

# REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR MULTIVARIABLE TOTALLY SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

CHONGYAO CHEN, ZIANG CHEN, AND JIANFENG LU

ABSTRACT. In this short note, we establish a representation theorem for multivariable totally symmetric functions: a multisymmetric continuous function must be the composition of a continuous function and a set of generators of the multisymmetric polynomials.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Symmetric and anti-symmetric functions play important roles in physics and chemistry, especially in representing many-body systems (see e.g., [3, 4, 6, 9]). For more efficient modeling and computation, researchers have been investigating the representation and approximation results for (anti-)symmetric functions [1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11].

We study the representation theorem for symmetric functions: given  $d \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , a function  $f : \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *totally symmetric*, or *symmetric*, if

$$(1) \quad f(\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\sigma(n)}) = f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n),$$

for any permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  and any  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \Omega$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It is proved in [11] that when  $d = 1$  and  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ , a continuous symmetric function  $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be decomposed as

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = g \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (1, x_i, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^n) \right) = g \left( n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n \right),$$

where  $g$  is continuous. However, the proof in [11] cannot be generalized to  $d > 1$ . Thus, it remains unclear in the previous literature whether similar representation theorem holds for the multivariable case  $d > 1$ , though several approximation results have been established [1, 5, 11].

Our aim of this short note is to establish an exact representation theorem for multivariable totally symmetric functions (multisymmetric functions in short). We hope this clarifies the question on representation and helps better understand approximating symmetric functions.

## 2. REPRESENTATION THEOREM

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}^{d,n}(\mathbb{R})$  be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra consisting of all multisymmetric polynomials with real coefficients, i.e., real polynomials in  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n$  satisfying (1). The algebra of multisymmetric polynomials is well-studied, even when the coefficients are in a general ring

---

*Date:* November 30, 2022.

The work of ZC and JL is supported in part by National Science Foundation via award DMS-2012286.

(see [2] and references therein). According to [2], similar to the setting of  $d = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}^{d,n}(\mathbb{R})$  can be generated by

$$\eta_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,1}^{s_1} x_{i,2}^{s_2} \cdots x_{i,d}^{s_d}, \quad 0 \leq s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_d \leq n,$$

known as multisymmetric power sums, where  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d)$  and  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,d})$ , and it has other sets of generators such as the elementary multisymmetric polynomials.

Our main representation theorem is stated as below.

**Theorem 1.** *Given  $d \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and a compact subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , suppose that  $f : \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is totally symmetric and continuous and that  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m$  generate  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}^{d,n}(\mathbb{R})$  as  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra. Then there exists a unique continuous function  $g : \eta(\Omega^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = g(\eta(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)), \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \Omega,$$

where  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m)$  and the topology of  $\eta(\Omega)$  is induced from  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Theorem 1 generalizes [11, Theorem 7] to the multivariable case and general generators (not limited to power sums). A theorem similar to Theorem 1 was claimed in [8, Theorem 6], but no proof is given; this note fills the gap. Our proof is inspired by the proof of [11, Theorem 7], while to make the proof work for arbitrary dimension, we need to argue that  $\eta(\Omega^n)$  is homeomorphic to the quotient space  $\Omega^n/S_n$ , not a subspace of  $\Omega^n$  as in the proof of [11, Theorem 7].

*Proof of Theorem 1.* There is a natural group action of  $S_n$  on  $\Omega^n$ :

$$\sigma * (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = (\mathbf{x}_{\sigma(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\sigma(n)}), \quad \forall \sigma \in S_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \Omega,$$

and we denote  $\pi : \Omega^n \rightarrow \Omega^n/S_n$  as the quotient map. Since  $f$  is totally symmetric, i.e., (1) holds for any  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \Omega$ , there exists a unique continuous function  $\tilde{f} : \Omega^n/S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = \tilde{f} \circ \pi$ . In addition, the definition of  $\eta$  immediately implies that

$$\eta(\sigma * (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)) = \eta(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), \quad \forall \sigma \in S_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \Omega.$$

Therefore, there exists a unique continuous function  $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega^n/S_n \rightarrow \eta(\Omega^n)$  such that  $\eta = \tilde{\eta} \circ \pi$ .

It is clear that  $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega^n/S_n \rightarrow \eta(\Omega^n)$  is surjective. We then prove that it is also injective. Consider any  $\pi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), \pi(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n) \in \Omega^n/S_n$  with  $\tilde{\eta}(\pi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)) = \tilde{\eta}(\pi(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n))$ , or equivalently,  $\eta(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \eta(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n)$ . Note that entries of  $\eta$  are generators of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}^{d,n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $p(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = p(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n)$  holds for any multisymmetric polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}^{d,n}(\mathbb{R})$ . By Lemma 2, there must exist some permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n) = \sigma * (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ , i.e.,  $\pi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \pi(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n)$ , which proves the injectivity.

Since  $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega^n/S_n \rightarrow \eta(\Omega^n)$  is bijective, its inverse is well-defined. We then show that  $\tilde{\eta}^{-1} : \eta(\Omega^n) \rightarrow \Omega^n/S_n$  is also continuous, which is equivalent to show that  $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega^n/S_n \rightarrow \eta(\Omega^n)$  is a closed map. Consider any closed subset  $E \subset \Omega^n/S_n$ . The continuity of  $\pi$  guarantees that  $\pi^{-1}(E)$  is closed subset of  $\Omega^n$ . Furthermore,  $\pi^{-1}(E)$  is compact due to the compactness of  $\Omega^n$ . Note that  $\eta : \Omega^n \rightarrow \eta(\Omega^n)$  is continuous. Thus, both  $\eta(\Omega^n)$  and  $\eta(\pi^{-1}(E)) = \tilde{\eta}(E)$  are compact.




FIGURE 1. Commutative diagram for the proof of Theorem 1.

Then one can conclude that  $\tilde{\eta}(E)$  is a closed subset of  $\eta(\Omega^n)$  and hence that  $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega^n/S_n \rightarrow \eta(\Omega^n)$  is closed.

Combining all properties of  $\tilde{\eta} : \Omega^n/S_n \rightarrow \eta(\Omega^n)$ , we obtain that it is actually a homeomorphism. We can finally complete the proof by setting  $g = \tilde{\eta}^{-1} \circ \tilde{f}$ , since it follows from the commutative diagram in Figure 1 that  $f = g \circ \eta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** For any  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), (\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ , if

$$(2) \quad (\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n) \neq \sigma * (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), \quad \forall \sigma \in S_n,$$

then there exists some multisymmetric polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}^{d,n}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $p(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \neq p(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t\}$  be the collection of all different elements in  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\} \cup \{\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n\}$ . Define  $c_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j = \mathbf{x}_i)$  and  $c'_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j = \mathbf{x}'_i)$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , where  $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$  is the indicator function. It follows from (2) that  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t) \neq (c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_t)$ . Thus, there exist  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^t c_j z_j \neq \sum_{j=1}^t c'_j z_j$ . Let  $q : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a polynomial satisfying  $q(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j) = z_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$  (the existence of such  $q$  is guaranteed by polynomial interpolation) and let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}^{d,n}(\mathbb{R})$  be defined via

$$p(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n q(\mathbf{y}_i), \quad \forall \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n q(\mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^t c_j q(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j) = \sum_{j=1}^t c_j z_j \\ &\neq \sum_{j=1}^t c'_j z_j = \sum_{j=1}^t c'_j q(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n q(\mathbf{x}'_i) = p(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Markus Bachmayr, Geneviève Dusson, and Christoph Ortner, *Polynomial approximation of symmetric functions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.14771 (2021).
- [2] Emmanuel Briand, *When is the algebra of multisymmetric polynomials generated by the elementary multisymmetric polynomials?*, *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie: Contributions to Algebra and Geometry*, 45 (2), 353-368. (2004).
- [3] Gábor Csányi and TA Arias, *Tensor product expansions for correlation in quantum many-body systems*, *Physical Review B* **61** (2000), no. 11, 7348.
- [4] Willem Hendrik Dickhoff and Dimitri VY Van Neck, *Many-body theory exposed! propagator description of quantum mechanics in many-body systems*, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2008.
- [5] Jiequn Han, Yingzhou Li, Lin Lin, Jianfeng Lu, Jiefu Zhang, and Linfeng Zhang, *Universal approximation of symmetric and anti-symmetric functions*, *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* **20** (2022), no. 5, 1397-1408.
- [6] Toni L Heugel, Matthias Oscity, Alexander Eichler, Oded Zilberberg, and Ramasubramanian Chitra, *Classical many-body time crystals*, *Physical review letters* **123** (2019), no. 12, 124301.
- [7] Hang Huang, JM Landsberg, and Jianfeng Lu, *Geometry of backflow transformation ansatz for quantum many-body fermionic wavefunctions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.10314 (2021).
- [8] Marcus Hutter, *On representing (anti) symmetric functions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.15298 (2020).
- [9] David J Thouless, *The quantum mechanics of many-body systems*, Courier Corporation, 2014.
- [10] Edward Wagstaff, Fabian Fuchs, Martin Engelcke, Ingmar Posner, and Michael A Osborne, *On the limitations of representing functions on sets*, *International conference on machine learning*, 2019, pp. 6487-6494.
- [11] Manzil Zaheer, Satwik Kottur, Siamak Ravanbakhsh, Barnabas Poczos, Russ R Salakhutdinov, and Alexander J Smola, *Deep sets*, *Advances in neural information processing systems* **30** (2017).

(CC) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, BOX 90320, DURHAM, NC 27708.

*Email address:* `cychen@math.duke.edu`

(ZC) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, BOX 90320, DURHAM, NC 27708.

*Email address:* `ziang@math.duke.edu`

(JL) DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS, AND CHEMISTRY, DUKE UNIVERSITY, BOX 90320, DURHAM, NC 27708.

*Email address:* `jianfeng@math.duke.edu`