

## ON THE GEOMETRY OF AN ORDER UNIT SPACE

ANIL KUMAR KARN

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of *canopy* of a linearly compact convex set containing 0 in a real vector space. We prove that a canopy determines precisely the positive part of the closed unit ball of an order unit space. We also study its matricial version to characterize matrix order unit spaces. Next, we consider the notion of *periphery* of a canopy which consists of maximal elements of a canopy in a certain sense. We discuss some elementary properties of the periphery and prove that any order unit space  $(V, e)$  of dimension more than 1 contains a copy of  $\ell_\infty^2$  as an order unit subspace. Further we find a condition under which  $V$  would contain a copy of  $\ell_\infty^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as an order unit subspace. We also study its application in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Order unit spaces dominate the interface of commutative and non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras. In early 1940's, Stone, Kakutani, Krein and Yosida proved independently that if an order unit space  $(V, e)$  is a vector lattice in its order structure, then it is unitaly lattice isomorphic to a dense lattice subspace of  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$  for some suitable compact Hausdorff space  $X$  [1, Theorem II.1.10]. (see the notes after Section1, Chapter II of [1] for the details.) In 1951, Sherman proved that the self-adjoint part of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a vector lattice in its order structure if and only if  $A$  is commutative [14]. The same year, Kadison prove that the infimum of a pair of self-adjoint operators on a complex Hilbert space exists if and only if they are comparable [9]. The same year in another paper, he proved that any unital self-adjoint subspace of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra is an order unit space [10]. (Much later in 1977, Choi and Effros proved that a unital self-adjoint subspace of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra is precisely a matrix order unit space [4].)

Soon after Kadison underscored the importance of order unit spaces as a possible role model for a non-commutative ordered spaces, there

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 46B40; Secondary: 46B20, 46L05.

*Key words and phrases*. Order unit space, matrix order unit space, canopy, periphery,  $\infty$ -orthogonality.

was a flux of research in the study of order unit spaces and their duals. Some early prominent references are Bonsall, Edwards, Ellis, Asimov and Ng, besides many others. (See [2, 3, 5, 6, 13]. We refer to [1, 8] for more references and details.)

The dual of an order unit space is a base normed space which is defined through the geometric notion *base* in an ordered vector space. On the other hand, the notion of an order unit is order theoretic. In this paper we propose to study a geometric characterization of order unit spaces. We introduce the notion of *canopy* of a linearly compact convex set containing 0 in a real vector space. We prove that a canopy precisely determines the positive part of the closed unit ball of an order unit space. We also study its matricial version to characterize matrix order unit spaces.

Next, we consider the notion of *periphery* of a canopy which consists of maximal elements of a canopy in a certain sense. The periphery contains projections whenever they exist. We discuss some elementary properties of the periphery. Using these properties, we prove that any order unit space  $(V, e)$  of dimension more than 1 contains a copy of  $\ell_\infty^2$  as an order unit subspace. We also prove that  $V$  is a union of these copies in such a way any two such subspaces meet at the *axis*  $\mathbb{R}e$ . Further we find a condition under which  $V$  would contain a copy of  $\ell_\infty^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as an order unit subspace. We also study its application in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and to an absolute order unit space.

The scheme of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of *canopy* of a linearly compact convex set in a real vector space containing 0 and prove that the positive part of the closed unit ball can be characterized precisely as a linearly compact convex set containing 0 having a canopy. We next consider the notion of *matricial canopy* in order to find a similar characterization for matrix order unit spaces.

In Section 3, consider the notion of *periphery* of a canopy and study some of its properties. We discuss the canopy and periphery of  $\ell_\infty^n$ .

In Section 4, we apply these notions to study some properties in order unit spaces and unital  $C^*$ -algebras. We prove that any order unit space  $(V, e)$  of dimension more than 1 contains a copy of  $\ell_\infty^2$  as an order unit subspace. We further characterize order unit spaces which contain a copy of  $\ell_\infty^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as an order unit subspace.

## 2. THE POSITIVE PART OF THE CLOSED UNIT BALL

In this section, we provide a geometric description of the positive part of the closed unit ball of an order unit space. We also discuss

its matricial version. We first discuss some properties of the positive elements with norm one in an order unit space.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space of dimension  $\geq 2$ . Put  $C_V = \{u \in V^+ : \|u\| = 1\}$ .*

- (1) *Fix  $u \in C_V$  with  $u \neq e$  and consider the one dimensional affine subspace*

$$L(u) = \{u_\lambda := e - \lambda(e - u) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

*of  $V$ . Then*

- (a)  *$\{u_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is decreasing;*  
 (b)  *$u_\lambda \in V^+$  if and only if  $\lambda\|e - u\| \leq 1$ ;*  
 (c)  *$\|u_\lambda\| = \max\{1, |\lambda\|e - u\| - 1\}$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ;*  
 (d) *there exists a unique  $\bar{u} \in L(u)$  such that  $\bar{u}, e - \bar{u} \in C_V$ .*  
 (2) *For  $u, v \in C_V$ , we have either  $L(u) \cap L(v) = \{e\}$  or  $L(u) = L(v)$ .*

*Proof.* (1)(a) follows from the construction of  $u_\lambda$ .

(1)(b): Since  $e - u \in V^+ \setminus \{0\}$ , there exist  $f_u \in S(V)$  such that  $\|e - u\| = f_u(e - u) = 1 - f_u(u)$ . Also then,  $f_u(u) \leq f(u)$  for all  $f \in S(V)$  with  $f_u(u) < 1$ . Set  $\bar{\lambda} := \|e - u\|^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{1 - f_u(u)}\right)$  and  $\bar{u} := u_{\bar{\lambda}} = e - \bar{\lambda}(e - u)$ . For  $f \in S(V)$ , we have

$$f(\bar{u}) = f(e) - \left(\frac{f(e) - f(u)}{1 - f_u(u)}\right) = \frac{f(u) - f_u(u)}{1 - f_u(u)} \geq 0$$

so that  $\bar{u} \in V^+$ . Now by (1),  $u_\lambda \in V^+$  if  $\lambda \leq \bar{\lambda}$ . Also, if  $u_\lambda \in V^+$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$0 \leq f_u(u_\lambda) = f_u(e) - \lambda(f_u(e) - f_u(u)) = 1 - \lambda(1 - f_u(u)).$$

Thus  $\lambda\|e - u\| \leq 1$ .

(1)(c): Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Case 1.  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Then for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $u_\lambda \leq ke$ , that is,  $\lambda u \leq (k - 1 + \lambda)e$  if and only if  $k \geq 1$ . Next, for  $l \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $le + u_\lambda \in V^+$ , that is,  $(l + 1 - \lambda)e + \lambda u \in V^+$  if and only if  $l + 1 - \lambda + \lambda f_u(u) \geq 0$  as  $f_u(u) \leq f(u)$  for all  $f \in S(V)$ . In other words,  $le + u_\lambda \in V^+$  if and only if  $l \geq \lambda\|e - u\| - 1$ . Thus for  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we have

$$\|u_\lambda\| = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \alpha e \pm u_\lambda \in V^+\} = \max\{1, \lambda\|e - u\| - 1\}.$$

Case 2.  $\lambda < 0$ . Then  $le + u_\lambda \in V^+$  for all  $l \geq 0$ . Next, for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $u_\lambda \leq ke$ , that is,  $(k - 1 + \lambda)e - \lambda u \geq 0$  if and only if  $k - 1 + \lambda - \lambda f_u(u) \geq 0$  for  $f_u(u) \leq f(u)$  for all  $f \in S(V)$  and  $-\lambda > 0$ .

Thus  $u_\lambda \leq ke$  if and only if  $k \geq -\lambda\|e - u\| + 1$ . Therefore, for  $\lambda < 0$ , we have

$$\|u_\lambda\| = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \alpha e \pm u_\lambda \in V^+\} = 1 - \lambda\|e - u\|.$$

Summing up, for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\|u_\lambda\| = \max\{1, |\lambda\|e - u\| - 1\}.$$

(1)(d): Put  $\bar{u} = e - \|e - u\|^{-1}(e - u)$ . Then by (c),  $\|\bar{u}\| = 1$ . Also by construction,  $\|e - \bar{u}\| = 1$ . Next, assume that  $u_\lambda \in L(u)$  is such that  $u_\lambda, e - u_\lambda \in C_V$ . Then, as  $\|e - u_\lambda\| = 1$ , we get  $|\lambda\|e - u\| = 1$ . If  $\lambda = -\|e - u\|^{-1}$ , then  $\|u_\lambda\| = 2$  so we must have  $\lambda = \|e - u\|^{-1}$ . Thus  $u_\lambda = \bar{u}$ .

(2): Let  $w \in L(u) \cap L(v)$  with  $w \neq e$ . Then there are  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $w = e - \lambda(e - u) = e - \mu(e - v)$ . Thus  $\lambda(e - u) = \mu(e - v)$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$e - \alpha(e - u) = e - \alpha\lambda\mu^{-1}(e - v)$$

so that  $L(u) \subset L(v)$ . Now by symmetry, we have  $L(u) = L(v)$ .  $\square$

The following statements can be verified easily.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, we have*

- (1)  $\|u_\lambda\| = 1$  if and only if  $0 \leq \lambda\|e - v\| \leq 2$ ;
- (2)  $\{\|u_\lambda\| : \lambda \in (-\infty, 0]\}$  is strictly decreasing;
- (3)  $\{\|u_\lambda\| : \lambda \in \left[\frac{2}{\|e - u\|}, \infty\right)\}$  is strictly increasing
- (4)  $C_V \cap (e - C_V) = \{\bar{u} : u \in C_V\}$ ;
- (5)  $C(u) := L(u) \cap C_V = [e, \bar{u}]$ ;
- (6)  $L(u) \cap (e - C_V) = \{\bar{u}\}$ .

In this section, we show the set  $C_V$  leads to a geometric characterization of the order unit space  $(V, e)$ . Towards this goal, let us recall the following notion from [7, Definition 3.1]. Let  $C$  be a convex subset of a real vector space  $X$  with  $0 \in C$ . An element  $x \in C$  is called a *lead point* of  $C$ , if for any  $y \in C$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  with  $x = \lambda y$ , we have  $\lambda = 1$  and  $y = x$ . The set of all lead points of  $C$  is denoted by  $Lead(C)$ .

A non-empty set  $C$  of a real vector space  $V$  is said to be *linearly compact*, if for any  $x, y \in C$  with  $x \neq y$ , we have, the intersection of  $C$  with the line through  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y \in C\}$ , is compact (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Note that if  $C$  is convex, the above intersection is an interval. Following [7, Proposition 3.2], we may conclude that if  $C$  is a linearly compact convex set with  $0 \in C$ , then  $Lead(C)$  is non-empty and for each  $x \in C, x \neq 0$ , there exist a unique  $c \in Lead(C)$  and a unique  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  such that  $x = \alpha c$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a real vector space and let  $K$  be a linearly compact set in  $X$ . Assume that  $0 \in K$  and  $K \neq \{0\}$ . Put  $\text{Lead}(K) := C$  (so that  $C$  is a non-empty subset of  $X \setminus \{0\}$ ). We say that  $C$  is a canopy of  $K$ , if there exists  $e \in C$  such that

- (1)  $C$  is  $*$ -shaped at  $e$ , that is,  $[e, v] \subset C$  for all  $v \in C$ ;
- (2) For each  $v \in C$  with  $v \neq e$ , there exists  $\bar{v} \in C \cap (e - C)$  such that

$$C(v) := \{e - \lambda(e - v) : \lambda \geq 0\} \cap C = [e, \bar{v}];$$

- (3)  $e$  is an extreme point of  $K$ ;
- (4) If  $\alpha v + \beta w = e$  for some  $v, w \in C$  and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ , then  $\alpha, \beta \leq 1$ .

In this case,  $e$  is called the summit of the canopy  $C$ . We call  $R := C \cap (e - C)$  the periphery of  $C$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** (1) Let  $(V, V^+, e)$  be a (non-zero) order unit space.

Put  $K_V := [0, e] = \{u \in V^+ : \|u\| \leq 1\}$  and  $C_V := \{u \in V^+ : \|u\| = 1\}$ . Then  $C_V$  is a canopy of  $K_V$  with summit  $e$ .

- (2) Conversely, let  $C$  be a canopy of a linearly compact set  $K$  with summit  $e$  in a real vector space  $X$ . Let  $V$  be the linear span of  $C$  and let  $V^+$  be the cone generated by  $C$ . Then  $e$  is an order unit for  $(V, V^+)$  such that  $(V, V^+, e)$  is an order unit space. Also then  $C = \{u \in V^+ : \|u\| = 1\} := C_V$ .

*Proof.* (1) (a) We show that  $K_V$  is linearly compact. Let  $u, v \in K$  with  $u \neq v$ . We need to show that the set  $A := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v \in K_V\}$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . First, we prove that  $A$  is bounded.

Assume, if possible, that  $A$  not bounded below. Then  $\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v \in K_V$  for all  $\lambda < 0$ . Then  $\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v \geq 0$  for all  $\lambda < 0$ , or equivalently,  $u \leq (\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda})v$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Now, by the Archimedean property in  $V$ , we get  $u \leq v$ . Next  $\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v \in K_V$  for all  $\lambda < 0$  also implies that  $0 \leq \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v \leq e$ , or equivalently,  $0 \leq \lambda(e - u) + (1 - \lambda)(e - v) \leq e$  for all  $\lambda < 0$ . Thus as above, we get  $e - u \leq e - v$ , that is,  $v \leq u$ . This leads to the contradiction  $u = v$ . Thus  $A$  must be bounded below.

Next, we assume, if possible that  $A$  is not bounded above. Then  $0 \leq \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v \leq e$ , that is,  $0 \leq u - v \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}(e - v)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Applying the Archimedean property once again, we now deduce that  $0 \leq u - v \leq 0$  so that  $u = v$ . Thus  $A$  is bounded above as well.

As  $K_V$  is convex,  $A$  is a bounded interval. Put  $a = \inf A$  and  $b = \sup A$ . We show that  $A = [a, b]$ , that is,  $a, b \in A$ . In fact, we can find a sequence  $\langle a_n \rangle$  in  $A$  such that  $a \leq a_n \leq a + \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $a_n u + (1 - a_n)v \in K_V$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $\langle a_n \rangle$  converges to  $a$  and as  $K_V$  is norm closed, we may conclude that  $a \in A$ . In the same manner, we can also prove that  $b \in A$ .

(b)  $C_V$  is  $*$ -shaped at  $e$ : Let  $v \in C_V$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $0 \leq v \leq e$  so that  $0 \leq v \leq (1 - \alpha)e + \alpha v \leq e$ . Thus  $1 = \|v\| \leq \|(1 - \alpha)e + \alpha v\| \leq \|e\| = 1$ . That is,  $(1 - \alpha)e + \alpha v \in C_V$  whenever  $v \in C_V$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

(c) By Lemma 2.1(1)(d) and Corollary 2.2(6), we note that for each  $v \in C_V$ , there exists a unique  $t(v) \in R_V$  such that  $C(v) = [e, t(v)]$ .

(d) Let  $u, v \in K_V$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that  $e = \alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v$ . Since  $u, v \leq e$  get  $u = e = v$ .

(e) Let  $u, v \in C_V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  be such that  $\alpha u + \beta v = e$ . Find a state  $f \in S(V)$  such that  $f(u) = \|u\| = 1$ . Then  $f(e) = 1$  so that

$$1 = f(e) = \alpha f(u) + \beta f(v) \geq \alpha$$

as  $v \in V^+$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Similarly, we get  $\beta \leq 1$ . Hence  $C_V$  is a canopy in  $V$ .

(2) (a) We note that  $K = \{\alpha v : v \in C \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$ . We prove that

$$K = \{v \in V^+ : 0 \leq v \leq e\}. \quad (*)$$

Let  $v \in K$ . Then  $v \in V^+$  so that  $0 \leq v$ . Let  $v = \alpha u$  for some  $u \in C$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . If  $u = e$ , then  $v = \alpha e \leq e$ . So let  $u \neq e$ . Then there exists  $\bar{u} \in C \cap (e - C)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that  $u = (1 - \lambda)e + \lambda \bar{u}$ . Thus

$$e - v = e - \alpha(1 - \lambda)e - \alpha\lambda\bar{u} = (1 - \alpha)e + \alpha\lambda(e - \bar{u}) \in V^+$$

for  $e, e - \bar{u} \in C \subset V^+$  and  $1 - \alpha, \alpha\lambda \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $K \subset \{v \in V^+ : 0 \leq v \leq e\}$ .

Conversely, assume that  $0 \leq u \leq e$ . Then  $u, e - u \in V^+$ . Thus there exist  $v, w \in C$  and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that  $u = \alpha v$  and  $e - u = \beta w$ . Then  $e = \alpha v + \beta w$  so by the definition of a canopy, we must have  $\alpha, \beta \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $u = \alpha v \in K$ . Hence  $(*)$  is proved.

(b) Since  $C$  spans  $V$ , we have  $V = V^+ - V^+$ . Thus, it follows from  $(*)$  that  $e$  is an order unit for  $V$ . We prove that  $V^+$  is proper and Archimedean. Let  $\pm u \in V^+$ . Then there exist  $v, w \in C$  and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that  $u = \alpha v$  and  $-u = \beta w$ . Thus  $\alpha v + \beta w = 0$ . We show that  $\alpha = 0 = \beta$ . Assume, if possible, that  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $\beta > 0$  too, for  $v \neq 0$ . Put  $k = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$ . Then  $0 < k < 1$  and we have  $ku + (1 - k)v = 0$  so that  $e = k(e - u) + (1 - k)(e - v)$ . As  $u, v \in C$ , we have  $e - u, e - v \in K$ . So, as  $e$  is an extreme point of  $K$ , we deduce that  $e - u = e = e - v$ , that is,  $u = 0 = v$  which is absurd. Thus  $\alpha = 0$ . Therefore,  $V^+$  is proper.

(c) Next, let  $v \in V$  be such that  $ke + v \in V^+$  for all  $k > 0$ . We show that  $(v, e) \subset V^+$ . Let  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Put  $k = \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}$ . Then  $(1 - \lambda)e + \lambda v = \lambda(ke + v) \in V^+$  so that  $(v, e) \subset V^+$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be the seminorm on  $V$  corresponding to the order unit  $e$ . Then  $(v, e) \subset (1 + \|v\|)K$ . Since  $K$  is linearly closed, so is  $(1 + \|v\|)K$ . Thus  $v \in (1 + \|v\|)K \subset V^+$ . Therefore  $V^+$  is Archimedean.

Now it follows that  $(V, V^+, e)$  is an order unit space. We also note that  $C = C_V$ . In fact, we have  $K = \{v \in V^+ : \|v\| \leq 1\}$ . Thus  $C_V = \text{Lead}(K) = C$ .  $\square$

**2.1. A matricial version.** Now we describe a matricial version of the canopy associated with matrix order unit spaces.

**Definition 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $*$ -vector space. Assume that  $\{K_n\}$  is a matrix convex set in  $X$  with  $K_n \subset M_n(X)_{sa}$  such that  $0 \in K_1, K_1 \neq \{0\}$  and  $K_n$  is linearly compact for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $C_n := \text{Lead}(K_n)$  and assume that  $C_n$  is a canopy of  $K_n$  with its summit  $e_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{C_n\}$  is called a matricial canopy of the matrix convex set  $\{K_n\}$  with matricial summit  $\{e_n\}$ .*

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a  $*$ -vector space. Assume that  $\{K_n\}$  is a matrix convex set in  $X$  with  $K_n \subset M_n(X)_{sa}$  such that  $0 \in K_1, K_1 \neq \{0\}$  and  $K_n$  is linearly compact for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $C_n := \text{Lead}(K_n)$  and assume that  $\{C_n\}$  is a matricial canopy of the matrix convex set  $\{K_n\}$  with matricial summit  $\{e_n\}$ .*

- (1) *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $V_n^+ := \text{cone}(C_n)$  and let  $V_n$  be  $*$ -subspace of  $M_n(X)$  generated by  $V_n^+$ . Then  $V_n = M_n(V)$  for every  $n$  where  $V := V_1$  and  $(V, \{V_n^+\})$  is a matrix ordered space.*
- (2)  *$V_n^+$  is proper and Archimedean for all  $n$ .*
- (3) *Put  $e := e_1$ . Then  $e$  is an order unit for  $V$  and  $e_n = e^n := e \oplus \cdots \oplus e$  for every  $n$ .*
- (4)  *$(V, \{V_n^+\}, e)$  is an order unit space such that  $K_n = [0, e^n]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Recall that  $K_n = \{kv : v \in C_v \text{ and } k \in [0, 1]\}$  so that  $V_n^+ = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} rK_n$  for each  $n$ . Since  $0 \in K_1$ , we have  $0 \in K_n$  for each  $n$ . Thus for  $v \in K_n$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{M}_{n,p}$  with  $\gamma^* \gamma \leq \mathbb{I}_p$ , we have  $\gamma^* v \gamma \in K_p$ . Now, it follows that for any  $v \in V_n^+$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{M}_{n,p}$ , we have  $\gamma^* v \gamma \in V_p^+$ . Therefore,  $\{V_n^+\}$  is a matrix order in  $X$ .

First, we show that for each  $n$ ,  $K_n \subset M_n(V)_{sa}$ . Let  $v \in K_n$ , say  $v = [v_{ij}]$  where  $v_{ij} \in X$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $E_r \in \mathbb{M}_{1,n}$  with 1 at  $r$ -th place and 0 elsewhere. Then  $E_r E_r^* = 1$  so that  $v_{rr} = E_r v E_r^* \in K_1 \subset V_{sa}$ . Next, for  $r, s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $r \neq s$ , we consider  $E_{rs} \in \mathbb{M}_{1,n}$  with 1 at  $r$ -th place,  $c$  at  $s$ -th place and 0 elsewhere. Here  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|c| = 1$ . Then  $E_{rs} E_{rs}^* = 2$  so that  $v_{rr} + v_{ss} + \bar{c}v_{rs} + cv_{sr} \in 2K_1 \subset V_{sa}$ . Thus for  $r \neq s$  we have  $v_{rs} + v_{sr}, i(v_{rs} - v_{sr}) \in V_{sa}$ . Therefore,  $v_{rs} \in V$  for all  $r, s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . As  $v = v^*$ , we have  $v_{sr} = v_{rs}^*$  so that  $v \in M_n(V)_{sa}$ . Hence  $V_n^+ \subset M_n(V)_{sa}$ . In particular,  $V_n \subset M_n(V)$ .

Conversely, let  $u = [u_{rs}] \in M_n(V)$ . Then

$$u = \sum_{r,s=1}^n E_r^* u_{rs} E_s = \sum_{s=1}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^n E_r^* u_{rs} E_r \right) E_{rs}$$

where  $E_{rs} \in \mathbb{M}_n$  with  $(r, s)$ -th entry 1 and 0 elsewhere. Let  $u_{rs} = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k u_{rs}^{(k)}$  where  $u_{rs}^{(k)} \in V_1^+$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Then

$$u = \sum_{k=0}^3 \sum_{s=1}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^n E_r^* u_{rs}^{(k)} E_r \right) E_{rs}. \quad (\#)$$

We prove that for  $w \in V_n^+$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{M}_n$ , we have  $w\alpha \in V_n$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|c| = 1$  and put  $\beta = c\alpha$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} w & w\beta \\ \beta^*w & \beta^*w\beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I}_n \\ \beta^* \end{bmatrix} w \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I}_n & \beta \end{bmatrix} \in V_{2n}^+.$$

In other words,  $\begin{bmatrix} w & cw\alpha \\ \bar{c}\alpha^*w & \alpha^*w\alpha \end{bmatrix} \in V_{2n}^+$  if  $|c| = 1$ . Thus

$$2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w\alpha \\ \alpha^*w & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w & w\alpha \\ \alpha^*w & \alpha^*w\alpha \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} w & -w\alpha \\ -\alpha^*w & \alpha^*w\alpha \end{bmatrix} \in (V_{2n})_{sa}$$

and

$$2i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w\alpha \\ -\alpha^*w & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w & w\alpha \\ i\alpha^*w & -i\alpha^*w\alpha \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} w & -iw\alpha \\ i\alpha^*w & \alpha^*w\alpha \end{bmatrix} \in (V_{2n})_{sa}.$$

Therefore,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & w\alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in V_{2n}$ . Now, letting  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & w\alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k w_k$  for some  $w_k \in V_{2n}^+$ , we get

$$w\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I}_n & \mathbb{I}_n \end{bmatrix} w_k \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I}_n \\ \mathbb{I}_n \end{bmatrix} \in V_n.$$

Now, by  $(\#)$ , we may conclude that  $u \in V_n$  for any  $u \in M_n(V)$ . Hence  $V_n = M_n(V)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $(V, \{V_n^+\})$  is a matrix ordered space.

(2) It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.4 that  $V_n^+$  is proper and Archimedean for every  $n$ .

(3) Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $((V_n)_{sa}, V_n^+, e_n)$  is an order unit space such that  $K_n = [0, e_n]$ . As  $\{K_r\}$  is a matrix convex set, we have  $e^n \in K_n$  so that  $e^n \leq e_n$ . Let  $e_n = \begin{bmatrix} e_{rs}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$e = E_r e^n E_r^* \leq E_r e_n E_r^* = e_{rr}^{(n)}$$



for all  $r = 1, \dots, n$ . Also, as  $E_r E_r^* = 1$ , we have  $e_{rr}^{(n)} \in K_1$  so that  $e_{rr}^{(n)} \leq e$  for all  $r = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus  $e_{rr}^{(n)} = e$  for all  $r = 1, \dots, n$ . Now  $e_n - e^n \in V_n^+$  with 0 in its diagonal. Since  $V_n^+$  is proper, we must have  $e_{rs}^{(n)} = 0$  if  $r \neq s$ . To see this, fix  $r \neq s$  and consider  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$ce_{sr}^{(n)} + \bar{c}e_{rs}^{(n)} = (E_r + cE_s)(e_n - e^n)(E_r + cE_s)^* \in V_1^+.$$

For  $c = \pm 1$ , we get  $\pm (e_{sr}^{(n)} + e_{rs}^{(n)}) \in V_1^+$  and for  $c = \pm i$ , we get  $\pm i (e_{sr}^{(n)} - e_{rs}^{(n)}) \in V_1^+$ . Since  $V_1^+$  is proper, we have  $e_{sr}^{(n)} + e_{rs}^{(n)} = 0$  and  $i (e_{sr}^{(n)} - e_{rs}^{(n)}) = 0$  so that  $e_{sr}^{(n)} = 0 = e_{rs}^{(n)}$ . Hence  $e_n = e^n$ .

(4) Since  $V_n^+$  is proper and Archimedean for all  $n$ , we get that  $(V, \{V_n^+\}, e)$  is a matrix order unit space. Finally,  $K_n = [0, e_n] = [0, e^n]$  for all  $n$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE PERIPHERY

Recall that if  $(V, e)$  is an order unit space, then for  $K_V := [0, e] = \{v \in V^+ : \|v\| \leq 1\}$ , we have  $C_V := \{v \in V^+ : \|v\| = 1\}$  as the canopy of  $K_V$  with its summit at the order unit  $e$ . The periphery of  $C_V$  is denoted by  $R_V$ . Thus

$$R_V = C_V \cap (e - C_V) = \{v \in V^+ : \|v\| = 1 = \|e - v\|\}.$$

In this section, we discuss some of the properties and examples of the periphery corresponding to an order unit space.

Let  $X$  be a normed linear space and let  $x, y \in X$ . We say that  $x$  is  $\infty$ -orthogonal to  $y$ , (we write,  $x \perp_\infty y$ ), if  $\|x + ky\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|ky\|\}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . It was proved in [11] that if  $(V, e)$  is an order unit space and if  $u, v \in V^+ \setminus \{0\}$ , the  $u \perp_\infty v$  if and only if  $\|\|u\|^{-1}u + \|v\|^{-1}v\| = 1$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space and let  $u \in C_V$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $u \in R_V$ ;
- (2) there exists  $v \in C_V$  such that  $u + v \in C_V$ ;
- (3)  $u$  has an  $\infty$ -orthogonal pair in  $C_V$ ;
- (4) there exists a state  $f$  of  $V$  such that  $f(u) = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $u \in R_V$ , then  $u, e - u \in V^+$  with  $\|u\| = 1 = \|e - u\|$ . Also then  $\|u + e - u\| = \|e\| = 1$  so that  $u \perp_\infty (e - u)$ . Conversely, let  $u \perp_\infty v$  for some  $v \in C_V$ . Then  $\|u + v\| = 1$  so that  $u + v \leq e$ . Thus  $v \leq e - u \leq e$  and we have  $1 = \|v\| \leq \|e - u\| \leq \|e\| = 1$ . Therefore,  $u \in R_V$ . Hence (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent. Again, if  $u \in R_V$ , then  $e - u \in C_V$ . Thus there exists a state  $f$  of  $V$  such that  $1 = f(e - u) = 1 - f(u)$ , or equivalently,  $f(u) = 0$ . Conversely, if  $f(u) = 0$  for some state  $f$  on  $V$ ,

then  $f(e - u) = 1$  so that  $\|e - u\| \geq 1$ . Also, as  $0 \leq u \leq e$ , we have  $0 \leq e - u \leq e$  so that  $\|e - u\| \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $e - u \in C_V$  whence  $u \in R_V$ .  $\square$

The next result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space and let  $u \in V^+$ . Then  $u \in R_V$  if and only if there exist  $f, g \in S(V)$  such that  $f(u) = 1$  and  $g(u) = 0$ .*

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space and let  $u, v \in R_V$  with  $u \neq v$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(u, v) \cap R_V \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (2) there are states  $f$  and  $g$  of  $V$  such that  $f(u) = 1 = f(v)$  and  $g(u) = 0 = g(v)$ ;
- (3)  $[u, v] \subset R_V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in (u, v) \cap R_V$ . Then  $w = (1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v \in R_V$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Find  $f, g \in S(V)$  such that  $f((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v) = 1$  and  $g((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v) = 0$ . Thus

$$1 = f((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v) = (1 - \alpha)f(u) + \alpha f(v) \leq (1 - \alpha) + \alpha = 1$$

so that  $f(u) = 1 = f(v)$ . Again

$$0 = g((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v) = (1 - \alpha)g(u) + \alpha g(v)$$

so that  $(1 - \alpha)g(u) = 0 = \alpha g(v)$ . Since  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we get  $g(u) = 0 = g(v)$ .

Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and consider  $x = (1 - \lambda)u + \lambda v$ . Then  $x \in V^+$  with  $\|x\| \leq 1$ . Also as

$$f(x) = (1 - \lambda)f(u) + \lambda f(v) = 1 - \lambda + \lambda = 1,$$

we note that  $x \in C_V$ . Further,

$$g(x) = (1 - \lambda)g(u) + \lambda g(v) = 0$$

so that  $x \in R_V$ .  $\square$

The following result immediately follows from Proposition 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space and assume that  $u_1, u_2 \in R_V$ . Then*

- (1)  $[u_1, e - u_2] \cup [u_2, e - u_1] \subset R_V$ ;
- (2)  $((u_1, u_2) \cup (e - u_1, e - u_2)) \cap R_V = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space and let  $u, v \in R_V$ . Then  $u \neq v$  if and only if  $[e, u] \cap [e, v] = \{e\}$ .*

*Proof.* First, let  $w \in [e, u] \cap [e, v]$  with  $w \neq e$ . As  $w \in [e, u] \cap [e, v]$ , we can find  $0 < \lambda, \mu \leq 1$  such that  $w = e - \lambda(e - u) = e - \mu(e - v)$ . Then  $\lambda(e - u) = \mu(e - v)$ . Since  $u, v \in R_V$ , we have  $e - u, e - v \in C_V$ . Thus  $\|e - u\| = 1 = \|e - v\|$  so that  $\lambda = \mu$ . As  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ , we get  $u = v$ . Thus  $u \neq v$  implies  $[e, u] \cap [e, v] = \{e\}$ . Evidently,  $u = v$  implies  $[e, u] \cap [e, v] = [e, u] \neq \{e\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Recall that for  $u \in R_V$ , we have  $[e, u] = C(u)$  by Corollary 2.2. Thus  $C_V = \bigcup_{u \in R_V} C(u)$  as a disjoint union of untangled strings  $C(u)$ 's of  $C_V$  attached to  $e$ . Consequently, we also have  $e - C_V = \bigcup_{u \in R_V} [0, u]$ .

**3.1. Direct sum of order unit spaces.** Next we turn to describe  $C_{\ell_\infty^n}$  and  $R_{\ell_\infty^n}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $(V_1, e_1)$  and  $(V_2, e_2)$  be any two order unit spaces. Consider  $V = V_1 \times V_2$ ,  $V^+ = V_1^+ \times V_2^+$  and  $e = (e_1, e_2)$ . Then  $(V, e)$  is also an order unit space and we have

- (1)  $C_V = (C_{V_1} \times [0, e_2]_o) \cup ([0, e_2]_o \times C_{V_2})$  and
- (2)  $R_V = (R_{V_1} \times [0, e_2]_o) \cup ([0, e_2]_o \times R_{V_2}) \cup (C_{V_1} \times (e_2 - C_{V_2})) \cup ((e_1 - C_{V_1}) \times C_{V_2})$ .

*Proof.* For  $(v_1, v_2) \in V$ , we have  $\|(v_1, v_2)\| = \max\{\|v_1\|, \|v_2\|\}$ . Thus  $(u_1, u_2) \in C_V$  if and only if  $u_1 \in V_1^+$ ,  $u_2 \in V_2^+$  and  $\max\{\|u_1\|, \|u_2\|\} = 1$ . Therefore,  $C_V = (C_{V_1} \times [0, e_2]_o) \cup ([0, e_2]_o \times C_{V_2})$ . Now, as  $(u_1, u_2) \in R_V$  if and only if  $(u_1, u_2), (e_1 - u_1, e_2 - u_2) \in C_V$ , we may deduce that  $R_V = (R_{V_1} \times [0, e_2]_o) \cup ([0, e_2]_o \times R_{V_2}) \cup (C_{V_1} \times (e_2 - C_{V_2})) \cup ((e_1 - C_{V_1}) \times C_{V_2})$ .  $\square$

Replace  $V_2$  by  $\mathbb{R}$ . As  $C_{\mathbb{R}} = \{1\}$  and  $R_{\mathbb{R}} = \emptyset$ , we may conclude the following:

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space. Consider  $\hat{V} = V \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\hat{V}^+ = V^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\hat{e} = (e, 1)$ . Then  $(\hat{V}, \hat{e})$  is an order unit space and we have

- (1)  $C_{\hat{V}} = (C_V \times [0, 1]) \cup ([0, e_2]_o \times \{1\})$  and
- (2)  $R_{\hat{V}} = (R_V \times [0, 1]) \cup (C_V \times \{0\}) \cup ((e - C_V) \times \{1\})$ .

Again using  $C_1 = \{1\}$ ,  $R_1 = \emptyset$  and following the induction on  $n$ , we can easily obtain the canopy and its periphery of  $\ell_\infty^n$  with the help of Corollary 3.8.

**Corollary 3.9.** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Put  $C_n := C_{\ell_\infty^n}$  and  $R_n := R_{\ell_\infty^n}$ . Then

- (1)  $C_n = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \min\{\alpha_i\} \geq 0 \text{ and } \max\{\alpha_i\} = 1\}$  and
- (2)  $R_n = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \min\{\alpha_i\} = 0 \text{ and } \max\{\alpha_i\} = 1\}$ .

## 4. SOME APPLICATIONS

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space of dimension  $\geq 2$  (so that  $R_V \neq \emptyset$ ). Let  $u \in R_V$  and consider*

$$P_u := \{\alpha e + \beta u : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

*Then  $P_u$  is a unital order isomorphic to  $\ell_\infty^2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the mapping  $\chi : P_u \rightarrow \ell_\infty^2$  given by  $\chi(\alpha e + \beta u) = (\alpha, \alpha + \beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\chi$  is a unital bijection. We show that  $\chi$  is an order isomorphism. We first assume that  $\alpha e + \beta u \in V^+$ . Since  $u \in R_V$ , we can find  $f, g \in S(V)$  such that  $f(u) = 1$  and  $g(u) = 0$ . Thus  $0 \leq f(\alpha e + \beta u) = \alpha + \beta$  and  $0 \leq g(\alpha e + \beta u) = \alpha$ . Thus  $(\alpha, \alpha + \beta) \in \ell_\infty^{2+}$ . Conversely, we assume that  $(\alpha, \alpha + \beta) \in \ell_\infty^{2+}$ . Then  $\alpha e + \beta u = \alpha(e - u) + (\alpha + \beta)u \in V^+$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** *As  $u \in R_V$ , we have  $u \perp_\infty (e - u)$ . Thus, it is simple to show that  $\chi$  is an isometry. In fact, if  $v \in P_u$ , say  $v = \alpha e + \beta u = \alpha(e - u) + (\alpha + \beta)u$ , then*

$$\|v\| = \|\alpha(e - u) + (\alpha + \beta)u\| = \max\{|\alpha|, |\alpha + \beta|\}.$$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space with  $\dim(V) \geq 2$ . Then  $V$  contains a copy of  $\ell_\infty^2$  as an order unit subspace. Moreover,  $V$  contains a copy of  $\ell_\infty^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) as an order unit subspace if and only if there exist  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in R_V$  such that  $u_i \perp_\infty u_j$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = e$  and  $\perp_\infty$  is additive in the linear span of  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $V$  contains a copy of  $\ell_\infty^2$  as an order unit subspace.

Next, we assume that  $W$  is an order unit subspace of  $V$  and  $\Gamma : \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow W$  is a surjective unital order isomorphism. Put  $\gamma(e_i) = u_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is the standard unit basis of  $\ell_\infty^n$ . Then  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in C_V$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = e$ . Consider the biorthonormal system  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  in  $\ell_1^n$  so that  $f_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Then  $\{f_1 \circ \Gamma^{-1}, \dots, f_n \circ \Gamma^{-1}\}$  is the set of pure states of  $W$ . We can extend  $f_i \circ \Gamma^{-1}$  to a pure state  $g_i$  of  $V$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $g_i(u_j) = \delta_{ij}$  so that  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in R_V$  and we have  $u_i \perp_\infty u_j$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Also, if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i \right\|_\infty \\ &= \max\{|\alpha_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \\ &= \max\{\|\alpha_i u_i\| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\perp_\infty$  is additive in the linear span of  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ .

Conversely, we assume that there exist  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in R_V$  such that  $u_i \perp_\infty u_j$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = e$  and  $\perp_\infty$  is additive in the linear span  $U$  of  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ . Define  $\Phi : U \rightarrow \ell_\infty^n$  by  $\Phi(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i) = (\alpha_i)$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a unital linear bijection. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Phi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i \right) \right\| &= \|(\alpha_i)\|_\infty \\ &= \max\{|\alpha_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \\ &= \max\{\|\alpha_i u_i\| : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n u_i e_i \right\| \end{aligned}$$

as  $\perp_\infty$  is additive on  $U$ . Thus that  $\Phi$  is an isometry. Now, being a unital linear surjective isometry,  $\Phi$  is a unital order isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space and let  $u, v \in R_V$ . Then, either  $P_u = P_v$  or  $P_u \cap P_v = \mathbb{R}e$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in P_u \cap P_v$  be such that  $w \notin \mathbb{R}e$ . Without any loss of generality, we assume that  $\|w\| = 1$ . Consider  $w_1 := \frac{1}{2}(e + w)$ . Then  $w_1 \in V^+$ . Also then  $w_1 \in P_u \cap P_v$ . So, without any loss of generality again, we further assume that  $w \in V^+$ , that is,  $w \in C_V$ .

Since  $w \in P_u$ , we have  $w = \alpha e + \beta u$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . As  $w \in C_V$ , we have  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$  and  $\max\{\alpha, \alpha + \beta\} = 1$ . Since  $w \notin \mathbb{R}e$ , we have  $\beta \neq 0$ . If  $\beta > 0$ , then we have  $1 = \alpha + \beta > \alpha \geq 0$ . Thus  $w = \alpha e + (1 - \alpha)u \in [e, u]$ . Next if  $\beta < 0$ , then  $1 = \alpha > \alpha + \beta \geq 0$  so that  $-1 \leq \beta < 0$ . Thus  $w = e + \beta u = e - (-\beta)(e - (e - u)) \in [e, e - u]$ . Summing up, we have  $w \in [e, u] \cup [e, e - u]$ . Similarly, as  $w \in P_v$ , we also have  $w \in [e, v] \cup [e, e - v]$ . Thus  $w \in ([e, u] \cup [e, e - u]) \cap ([e, v] \cup [e, e - v])$ . Since  $w \neq e$ , using Proposition 3.5, we conclude that one of the equalities  $[e, u] = [e, v]$  or  $[e, u] = [e, e - v]$ , or  $[e, e - u] = [e, v]$ , or  $[e, e - u] = [e, e - v]$  hold. In other words, either  $u = v$  or  $u = e - v$ . In both the situations, we have  $P_u = P_v$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(V, e)$  be an order unit space with  $\dim V \geq 2$ . Then  $V = \bigcup\{P_u : u \in R_V\}$  in such a way that  $\bigcap\{P_u : u \in R_V\} = \mathbb{R}e$  and if  $v \in V$  with  $v \notin \mathbb{R}e$ , then there exists a unique  $w \in R_V$  such that  $v \in P_w = P_{(e-w)}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$  with  $v \notin \mathbb{R}e$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\|v\| = 1$ . Put  $v_1 = \frac{1}{2}(e + v)$  and  $v_2 = \frac{1}{2}(e - v)$ . Then  $v_1, v_2 \in V^+$  and  $v_1, v_2 \notin \mathbb{R}e$ . Also then  $v = v_1 - v_2$  and  $1 = \|v\| = \max\{\|v_1\|, \|v_2\|\}$ . Replacing  $v$  by

$-v$ , if required, we further assume that  $0 < \|v_2\| \leq \|v_1\| = 1$ , that is,  $\|e+v\| = 2$ . Thus we can find  $f \in S(V)$  such that  $1+f(v) = f(e+v) = 2$ . Therefore,  $f(v) = 1$ . Put  $w = \|e-v\|^{-1}(e-v)$ . Then  $w \in C_V$ . Further,  $f(e-w) = 1 - \|e-v\|^{-1}(1-f(v)) = 1$  so that  $e-w \in C_V$ . Thus  $w \in R_V$ . Now  $v = e - \|e-v\|w$  so that  $v \in P_w = P_{(e-w)}$ .

Uniqueness of  $w$  follows from Proposition 4.4.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** *If  $v \in V^+$  (or more generally, if  $\|v\| = f(v)$  for some  $f \in S(V)$ ) with  $v \notin \mathbb{R}e$ , then  $\|e + \|v\|^{-1}v\| = 2$ . Thus  $v$  has a unique representation  $v = \lambda e + \mu w$  in  $P_w$  where  $w = \| \|v\|e - v \|^{-1}(\|v\|e - v) \in R_V$  and  $\lambda = \|v\|$  and  $\mu = -\| \|v\|e - v \|$ .*

Next, we prove an application in unital  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $a \in A_{sa}$ . Then  $\|a\| \leq 1$  and  $a \notin A^+ \cup -A^+$  if and only if there exist  $x, y \in C_A \setminus \{e\}$  such that  $a = x - y$  and  $|a| = 1_A - xy$ . In this case,  $x$  and  $y$  commute.*

*Proof.* First, we assume that  $\|a\| \leq 1$  and  $a \notin A^+ \cup -A^+$ . Consider  $a = a^+ - a^-$ . Then  $0 < \|a^+\|, \|a^-\| \leq 1$ . Also as  $a^+a^- = 0$ , we have  $a^+ \perp_\infty a^-$ . Thus  $\|a^+\|a^+, \|a^-\|a^- \in R_A$ . It follows that  $a^+ \in [0, \|a^+\|a^+] \subset 1_A - C_A$ . Similarly  $a^- \in 1_A - C_A$ . Put  $1_A - a^+ = y$  and  $1_A - a^- = x$ . Then  $x, y \in C_A \setminus \{e\}$  with  $xy = yx$  such that  $a = x - y$  and  $|a| = (1_A - y) + (1_A - x)$ . Now as  $a^+a^- = 0$ , we deduce that  $x + y = 1_A + xy$  so that  $|a| = 1_A - xy$ .

Conversely, assume that  $a = x - y$  and  $|a| = 1_A - xy$  for some  $x, y \in C_A \setminus \{e\}$ . Then  $\|a\| \leq 1$  and  $xy = yx$ . Since  $a^2 = |a|^2$ , we get that  $(1_A - x^2)(1_A - y^2) = 0$ . As  $x, y \in V^+$ , we note that  $1_A + x$  and  $1_A + y$  are invertible in  $A$ . Thus  $(1_A - x)(1_A - y) = 0$ . Now, it follows that  $a^+ = 1_A - y$  and  $a^- = 1_A - x$  so that  $a \notin A^+ \cup -A^+$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** *We observe that  $x, y \in C_A \setminus \{e\}$  are uniquely determined by a given  $a \in A_{sa}$  with  $\|a\| \leq 1$  and  $a \notin A^+ \cup -A^+$ . To see this, let  $u, v \in C_A$  with  $uv = vu$  be such that  $a = u - v$  and  $|a| = 1_A - uv$ . Then  $a^2 = |a|^2$  yields  $u^2 + v^2 = 1_A + u^2v^2$  or equivalently,  $(1_A - u^2)(1_A - v^2) = 0$ . As  $u, v \in C_A$ , we note that  $1_A + u$  and  $1_A + v$  are invertible in  $A$ . Thus we have  $(1_A - u)(1_A - v) = 0$ . As  $a = u - v = (1_A - v) - (1_A - u)$ , we get  $1_A - y = a^+ = 1_A - v$  so that  $y = v$ . Consequently,  $x = u$ .*

We can generalize this result in the following sense. Let  $A$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebra. An operator system  $M$  in  $A$  (that is, a unital self-adjoint subspace of  $A$ ) is said to be an *absolute operator system*, if  $|a| \in M$  whenever  $a \in M_{sa}$ . Note that every unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  is an absolute operator system.

Let  $M$  be an absolute operator system in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . For  $u, v \in M_{sa}$ , we define

$$u \dot{\wedge} v := \frac{1}{2}(u + v - |u - v|)$$

and

$$u \dot{\vee} v := \frac{1}{2}(u + v + |u + v|).$$

Then  $u \dot{\wedge} v, u \dot{\vee} v \in M_{sa}$ . Further, given  $u, v \in M_{sa}$ ,  $u \dot{\wedge} v$  is the unique element of  $M_{sa}$  such that

- (i)  $u \dot{\wedge} v \leq u$  and  $u \dot{\wedge} v \leq v$ , and
- (ii)  $(u - u \dot{\wedge} v)(v - u \dot{\wedge} v) = 0$ .

Similarly,  $u \dot{\vee} v$  is the unique element of  $M_{sa}$  such that

- (i)  $u \leq u \dot{\vee} v$  and  $v \leq u \dot{\vee} v$ , and
- (ii)  $(u \dot{\vee} v - v)(u \dot{\vee} v - u) = 0$ .

(See [12, Theorem 2.5].) Given  $v \in M_{sa}$ , we write  $v^+ := \frac{1}{2}(|v| + v) = v \dot{\vee} 0$  and  $v^- := \frac{1}{2}(|v| - v) = (-v) \dot{\vee} 0$ . Then  $v^+ v^- = 0$ . Following this discussion, we can generalize Proposition 4.7.

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $M$  be an absolute operator system in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and let  $v \in M_{sa}$ . Then  $\|v\| \leq 1$  with  $v \notin M^+ \cup -M^+$  if and only if there exists a unique pair  $u, w \in C_M \setminus \{1\}$  such that  $v = u - w$  and  $u \dot{\vee} w = 1$ .*

*Proof.* First, we assume that  $\|v\| \leq 1$  with  $v \notin M^+ \cup -M^+$ . Consider  $v = v^+ - v^-$ . Then  $v^+, v^- \in M^+ \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\max\{\|v^+\|, \|v^-\|\} = \|v\| \leq 1$ . Also,  $v^+ v^- = 0$  so that  $v^+ \perp_\infty v^-$ . Thus  $\|v^+\|^{-1} v^+, \|v^-\|^{-1} v^- \in R_M$ . It follows that  $v^+ \in [0, \|v^+\|^{-1} v^+] \subset 1 - C_M$ . Similarly  $v^- \in 1 - C_M$ . Put  $1 - v^+ = w$  and  $1 - v^- = u$ . Then  $u, w \in C_M \setminus \{1\}$  such that  $v = u - w$ . Since  $v^+ v^- = 0$ , we have  $0 = (1 - u) \dot{\wedge} (1 - w) = 1 - u \dot{\vee} w$ . Thus  $u \dot{\vee} w = 1$ . Next assume that  $v = x - y$  for some  $x, y \in C_M$  such that  $x \dot{\vee} y = 1$ . Then  $(1 - x) \dot{\wedge} (1 - y) = 1 - x \dot{\vee} y = 0$ . Since  $v = (1 - y) - (1 - x)$ , we conclude that  $1 - w = v^+ = 1 - y$ . Thus  $y = w$  and consequently,  $x = u$ .

Conversely, assume that there exists a unique pair  $u, w \in C_M \setminus \{1\}$  such that  $v = u - w$  and  $u \dot{\vee} w = 1$ . Then  $-1 \leq -w \leq u - w \leq u \leq 1$  so that  $\|v\| = \|u - w\| \leq 1$ . Since  $u \dot{\vee} w = 1$ , we get, as above that  $v^+ = 1 - w \neq 0$  and  $v^- = 1 - u \neq 0$ . Hence  $v \notin M^+ \cup -M^+$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** *We have  $\|v\| = 1$  if and only if either  $u$  or  $w$  lies in  $R_M$ . For  $v \in M_{sa}$  with  $\|v\| = 1$  and  $v \notin M^+ \cup -M^+$ , we say that  $v$  is positively tilted, if  $u \notin R_M$ , negatively tilted, if  $w \notin R_M$  and neutral, if  $u, w \in R_M$ .*

**Acknowledgements:** The author was partially supported by Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of Science and Technology, Government of India sponsored Mathematical Research Impact Centric Support project (reference no. MTR/2020/000017).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. M. Alfsen, *Compact convex sets and boundary integrals*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [2] L. Asimov, Well-capped convex cones, *Pacific J. Math.*, **26** (1968), 421-431.
- [3] F. F. Bonsall, *Endomorphisms of a partially ordered space without order unit*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **30** (1955), 144-153.
- [4] M. D. Choi and E. G. Effros, *Injectivity and operator spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **24** (1977), 156-209.
- [5] D. A. Edwards, *Homeomorphic affine embedding of a locally compact cone into a Banach space endowed with vague topology*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **14** (1964), 399-414.
- [6] A. J. Ellis, *The duality of partially ordered normed linear spaces*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **39** (1964), 730-744.
- [7] A. Ghatak and A. K. Karn, *Quantization of  $A_0(K)$  spaces*, *Operator and Matrices*, 14(2) (2020), 381-399.
- [8] G. J. O. Jameson, *Ordered linear spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [9] R. V. Kadison, *Order properties of bounded self-adjoint operators*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **2**(3) (1951), 505-510.
- [10] R. V. Kadison, *A representation theory for commutative topological algebras*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **7**(1951).
- [11] A. K. Karn, *Orthogonality in  $\ell_p$ -spaces and its bearing on ordered Banach spaces*, *Positivity* 18 (2014), no. 2, 223–234.
- [12] A. K. Karn, *Orthogonality: An antidote to Kadison's anti-lattice theorem*, *Positivity and its Applications*, *Trends Math.*, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, (2021), 217-227.
- [13] K.-F. Ng, *The duality of partially ordered Banach spaces*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **19** (1969), 268-288.
- [14] S. Sherman, *Order in operator algebras*, *Amer. J. Math.*, **73**(1) (1951), 227-232.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH BHUBANESWAR, AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, P.O. - JATNI, DISTRICT - KHURDA, ODISHA - 752050, INDIA.

*Email address:* [anilkarn@niser.ac.in](mailto:anilkarn@niser.ac.in)