirect product GV does not contain any elements of order $3q$, then GV does not contain any elements of order $5q$.*

The proof of Lemma 4.5 is long, so we present it in the Appendix. It follows immediately that the edge $3-r$ implies $5-r$ in the action of A_5 on N .

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a pseudo-solvable group. Suppose that $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ contains a triangle with vertices $\{p, 3, 5\} \subset \pi(G)$. Let $r \in \pi(G)$ be another prime, then $3-r$ implies that $5-r$ is also an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$.*

Proof. Let H be a Hall $\{p, r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup of G . By Lemma 4.4, $H = N.A_5.M$ where N, M are solvable. If $p \mid |M|$, then $3-p$ is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(A_5.M)$. Since $\text{Out}(A_5) \simeq C_2$, p -elements in M acts on A_5 trivially by conjugation, which produces elements of order $3p$, contradiction. Similarly, we know $r, 3, 5$ do not divide $|M|$ so $|M|$ is a 2-group. We take a chief series of $N.A_5$ where A_5 is the top factor,

$$1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_m = N \triangleleft N.A_5.$$

For any i such that the factor N_{i+1}/N_i is an elementary abelian r -group, consider the group $M_i = (N.A_5)/N_i$ so it has an elementary abelian normal subgroup $Q_i = N_{i+1}/N_i$. Consider a Hall $\{2, 3, 5, p\}$ -subgroup P_i of M_i , so P_i contains no elements of orders $3p$ or $5p$. Now consider the group action $P_i \curvearrowright Q_i$. By Lemma 4.5, the group $Q_i \rtimes P_i$ has no elements of order $3r$ or $5r$. Therefore we see that $N.A_5$ has no elements of order $5r$. Since M is a 2-group, the same statement holds for $N.A_5.M$. Thus, $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ has an edge $5-r$. \square

When $2-q$ is an edge in the complement prime graph, we next show that the Hall $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup of G has a strong connection with $\text{SL}(2, 5)$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let N be a 2-group. If a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N.A_5$ is generalized quaternion, then $N = C_2$ and $N.A_5 \simeq \text{SL}(2, 5)$.*

Proof. Identify a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N.A_5$ with Q_{2^n} . If $n = 3$, by calculating the order, we know $N = C_2$. The extension of A_5 by C_2 is either $C_2 \times A_5$ or $\text{SL}(2, 5)$, but a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_2 \times A_5$ is not isomorphic to Q_8 so $N.A_5 \simeq \text{SL}(2, 5)$ as desired.

Assume $n > 3$. The quotient Q_{2^n}/N is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of A_5 , which is V_4 . Since the abelianization $(Q_{2^n})^{ab} \simeq V_4$, we know N is isomorphic to the commutator subgroup of Q_{2^n} , so N is cyclic. Take a chief series of $N.A_5$, $1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_m =$

$N \triangleleft N.A_5$. Since a minimal normal subgroup of a finite group must be a direct product of the same simple group, the chief factors N_{i+1}/N_i are elementary abelian 2-groups for $i \leq m-1$. The top factor is $N.A_5/N \simeq A_5$. Since N is cyclic, its chief factors are also cyclic so $N_{i+1}/N_i \simeq C_2$ for $i \leq m-1$. Since $|N| = 2^m$, $N.V_4 \simeq Q_{2^n}$ implies $m = n-2$. Since N_1 is normal in $N.A_5$ and also normal in Q_{2^n} , we know $N_1 = Z(Q_{2^n})$. Denote $M_i = (N.A_5)/N_i$ for $i \leq n-3$. For $i = 1$, we notice $M_1 = (N.A_5)/N_1$ so a Sylow 2-subgroup of M_1 is $Q_{2^n}/Z(Q_{2^n}) = D_{2^{n-1}}$, the dihedral group of order 2^{n-1} . Notice that $Z(D_{2^j})$ has order 2. Thus, for any index $1 < j \leq n-3$, $N_i/N_{i-1} = Z(D_{2^{n-(i-1)}})$ so the Sylow 2-subgroup of M_i is $D_{2^{n-(i-1)}}/Z(D_{2^{n-(i-1)}}) = D_{2^{n-i}}$. Therefore $M_{n-3} = (N.A_5)/N_{n-3}$ has Sylow 2-subgroups that are isomorphic to D_8 . However, M_{n-3} is an extension of A_5 by $N_{n-2}/N_{n-3} = C_2$ which is either $C_2 \times A_5$ or $SL(2, 5)$. Since neither of them has Sylow 2-subgroup isomorphic to D_8 , this situation is impossible. \square

The following lemma tells us when G has a subgroup that is isomorphic to $SL(2, 5)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $G = N.A_5$ where N is a solvable group such that $(|N|, 15) = 1$. Assume $2-p$ is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$, then a Hall $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup of G is isomorphic to $SL(2, 5)$.*

Proof. Since G is $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -separable, it has a Hall $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup. Since N is solvable, G has a Hall $\{p, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup H . Take a chief series of G , denoted Δ , where A_5 is at the top: $0 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_m = N \triangleleft N.A_5$. Intersect the series with H so we obtain a normal series $\Delta \cap H$ where each factor is a Hall $\{2, 3, 5, p\}$ -subgroup of the original factor of Δ . Since $(|N|, 15) = 1$, all factors of $H \cap \Delta$ before A_5 are either elementary abelian 2-groups or elementary abelian p -groups. Now choose i maximal such that N_i/N_{i+1} is a p -group. The Sylow 2-subgroup of G/N_i then acts frobeniusly on N_i/N_{i+1} and thus is generalized quaternion. By Lemma 4.7, G/N_i is isomorphic to $SL(2, 5)$, and clearly N_i/N_{i+1} is abelian, but not cyclic. But if $j < i$ is chosen maximal such that N_i/N_j is a p -group and N_i/N_{j+1} is not, then N_i/N_j cannot act frobeniusly on N_j/N_{j+1} which contradicts $2-p$ being an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. Hence 2 does not divide $|N_{i+1}|$ and the proof is complete. \square

Suppose that G is a group such that $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ where $\{p, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle for some $p \neq 2$. (This is the situation that we are interested in right now.) For an arbitrary prime $r \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$, Figures 2 and 3 list all the possible edge relations between the vertices $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$, as will be shown below. Lemma 4.6 shows that the subgraphs in Figure 4 do not occur. Subgraphs in Figure 3 are different from subgraphs in Figure 2 by the edge $2-r$. Lemma 4.10 will establish this important difference where Lemma 4.8 and $SL(2, 5)$ will play a crucial role. (For the labels of the representations, see the tables below.)

Lemma 4.9. *Let G be a pseudo-solvable group where $\{p, 3, 5\}$ forms a triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. Assume there exists a prime q such that $2-q$ is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. Let r be a prime divisor of G that is not 2, 3, or 5. Let H be a Hall $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup of G . Then, $H \simeq R.Q.A_5.M$ where R is a Sylow r -subgroup of H , Q is a cyclic of order 2, and M is a 2-group.*

Proof. Let K be a Hall $\{p, r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup of G . By Lemma 4.4, $K = N_0.A_5.M_0$ where N_0, M_0 are solvable. Since $\{p, 3, 5\}$ induces a triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}(K)$, it is easy to see that 3 and




Figure 2. Subgraphs constructed by the representations of $SL(2, 5)$




Figure 3. Subgraphs constructed by the representations of $C_2.S_5$




Figure 4. Impossible subgraphs

5 do not divide $|N_0|$. By Lemma 4.8, a Hall $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup of $N_0.A_5$ is isomorphic to $SL(2, 5)$. Let H be a Hall $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup of G and $H \simeq N.A_5.M$ where N, M are solvable and $(|N|, 15) = 1$. As a consequence, the Hall $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroups of $N.A_5$ are

isomorphic to $\text{SL}(2, 5)$ which implies that the Sylow 2-subgroups of N are cyclic of order 2. Assume H has a normal series $1 < P_1 \leq P_1.C_2 \leq P_1.C_2.P_2 = N \leq N.A_5 \leq N.A_5.M = H$ for some r -subgroups P_1, P_2 . By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, the quotient $C_2.P_2$ is a semidirect product and hence even a direct product. Thus, after denoting $R := P_1.P_2$, we may modify the normal series as $1 < R \leq R.C_2 \leq R.C_2.A_5 \leq R.C_2.A_5.M$. Since 3-5 is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(A_5.M)$ and $\text{Out}(A_5) \simeq C_2$, we know the order of M is coprime to 15, and any r -subgroup of M has to act trivially on $C_2.A_5$ and thus can be "moved down" into R , and so M is a 2-group and the assertion follows. \square

Recall from Lemma 3.1 that if G is a finite group with normal subgroup H and $G/H \simeq A_5$, and $C_H(t) = 1$ for some 3-element t , then H is a 2-group. This will be useful in the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *Let G be a pseudo-solvable group where $\{p, 3, 5\}$ induces a triangle in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. Let r be a prime divisor of $\pi(G)$. Then $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraphs are not graphs in Figure 4. Moreover, if there is at least one $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ as in Figure 2 (b), (d), or (e), then there is no $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph in $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ as in Figure 3 (c).*

Proof. The first statement, that subgraphs in Figure 4 do not occur, follows immediately from Lemma 4.6.

To prove the second statement, suppose that $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$ has a $\{q, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph that is of a kind in Figure 2 (b),(d), or (e), and assume that a $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph as in Figure 3(c) also appears. (We need to obtain a contradiction.) In particular, $2-q$ is an edge. By Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9, a Hall $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgroup H has a normal series $1 < R \leq N \leq M \leq H$ where R is a Sylow r -subgroup, M/R is isomorphic to $\text{SL}(2, 5)$ and $N/R \simeq C_2$, $M/N \simeq A_5$, and H/M is a 2-group. Since $2-q$ is an edge, the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are generalized quaternion. We let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of H . We assume R is elementary abelian up to quotienting r -groups from H , where Figure 3(c) is preserved. By Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, R has a complement $K \leq H$ such that $R \rtimes K \simeq H$. Since N/R is normal in H/R and is of order 2, N/R is contained in the center of K . Write Z for this central subgroup of order 2 of K . By Maschke's theorem, R is a completely reducible K -module so $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ where V_i are irreducible K -modules. If Z acts Frobeniusly on each V_i , then a Sylow 2-subgroup T of H acts Frobeniusly on R . It implies $2-r$ is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma}(H)$, which contradicts that Figure 3(c) is a subgraph. Therefore, WLOG, assume Z acting on V_1 with a fixed point. By Zassenhaus decomposition, $V_1 \simeq [V_1, Z] \oplus C_{V_1}(Z)$ where Z acts Frobeniusly on $[V_1, Z]$ and trivially on $C_{V_1}(Z)$. However, since V_1 is an irreducible K -module and Z does not act on V_1 Frobeniusly, we know $V_1 = C_{V_1}(Z)$ which means the action is trivial. We rewrite a normal series of H , $1 \leq X < Y_1 < Y_2 < M \leq H$ where $X \simeq V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$, $Y_1/X \simeq C_2$, $Y_2/Y_1 \simeq V_1$, and $M/Y_2 \simeq A_5$. Consider the group $M/Y_1 \simeq V_1.A_5$. Since 3- r is an edge in Figure 3(c), any 3-element t acts Frobeniusly on $Y_2/Y_1 \simeq V_1$. By Lemma 3.1, V_1 must be a 2-group, contradicting that V_1 is a r -group. Therefore, we conclude that Figure 3(c) is impossible to be the $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph of $\overline{\Gamma}(G)$. \square

For the backward direction, we use the irreducible representations of $\text{SL}(2, 5)$ and $C_2.S_5$, with GAP index SmallGroup(120,90), to construct the subgraphs in Figure 2 and Figure 3,

Index	Degrees	Orders									
		1	10	10	2	5	5	3	6	4	
ρ_1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ρ_2	2	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ρ_3	2	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ρ_4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ρ_5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ρ_6	4	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	0
ρ_7	4	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
ρ_8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ρ_9	6	0	-	-	-	0	0	0	-	-	-

Table 2. The values of $\det(\rho(g) - I)$ for irreducible representations and conjugacy class representatives of $SL(2, 5)$

Index	Degrees	Orders											
		1	6	2	3	6	5	4	8	10	8	6	2
γ_1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
γ_2	1	0	-	-	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0	0
γ_3	4	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
γ_4	4	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0
γ_5	4	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0
γ_6	4	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
γ_7	4	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
γ_8	5	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
γ_9	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
γ_{10}	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
γ_{11}	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
γ_{12}	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3. The values of $\det(\rho(g) - I)$ for irreducible representations and conjugacy class representatives of $C_2.S_5$

respectively. As we constructed subgraphs in Figure 1 using A_5 , we examine the fixed points of the irreducible representations with GAP [4]. Using the same convention as Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3 describe fixed points of the irreducible representations of $SL(2, 5)$ and $C_2.S_5$ respectively. By Lemma 3.4, ρ_1 of A_5 gives Figure 2(a); ρ_2 gives Figure 2(b); ρ_6 gives Figure 2(c); ρ_7 gives Figure 2(d); ρ_9 gives Figure 2(e). For $C_2.A_5$, γ_1 gives Figure 3(a); γ_6 gives Figure 3(b); γ_3 gives Figure 3(c). Combining Lemma 4.10, we give the following theorem.

Theorem 4.11. *Let Γ be a simple graph, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma(G)$ for some pseudo-solvable group G such that $\{p, 3, 5\}$ induces a triangle in $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ for some prime $p \neq 2$;
- (2) $\bar{\Gamma}$ with a choice of vertices $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \subset V(\Gamma)$ satisfies the following conditions:
- (a) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable;
 - (b) $\alpha\beta$ is an edge for all triangles in $\bar{\Gamma}$, and at least one such triangle exists;
 - (c) $\bar{\Gamma}$ has a choice of coloring $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I}$ such that $N(\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}) \setminus \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \subset \mathcal{I}$;
 - (d) The set $\mathcal{S} := \{\{q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\}\text{-subgraph} \subset \bar{\Gamma} : q \in V(\bar{\Gamma}) \setminus \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}\}$ contains only graphs from Figure 2, or only graphs from Figure 3.

Proof. We show the forward direction and then the backward. For the forward direction, assume Condition (1). Let $\alpha = 3$, $\beta = 5$, and $\gamma = 2$. Theorem 4.1 implies (2a), and the proof of Theorem 4.1 implies (2c). Lemma 1.2 implies (2b). It remains to show (2d). First note that by Lemma 4.2 we know that the subgraph of $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ induced by $\{2, 3, 5\}$ is fully determined. So if r is a prime with $r \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$, let $\pi_r = \{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ and H_r a Hall π_r -subgroup of G . Write $\Gamma_r = \bar{\Gamma}(H_r)$. Since $\Gamma_r[\{2, 3, 5\}]$ is already fully determined, there are theoretically only eight possibilities for Γ_r , depending on whether there are edges between 2,3,5 and r or not. Two of these, namely the ones in Figure 4, cannot occur by Lemma 4.10, reducing the number of possibilities to 6. Those six graphs are listed in Figures 2 and 3, but two of them are listed twice (namely those which have no edge between 2 and r).

To complete the proof of (2d), we must show that either Γ_s is in Figure 2 for all $s \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$, or Γ_s is in Figure 3 for all $s \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$. Now both Figure 2 and Figure 3 list all (two) possible subgraphs Γ_r where there is no edge between 2 and r , so those do not pose a problem. Now if $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ has at least one subgraph Γ_r of Figure 2 such that $2 - r$ is an edge in Γ_r then by Lemma 4.10 we conclude that all subgraphs Γ_s ($s \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$) are in Figure 2. Likewise, if $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ has at least one subgraph Γ_r of Figure 3 which is the unique subgraph in that figure such that $2 - r$ is an edge in Γ_r , then again by Lemma 4.10 it follows that all subgraphs Γ_s ($s \in \pi(G) \setminus \{2, 3, 5\}$) are in Figure 3. This completes the proof of (2d).

We now show the backward direction. Let Γ be a simple graph such that its complement $\bar{\Gamma}$ satisfies Condition (2). $\bar{\Gamma}$ can be decomposed into two parts, the $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ -subgraph and the subgraph Δ generated by the other vertices. Δ is 3-colorable and triangle-free. We give Δ a coloring $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I}$ which satisfies (2c). We identify the vertices $\gamma = 2$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\} = \{3, 5\}$ in such a way as to be consistent with (2d). We first orientate Δ by directing edges pointing from \mathcal{O} to \mathcal{D} then to \mathcal{I} , denote this graph $\bar{\Delta}$. We repeat the construction that we did in Theorem 3.6 and use the notation introduced there. That is, we identify vertices in \mathcal{O} with distinct primes \mathcal{P} and then identify vertices in \mathcal{D} with distinct primes \mathcal{Q} such that $Q \rtimes P$ has prime graph isomorphic to $\Delta \cap (\mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{D})$. Let $S = \text{SL}(2, 5)$ or $C_2.S_5$ depending on \mathcal{S} having all its elements in Figure 2 or Figure 3, respectively. (If all elements of \mathcal{S} are in Figure 2 as well as in Figure 3, then the choice does not matter.) S takes the place of A_5 in the Theorem 3.6 construction. By Lemma 3.5, for any vertex $v_j \in \mathcal{I}$, we assign to v_j a sufficiently large prime r_j and a group R_{v_j} . Then we let $S \times (Q \rtimes P)$ act on R_{v_j} in the following way. For any prime $s \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$ the group C_s acts on R_{v_j} Frobeniusly if and only if $s \rightarrow r$ is an edge in $\bar{\Delta}$. S acts on R_{v_j} by the representation that the $\{v_j, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ -subgraph

corresponds in Figure 2 or Figure 3. Therefore, we have a group action $S \times (Q \rtimes P)$ on R which has a prime graph that is isomorphic to Γ through the vertex assignment we have described. The corresponding semidirect product $G = R \rtimes (S \times (Q \rtimes P))$ is the desired group. \square

Finally, Theorems 3.6 and 4.11 together provide the desired classification of pseudo-solvable groups by their prime graphs, which was the main goal of the paper. We mention an interesting and a little less technical consequence of our classification.

Corollary 4.12. *Let G be a pseudo-solvable group and $\Gamma = \Gamma(G)$, then Γ is in exactly one of the following categories.*

- (1) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and triangle-free;
- (2) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and the $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph is a triangle. For an arbitrary prime divisor r , all the $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraphs are of types in Figure 1;
- (3) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and there exists an odd prime p such that the $\{p, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph is a triangle. For an arbitrary prime divisor r , all the $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraphs are of types in Figure 2;
- (4) $\bar{\Gamma}$ is 3-colorable and there exists an odd prime p such that the $\{p, 3, 5\}$ -subgraph is a triangle. For an arbitrary prime divisor r , all the $\{r, 2, 3, 5\}$ -subgraphs are of types in Figure 3.

5. OUTLOOK

Non-abelian simple groups whose order is divisible by exactly three different primes are often called K_3 -groups. There are eight K_3 groups, and A_5 is one of them. If we call pseudo-solvable groups "pseudo A_5 -solvable" groups, then it is a natural problem to study the prime graphs of pseudo T -solvable groups for other nonabelian simple groups T . In fact, in [2] the authors completely classify the prime graphs of pseudo T -solvable groups for all the remaining K_3 groups. It turns out that for all of these only the case similar to the case that there is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$ triangle for A_5 can occur, whereas the second case for A_5 , that there is a triangle $\{3, 5, p\}$ for some odd prime p and which allows for an arbitrary number of such triangles, is unique to A_5 .

For further study One can now, of course, look into pseudo T -solvable groups for yet other nonsolvable groups T , such as the Suzuki groups. It might also be interesting to consider other graph theory aspects of prime graphs of pseudo-solvable groups, such as connectivity or a yet to be developed notion of the minimality of the graphs.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was conducted at Texas State University under NSF-REU grant DMS-1757233 and NSA grant H98230-21-1-0333 during the summer of 2021. The authors thank NSF and NSA for the financial support. The first, third, fourth, and fifth thank Texas State University for running the REU online during this difficult period of social distancing and providing a welcoming and supportive work environment. Those authors also thank

their mentor, the second author Dr. Thomas Michael Keller, for his invaluable advice and guidance throughout this project. The sixth author, the director of the REU program, is recognized for conducting an inspired and successful research program. The results in this paper were mainly discovered by the first author under the guidance of the second author, while the other members worked out another paper.

7. APPENDIX

We present a proof for Lemma 4.5 in this section.

Proof. Suppose the result is not true and let G, V be a minimal counterexample, i.e., $|G| + |V|$ is minimal.

Our first goal is to show that $|N|$ is not divisible by 3 and by 5. Assume that 3 divides $|N|$. Then there exist normal subgroups S and T of G with $T < S \leq N$ such that S/T is a chief factor of G of order divisible by 3, and we may also choose S, T such that 3 does not divide $|N/S|$. Then p does not divide $|N/T|$ because otherwise any Hall $\{3, p\}$ -subgroup of G/T (which clearly exists) would be a 2-Frobenius group, making the Sylow p -subgroup of G/T cyclic, but since there are 3-elements and 5-elements in G/T which act Frobeniusly on chief factors of p -power order of N/T (which now must be of order p) and those 3- and 5-elements generate a nonabelian group, we get a contradiction to the automorphism group of cyclic groups being abelian. So p indeed does not divide $|N/T|$. Therefore S/T is a section of a 3-subgroup of G acting Frobeniusly on a chief factor of p -power order of G in T , and hence that 3-subgroup must be cyclic. This shows that S/T is cyclic of order 3. (We remark that exploiting the Frobenius action of the Sylow 3-subgroups of G on V would yield $|S/T| = 3$ much faster, but we want to imply the same argument also for the prime 5 for which we do not have the fixed point free action of Sylow 5-subgroups on V , and hence we only used the nonexistence of elements of order $3p$ here, since there are also no elements of order $5p$.)

Now $(G/T)/C_{G/T}(S/T)$ has order 1 or 2. If the order is 2, then $G/C_G(S/T)$ is of order 2 and so $C_G(S/T)$ acting on V will be a smaller counterexample, contradicting our minimal choice of $|G|, |V|$. Thus the order is 1, meaning that S/T is a central chief factor, and then N/T is 3-nilpotent, and G has a normal subgroup R such that G/R is a central extension of A_5 by C_3 (the cyclic group of order 3). Since the Schur multiplier of A_5 is 2, it is clear that this extension must be split, and hence G has a normal subgroup R_1 such that G/R_1 is of order 3. Then R_1, V is a smaller counterexample to our theorem, which is a contradiction. This shows that the assumption of 3 dividing $|N|$ must be refuted, so 3 does not divide $|N|$.

A similar argument shows that 5 does not divide the order of N : Here one will, otherwise, find a factor group of order 1 or 2 or 4 and can handle the cases 2 and 4 by induction. Also, once one has found a normal subgroup T_1 in G of index 5 in G then T_1, V is no longer a counterexample, so there are no elements of order $5q$ in T_1V , and since G/T_1 is part of a

cyclic group acting frobeniusly on some p -chief factor of G , then clearly there cannot exist any elements of order $5q$ in GV , contradicting G, V being a counterexample. Therefore 5 does not divide $|N|$.

We remark that since $|N|$ is not divisible by 3, then for any Sylow 3-subgroup R of G we have that R acts coprimely on N , and so N has an R -invariant Sylow p -subgroup P . Then PV is a subgroup of GV on which R acts frobeniusly, and thus PV is nilpotent. This shows that $P \leq C_G(V)$.

Since $(|G|, |V|) = 1$, we know that V is a completely reducible G -module, and since for any irreducible G -submodule W of V clearly $G/C_G(W)$ is divisible by 3 by our hypothesis that GV does not contain any elements of order $3q$, we see that the action of G on W satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, and if $W < V$, then G, W is not a counterexample and thus all elements of order 5 act fixed point freely on W . Since W was arbitrary, then it follows that all elements of order 5 act fixed point freely on V , contradicting G being a counterexample. This shows that V is irreducible as G -module.

Now put $\pi = \{2, 3, 5\}$ and suppose that $|G/C_G(V)|_\pi = 60$. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, $G/C_G(V)$ has a Hall π -subgroup isomorphic to A_5 which acts on V . Then from Lemma 3.1 we know that the Sylow 3-subgroups of $G/C_G(V)$ will have nontrivial fixed points on V , contradicting our hypothesis that GV does not contain any elements of order $3q$. Therefore $|G|_\pi > 60$, and since 3 and 5 both do not divide $|N|$, it follows that 2 divides $|N|$.

Next we observe that if $1 = N_1 < N_2 < \dots < N_k = G$ is a chief series of G , then necessarily A_5 is the top chief factor (*), more formally stated: $G/N_{k-1} \cong A_5$, because otherwise if there were a chief series with G/N_{k-1} not being isomorphic to A_5 , then the action of N_{k-1} on V would be a smaller counterexample, a contradiction.

We now prove that V_N (which denotes V viewed as an N -module) is homogeneous. By Clifford we can write V_N as a direct sum of its homogeneous components. Suppose that there are l of them and that $l > 1$. Then G permutes them transitively, and if L is the kernel of this permutation action, then by (*), G/L has a chief factor isomorphic to A_5 . In particular, G/L contains an element of order 3 which will have an orbit of size 3 in this permutation action, thus leading it to have a nontrivial fixed point on V , contradicting the hypothesis. Hence $l = 1$ which means that V_N is homogeneous.

Now write $G_0 = G/C_G(V)$ and $N_0 = N/C_N(V) = N/C_G(V)$. We want to show that N_0 is a 2-group. For this, recall that 3 and 5 do not divide $|N_0|$ and suppose that $r > 5$ is a prime dividing $|N_0|$. Then there exist normal subgroups S, T of G_0 such that S/T is a chief factor of G_0 and r does not divide $|G_0/S|$. Then by Schur-Zassenhaus, S/T is a complemented (or non-Frattini) chief factor, and so there exists a subgroup K_0 of G_0 such that $T \leq K_0$, $K_0S = G_0$, and $(K_0/T) \cap (S/T) = 1$. So if K is the inverse image of K_0 in G ,

then the action of K on V is a smaller counterexample, contradicting our minimal choice. Hence r does not exist and N_0 is a 2-group.

Next we show that $\phi(N_0) = 1$ where $\phi(\cdot)$ denotes the Frattini subgroup. Assume that $\phi(N_0) > 1$. Clearly the Fitting subgroup $F(G_0)$ of G_0 equals N_0 , and $F(G_0)/\phi(G_0)$ has a complement in $G_0/\phi(G_0)$. So let $H_0 \leq G_0$ such that $\phi(N_0) \leq H_0$ and $H_0/\phi(G_0)$ is such a complement of $F(G_0)/\phi(G_0)$. If H is the preimage of H_0 in G , then the action of H on V is a smaller counterexample, contradicting our minimal choice. This establishes that indeed $\phi(N_0) = 1$.

Thus N_0 is an elementary abelian 2-group. Since V_N is homogeneous (as seen above), then also V_{N_0} is homogeneous, and since N_0 is elementary abelian and acts faithfully on V , we see that altogether N_0 must be cyclic of order 2. This forces N_0 to be the center of G_0 , and thus G_0 is a central extension of A_5 , which by our hypothesis and Lemma 3.1, G_0 must be non-split. It is well-known that the only such extension is $\text{SL}(2,5)$. Therefore the action of G_0 on V is a faithful irreducible coprime action. By [10, Lemma 10] we may assume that V is an absolutely reducible G_0 -module, and since the action is coprime, it is well-known that V can be viewed as a module over the complex numbers. Hence we need to study the complex irreducible faithful representations of $\text{SL}(2,5)$ and check that if the elements of order 3 act Frobeniusly on the underlying module, then the elements of order 5 also act Frobeniusly on that module.

Now $\text{SL}(2,5)$ has four faithful representations. Two of them are of dimension 2, and in these $\text{SL}(2,5)$ acts Frobeniusly on the underlying module. In particular, all 3-elements and 5-elements act Frobeniusly, which is in line with our assertion (or rather, which leads to a contradiction to G , V being a counterexample, as desired). Also, the faithful representation of degree 6 of $\text{SL}(2,5)$ is just induced from a subgroup of order 20, and thus, in particular, the elements of order 3 have nontrivial fixed points on V , contradicting the hypothesis. The remaining faithful representation is of degree 4 and is in some ways the hardest to understand. Anyway, we used GAP [4] to verify that here again, the elements of order 3 have nontrivial fixed points on the corresponding module, again contradicting the hypothesis. This final contradiction shows that the minimal counterexample does not exist and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher. *Finite Group Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 2000.
- [2] T. J. Edwards, T. M. Keller, R. M. Pesak, and K. Sellakumaran Latha. The prime graphs of groups with arithmetically small composition factors. Preprint, 31 pages, 2022, submitted.
- [3] C. Florez, J. Higgins, K. Huang, T. M. Keller, D. Shen, and Y. Yang. The prime graphs of some classes of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 226 (2022), no. 7, Paper No. 106990, 19 pp.
- [4] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming*, Version 4.11.1, 2021.
- [5] A. Gruber, T. M. Keller, M. L. Lewis, K. Naughton, and B. Strasser. A characterization of the prime graphs of solvable groups. *J. Algebra* 442 (2015), 397–422.

- [6] P. Hall, A Note on Soluble Groups. *J. London Math. Soc.* 3 (1928), no. 2, 98–105.
- [7] I. M. Isaacs, *Finite group theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 92. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [8] I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 69. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1976.
- [9] T. M. Keller, Solvable groups with a small number of prime divisors in the element orders. *J. Algebra* 170 (1994), no. 2, 625–648.
- [10] G. R. Robinson and J. G. Thompson, On Brauer’s $k(B)$ -problem. *J. Algebra* 184 (1996), no. 3, 1143–1160.
- [11] W. B. Stewart, Groups having strongly self-centralizing 3-centralizers. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 26 (1973), 653–680.
- [12] J. S. Williams, Prime graph components of finite groups. *J. Algebra* 69 (1981), no. 2, 487–513.

ZIYU HUANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOSTON COLLEGE, 140 COMMONWEALTH AVE, CHESTNUT HILL, MA 02467, USA

Email address: huangaaf@bc.edu

THOMAS M. KELLER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY, 601 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, SAN MARCOS, TX 78666-4616, USA

Email address: keller@txstate.edu

SHANE KISSINGER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE CENTER ROOM 325, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, USA

Email address: skissinger@college.harvard.edu

WEN PLOTNICK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 2074 EAST HALL, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ANN ARBOR, 530 CHURCH STREET, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1043, USA

Email address: plotnw@umich.edu

MAYA ROMA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 970 EVANS HALL 3840, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840 USA

Email address: mayaroma@berkeley.edu

YONG YANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY, 601 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, SAN MARCOS, TX 78666-4616, USA

Email address: yang@txstate.edu