

# Kempe Equivalent List Colorings Revisited

Dibyayan Chakraborty\* Carl Feghali† Reem Mahmoud‡

## Abstract

A classical theorem of Gallai states that in every graph that is critical for  $k$ -colorings, the vertices of degree  $k - 1$  induce a tree-like graph whose blocks are either complete graphs or cycles of odd length. Borodin and, independently, Erdős et al. provided a well-known generalization of Gallai's Theorem to list colorings, where the list at each vertex has the same number of available colors as the degree of that vertex. In this paper, we obtain an analogous result for Kempe equivalence of list colorings, partially resolving a problem of Cranston and Mahmoud.

A classical theorem of Gallai [4] states that the vertices of degree  $k - 1$  in a  $k$ -critical graph induce a graph whose blocks either consist of odd cycles or complete graphs. Thus, a graph all of whose blocks are odd cycles or complete graphs is popularly called a *Gallai tree*.

Borodin [1] and, independently, Erdős et al. [3] obtained a well-known generalization of Gallai's Theorem to list colorings. Given a graph  $G$  and a list  $L(v)$  of colors for each vertex  $v$ , we say  $G$  is  *$L$ -colorable* if there is a (proper) coloring of  $G$  such that each vertex  $v$  is assigned a color from  $L(v)$ .

**Theorem 1** ([1, 3]). *Let  $G$  be a connected graph, and  $L$  a list-assignment for  $G$ . Suppose that  $|L(x)| \geq d(x)$  for each  $x \in V(G)$ , where  $d(v)$  denotes the degree of  $v$ , and  $G$  is not  $L$ -colorable. Then  $G$  is a Gallai tree.*

In this paper, we consider an analogue of Theorem 1 for Kempe equivalence of list colorings. We briefly note that the notion of Kempe equivalence of (proper) colorings is inspired from a well-known attempt of Kempe at proving the Four Color Theorem and has been a popular topic of research since; see for example [5, 6, 7]. It has also found applications in statistical physics [8] and Markov chains [9].

Before stating our result, we require a number of definitions. A *Kempe chain* on colors  $a$  and  $b$  is a component of the graph induced by colors  $a$  and  $b$ . A *Kempe change* is the operation of interchanging the colors of some Kempe chain. Two colorings are *Kempe*

---

\*Univ. Lyon, EnsL, UCBL, CNRS, LIP, F-69342, Lyon Cedex 07, France, email: [dibyayan.chakraborty@ens-lyon.fr](mailto:dibyayan.chakraborty@ens-lyon.fr)

†Univ. Lyon, EnsL, UCBL, CNRS, LIP, F-69342, Lyon Cedex 07, France, email: [feghali.carl@gmail.com](mailto:feghali.carl@gmail.com)

‡Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA, email: [mahmoudr@vcu.edu](mailto:mahmoudr@vcu.edu)

*equivalent* if one can be formed from the other by a sequence of Kempe changes. A list-assignment  $L$  is a *degree list-assignment* if  $|L(v)| \geq d(v)$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ . For a list-assignment  $L$  and an  $L$ -coloring  $\varphi$ , a Kempe change is called  *$L$ -valid* for  $\varphi$  if performing the Kempe change yields another  $L$ -coloring. Two  $L$ -colorings are called  *$L$ -equivalent* (or simply Kempe equivalent) if we can form one from the other by a sequence of  $L$ -valid Kempe changes. A graph  $G$  is *degree-swappable* if for any degree list-assignment  $L$ , all  $L$ -colorings are  $L$ -equivalent.

We prove the following theorem. A connected graph is  $k$ -connected for some positive integer  $k$  if deleting  $k - 1$  vertices from the graph does not disconnect it.

**Theorem 2.** *Every 4-connected graph distinct from the complete graph is degree-swappable.*

Theorem 2 makes progress towards a problem of Cranston and Mahmoud [2] who asked to characterize all degree-swappable graphs. In their same paper, initiating the study of Kempe equivalence in the context of list colorings, they proved

**Theorem 3** ([2]). *Let  $G$  be a connected graph, and  $L$  a list-assignment for  $G$ . Suppose that  $|L(x)| = \Delta(G)$  for each  $x \in V(G)$ , where  $\Delta(G)$  denotes the maximum degree of  $G$  and  $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ . Then all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  are  $L$ -equivalent unless  $L$  is identical everywhere and  $G$  is complete or the triangular prism.*

They also gave examples of 2-connected graphs which are not degree-swappable. We conjecture that every 3-connected graph (distinct from the complete graph or the triangular prism) is degree-swappable. The proof of Theorem 2 makes use of Theorem 3 and thus Theorem 2 is an extension rather than a generalization of Theorem 3 when  $G$  is 4-connected.

## 1 The proof of Theorem 2

We first gather some of the tools to establish Theorem 2.

The first lemma shows that the most difficult case for a characterization of degree-swappable graphs is when the size of the lists at each vertex is precisely equal to its degree.

**Lemma 1** ([2, 5]). *Let  $G$  be a connected graph and  $L$  be a list-assignment for  $G$  such that  $|L(u)| = d(u)$  for some  $u \in V(G)$  and  $|L(v)| \geq d(v)$  for each  $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ . Then all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  are  $L$ -equivalent.*

The following lemma will be used repeatedly. Let  $G$  be a graph and  $L$  be a list-assignment for  $G$ . We call a vertex  $v$  of  $G$  *special* (with respect to  $L$ ) if  $v$  has a neighbor  $u$  such that  $L(v) \setminus L(u) \neq \emptyset$ . In this case, every color in  $L(v) \setminus L(u)$  is called *special for  $v$* .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a 3-connected graph and  $L$  be a degree list-assignment for  $G$ . For every non-adjacent special vertices  $x, y$  in  $G$  and special color  $a$  for  $x$  and  $b$  for  $y$  (possibly  $a = b$ ), all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  that assign color  $a$  to  $x$  or  $b$  to  $y$  are  $L$ -equivalent.*

To prove this, we make use of the following auxiliary lemma; for completeness, we provide the proof.

**Lemma 3** ([2]). *Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph and  $L$  be a degree list-assignment for  $G$ . Then for every edge  $xy \in E(G)$  and every color  $a \in L(x) \setminus L(y)$ , all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  that assign color  $a$  to  $x$  are  $L$ -equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $L'$  be the list assignment for  $G - x$  defined by  $L'(w) = L(w) \setminus \{a\}$  if  $w \in N(x)$  and  $L'(w) = L(w)$  otherwise. Then  $|L'(y)| > d_{G-x}(y)$  (since  $a \notin L(y)$  by hypothesis) and  $|L'(w)| = d_{G-x}(w)$  for every  $w \in V(G) \setminus \{x, y\}$ . As  $G - x$  is connected, by Lemma 1 all  $L'$ -colorings of  $G - x$  are  $L'$ -equivalent. Thus, all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  that assign color  $a$  to  $x$  are  $L$ -equivalent, as needed.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.* By Lemma 3, all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  that assign color  $a$  to  $x$  are  $L$ -equivalent. Similarly, all  $L$ -colorings that assign color  $b$  to  $y$  are  $L$ -equivalent. It thus suffices to show that  $G$  admits an  $L$ -coloring that colors  $x$  with  $a$  and  $y$  with  $b$ . Let  $L'$  be the list assignment for  $G - x$  defined by  $L'(w) = L(w) \setminus \{a\}$  if  $w \in N(x)$  and  $L'(w) = L(w)$  otherwise. Then  $|L'(w)| \geq d_{G-x}(w)$  for every  $w \in V(G - x)$  with strict inequality for some neighbor  $z$  of  $x$ . Let  $T$  be a spanning tree of  $G - \{x, y\}$  rooted at  $z$ . List the vertices of  $G - x$  by placing  $y$  first followed by the remaining vertices in the reverse Depth First Search (DFS) order of  $T$ . Now, color first  $y$  with  $b$  and then color the rest greedily following this order from the list assignment  $L'$ . Obviously,  $z$  can always be colored and when coloring a vertex  $w \notin \{z, y\}$ , it has at least one uncolored neighbor (its parent in  $T$ ) and thus has a color in  $L'(w)$  that does not appear in its already colored neighbors.  $\square$

We also need the following specialisation of Theorem 1 (with an additional condition on the list).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph and  $L$  be a degree list-assignment for  $G$ . Then  $G$  has an  $L$ -coloring unless  $G$  is a complete graph or odd cycle and  $L$  is identical everywhere.*

Recall that  $N^k(v)$  denotes the set of vertices at distance  $k$  from  $v$  (with  $N^1(v) = N(v)$ ). For an  $L$ -coloring  $\varphi$  and a vertex  $v$ , let  $K(\varphi, v)$  denote the Kempe chain on colors  $\varphi(v)$  and  $a$  (where  $a \neq \varphi(v)$ ) under  $\varphi$  that contains  $v$ . Define the families

$$N_1(\varphi, v) = \{u \in N(v) \cap K(\varphi, v) : \varphi(v) \notin L(u)\}$$

$$N_2(\varphi, v) = \{u \in N^2(v) \cap K(\varphi, v) : a \notin L(u)\}$$

$$N_{3+}(\varphi, v) = \{u \in N^k(v) \cap K(\varphi, v) : k \geq 3 \text{ and } \{a, \varphi(v)\} \not\subseteq L(u)\}.$$

To prove Theorem 2 we first need to prove Lemma 6 below which states that we win if  $G$  contains nonadjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$  with a common special color  $a$ . Recall that this implies all colorings where  $x$  or  $y$  is colored  $a$  are equivalent, by Lemma 3. So, to prove Lemma 6, we will pick  $\varphi$  with  $a \notin \{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\}$  and attempt to perform a Kempe change on  $K(\varphi, x)$  or  $K(\varphi, y)$ . If, for example, a Kempe change on  $K(\varphi, x)$  is not  $L$ -valid, then there exists  $w \in K(\varphi, x)$  such that  $\{a, \varphi(x)\} \not\subseteq L(w)$ . We will show that we can handle  $w$  as follows.

Lemmas 5 and 2 will ensure that we can pick  $\varphi$  such that  $w$  is not in  $N_1(\varphi, x)$  or  $N_{3+}(\varphi, x)$ , respectively. This leaves the case  $w \in N_2(\varphi, x)$  which implies  $a \notin L(w)$ ; particularly,  $a$  is special for some  $w' \in N(x) \cap N(w)$ . So, we win if we can show that there exists  $\varphi'$  with  $\varphi'(w') = \varphi'(y) = a$  (since  $\varphi(w') = \varphi'(w') = a$ , we have  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  are equivalent by Lemma 3). We will show that the 4-connectedness of  $G$  guarantees the existence of such a coloring.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $G$  be a 4-connected graph distinct from the complete graph, and  $L$  be a degree list-assignment for  $G$ . Suppose  $N_1(\alpha, v) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $L$ -coloring  $\alpha$  and vertex  $v$ . If  $v$  has maximum degree or there is a special vertex  $s$  non-adjacent to  $v$  such that  $a$  is special for  $s$ , then  $\alpha$  is  $L$ -equivalent to some  $L$ -coloring  $\beta$  of  $G$  such that  $\beta(v) = \alpha(v)$  and  $N_1(\beta, v) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof of claim.* Let  $L'$  be the list assignment for  $G - v$  defined by, for each  $w \in V(G) \setminus \{v\}$ ,

$$L'(w) = \begin{cases} L(w) \setminus \{\alpha(v)\} & \text{if } w \in N(v) \text{ and } \alpha(v) \in L(w), \\ L(w) \setminus \{a\} & \text{if } w \in N(v) \text{ and } \alpha(v) \notin L(w), \\ L(w) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $G - v$  admits an  $L'$ -coloring.

To verify the claim, note that  $L'$  is a degree list-assignment for  $G - v$ . Moreover,  $G - v$  is not an odd cycle since it is 3-connected. By Lemma 4,  $G - v$  has an  $L'$ -coloring, unless  $G - v$  is complete and  $L'$  is identical everywhere. Suppose that  $G - v$  is complete. Then  $v$  cannot have maximum degree, for otherwise  $G$  is also complete. Thus, by the premise of the lemma, there is a special vertex  $s$  non-adjacent to  $v$  such that  $a$  is special for  $s$ . It follows that  $L'$  is not identical everywhere, as claimed.

Let  $\gamma$  be an  $L'$ -coloring of  $G - v$  and let  $\beta$  be the  $L$ -coloring of  $G$  defined by  $\beta(v) = \alpha(v)$  and  $\beta \upharpoonright (G - v) = \gamma$  (where  $\beta \upharpoonright (G - v)$  denotes the restriction of  $\beta$  to  $G - v$ ). Note that  $\beta$  is proper and satisfies  $N_1(\beta, v) = \emptyset$ . Since  $N_1(\alpha, v) \neq \emptyset$ , the color  $\alpha(v)$  is special for  $v$ . By Lemma 3, all  $L$ -colorings that assign color  $\alpha(v)$  to  $v$  are  $L$ -equivalent, i.e.,  $\alpha$  is  $L$ -equivalent to  $\beta$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $G$  be a 4-connected graph distinct from the complete graph, and  $L$  be a degree list-assignment for  $G$ . If there exist non-adjacent special vertices  $x$  and  $y$  such that some color  $a$  is special for both of them, then all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  are  $L$ -equivalent.*

*Proof of claim.* By Lemma 3, all  $L$ -colorings that assign color  $a$  to  $x$  or  $y$  are  $L$ -equivalent. Let  $\alpha$  be an  $L$ -coloring of  $G$  with  $\alpha(x) \neq a$  and  $\alpha(y) \neq a$ . It suffices to describe a sequence of  $L$ -valid Kempe changes from  $\alpha$  to some  $L$ -coloring of  $G$  that gives  $x$  or  $y$  the color  $a$ .

By Claim 5, with  $x$  in place of  $v$ , we can assume that  $N_1(\alpha, x) = \emptyset$  (since  $y$  is nonadjacent to  $x$  and  $a$  is special for  $y$ ). We can also assume that swapping the colors on  $K(\alpha, x)$  is not  $L$ -valid. These two facts combined imply that  $N_2(\alpha, x) \cup N_{3+}(\alpha, x) \neq \emptyset$ . We distinguish two cases.

**Case 1:**  $N_2(\alpha, x) = \emptyset$ . Then the Kempe change of  $K(\alpha, x)$  not being  $L$ -valid implies  $N_{3+}(\alpha, x) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $r' \in N_{3+}(\alpha, x)$ . Now the neighbor  $r$  of  $r'$  on a shortest path from

$x$  to  $r$  in  $K(\alpha, x)$  is non-adjacent to  $x$  and  $\alpha(r)$  is special for  $r$ ; see Figure 1. It follows by Lemma 2 that  $\alpha$  is  $L$ -equivalent to a coloring which gives  $x$  the color  $a$ . Thus, all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  are  $L$ -equivalent.

**Case 2:**  $N_2(\alpha, x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a neighbor  $z$  of  $x$  with  $\alpha(z) = a$  and a neighbor  $t$  of  $z$  with  $\alpha(t) = \alpha(x)$  and  $a \notin L(t)$ ; see Figure 1. Thus,  $t \neq y$ . Let  $H = G - \{x, t\}$  and let  $L_H$  be the list-assignment for  $H$  defined by, for each  $w \in V(H)$ ,

$$L_H(w) = \begin{cases} L(w) \setminus \{\alpha(x)\} & \text{if } w \in N(x) \cup N(t), \\ L(w) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $H$  admits an  $L_H$ -coloring which colors  $y$  with  $a$ . To see this, observe that  $|L_H(z)| > d_H(z)$  and  $|L_H(q)| \geq d_H(q)$  for each  $q \in V(H) \setminus \{z\}$ . Moreover, since  $G$  is 4-connected,  $H - y$  is connected. So, there is a spanning tree  $T$  of  $H - y$  that is rooted at  $z$ . Order the vertices of  $H$  by placing  $y$  first and then the other vertices in the reverse DFS order of  $T$ . Then a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 2 can be used to show that  $H$  has an  $L_H$ -coloring  $\gamma$  that gives  $y$  the color  $a$ .

By Lemma 1, all  $L_H$ -colorings of  $H$  are  $L_H$ -equivalent. So,  $\gamma$  is  $L_H$ -equivalent to  $\alpha \upharpoonright H$ . Let  $\beta$  be the  $L$ -coloring of  $G$  defined by  $\beta(x) = \beta(t) = \alpha(x)$  and  $\beta \upharpoonright H = \gamma$ . Then  $\alpha$  is  $L$ -equivalent to  $\beta$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$




Figure 1: (Left) An example of Case 1:  $r' \in N_{3+}(\alpha, x)$ , so  $\alpha(x)$  is special for  $r$ . (Right) Case 2:  $t \in N_2(\alpha, x)$ , so  $a$  is special for  $z$ .

Finally, to prove Theorem 2, we use a similar approach to the one used in the proof of Lemma 6. We pick a vertex  $v$  of maximum degree with special color  $a$  (it is easy to show that such a vertex exists). By Lemma 3, all  $L$ -colorings which color  $v$  with  $a$  are  $L$ -equivalent. So, we pick an  $L$ -coloring  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(v) \neq a$  and attempt to swap the colors on  $K(\varphi, v)$ . If this Kempe change is not  $L$ -valid, then there exists  $w \in K(\varphi, v)$  such that  $\{a, \varphi(v)\} \not\subseteq L(w)$ . However, Lemmas 5, 7, and 2 guarantee that  $w \notin N_1(\varphi, v)$ ,  $N_2(\varphi, v)$ , and  $N_{3+}(\varphi, v)$ , respectively; a contradiction. (In fact, Lemma 7 shows that either  $w \notin N_2(\varphi, v)$  or the conditions of Lemma 6 are satisfied.)

First, we prove Lemma 7.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $G$  be a 4-connected graph distinct from the complete graph, and  $L$  be a degree list-assignment for  $G$ . For an  $L$ -coloring  $\alpha$  and vertex  $v$ , if  $N_1(\alpha, v) = \emptyset$  but  $N_2(\alpha, v) \neq \emptyset$  and  $v$  is of maximum degree, then at least one of the following holds.*

- $\alpha$  is  $L$ -equivalent to an  $L$ -coloring  $\beta$  of  $G$  such that  $\beta(v) = \alpha(v)$  and  $N_1(\beta, v) = N_2(\beta, v) = \emptyset$ ;
- there are non-adjacent special vertices  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $a$  is special for  $x$  and  $y$ .

*Proof of claim.* Since  $N_1(\alpha, v) = \emptyset$  but  $N_2(\alpha, v) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $v$  has a neighbor  $s$  with color  $a$  that, in turn, has a neighbor  $x$  with color  $\alpha(v)$  and with  $a \notin L(x)$ . In particular,  $a$  is special for  $s$  and thus, by Lemma 3, all  $L$ -colorings that assign color  $a$  to  $s$  are  $L$ -equivalent. Let  $L'$  be the list assignment for  $G - \{s, v\}$  defined by, for each  $w \in V(G) \setminus \{s, v\}$ ,

$$L'(w) = \begin{cases} L(w) \setminus \{\alpha(v)\} & \text{if } w \in N(v) \setminus N(s) \text{ and } \alpha(v) \in L(w), \\ L(w) \setminus \{a\} & \text{if } w \in N(v) \setminus N(s) \text{ and } \alpha(v) \notin L(w), \\ L(w) \setminus \{a\} & \text{if } w \in N(s) \setminus N(v) \text{ and } a \in L(w), \\ L(w) \setminus \{\alpha(v)\} & \text{if } w \in N(s) \setminus N(v) \text{ and } a \notin L(w), \\ L(w) \setminus \{a, \alpha(v)\} & \text{if } w \in N(v) \cap N(s), \\ L(w) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$




Figure 2:  $N_2(\alpha, v) \neq \emptyset$ , so there exists  $x$  with  $a \notin L(x)$ .

We claim that  $G - \{s, v\}$  admits an  $L'$ -coloring.

To verify the claim, note that  $L'$  is a degree list-assignment for  $G - \{s, v\}$ . Moreover, if  $G - \{s, v\}$  is a cycle, then  $x \in N(v) \cap N(s)$ . This is because  $G$  is 4-connected, so  $d(w) \geq 4$  for each  $w \in V(G)$ . But this contradicts  $\alpha(v) = \alpha(x)$ ; so,  $G - \{s, v\}$  is not an odd cycle. Thus, by Lemma 4,  $G - \{s, v\}$  has an  $L'$ -coloring, unless  $G - \{s, v\}$  is complete and  $L'$  is identical everywhere. Suppose for a contradiction that  $G - \{s, v\}$  is complete (here we do not need the assumption that  $L'$  is identical everywhere). Then  $v$  is adjacent to every other vertex of  $G$  since it is of maximum degree, which contradicts the fact that  $x$  and  $v$  are colored alike.

So we can let  $\gamma$  be an  $L'$ -coloring of  $G - \{s, v\}$ . By the first paragraph,  $\alpha$  is  $L$ -equivalent to the coloring  $\varphi$  of  $G$  defined by  $\varphi(v) = \alpha(v)$ ,  $\varphi(s) = \alpha(s) (= a)$  and  $\varphi \upharpoonright (G - \{s, v\}) = \gamma$ ; moreover, by the definition of  $L'$ ,  $N_1(\varphi, v) = \emptyset$  and no neighbor of  $s$  belongs to  $N_2(\varphi, v)$ . Therefore, if  $N_2(\varphi, v) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a neighbor  $s'$  ( $s' \neq s$ ) of  $v$  with color  $a$  that, in turn, has a neighbor  $x'$  ( $x' \neq x$ ) with color  $\varphi(v)$  and with  $a \notin L(x')$ . Now  $s$  and  $s'$  form non-adjacent special vertices such that the color  $a$  is special for both of them. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $G$  be a 4-connected graph and  $L$  be a degree list-assignment for  $G$ . If  $L$  is identical everywhere, then Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 3.

Suppose that  $L$  is not identical everywhere; so there exist  $u, v \in V(G)$  and a color  $a$  such that  $uv \in E(G)$ ,  $v$  has maximum degree, and  $a \in L(v) \setminus L(u)$  (i.e.,  $a$  is special for  $v$ ). By Lemma 3, all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  that assign color  $a$  to  $v$  are Kempe equivalent. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that every  $L$ -coloring  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha(v) \neq a$  is  $L$ -equivalent to some  $L$ -coloring that gives  $v$  the color  $a$ .

Suppose that swapping the colors on  $K(\alpha, v)$  is not  $L$ -valid. Since  $v$  has maximum degree, we may assume  $N_1(\alpha, v) = \emptyset$ , by Lemma 5. Further, we may assume  $N_2(\alpha, v) = \emptyset$ ; otherwise, by Lemma 7, there exist non adjacent vertices  $x$  and  $y$  with a common special color, and so we are done by Lemma 6. Thus, it must be that  $N_{3+}(\alpha, v) \neq \emptyset$ . But this implies  $K(\alpha, v)$  contains a vertex  $x$  non-adjacent to  $v$  such that  $\alpha(x)$  is special for  $x$ . By Lemma 2,  $\alpha$  is  $L$ -equivalent to a coloring which gives  $v$  the color  $a$ . Thus, all  $L$ -colorings of  $G$  are  $L$ -equivalent.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

We thank Clément Legrand-Duchesne and Stéphan Thomassé for helpful discussions. The second author was supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (France) under research grant ANR DIGRAPHS ANR-19-CE48- 0013-01. 1

## References

- [1] O. Borodin. *Problems of colouring and of covering the vertex set of a graph by induced subgraphs*. PhD thesis, Ph. D. Thesis, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 1979 (in Russian), 1979.
- [2] D. W. Cranston and R. Mahmoud. Kempe equivalent list colorings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.07439*, 2021.
- [3] P. Erdos, A. L. Rubin, and H. Taylor. Choosability in graphs. In *Proc. West Coast Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Congressus Numerantium*, volume 26, pages 125–157, 1979.
- [4] T. Gallai. Kritische graphen i. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.*, 8:373–395, 1963.

- [5] M. Las Vergnas and H. Meyniel. Kempe classes and the hadwiger conjecture. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 31(1):95–104, 1981.
- [6] H. Meyniel. Les 5-colorations d'un graphe planaire forment une classe de commutation unique. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 24(3):251–257, 1978.
- [7] B. Mohar. Kempe equivalence of colorings. In A. Bondy, J. Fonlupt, J.-L. Fouquet, J.-C. Fournier, and J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, editors, *Graph Theory in Paris: Proceedings of a Conference in Memory of Claude Berge*, pages 287–297. Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, 2007.
- [8] B. Mohar and J. Salas. A new kempe invariant and the (non)-ergodicity of the wang–swendsen–kotecký algorithm. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(22):225204, 2009.
- [9] J. Salas and A. D. Sokal. Ergodicity of the wang–swendsen–kotecký algorithm on several classes of lattices on the torus. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 55(41):415004, 2022.