

A Note on the Asymptotic Expansion of Matrix Coefficients over p -adic Fields

Zahi Hazan

Abstract

In this short note, presented as a “community service”, followed by the PhD research of the author, we draw the relation between Casselman’s theorem [Cas93] regarding the asymptotic behavior of matrix coefficients over p -adic fields and its expression as a finite sum of finite functions.

1 Introduction

Let k be a non-Archimedean locally compact field with \mathcal{O} , its ring of integers, and \mathcal{P} , the maximal ideal in \mathcal{O} . Denote a uniformizer of \mathcal{P} by ϖ , and the cardinality of the residue field by q . Let G be the group of k -rational points of a reductive algebraic group defined over k . Let (π, V) be a (complex) smooth, admissible, irreducible representation of G . For a parabolic subgroup P of G with Levi decomposition $P = MN$, we denote by $V(N)$ the subspace of V generated by

$$\{\pi(n)v - v \mid n \in N, v \in V\}. \quad (1)$$

We also denote $V_N = V/V(N)$. This is the space of a smooth representation (π_N, V_N) of M , called the Jacquet module of (π, V) along N .

Let $P_0 = M_0N_0$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup. Let $A_M = Z(M)$ be the center of M . For simplicity we denote $A = A_{M_0}$. Let Σ be the set of all roots corresponding to the pair (G, A) . i.e., the non-trivial eigencharacters of the adjoint action of A on the lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . Let Σ^+ be the subset of positive roots determined by P_0 , so that $\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where \mathfrak{g}_α is the eigenspace of α , and \mathfrak{n} is the lie algebra of N_0 . Let $\Delta = \Delta_{P_0}$ be the basis of Σ^+ , so that every root in Σ^+ is a sum of roots in Δ .

We have the following definitions and theorem from [Cas93, Corollary 4.3.4]. For each $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, we define

$$A_\Theta^-(\varepsilon) = \left\{ a \in A \mid \begin{array}{l} |\alpha(a)| \leq \varepsilon \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Theta \\ \varepsilon < |\alpha(a)| \leq 1 \ \forall \alpha \in \Theta \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

This is a subset of

$$A^- = \{a \in A \mid |\alpha(a)| \leq 1 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}. \quad (3)$$

For any $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, A^- is the disjoint union of $A_\Theta^-(\varepsilon)$ as Θ ranges over all subsets of Δ . For each $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$ we denote by $P_\Theta = M_\Theta N_\Theta$ the standard parabolic subgroup

corresponding to Θ . Let N_{Θ}^- be the unipotent radical opposite to N_{Θ} . We define a canonical non-degenerate pairing of $V_{N_{\Theta}}$ with $\tilde{V}_{N_{\Theta}^-}$ according to the formula

$$\langle u_{\Theta}, \tilde{u}_{\Theta} \rangle_{N_{\Theta}} = \langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where v, \tilde{v} are any two canonical lifts of $u_{\Theta}, \tilde{u}_{\Theta}$.

Theorem A (Casselman). *Let $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$ be given. For $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$, let $u_{\Theta}, \tilde{u}_{\Theta}$ be their images in $V_{N_{\Theta}}, \tilde{V}_{N_{\Theta}^-}$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$ and $a \in A_{\Theta}^-(\varepsilon)$ one has*

$$\langle \pi(a)v, \tilde{v} \rangle = \langle \pi_{N_{\Theta}}(a)u_{\Theta}, \tilde{u}_{\Theta} \rangle_{N_{\Theta}}. \quad (5)$$

For a subgroup H of G , we say that a function is an H -finite function (or simply finite) if the space spanned by its right H -translations is finite dimensional. By Jacquet and Langlands [JL06, Lemma 8.1] we have an explicit basis for all H -finite function when H is a locally compact abelian group.

Theorem B (Jacquet and Langlands). *Let Z be a locally compact abelian group of the form*

$$Z = K \times \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^n \quad (6)$$

where K is a compact group. For $1 \leq i \leq r+n$ let $\xi_i : (z_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r+n}) \rightarrow x_i$ be the projection map. Then, for any sequence of non-negative integers p_1, \dots, p_{r+n} and any quasi-character χ of Z , the function $\chi \prod_{i=1}^{r+n} \xi_i^{p_i}$ is continuous and finite. These functions form a basis of the space of continuous finite functions on Z .

Our goal is to show how Theorem A and Theorem B give an asymptotic expansion of matrix coefficients in terms of a finite linear combination of A_M -finite functions. In detail,

Theorem 1. *Let $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$. There exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite sets of vectors, that depend on $\{\pi, v, \tilde{v}\}$, $\underline{p}' = (p'_1, \dots, p'_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$, and $\underline{\chi} = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$ where for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\chi_i : k^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ are unitary characters, such that for all $a \in A^{-}$, one has*

$$\langle \pi(a)v, \tilde{v} \rangle = \sum_{\Theta \subseteq \Delta, \underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}} \chi_{A_{\Theta}^-(\varepsilon)}(a) \alpha_{\underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}} \prod_{i=1}^{r_{\Theta}} \chi_i(a_i) |a_i|^{p'_i} \log_q^{p_i} |a_i|, \quad (7)$$

where $\chi_{A_{\Theta}^-(\varepsilon)}(a)$ is the indicator function of $A_{\Theta}^-(\varepsilon)$, r_{Θ} is such that $A_{M_{\Theta}} \cong (k^{\times})^{r_{\Theta}}$ by the map $a \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_{r_{\Theta}})$, and $\alpha_{\underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}} \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $\alpha_{\underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}} = 0$ for all but finitely many $\underline{p}', \underline{p}, \underline{\chi}$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $P = MN$ be a standard parabolic subgroup. We deduce from Theorem B that in our case we have,

Corollary 2. *Let $f : A_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous finite function. Let r be such that $A_M \cong (k^\times)^r$ by the map $a \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_r)$. Then, for all $a \in A_M$, $f(a)$ is spanned by*

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \chi_i(a_i) |a_i|^{p'_i} \log_q^{p_i} |a_i|, \quad (8)$$

where $(p'_1, \dots, p'_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $(p_1, \dots, p_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$, and for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\chi_i : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ are unitary characters.

Proof. We have $k^\times \cong \mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathbb{Z}$ by the map $x \mapsto \left(\frac{x}{|x|}, \log_q |x|\right)$. A character of k^\times is of the form $\chi'(\cdot) |\cdot|^s$, where χ' is a unitary character and $0 \neq s \in \mathbb{C}$ (we can assume $s \in \mathbb{R}$ by attaching the imaginary part to χ'). Let $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in (k^\times)^r$ be the image of $a \in A_M$. Let χ be a quasi character of A_M . Then $\chi(a) = \prod_{i=1}^r \chi_i(a_i) |a_i|^{p'_i}$, where χ_i is unitary and $p'_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Applying Theorem B, gives that the space of continuous finite function on A_M is spanned by $\chi \prod_{i=1}^r \xi_i^{p_i}$, where χ is a quasi character of A_M and $\xi_i(a) = \log_q |a_i|$. Therefore, this space is spanned by $\prod_{i=1}^r \chi_i(a_i) |a_i|^{p'_i} \log_q^{p_i} |a_i|^{p_i}$. \square

As mentioned above, A^- is the disjoint union of the $A_\Theta^-(\varepsilon)$ as Θ ranges over all subsets of Δ . Thus, in order to deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem A and Corollary 2, it is left to show that for each $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$, the function $x \mapsto \langle \pi_N(x)u, \tilde{u} \rangle_N$ is an A_M -finite function, where $P = MN$ is the standard parabolic subgroup corresponding to Θ . Namely,

Proposition 3. *Let $u \in V_N$, $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{V}_{N^-}$. For all $a \in A_M$ and $m \in M$, there exist $\{b_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \subseteq A_M$ and $\{c_i(a)\}_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, such that*

$$\langle \pi_N(ma)u, \tilde{u} \rangle_N = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i(a) \langle \pi_N(mb_i)u, \tilde{u} \rangle_N. \quad (9)$$

Before proving Proposition 3 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let R be a group with center $Z(R) \cong K \times \mathbb{Z}^r$, where K is a compact group. Denote the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^r by $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$. Let (H, σ) be a (complex) smooth R -module of finite length.*

(i.) *For all finite dimensional spaces $W \subseteq H$ and all $1 \leq j \leq r$ there exists a finite dimensional $Z(R)$ -invariant space $W_j \subseteq W$, such that*

$$\sigma(e_j)W \subseteq W + W_j. \quad (10)$$

(ii.) *For all finite dimensional spaces $W \subseteq H$, there exists a finite dimensional $Z(R)$ -invariant space $W' \subseteq W$, such that*

$$\sigma(\mathbb{Z}^r)W \subseteq W + W'. \quad (11)$$

(iii.) *Let $v \in H$. The $Z(R)$ -module generated by v is finite dimensional.*

Proof. We begin by proving part (i.). It is sufficient to prove this part for a one dimensional space W as the general case follows directly. Hence, we assume that W is spanned as a R -module by $v \in H$. We prove this part by induction on the length of

H . First, assume the length is 1. i.e. H is irreducible. Then, by Schur's lemma, $Z(R)$ acts on H as a scalar. Thus, the $Z(R)$ -module generated by v is of dimension 1 and all the parts of the lemma follow. Now, assume that the assertion is true for modules of length $d - 1$. Let H be a R -module of length d . That is, there exists a sequence of R -modules

$$0 = H_0 \subsetneq H_1 \subsetneq H_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq H_d = H \quad (12)$$

such that H_{i+1}/H_i is irreducible for all $0 \leq i < d$.

By the fact that H_d/H_{d-1} is irreducible, and by Schur's lemma, for all $1 \leq j \leq r$ there exists $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\sigma(e_j)(v + H_{d-1}) = \alpha_j v + H_{d-1}. \quad (13)$$

In particular,

$$\sigma(e_j)v = \alpha_j v + h_j, \quad (14)$$

where $h_j \in H_{d-1}$.

Let $w = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \sigma(g_i)v \in W$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_i \in R$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then, by eq. (14)

$$\sigma(e_j)w = \sigma(e_j) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \sigma(g_i)v \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \sigma(g_i) \sigma(e_j)v = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \sigma(g_i) (\alpha_j v + h_j). \quad (15)$$

Denote by U_j the R -module spanned by h_j . In this notation, eq. (15) gives

$$\sigma(e_j)w \in \mathbb{C}w + U_j. \quad (16)$$

We have $U_j \subseteq H_{d-1}$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, there exists a finite dimensional $Z(R)$ -invariant space $W'_j \subseteq H_{d-1}$, such that

$$\sigma(e_j)U_j \subseteq U_j + W'_j. \quad (17)$$

We take $W_j = U_j + W'_j$. Thus, $U_j \subseteq W_j$, so eq. (16) gives $\sigma(e_j)w \in \mathbb{C}w + W_j$ and by eq. (17) gives

$$\sigma(e_j)W_j = \sigma(e_j)(U_j + W'_j) \subseteq W_j + W'_j = W_j. \quad (18)$$

Next, we prove part (ii.). Let $1 \leq j \leq r$. First,

$$\sigma(0e_j)W = W. \quad (19)$$

Let $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By eq. (10) we have

$$\sigma(ne_j)W \subseteq \sigma((n - \text{sgn}(n)1)e_j)(W + W_j) \quad (20)$$

$$= \sigma((n - \text{sgn}(n)1)e_j)W + W_j \quad (21)$$

$$= \dots = \sigma(e_j)(W + W_j) \subseteq \sigma(e_j)W + W_j, \quad (22)$$

where eq. (21) is due to the $Z(R)$ -invariant property of W_j , and eq. (22) is followed by repeating eqs. (20) and (21) n times. Thus, by taking $W' = \sum_{j=1}^r W_j$ the statement readily follows.

In order to deduce part (iii.) we first note that σ is smooth, so for all $v \in H$, the space $\text{sp}\{\sigma(x)v \mid x \in K\}$ is of finite dimension. Hence, for a finite dimensional space $W \subseteq H$, the space

$$\sigma(K)W = \text{sp}\{\sigma(x)w \mid x \in K, w \in W\} \quad (23)$$

is also of finite dimension. It follows that $\sigma(K)\mathbb{C}v$ is finite dimensional. Therefore, by part 2 there exists $W' \subseteq H$ a finite dimensional $Z(R)$ -invariant space such that

$$\text{sp}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\sigma(z)v \mid z \in Z(R)\} = \sigma(Z^r)(\sigma(K)\mathbb{C}v) \subseteq \sigma(K)\mathbb{C}v + W'. \quad (24)$$

□

We are now ready to prove Proposition 3.

Proof of Proposition 3. The Jacquet module is a smooth G -module of finite length [Cas93, Theorems 3.3.1 and 6.3.10]. Applying part (iii.) of Lemma 4 for $R = M$ (with $Z(R) = A_M$), $H = V_N$, $\sigma = \pi_N$, and $v = u \in V_N$, gives that $V := \{\pi_N(a)u \mid a \in A_M\}$ is of finite dimension. Let $\{\pi_N(b_1)u, \dots, \pi_N(b_\ell)u\}$ be a basis of V . Then,

$$\pi_N(a)u = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i(a)\pi_N(b_i)u. \quad (25)$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \pi_N(ma)u, \tilde{u} \rangle_N = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i(a) \langle \pi_N(mb_i)u, \tilde{u} \rangle_N. \quad (26)$$

□

Acknowledgment

We would like to express our appreciation to Elad Zelingher for useful discussions and his valuable comments on earlier versions of this manuscript. We also thank David Soudry for his important suggestions.

References

- [Cas93] William Casselman. Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups, unpublished notes distributed by p. *Sally, draft May*, 7:361, 1993.
- [JL06] Hervé Jacquet and Robert P Langlands. *Automorphic Forms on $GL(2)$: Part 1*, volume 114. Springer, 2006.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, RAMAT AVIV, TEL AVIV 6997801, ISRAEL

E-mail address: zahihaza@tauex.tau.ac.il