

# GEOMETRIZATION OF THE SATAKE TRANSFORM FOR MOD $p$ HECKE ALGEBRAS

ROBERT CASS AND YUJIE XU

ABSTRACT. We geometrize the mod  $p$  Satake isomorphism of Herzig and Henniart–Vignéras using Witt vector affine flag varieties for reductive groups in mixed characteristic. We deduce this as a special case of a formula, stated in terms of the geometry of generalized Mirković–Vilonen cycles, for the Satake transform of an arbitrary parahoric mod  $p$  Hecke algebra with respect to an arbitrary Levi subgroup. Moreover, we prove an explicit formula for the convolution product in an arbitrary parahoric mod  $p$  Hecke algebra. Our methods involve the constant term functors inspired from the geometric Langlands program. We expect this to be a first step towards a geometrization of a mod  $p$  Local Langlands Correspondence.

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Geometric results	5
2.1.	Witt vector affine flag varieties	5
2.2.	Demazure resolutions and convolution Grassmannians	9
2.3.	Geometry of generalized Mirković–Vilonen cycles	13
3.	Applications to mod $p$ Hecke algebras	17
3.1.	Mod $p$ Hecke algebras	17
3.2.	Explicit formulas	19
	References	21

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Overview.** Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group defined over a finite extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  for some prime  $p$ . The Local Langlands Correspondence predicts a relationship between smooth representations of  $G(F)$  and representations of the Weil group of  $F$  in the Langlands dual group  $\hat{G}$ . An important tool in the study of smooth representations of  $G(F)$  is the functor of  $K$ -invariants  $V \mapsto V^K$ , where  $V$  is a representation of  $G(F)$  over a field  $k$ , and  $K$  is a compact open subgroup of  $G(F)$ . The space  $V^K$  is naturally a module over the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K$  of compactly supported functions  $K \backslash G(F) / K \rightarrow k$ . When  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , the functor  $V \mapsto V^K$  is an equivalence

between irreducible smooth representations of  $G(F)$  admitting a  $K$ -fixed vector, and irreducible modules over  $\mathcal{H}_K$ . When  $k$  has characteristic  $p > 0$ , which is the subject of the present paper, the functor  $V \mapsto V^K$  is no longer an equivalence. Nonetheless, the study of mod  $p$  Hecke algebras plays an essential role in the mod  $p$  Langlands program.

For example, mod  $p$  Hecke algebras are used in the classification of irreducible admissible mod  $p$  representations of  $G(F)$  in terms of supercuspidals [AHHV17, HV19]. Little is known about mod  $p$  supercuspidal representations outside of a few small rank cases [Bre03, Abd14, Koz16]. However, numerical evidence [Vig05, Oll10], later upgraded to a functor [GK16, GK18, GK20], suggests a correspondence between supersingular modules over the pro- $p$  Iwahori Hecke algebra and mod  $p$  Galois representations.

Motivated by the above progress, as well as recent geometrization programs of Langlands Correspondences, we apply the geometry of Witt vector affine flag varieties to obtain results on mod  $p$  Hecke algebras. More precisely, we derive formulas for the multiplicative structure of the mod  $p$  Hecke algebra when  $K$  is a parahoric subgroup, and also the Satake transform with respect to an arbitrary Levi subgroup. Our methods are inspired by the geometric Langlands program, especially the geometric Satake equivalence [MV07] and its analogue in mixed characteristic [Zhu17a]. When  $K$  is a special parahoric subgroup, we recover the mod  $p$  Satake isomorphism of Herzig [Her11b] and Henniart–Vignéras [HV15]. The Satake isomorphism in these works is the mod  $p$  counterpart of the Satake isomorphism of Haines–Rostami [HR10] in characteristic zero. Our methods also yield formulas for the inverse of the mod  $p$  Satake isomorphism in [Her11a, Oll15, AHV22].

The results in this paper are a first step toward a geometrization program of a mod  $p$  Local Langlands Correspondence. We expect that our methods generalize to the pro- $p$  Iwahori Hecke algebra, which is geometrized by sheaves on the affine flag variety of a non-parahoric subgroup (the pro-unipotent radical of the Iwahori loop group). Moreover, our affine flag varieties are the special fibers of Beilinson–Drinfeld Grassmannians arising in  $p$ -adic geometry [SW20]. In this direction, Mann [Man22] has developed a theory of  $p$ -adic étale sheaves which is expected to be useful in a  $p$ -adic version of the ground-breaking work of Fargues–Scholze [FS22]. We thus expect a fruitful interplay between our work and the  $p$ -adic Langlands correspondence [Col10], including groups beyond  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . We also expect a connection with [PS20], which relates the Emerton–Gee stack [EG22] to a Kazhdan–Lusztig style parametrization (as in [KL87]) of mod  $p$  Hecke modules for  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**1.2. Main results.** We now state our main results more precisely. Let  $A$  be a maximal  $F$ -split torus in  $G$  and let  $\mathfrak{f}$  be a facet in the apartment  $\mathcal{A}(G, A, F)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_F$  be the ring of integers of  $F$  and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the parahoric  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -group scheme such that  $K := \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  is the connected fixer of  $\mathfrak{f}$ . Then  $K$  is a compact open subgroup of

$G(F)$ , and we can form the mod  $p$  Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K$  of compactly supported functions  $K \backslash G(F) / K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ . The algebra structure is given by the convolution product  $*$  (see 3.3).

1.2.1. *Convolution.* Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the residue field of  $F$ . The Witt vector affine flag variety  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$ , first constructed in [Zhu17a], is an increasing union of perfections of projective  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -schemes [BS17] such that  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{F}_q) = G(F)/K$ . The closures of the left  $K$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$ , called perfect Schubert schemes, are enumerated by certain double cosets  $W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$  (see §2.1.5). Such Schubert schemes appear as irreducible components of the mod  $p$  fibers of local models of Shimura varieties.

For  $w \in W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$ , let  $(\underline{\mathbb{F}}_p)_w$  be the constant sheaf on the associated perfect Schubert scheme  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$ . The function-sheaf dictionary associates  $\{(\underline{\mathbb{F}}_p)_w\}_w$  to a certain basis  $\{\phi_w\}_w$  of  $\mathcal{H}_K$ . Specifically,  $\phi_w$  is the sum of the characteristic functions of the double cosets in  $K \backslash G(F) / K$  associated to elements in  $W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$  bounded by  $w$  in the Bruhat order.

To state our first theorem, we need the convolution map  $m: \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}} \times^{L^+ \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$  (see (2.15)) arising from the multiplication map on  $G(F)$ . Here  $L^+ \mathcal{G}$  is the positive loop group (see (2.1)), which is a perfect ind-scheme such that  $L^+ \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_q) = K$ . The functor  $Rm_!$  corresponds to the convolution product  $*$  for the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K$  under the function-sheaf dictionary (Lemma 2.16, Lemma 3.2).

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 3.7). *Let  $w_1, w_2 \in W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$ , and let  $w \in W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$  be such that  $m(\mathcal{F}\ell_{w_1} \times^{L^+ \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}\ell_{w_2}) = \mathcal{F}\ell_w$ . Then*

$$\phi_{w_1} * \phi_{w_2} = \phi_w.$$

In particular, the convolution of two elements in  $\{\phi_w\}_w$  is again an element in  $\{\phi_w\}_w$ . For a given pair  $(w_1, w_2)$ , the element  $w$  may be computed explicitly by combinatorial computations in the affine Weyl group. The key geometric input in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the Demazure resolution  $\pi_{\dot{w}}: D_{\dot{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_w$  (see (2.10)). This allows one to show that the fibers of  $m$  are built out of Schubert varieties, which in particular have the property that their number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points is congruent to 1 (mod  $p$ ) (see the proof of Theorem 2.18). We also make extensive use of further geometric developments concerning perfect Schubert schemes in [BS17].

1.2.2. *Satake transform.* Now let  $M$  be a Levi subgroup of  $G$  given by the centralizer of a subtorus of  $A$ . To the facet  $\mathbf{f}$ , we may naturally associate a facet in the apartment  $\mathcal{A}(M, A, F)$  whose parahoric group scheme  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_F) = M(F) \cap K$  [Ric16, A.2]. Let  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi factor  $M$  and unipotent radical  $U$ . In this setup, Herzig [Her11b] and Henniart–Vignéras [HV15] defined a Satake transform

$$\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{M(F) \cap K}, \quad \mathcal{S}(f)(m) = \sum_{u \in U(F) / U(F) \cap K} f(mu), \quad m \in M(F).$$

Our second result is an explicit formula for  $\mathcal{S}$  in the basis  $\{\phi_w\}_w$ . To state it, note that there exists a cocharacter  $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$  such that  $M = G^0$  is the group of fixed points under the corresponding conjugation action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , and  $P = G^+$  is the attractor for this  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action in the sense of (2.19). This induces a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}} \subset (\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}})^0$ . Here  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}})^0$  decomposes as a disjoint union of connected components, and  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a union of some subset of those components. The natural map  $q^+: (\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}})^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}})^0$ , which is informally  $x \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot x \cdot \lambda(t)^{-1}$ , induces a bijection on connected components.

The geometrically connected components of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}}$  are indexed by a certain group  $\pi_1(M)_{\mathbb{F}}^{\sigma}$  (see §2.1.5). For  $c \in \pi_1(M)_{\mathbb{F}}^{\sigma}$  and  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathfrak{f}}$ , let  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}})^c$  be the corresponding connected component of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Let  $\phi_{c,w} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$  be the characteristic function of the intersection  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}})^c(\mathbb{F}_q) \cap \mathcal{F}\ell_w(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The irreducible components of the scheme associated to this intersection are a generalization of the *Mirković–Vilonen cycles* in [MV07, Theorem 3.2]. The pushforward functor  $R(q^+)_!$  is called a *constant term functor* in the geometric Langlands program, and it corresponds to the Satake transform under the function-sheaf dictionary (Theorem 2.32).

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem 3.8). *The Satake transform  $\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{M(F) \cap K}$  satisfies*

$$\mathcal{S}(\phi_w) = \begin{cases} \phi_{c,w}, & (\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q})^c \cap \mathcal{F}\ell_{w, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} \text{ is the unique closed attractor in } (\mathcal{F}\ell_{w, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q})^+ \\ 0, & \text{the closed attractor in } (\mathcal{F}\ell_{w, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q})^+ \text{ does not admit an } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-point in } \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}}. \end{cases}$$

The fact that  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$  has a unique closed attractor over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  is a consequence of the existence of the Demazure resolution and generalities about  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -actions on smooth, projective varieties. Informally, the idea behind the proof of Theorem 1.2 is that point-counting (mod  $p$ ) on Mirković–Vilonen cycles may be performed on the Demazure resolution  $D_{\dot{w}}$ . Since  $D_{\dot{w}}$  is smooth and projective, the map  $(D_{\dot{w}})^+ \rightarrow (D_{\dot{w}})^0$  is a disjoint union of affine bundles, which makes the point-counting more accessible.

1.2.3. *Special parahorics.* Now assume  $M = C_G(A)$  is a minimal Levi subgroup and  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a special vertex. Then  $\Lambda := M(F)/(M(F) \cap K)$  is a finitely generated abelian group, and  $\mathcal{H}_{M(F) \cap K}$  is canonically isomorphic to the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$  (see [HV15, §6] and [HR10, §11] for more details). For  $z \in \Lambda$ , let  $e^z \in \mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$  be the associated element. The choice of  $P$  determines a set of anti-dominant representatives  $\Lambda_- \subset \Lambda$  for the orbits of the action of the finite Weyl group  $W(G, A)$ . Moreover, there is a canonical bijection of sets  $\Lambda_- \cong W_{\mathfrak{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathfrak{f}}$ . In this case, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 recover the following result, originally due to Herzig [Her11b, Theorem 1.2] when  $K$  is hyperspecial, and Henniart–Vignéras [HV15, §1.5] when  $K$  is special. Moreover, we recover the explicit formulas in [Her11a, Proposition 5.1] and [Oll15, Theorem 5.5] (where  $G$  is split and  $K$  is hyperspecial), and in [AHV22, Theorem 1.1] (where  $G$  is arbitrary and  $K$  is special).

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 3.9). *If  $K$  is special, for  $z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda_-$ , we have*

$$m(\mathcal{F}\ell_{z_1} \times^{L+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}\ell_{z_2}) = \mathcal{F}\ell_{z_1 z_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{z_1} * \phi_{z_2} = \phi_{z_1 z_2}.$$

*In particular,  $\mathcal{H}_K$  is commutative. Moreover, the Satake transform  $\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{M(F) \cap K}$  is injective, identifies  $\mathcal{H}_K$  with  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda_-]$ , and satisfies*

$$\mathcal{S}(\phi_z) = e^z, \quad \text{for all } z \in \Lambda_-.$$

In comparison, when  $k = \mathbb{C}$  and  $K$  is special, the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K$  is also commutative [HR10, Theorem 1.0.1], and the Satake transform identifies it with the  $W(G, A)$ -invariants in  $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ . Once the geometric preliminaries in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are established, Theorem 1.3 follows from the identification  $\Lambda = \pi_1(M)_I^\sigma$  [HR10, Proposition 1.0.2], and the following combinatorial fact [HV15, §6.9]:

$$(1.4) \quad zK \cap zU(F) = z(U(F) \cap K), \quad z \in \Lambda_-.$$

**Remark 1.5.** The papers [Cas22, CP21] treated split groups and hyperspecial subgroups in equal characteristic. The methods in the present article generalize the mod  $p$  Hecke algebra results in these works to non-split groups and arbitrary parahoric subgroups, but we restrict to fields of mixed characteristic here for convenience of exposition.

**Remark 1.6.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional representation of  $K$  over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Associated to  $V$  is the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K(V)$  of compactly supported functions  $G(F) \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$  which intertwine the left and right actions of  $K$ . Henniart–Vignéras [HV15, §1.8] established a Satake isomorphism for  $\mathcal{H}_K(V)$  similar to Theorem 1.3. When  $V = \mathbb{F}_p$  is the trivial representation, we have  $\mathcal{H}_K = \mathcal{H}_K(V)$ . It is an interesting question to geometrize these Hecke algebras as well.

**Acknowledgements.** R.C. was supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. 2103200 at the University of Michigan. Y.X. was supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. 2202677 at MIT. We would like to thank both universities for providing wonderful working environments.

## 2. GEOMETRIC RESULTS

### 2.1. Witt vector affine flag varieties.

2.1.1. *Notations.* Let  $F$  be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and perfect residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We assume  $k$  is a finite field or an algebraic closure thereof. For a  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , let  $W(R)$  be its ring of Witt vectors, and let  $W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R) = W(R) \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{O}_F$ . For a uniformizer  $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_F$ ,  $W_{\mathcal{O}_F, n}(R) = W(R) \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{O}_F / \varpi^n$ . If  $X$  is a  $k$ -scheme, we denote its perfection by

$$X_{\text{perf}} := \lim(\cdots \xrightarrow{F} X \xrightarrow{F} X),$$

where  $F$  is the absolute Frobenius morphism. The underlying topological spaces of  $X$  and  $X_{\text{perf}}$  are canonically isomorphic. Moreover, there is a natural equivalence of small étale sites  $X_{\text{ét}} \cong (X_{\text{perf}})_{\text{ét}}$  [Sta22, Tag 04DY]. In particular, the étale cohomology groups of  $X$  and  $X_{\text{perf}}$  are canonically isomorphic.

2.1.2. *The affine flag variety.* Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group over  $F$  and let  $\mathcal{B}(G, F)$  be its Bruhat–Tits building. For each facet  $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathcal{B}(G, F)$ , let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  be the parahoric  $\mathcal{O}$ -group scheme with generic fiber  $G$  as in [BT84, Définition 5.2.6 ff.]. Let  $K := \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ . Following [Zhu17a], we define the following functors on *perfect*  $k$ -algebras:

$$(2.1) \quad LG: R \mapsto G(W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R)[1/p]), \quad L^+\mathcal{G}: R \mapsto \mathcal{G}(W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R)).$$

The functor  $LG$  is called the loop group, and  $L^+\mathcal{G}$  is called the positive loop group. We also define the functor

$$L^n\mathcal{G}: R \mapsto \mathcal{G}(W_{\mathcal{O}_F, n}(R)).$$

Then  $L^+\mathcal{G} = \varprojlim L^n\mathcal{G}$ . The affine flag variety of  $\mathcal{G}$  is the functor on perfect  $k$ -algebras given by the étale-quotient

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}} = LG/L^+\mathcal{G}.$$

By [BS17],  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$  is represented by an increasing union of perfection of projective  $k$ -schemes.

2.1.3. *Greenberg functors.* There is no canonical ind-projective  $k$ -scheme whose perfection is  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$ . The problem is that the natural extension of the functor  $LG$  to non-perfect  $k$ -algebras is not well-behaved. (For example, if  $R$  is non-perfect,  $W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R)$  can have  $p$ -torsion, in which case  $W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R)$  is not a submodule of  $W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R)[1/p]$ .) However, as observed by Greenberg [Gre61], the functor  $L^+\mathcal{G}$  is well-behaved on all  $k$ -algebras. Following [Zhu17a], we define the following functors on all  $k$ -algebras:

$$L_p^+\mathcal{G}: R \mapsto G(W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R)), \quad L_p^n\mathcal{G}: R \mapsto \mathcal{G}(W_{\mathcal{O}_F, n}(R)), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then  $L_p^+\mathcal{G} = \varprojlim L_p^n\mathcal{G}$  and  $L^+\mathcal{G} = (L_p^+\mathcal{G})_{\text{perf}}$ . The functor  $L_p^n\mathcal{G}$  is represented by a  $k$ -scheme of finite-type. We will use these functors to construct *deperfections* of finite-dimensional subschemes of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$ , i.e. finite-type  $k$ -schemes whose perfections are subschemes of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$ .

2.1.4. *Rational points.* Let  $\check{F}$  be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $F$  and let  $\bar{k}$  be its residue field. Since  $\bar{k}$  is local for the étale topology,

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}(\bar{k}) = LG(\bar{k})/L^+\mathcal{G}(\bar{k}) = G(\check{F})/\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}}).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *We have*

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}(k) = G(F)/\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_F).$$

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k), \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}})) = 0$  [KP22, Lemma 8.1.4] and the exact sequence in Galois cohomology [Ser94, Proposition 36].  $\square$

2.1.5. *Perfect Schubert schemes.* Choose a maximal  $F$ -split torus  $A$  such that  $\mathbf{f}$  is contained in the apartment  $\mathcal{A}(G, A, F)$ . Let  $\mathbf{f}' \subset \mathcal{A}(G, A, F)$  be another facet. For  $w \in L^+\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}'}(k) \backslash LG(k) / L^+\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}(k)$ , define the orbit

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_w^\circ(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f}) := L^+\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}'} \cdot \tilde{w} \cdot e \subset \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}},$$

where  $\tilde{w} \in LG(k)$  is any lift of  $w$  and  $e$  is the basepoint of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}'}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^\circ(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$ . The stabilizer of  $\tilde{w} \cdot e$  in  $L^+\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}'}$  is the positive loop group of the parahoric  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -group scheme associated to  $\mathbf{f}' \cup w\mathbf{f}$ , cf. [AGLR22, Proposition 3.7]. It follows that  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^\circ(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  is canonically isomorphic to the perfection of a smooth quasi-projective  $k$ -scheme. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  is the perfection of a projective  $k$ -scheme by [BS17]. We call  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^\circ(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  a *perfect Schubert cell* and  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  a *perfect Schubert scheme*.

Following [HR08, AGLR22], the perfect Schubert schemes can be parametrized as follows. Fix a maximal  $\check{F}$ -split torus  $S \subset G$  containing  $A$  and let  $T = C_G(S)$ . Then  $T$  is a maximal torus, and we obtain a chain of  $F$ -tori  $A \subset S \subset T$ . The connected Néron model  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $T$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  is contained in  $\mathcal{G}$  [KP22, Proposition 8.2.4], and  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}})$  is the unique parahoric subgroup of  $T(\check{F})$ . The Iwahori-Weyl group is

$$(2.3) \quad W := N_G(S)(\check{F}) / \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}}).$$

Choose an alcove  $\mathbf{a}$  in the apartment  $\mathcal{A}(G, A, F)$ . This determines a splitting  $W = W_{\text{af}} \rtimes \pi_1(G)_I$ . Here  $W_{\text{af}} \subset W$  is the affine Weyl group,  $I$  is the inertia group of  $F$ , and  $\pi_1(G)$  is the algebraic fundamental group. As  $W_{\text{af}}$  is a Coxeter group, we may use this splitting to extend the length function  $\ell$  and partial Bruhat order to  $W$  by declaring elements of  $\pi_1(G)_I$  to have length 0. The Iwahori group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  associated to the alcove  $\mathbf{a}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

The facets  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}'$  are contained in unique facets  $\check{\mathbf{f}}, \check{\mathbf{f}}'$  in  $\mathcal{A}(G, \check{F}, S)$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_{\check{\mathbf{f}}} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}} \times \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}})$ . Let

$$W_{\check{\mathbf{f}}} = (N_G(S)(\check{F}) \cap \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}})) / \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{O}_{\check{F}}).$$

By the Cartan decomposition,

$$W_{\check{\mathbf{f}}'} \backslash W / W_{\check{\mathbf{f}}} = L^+\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}'}(\bar{k}) \backslash LG(\bar{k}) / L^+\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}(\bar{k}).$$

Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  be a geometric Frobenius element (if  $\bar{k} = k$  then we let  $\sigma$  be the identity). By [HR08, Remark 9], passing to  $\sigma$ -invariants induces a bijection

$$(2.4) \quad W_{\check{\mathbf{f}}'}^\sigma \backslash W^\sigma / W_{\check{\mathbf{f}}}^\sigma = L^+\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}'}(k) \backslash LG(k) / L^+\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}(k).$$

We set  $W_{\mathbf{f}} := W_{\check{\mathbf{f}}}^\sigma$ .

2.1.6. *Closure relations and dimensions.* From now on we assume  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{f}'$  are contained in the closure of the alcove  $\mathbf{a}$ . By the reduction steps in [HR, §3.1], over  $\bar{k}$  every  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  is isomorphic to a perfect Schubert scheme for a pair  $(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  satisfying this assumption.

For the remainder of Section 2 we assume  $k = \bar{k}$  unless otherwise stated. The results still apply when  $k$  is finite if we restrict to perfect Schubert schemes defined over  $k$ . In this subsection we recall one of the main results in [Ric13]. We note that the results in *op. cit.* are stated in the case where  $F$  has equal characteristic, but the proofs apply verbatim in mixed characteristic.

By [Ric13, Lemma 1.6], for  $w \in W$  there is a unique element  $w^{\mathbf{f}}$  of minimal length in  $wW_{\mathbf{f}}$ , and a unique element  ${}_{\mathbf{f}'}w^{\mathbf{f}}$  of maximal length in  $\{(vw)^{\mathbf{f}} : v \in W_{\mathbf{f}'}\}$ . Taking equivalence classes gives a natural bijection

$${}_{\mathbf{f}'}W^{\mathbf{f}} := \{{}_{\mathbf{f}'}w^{\mathbf{f}} : w \in W\} \cong W_{\mathbf{f}'} \backslash W / W_{\mathbf{f}}.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *The perfect Schubert scheme  $\mathcal{F}l_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  is the following disjoint union of locally closed perfect Schubert cells.*

$$\mathcal{F}l_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f}) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{v \in {}_{\mathbf{f}'}W^{\mathbf{f}} \\ v \leq {}_{\mathbf{f}'}w^{\mathbf{f}}}} \mathcal{F}l_v^{\circ}(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f}).$$

The dimension of  $\mathcal{F}l_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  is  $\ell({}_{\mathbf{f}'}w^{\mathbf{f}})$ .

*Proof.* This is [Ric13, Proposition 2.8]. When  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{a}$ , this is proved using the Demazure resolution (2.10). For general  $\mathbf{f}$ , one analyzes the fibers of  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}}$  using that  $L^+\mathcal{G}/L^+\mathcal{I}$  is the perfection of a flag variety  $\overline{P}^{\text{red}}/\overline{B}$  (see for example (2.14)).  $\square$

2.1.7. *Deperfections.* An integral domain  $A$  is said to be  $p$ -closed if for every  $a \in \text{Frac}(A)$  such that  $a^p \in A$ , we have  $a \in A$ . An integral scheme is  $p$ -closed if it has a covering by open affines which are  $p$ -closed. We recall the following special case of the construction in [Zhu17a, Proposition A.15].

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a perfect scheme over  $k$  which is isomorphic to the perfection of an integral projective  $k$ -scheme, and let  $k(X)$  be the field of rational functions on  $X$ .*

*Then for each subfield  $L \subset k(X)$  which is finitely generated over  $k$  and whose perfection is  $k(X)$ , there exists a unique  $p$ -closed projective  $k$ -scheme  $X'$  such that  $k(X') = L$  and  $(X')_{\text{perf}} = X$ .*

*Proof.* The scheme  $X'$  is the ringed space with underlying topological space the same as  $X$  and the sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) : f \in L\}$ . By the proof of [Zhu17a, Proposition A.15],  $X'$  is the unique  $p$ -closed scheme such that  $k(X') = L$  and  $(X')_{\text{perf}} = X$ . It remains to see that  $X'$  is projective. By assumption,  $X$  has an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Because  $X'$  is of finite-type, some power of  $\mathcal{L}$  descends along the natural map  $X \rightarrow X'$ . By [BS17, Lemma 3.6], the line bundle on  $X'$  is ample.  $\square$

In [Zhu17a, §B.2], Zhu constructed canonical deperfections of perfect Schubert schemes when  $\mathcal{G}$  is a split reductive group, and conjectured that these are normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Canonical deperfections for general parahorics were constructed in



[AGLR22]. In [AGLR22, Theorem 1.10], it was shown that canonical deperfections occurring in the  $\mu$ -admissible locus of a minuscule cocharacter  $\mu$  (with a minor technical assumption when  $p = 2$ ) satisfy Zhu's conjecture. We now show that *every* deperfection of a perfect Schubert scheme is normal (this result is also implicit in [AGLR22]).

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $A$  be a domain of characteristic  $p$  that is  $p$ -closed. Then if  $A_{\text{perf}}$  is normal, so is  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) \in A[x]$  be a monic polynomial and let  $a \in \text{Frac}(A)$  be an element such that  $f(a) = 0$ . Then  $a \in A_{\text{perf}}$  by normality, so  $a \in A$  because  $A$  is  $p$ -closed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Every perfect Schubert scheme  $\mathcal{F}l_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  is normal.*

*Proof.* This is stated in [AGLR22, Proposition 3.7] when  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}'$ , but the proof applies verbatim to the general case. The key ingredients are the fact that the Demazure resolution  $D_{\dot{w}}$  (2.10) is normal, satisfies  $\pi_{\dot{w},*}(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}l_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})}$ , and the fact that the ring of global functions on a normal scheme is normal [Sta22, Tag 0358].  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Every deperfection of  $\mathcal{F}l_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  in the sense of Proposition 2.6 is normal.*

*Proof.* Since every deperfection is  $p$ -closed, this follows from Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8.  $\square$

## 2.2. Demazure resolutions and convolution Grassmannians.

2.2.1. *Demazure resolutions.* Let  $w \in W$  and write  $w = w_{\text{af}}\tau$  for  $w_{\text{af}} \in W_{\text{af}}$  and  $\tau \in \pi_1(G)_I$ . Choose a (not necessarily reduced) decomposition  $\dot{w} = s_1 \cdots s_n$  of  $w_{\text{af}}$  as a product of simple reflections along the walls of  $\mathbf{a}$ . For each  $i$  let  $\mathcal{P}_i$  be the minimal parahoric group scheme attached to  $s_i$ , so that  $\mathcal{P}_i(\mathcal{O}_F) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}_F) \sqcup \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}_F)_{s_i} \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  for any lift of  $s_i$  to  $N_G(S)(F)$ . The *perfect Demazure scheme associated to  $\dot{w}$*  is

$$(2.10) \quad D_{\dot{w}} := L^+ \mathcal{P}_1 \times^{L^+ \mathcal{I}} \cdots \times^{L^+ \mathcal{I}} L^+ \mathcal{P}_n / L^+ \mathcal{I}.$$

Here the notation means that we take étale quotient of  $\prod_{i=1}^n L^+ \mathcal{P}_i$  by the right action of  $\prod_{i=1}^n L^+ \mathcal{I}$  given by

$$(i_1, \cdots, i_n) \cdot (p_1, \cdots, p_n) = (p_1 i_1, i_1^{-1} p_2 i_2, \cdots, i_{n-1}^{-1} p_n i_n).$$

Since any lift of  $\tau$  to  $N_G(S)(F)$  normalizes  $L^+ \mathcal{I}$ , the multiplication map  $(p_1, \cdots, p_n) \mapsto p_1 \cdots p_n \tau$  induces a map  $D_{\dot{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_{G_{\mathbf{f}}}$ . We define  $\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})$  to be the image of this map, which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}l_{w'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{f})$  for some  $w' \in W$ . Let

$$(2.11) \quad \pi_{\dot{w}}: D_{\dot{w}} \rightarrow \pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})$$

be the resulting surjective map.

The following result is well-known in equal characteristic [Fal03, Lemma 9], [PR08, Proposition 9.7] and in mixed-characteristic [HZ20, Proposition 3.8], [AGLR22, Proposition 3.7]. Below we assemble the arguments in one place for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 2.12.** (1) *The perfect Demazure scheme  $D_{\dot{w}}$  is the perfection of a smooth, projective  $k$ -scheme. The multiplication map  $\pi_{\dot{w}}$  satisfies*

$$R\pi_{\dot{w},*}(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})}[0] \quad \text{and} \quad R\pi_{\dot{w},!}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[0].$$

(2) *Furthermore, if  $w \in {}_{\mathbf{f}}W^{\mathbf{f}}$  and  $\dot{w}$  is a reduced expression, then  $\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}}) = \mathcal{F}\ell_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  and  $\pi_{\dot{w}}$  is an isomorphism over  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\circ}(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$ .*

*Proof.* By the arguments in [PR08, Proposition 8.7] (which apply verbatim in mixed characteristic),  $L_p^n \mathcal{P}_i / L_p^n \mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$  for  $n \gg 0$ , and this quotient admits sections Zariski-locally. Thus  $D_{\dot{w}}$  is the perfection of an iterated  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ -bundle which is smooth and projective. By [BS17, Lemma 6.9], to show  $R\pi_{\dot{w},*}(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})}[0]$ , it suffices to check this on geometric fibers.

We first suppose  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}' = \mathbf{a}$ , and we induct on the length of  $\dot{w}$ . Let  $\dot{w} = s_2 \cdots s_n \tau$ . Since  $\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})$  is irreducible and  $L^+ \mathcal{I}$ -stable, it is of the form  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{v'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$  for some  $v' \in W$ . As in [Fal03, Lemma 9], by performing the multiplication in two steps  $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \mapsto (p_1, p_2 \cdots p_n \tau) \mapsto p_1 \cdots p_n \tau$ , we may factor  $\pi_{\dot{w}}$  as

$$D_{\dot{w}} \longrightarrow L^+ \mathcal{P}_1 \times^{L^+ \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}\ell_{v'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) \longrightarrow \pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}}).$$

By induction, pushforward along the first map preserves the structure sheaf. By properties of Tits systems [BT72, (1.2.6)] (especially T 3), if  $s_1 v' < v'$ , then  $\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}}) = \mathcal{F}\ell_{v'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$  and the second map has fibers isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\text{perf}}$ . Otherwise, if  $s_1 v' > v'$ , then  $\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}}) = \mathcal{F}\ell_{s_1 v'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$ . To describe the fibers in this case, consider the union  $Z = \cup_{v'' < v'} \mathcal{F}\ell_{v''}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$  of those perfect Schubert schemes in  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{v'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$  for which  $s_1 v'' < v''$ . Then the second map is an isomorphism away from  $Z$  and has fibers isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\text{perf}}$  over  $Z$ . Thus, in either case the result follows since  $R\Gamma((\mathbb{P}_L^1)_{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}_L^1)_{\text{perf}}}) = L[0]$  for any perfect field  $L$ .

For general  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}'$ , we factor  $\pi_{\dot{w}}$  as

$$(2.13) \quad D_{\dot{w}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{I}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}},$$

where the first map is a Demazure map with  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}' = \mathbf{a}$ , and the second map is the quotient. The image of the first map is of the form  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{w'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})$  for some  $w' \in W$ . Thus, it suffices to show that pushforward along the natural surjection  $\pi: \mathcal{F}\ell_{w'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) \rightarrow \pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})$  preserves the structure sheaf. For this, let  $\overline{P}^{\text{red}}$  be the maximal reductive quotient of the special fiber of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}$ . By [Ric13, Remark 2.9], the image of the special fiber of  $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}$  in  $\overline{P}^{\text{red}}$  is a Borel subgroup  $\overline{B}$ , and we have

$$(2.14) \quad L_p^+ \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}} / L_p^+ \mathcal{I} \cong \overline{P}^{\text{red}} / \overline{B}.$$

Furthermore, the maximal  $F$ -split torus  $S$  has a natural  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -structure whose special fiber  $\overline{S}$  is a maximal torus in  $\overline{P}^{\text{red}}$ . The group  $W_{\mathbf{f}}$  identifies with the Weyl group of  $(\overline{P}^{\text{red}}, \overline{S})$ . Thus, because  $\pi$  is  $L^+ \mathcal{I}$ -equivariant, the fibers of  $\pi$  are isomorphic to perfections of unions of  $\overline{B}$ -orbit closures in  $\overline{P}^{\text{red}} / \overline{B}$ . Such a union is connected, so

by normality of  $\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})$  this implies  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}l_{w'}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a})}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})}$ . For the higher direct images, it is well-known that the structure sheaves of  $\overline{B}$ -orbit closures, i.e, Schubert varieties, have vanishing higher cohomology. (For example, this follows because the Demazure resolution is a rational resolution by an iterated  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle [BK05, Theorem 3.3.4]). If our fiber in  $\overline{P}^{\text{red}}/\overline{B}$  is not irreducible, we induct on its support in  $\overline{P}^{\text{red}}/\overline{B}$ . Write an arbitrary union of  $\overline{B}$ -orbit closures in terms of its irreducible components as  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_m$ . If  $Y = X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_m$ , we conclude by induction and the exact sequence<sup>1</sup>

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \cup Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \cap Y} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This proves that  $R\pi_{\dot{w},*}(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})}$  for general  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}'$ .<sup>2</sup>

To compute  $\pi_{\dot{w},!}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , we first note that since  $\pi_{\dot{w}}$  is the perfection of a proper map, we can replace  $\pi_{\dot{w},!}$  by  $\pi_{\dot{w},*}$ . Then we apply  $R\pi_{\dot{w},*}$  to the Artin–Schreier sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}} \xrightarrow{\text{Frob} - \text{id}} \mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Finally, the proof of [Ric13, Proposition 2.8] shows that

$$\mathcal{F}l_w^\circ(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f}) = \mathcal{F}l_{\mathbf{f}'w\mathbf{f}}^\circ(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{f}).$$

Thus, if  $w \in \mathbf{f}'W^{\mathbf{f}}$  and  $\dot{w}$  is a reduced expression, by tracing through our proof that  $R\pi_{\dot{w},*}(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})}$ , it follows that  $\pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}}) = \mathcal{F}l_w(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$  and  $\pi_{\dot{w}}$  is an isomorphism over  $\mathcal{F}l_w^\circ(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f})$ .  $\square$

**2.2.2. Convolution.** In this subsection we let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}l_w = \mathcal{F}l_w(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f})$ . The convolution diagram is as follows:

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}} \times \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}} \xleftarrow{p} LG \times \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{q} LG \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Here  $p$  is the quotient map on the first factor and the identity on the second,  $q$  is the quotient by the diagonal action of  $L^+\mathcal{G}$ , and  $m$  is the multiplication map. The map  $LG \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}} \times \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}}$ ,  $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto (g_1, g_1g_2)$  is an isomorphism, so the convolution Grassmannian  $LG \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}}$  is represented by an increasing union of perfections of projective  $k$ -schemes.

**Lemma 2.16.** *If  $k$  is a finite field or an algebraic closure thereof and  $x \in \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}}(k)$ ,*

$$m^{-1}(x) = \{(y, y^{-1}x) : y \in G(F)/K\} \subset G(F)/K \times G(F)/K.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the isomorphism  $LG \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}} \times \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}}$  and Lemma 2.2, cf. also [Zhu17b, Lemma 5.6.1].  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>Unions and intersections of Schubert varieties are reduced by [BK05, Theorem 2.3.3], but we do not need this fact when working with perfections.

<sup>2</sup>A different proof for the step from  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{a}$  to general  $\mathbf{f}$  appears in the final part of the proof of [HR18, Lemma 4.1].

We now define the following finite-dimensional perfect subschemes (2.17) of the convolution Grassmannian. Fix  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ . Let  $n \gg 0$  be an integer such that  $L^+\mathcal{G}$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}l_{w_2}$  through the quotient  $L^+\mathcal{G} \rightarrow L^n\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\pi^{(n)}: L^{(n)}G \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_G$  be the reduction of the  $L^+\mathcal{G}$ -torsor  $LG \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_G$  to an  $L^n\mathcal{G}$ -torsor. Then

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{F}l_{w_1} \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{w_2} := (\pi^{(n)})^{-1}(\mathcal{F}l_{w_1}) \times^{L^n\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{w_2}$$

is a closed subscheme of  $LG \times \mathcal{F}l_G$ , isomorphic to the perfection of a projective  $k$ -scheme, and independent of  $n \gg 0$ .

**Theorem 2.18.** *Let  $w_1, w_2 \in {}_fW^f$ . Fix reduced expressions  $\dot{w}_1 = \tau_1 s_1 \cdots s_n$  and  $\dot{w}_2 = t_1 \cdots t_m \tau_2$  where the  $s_i, t_j$  are simple reflections and  $\tau_i \in \pi_1(G)_I$ . Let  $\dot{w} = s_1 \cdots s_n t_1 \cdots t_m \tau_2$ .*

- (1) *The image of the restriction of  $m$  to  $\mathcal{F}l_{w_1} \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{w_2}$  is the left  $\tau_1$ -translate  $\tau_1 \cdot \pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})$ .*
- (2) *The resulting convolution map*

$$m: \mathcal{F}l_{w_1} \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{w_2} \longrightarrow \tau_1 \cdot \pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})$$

*satisfies  $Rm_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}l_{w_1} \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{w_2}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tau_1 \cdot \pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})}[0]$  and  $Rm_!(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[0]$ .*

*Proof.* Any lift  $\tilde{\tau}_1 \in N_G(S)(F)$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}l_G$  by multiplication on the left, and this action preserves  $L^+\mathcal{I}$ -orbits, so  $\tau_1 \cdot \pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}})$  is well-defined. Since  $m$  is  $LG$ -equivariant, after multiplying on the left by  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  we can assume  $w_1 \in W_{\text{af}}$ . Now consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{\dot{w}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\dot{w}}} & \pi_{\dot{w}}(D_{\dot{w}}) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow m \\ D_{\dot{w}_1} \times^{L^+\mathcal{I}} D_{\dot{w}_2} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \pi_{\dot{w}_2}} D_{\dot{w}_1} \times^{L^+\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}l_{w_2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\dot{w}_1} \times \text{id}} & \mathcal{F}l_{w_1} \times^{L^+\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}l_{w_2} \end{array}$$

Here we have factored  $\pi_{\dot{w}}$  as the composition

$$(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_m) \mapsto (p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1 \cdots q_m \tau_2) \mapsto (p_1 \cdots p_n, q_1 \cdots q_m \tau_2) \mapsto p_1 \cdots q_m \tau_2.$$

By Lemma 2.12, each map (except possibly  $m$ ) is surjective, and pushforward preserves the structure sheaf. For the bottom two maps, we also use flat base change and the fact that both properties can be checked étale-locally. It follows that  $m$  maps  $\mathcal{F}l_{w_1} \times^{L^+\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}l_{w_2}$  onto  $D_{\dot{w}}$ , and  $Rm_*$  preserves the structure sheaf. It also follows that  $Rm_!(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[0]$ .  $\square$

### 2.3. Geometry of generalized Mirković–Vilonen cycles.

2.3.1. *Attractors and fixed points.* Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $\mathbb{G}_m$  be the multiplicative group over  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ . For an  $R$ -scheme  $X$  with an action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , we have the following functors on  $R$ -algebras, cf. [Dri13, Ric19].

$$(2.19) \quad X^0: R' \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_R^{\mathbb{G}_m}(\mathrm{Spec}(R'), X),$$

$$(2.20) \quad X^+: R' \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_R^{\mathbb{G}_m}(\mathbb{A}_{R'}^1, X).$$

Here  $\mathrm{Spec}(R')$  has the trivial  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action and  $\mathbb{A}_{R'}^1$  has the usual (multiplicative) action. These are the functors of *fixed-points* and *attractors*, respectively (we will not need the repellers). There are natural maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\iota^0} & \\ X^0 & \xleftarrow{q^+} X^+ \xrightarrow{\iota^+} & X \end{array}$$

Here  $q^+$  is induced by the zero section  $\mathrm{Spec}(R') \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{R'}^1$ .

For the rest of subsection 2.3.1 we assume  $S = k$  and that  $X$  is a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite-type.

**Lemma 2.21.**

- (1) *The functors  $X^0$  and  $X^+$  are represented by  $k$ -schemes of finite-type.*
- (2) *The map  $\iota^0$  is a closed immersion and  $\iota^+$  is a monomorphism.*
- (3) *The map  $q^+$  is affine and induces a bijection  $\pi_0(X^+) \cong \pi_0(X^0)$ .*

*Proof.* This is [Dri13, 1.2.2, 1.3.3, 1.4.2] and [Ric19, Corollary 1.12]. □

We write the connected components of  $X^+$  as

$$X^+ = \bigsqcup_{i \in \pi_0(X^0)} X_i^+.$$

Similarly, let  $\{X_i^0\}_{i \in \pi_0(X^0)}$  be the connected components of  $X^0$ . Let  $q_i^+: X_i^+ \rightarrow X_i^0$  be the restriction of  $q^+$  to the corresponding connected component.

A sequence  $Z_0 \subset Z_1 \cdots \subset Z_n = X$  of closed subschemes is called a *filtration* of  $X$ , and the schemes  $Z_i \setminus Z_{i-1}$  are the *cells* of the filtration. With additional assumptions, we can say more about  $X^+$  as in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.22.** *Suppose  $k$  is algebraically closed and that  $X$  is normal, projective, and connected. Then  $q^+$  is bijective on points and each  $q_i^+$  is a locally closed immersion. Furthermore, there exists a filtration of  $X$  having the  $X_i^+$  as its cells.*

*Proof.* This is a special case of [CP21, §2.3]. We mention that the hypotheses on  $X$  are used to ensure that there exists a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant embedding of  $X$  into a projective space  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_m$  acts linearly on  $V$ . The existence of such an embedding is a result of Sumihiro [Sum74]. Since the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $V$  can be diagonalized,

the filtration can be explicitly constructed and the results follow from the works of Białynicki-Birula [BB73, BB76].  $\square$

For applications to mod  $p$  Hecke algebras, we will apply the following result to a deperfection of the Demazure resolution (2.11) in Proposition 2.27.

**Lemma 2.23.** *In addition to the assumptions of Lemma 2.22, suppose moreover that there exists a smooth projective  $k$ -scheme  $\tilde{X}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action and a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant surjection  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ .*

- (1) *There is exactly one  $i_0 \in \pi_0(X^+)$  such that  $X_{i_0}^+$  is closed in  $X$ , and it is characterized by the property that  $q_{i_0}^+$  is a universal homeomorphism.*
- (2) *Furthermore, if  $R\pi_!(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[0]$ , we have*

$$Rq_{i!}^+(\mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p[0], & i = i_0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* This is [CP21, Corollary 2.3.5]. The main ingredients are the fact that the attractors on  $\tilde{X}$  are affine bundles over their fixed points, and  $R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$  if  $n > 0$ . The result then follows by induction over the filtration in Lemma 2.22.  $\square$

### 2.3.2. Parabolic subgroups.

For the rest of the paper we assume  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}'$ . We use the shorthand  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{f}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w = \mathcal{F}\ell_w(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f})$ .

We fix a standard  $F$ -Levi subgroup  $M \subset G$ , i.e. the centralizer of a subtorus of  $A$ . Let  $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$  be a cocharacter defined over  $F$ . By conjugation, this gives rise to a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $G$ . Then the attractor  $P := G^+$  as in (2.20) is a parabolic  $F$ -subgroup of  $G$ . We may choose  $\lambda$  so that  $G^0 = M$  is the fixed point functor as in (2.19) for this  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action defined by  $\lambda$ . The  $k$ -points of the unipotent radical  $U \subset P$  are

$$(2.24) \quad U(k) = \{g \in G(k) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot g \cdot \lambda(t)^{-1} = 1\}.$$

**Lemma 2.25.** *Let  $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{G}^+$  and  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{G}^0$  for the unique extension of  $\lambda$  to a cocharacter  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_F} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ . Suppose  $k$  is a finite field or an algebraic closure thereof.*

- (1) *The attractor functor  $\mathcal{P}$  and fixed-point functor  $\mathcal{M}$  are smooth, closed subgroup schemes of  $\mathcal{G}$  with geometrically connected fibers.*
- (2) *Furthermore,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a parahoric group scheme for  $M$ , the natural map  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a closed immersion, and  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_F) = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_F) \cap M(F)$ .*

*Proof.* The first part follows from [HR21, Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6]. The proof that  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a closed immersion in equal characteristic applies verbatim in mixed characteristic, cf. [Zhu17a, Proposition 1.20]. Finally, the description of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  is [Ric16, Lemma A.1].  $\square$

The Teichmüller map  $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow L_p^+ \mathbb{G}_m$  induces a homomorphism  $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{perf}} \rightarrow L^+ \mathbb{G}_m$ . The cocharacter  $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_F} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  also induces a homomorphism  $L^+ \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow L^+ \mathcal{T}$ . Since

$\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{I}$  and  $L^+\mathcal{I}$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$ , this induces a  $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{perf}}$ -action on  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$ . We may then define the fixed points  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}})^0$  and attractors  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}})^+$  as functors on perfect  $k$ -algebras.

2.3.3. *Equivariant resolutions.* Let  $w \in {}_{\mathbf{f}}W^{\mathbf{f}}$ , and fix a reduced decomposition  $\dot{w}$  as in 2.2.1. Then the Demazure resolution  $D_{\dot{w}}$  has a canonical deperfection

$$D_{\dot{w}}^{\text{can}} := L^+\mathcal{P}_{1,p} \times^{L_p^+\mathcal{I}} \dots \times^{L_p^+\mathcal{I}} L^+\mathcal{P}_{n,p}/L_p^+\mathcal{I}.$$

This is smooth, projective, iterated  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. By considering the birational map  $\pi_{\dot{w}}: D_{\dot{w}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_w$  from (2.11), we can view  $k(D_{\dot{w}}^{\text{can}})$  as a subfield of  $k(\mathcal{F}\ell_w)$ . By Proposition 2.6, this gives us a particular deperfection  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}$  of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$ , equipped with a birational map

$$(2.26) \quad \pi_{\dot{w}}^{\text{dep}}: D_{\dot{w}}^{\text{can}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}$$

which is a deperfection of (2.11).

**Proposition 2.27.** *The resolution (2.26) satisfies all the hypotheses of Lemma 2.22 and Lemma 2.23.*

*Proof.* The scheme  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}$  is connected and projective by Proposition 2.6, and it is normal by Theorem 2.8. From the cocharacter  $\lambda: \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  and the Teichmüller map, we get a homomorphism  $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow L_p^+\mathcal{T}$ . Since  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{I}$  and  $L_p^+\mathcal{I}$  acts on  $D_{\dot{w}}^{\text{can}}$ , this induces a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $D_{\dot{w}}^{\text{can}}$ . Because  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}$  is normal,  $\pi_{\dot{w},*}^{\text{dep}}(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}^{\text{can}}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}}$ . Thus, for any open set  $U \subset \mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}$ , we have  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}}) = \Gamma((\pi_{\dot{w}}^{\text{dep}})^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_{D_{\dot{w}}^{\text{can}}})$ . Since  $\pi_{\dot{w}}$  is  $L^+\mathcal{I}$ -equivariant, and in particular  $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{perf}}$ -equivariant, the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m(k)$  on  $k(D_{\dot{w}}^{\text{can}})$  induces a well-defined action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}$  such that  $\pi_{\dot{w}}^{\text{dep}}$  is  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant. Finally, by topological invariance of the étale site [Sta22, 04DY], the fact that  $\pi_{\dot{w},!}^{\text{dep}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[0]$  can be checked after passing to perfections, so it follows from Lemma 2.12.  $\square$

**Remark 2.28.** We do *not* assert that  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}$  is the canonical deperfection of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$  in [AGLR22], which is constructed from the quotient of  $L_p^+\mathcal{G}$  by the stabilizer of its action on  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\circ}$ .

2.3.4. *Description of the attractors.* The functors  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_w)^0$  and  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_w)^+$  are represented by the perfections of  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}})^0$  and  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}})^+$  as constructed in Proposition 2.27. Since the partial order on a Coxeter group is directed, we may write

$$\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}} = \varinjlim \mathcal{F}\ell_w,$$

where the limit is over  $w \in {}_{\mathbf{f}}W^{\mathbf{f}}$  and the transition maps are closed immersions. The formation of fixed points and attractors is compatible with filtered colimits of closed immersions [HR21, Theorem 2.1]. Thus,

$$(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}})^0 = \varinjlim (\mathcal{F}\ell_w)^0, \quad (\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}})^+ = \varinjlim (\mathcal{F}\ell_w)^+.$$

Here  $(\mathcal{F}l_G)^0$  is a closed sub-ind-scheme of  $\mathcal{F}l_G$  and  $(\mathcal{F}l_G)^+$  is a disjoint union of locally closed sub-ind-schemes. The natural map  $(\mathcal{F}l_G)^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}l_G)^0$  induces a bijection  $\pi_0((\mathcal{F}l_G)^+) \cong \pi_0((\mathcal{F}l_G)^0)$ .

By the definition of  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{G}^0$ , the closed immersion  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_G$  factors through  $(\mathcal{F}l_G)^0$ . By the proof of [AGLR22, Theorem 5.2], the map  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}l_G)^0$  identifies  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}}$  with a disjoint union of connected components of  $(\mathcal{F}l_G)^0$  (see also [HR21, Proposition 4.7, Lemma 4.11]). Furthermore, by [AGLR22, Theorem 5.2], the connected components of  $(\mathcal{F}l_G)^0$  can be described as follows. Let  $W_M$  be the Iwahori-Weyl group of  $M$  and let  $W_{M,\text{af}}$  be its affine Weyl group. Then the inclusion  $\pi_0(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}}) \hookrightarrow \pi_0((\mathcal{F}l_G)^0)$  identifies with the inclusion

$$W_{M,\text{af}} \backslash W_M \hookrightarrow W_{M,\text{af}} \backslash W/W_{\mathbf{f}}.$$

For  $c \in W_{M,\text{af}} \backslash W/W_{\mathbf{f}}$ , let  $S_c$  be the corresponding connected component<sup>3</sup> of  $(\mathcal{F}l_G)^+$ . This is a locally closed sub-ind-scheme of  $\mathcal{F}l_G$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}l_G = \bigsqcup_{c \in W_{M,\text{af}} \backslash W/W_{\mathbf{f}}} S_c.$$

The irreducible components of  $S_c$  generalize<sup>4</sup> the *Mirković–Vilonen cycles* in [MV07, Theorem 3.2]. The  $k$ -points of  $S_c$  can be described as follows. Let  $M_{\text{sc}}$  be the simply connected cover of the derived group of  $M$  and let  $P_{\text{sc}} = M_{\text{sc}} \times U$ . The following lemma also appears in the proof of [AGLR22, Theorem 5.2].

**Lemma 2.29.** *For  $c \in W_{M,\text{af}} \backslash W/W_{\mathbf{f}}$ , let  $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{F}l_G(k)$  be the image of a representative under the embedding  $W/W_{\mathbf{f}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}l_G(k)$ . Then  $S_c(k) = P_{\text{sc}}(F) \cdot \tilde{c}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.2 and the definitions in 2.3.2.  $\square$

If  $c \in W_{M,\text{af}} \backslash W_M = \pi_1(M)_I$ , let  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c$  be the corresponding connected component of  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Let

$$\pi_c: S_c \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c$$

be the resulting map obtained by restriction from the map  $(\mathcal{F}l_G)^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}l_G)^0$ .

**Lemma 2.30.** *Let  $m \in \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}}(k)$  and let  $\tilde{m} \in M(F)$  be a representative. If  $m$  lies in  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c$ , then*

$$\pi_c^{-1}(m) = \{[\tilde{m} \cdot u] : u \in U(F)/(U(F) \cap K)\} \subset G(F)/K.$$

*In the above,  $[\tilde{m} \cdot u]$  is the equivalence class of  $\tilde{m} \cdot u$  in  $\mathcal{F}l_G(k)$  for  $u \in U(F)$ , and  $[\tilde{m} \cdot u_1] = [\tilde{m} \cdot u_2]$  if and only if  $u_1 \cdot (U(F) \cap K) = u_2 \cdot (U(F) \cap K)$ .*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of (2.24) and Lemma 2.29 (see also [HR21, Lemma 4.11]).  $\square$

<sup>3</sup>Recall that we assume  $k$  is algebraically closed, so  $S_c$  is in fact geometrically connected.

<sup>4</sup>In [MV07, Theorem 3.2], the group  $G$  is split and  $M$  is a maximal torus.



2.3.5. *Attractors over finite fields.* In this subsection we assume  $k$  is finite, and we fix an element  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{f}} \backslash W^\sigma / W_{\mathfrak{f}}$ . By (2.4), this gives rise to a perfect Schubert scheme  $\mathcal{F}l_w$  defined over  $k$  which admits a  $k$ -point. Also, fix a connected component  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c$  of  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}}$  which is defined over  $k$  and admits a  $k$ -point (and is therefore geometrically connected). Such connected components of  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}}$  are indexed by  $c \in \pi_1(M)_I^\sigma$ .

The ind-schemes  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}})^0$  and  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}})^+$  are defined over  $k$ , as are the maps  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}})^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}}$ . Let  $S_c$  be the geometrically connected component of  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}})^+$  which maps to  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c$  under the map  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}})^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}})^0$ .

**Lemma 2.31.** *Let  $c \in \pi_1(M)_I^\sigma$  and  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{f}} \backslash W^\sigma / W_{\mathfrak{f}}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c \cap \mathcal{F}l_w$  is geometrically connected.*

*Proof.* Over  $\bar{k}$ , the intersection is a closed union of  $L^+ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{F}}}$ -orbits inside a single connected component  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}, \bar{k}})^c$  of  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}, \bar{k}}$ . Every such union is connected because it contains the unique minimal Iwahori orbit in  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}, \bar{k}})^c$ .  $\square$

The map  $\pi_c: S_c \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c$  restricts to a map  $\pi_{c,w}: S_c \cap \mathcal{F}l_w \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c \cap \mathcal{F}l_w$ .

**Theorem 2.32.** *Let  $c \in \pi_1(M)_I^\sigma$  and  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{f}} \backslash W^\sigma / W_{\mathfrak{f}}$ . Let  $m$  be a  $k$ -point of  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}})^c \cap \mathcal{F}l_w$  and let  $\tilde{m} \in M(F)$  be a representative.*

(1) *At the level of  $k$ -points, we have*

$$\pi_{c,w}^{-1}(m) = \mathcal{F}l_w(k) \cap \{[\tilde{m} \cdot u] : u \in U(F)/(U(F) \cap K)\}.$$

*In the above,  $[\tilde{m} \cdot u]$  is the equivalence class of  $\tilde{m} \cdot u$  in  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}}(k)$  for  $u \in U(F)$ , and  $[\tilde{m} \cdot u_1] = [\tilde{m} \cdot u_2]$  if and only if  $u_1 \cdot (U(F) \cap K) = u_2 \cdot (U(F) \cap K)$ .*

(2) *Furthermore, we have*

$$R(\pi_{c,w})_!(\mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p[0], & (\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}, \bar{k}})^c \cap \mathcal{F}l_{w, \bar{k}} \text{ is the unique closed attractor in } (\mathcal{F}l_{w, \bar{k}})^+ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Lemma 2.30 is valid if  $k$  is finite, so this gives the description of  $\pi_{c,w}^{-1}(m)$ . To check the formula for  $R(\pi_{c,w})_!(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , we may base-change to  $\bar{k}$ . By Proposition 2.27, we may then apply Lemma 2.23. It remains to see that  $(\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}, \bar{k}})^c \cap \mathcal{F}l_{w, \bar{k}}$ , which is a priori a disjoint union of connected components in  $(\mathcal{F}l_{w, \bar{k}})^0$ , is connected. This follows from Lemma 2.31.  $\square$

**Remark 2.33.** If  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a special vertex,  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}}(k) = (\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}})^0(k)$  by the Iwasawa decomposition. If  $\mathfrak{f}$  is not very special, i.e.  $\mathfrak{f}$  is not special over  $\check{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{k}) \subsetneq (\mathcal{F}l_{\mathcal{G}})^0(\bar{k})$  in general.

### 3. APPLICATIONS TO MOD $p$ HECKE ALGEBRAS

*In section 3 we assume  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p > 0$ .*

#### 3.1. Mod $p$ Hecke algebras.

3.1.1. *The function-sheaf dictionary.* In this subsection we recall the function-sheaf dictionary following [Del77, Rapport]. Let  $X$  be a separated scheme of finite-type over  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a constructible sheaf of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector spaces on  $X$  (we will only need the case where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a constant sheaf). For each point  $x \in X(k)$ , the stalk  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is a finite-dimensional representation of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ . Taking the trace of the action of the geometric Frobenius element  $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  gives a value  $\text{Tr}(\gamma, \mathcal{F}_x) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . In this way, we get a function

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{F}^{\text{Tr}}: X(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \quad x \mapsto \text{Tr}(\gamma, \mathcal{F}_x).$$

On the other hand, if  $X_{\bar{k}} = X \times \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$ , there is a natural action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  on the étale cohomology with compact support  $H_c^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F})$ . The function-sheaf dictionary is the following relationship between these actions.

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have*

$$\sum_{x \in X(k)} \text{Tr}(\gamma, \mathcal{F}_x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\gamma, H_c^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F})).$$

In particular, if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$  is the constant sheaf,

$$|X(k)| \equiv \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\gamma, H_c^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{F}_p)) \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from [Del77, Rapport, Theorem 4.1].  $\square$

3.1.2. *Definitions and bases.* The mod  $p$  Hecke algebra associated to  $K$  is the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K$  of compactly supported functions  $K \backslash G(F)/K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ . The multiplication is by convolution of functions:

$$(3.3) \quad (f_1 * f_2)(g) = \sum_{h \in G(F)/K} f_1(h) f_2(h^{-1}g).$$

The algebra  $\mathcal{H}_K$  has a basis consisting of the characteristic functions of the double cosets  $K \backslash G(F)/K$ . By (2.4), these double cosets are indexed by  $w \in W_{\mathbf{f}}^\sigma \backslash W^\sigma / W_{\mathbf{f}}^\sigma$ . Let  $\mathbb{1}_w$  be the characteristic function of the corresponding double coset.

There is another basis of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  more suitable for geometric arguments. For  $w \in W_{\mathbf{f}}^\sigma \backslash W^\sigma / W_{\mathbf{f}}^\sigma$ , let  $\phi_w = \sum_v \mathbb{1}_v$ , where the sum runs over those  $v \in W_{\mathbf{f}}^\sigma \backslash W^\sigma / W_{\mathbf{f}}^\sigma$  such that  $\mathbf{f}v\mathbf{f} \leq \mathbf{f}w\mathbf{f}$ . The basis  $\phi_w$  arises from the function-sheaf dictionary as follows. Let  $(\mathbb{F}_p)_w$  be the constant étale sheaf supported on  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$ . Then by the closure relations in Lemma 2.5, we have

$$(3.4) \quad ((\mathbb{F}_p)_w)^{\text{Tr}} = \phi_w.$$

**Remark 3.5.** In the case of split groups in equal characteristic,  $(\mathbb{F}_p)_w$  is a shift of the mod  $p$  intersection cohomology sheaf of the corresponding affine Schubert variety  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$  (by the perfected version of [Cas22, Theorem 1.5]). By [Cas22, Theorem 1.7], the same is true in mixed characteristic if  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$  has a deperfection by a scheme with

$F$ -rational singularities. We expect such a deperfection to exist for all  $w$  (for example, we expect that  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w^{\text{dep}}$  is  $F$ -rational).

3.1.3. *The Satake transform.* Recall the Levi decomposition  $P = MU$  arising from the cocharacter  $\lambda$ . The Satake transform with respect to  $P$  is the following algebra homomorphism.

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{S}: \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \mathcal{S}(f)(m) = \sum_{u \in U(F)/U(F) \cap K} f(mu), \quad m \in M(F).$$

3.2. **Explicit formulas.** Let  $w_1, w_2 \in W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$ . The image of the convolution map  $m: \mathcal{F}\ell_{w_1} \times^{L^+ \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{F}\ell_{w_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$  is geometrically irreducible, closed,  $L^+ \mathcal{G}$ -stable, and it is defined over  $k$ . Thus, it is of the form  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$  for some  $w \in W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$ . Moreover, by Theorem 2.18,  $\mathcal{F}\ell_w$  is the image of a certain Demazure map, and  $w$  may be computed explicitly by tracing through the steps in the proof of Lemma 2.12.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $w_1, w_2 \in W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$ , and let  $w \in W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^{\sigma} / W_{\mathbf{f}}$  be such that  $m(\mathcal{F}\ell_{w_1} \times^{L^+ \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}\ell_{w_2}) = \mathcal{F}\ell_w$ . Then*

$$\phi_{w_1} * \phi_{w_2} = \phi_w.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.16, for each  $x \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}(k)$  we have

$$m^{-1}(x) = \{(y, y^{-1}x) : y \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{w_1}(k), y^{-1}x \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{w_2}(k)\}.$$

Recall that  $(\mathbb{F}_p)_{w_i}^{\text{Tr}} = \phi_{w_i}$  by (3.4). Thus for any  $\tilde{x} \in G(F)$  a lift of  $x$ ,

$$(\phi_{w_1} * \phi_{w_2})(\tilde{x}) = |m^{-1}(x)| \pmod{p}.$$

On the other hand,  $Rm_1(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong (\mathbb{F}_p)_w$  by Theorem 2.18. Now we conclude by applying the function-sheaf dictionary (Lemma 3.2) to  $m^{-1}(x)$  viewed as a  $k$ -scheme.  $\square$

For  $c \in \pi_1(M)_I^{\sigma}$ , let  $\phi_{c,w} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$  be the characteristic function of the intersection  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}})^c(\mathbb{F}_q) \cap \mathcal{F}\ell_w(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *The Satake transform  $\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$  satisfies*

$$\mathcal{S}(\phi_w) = \begin{cases} \phi_{c,w}, & (\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M},\bar{k}})^c \cap \mathcal{F}\ell_{w,\bar{k}} \text{ is the unique closed attractor in } (\mathcal{F}\ell_{w,\bar{k}})^+ \\ 0, & \text{the closed attractor in } (\mathcal{F}\ell_{w,\bar{k}})^+ \text{ does not admit a } k\text{-point in } \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 2.32, the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

**3.3. The case of a special parahoric.** Throughout this section we assume that  $M$  is a minimal Levi subgroup and that  $\mathbf{f}$  is a special vertex. Since we assumed that  $M$  is semi-standard, this implies  $M = C_G(A)$ . By [HV15, §6], the group

$$\Lambda := M(F)/(M(F) \cap K) = M(F)/\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)$$

is a finitely generated abelian group. The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{M(F) \cap K}$  is naturally identified with the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$ . We denote the canonical basis elements by  $e^z$  for  $z \in \Lambda$ .

The relative Weyl group  $W(G, A)$  acts on  $\Lambda$ . Furthermore, the map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow K \backslash G(F)/K, \quad z \mapsto \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_F)z\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_F)$$

induces a bijection between  $W(G, A)$ -orbits in  $\Lambda$  and the double cosets  $K \backslash G(F)/K$ . To describe these orbits, we note that there is a natural homomorphism  $\nu_M: M(F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X^*(M)^{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}, \mathbb{Z})$  characterized by the requirement

$$\nu_M(m)(\chi) = \text{val}_F(\chi(m)), \quad \text{for all } m \in M(F), \chi \in X^*(M)^{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}.$$

Here  $\text{val}_F$  is the normalized valuation on  $F$ . The restriction map  $X^*(M)^{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)} \rightarrow X^*(A)$  induces an isomorphism  $X_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{Hom}(X^*(M)^{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , and hence we may view  $\nu_M$  as a homomorphism

$$\nu_M: M(F) \rightarrow X_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

The map  $\nu_M$  is  $W(G, A)$ -equivariant and identifies  $\Lambda/\Lambda_{\text{tor}}$  with a lattice in  $X_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

The minimal parabolic  $P$  containing  $M$  corresponds to a choice of positive roots  $\Phi^+$  for  $G$  in  $X^*(A)$ . This determines an anti-dominant Weyl chamber  $\mathcal{C} \subset X_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , defined by the requirement that  $\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\Lambda_-$  be the preimage of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\Lambda$ . We call the elements of  $\Lambda_-$  *anti-dominant*. Each  $W(G, A)$ -orbit in  $\Lambda$  contains a unique anti-dominant element, so we get bijections

$$\Lambda_- \xrightarrow{\sim} K \backslash G(F)/K \xleftarrow{\sim} W_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash W^\sigma / W_{\mathbf{f}}.$$

For  $z \in \Lambda_-$ , let  $\mathcal{F}l_z \subset \mathcal{F}l_G$  be the corresponding perfect Schubert scheme, and let  $\phi_z = ((\mathbb{F}_p)_z)^{\text{Tr}}$  be corresponding basis element of  $H_G$ .

The following theorem recovers [Her11b, Theorem 1.2] when  $K$  is hyperspecial, and [HV15, §1.5] when  $K$  is special. Moreover, we recover the explicit formulas in [Her11a, Proposition 5.1] and [Oll15, Theorem 5.5] (where  $G$  is split and  $K$  is hyperspecial), and in [AHV22, Theorem 1.1] (where  $G$  is arbitrary and  $K$  is special).

**Theorem 3.9.** (1) *If  $K$  is special, for  $z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda_-$ , we have*

$$m(\mathcal{F}l_{z_1} \times^{L^+G} \mathcal{F}l_{z_2}) = \mathcal{F}l_{z_1 z_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{z_1} * \phi_{z_2} = \phi_{z_1 z_2}.$$

*In particular,  $\mathcal{H}_K$  is commutative.*

(2) *Moreover, the Satake transform  $\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{M(F) \cap K}$  is injective, identifies  $\mathcal{H}_K$  with  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda_-]$ , and satisfies*

$$\mathcal{S}(\phi_z) = e^z, \quad \text{for all } z \in \Lambda_-.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.7 and the fact that  $\mathcal{S}$  is an algebra homomorphism, all of the statements follow from the formula  $\mathcal{S}(\phi_z) = e^z$ . To prove the formula, let  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}})^c$  be the unique connected component  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}}$  which contains the  $k$ -point  $z \in \Lambda_-$ , and let  $S_c \subset \mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$  be the corresponding attractor. Then we have the map  $\pi_{c,z}: S_c \cap \mathcal{F}\ell_z \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}})^c \cap \mathcal{F}\ell_z$ . By (1.4) and Theorem 2.32, at the level of  $k$ -points,  $\pi_{c,z}^{-1}(\{z\}) = \{z\}$ . Then by the function-sheaf dictionary,  $R(\pi_{c,z})_!(\mathbb{F}_p) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M},\bar{k}})^c \cap \mathcal{F}\ell_{z,\bar{k}}$  is the unique closed attractor in  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{z,\bar{k}})^+$ . On the other hand,  $\Lambda$  is naturally identified with the  $\sigma$ -stable connected components of  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M},\bar{k}}$  by [HR10, Proposition 1.0.2]. Thus  $z$  is the only  $k$ -point of  $(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{M}})^c$ , so the formula follows from Theorem 3.8.  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** A special vertex  $\mathfrak{f}$  is called *very special* if it remains special in  $\mathcal{B}(G, \check{F})$ . If  $\mathfrak{f}$  is very special, Theorem 3.9 takes the following simpler form. First, by [Zhu15, Lemma 6.1], a special vertex exists if and only if  $G$  is quasi-split over  $F$ . In this case,  $M = T$  is a maximal torus and  $P = B$  is an  $F$ -rational Borel. Furthermore,  $\Lambda = X_*(T)_I^\sigma$  by [HR10, Corollary 11.1.2]. Over  $\check{F}$ , we have a splitting  $W = X_*(T)_I \rtimes W(G, T)$ , and  $W_{\check{\mathfrak{f}}} \backslash W/W_{\check{\mathfrak{f}}}$  and consists of the image of the anti dominant elements  $X_*(T)_-$ , defined with respect to  $B$ , under the surjection  $X_*(T) \rightarrow X_*(T)_I$  [Ric13, Corollary 1.8]. Furthermore, the length  $\ell$  is additive on these anti-dominant elements, so all convolution maps for  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathcal{G}}$  are birational.

## REFERENCES

- [Abd14] Ramla Abdellatif, *Classification des représentations modulo  $p$  de  $SL(2, F)$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **142** (2014), no. 3, 537–589. MR 3295722
- [AGLR22] Johannes Anschütz, Ian Gleason, João Lourenço, and Timo Richarz, *On the  $p$ -adic theory of local models*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.01234>, 2022.
- [AHHV17] N. Abe, G. Henniart, F. Herzig, and M.-F. Vignéras, *A classification of irreducible admissible mod  $p$  representations of  $p$ -adic reductive groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 2, 495–559. MR 3600042
- [AHV22] N. Abe, F. Herzig, and M. F. Vignéras, *Inverse Satake isomorphism and change of weight*, Represent. Theory **26** (2022), 264–324. MR 4397148
- [BB73] A. Białynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **98** (1973), 480–497. MR 366940
- [BB76] ———, *Some properties of the decompositions of algebraic varieties determined by actions of a torus*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **24** (1976), no. 9, 667–674. MR 453766
- [BK05] Michel Brion and Shrawan Kumar, *Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 231, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005. MR 2107324
- [Bre03] Christophe Breuil, *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et  $p$ -adiques de  $GL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . I*, Compositio Math. **138** (2003), no. 2, 165–188. MR 2018825
- [BS17] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze, *Projectivity of the Witt vector affine Grassmannian*, Invent. Math. **209** (2017), no. 2, 329–423. MR 3674218
- [BT72] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1972), no. 41, 5–251. MR 327923

- [BT84] ———, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1984), no. 60, 197–376. MR 756316
- [Cas22] Robert Cass, *Perverse  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -sheaves on the affine Grassmannian*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **785** (2022), 219–272. MR 4402494
- [Col10] Pierre Colmez, *Représentations de  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  et  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules*, Astérisque (2010), no. 330, 281–509. MR 2642409
- [CP21] Robert Cass and Cédric Pépin, *Constant term functors with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -coefficients*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.00714>, 2021.
- [Del77] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie étale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ . MR 463174
- [Dri13] V. G. Drinfeld, *On algebraic spaces with an action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$* , <https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.2604>, 2013.
- [EG22] Matthew Emerton and Toby Gee, *Moduli stacks of étale  $(\phi, \gamma)$ -modules and the existence of crystalline lifts*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.07185>, 2022.
- [Fal03] Gerd Faltings, *Algebraic loop groups and moduli spaces of bundles*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **5** (2003), no. 1, 41–68. MR 1961134
- [FS22] Laurent Fargues and Peter Scholze, *Geometrization of the local langlands correspondence*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.13459>, 2022.
- [GK16] Elmar Grosse-Klönne, *From pro- $p$  Iwahori-Hecke modules to  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, I*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 8, 1529–1595. MR 3504178
- [GK18] ———, *From pro- $p$  Iwahori-Hecke modules to  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, II*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2018), no. 3, 862–906. MR 3801449
- [GK20] ———, *Supersingular Hecke modules as Galois representations*, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), no. 1, 67–118. MR 4076808
- [Gre61] Marvin J. Greenberg, *Schemata over local rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **73** (1961), 624–648. MR 126449
- [Her11a] Florian Herzig, *The classification of irreducible admissible mod  $p$  representations of a  $p$ -adic  $\mathrm{GL}_n$* , Invent. Math. **186** (2011), no. 2, 373–434. MR 2845621
- [Her11b] ———, *A Satake isomorphism in characteristic  $p$* , Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 1, 263–283. MR 2771132
- [HR] Thomas Haines and Timo Richarz, *Normality and cohen-macaulayness of parahoric local models*, accepted in Journal of the European Mathematical Society.
- [HR08] Thomas Haines and Michael Rapoport, *On parahoric subgroups*, Advances in Mathematics **219** (2008), no. 1, 188–198.
- [HR10] Thomas J. Haines and Sean Rostami, *The Satake isomorphism for special maximal parahoric Hecke algebras*, Represent. Theory **14** (2010), 264–284. MR 2602034
- [HR18] Thomas J. Haines and Timo Richarz, *On the normality of schubert varieties: remaining cases in positive characteristic (arXiv version 2)*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.11001v2>, 2018.
- [HR21] ———, *The test function conjecture for parahoric local models*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (2021), no. 1, 135–218. MR 4188816
- [HV15] Guy Henniart and Marie-France Vignéras, *A Satake isomorphism for representations modulo  $p$  of reductive groups over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **701** (2015), 33–75. MR 3331726
- [HV19] G. Henniart and M.-F. Vignéras, *Representations of a  $p$ -adic group in characteristic  $p$* , Representations of reductive groups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 101, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019, pp. 171–210. MR 3930018

- [HZ20] Xuhua He and Rong Zhou, *On the connected components of affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties*, Duke Math. J. **169** (2020), no. 14, 2697–2765. MR 4149507
- [KL87] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig, *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 1, 153–215. MR 862716
- [Koz16] Karol Koziol, *A classification of the irreducible mod- $p$  representations of  $U(1, 1)(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **66** (2016), no. 4, 1545–1582. MR 3494178
- [KP22] Tasho Kaletha and Gopal Prasad, *Bruhat–Tits theory: a new approach*, 2022, in preparation.
- [Man22] Lucas Mann, *A  $p$ -adic 6-functor formalism in rigid-analytic geometry*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.02022>, 2022.
- [MV07] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen, *Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **166** (2007), no. 1, 95–143. MR 2342692
- [Oll10] Rachel Ollivier, *Parabolic induction and Hecke modules in characteristic  $p$  for  $p$ -adic  $GL_n$* , Algebra Number Theory **4** (2010), no. 6, 701–742. MR 2728487
- [Oll15] ———, *An inverse Satake isomorphism in characteristic  $p$* , Selecta Math. (N.S.) **21** (2015), no. 3, 727–761. MR 3366919
- [PR08] G. Pappas and M. Rapoport, *Twisted loop groups and their affine flag varieties*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), no. 1, 118–198, With an appendix by T. Haines and Rapoport. MR 2435422
- [PS20] Cédric Pépin and Tobias Schmidt, *Generic and mod  $p$  kazhdan-lusztig theory for  $GL_2$* , <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.01364>, 2020.
- [Ric13] Timo Richarz, *Schubert varieties in twisted affine flag varieties and local models*, J. Algebra **375** (2013), 121–147. MR 2998951
- [Ric16] ———, *Affine Grassmannians and geometric Satake equivalences*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2016), no. 12, 3717–3767. MR 3544618
- [Ric19] ———, *Spaces with  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action, hyperbolic localization and nearby cycles*, J. Algebraic Geom. **28** (2019), no. 2, 251–289. MR 3912059
- [Ser94] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, fifth ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. MR 1324577
- [Sta22] The Stacks project authors, *The stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2022.
- [Sum74] Hideyasu Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 1–28. MR 337963
- [SW20] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein, *Berkeley lectures on  $p$ -adic geometry*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 207, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020. MR 4446467
- [Vig05] Marie-France Vignéras, *Pro- $p$ -Iwahori Hecke ring and supersingular  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -representations*, Math. Ann. **331** (2005), no. 3, 523–556. MR 2122539
- [Zhu15] Xinwen Zhu, *The geometric Satake correspondence for ramified groups*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **48** (2015), no. 2, 409–451. MR 3346175
- [Zhu17a] ———, *Affine Grassmannians and the geometric Satake in mixed characteristic*, Ann. of Math. (2) **185** (2017), no. 2, 403–492. MR 3612002
- [Zhu17b] ———, *An introduction to affine Grassmannians and the geometric Satake equivalence*, Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, pp. 59–154. MR 3752460

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 530 CHURCH ST, ANN ARBOR, MI, USA

*Email address:* `cassr@umich.edu`

M.I.T., 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA, USA

*Email address:* `yujiexu@mit.edu`