

# ADJUNCTION OF ROOTS, ALGEBRAIC $K$ -THEORY AND CHROMATIC REDSHIFT

CHRISTIAN AUSONI, HALDUN ÖZGÜR BAYINDIR, TASOS MOULINOS

ABSTRACT. Given an  $E_1$ -ring  $X$  and a class  $x \in \pi_{mk}(X)$  satisfying a suitable hypothesis, we define a map of  $E_1$ -rings  $X \rightarrow X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  realizing the adjunction of an  $m$ th root of  $x$ . We define a form of logarithmic THH for  $E_1$ -rings, and show that root adjunction is log-THH-étale for suitably tamely ramified extension, which provides a formula for  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  in terms of THH and log-THH of  $X$ . If  $X$  is connective, we prove that the induced map  $K(X) \rightarrow K(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  in algebraic  $K$ -theory is the inclusion of a wedge summand. Using this, we obtain  $V(1)_*K(ko_p)$  for  $p > 3$  and also, we deduce that if  $K(X)$  exhibits chromatic redshift, so does  $K(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$ . We interpret several extensions of ring spectra as examples of root adjunction, and use this to establish redshift in many new and known examples, including Morava  $K$ -theory  $K(n)$ , all  $E_1$ - $MU$ -algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , and various quotients of  $MU$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In his seminal work on the algebraic  $K$ -theory of spaces, F. Waldhausen initiated the quest to compute the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the sphere spectrum, and developed in [Wal84] a strategy which explicitly relies on the chromatic approach to homotopy theory. In this setting, there exists a tower of localizations on the  $\infty$ -category of  $p$ -complete finite spectra which, evaluated on the  $p$ -complete sphere, reads

$$\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_1\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow L_0\mathbb{S}_p = H\mathbb{Q}_p.$$

As a consequence of the chromatic convergence theorem, then a conjecture, the limit of this tower recovers exactly  $\mathbb{S}_p$ . Waldhausen's insight was that the corresponding tower

$$K(\mathbb{S}_p) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K(L_1\mathbb{S}_p) \rightarrow K(L_0\mathbb{S}_p) = K(H\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

could be used to study  $K(\mathbb{S}_p)$ , thus relating computations in algebraic  $K$ -theory with the chromatic approach to homotopy theory.

Later, J. Rognes and the first author [AR02] proposed to study the layers of this tower via descent, using homotopy fixed points

$$K(L_{K(n)}\mathbb{S}_p) \rightarrow K(E_n)^{hG_n},$$

where  $E_n$  is the Lubin-Tate spectrum corresponding the Honda formal group of height  $n$ , which is acted upon by  $G_n$ , an extension of the Morava stabilizer group. We call  $E_n$  the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory spectrum (also referred to as a Lubin-Tate spectrum).

The Morava  $E$ -theory spectra generally arise via the deformation theory of formal groups, and serve as key links in the dictionary between the global structure of the  $\infty$ -category of spectra and the algebraic geometry of the moduli stack of formal groups  $\mathcal{M}_{FG}$ .

In [Aus10], the first author delved deeper into the  $n = 1$  case of the above setup, where  $E_1 = KU_p$ , by computing  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)$ . The key input to this computation was the well known splitting of complex  $K$ -theory into shifts of the Adams summand

$$ku_p \simeq \bigvee_{0 \leq i < p-1} \Sigma^{2i} \ell_p,$$

and an interpretation of  $ku_p$  as a *tamely ramified extension* of  $\ell_p$  of degree  $p-1$ . Using the computation of  $V(1)_*K(\ell)$  from [AR02] the first author showed that  $T(2)_*K(ku_p)$  is given by adjoining a root of degree  $p-1$  to  $-v_2$  in  $T(2)_*K(\ell_p)$ . The same comparison holds if we replace  $ku_p$  and  $\ell_p$  by their periodic versions  $E_1 = KU_p$  and  $L_p$ : this can be deduced from the localization sequence of Blumberg-Mandell [BM08].

For higher  $n$  one would like to obtain a similar interpretation of  $K(E_n)$  starting from the  $K$ -theory of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ . In particular, one is led to conjecture that the formal structure shared by the ramified extensions

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p], \quad \ell_p \rightarrow ku_p$$

is also inherited in higher heights, namely that one may interpret  $E_n$  as an extension of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  (rather, of  $E(n)$  where  $v_n \in \pi_*BP\langle n \rangle$  is inverted), obtained by adjoining a  $p^n - 1$  root of  $v_n$ . This would then induce an analogous splitting of  $E_n$  into  $p^n - 1$  summands. Furthermore,  $K(E_n)$  should then itself be related to an example of a root adjunction, as in the height 1 calculations of the first author. We implement this philosophy in this paper by defining a method of adjoining roots to given  $E_1$ -algebras in spectra. This formalism encapsulates the above examples, and allows for various desirable formal properties at the level of THH and  $K$ -theory to be induced along such extensions. For instance, we prove interesting splittings of THH and algebraic  $K$ -theory resulting from root adjunctions.

The algebraic  $K$ -theory computations in [AR02] provided evidence for the redshift conjectures of Rognes [AR08]. Roughly speaking, one of them states that algebraic  $K$ -theory interpolates between the various layers of the aforementioned chromatic tower of localizations, by increasing the height of its input by one. In this paper, we address and verify this redshift conjecture for additional examples of ring spectra. In particular, we show it for all forms of the truncated Brown-Peterson spectra  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , the Morava  $K$ -theory  $K(n)$  and all ring spectra obtained by adjoining an  $m$ -root to the above ring spectra whenever  $p \nmid m$ , i.e. whenever the root adjunction resembles a tamely ramified extension. In particular, we obtain a new proof of the fact that various Morava  $E$ -theories satisfy chromatic redshift; this was recently proved by Yuan [Yua21].

**1.1. Adjoining roots to ring spectra, THH and algebraic  $K$ -theory.** Arguably the central construction of this paper is a framework for adjoining roots to a given  $E_1$ -ring  $X$  (Construction 4.6). The key input for this construction is a family of  $E_2$  “polynomial algebras” in the  $\infty$ -category of graded spectra obtained by *shearing*, a process we describe in greater detail in Section 3.1.

Indeed, let  $X$  be an  $E_1$ -ring and let  $x \in \pi_{mk}X$  with  $m > 0$  and even  $k \geq 0$ . We provide a method for adjoining an  $m$ -root to  $x$  in  $X$  under mild hypotheses (see Hypothesis 4.4). For instance, Hypothesis 4.4 is satisfied if

- (1)  $X$  is an  $E_2$ -ring for which  $\pi_*X$  is concentrated in even degrees, or

- (2)  $X$  is an  $R$ -algebra for an  $E_2$ -ring  $R$  where  $\pi_*R$  is concentrated in even degrees and  $x$  is in the image of the map  $\pi_*R \rightarrow \pi_*X$ .

In this situation, Construction 4.6 provides an  $E_1$ -ring  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  equipped with an  $E_1$ -map

$$X \rightarrow X(\sqrt[m]{x}).$$

We say  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  is obtained by adjoining an  $m$ -root to  $x \in \pi_{mk}X$ ; this is justified by the fact that the homotopy ring  $\pi_*(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  is given by the corresponding root adjunction, i.e. we have a ring isomorphism:

$$\pi_*(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \cong \pi_*(X)[z]/(z^m - x).$$

We would like to point out that Construction 4.6 applies to and subsumes a wide array of examples. For instance, given an  $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , one may adjoin a root to any  $v_i \in \pi_*BP\langle n \rangle$ . Adjoining a  $p^n - 1$ -root to  $v_n$  gives rise to, in our notation,  $BP\langle n \rangle(\sqrt[p^n-1]{v_n})$ ; we think of this as an essentially 2-periodic form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , which takes one step closer to the Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$ . Moreover, for an  $E_3$   $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ ,  $BP\langle n \rangle(\sqrt[p-1]{v_n})$  may be given the structure of an  $E_3$   $MU$ -algebra (Remark 4.9). Similarly, we obtain a two periodic form of  $K(n)$  that we denote by  $K(n)(\sqrt[p^n-1]{v_n})$  through this procedure.

*Remark 1.1.* In [Dev20], Devalapurkar shows that there are no non-trivial  $K(n)$ -local  $H_\infty$ -rings with a primitive  $p$ th root of unity (in degree 0 homotopy) for odd  $p$  and  $n > 0$ . After this, Devalapurkar asks if there are  $K(n)$ -local  $E_k$ -rings with a primitive  $p$ th root of unity in degree 0 homotopy for  $k \geq 1$  [Dev20, Question 1.4]. We answer this question affirmatively for  $k = 1$  by adjoining a  $p$ th root to the unit in  $\pi_0K(n)$ ; this is the  $E_1$ -ring we denote by  $K(n)(\sqrt[p]{1})$ .

We then apply this machinery to examples of interest for the aforementioned program set out by Waldhausen, the first author and Rognes. We prove that there are equivalences of  $E_1$ -ring spectra:

$$(1.2) \quad ku_{(p)} \simeq \ell(\sqrt[p-1]{v_1})$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad E_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{E}(n)(\sqrt[p^n-1]{v_n})$$

(Theorems 7.9 and 8.1) where  $\widehat{E}(n)$  and  $E_n$  denote the  $K(n)$ -localized Johnson-Wilson and the Morava  $E$ -theory spectra respectively. Let us recall that at the level of homotopy rings, we have isomorphisms:

$$\pi_*E(n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n^{\pm 1}], \quad \pi_*E_n \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]][[u^{\pm 1}]],$$

where  $|u_i| = 0$  and  $|u| = -2$ . Similarly,  $\pi_*E_n^{hGal} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]][[u^{\pm 1}]]$ . In Section 7, we show that there is an equivalence of  $E_1$ -rings  $E_n^{hGal} \simeq \widehat{E}(n)(\sqrt[p^n-1]{v_n})$  where  $Gal$  is the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}|\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

We remark that in general, the root adjunction  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  is canonically equipped with a non-trivial  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -graded  $E_1$ -algebra structure where the weight  $i$  piece is given by  $\Sigma^{i|x|/m}X$  for  $0 \leq i < m$ . Together with (1.3), this improves the  $E_1$ -ring structure

on Morava  $E$ -theories to a non-trivial  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^n - 1)$ -graded  $E_1$ -ring structure (Theorem 7.9). In particular, for  $n = 1$ , (1.2) upgrades the splitting result of Adams

$$ku_p \simeq \bigvee_{0 \leq i < p-1} \Sigma^{2i} \ell_p$$

into a  $\mathbb{Z}/(p - 1)$ -graded  $E_1$ -ring structure.

The existence of gradings on a spectrum compatible with any additional algebraic structure has already proven to be very useful. For a ring spectrum, it gives rise to an  $S^1$ -equivariant splitting of THH, a helpful tool for computations in cyclic homology and  $K$ -theory via trace theoretic methods. We mention computations of Hesselholt-Madsen in [HM97] of the  $K$ -theory of truncated polynomial algebras and of the second & third authors in [BM22], of the  $K$ -theory of the free  $E_1$ -algebras in degree 2 over finite fields.

In the spirit of the above computations, we show that THH and algebraic  $K$ -theory have a nice behaviour with respect to root adjunction whenever  $p \nmid m$ ,  $X$  is  $p$ -local, and  $|x| > 0$ , i.e. when  $X \rightarrow X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  resembles a tamely ramified extension. For this, we define a variant of *logarithmic* THH, that is suitable for a wide range of examples; see Definition 6.9. For instance, our definition of log THH applies to a given  $E_1$ -ring  $X$  and a chosen  $x \in \pi_k X$  whenever (1) or (2) above are satisfied.

*Remark 1.4.* This is certainly not the first definition of logarithmic Hochschild homology in the setting of ring spectra to appear in the literature. Indeed, Rognes [Rog09] defines such a notion that is mainly for  $E_\infty$ -rings. Our definition has the advantage that it is applicable to ring spectra which are not  $E_\infty$ . For example, our setup allows for a definition of log THH of  $E_1$ -rings such as  $k(n)$  (for  $v_n \in \pi_* k(n)$ ). Furthermore, we believe an advantage of our definition is due to its simple nature owing to the advances in the theory of graded spectra in recent years.

*Remark 1.5.* In future work (cf. [DM22]) Devalapurkar and the third author develop and study a general framework of logarithmic structures for  $E_2$ -algebras in spectra, which subsumes the construction of log THH in this paper. Our notion of log THH is expected to admit a refinement to an  $E_1$ -algebra in cyclotomic spectra and to be equivalent to the definition of Rognes in [Rog09], but as we will not make use of this structure for our purposes, we leave the details to *loc. cit.* where this structure, and the compatibility with the Rognes's definition of log THH, will be studied extensively.

*Remark 1.6.* In addition, we would like to point out the recent preprint [BLPØ22], where a version of logarithmic Hochschild homology for simplicial commutative rings is constructed as an affine derived scheme underlying the derived self intersections of the “replete diagonal”. We expect of course that our construction is comparable with theirs.

We apply this notion of log THH in order to understand  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[p]{x}))$  for  $p \nmid m$  and  $p$ -local  $X$ . In this case, we show that root adjunction is log THH-étale (see Theorem 6.23) and obtain a complete description of  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[p]{x}))$  in terms of log THH of  $X$  with respect to  $x$  (denoted by  $\mathrm{THH}(X | x)$ ) and  $\mathrm{THH}(X)$ , as follows.

**Theorem 1.7** (Theorem 6.32). *Assume Hypothesis 4.4 with  $p \nmid m$  and that  $X$  is  $p$ -local. In this situation, there is an equivalence of spectra:*

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X) \vee \left( \bigvee_{0 < i < m} \Sigma^{ik} \mathrm{THH}(X | x) \right).$$

Furthermore, we show that, with our definition,  $\log \mathrm{THH}$  fits into a cofiber sequence:

$$\mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X | x) \rightarrow \Sigma \mathrm{THH}(X/x),$$

see Theorem 6.27. Using this cofiber sequence and the  $\log \mathrm{THH}$  étaleness of root adjunction, we show that the canonical map

$$\mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\widehat{E(n)}} E_n \xrightarrow{\simeq^p} \mathrm{THH}(E_n),$$

is an equivalence after  $p$ -completion (Theorem 7.15). The relationship between such equivalences and the Galois descent question for  $\mathrm{THH}$  are studied in [Mat17].

*Remark 1.8.* The first author and Richter [AR20] give a spectrum level description of  $\mathrm{THH}(E(2))$  under the assumption that  $E(2)$  carries an  $E_3$ -algebra structure. Although such a structure is not known to exist at the moment (for the form of  $E(2)$  they use), their computations, the  $E_3$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle 2 \rangle$  [HW20], together with Theorem 7.15, might result in a feasible approach for the computation of  $\mathrm{THH}(E_2)$ .

In particular, Theorem 1.7 (also Theorem 4.17) displays the map:

$$\mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})),$$

as the inclusion of a wedge summand under the given hypothesis. Furthermore, when  $X$  is connective, we use trace methods to deduce that this wedge decomposition carries over to algebraic  $K$ -theory.

**Theorem 1.9** (Theorem 5.7). *Assume Hypothesis 4.4 with  $p \nmid m$  and  $|x| > 0$ . Furthermore, assume that  $X$  is  $p$ -local and connective. In this situation, the following map*

$$K(X) \rightarrow K(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$$

*is the inclusion of a wedge summand.*

From this, and our result that  $E_n^{hGal}$ ,  $E_n$  are obtained via root adjunction from  $\widehat{E(n)}$ , resp.  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{E(n)}$ , we obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.10** (Theorem 7.10). *The canonical maps:*

$$\begin{aligned} K(E(n)) &\rightarrow K(E_n^{hGal}) \\ K(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge E(n)) &\rightarrow K(E_n) \end{aligned}$$

*are inclusions of wedge summands after  $T(n+1)$ -localization.*

Since  $BP\langle n \rangle \rightarrow E(n)$  is a  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalence (for some form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ ), one obtains that the corresponding map  $K(BP\langle n \rangle) \rightarrow K(E_n^{hGal})$  is the inclusion of a wedge summand after  $T(n+1)$ -localization.

*Remark 1.11.* The first author and Rognes compute  $V(1)_*K(k(1))$  in [AR12]. Applying Theorem 1.9 for the connective 2-periodic first Morava  $K$ -theory that we define to be  $k(1)(\sqrt[p-1]{v_1})$ , one obtains that  $V(1)_*K(k(1)(\sqrt[p-1]{v_1}))$  contains  $V(1)_*K(k(1))$  as a summand.

As mentioned above,  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  admits a  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -graded ring structure and this provides an  $S^1$ -equivariant splitting of  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[p]{x}))$  into  $m$ -factors, which then carries over to a decomposition  $K(X(\sqrt[p]{x})) \simeq K(X) \vee M$  where  $M$  is some spectrum.

If  $p = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  this may, in favorable cases, be improved to a more refined splitting of  $K(X(\sqrt[p]{x}))$ . This happens to be the case for  $ku_p \simeq \ell(\sqrt[p-1]{v_1})$ , and so, we obtain a non-trivial splitting of the algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $ku_p$  as

$$K(ku_p) \simeq \bigvee_{0 \leq i < p-1} K(ku_p)_i$$

where  $K(ku_p)_0 \simeq K(\ell_p)$  and for  $p > 3$ , we identify  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)_i$  for each  $i$  using first author's computation of  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)$ . Another reason for interest in  $K(ku)$  is due to the fact that the spectrum  $K(ku)$  represents a 2-categorical analogue of complex  $K$ -theory [BDRR11]. Therefore, the splitting result we obtain for  $K(ku_p)$  should be considered as the version of Adams' splitting result  $KU_p \simeq \bigvee_{0 \leq i < p-1} \Sigma^{2i} L_p$  for 2-categorical complex vector bundles.

Furthermore,  $K(ko)$  represents a 2-categorical analogue of real  $K$ -theory [BDRR11]. For  $p > 3$ , we also show that  $ku_p$  may be obtained from  $ko_p$  via root adjunction. Using this, the splitting of  $K(ku_p)$  above, Theorem 1.9 and our knowledge of  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)$ , we obtain complete descriptions of  $V(1)_*K(ko_p)$  and  $T(2)_*K(ko)$ , see Theorem 8.11.

*Remark 1.12.* In [Law20], Lawson introduces another method for root adjunction. However, his methods are mainly for adjoining roots to units in the degree 0 homotopy rings of  $E_\infty$ -rings. On the other hand, Lawson's methods apply to general presentable symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories. It would be interesting to generalize our root adjunction formalism to presentable symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories.

**1.2. Redshift conjecture and root adjunction.** An initial motivation for developing a formalism for root adjunctions was to understand chromatic redshift for Morava  $E$ -theories by relating their  $K$ -theory to the  $K$ -theory of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ . Root adjunction provided exactly this mechanism of going between the two. In the meantime, a proof of redshift for the Morava  $E$ -theory spectra has appeared in the work of Yuan in [Yua21]. Nevertheless, we thought it is worthwhile to push the theory further in this direction as along the way, we prove previously unestablished examples of chromatic redshift. We summarize these examples below.

The formulation of chromatic redshift we choose to use in this paper is as follows. We say a spectrum  $E$  is of **height**  $n \geq 0$  if  $L_{T(n)}E \not\simeq 0$  and  $L_{T(m)}E \simeq 0$  for  $m > n$ ; here,  $T(n)$  denotes a height  $n$  telescope. We say an  $E_1$ -ring  $X$  of height  $n$  **satisfies the redshift conjecture** if  $K(X)$  is of height  $n + 1$ . Due to [LMMT20, Purity theorem],  $L_{T(n+i)}K(X) \simeq 0$  for  $i > 1$ , i.e.  $K(X)$  is of height at most  $n + 1$ . Therefore, proving the redshift conjecture boils down to showing that

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(X) \not\simeq 0.$$

For us, the main connection between root adjunctions and redshift is a consequence of Theorem 1.9 above.

**Corollary 1.13** (Corollary to Theorem 1.9). *In the situation of Theorem 1.9, if  $X$  satisfies the redshift conjecture, then  $K(X(\sqrt[p]{x}))$  also satisfies the redshift conjecture.*

Indeed, using this corollary and (1.3), we easily show that, in order to verify redshift for  $E_n$ , it is sufficient to prove redshift for the form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  that maps into  $E_n$ . Although Hahn and Wilson prove the redshift for  $E_3$   $MU$ -algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  we run into the issue that it is not clear if this applies to the form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  that carries an  $E_1$ -map  $BP\langle n \rangle \rightarrow E_n$ , c.f. [Str99, Remark 6.5].

In order to verify that chromatic redshift holds for *all* forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , we proceed then, by proving the redshift conjecture for  $K(n)$  and furthermore, for every height  $n$   $E_1$ -ring mapping into  $K(n)$ . In particular, we show that  $L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(K(n))$  is non-trivial. If there is a map of  $E_1$ -rings  $R \rightarrow K(n)$  where  $R$  is of height  $n$ , then the factorization:

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(R) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(K(n)),$$

of the aforementioned non-trivial map allows us to conclude the non-triviality of  $L_{T(n+1)}K(R)$  and in particular, the redshift conjecture for  $R$ . We obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.14** (Theorem 9.22). *The Morava  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(n)$  satisfies the redshift conjecture. Furthermore, if an  $E_1$ -ring spectrum  $R$  of height  $n$  is equipped with a map*

$$R \rightarrow K(n)$$

*of  $E_1$ -ring spectra, then  $R$  also satisfies the redshift conjecture.*

Similarly, we show that whenever an  $E_1$ -ring  $R$  carries an  $E_1$ -ring map  $R \rightarrow K(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  to the 2-periodic form of  $K(n)$ , then  $R$  satisfies the redshift conjecture, see Theorem 9.25.

Theorem 1.14 is particularly useful due to the strong uniqueness properties enjoyed by  $K(n)$ . The uniqueness of  $K(n)$  as a spectrum follows by the classification of fields in spectra [HS98]. The uniqueness of the  $E_1$ -ring structure on  $K(n)$ , however is due to Angeltveit [Ang11]; Robinson shows that there are infinitely many ways of building an  $E_1$ -ring structure on  $K(n)$  but Angeltveit shows that all the resulting ring structures are equivalent.

With all these uniqueness properties of  $K(n)$  at hand, we show that all forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  map into the same  $E_1$ -ring  $K(n)$  (Corollary 9.10) thereby verifying the redshift conjecture for all forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ . From this, and using Corollary 1.13, we obtain a new proof of the redshift conjecture for  $E_n$ .

Let  $\overline{K(n)}$  denote the integral Morava  $K$ -theory spectrum with  $\pi_*\overline{K(n)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_n^{\pm 1}]$ . We verify the redshift conjecture for the  $E_1$ -rings listed in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.15** (Theorem 9.30). *The following  $E_1$ -rings satisfy the redshift conjecture.*

- (1) *Morava  $K$ -theory  $K(n)$*
- (2) *The 2-periodic Morava  $K$ -theory given by  $K(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$*
- (3) *The  $p$ -localized integral Morava  $K$ -theory  $\overline{K(n)}$  and its 2-periodic version  $\overline{K(n)}(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  as above.*
- (4) *All  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  and the corresponding Johnson-Wilson theories  $E(n) := BP\langle n \rangle[v_n^{-1}]$*
- (5)  *$B(n) := P(n)[v_n^{-1}] \simeq BP/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})[v_n^{-1}]$*
- (6)  *$BP[v_n^{-1}]$*
- (7) *[Yua21] Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$*

Furthermore, one might start with an  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , adjoin an  $m$ -root to  $v_i$  for  $p \nmid m$  and  $0 < i \leq n$ , then the corresponding  $E_1$ -ring  $BP\langle n \rangle(\sqrt[m]{v_i})$  would also satisfy the redshift conjecture. For instance, this verifies the redshift conjecture for the essentially 2-periodic form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  given by  $BP\langle n \rangle(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$ .

*Remark 1.16.* Recently, Burklund, Schlank and Yuan proved that all  $E_\infty$ -rings satisfy the redshift conjecture [BSY22]. However, their result do not cover most of our examples above; for instance,  $BP\langle n \rangle$  (for  $n \geq 4$ ) and  $K(n)$  are not  $E_\infty$  [Law18, Sen17]. Furthermore, the spectra  $B(n)$  and  $\overline{K(n)}$  are also not  $E_\infty$  as they are  $T(n-1)$ -acyclic but not  $T(n)$ -acyclic; Hahn’s result states that for  $E_\infty$ -ring spectra,  $T(m)$ -acyclicity implies  $T(m+1)$ -acyclicity [Hah16, Remark 1.4].

**Outline.** We begin with a quick introduction of graded objects in Section 2. In Section 3, we construct a family of graded  $E_2$  “polynomial” algebras and establish their even cell decompositions. In Section 4, we provide our central construction for root adjunctions and prove our first splitting result on the THH of ring spectra obtained via a root adjunction. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.10. Section 6 is devoted to studying the variant of log THH we set forth, as well as the logarithmic THH-étaleness of root adjunctions. We apply these results to Morava  $E$ -theories in Section 7 and Section 8 contains our results on the algebraic  $K$ -theory of real and complex topological  $K$ -theories. Finally, Section 9 is devoted to establishing new examples of chromatic redshift.

**Notation 1.17.** (1) We work freely in the setting of  $\infty$ -categories and higher algebra from [Lur09, Lur16].  
 (2) For an  $E_2$ -algebra  $R$  in a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category, when we say  $T$  is an  $R$ -algebra (or an  $E_1$   $R$ -algebra), we mean that it is an  $E_1$ -algebra in *right*  $R$ -modules. If we mean an  $E_1$ -algebra in left  $R$ -modules, we call this a *left*  $E_1$   $R$ -algebra. If  $R$  is  $E_\infty$ , we do not need to denote the distinction.  
 (3) When we say  $E_n$ -ring, we mean an  $E_n$ -algebra in the  $\infty$ -category of spectra  $\mathrm{Sp}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The third author would like to thank Sanath Devalapurkar for his ongoing collaboration in [DM22], which led to many of the ideas in Section 3. The first and second authors acknowledge support from the project ANR-16-CE40-0003 ChroK. The second author acknowledges support from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) grant EP/T030771/1. The third author acknowledges support from the grant NEDAG ERC-2016-ADG-741501.

## 2. RECOLLECTIONS ON GRADED OBJECTS

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an  $\infty$ -category, and let  $\mathbb{Z}^{ds}$  denote the integers viewed as a discrete  $\infty$ -groupoid. Then we define the  $\infty$ -category of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded objects of  $\mathcal{V}$  to be the functor category

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{ds}, \mathcal{V}).$$

**Example 2.1.** We are mainly interested in the case where  $\mathcal{V}$  is the  $\infty$ -category of spectra  $\mathrm{Sp}$ .



We will also consider  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -graded objects where  $m$  denotes a non-negative integer. Note that the  $m = 0$  case corresponds to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded objects. Here,  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  denotes the discrete space whose objects are the elements of the set of integers modulo  $m$ .

The  $\infty$ -category of  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -graded objects in  $\mathcal{V}$  is the  $\infty$ -category of functors

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathcal{V})$$

from  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  to  $\mathcal{V}$ . For a functor  $F$  in  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathcal{V})$ , we denote  $F(i)$  by  $F_i$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  is discrete, the functor  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathcal{V})$  is equivalent to the product category

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/m} \mathcal{V}.$$

We set the following notation.

**Notation 2.2.** We call  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathcal{V})$  the category of  $m$ -graded objects in  $\mathcal{V}$ . For  $m = 0$ , we omit  $m$  and call  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{V})$  the category of graded objects in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is presentably symmetric monoidal. We equip  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathcal{V})$  with the Day convolution closed symmetric monoidal structure given by

$$F \otimes_{\mathrm{Day}} G = \int^{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/m} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^{\mathcal{V}}(-, i + j) \otimes F_i \otimes G_j$$

for every  $F$  and  $G$  in  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathcal{V})$  [Gla16]. Since  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  is discrete, this boils down to the following

$$(F \otimes_{\mathrm{Day}} G)_k = \prod_{i+j=k \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/m} F_i \otimes G_j.$$

**2.1. Algebras in graded spectra.** We are interested in  $E_n$ -algebras in the  $\infty$ -category of  $m$ -graded spectra and the algebras over these  $E_n$ -algebras.

**Definition 2.3.** An  $m$ -graded  $E_n$ -ring  $X$  is an  $E_n$ -algebra in  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathrm{Sp})$ . For  $k < n$ , an  $m$ -graded  $E_k$   $X$ -algebra is an  $E_k$   $X$ -algebra in  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathrm{Sp})$ . Similarly, an  $m$ -graded (left) right  $X$ -module is a (left) right  $X$ -module in  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m, \mathrm{Sp})$ .

*Remark 2.4.* Note that the notion of an  $m$ -graded  $E_k$ -algebra in  $\mathrm{Sp}$  is in general different than the notion of an  $m$ -graded object in the  $\infty$ -category of  $E_k$ -algebras in  $\mathrm{Sp}$ .

**2.2. Manipulations on graded objects.** We use the following constructions which allow us to move between various gradings. Let  $n > 0$  and  $s \geq 0$  be integers. The canonical map  $\mathbb{Z}/sn \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$  sending 1 to 1 induces adjoint functors  $D_{sn}^n \dashv G$  between the  $\infty$ -categories of  $sn$ -graded spectra and  $n$ -graded spectra:

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/sn, \mathrm{Sp}) \xrightleftharpoons[D_{sn}^n]{G} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathrm{Sp}),$$

where  $G$  is given by the canonical restriction functor and  $D_{sn}^n$  is given by left Kan extension along  $\mathbb{Z}/sn \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$ . The left adjoint  $D_{sn}^n$  is a symmetric monoidal functor [Nik16, Corollary 3.8]. We often use the functor  $D_{sn}^n$  for  $s = 0$  which allows us to

obtain an  $n$ -graded object out of a graded object  $X$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}$ . For  $s = 0$ , we let  $D^n$  denote  $D_{sn}^n$  and we have

$$D^n(X)_i \simeq \bigvee_{j \in \mathbb{Z} | j \equiv i \pmod n} X_j.$$

For  $n = 1$ , we denote  $D_s^1$  by  $D$ ; this is a symmetric monoidal left adjoint functor

$$D: \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/s, \mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$$

given by  $D(X) \simeq \bigvee_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/s} X_j$ , i.e. left Kan extension along  $\mathbb{Z}/s \rightarrow 0$ . We call  $D(X)$  the **underlying spectrum** of the  $s$ -graded spectrum  $X$ . Similarly, for an  $s$ -graded  $E_n$ -ring  $X$ , we call  $D(X)$  the **underlying  $E_n$ -ring** of  $X$ . We often omit  $D$  in our notation.

Similarly, the map  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{s} \mathbb{Z}$  given by multiplication by  $s$  induces an adjunction  $L_s \dashv G$  on  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{ds}, \mathrm{Sp})$  where  $L_s$  is symmetric monoidal as before and is given by left Kan extension. Furthermore,  $G$  is given by the canonical restriction functor. The functor  $L_s$  regrades a given graded spectrum. Namely, for a graded spectrum  $X$ , we have

$$L_s(X)_{si} \simeq X_i$$

for every  $i$  and  $L_s(V)_j \simeq 0$  whenever  $s \nmid j$ .

Finally, for  $m \geq 0$ , the map of monoids  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$  induces an adjunction  $F \dashv G$  between the  $\infty$ -categories of spectra and  $m$ -graded spectra. The left adjoint  $F$  is again given by left Kan extension and it is symmetric monoidal and  $G$  is given by restriction along the map  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$ , i.e.  $G(X) = X_0$ . For an  $m$ -graded  $E_n$ -ring  $X$ ,  $F(G(X))$  is given by  $X_0$  in weight 0 and it is trivial on the other degrees. Therefore, we sometimes abuse notation and denote the  $m$ -graded  $E_n$ -ring  $F(G(X))$  by  $X_0$ . The counit of this adjunction provides a map

$$X_0 \rightarrow X$$

of  $m$ -graded  $E_n$ -rings. If  $X_i \simeq 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ , then this map is an equivalence and we say that  $X$  is **concentrated in weight zero**. The following lemma states that in this situation, there is an equivalence of  $E_n$ -rings between the underlying  $E_n$ -ring of  $X$  and the weight zero piece  $G(X) = X_0$  of  $X$ . Therefore, we often do not distinguish between  $X$ ,  $G(X) = X_0$  and  $D(X)$  in our notation when  $X$  is concentrated in weight zero.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $X$  is an  $m$ -graded  $E_n$ -ring concentrated in weight zero, then there is an equivalence of  $E_n$ -rings*

$$X_0 \simeq D(X)$$

where  $X_0$  denotes  $G(X)$ . In particular, if  $X$  is concentrated in weight 0, then we have  $F(D(X)) \simeq X$  as  $m$ -graded  $E_n$ -rings.

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is concentrated in weight zero, we have  $D(X) \simeq DFG(X)$  for the adjunction  $F \dashv G$ . As  $D \circ F$  Kan extends through the composite  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m \rightarrow 0$ , it is equivalent to the identity functor. We obtain that  $DFG(X) \simeq G(X) \simeq X_0$ .

For the second statement, note that  $F(D(X)) \simeq F(G(X))$  due to the first statement. Since  $X$  is concentrated in weight 0, we have  $F(G(X)) \simeq X$ .  $\square$

### 3. A FAMILY OF $E_2$ POLYNOMIAL RINGS IN GRADED SPECTRA

In this section, we introduce the construction of a family of  $E_2$  algebras in graded spectra. These have appeared in the work of Hahn and Wilson in [HW20] and are also studied in greater depth in [DM22]. These will be central to our constructions. For every  $r, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one constructs an  $E_2$  algebra  $\mathbb{S}[z_{2r}]$  which may be thought of as a “polynomial” algebra with a generator in homotopical degree  $2r$  and grading weight  $w$ . However, these are not polynomial algebras in the precise sense, as they are only demonstrated to admit  $E_2$  structures. By mapping these  $E_2$  rings into each other, we will be able to construct  $E_2$  ring extensions.

**3.1. Shearing preliminaries.** The main mechanism underlying this construction is that of shearing, which we now briefly review. It has appeared in [Rak20], and is also studied in [DM22]. In what follows,  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$  denotes  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{ds}, \mathrm{Sp})$ , i.e. the  $\infty$ -category of graded spectra.

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exists an endofunctor on graded spectra*

$$\mathrm{sh} : \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$$

given by

$$\mathrm{sh}(M)_i := M_i[-2i]$$

with the following properties:

- $\mathrm{sh}$  is an equivalence, with inverse given by  $\mathrm{sh}^{-1}(M_i) = M_i[2i]$
- $\mathrm{sh}$  admits an  $E_2$ -monoidal structure, with respect to the Day convolution product on  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$ .

*Proof.* This appears in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear setting in [Rak20] and is also studied in [DM22]. However, for the sake of completeness, we sketch the basic ideas underlying the construction. In [Lur15], Lurie constructs an  $E_2$ -monoidal map of spaces

$$\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{ds} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp})$$

sending  $n \mapsto \mathbb{S}^{-2n}$ . We now define  $\mathrm{sh}$  as the functor, obtained by adjunction, from the assignment

$$\mathbb{Z}^{ds} \times \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$$

given by the composition

$$\mathbb{Z}^{ds} \times \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp}) \xrightarrow{(\phi, \mathrm{ev})} \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp}) \times \mathrm{Sp} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathrm{Sp}.$$

Here, the first map sends  $(n, M) \mapsto (\phi(n), M_n)$ . The fact that this latter composition is  $E_2$  follows from the fact that  $\phi$  is itself  $E_2$ . This further implies that  $\mathrm{sh}$  is itself  $E_2$  monoidal. To see that this is an equivalence, one displays, as in [Rak20], an inverse in the same way by precomposing  $\phi$  with the map  $\mathbb{Z}^{ds} \xrightarrow{-1} \mathbb{Z}^{ds}$ .  $\square$

*Variant 3.2.* One can precompose the map  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{ds} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp})$  with the map  $\cdot(k) : \mathbb{Z}^{ds} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{ds}$ . We denote the composition by

$$\phi^k : \mathbb{Z}^{ds} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp})$$

As in the above, we use this to define an endofunctor

$$\mathrm{sh}^k : \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp}).$$

This acquires the same formal properties as above, e.g it will be an  $E_2$ -monoidal autoequivalence on  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$ . Furthermore, one has the description  $(\mathrm{sh}^k M)_i \simeq M_i[2ki]$ .

**3.2. Sheared polynomial algebras.** Recall that there exists an  $E_\infty$  algebra  $\mathbb{S}[t] \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$ , which gives a graded enhancement of the “flat” polynomial algebra. One can obtain this, for example, by observing that the restriction map from filtered spectra to graded spectra

$$\mathrm{Res} : \mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$$

is lax symmetric monoidal. In more detail, this will be the restriction

$$\mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Sp}) = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{ds}, \mathrm{Sp}) = \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$$

along  $\mathbb{Z}^{ds} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  so that in particular we forget the structure maps of the filtration, cf [Lur15]. We remind the reader that this is different from the associated graded functor. One then sets  $\mathbb{S}[t] := \mathrm{Res}(1)$ , where 1 denotes the unit of the symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Sp})$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{S}[t]$  (which is given by  $\mathbb{S}$  in nonpositive weights and 0 in positive weights) acquires the structure of an  $E_\infty$ -algebra in graded spectra.

**Construction 3.3.** As described in Proposition 3.1, there exists an  $E_2$ -monoidal autoequivalence on  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$ . We set

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_2] := \mathrm{sh}(\mathbb{S}[t]),$$

and more generally for  $m > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m}] := \mathrm{sh}^m(\mathbb{S}[t]);$$

that is, one applies  $\mathrm{sh}^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{S}[t]$  to obtain a family of  $E_2$  algebras in graded spectra. For  $m=0$ , we set  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_0] := \mathbb{S}[t]$ .

A key technical result is the following, concerning the cell decomposition of the  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$  as an  $E_2$  algebra.

**Proposition 3.4.** *The  $E_2$  algebras  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$  admit cell decompositions with cells concentrated in even degrees.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\mathbb{S}[t]$  itself may be viewed as an  $E_2$  algebra with an even cell decomposition. For this, we note that  $\mathbb{S}[t]$  arises as the associated graded of the suspension spectrum of the following filtered space.

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{0\} \subset \{0, 1\} \subset \{0, 1, 2\} \subset \dots$$

The addition operation respects this filtration making it into an  $E_2$  algebra in filtered spaces (in fact an  $E_\infty$  algebra). By [Lur15, Theorem 5.3.1] there exists an equivalence of filtered spaces

$$\mathrm{Bar}^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \simeq \{\mathbb{C}P^n\}_{n \geq 0},$$

where the right hand side denotes infinite complex projective space with its skeletal filtration, given by a cell in each even degree, viewed as a filtered space. In particular, this defines an  $E_2$  cell structure on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  with  $E_2$  cells in even degrees. This equivalence will persist at the level of graded spectra, since both taking suspension spectra and associated graded functors are symmetric monoidal colimit-preserving functors. Thus, one has an equivalence

$$(3.5) \quad \mathrm{Bar}^2(\mathbb{S}[t]) = \mathrm{Bar}^2(\mathrm{Res}(1)) \simeq \mathrm{gr}(\mathbb{S}[\{\mathbb{C}P^n\}_{n \geq 0}])$$

where the right hand side denotes the associated graded object of the filtered spectrum  $\mathbb{S}[\{\mathbb{C}P^n\}_{n \geq 0}]$ . As a graded spectrum this will consist of a shifted sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{2d}$  in weight  $d$ .

This gives the  $E_2$  cell structure on  $\mathbb{S}[t]$  concentrated in even degrees. Now we show that  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2k}] = \text{sh}^k(\mathbb{S}[t])$  admits an even cell decomposition. For this, one applies  $\text{sh}^k$  to  $\mathbb{S}[t]$  which has the even cell decomposition; by Lemma 3.6 below, this will have an even cell decomposition as well.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $R$  be a graded  $E_2$  algebra with an even cell decomposition. Then  $\text{sh}^k(R)$  itself has an  $E_2$ -cell decomposition.*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is an  $E_2$  algebra object with an  $E_2$ -cell decomposition, then it may be written as a filtered colimit of a diagram of  $E_2$  algebras,

$$\cdots \rightarrow R_{i-1} \rightarrow R_i \rightarrow R_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

where each  $R_i$  is formed as a pushout from  $R_{i-1}$  along a map  $\text{Free}_{E_2}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ . Applying  $\text{sh}^k$  to this diagram for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and taking note of the fact that this will commute with colimits along the filtered diagram, together with the free  $E_2$  algebra functor, we conclude with an even cell presentation for  $\text{sh}^k(R)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.7.* Following the discussion in [HW20], we may Kan extend each of these functors, i.e. objects in  $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{ds}}, \text{Sp})$  along the multiplication map

$$\cdot w : \mathbb{Z}^{\text{ds}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\text{ds}}$$

for  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This allows us to define a weight shifted variant of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m}]$  whose underlying  $E_1$  algebra is  $\text{Free}_{E_1}(\mathbb{S}^{2m}(w))$ . We use the notation  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m,w}]$  to denote this weight shifted variant when we want to emphasize the weight of the generator. Concretely this is given by the left Kan extension of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m}]$ .

To summarize, we now have a family of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $E_2$  algebras,  $\{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m}]\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  which in weight  $d$  is given by

$$(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m}])_d = \mathbb{S}^{2dm}.$$

Furthermore as  $E_2$  algebras, these have a cell structure which is concentrated in even degrees. We remark that at the level of  $E_1$ -algebras in  $\text{Gr}(\text{Sp})$  there is an equivalence

$$\text{Free}_{E_1}(\mathbb{S}^{2m}(1)) \simeq \mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m}]$$

where the right hand side denotes the free  $E_1$  algebra on a sphere in weight 1 and homotopical degree  $2m$ .

**Construction 3.8.** Let  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2k,k}]$  be the sheared polynomial  $E_2$  algebra defined by Kan extension as in the above remark. This will be an  $E_2$  polynomial algebra whose underlying  $E_1$  algebra is  $\text{Free}_{E_1}(\mathbb{S}^{2k}(k))$ . Following Section 2.2, we may collapse the  $\mathbb{Z}$  grading to a  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  grading, by taking the image of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2k,k}]$  along the Kan extension map

$$D^m : \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{ds}}, \text{Sp}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \text{Sp}).$$

Now let  $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be as above and let  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2km,km}]$  be the relevant graded  $E_2$  ring. We take its left Kan extension along

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\text{ds}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/mk\mathbb{Z}$$

to obtain an  $m$ -graded spectrum  $D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2km,km}])$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $m > 0$  and let  $k > 0$  be even. Then there exists a map of graded  $E_2$ -rings*

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$$

that carries  $\sigma_{mk}$  to  $\sigma_k^m$  in homotopy. This provides a map of  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -rings

$$D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) \rightarrow D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$$

where  $\sigma_{mk} \in \pi_* D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])$  is of weight 0 and  $\sigma_k \in \pi_* D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$  is of weight 1 and this map also carries  $\sigma_{mk}$  to  $\sigma_k^m$  in homotopy.

Furthermore,  $D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])$  is concentrated in weight zero as an  $m$ -graded spectrum. In particular,  $D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) \simeq F(D(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]))$  as  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -rings; here  $F$  Kan extends through  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$ .

*Proof.* We first remind the reader that there is an identification

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \simeq \mathrm{sh}^{mk}(\mathbb{S}[t]) \simeq \mu_m^*(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$$

of  $E_2$ -algebras in  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded spectra, where  $\mu_m : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the multiplication by  $m$  map. This follows from the very definition the  $k$ th shearing functor  $\mathrm{sh}^k$ , in particular that it sends  $\mathbb{S}[t]$  to the negative weight part of the graded spectrum given by the map

$$\phi^k : \mathbb{Z}^{ds} \xrightarrow{\times k} \mathbb{Z}^{ds} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp}).$$

Thus we may identify  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$  with the pullback along the multiplication by  $m$  map of the graded spectrum  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \simeq \mathrm{sh}^k(\mathbb{S}[t])$ , negative weight part of the graded spectrum given by the map

$$\phi^k : \mathbb{Z}^{ds} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp}).$$

The Kan extension  $(\mu_m)_!(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])$  along the multiplication by  $m$  map now shifts the weights of the grading by  $m$ . With this in mind, we note that the map

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk,m}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$$

is given via the co-unit of the symmetric monoidal adjunction between left Kan extension and restriction of functors  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}^{ds}, \mathrm{Sp})$  along  $\mu_m$ . Thus, evaluated on  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ , this is a morphism of  $E_2$  algebras.

As the goal here is to study what happens in the  $m$ -graded setting, we apply the pushforward along the quotient map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$ . This gives the desired map

$$D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk,m}]) \rightarrow D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$$

of  $m$ -graded  $E_2$  algebras. Note that  $\sigma_{mk} \in \pi_* D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])$ , which is of weight 0, will be sent to  $\sigma_k^m$  by weight and degree reasons.

To see the last statement, note that  $D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])$  is concentrated in weight 0 and therefore,

$$(3.10) \quad FDD^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) \simeq D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])$$

due to Lemma 2.5. Furthermore,  $DD^m$  is Kan extension through the composite  $\mathbb{Z}^{ds} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m \rightarrow 0$  which is the same as the Kan extending through  $\mathbb{Z}^{ds} \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $DD^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) \simeq D(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])$ . This, together with (3.10) provides the desired equivalence  $D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) \simeq F(D(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]))$ .  $\square$

We often omit the functor  $D^m$  in our notation and denote the map of  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -rings  $D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) \rightarrow D^m(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$  as  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ .

*Remark 3.11.* To adjoin a root to a degree 0 class, we need the  $k = 0$  case of the proposition above. In other words, need an  $E_2$ -map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  for  $k = 0$ . For this, we start with the  $E_2$ -map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[\sigma_2]$  provided above, left Kan extend through  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-1} \mathbb{Z}$  and apply the functor  $\text{sh}^{-1}$ . This procedure provides an  $E_2$ -map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[t]$  that carries  $\sigma_{mk}$  to  $t^m$  where  $k = 0$ . Furthermore, the even-cell decomposition of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$  can be obtained from that of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2m}]$  by arguing as in Lemma 3.6 as  $\text{sh}^{-1}$  shares the same formal properties as  $\text{sh}$ . Namely, Proposition 3.9 applies for  $k = 0$  too.

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $X$  be a (graded)  $E_2$ -ring whose homotopy groups are concentrated in even degrees and let  $x \in \pi_{mk}X$  for some  $m > 0$  and even  $k \geq 0$ . Then there is a (graded)  $E_2$ -ring map*

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow X$$

*which carries  $\sigma_{mk}$  to  $x$  in homotopy.*

*Proof.* We first show that there is a map

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow X$$

of  $E_2$ -algebras in spectra, the proof of the corresponding map for graded  $E_2$ -rings follows verbatim. This will in fact be a consequence of the even cell decomposition of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$ . Indeed, since  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$  may be written as a colimit of a filtered diagram, starting with the free  $E_2$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{S}^{mk}$ , where in each degree we have a pushout of a map  $\text{Free}_{E_2}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) \rightarrow R_{i-1}$  along the canonical map  $\text{Free}_{E_2}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ . Thus, in order for the extension to  $R_i$  to be unobstructed in this case, it will be enough to show that the composite map  $\text{Free}_{E_2}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) \rightarrow R_{i-1} \rightarrow X$  is trivial. Using the free/forgetful adjunction, between  $E_2$ -algebras and spectra, this will now follow from the fact that  $\pi_{2n+1}(X) = 0$  for all  $n$ . □

#### 4. ADJOINING ROOTS AND THH

Here, we introduce our construction for adjoining roots to ring spectra and prove our first results on the THH of ring spectra obtained through this construction.

**4.1. Background on algebras over  $E_n$ -algebras.** Here is a quick background on some of the standard facts that we often use from [Lur16].

For an  $E_\infty$ -algebra  $R$  in a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the  $\infty$ -category of  $E_n$   $R$ -algebras is a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category with the pointwise tensor product [Lur16, Example 3.2.4.4]. Therefore, for two  $E_n$   $R$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \otimes_R B$  is an  $E_n$   $R$ -algebra.

In this work, we often consider algebras over an  $E_n$ -algebra  $R$  and in this case, the  $\infty$ -category of  $E_m$   $R$ -algebras (for  $m \leq n - 1$ ) are not known to carry an appropriate  $E_{n-1}$ -monoidal structure. To work around this problem, we use the following facts.

The  $\infty$ -category of (left) right  $R$ -modules is an  $E_{n-1}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category. We call an  $E_m$ -algebra in the  $\infty$ -category of right  $R$ -modules an  $E_m$   $R$ -algebra where  $m \leq n - 1$ .

Furthermore, for a map  $f: R \rightarrow S$  of  $E_n$ -algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$ , one obtains an  $E_{n-1}$ -monoidal functor  $- \otimes_R S$  between the respective  $\infty$ -categories of modules. For every

$m \leq n - 1$ , this induces a functor:

$$(4.1) \quad - \otimes_R S: \operatorname{Alg}_{E_m}(\operatorname{RMod}_R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Alg}_{E_m}(\operatorname{RMod}_S).$$

In particular, for an  $E_m$   $R$ -algebra  $X$ ,  $X \otimes_R S$  is an  $E_m$   $S$ -algebra. Furthermore, the forgetful functor induced by  $f$ , i.e. the right adjoint of  $- \otimes_R S$ , is  $E_{n-1}$ -lax monoidal and therefore it induces a functor:

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{Alg}_{E_m}(\operatorname{RMod}_S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Alg}_{E_m}(\operatorname{RMod}_R).$$

The unit of this adjunction provides a map of  $E_m$   $R$ -algebras:

$$(4.3) \quad X \rightarrow X \otimes_R S.$$

Since  $S$  is the monoidal unit in  $\operatorname{RMod}_S$ ,  $S$  is an  $E_{n-1}$   $S$ -algebra, and forgetting through (4.2), it is an  $E_{n-1}$   $R$ -algebra. In summary, an  $E_n$ -algebra map  $R \rightarrow S$  equips  $S$  with the structure of an  $E_{n-1}$   $R$ -algebra.

**4.2. A construction for adjoining roots to ring spectra.** We now introduce our construction for adjoining roots to ring spectra. For this we use the following hypothesis. Recall that we often omit the functor  $D$  and let  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  denote the underlying  $E_2$ -ring of the graded  $E_2$ -ring  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ .

**Hypothesis 4.4** (Root adjunction hypothesis). Let  $X$  be an  $E_1$   $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$ -algebra whose structure map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow X$  carries  $\sigma_{mk}$  to  $x \in \pi_{mk}X$ . Here,  $m > 0$  and  $k \geq 0$  is even. See Proposition 4.5 for the cases of interest where this is satisfied.

The hypothesis above may not seem very natural but it is satisfied in the following general situations.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $k \geq 0$  be even and  $m > 0$ , an  $E_1$ -ring  $X$  satisfies Hypothesis 4.4 for  $x \in \pi_{mk}X$  if:*

- (1)  $X$  is an  $E_2$ -ring for which  $\pi_*X$  is concentrated in even degrees, or
- (2)  $X$  is an  $R$ -algebra for an  $E_2$ -ring  $R$  where  $\pi_*R$  is concentrated in even degrees and  $x$  is in the image of the map  $\pi_*R \rightarrow \pi_*X$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is as in (2), let  $r \in \pi_{mk}R$  detect  $x$  through the map  $\pi_*R \rightarrow \pi_*X$ . We choose an  $E_2$ -ring map  $g: \mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow R$  that carries  $\sigma_{mk}$  to  $r$ , see Proposition 3.12. Forgetting through  $g$ , see (4.2), one obtains a  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$ -algebra structure on  $X$ . Indeed, through this structure,  $\sigma_{mk}$  acts through  $x$  as desired.

If  $X$  is as in (1), then  $X$  is an  $X$ -algebra and  $X$  satisfies the assumption in (2). Therefore,  $X$  satisfies Hypothesis 4.4.  $\square$

For instance, Morava  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(n)$  and all  $E_1$   $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  satisfy Hypothesis 4.4 with respect to their non-negative degree homotopy classes.

Notice, that we are not assuming any preexisting non-trivial grading on  $X$ ; in fact this will allow us to view it as an  $m$ -graded spectrum concentrated in weight zero. Given  $x \in \pi_{mk}X$ , the following construction adjoins an  $m$ -root to  $x$ .

**Construction 4.6.** Assume Hypothesis 4.4. We consider  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$  as an  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -ring and  $X$  as an  $m$ -graded  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$ -algebra, both concentrated in weight 0, using the functor  $F$  from Section 2.2; we omit  $F$  in our notation.



Due to Proposition 3.9 (Remark 3.11 for  $k = 0$ ), there is a map

$$\phi : \mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$$

of  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -rings that carries  $\sigma_{mk}$  to  $\sigma_k^m$  in homotopy where  $\sigma_k$  is of weight 1 and  $\sigma_{mk}$  is of weight 0. Note that we omit the functor  $D^m$  in our notation. Considering the corresponding extension of scalars functor  $-\wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  between the  $\infty$ -categories of  $m$ -graded  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$ -algebras and  $m$ -graded  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ -algebras, (see (4.1)), we define the  $m$ -graded  $E_1$   $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ -algebra  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  through:

$$X(\sqrt[m]{x}) := X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k].$$

This comes equipped with a map  $X \rightarrow X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$   $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$ -algebras, see (4.3).

Since  $\pi_*(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$  is free as a  $\pi_*(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])$ -module, one obtains an isomorphism of rings:

$$\pi_* X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \cong \pi_*(X)[z]/(z^m - x).$$

Therefore, we say  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  **is obtained from  $X$  by adjoining an  $m$ -root to  $x$** .

When  $X$  is  $p$ -local, we obtain a more convenient construction given by

$$X(\sqrt[p]{x}) := X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]$$

where  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_i]$  denotes the  $p$ -localization of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_i]$ . For a  $p$ -local  $X$ , the two constructions of  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  agree due to Proposition 4.8.

In general,  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  may or may not be unique. Therefore, if we do not fix a particular construction of  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  before hand, our statements regarding  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  should be read as “for all forms of  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$ ”.

*Remark 4.7.* If  $X$  is an  $E_2$ -ring with even homotopy, then a  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$ -algebra structure on  $X$  may be obtained through an  $E_2$ -map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow X$ . In this situation,  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  admits the structure of an  $m$ -graded left  $E_1$   $X$ -algebra.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Assume Hypothesis 4.4, if  $X$  is  $p$ -local, then there is an equivalence of  $E_1$   $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ -algebras*

$$X(\sqrt[p]{x}) := X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \simeq X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k].$$

*Proof.* Since  $p$ -localization is a smashing localization, it preserves colimits. Furthermore,  $p$ -localization is symmetric monoidal, therefore, it preserves the two sided bar construction defining relative smash products. This provides the second equivalence in:

$$X(\sqrt[p]{x}) \simeq X(\sqrt[p]{x}) \wedge \mathbb{S}_{(p)} \simeq (X \wedge \mathbb{S}_{(p)}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \simeq X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k],$$

where the first equivalence follows by the fact that  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  is  $p$ -local as it is a left  $X$ -module. □

*Remark 4.9.* In certain cases, it is possible to equip  $X(\sqrt[p]{x})$  with the structure of an  $E_n$ -algebra for  $n > 1$ . For this, one may use the graded  $E_\infty$   $MU$ -algebra  $MU[\sigma_k]$  constructed in [HW20, Construction 2.6.1] where  $k > 0$  is even. For  $k = 0$ , we use  $MU[\sigma_k] := MU \wedge \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ . Indeed,  $MU[\sigma_k]$  is the free graded  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra over  $\Sigma^k MU$ .

It follows by [HW20, Remark 2.1.2] that a form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  admits the structure of an  $E_3$   $MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]$ -algebra where  $\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}$  acts through  $v_n \in \pi_* BP\langle n \rangle$ . Using this, we adjoin a root to  $v_n$  in  $BP\langle n \rangle$  via the following formula:

$$BP\langle n \rangle(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}) := BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]} MU[\sigma_2].$$

This is a  $p^n - 1$ -graded  $E_3$   $MU[\sigma_2]$ -algebra since the map  $MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}] \rightarrow MU[\sigma_2]$ , induced by restricting and then Kan extending along  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p^n-1} \mathbb{Z}$ , is a map of graded  $E_\infty$   $MU$ -algebras.

Since  $MU[\sigma_k]$  has even homotopy, one obtains a map of graded  $E_2$ -ring spectra  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow MU[\sigma_k]$  which provides an equivalence of graded  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebras  $MU \wedge \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \simeq MU[\sigma_k]$ . Using this, we deduce that  $BP\langle n \rangle(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  above agrees with that obtained from Construction 4.6 due to the following.

$$\begin{aligned} BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]} MU[\sigma_2] &\simeq BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{MU \wedge \mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]} MU \wedge \mathbb{S}[\sigma_2] \\ &\simeq BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_2] \end{aligned}$$

**4.3. The weight zero piece of THH.** Here, we prove our first result regarding the topological Hochschild homology of the ring spectra obtained by adjoining a root to an  $E_1$ -ring. Namely, we show that  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[p]{x}))$  contains  $\mathrm{THH}(X)$  as a summand whenever  $X$  is  $p$ -local and  $p \nmid m$ .

Furthermore, topological Hochschild homology of an  $m$ -graded ring spectrum is an  $S^1$ -equivariant  $m$ -graded spectrum in a canonical way. When  $X$  is  $p$ -local and  $p \nmid m$ , we show that the weight zero piece of  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[p]{x}))$  is given by  $\mathrm{THH}(X)$ .

For an  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -ring  $Y$ , the  $m$ -grading on  $\mathrm{THH}(Y)$  is obtained as follows. One may construct the cyclic bar construction  $b_\bullet(Y)$  of  $Y$  and  $\mathrm{THH}(Y)$  in the category of  $m$ -graded spectra, see [AMMN20, Appendix A]. In simplicial level  $s$  and weight  $i$ , the  $m$ -graded cyclic bar construction of  $Y$  is given by the following.

$$b_s(Y)_i \simeq \bigvee_{k_0 + \dots + k_s = i \in \mathbb{Z}/m} Y_{k_0} \wedge \dots \wedge Y_{k_s}$$

Due to [AMMN20, Corollary A.15], one has the following equality

$$(4.10) \quad \mathrm{THH}(D(Y)) \simeq D(\mathrm{THH}(Y))$$

where the functor  $D(-)$  provides the underlying spectrum as usual; we often omit  $D$  in our notation. Indeed,  $\mathrm{THH}(Y)$  is an  $S^1$ -equivariant  $m$ -graded spectrum in a canonical way and the equivalence above is an equivalence of  $S^1$ -equivariant spectra.

**Construction 4.11.** Let  $R$  be an  $E_2$ -ring and let  $S$  be an  $E_1$ -algebra on which  $R$  acts centrally, i.e.  $S$  is an  $E_1$   $R$ -algebra. For us this will mean that the pair  $(R, S) \in \mathrm{RMod}^{(2)}(\mathrm{Sp})$ , where

$$\mathrm{RMod}^{(2)}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{Alg}(\mathrm{RMod}(\mathcal{C}))$$

for an arbitrary symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Here,  $\mathrm{RMod}(\mathcal{C})$  is the  $\infty$ -category of pairs  $(A, M)$  where  $A$  is an  $E_1$ -algebra and  $M \in \mathrm{RMod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ . Thus, objects in  $\mathrm{RMod}^{(2)}(\mathcal{C})$  may be identified with pairs  $(A, M)$  where  $A$  is an  $E_2$  algebra, and  $M$  is an  $E_1$   $A$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We remark that in general,  $\mathrm{RMod}^{(2)}(\mathcal{C})$  may be written as  $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the *tensor product* of operads

$$\mathcal{O} := \mathrm{RMod} \times E_1$$

This tensor product of operads, studied in depth in [Lur16] is symmetric and satisfies the following universal property at the level of algebra objects:

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathrm{RMod}}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathrm{RMod}}(\mathrm{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathcal{C}))$$

Hence, applying the discussion to  $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sp}$  and  $R$  and  $S$  as above, we may view  $S$  as a right  $R$ -module in  $E_1$ -algebras.

Finally, we remind the reader that  $\mathrm{THH}$  is a symmetric monoidal functor from  $E_1$  rings to spectra, and so  $\mathrm{THH}(S)$  will be a right  $\mathrm{THH}(R)$ -module.

**Proposition 4.12.** *Let  $F$  be an  $m$ -graded  $E_1$   $E$ -algebra and  $E \rightarrow F'$  be a map of  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -rings. There is a natural equivalence of  $m$ -graded right  $\mathrm{THH}(F')$ -modules in  $S^1$ -equivariant spectra:*

$$\mathrm{THH}(F \wedge_E F') \simeq \mathrm{THH}(F) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(E)} \mathrm{THH}(F'),$$

whose underlying equivalence is that of right  $\mathrm{THH}(F')$ -modules in cyclotomic spectra. If  $E$  and  $F$  are concentrated in weight zero, then we have the following.

$$\mathrm{THH}(F \wedge_E F')_i \simeq \mathrm{THH}(F) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(E)} (\mathrm{THH}(F')_i)$$

*Proof.* Let us recall that the functor

$$\mathrm{THH} : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathrm{Sp}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CycSp}$$

is symmetric monoidal. Furthermore, it commutes with sifted colimits; indeed this can be seen from the fact that it can be decomposed into a composition of functors comprised of taking tensor products and realizations of simplicial objects, both of which commute with sifted colimits. Thus there will be a natural equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{THH}(F \wedge_E F') &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(\|\mathrm{Bar}_{\bullet}(F, E, F')\|) \\ &\simeq \|\mathrm{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathrm{THH}(F)_{\bullet}, \mathrm{THH}(E)_{\bullet}, \mathrm{THH}(F')_{\bullet})\| \\ &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(F) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(E)} \mathrm{THH}(F') \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to deduce that  $\mathrm{THH}$  preserves the sifted colimit given by the double sided  $\mathrm{Bar}$  construction; this can be computed at the level of underlying spectra by the bilinear pairing

$${}_F \mathrm{BMod}_E \times_E \mathrm{BMod}_{F'} \rightarrow_F \mathrm{BMod}_{F'}$$

corresponding to the relative tensor product. Furthermore, as  $\mathrm{THH}$  preserves the sifted colimits corresponding to this relative tensor product, the above equivalence is compatible with right  $\mathrm{THH}(F')$  module structures. The analogous claims all hold when accounting for additional gradings, by recalling that  $\mathrm{THH}$  promotes to a sifted colimit preserving symmetric monoidal functor from algebras in graded spectra to  $S^1$ -equivariant objects in graded spectra. In particular, if  $E$  and  $F$  are concentrated in weight zero, we deduce the equivalence

$$\mathrm{THH}(F \wedge_E F')_i \simeq \mathrm{THH}(F) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(E)} (\mathrm{THH}(F')_i).$$

of graded  $\mathrm{THH}(F)$ -modules.  $\square$

*Remark 4.13.* The  $m = 1$  case of the proposition above provides the non-graded case.

More generally,  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  might be considered as an  $E_2$ -ring obtained by adjoining an  $m$ -root to  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$ . The proposition below identifies the weight zero piece of  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])$ . We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  be a map between bounded below spectra. Then  $\varphi$  is an equivalence if and only if  $H\mathbb{Z} \wedge \varphi$  is an equivalence. If furthermore  $M$  and  $N$  are  $p$ -local, then  $\varphi$  is an equivalence if and only if  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge \varphi$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the fiber of  $\varphi$  and let  $i$  be the smallest  $i$  such that  $\pi_i K \neq 0$ . Due to the Tor spectral sequence of [EKMM97, Theorem IV.4.1], we have  $\pi_i(H\mathbb{Z} \wedge K) = \pi_i K$ . Therefore, if  $H\mathbb{Z} \wedge K \simeq 0$  then  $K \simeq 0$  and  $\varphi$  is an equivalence.

If  $M$  and  $N$  are  $p$ -local, then  $\varphi$  is an equivalence if and only if  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)} \wedge \varphi$  is an equivalence. It follows by the previous result that  $\varphi$  is an equivalence if and only if  $H\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbb{S}_{(p)} \wedge \varphi \simeq H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge \varphi$  is an equivalence.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let  $k \geq 0$  be even and let  $m > 1$  satisfy  $p \nmid m$ . For a map of  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -rings  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]$  provided by Proposition 3.9 (Remark 3.11 for  $k = 0$ ), the induced map*

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])_0$$

*is an equivalence of  $E_1$ -rings. Since  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]$  is concentrated in weight zero, we obtain the following chain of equivalences*

$$D(\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])_0$$

*of  $E_1$ -rings using Lemma 2.5.*

*Proof.* Since all the spectra involved are connective and  $p$ -local, it is sufficient to show the corresponding result in  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -homology; see Lemma 4.14. Namely, it is sufficient to show that the following map

$$H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])_0 \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])_0$$

is an equivalence. Using the base change formula for THH, we obtain that it is sufficient to show that the following map

$$\mathrm{THH}^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])$$

is an equivalence in weight zero. Here,  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]$  denotes the free  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}^k$  given by  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]$  and  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]$  denotes  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]$ .

The map,  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]$  induces a map

$$\phi^r: E^r \rightarrow F^r$$

from the Bökstedt spectral sequence computing  $\mathrm{THH}^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])$  to the Bökstedt spectral sequence computing  $\mathrm{THH}^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])$ . Since the weight grading on the THH of an  $m$ -graded ring spectrum comes from a weight grading on the corresponding cyclic bar construction, the Bökstedt spectral sequence computing THH of an  $m$ -graded ring spectrum admits an  $m$ -grading, i.e. it splits into  $m$  summands in a canonical way. Therefore, in our situation, it is sufficient to show that  $\phi^2$  is an isomorphism on weight zero.

We have

$$(4.16) \quad \phi^2: \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(d(\sigma_{mk})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(d(\sigma_k))$$

where  $d$  denotes the Connes operator. The degrees of the classes above are given by the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\sigma_{mk}) &= (0, mk) & \deg(d(\sigma_{mk})) &= (1, mk) \\ \deg(\sigma_k) &= (0, k) & \deg(d(\sigma_k)) &= (1, k) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $\sigma_{mk}$  and  $d(\sigma_{mk})$  are in weight 0 and  $\sigma_k$  and  $d(\sigma_k)$  are in weight 1. Since  $\phi^2(\sigma_{mk}) = \sigma_k^m$ , we obtain that

$$\phi^2(d(\sigma_{mk})) = d(\phi^2(\sigma_{mk})) = d(\sigma_k^m) = m\sigma_k^{m-1}d(\sigma_k).$$

Since  $p \nmid m$ ,  $m$  is a unit. Using this, one observes that  $\phi^2$  is an isomorphism after restricting and corestricting to weight zero as desired.  $\square$

In the situation of Hypothesis 4.4,  $X \rightarrow X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  is a map of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -rings and  $X$  is concentrated in weight zero. Therefore, there is a map

$$\mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$$

where  $X$  above denotes the underlying  $E_1$ -ring of  $X$ . Now, we prove the following theorem which states that the map above is an equivalence when  $X$  is  $p$ -local and  $p \nmid m$ .

**Theorem 4.17.** *Assume Hypothesis 4.4 and that  $X$  is  $p$ -local and  $p \nmid m$ . In this situation, the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{THH}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$$

*is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  is given by

$$X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]$$

where  $X$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]$  are  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -rings concentrated in weight zero. Due to Proposition 4.12, we have

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0 \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])} (\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])_0)$$

and it follows by Proposition 4.15 that the map

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])_0$$

is an equivalence. This identifies  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$  with  $\mathrm{THH}(X)$  as desired.  $\square$

## 5. ADJOINING ROOTS AND ALGEBRAIC $K$ -THEORY

Here, we show that adjoining a root to an  $E_1$ -ring results in a splitting at the level of  $K$ -theory in favorable cases, i.e. the map  $K(X) \rightarrow K(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  is the inclusion of a wedge summand whenever  $X$  is connective and  $p$ -local where  $|x| > 0$  and  $p \nmid m$ .

For the rest of this section, assume Hypothesis 4.4. We established that  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  is an  $m$ -graded ring spectrum and therefore  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  is an  $S^1$ -equivariant  $m$ -graded spectrum, see (4.10). One might define  $\mathrm{TC}^-(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  as an  $m$ -graded spectrum given by:

$$\mathrm{TC}^-(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_i \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_i^{hS^1}.$$

Since  $m$  is finite, the underlying spectrum of an  $m$ -graded spectrum, provided by the functor  $D$ , is given by a finite coproduct which is equivalent to the corresponding

finite product. In particular,  $D$  commutes with all limits and colimits. Because of this, we have

$$D(\mathrm{TC}^-(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))) \simeq \mathrm{TC}^-(D(X(\sqrt[m]{x})))$$

and therefore, we often omit  $D$  in our notation.

Similarly,  $\mathrm{TP}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  and  $(\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))^{tC_p})^{hS^1}$  admit the structure of  $m$ -graded spectra and these constructions commute with the functor  $D$  as above. Combining this, with Theorem 4.17, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume Hypothesis 4.4 and that  $X$  is  $p$ -local and  $p \nmid m$ . The following canonical maps induced by  $X \rightarrow X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  are equivalences.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}^-(X) &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{TC}^-(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0 \\ \mathrm{TP}(X) &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{TP}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0 \\ (\mathrm{THH}(X)^{tC_p})^{hS^1} &\xrightarrow{\simeq} ((\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))^{tC_p})^{hS^1})_0 \end{aligned}$$

When  $X$  is connective and  $p$ -local,  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  is also connective and  $p$ -local. In this situation, the topological cyclic homology of  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  is defined via the following fiber sequence [NS18].

$$(5.2) \quad \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))^{hS^1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_p^{hS^1} - \mathrm{can}} (\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))^{tC_p})^{hS^1}$$

As mentioned above, the middle term and the third term above admit canonical splittings into  $m$ -cofactors. Furthermore,  $\mathrm{can}$  respects this splitting since it only depends on the  $S^1$ -equivariant structure of  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$ .

However,  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  do not necessarily split into  $m$ -cofactors. This is due to the fact that the Frobenius map do not necessarily respect the grading. Indeed, the Frobenius is given by maps

$$\varphi_p: \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_i \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_{ip}^{tC_p},$$

see [AMMN20, Corollary A.9]. On the other hand, we obtain the following splitting of  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$ .

**Construction 5.3.** Assume Hypothesis 4.4 and that  $X$  is connective and  $p$ -local where  $p \nmid m$ . In this situation,  $p$  is a non-zero divisor in  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ ; i.e.  $ip = 0$  implies  $i = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ . In other words, the Frobenius map on  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  carries pieces of non-zero weight to non-zero weight pieces. Moreover,  $\varphi_p$  carries weight zero to weight zero. Therefore, the map  $\varphi_p - \mathrm{can}$  splits as a coproduct of their restriction to weight zero and their restriction to non-zero weight. In particular, the fiber sequence in (5.2) admits a splitting as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0 \vee \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1 &\rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0^{hS^1} \vee \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_{>0}^{hS^1} \\ &\xrightarrow{(\varphi_p)_0 - \mathrm{can}_0 \vee (\varphi_p)_{>0} - \mathrm{can}_{>0}} (\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0^{tC_p})^{hS^1} \vee (\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))^{tC_p})_{>0}^{hS^1} \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $(-)>_0$  denotes restriction to weight not equal to 0. We have

$$\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \simeq \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0 \vee \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1$$

where  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$  denotes the fiber of the map  $(\varphi_p)_0 - \mathrm{can}_0$  and  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1$  denotes the fiber of the map  $(\varphi_p)_{>0} - \mathrm{can}_{>0}$ .

*Remark 5.4.* There are interesting cases where one obtains further splittings of the topological cyclic homology spectrum  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$ . For instance, if  $p = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ , then one obtains that  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  splits into  $m$ -summands. This happens to be the case when  $m = p - 1$  or when  $p$  is odd and  $m = 2$ . We exploit this in Section 8 to obtain a splitting of  $\mathrm{TC}(ku_p)$  into  $p - 1$  summands. Moreover, if  $m = p^n - 1$ , then one obtains an underlying  $p - 1$ -grading of  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  by Kan extending through  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^n - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(p - 1)$ . This provides a  $p - 1$ -grading for  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Assume Hypothesis 4.4 with  $p \nmid m$  and that  $X$  is  $p$ -local and connective. In this situation, the following map*

$$\mathrm{TC}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$$

*is the inclusion of a wedge summand. Namely, this map is given by a composite*

$$\mathrm{TC}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0 \vee \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1 \simeq \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$$

*where the first map is an equivalence and the second map is the inclusion of the wedge summand  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$  defined in Construction 5.3.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is concentrated in weight zero, the map  $\mathrm{TC}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  factors through the map

$$(5.6) \quad \mathrm{TC}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$$

induced by the canonical map  $\mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$ . The map  $\mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$  of cyclotomic spectra is an equivalence due to Theorem 4.17. Considering the construction of  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$ , one observes that this equivalence induces an equivalence between the fiber sequences defining  $\mathrm{TC}(X)$  and  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$ . In other words, (5.6) is an equivalence as desired.  $\square$

Finally, we obtain the desired splitting for  $K(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$ .

**Theorem 5.7** (Theorem 1.9). *Assume Hypothesis 4.4 with  $p \nmid m$  and  $k > 0$ . Furthermore, assume that  $X$  is  $p$ -local and connective. In this situation, the following map*

$$K(X) \rightarrow K(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$$

*is the inclusion of a wedge summand.*

*Proof.* Since  $|x| = mk$  and since  $k > 0$ , we have

$$(5.8) \quad \pi_0 X(\sqrt[m]{x}) = \pi_0 X.$$

We start by constructing a map of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -algebras

$$(5.9) \quad X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \rightarrow H\pi_0 X$$

that induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_0$  where  $H\pi_0 X$  is concentrated in weight 0. There is a map of graded  $E_2$ -rings

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$$

mapping  $\sigma_k$  to 0 where  $\mathbb{S}$  above is concentrated in weight 0. This corresponds to taking a weight 0 Postnikov section in the grading direction [HW20, Lemma B.0.6]. Kan extending through  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$ , we obtain a map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  of  $m$ -graded  $E_2$ -rings. This provides a map of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -rings

$$X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \simeq X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}$$

(see (4.3)) where the right hand side is concentrated in weight 0. Due to the Künneth spectral sequence, the degree zero homotopy of the right hand side is given by  $\pi_0 X$ . Therefore, the degree zero Postnikov section of the right hand side provides a map  $X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S} \rightarrow H\pi_0 X$  of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -algebras concentrated in weight 0. Composing this map with the map above provides (5.9).

Due to the Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy theorem, there is a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \simeq \mathrm{TC}(X) \vee \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(H\pi_0 X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TC}(H\pi_0 X) \end{array}$$

provided by the map of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -rings  $X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \rightarrow H\pi_0 X$  constructed above. The equivalence on the upper right corner follows by Construction 5.3 and Theorem 5.5.

The map  $X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \rightarrow H\pi_0 X$  induces a map of  $m$ -graded spectra

$$f: \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(H\pi_0 X).$$

Since  $H\pi_0 X$  is concentrated in weight zero,  $\mathrm{THH}(H\pi_0 X)$  is also concentrated in weight zero. Therefore, the map  $f$  is trivial on  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_{>0}$ . This shows that the right vertical map above induces the trivial map on  $\mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1$ . Using this, we obtain that the pullback square above splits as a coproduct of the pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TC}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(H\pi_0 X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TC}(H\pi_0 X) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

This shows that

$$K(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \simeq K(X) \vee \mathrm{TC}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_1$$

as desired. □

## 6. A VARIANT OF LOGARITHMIC THH

Here, we introduce our definition of logarithmic THH and identify  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  using  $\mathrm{THH}(X)$  and logarithmic THH of  $X$  whenever  $X$  is  $p$ -local and  $p \nmid m$ . Through our definition, logarithmic THH admits a canonical structure of a cyclotomic spectrum; in upcoming work, Devalapurkar and the third author develop a very general notion of logarithmic structures for  $E_2$ -algebras and a corresponding theory of log THH using logarithmic poles which subsumes the definition we use here. This will, in particular recover the variant due to Rognes, which is defined by way of the replete bar construction, cf. [Rog09]

Our definition of log THH starts with a definition of the log THH of the free algebra  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  where  $k \geq 0$  is even as before. We consider  $\sigma_k$  to be in weight 1.



For a graded  $E_n$ -ring spectrum  $E$ , we denote the *weight connective cover* of  $E$  by  $E_{\geq 0}$ . Indeed, the weight connective cover is obtained by restricting and then left Kan extending through the inclusion  $\mathbb{N}^{ds} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{ds}$ . The counit of this adjunction provides a map  $E_{\geq 0} \rightarrow E$  of graded  $E_n$ -algebras.

**Construction 6.1.** As in Construction 3.3, let  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}] := \mathrm{sh}^k(\mathbb{S}[t^{\pm 1}])$ . The graded  $E_\infty$ -map  $\mathbb{S}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[t^{\pm 1}]$  provides a graded  $E_2$ -map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]$ . Furthermore, by the definition of the shearing functor,  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]$  is indeed given by  $\phi^k$  of Variant 3.2; in particular,  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}^{\pm 1}]$  is the restriction of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]$  along  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{Z}$ . As mentioned in the proof of Proposition 3.9,  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$  is also the restriction of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  along  $\cdot m$ . Therefore, the counit of the restriction/left Kan extension functor induced by  $\cdot m$  provides a commutative diagram of graded  $E_2$ -rings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}^{\pm 1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]. \end{array}$$

*Remark 6.2.* We remark that there is a natural map  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0}$  of  $E_1$ -algebras in  $S^1$ -equivariant spectra. To see this, first note that the map induced by  $\mathrm{THH}$ :

$$(6.3) \quad \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])$$

factors at the level of  $E_1$  algebras by a map to the weight connective cover

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0}.$$

To conclude that this is furthermore  $S^1$ -equivariant, note that since  $\mathbb{N}^{ds}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^{ds}$  are discrete, it amounts to check that the map of spectra in each (positive) weight is  $S^1$ -equivariant. We already know this to be true because each weight summand of the map in (6.3) is  $S^1$ -equivariant.

**Definition 6.4.** Let  $k \geq 0$  be even. The logarithmic  $\mathrm{THH}$  of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  with respect to  $\sigma_k \in \pi_k \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  is the weight-connective cover of the topological Hochschild homology of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]$ . In other words, it is the  $S^1$ -equivariant  $E_1$ -algebra:

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) := \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0}.$$

Similarly, the  $p$ -local counterpart is defined as follows.

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) := \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0}$$

The following example provides a justification for this definition of logarithmic  $\mathrm{THH}$  by showing that its  $H\mathbb{Z}$ -homology provides what should be the logarithmic Hochschild homology of the free algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma_k]$ , c.f. [KN19, Example 10.3].

**Example 6.5.** Considering  $H\mathbb{Z}$  as a graded  $E_\infty$ -algebra concentrated in weight 0, we deduce that

$$H\mathbb{Z} \wedge (\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0}) \simeq (H\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]))_{\geq 0}.$$

Therefore,  $H\mathbb{Z}_* \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$  is given by the weight connective cover of

$$(6.6) \quad \mathrm{THH}_*^{H\mathbb{Z}}(H\mathbb{Z}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}] \otimes \Lambda(d\sigma_k)$$

where  $d\sigma_k$  is of weight 1 and degree  $k + 1$  and  $\sigma_k$  is of weight 1 and degree  $k$ . The isomorphism above follows by the usual Bökstedt spectral sequence considerations applied together with the HKR theorem. Taking the weight connective cover of (6.6), we obtain:

$$HZ_* \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_k] \otimes \Lambda(\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_k)$$

where  $\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_k$  is of weight 0 and homotopical degree 1 and it corresponds to  $(d\sigma_k)/\sigma_k$ .

For our more general definition of  $\log$  THH, we use the following constructions.

**Construction 6.7.** As mentioned earlier, the canonical inclusion  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  induces an adjunction, given by restriction and left Kan extension functors, between the  $\infty$ -categories of spectra graded over  $\mathbb{N}$  and graded spectra. Through this adjunction, one obtains a map of  $E_1$ -ring spectra

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$$

factoring the canonical map

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]).$$

Due to the naturality of adjunctions, the following canonical diagram of graded  $E_1$ -algebras commutes.

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \end{array}$$

Recall from Construction 4.11 that when  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ -algebra,  $\mathrm{THH}(X)$  admits the structure of a right  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -module. We use this structure in the following definition. Recall that Proposition 4.5 provides various cases of interest where the assumptions on  $X$  in the following definition are satisfied.

**Definition 6.9.** Let  $X$  be an  $E_1$   $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ -algebra and assume that the unit map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow X$  carries  $\sigma_k \in \pi_k \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  to  $x \in \pi_k X$  with even  $k \geq 0$ . We define the logarithmic THH of  $X$  relative to  $x$  as the following  $S^1$ -equivariant spectrum.

$$\mathrm{THH}(X \mid x) := \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$$

If  $X$  is assumed to be  $p$ -local, we use the following definition

$$\mathrm{THH}(X \mid x) := \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$$

which is compatible with the previous one due to Proposition 6.11.

*Remark 6.10.* We remark that  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$  should be a cyclotomic spectrum as the Frobenius maps of THH multiply the weight by  $p$  and this should provide  $\mathrm{THH}(X \mid x)$  above with the structure of a cyclotomic spectrum. However, since we don't explicitly need this for our application, displaying this will take us too far afield, and so, we leave the details to the future work of Devalpurkar and the third author.

**Proposition 6.11.** *In the situation of Definition 6.9, if  $X$  is  $p$ -local, then*

$$\mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $p$ -localization is a smashing localization, we have the following equivalences of  $E_1$ -algebras:

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0} \simeq \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}) \wedge (\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0})$$

and

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}) \wedge \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]).$$

Here,  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}$  is concentrated in weight 0. Due to the relative smash product definition of  $\mathrm{THH}$ ,  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{(p)}$  as  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)} \wedge \mathbb{S}_{(p)} \simeq \mathbb{S}_{(p)}$ . Rest of the proof follows as in the proof of Proposition 4.8.  $\square$

Since the definition of logarithmic  $\mathrm{THH}$  is given by the extension of scalars functor:

$$- \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k): \mathrm{RMod}_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \rightarrow \mathrm{RMod}_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)},$$

corresponding to the  $E_1$ -algebra map  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$ , we deduce that  $\mathrm{THH}(X \mid x)$  is equipped with the structure of a right  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$ -module. Furthermore, the unit of the adjunction given by the extension of scalars functor above and the corresponding forgetful functor provides a map

$$(6.12) \quad \mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X \mid x)$$

of right  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -modules, see (4.3).

*Remark 6.13.* Using  $MU[\sigma_k]$  mentioned in Remark 4.9, it is possible to equip logarithmic  $\mathrm{THH}$  with the structure of an  $E_n$ -algebra for  $n > 0$  in favorable cases. For instance, for the  $E_3$   $MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  constructed in [HW20],  $\mathrm{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle \mid v_n)$  admits the structure of an  $E_1$ -ring. Indeed, using the map of  $E_2$ -rings  $\mathrm{THH}(MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle)$ , we obtain an  $E_1$ -ring:

$$\mathrm{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}])} \mathrm{THH}(MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0},$$

equivalent to  $\mathrm{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle \mid v_n)$ . This equivalence follows by the following chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}])} \mathrm{THH}(MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0} \\ & \simeq \mathrm{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(MU) \wedge \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}])} \mathrm{THH}(MU) \wedge \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0} \\ & \simeq \mathrm{THH}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]) \end{aligned}$$

obtained from the equivalence of  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebras  $MU[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}] \simeq MU \wedge \mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]$  mentioned in Remark 4.9.

Furthermore, Hahn and Yuan [HY20, 1.11 and 1.12] show that there is an  $E_\infty$ -map  $MU[\sigma_2] \rightarrow ku_p$  for  $p = 2$  and claim that their methods provide such a map for odd primes too. In this situation,  $\mathrm{THH}(ku_p \mid u_2)$  is equipped with the structure of an  $E_\infty$ -ring (by arguing as above) where  $u_2$  denotes the Bott element.

*Remark 6.14.* In work in progress, S. Devalapurkar and the second author show in a general context, that for every  $E_2$ -ring with even homotopy, logarithmic  $\mathrm{THH}$ , as in our definition, may be equipped with a canonical  $E_1$ -algebra structure in cyclotomic spectra.

**Construction 6.15.** Assume Hypothesis 4.4. By Proposition 4.12, there is an equivalence:

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]).$$

Considering the functor  $-\wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$  as the extension of scalars functor corresponding to the map of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -ring spectra

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]),$$

one obtains that the equivalence above equips  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  with the structure of a right  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -module in  $m$ -graded spectra. Considering the map of graded  $E_1$ -ring spectra,

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$$

as a map of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -ring spectra in the canonical way, Definition 6.9 may be employed at the level of  $m$ -graded spectra. This shows that  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \mid \sqrt[m]{x})$  admits a canonical structure of a right  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$ -module in  $m$ -graded spectra. Furthermore, the map  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \mid \sqrt[m]{x})$  is a map of  $m$ -graded  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -modules.

**6.1. Logarithmic THH-étale root adjunctions.** Here, our goal is to show that when  $X$  is  $p$ -local and  $p \nmid m$ , root adjunction is logarithmic THH-étale. In other words, we show that there is an equivalence of  $m$ -graded spectra:

$$\mathrm{THH}(X \mid x) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \mid \sqrt[m]{x}).$$

**Proposition 6.16.** *For  $k \geq 0$ , the spectra  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$  and  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$  are connective in homotopy.*

*Proof.* This follows by the fact that the weight connective part of the cyclic bar construction on  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]$  ( $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]$ ) is connective in homotopy in each simplicial degree.  $\square$

We start with proving a logarithmic THH étaleness result for the  $p$ -localized free  $E_1$ -algebra  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]$ .

**Proposition 6.17.** *Let  $k \geq 0$  be even and let  $m > 0$  with  $p \nmid m$ . In this situation, there is an equivalence of left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk})$ -modules in  $m$ -graded spectra:*

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \simeq \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$$

where the left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk})$ -module structure on the right hand is provided by Construction 6.7.

*Proof.* We start by constructing the desired map. First, there is a composite map of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -ring spectra,

$$(6.18) \quad \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$$

which is in particular a map of left  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]$ -modules in  $m$ -graded spectra by forgetting structure through the  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -ring map  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]$ . Using the extension of scalars functor induced by the map

$$(6.19) \quad \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk})$$

of  $m$ -graded  $E_1$ -algebras, we obtain the desired map:

$$f: \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k),$$

of left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk})$ -modules in  $m$ -graded spectra from (6.18). Here, we used the fact that the left  $\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]$ -module structure on  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$  used in (6.18) is compatible with the one obtained by forgetting the canonical left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk})$ -module structure on  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$  through (6.19); this follows by the  $p$ -local version of Diagram (6.8).

What remains is to show that  $f$  is an equivalence. Both sides of this map is connective in homotopy due to Proposition 6.16. Since  $f$  is a map between  $p$ -local connective spectra, it is sufficient to show that  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge f$  is an equivalence, see Lemma 4.14.

By inspection on the two sided bar construction defining relative smash products, one obtains that

$$H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \simeq (H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk})) \wedge_{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k].$$

Using the base change formula for  $\mathrm{THH}$ , we obtain that  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge f$  is given by the canonical map

$$H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge f: \mathrm{THH}^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}^{\pm 1}]_{\geq 0}) \wedge_{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]_{\geq 0}).$$

To prove that  $H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge f$  is an equivalence, we argue as in the proof of Proposition 4.15. The map of Bökstedt spectral sequences computing the map

$$(6.20) \quad \mathrm{THH}_*^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_*^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])$$

is given on the second page, due to the HKR theorem, by the ring map

$$(6.21) \quad \phi: \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}^{\pm 1}] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(d(\sigma_{mk})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(d(\sigma_k))$$

satisfying

$$\phi(\sigma_{mk}) = \sigma_k^m \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(d(\sigma_{mk})) = m\sigma_k^{m-1}d(\sigma_k)$$

where  $m$  is a unit as  $p \nmid m$ . In particular,

$$(6.22) \quad \phi(\sigma_{mk}^{-1}d(\sigma_{mk})) = \sigma_k^{-m}m\sigma_k^{m-1}d(\sigma_k) = m\sigma_k^{-1}d(\sigma_k).$$

Here,  $\sigma_{mk}$  and  $\sigma_k$  are in degrees  $(0, mk)$  and  $(0, k)$  respectively and  $d(\sigma_{mk})$  and  $d(\sigma_k)$  are in degrees  $(1, mk)$  and  $(1, k)$  respectively. Furthermore,  $\sigma_{mk}$  and  $d(\sigma_{mk})$  are of weight  $m$  and  $\sigma_k$  and  $d(\sigma_k)$  are of weight 1. In particular, both Bökstedt spectral sequences degenerate on the second page and the map  $\phi$  provides the map (6.20).

Taking connective covers in weight direction and identifying  $\sigma_{mk}^{-1}d(\sigma_{mk})$  as  $\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_{mk}$  and  $\sigma_k^{-1}d(\sigma_k)$  as  $\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_k$ , we obtain that the map

$$\mathrm{THH}_*^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}^{\pm 1}]_{\geq 0}) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_*^{H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}]_{\geq 0})$$

is given by a map

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_{mk}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_k)$$

that carries  $\sigma_{mk}$  to  $\sigma_k^m$  and  $\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_{mk}$  to  $\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_k$  up to a unit due to (6.22) as  $p \nmid m$ .

Upon extending scalars with respect to the map  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]$ , this map becomes an isomorphism. In other words,  $\pi_*(H\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \wedge f)$  is an isomorphism and therefore,  $f$  is an equivalence.  $\square$

The following provides the logarithmic THH-étaleness of root adjunction in ring spectra.

**Theorem 6.23.** *Assume Hypothesis 4.4 with  $p \nmid m$  and that  $X$  is  $p$ -local. In this situation, there is an equivalence of  $m$ -graded spectra*

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \mid \sqrt[m]{x}) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X \mid x) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k].$$

In other words, as an  $m$ -graded spectrum,  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \mid \sqrt[m]{x})$  is given by

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \mid \sqrt[m]{x})_i \simeq \Sigma^{ik} \mathrm{THH}(X \mid x)$$

for every  $0 \leq i < m$ .

*Proof.* We have the following chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \mid \sqrt[m]{x}) &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \\ &\simeq (\mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk}) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}|\sigma_{mk}])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(X \mid x) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}|\sigma_{mk}])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(X \mid x) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}|\sigma_{mk}])} (\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}] \mid \sigma_{mk}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k]) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(X \mid x) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_k] \end{aligned}$$

The first and the fifth equalities follow by the definition of logarithmic THH, the second equality follows by our definition of root adjunction and Proposition 4.12 and the sixth equality follows by Proposition 6.17.  $\square$

*Remark 6.24.* In the language of Rognes, Sagave and Schlichtkrull [Rog09], logarithmic THH-étaleness would be expressed by an equivalence:

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \mid \sqrt[m]{x}) \simeq X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \wedge_X \mathrm{THH}(X \mid x).$$

Since we only assume  $X$  to be  $E_1$ ,  $\mathrm{THH}(X \mid x)$  may not admit an  $X$ -module structure and therefore, the right hand side above may not be defined under the hypothesis of Theorem 6.23. On the other hand, if one starts with an  $E_2$ -ring  $X$  with even homotopy, then  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X)$  can be chosen to be an  $E_1$ -map. In this case,  $\mathrm{THH}(X \mid x)$  is a left  $\mathrm{THH}(X)$ -module; in particular, a left  $X$ -module. We deduce that the equivalence above holds under the hypothesis of Theorem 6.23 if  $X$  is an  $E_2$ -ring with even homotopy.

**6.2. Relating THH and logarithmic THH.** The goal of this section is to show that there is a fiber sequence

$$\mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X \mid x) \rightarrow \Sigma \mathrm{THH}(X/x)$$

under our usual assumptions. The  $E_1$ -ring  $X/x$  above is described in the following.

**Construction 6.25.** Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ -algebra where  $\sigma_k$  acts through  $x \in \pi_k X$  where  $k \geq 0$  is even. The weight 0 Postnikov section of  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  provides a map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  of  $E_2$ -rings [HW20, B.0.6]. Considering the extension of scalars functor  $-\wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]} \mathbb{S}$  from the  $\infty$ -category of  $E_1$   $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ -algebras to the  $\infty$ -category of  $E_1$   $\mathbb{S}$ -algebras, one equips

$$X/x := X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]} \mathbb{S}$$

with the structure of an  $E_1$ -ring spectrum. Since  $\mathbb{S}$  is the cofiber of the map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ ,  $X/x$  is indeed the cofiber of the map  $X \xrightarrow{x} X$ .

Considering  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  as a graded  $E_2$ -ring, we have

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])_0 \simeq \mathbb{S}.$$

This can be observed by inspection on the cyclic bar construction on  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$  or by computing the  $H\mathbb{Z}$ -homology of the left hand side above. This is used in the statement of the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.26.** *The cofiber of the map*

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k)$$

*is given by  $\Sigma\mathbb{S}$  concentrated in weight 0 as a left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -module in graded spectra. Here, the left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{S}$  is given by the weight-Postnikov truncation map of graded  $E_1$ -rings*

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])_0 \simeq \mathbb{S}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the cofiber of the map  $f$  below in left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -modules in graded spectra.

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \mid \sigma_k) \rightarrow M$$

We start by computing  $H\mathbb{Z}_*M$ . The map

$$H\mathbb{Z}_*f: \mathrm{THH}_*^{H\mathbb{Z}}(H\mathbb{Z}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_*^{H\mathbb{Z}}(H\mathbb{Z}[\sigma_k^{\pm 1}])_{\geq 0}$$

is given by the ring map

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sigma_k] \otimes \Lambda(d(\sigma_k)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_k] \otimes \Lambda(\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_k)$$

that carries  $\sigma_k$  to  $\sigma_k$  and  $d(\sigma_k)$  to  $\sigma_k \mathrm{dlog}\sigma_k$ ; this follows by the Böksedt spectral sequences in (4.16) and (6.21). This map is injective and the only class that is not in the image is  $\mathrm{dlog}\sigma_k$ . We obtain,

$$H\mathbb{Z} \wedge M \simeq \Sigma H\mathbb{Z}$$

where the right hand side is concentrated in weight 0. Due to Proposition 6.16,  $f$  is a map between connective spectra. In particular,  $M$  is connective and we obtain an equivalence of spectra

$$M \simeq \Sigma\mathbb{S}.$$

We need to improve this to an equivalence of left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -modules in graded spectra. There is a map

$$\Sigma \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow M$$

of left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -modules in graded spectra carrying 1 to 1 in homotopy. Taking weight 0 Postnikov section [HW20, B.0.6], we obtain an equivalence of left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -modules in graded spectra

$$\Sigma \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} M.$$

This map is an equivalence because it carries 1 to 1 in homotopy by construction and since both sides are equivalent as spectra to  $\Sigma\mathbb{S}$ . □

We are ready to provide the cofiber sequence relating  $\mathrm{THH}$  to logarithmic  $\mathrm{THH}$ .

**Theorem 6.27.** *Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ -algebra where  $\sigma_k$  acts through  $x \in \pi_k X$  with even  $k \geq 0$ . In this situation, there is a cofiber sequence of spectra:*

$$\mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X | x) \rightarrow \Sigma \mathrm{THH}(X/x).$$

*The corresponding cofiber sequence for  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) | \sqrt[m]{x})$  is a cofiber sequence of  $m$ -graded spectra.*

*Proof.* Proposition 6.26 provides the following cofiber sequence of left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -modules in graded spectra.

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] | \sigma_k) \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{S}$$

Applying the functor  $\mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} -$  to this cofiber sequence, we obtain the following cofiber sequence

$$(6.28) \quad \mathrm{THH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X | x) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \Sigma \mathbb{S}.$$

What is left is to identify the cofiber above as  $\mathrm{THH}(X/x)$ . We have

$$(6.29) \quad \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \Sigma \mathbb{S} \simeq \Sigma \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \mathbb{S}.$$

Here,  $\mathbb{S}$  on the right hand side denotes the de-suspension of  $\Sigma \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])_0$  as a left  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])$ -module in graded spectra, see Proposition 6.26. This is  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])_0$  which admits the structure of a graded  $E_1$ -ring spectrum equipped with a map  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])_0$  of graded  $E_1$ -ring spectra given by the relevant weight 0 Postnikov section map. Indeed, due to the universal property of Postnikov sections, this weight 0 Postnikov section map factors the map of graded  $E_1$ -rings  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S})$  induced by the weight 0 Postnikov section map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ ; i.e. we have a factorization of this map of graded  $E_1$ -algebras as

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}).$$

The second map above is an equivalence as its domain and codomain are equivalent to  $\mathbb{S}$  as spectra and it carries the unit to the unit by construction. In particular, we can replace  $\mathbb{S}$  on the right hand side of (6.29) with  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S})$ . This provides the first equivalence below.

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \mathbb{S} &\simeq \Sigma \mathrm{THH}(X) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k])} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}) \\ &\simeq \Sigma \mathrm{THH}(X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]} \mathbb{S}) \\ &\simeq \Sigma \mathrm{THH}(X/x) \end{aligned}$$

The second equivalence follows by Proposition 4.12 and the third equivalence follows by our description of the  $E_1$ -algebra  $X/x$  in Construction 6.25. Equations (6.29) and (6.30) identify the cofiber in (6.28) as  $\mathrm{THH}(X/x)$  providing the cofiber sequence claimed in the theorem.

The statement regarding the cofiber sequence in  $m$ -graded spectra for  $X(\sqrt[m]{x})$  follows by utilizing same arguments.  $\square$

*Remark 6.31.* The above localization sequence is of fundamental importance in the theory of log THH. A proof of the above localization sequence for general  $E_2$  log structures, using more general methods, will be supplied in [DM22].



**6.3. THH after root adjunction.** Here, we identify  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  in terms of  $\mathrm{THH}(X)$  and  $\mathrm{THH}(X | x)$ .

**Theorem 6.32** (Theorem 1.7). *Assume Hypothesis 4.4 with  $p \nmid m$  and that  $X$  is  $p$ -local. In this situation, the  $m$ -graded spectrum  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))$  is given by*

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0 \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X)$$

and

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_i \simeq \Sigma^{ik} \mathrm{THH}(X | x) \quad \text{for } 0 < i < m.$$

In particular, there is an equivalence of spectra:

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X) \vee \left( \bigvee_{0 < i < m} \Sigma^{ik} \mathrm{THH}(X | x) \right).$$

*Proof.* The identification of  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_0$  is provided by Proposition 4.17. Therefore, it is sufficient to provide the identification of  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_i$  for  $i \neq 0$ .

Due to Theorem 6.23,

$$(6.33) \quad \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) | \sqrt[m]{x})_i \simeq \Sigma^{ik} \mathrm{THH}(X | x).$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that

$$(6.34) \quad \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}))_i \simeq \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) | \sqrt[m]{x})_i$$

whenever  $i \neq 0$ . This follows once we show that the cofiber of the the map

$$(6.35) \quad \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x})) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) | \sqrt[m]{x})$$

of  $m$ -graded spectra is concentrated in weight 0. Due to Theorem 6.27, the cofiber of this map is given by

$$\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) / \sqrt[m]{x})$$

where  $X(\sqrt[m]{x}) / \sqrt[m]{x}$  is defined to be  $X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]} \mathbb{S}$ . Therefore, we have

$$X(\sqrt[m]{x}) / \sqrt[m]{x} := X(\sqrt[m]{x}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]} \mathbb{S} \simeq X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]} \mathbb{S} \simeq X \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]} \mathbb{S}.$$

Since  $X$ ,  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{mk}]$  and  $\mathbb{S}$  are concentrated in weight 0, we obtain that  $X(\sqrt[m]{x}) / \sqrt[m]{x}$  and therefore  $\mathrm{THH}(X(\sqrt[m]{x}) / \sqrt[m]{x})$  are also concentrated in weight 0. This proves that the cofiber of (6.35) is concentrated in weight 0 which proves (6.34) and this, together with (6.33) proves the theorem.  $\square$

## 7. ALGEBRAIC $K$ -THEORY AND THH OF MORAVA $E$ -THEORIES

In this section, we show that Theorem 5.7 provides an interesting splitting for the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$ . Furthermore, we show that the THH of  $E_n$  may be obtain from the THH of the  $K(n)$ -localized Johnson-Wilson spectrum through base change.

**7.1. An identification of Morava  $E$ -theory.** We start with providing an alternate description of  $E_n$  in terms of its fixed points and spherical Witt vectors.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. The ring of Witt vectors  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\mu_{p^n-1}]$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mu_{p^n-1}]$  denotes the ring of integers of the unique unramified extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\mu_{p^n-1}]$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of degree  $n$ . Since  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is a subring of the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\mu_{p^n-1}]$ , we deduce that  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is a torsion free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module.

There exists an irreducible monic polynomial  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mu_{p^n-1}] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/f(x)$ . Indeed,  $f(x)$  is the lift of an irreducible factor of  $x^{p^n-1} - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Therefore,  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. Every finitely generated torsion free module over a PID is free of finite rank. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a PID, we deduce that  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of finite rank. Furthermore, we have  $\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[\mu_{p^n-1}] = \mathbb{Q}_p[\mu_{p^n-1}]$ . This implies that the rank of  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  as a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module is of rank  $n$ .  $\square$

We use the  $E_\infty$ -ring spectrum  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  called spherical Witt vectors constructed in [Lur18, 5.2.7]. The spherical Witt vectors comes equipped with an  $E_\infty$ -ring map  $\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  and it is  $p$ -complete. Furthermore,  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  is flat as an  $\mathbb{S}_p$ -module [Mao20, 4.23] in the sense of [Lur16, 7.2.2.10] and therefore its homotopy groups are given by

$$\pi_n \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \cong \pi_n(\mathbb{S}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}).$$

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. There is an equivalence of  $\mathbb{S}_p$ -modules*

$$\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \simeq \bigvee_{0 \leq i < n} \mathbb{S}_p.$$

*Proof.* As mentioned above, we have

$$\pi_* \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} = \pi_*(\mathbb{S}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}).$$

Using Proposition 7.1, we deduce that the right hand side is a coproduct of  $n$ -copies of  $\pi_* \mathbb{S}_p$ . The  $E_\infty$ -ring map  $\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  equips  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  with the structure of an  $\mathbb{S}_p$ -module. Choosing a basis of  $\pi_* \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  as an  $\pi_* \mathbb{S}_p$ -module and choosing maps  $\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  representing each basis element, one obtains a weak equivalence

$$\bigvee_{0 \leq i < d} \mathbb{S}_p \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$$

of  $\mathbb{S}_p$ -modules.  $\square$

We specifically work with the Morava  $E$ -theory (Lubin-Tate spectrum) corresponding to the height  $n$  Honda formal group  $\Gamma$ . This is formal group is characterized by its  $p$ -series

$$[p]_n(x) = x^{p^n},$$

and admits a canonical form over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , in the sense that all of its endomorphisms are defined over this field. Recall that the homotopy groups of the Morava  $E$ -theory spectra are given by

$$\pi_* E_n \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]][[u^{\pm 1}]]$$

where  $|u_i| = 0$  and  $|u| = -2$ . Since  $E_n$  is  $p$ -complete, there is a map of  $E_\infty$ -rings  $\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow E_n$ ; the following proposition improves this to a map of  $E_\infty$   $\mathbb{S}_p$ -algebras  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \rightarrow E_n$ .

**Proposition 7.3.** *The map*

$$\pi_*\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \pi_*\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow \pi_*E_n$$

obtained via the map  $\pi_*\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow \pi_*E_n$  and the canonical  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ -module structure on  $\pi_*E_n$  lifts to a map of  $E_\infty \mathbb{S}_p$ -algebras

$$\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \rightarrow E_n.$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Lurie's theory of thickenings of relatively perfect morphisms [Lur18, Section 5.2]. Indeed, the canonical diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S}_p & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H\mathbb{F}_p & \longrightarrow & H\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \end{array}$$

exhibits  $\mathbb{S}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  as an  $\mathbb{S}_p$ -thickening of  $H\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow H\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  in the sense of [Lur18, Definition 5.2.1], see [Lur18, Example 5.2.7].

In particular, this implies that the space of  $E_\infty \mathbb{S}_p$ -algebra maps from  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  to the connective cover  $cE_n$  of  $E_n$  is given by the set of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra maps

$$(7.4) \quad \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-Alg}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]])$$

where this correspondence is given by the functor  $\pi_0(-)/p$ .

Let  $f: \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \rightarrow cE_n$  be the map of  $E_\infty \mathbb{S}_p$ -algebras corresponding to the canonical  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra map in (7.4); in particular,  $\pi_0(f)/p$  is the canonical map in (7.4). We first show that  $\pi_0 f$  is given by the canonical inclusion

$$W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]].$$

Since  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the ring of integers of the unique unramified extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\mu_{p^n-1}]$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of degree  $d$ ,  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra by a primitive  $p^n - 1$  root of the unit. Since the roots of the unit of  $\pi_0 cE_n$  are all in the image of the canonical inclusion  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]]$ , one observes that the map  $\pi_0 f$  has to factor through the canonical inclusion  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]]$ . Furthermore, there is a unique ring map  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  that lifts the identity map on  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . This shows that  $\pi_0 f$  is given by the canonical inclusion  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]]$ . Since  $\pi_* f$  is a map of  $\pi_*\mathbb{S}_p$ -modules, it follows that the composition of  $f$  with the map  $cE_n \rightarrow E_n$  provides the map claimed in the proposition.  $\square$

Let  $Gal$  denote the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Due to Goerss-Hopkins-Miller theorem, there is an action of  $Gal$  on the  $E_\infty$ -algebra  $E_n$  for which

$$\pi_* E_n^{hGal} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]][[u^{\pm 1}]]$$

where the degrees of the generators are as in  $\pi_* E_n$ .

**Proposition 7.5.** *There are equivalences of  $E_\infty$ - $\mathbb{S}_p$ -algebras:*

$$\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} E_n^{hGal} \simeq E_n \text{ and } \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} c(E_n^{hGal}) \simeq cE_n,$$

where  $c$  denotes the connective cover functor.

*Proof.* We prove the first equivalence; the second follows by the same considerations and by using the uniqueness of connective covers. This equivalence is given by the following composite map of  $E_\infty \mathbb{S}_p$ -algebras

$$(7.6) \quad \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} E_n^{hGal} \rightarrow E_n \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} E_n \rightarrow E_n$$

where the first map is induced by the map provided by Proposition 7.3 and the second map is given by the multiplication map of  $E_n$ . Due to the flatness of  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$ , this map induces the canonical map

$$(7.7) \quad W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]] [u^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]] [u^{\pm 1}].$$

at the level of homotopy groups [Lur16, 7.2.2.13]. Due to Proposition 7.1,  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of finite rank. Therefore, the functor  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} -$  is given by taking a  $n$ -fold product of  $-$ . In particular, the functor  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} -$  commutes with limits and therefore commutes with completions. We deduce that the isomorphism

$$W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]] [u^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]] [u^{\pm 1}]$$

provides an isomorphism after completing with  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$ , i.e. (7.7) is an isomorphism. Therefore, the composite map (7.6) is an equivalence as desired.  $\square$

**7.2. Algebraic  $K$ -theory of Morava  $E$ -theories.** There is a finite subgroup  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$  of the Morava stabilizer group such that  $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times \rtimes Gal$  acts on the  $E_\infty$ -algebra  $E_n$ . Furthermore,

$$E_n^{hK} \simeq \widehat{E(n)}$$

where  $\widehat{E(n)}$  denotes the  $K(n)$ -localization of the Johnson-Wilson spectrum  $E(n)$ , see [Rog08, Section 5.4.7]. We have

$$\pi_* \widehat{E(n)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}][v_n^{\pm 1}]_I^\wedge$$

where  $I$  denotes the ideal  $(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ . Since  $\widehat{E(n)}$  is given by  $E_n^{hK}$ ,  $\widehat{E(n)}$  admits the structure of an  $E_\infty$ -algebra and it comes equipped with a  $K$ -equivariant map of  $E_\infty$ -algebras  $\widehat{E(n)} \rightarrow E_n$ . In particular, this provides a map

$$\widehat{E(n)} \rightarrow E_n^{hGal}$$

of  $E_\infty$ -algebras. This map carries  $v_n$  to  $u^{-(p^n-1)}$  and  $v_i$  to  $u_i u^{-(p^i-1)}$  for  $0 < i < n$ .

Let  $c\widehat{E(n)}$  denote the connective cover of  $\widehat{E(n)}$  in  $E_\infty \mathbb{S}_p$ -algebras. We start by adjoining a root to  $v_n \in \pi_*(c\widehat{E(n)})$  in a way that is compatible with the corresponding root adjunction in  $\pi_* \widehat{E(n)}$ .

**Construction 7.8.** Let  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}] \rightarrow c\widehat{E(n)}$  be a map of  $E_2$ -algebras that carries  $\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}$  to  $v_n \in \pi_*(c\widehat{E(n)})$  provided by Proposition 3.12. Furthermore, using the composite map

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}] \rightarrow c\widehat{E(n)} \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)},$$

to adjoin a root to  $v_n$  in  $\widehat{E(n)}$ , we obtain a map of  $E_1$ -algebras

$$(c\widehat{E(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})} := (c\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_2] \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_2] =: \widehat{E(n)}_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})}$$

obtained from Construction 4.6. Recall from Remark 4.7 that through this construction,  $\widehat{E(n)}(p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  is a  $\widehat{E(n)}$ -algebra.

Considering the map  $c\widehat{E(n)} \rightarrow E(n)$  as the canonical map

$$(c\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{c\widehat{E(n)}} c\widehat{E(n)} \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)} \wedge_{c\widehat{E(n)}} c\widehat{E(n)},$$

of  $E_\infty c\widehat{E(n)}$ -algebras, we deduce that the map  $(c\widehat{E(n)})(p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}) \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)}(p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  given above is a map of  $c\widehat{E(n)}$ -algebras; and therefore, a map of  $\mathbb{S}_p$ -algebras in particular.

**Theorem 7.9.** *In the situation of Construction 7.8, there are equivalences of  $E_1 \widehat{E(n)}$ -algebras:*

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{hGal} &\simeq \widehat{E(n)}(p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}) \\ E_n &\simeq \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{E(n)}(p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}) \end{aligned}$$

where the class  $u^{-1}$  corresponds to  $p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}$  at the level of homotopy groups for both of these equivalences.

In particular,  $E_n^{hGal}$  and  $E_n$  are  $p^n - 1$ -graded  $E_1 \widehat{E(n)}$ -algebras with

$$(E_n^{hGal})_i \simeq \Sigma^{2i} \widehat{E(n)}$$

and

$$(E_n)_i \simeq \Sigma^{2i} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{E(n)}$$

for every  $0 \leq i < p^n - 1$ .

*Proof.* By inspection, one observes that

$$\pi_*(\widehat{E(n)}(p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})) \cong \pi_* E_n^{hGal},$$

see [Rog08, 5.4.9]. Furthermore, the map of rings,

$$\pi_* \widehat{E(n)} \rightarrow \pi_*(\widehat{E(n)}(p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})) \cong (\pi_* \widehat{E(n)})[z]/(z^{p^n-1} - v_n)$$

is a map of étale rings as  $v_n$  and  $p^n - 1$  are invertible in  $\pi_* \widehat{E(n)}$ . Through Theorem A.1, we obtain the first equivalence in the theorem. The second equivalence follows by the first equivalence and Proposition 7.5. The statement on graded ring structures follows by the fact that root adjunction results in  $m$ -graded ring spectra, see Construction 4.6.  $\square$

We are ready to prove our main result on the  $K$ -theory of Morava  $E$ -theories. Since  $\widehat{E(n)}$  is the  $K(n)$ -localization of the Johnson-Wilson spectrum  $E(n)$ , we have a map  $E(n) \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)}$  of  $E_1$ -rings that induces the canonical map in homotopy where

$$\pi_* E(n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}][v_n^{\pm 1}].$$

We have the following composite map

$$E(n) \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)} \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)}(p^n\text{-}\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_n^{hGal}$$

of  $E_1$ -rings where the last map above is given by Theorem 7.9. Using this map and Proposition 7.5, we obtain the following composite:

$$\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge E(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge E_n^{hGal} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} E_n^{hGal} \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_n.$$

**Theorem 7.10.** *The maps*

$$\begin{aligned} K(E(n)) &\rightarrow K(E_n^{hGal}) \\ K(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge E(n)) &\rightarrow K(E_n) \end{aligned}$$

*induced by the maps described above are inclusions of wedge summands after  $T(n+1)$ -localization.*

*Proof.* Since we use trace methods, we need to work with the connective covers of the ring spectra we are interested in and then use the Purity results of [LMMT20] which states that a  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalence of  $E_1$ -rings induces a  $T(n+1)$ -equivalence in  $K$ -theory.

We start by considering the first map in the theorem. By Theorem 5.7, the map  $\widehat{cE(n)} \rightarrow (\widehat{cE(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})}$  provides an equivalence

$$(7.11) \quad K((\widehat{cE(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})}) \simeq K(\widehat{cE(n)}) \vee M$$

for some spectrum  $M$ , where  $c$  denotes the connective cover functor as before. Since the maps  $cE(n) \rightarrow \widehat{cE(n)}$  and  $cE(n) \rightarrow E(n)$  are  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalences, we obtain a  $T(n+1)$ -equivalence of spectra between  $K(E(n))$  and  $K(\widehat{cE(n)})$ . This identifies the right hand side of (7.11) as  $K(E(n)) \vee M$  up to  $T(n+1)$ -localization as desired. Therefore, it is sufficient to identify the left hand side of (7.11) as  $K(E_n^{hGal})$  up to  $T(n+1)$ -localization.

Considering Construction 7.8, one observes that the  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalence  $\widehat{cE(n)} \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)}$  induces another  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalence

$$(\widehat{cE(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})} \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)}_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})}$$

of  $E_1$ -rings. Therefore, the first map below is a  $T(n+1)$ -equivalence.

$$K((\widehat{cE(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})}) \rightarrow K(\widehat{E(n)}_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})}) \simeq K(E_n^{hGal})$$

The equivalence on the right hand side follows by Theorem 7.9. This shows that after  $T(n+1)$ -localization, the left hand side of (7.11) is identified with  $K(E_n^{hGal})$ . This proves that the first map in the theorem is the inclusion of a wedge summand after  $T(n+1)$ -localization as desired.

Now we prove the second statement of the theorem. To adjoin a root to  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{cE(n)}$  we use the composite map:

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}] \rightarrow \widehat{cE(n)} \simeq \mathbb{S}_p \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{cE(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{cE(n)},$$

where the first map is the one we fix from Construction 7.8 and the second map is the canonical one. The statement regarding the second map in the theorem is a consequence of the splitting

$$(7.12) \quad K((\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{cE(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})}) \simeq K(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{cE(n)}) \vee N$$

provided by Theorem 5.7 where  $N$  is a spectrum.

To identify the right hand side of (7.12), we start by noting that  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge E(n)$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge \widehat{cE(n)}$  are  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalent and that  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge \widehat{cE(n)}$  is equivalent up to a  $p$ -completion (therefore up to  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -localization) to  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{cE(n)}$ . Due to the purity of  $K$ -theory, this identifies the right hand side of (7.12) up to  $T(n+1)$ -localization as  $K(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge E(n)) \vee N$  as desired.

The identification of the left hand side of (7.12) follows by the chain of  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalences of  $E_1$ -algebras given below.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \widehat{cE(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[1]{v_n})} &\simeq \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} ((\widehat{cE(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[1]{v_n})}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} (\widehat{E(n)})_{(p^n-\sqrt[1]{v_n})} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} E_n^{hGal} \\ &\simeq E_n \end{aligned}$$

The first equivalence above follows by the definition of root adjunction and the map from  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]$  we are using, see Construction 7.8. The second equivalence is induced by the  $\mathbb{S}_p$ -algebra map given in Construction 7.8 which is a  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalence as stated earlier. The third and the last equivalences follow by Theorem 7.9.  $\square$

**7.3. THH descent for Morava  $E$ -theories.** Theorem 6.27 identifies THH of various periodic ring spectra with their logarithmic THH. For instance, the Morava  $E$ -theory spectrum  $E_n$  is periodic with a unit  $u$  in degree  $-2$ . Since  $u$  is a unit, we have  $E_n/(u^{-1}) \simeq 0$  and we obtain that the canonical map

$$\mathrm{THH}(E_n) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{THH}(E_n | u^{-1})$$

is an equivalence. Using this, together with our result on logarithmic THH-étaleness of root adjunction, we show that  $\mathrm{THH}(E_n)$  may be obtained from  $\mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)})$  via base-change up to  $p$ -completion. Such base-change formulas and their relationship with Galois descent problems for THH were studied by Mathew in [Mat17].

**Theorem 7.13.** *The canonical map:*

$$\mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\widehat{E(n)}} E_n^{hGal} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal}),$$

*is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* Recall from Theorem 7.9 that there is an equivalence of  $\widehat{E(n)}$ -algebras

$$E_n^{hGal} \simeq \widehat{E(n)}_{(p^n-\sqrt[1]{v_n})}.$$

Therefore, it follows by Construction 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} (7.14) \quad \mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\widehat{E(n)}} E_n^{hGal} &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\widehat{E(n)}} \widehat{E(n)} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}}} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_2] \\ &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}}} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v_n$  is a unit in  $\widehat{E(n)}$  and  $u^{-1}$  is a unit in  $E_n^{hGal}$ , Theorem 6.27 provides the equivalences:

$$\mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)} | v_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal} | u^{-1}).$$

Using these equivalences together with Theorem 6.23, we obtain that the following canonical map is an equivalence.

$$\mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_{2(p^n-1)}]} \mathbb{S}_{(p)}[\sigma_2] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal})$$

This, together with (7.14), provides the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.15.** *The canonical map:*

$$\mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\widehat{E(n)}} E_n \xrightarrow{\simeq_p} \mathrm{THH}(E_n),$$

*is an equivalence after  $p$ -completion.*

*Proof.* For this, we use the following identification of the  $\widehat{E(n)}$ -algebra  $E_n$  which is due to Proposition 7.5.

$$E_n \simeq E_n^{hGal} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$$

This provides the first equivalence below; the second equivalence follows by Theorem 7.13

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\widehat{E(n)}} E_n &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(\widehat{E(n)}) \wedge_{\widehat{E(n)}} (E_n^{hGal} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the canonical map

$$\mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})})$$

is an equivalence after  $p$ -completion. This follows by the following canonical diagram of  $\mathbb{S}/p$ -equivalences.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{THH}(E_n^{hGal} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}) \\ \downarrow \simeq_p & & \downarrow \simeq_p \\ \mathrm{THH}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(E_n^{hGal}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{THH}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(E_n^{hGal} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}) \\ \downarrow \simeq_p & \nearrow \simeq & \\ \mathrm{THH}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(E_n^{hGal}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathrm{THH}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}) & & \end{array}$$

The right hand vertical map and the upper left vertical map are  $\mathbb{S}/p$ -equivalences due to [Mao20, Lemma 5.20]. The fact that the lower left vertical map is an  $\mathbb{S}/p$ -equivalence follows by [Mao20, proof of Lemma 5.20] and the fact that the composite  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  is an equivalence. This shows that the upper horizontal map is an  $\mathbb{S}/p$ -equivalence proving the theorem.  $\square$

## 8. ALGEBRAIC $K$ -THEORY OF COMPLEX AND REAL TOPOLOGICAL $K$ -THEORIES

Here, we start by showing that  $K(ku_p)$  splits into  $p - 1$  non-trivial summands. Afterwards, we show that  $ku_p$  may be constructed from  $ko_p$  via root adjunction. We use this to obtain an explicit description of the  $V(1)$ -homotopy of  $K(ko_p)$  from the first authors computation of  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)$  [Aus10].



**8.1. Adams' splitting result for 2-vector bundles.** The  $n = 1$  case of Theorem 7.9 states that  $KU_p$  may be obtained from the Adams summand  $L_p$  via root adjunction. The following is the connective version of this result. Recall that  $\pi_*ku_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[u]$  and  $\pi_*\ell_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[v_1]$  where  $|u| = 2$  and  $|v_1| = 2p - 2$ . The  $E_\infty$ -map  $\ell_p \rightarrow ku_p$  carries  $v_1$  to  $u^{p-1}$  in homotopy. For the rest of this section, we fix a map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}] \rightarrow \ell_p$  of  $E_2$ -algebras carrying  $\sigma_{2(p-1)}$  to  $v_1$  and perform root adjunction using this map.

**Theorem 8.1.** *There is an equivalence*

$$ku_p \simeq \ell_p({}^{p-1}\sqrt{v_1})$$

of  $E_1$   $\ell_p$ -algebras.

*Proof.* We can equivalently define  $L_p({}^{p-1}\sqrt{v_1})$  as the  $E_1$   $L_p$ -algebra

$$L_p({}^{p-1}\sqrt{v_1}) := L_p \wedge_{\ell_p} \ell_p({}^{p-1}\sqrt{v_1})$$

through which we obtain a map of  $E_1$   $\ell_p$ -algebras

$$\ell_p({}^{p-1}\sqrt{v_1}) \rightarrow L_p({}^{p-1}\sqrt{v_1})$$

which serves as the connective cover of  $L_p({}^{p-1}\sqrt{v_1})$ .

It follows by the  $n = 1$  case of Theorem 7.9 that  $KU_p \simeq L_p({}^{p-1}\sqrt{v_1})$  as  $E_1$   $L_p$ -algebras. By the universal property of connective covers, one obtains the equivalence claimed in the theorem.  $\square$

This equips  $ku_p$  with the structure of a  $p - 1$ -graded  $E_1$   $\ell_p$ -algebra which further equips  $\mathrm{THH}(ku_p)$  with the structure of a  $p - 1$ -graded  $S^1$ -equivariant spectrum.

Let  $p > 3$  be a prime and let  $V(1)$  denote the type-2 finite spectrum used in [Aus05];  $V(1)$  is a homotopy ring spectrum.

There is another grading on  $V(1)_* \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)$  that the first author calls the  $\delta$ -grading [Aus05]. The group  $\Delta := \mathbb{Z}/(p - 1)$  acts on the  $E_\infty$ -ring  $ku_p$  through Adams operations. Let  $\delta \in \Delta$  be a chosen generator and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  satisfy  $\pi_*(\mathbb{S}/p \wedge \delta)(u) = \alpha u$  where

$$\pi_*(\mathbb{S}/p \wedge \delta): \pi_*(\mathbb{S}/p \wedge ku_p) \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathbb{S}/p \wedge ku_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[u].$$

We say  $u^i$  has  $\delta$ -weight  $i$  as  $\pi_*(\mathbb{S}/p \wedge \delta)(u^i) = \alpha^i u^i$ . Similarly, one says  $x \in V(1)_* \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)$  has  $\delta$ -weight  $i$  if the self map of  $V(1)_* \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)$  induced by  $\delta$  carries  $x$  to  $\alpha^i x$ . One defines  $\delta$ -weight in a similar way on other invariants of  $ku_p$  [Aus05, Definition 8.2].

**Proposition 8.2.** *The group  $V(1)_* \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_i$  is given by the classes of  $\delta$ -weight  $i$  in  $V(1)_* \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge ku_p$  is a  $p - 1$  graded  $E_1$   $H\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, there is a  $p - 1$ -grading on  $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_p}(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge ku_p)$ . By inspection on the Hochschild complex, one observes that the  $\delta$ -weight grading on  $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_p}(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge ku_p)$  agrees with the weight grading. In particular, the  $\delta$ -weight grading and the weight grading agree on the second page of the Bökstedt spectral sequence computing  $\mathrm{HH}^{\mathbb{F}_p}(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge ku_p)$ . Due to [Aus05, Section 9], this shows that the  $\delta$ -weight grading and the weight grading agree on  $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_p}(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge ku_p)$ . Furthermore, there is a basis of  $\mathrm{HH}_*^{\mathbb{F}_p}(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge ku_p)$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -module where  $\delta$ -weight is defined for each basis element. Therefore, the  $H\mathbb{F}_p$ -module  $\mathrm{HH}^{\mathbb{F}_p}(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge ku_p)$  splits as a coproduct of suspensions of  $H\mathbb{F}_p$  in a way that the map  $\mathrm{HH}^{\mathbb{F}_p}(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge \delta)$

is given by the respective multiplication map corresponding to the  $\delta$ -weight on each cofactor. Using this, one observes that the  $\delta$ -weight and the weight grading agree on  $H_*(V(1) \wedge \mathrm{THH}(ku_p); \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

The Hurewicz map

$$V(1)_* \mathrm{THH}(ku_p) \rightarrow H_*(V(1) \wedge \mathrm{THH}(ku_p); \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is injective and this map preserves both gradings. From this, we deduce that the weight grading and the  $\delta$ -weight grading agree on  $V(1)_* \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)$ .  $\square$

In general,  $\mathrm{THH}$  of  $m$ -graded ring spectra may not result in an  $m$ -graded cyclotomic spectrum as the Frobenius map do not preserve the grading; it multiplies the grading by  $p$ . On the other hand, for  $ku_p$ ,  $\mathrm{THH}(ku_p)$  is  $p-1$ -graded and  $p=1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$ . In particular, the Frobenius map preserves the grading and one obtains that  $\mathrm{THH}(ku)$  is a  $p-1$ -graded cyclotomic spectrum.

**Proposition 8.3.** *The  $S^1$ -equivariant structure on  $\mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_i$  lifts to a cyclotomic structure for which there is an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{THH}(ku) \simeq \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)} \mathrm{THH}(ku)_i$$

of cyclotomic spectra.

*Proof.* The monoid  $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$  satisfies the conditions in [AMMN20, Appendix A] needed to endow  $\mathrm{THH}(ku)$  with an  $L_p$  twisted cyclotomic structure. However, since  $p \cong 1 \pmod{p-1}$ , this ends up being the identity functor on  $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$ -graded spectra. Thus one obtains a sequence of  $S^1$ -equivariant maps

$$\mathrm{THH}(ku)_i \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(ku)_i^{tC_p}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$ , which is precisely the relevant additional piece of structure needed to view this as a cyclotomic object.  $\square$

**Construction 8.4.** Here, we construct a splitting of  $K(ku_p)$  using Proposition 8.3. Since the product mentioned in Proposition 8.3 is a finite product, it is at the same time a coproduct. In particular, it commutes with all limits and colimits. Therefore, the fiber sequence defining  $\mathrm{TC}(ku_p)$  splits into a product of fiber sequences

$$\mathrm{TC}(ku_p)_i \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_i^{hS^1} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_p)_i - \mathrm{can}_i} (\mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_i^{tC_p})^{hS^1}.$$

Hence, there is a splitting of  $\mathrm{TC}(ku_p)$ :

$$\mathrm{TC}(ku_p) \simeq \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)} \mathrm{TC}(ku_p)_i$$

where  $\mathrm{TC}(ku_p)_i := \mathrm{TC}(\mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_i)$ . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.7, one obtains a map  $ku_p \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $p-1$ -graded  $E_1$ -rings where  $H\mathbb{Z}_p$  is concentrated in weight 0. Therefore, the induced map  $\mathrm{THH}(ku_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  of  $p-1$ -graded spectra is trivial in non-zero weight. By inspection on the product splitting of the fiber sequence defining  $\mathrm{TC}(ku_p)$ , we consider  $\mathrm{TC}(ku_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  as a map of  $p-1$  graded spectra where  $\mathrm{TC}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is concentrated in weight 0. Again, as in the proof of Theorem

5.7, this splits the pull-back square (from Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy theorem) relating  $\mathrm{TC}(ku_p)$  to  $K(ku_p)$  resulting in a splitting of  $K(ku_p)$  that we denote by

$$K(ku_p) \simeq \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)} K(ku_p)_i.$$

Here,  $K(ku_p)_0 \simeq K(\ell_p)$  due to Theorem 5.7.

To understand the resulting splitting of  $K(ku_p)$ , we identify the  $V(1)$ -homotopy of each weight piece. The computation of  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)$  is due to the first author [Aus10, Theorem 8.1] and these groups are given below.

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} V(1)_*K(ku_p) \cong & \mathbb{F}_p[b] \otimes \Lambda(\lambda_1, a_1) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[b] \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\{\partial\lambda_1, \partial b, \partial a_1, \partial\lambda_1 a_1\} \\ & \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[b] \otimes \Lambda(a_1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\{t^d \lambda_1 \mid 0 < d < p\} \\ & \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[b] \otimes \Lambda(\lambda_1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\{\sigma_n, \lambda_2 t^{p^2-p} \mid 1 \leq n \leq p-2\} \\ & \oplus \mathbb{F}_p\{s\} \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $|b| = 2p + 2$ ,  $|\partial| = -1$ ,  $|\lambda_1| = 2p - 1$ ,  $|a_1| = 2p + 3$ ,  $|\sigma_n| = 2n + 1$ ,  $|t| = -2$ ,  $|\lambda_2| = 2p^2 - 1$  and  $|s| = 2p - 3$ . We assign weights to these classes in a way that turns  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)$  into a  $p - 1$ -graded abelian group. The weights of  $\sigma_n$ ,  $b$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $\partial$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $t$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and  $s$  are given by  $n$ ,  $1$ ,  $1$ ,  $0$ ,  $0$ ,  $0$ ,  $0$  and  $0$  respectively. Classes denoted by tensor products or products above have the canonical degrees and weights. Furthermore, the isomorphism above is that of  $\mathbb{F}_p[b]$ -modules and  $b^{p-1} = -v_2$ .

**Theorem 8.6.** *For the equivalence of spectra*

$$K(ku_p) \simeq \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)} K(ku_p)_i$$

provided by Construction 8.4, there is an equivalence:

$$K(ku_p)_0 \simeq K(\ell_p)$$

and there are isomorphisms

$$V(1)_*(K(ku_p)_i) \cong (V(1)_*K(ku_p))_i$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$  where the right hand side denotes the weight  $i$  piece of the  $p - 1$ -grading on  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)$  described above.

*Proof.* The identification of  $K(ku_p)_0$  is given in Construction 8.4. This provides the identification of  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)_0$  as  $(V(1)_*K(ku_p))_0$  since this is precisely the image of the map

$$V(1)_*K(\ell_p) \rightarrow V(1)_*K(ku_p),$$

see [Aus05, Theorem 10.2]. The identification of  $V(1)_*(K(ku_p)_i)$  for  $i \neq 0$  follows by noting from Proposition 8.2 that it is sufficient to keep track of the contribution of  $\delta$ -weight  $i$  classes in  $V(1)_* \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)$  to  $V(1)_* \mathrm{TC}(ku_p)$ . This follows by inspection on [Aus10, Section 7] and [Aus10, Section 5].  $\square$

**8.2. Algebraic  $K$ -theory of real  $K$ -theory.** Let  $p > 3$ . Using Theorem 5.7, the splitting of  $K(ku_p)$  discussed above and our root adjunction formalism, we obtain a straightforward computation of  $V(1)_*K(ko_p)$  from our knowledge of  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)$  from [Aus10]. Here,  $ko_p$  denotes the connective cover of the  $p$ -completed real topological  $K$ -theory spectrum  $KO_p$ . We have  $\pi_*KO_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|\alpha| = 4$ .

There is a subgroup of  $C_2$  of  $\Delta \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)$  such that  $KO_p \simeq KU_p^{hC_2}$ . Through this, the induced map  $KO_p \rightarrow KU_p$  carries  $\alpha$  to  $u^2$  up to a unit that we are going to omit. Since  $L \simeq (KU_p)^{h\Delta}$ , we obtain a sequence of  $E_\infty$ -maps

$$L_p \rightarrow KO_p \rightarrow KU_p$$

where the first map carries  $v_1$  to  $\alpha^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$  in homotopy.

**Theorem 8.7.** *For  $p > 3$ , there is an equivalence*

$$ko_p \simeq \ell_p(\sqrt[p-1]{v_1})$$

of  $E_1$   $\ell_p$ -algebras.

*Proof.* This follows as in the proofs of Theorems 8.1 and 7.9 by noting that  $p \nmid \frac{p-1}{2}$ .  $\square$

Furthermore,  $ku_p$  may also be obtained from  $ko_p$  via root adjunction; for this root adjunction, we use the  $\mathbb{S}_p[\sigma_4]$ -algebra structure on  $ko_p$  provided by Theorem 8.7. To identify the resulting 2-graded  $E_1$ -ring structure on  $ku_p$ , we use the symmetric monoidal functor

$$D': \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/(p-1), \text{Sp}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \text{Sp})$$

given by left Kan extension through the canonical map  $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

**Proposition 8.8.** *For  $p > 3$ , there is an equivalence*

$$ko_p(\sqrt[p]{\alpha}) \simeq D'(ku_p)$$

of 2-graded  $E_1$ -algebras where  $D'$  is defined above and the  $p-1$ -grading on  $ku_p$  is given by Theorem 8.1.

*Proof.* Due to Theorem 8.7,  $ko_p$  is an  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_4]$ -algebra given by

$$\ell_p \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_4].$$

To adjoin a root to  $ko_p$  using this structure, we use the sequence of maps

$$\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}[\sigma_4] \rightarrow D'(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_2])$$

of 2-graded  $E_2$ -ring spectra where  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}]$  and  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_4]$  are concentrated in weight 0 and  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_2]$  above is given its canonical  $p-1$ -grading so that  $\sigma_2$  in  $D'(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_2])$  lies in weight 1.

We obtain the following equivalences of 2-graded  $E_1$ -rings.

$$(8.9) \quad ko_p(\sqrt[p]{\alpha}) \simeq \ell_p \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_4] \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_4]} D'(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_2]) \simeq \ell_p \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}]} D'(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_2])$$

The functor  $D'$  is a left adjoint and it is symmetric monoidal. Therefore, it commutes with the two sided bar construction defining relative smash products. This provides the second equivalence in the following equivalences of 2-graded  $E_1$ -algebras.

$$(8.10) \quad D'(ku_p) \simeq D'(\ell_p \wedge_{\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}]} \mathbb{S}[\sigma_2]) \simeq D'(\ell_p) \wedge_{D'(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}])} D'(\mathbb{S}[\sigma_2])$$

The first equivalence above follows by Theorem 8.1 and the relative smash product in the middle is taken in  $p-1$ -graded spectra. Since  $\ell_p$  and  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2(p-1)}]$  are concentrated

in weight 0, the right hand side above is equivalent to the right hand side of (8.9); this follows by Lemma 2.5. In other words, (8.9) and (8.10) agree.  $\square$

Recall that the spectra  $K(ku_p)_i$  are given in Construction 8.4 and the groups  $V(1)_*K(ku_p)_i$  are identified in Theorem 8.6.

**Theorem 8.11.** *For  $p > 3$ , there is an equivalence of spectra:*

$$K(ko_p) \simeq \bigvee_{0 \leq i < (p-1)/2} K(ku_p)_{2i}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$V(1)_*K(ko_p) \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < (p-1)/2} V(1)_*K(ku_p)_{2i}.$$

and  $V(1)_*K(ko_p)$ , as an abelian group, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} V(1)_*K(ko_p) \cong & \mathbb{F}_p[b^2] \otimes \Lambda(\lambda_1, ba_1) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[b^2] \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\{\partial\lambda_1, b\partial b, b\partial a_1, b\partial\lambda_1 a_1\} \\ & \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[b^2] \otimes \Lambda(ba_1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\{t^d \lambda_1 \mid 0 < d < p\} \\ & \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[b^2] \otimes \Lambda(\lambda_1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\{b^{\epsilon(n)} \sigma_n, \lambda_2 t^{p^2-p} \mid 1 \leq n \leq p-2\} \\ & \oplus \mathbb{F}_p\{s\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon(n) = 1$  if  $n$  is odd and  $\epsilon(n) = 0$  if  $n$  is even. Here, the class denoted by  $(b^2)^{(p-1)/2}$  is  $-v_2$ .

As a consequence, we have an isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$T(2)_*K(ko) \cong T(2)_*K(\ell_p)[b^2]/((b^2)^{(p-1)/2} + v_2).$$

*Proof.* We start by identifying  $\mathrm{THH}(ko_p)$  as a cyclotomic spectrum. We have the following chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{THH}(ko_p) & \simeq \mathrm{THH}(ko_p(\sqrt[p]{\alpha}))_0 \\ & \simeq \mathrm{THH}(D'(ku_p))_0 \\ & \simeq (D'(\mathrm{THH}(ku_p)))_0 \\ & \simeq \prod_{0 \leq i < (p-1)/2} \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_{2i} \end{aligned}$$

The first equivalence above follows by Theorem 4.17, the second equivalence follows by Proposition 8.8 and the third equivalence is a consequence of [AMMN20, Corollary A.15]. The last equivalence above follows by the description of  $D'$  as a left Kan extension, see Section 2.2. Indeed, this shows that the following composite map of cyclotomic spectra is an equivalence.

$$\mathrm{THH}(ko_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(ku_p) \simeq \prod_{0 \leq i < p-1} \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_i \rightarrow \prod_{0 \leq i < (p-1)/2} \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_{2i}$$

Here, the equivalence in the middle follows by Proposition 8.3. The last map above is the canonical projection.

The composite equivalence of cyclotomic spectra above shows that

$$\mathrm{TC}(\mathrm{THH}(ko_p)) \simeq \mathrm{TC}\left(\prod_{0 \leq i < (p-1)/2} \mathrm{THH}(ku_p)_{2i}\right) \simeq \prod_{0 \leq i < (p-1)/2} \mathrm{TC}(ku_p)_{2i}.$$

Considering the Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy theorem with respect to the composite  $ko_p \rightarrow ku_p \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}_p$ , we obtain that the splitting of the pullback square relating  $K(ku_p)$  with  $\mathrm{TC}(ku_p)$  (mentioned in Construction 8.4) provides a splitting for  $K(ko_p)$  given by

$$(8.12) \quad K(ko_p) \simeq \prod_{0 \leq i < (p-1)/2} K(ku_p)_{2i}.$$

The first and the second statements in the theorem follow from this splitting. The third statement follows by this, and by inspection on (8.5).

For the last statement, note that  $T(2)_*K(ko) \cong T(2)_*K(ko_p)$  due to the purity of algebraic  $K$ -theory and [LMMT20, Lemma 2.2 (vi)]. It follows by Theorem 8.6, that the map

$$T(2)_*K(ku_p) \xrightarrow{\cdot b^i} T(2)_*K(ku_p)$$

carries  $T(2)_*K(ku_p)_0$  to  $T(2)_*K(ku_p)_i$  for  $i < p-1$  where the map above multiplies by  $b^i$ . Using this fact, together with [Aus10, Proposition 1.2 (b)], provides isomorphisms

$$T(2)_*K(\ell_p) \cong T(2)_*K(ku_p)_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} T(2)_*K(ku_p)_i$$

given by  $\cdot b^i$  for  $i < p-1$ . This, together with (8.12) provides the desired identification of  $T(2)_*K(ko) \cong T(2)_*K(ko_p)$  as  $T(2)_*K(\ell_p)[b^2]/((b^2)^{(p-1)/2} + v_2)$ .  $\square$

## 9. REDSHIFT FOR QUOTIENTS OF $MU$ -ALGEBRAS

Here, we prove that the redshift conjecture holds for a wide range of examples. For this, we start by proving the redshift conjecture for  $K(n)$ ; here,  $K(n)$  denotes the Morava  $K$ -theory spectrum with Honda formal group of height  $n$ . More generally, we show that whenever a height  $n$   $E_1$ -ring  $R$  is equipped with an  $E_1$ -map  $R \rightarrow K(n)$ ,  $R$  also satisfies the redshift conjecture. This is important due to the fact that  $K(n)$  enjoys various uniqueness properties. It follows by the classification of fields in spectra [HS98], that  $K(n)$  is uniquely determined, as a spectrum, by its height and its homotopy ring. Furthermore, the  $E_1$ -ring structure on  $K(n)$  is also unique due to [Ang11]. This should not be confused with Robinson's result [Rob89] stating that there are infinitely many ways of building an  $E_1$ -ring structure on  $K(n)$ ; Angeltveit shows that all the resulting  $E_1$ -ring structures on  $K(n)$  are weakly equivalent.

Exploiting the uniqueness of  $K(n)$ , we show that every  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  carries an  $E_1$ -ring map  $BP\langle n \rangle \rightarrow K(n)$  and therefore, obtain the redshift conjecture for all  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ . This was only proved for the forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  that admit an  $E_3$   $BP$ -algebra structure by Hahn and Wilson [HW20]. Using this, and our results in Section 7, we obtain a new proof of the fact that Morava  $E$ -theories satisfy the redshift conjecture; this was only recently proved by Yuan [Yua21].

**9.1. Redshift conjecture for Morava  $K$ -theories.** Due to purity of algebraic  $K$ -theory [LMMT20], the redshift conjecture for  $k(n)$ , the connective cover of  $K(n)$ , follows once we show that  $L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n))$  is non-trivial. Indeed, we prove the stronger result stating that the canonical map

$$(9.1) \quad L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n))$$

induced by the unit of  $k(n)$  is non-trivial. This implies that whenever an  $E_1$ -ring  $R$  of height  $n$  maps into  $k(n)$ ,  $R$  stasifies the redshift conjecture as the map  $L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(R)$  factors the map above. To prove the non-triviality of (9.1), we work at the level of  $MU$ -relative THH.

For our purposes, it is more convenient to work with  $MU_{(p)}$ -relative THH rather than  $MU$ -relative THH. To justify this, note that for a  $p$ -local  $E_n$   $MU$ -algebra  $R$ ,  $R$  is an  $E_n$   $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra and therefore,  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(R)$  is also  $p$ -local as it is a  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU_{(p)})$ -module. Furthermore, we have an equivalence of  $S^1$ -equivariant  $E_{n-1}$   $MU$ -algebras

$$\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(R) \simeq \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(R) \wedge_{MU} MU_{(p)} \simeq \mathrm{THH}^{MU_{(p)}}(R \wedge_{MU} MU_{(p)}) \simeq \mathrm{THH}^{MU_{(p)}}(R)$$

given by the usual base change formula. For instance,  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU_{(p)}}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1}$  agrees with  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1}$ .

**Caution 9.2.** For the rest of this subsection, when we say  $MU$ , we mean the  $p$ -localized  $MU$ .

There is a retract  $BP \rightarrow MU \rightarrow BP$  of  $E_4$ -rings [BM13]. Following [HW20, Definition 2.0.1], we say an  $E_k$   $MU$ -algebra  $B$  is an  $E_k$   $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  if the composite

$$(9.3) \quad \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n] \rightarrow \pi_*BP \rightarrow \pi_*MU \rightarrow \pi_*B$$

is an isomorphism. As mentioned in [HW20, Remark 2.0.2], we are free to choose the generators  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  in  $\pi_*BP$  as we wish. We use the Hazewinkel generators for  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  but not necessarily for rest of the generators  $v_i$ . This choice provides the corresponding  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  in  $\pi_*MU$  through the map  $\pi_*BP \rightarrow \pi_*MU$ . We let  $v_0 := p$  as usual.

As mentioned in Remark 4.9, there is a graded  $E_\infty$ -algebra  $MU[\sigma_k]$  whose underlying graded  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebra is  $MU \wedge \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k]$ ; here,  $k \geq 0$  is even as usual. Furthermore, we can choose the weight of  $\sigma_k$  as we wish. Therefore, given an  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebra  $X$  with even homotopy and an  $x \in \pi_k X$ , we can choose a map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow X$  of  $E_2$ -rings which by adjunction gives a map

$$MU[\sigma_k] \simeq MU \wedge \mathbb{S}[\sigma_k] \rightarrow X$$

of  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebras that carries  $\sigma_k$  to  $x$ .

**Construction 9.4.** Let  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$  be the  $E_\infty$   $MU$ -algebra:

$$MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n] := MU[\sigma_0] \wedge_{MU} MU[\sigma_{2p-2}] \wedge_{MU} \dots \wedge_{MU} MU[\sigma_{2p^{n-1}-2}].$$

As mentioned above, there are maps of  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebras  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2}] \rightarrow MU$  carrying  $\sigma_{2p^i-2}$  to  $v_i$ . These maps provide the following composite map of  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebras

$$\varphi: MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n] \rightarrow MU \wedge_{MU} \dots \wedge_{MU} MU \simeq MU$$

carrying  $\sigma_{2p^i-2}$  to  $v_i$  in homotopy. Since this is a map of  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebras, the following composite of  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebra maps is the identity map where the first map below is the unit of  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$ .

$$(9.5) \quad MU \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \searrow \text{ \scriptsize id } \nearrow \\ \phantom{\longrightarrow} \phantom{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]} \phantom{\longrightarrow} \phantom{MU} \end{array} MU$$

Forgetting through  $\varphi$ ,  $BP\langle n \rangle$  admits the structure of an  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$ -algebra. This structure is compatible with its  $MU$ -algebra structure in the sense that forgetting through  $MU \rightarrow MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$  provides the original  $MU$ -algebra structure on  $BP\langle n \rangle$  as the composite above is the identity map.

There is another map:

$$\epsilon: MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n] \rightarrow MU,$$

given by the weight 0 Postnikov truncation map  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n] \rightarrow MU$  when we place  $\sigma_{2p^i-2}$  in weight 1 for each  $i$ ; we have  $\pi_*(\epsilon)(\sigma_{2p^i-2}) = 0$ . This is a map of  $E_\infty$   $MU$ -algebras. Therefore, through  $\epsilon$ ,  $MU$  admits the structure of an  $E_\infty$   $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$ -algebra that we denote by  $MU_\epsilon$ .

**Caution 9.6.** Except  $MU_\epsilon$ , whenever we consider an  $MU$ -module (algebra) as an  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$ -module (algebra), we do this by forgetting through  $\varphi$ .

**Lemma 9.7.** *There is an equivalence:*

$$MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]/(\sigma_0, \sigma_{2p-2}, \dots, \sigma_{2p^{n-1}-2}) \simeq MU_\epsilon$$

of  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$ -modules.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the claim at the level of graded  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$ -modules where we place  $\sigma_{2p^i-2}$  in weight 1. The right hand side is the weight 0 Postnikov section of  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$  by definition and the left hand side may be easily shown to be the weight 0 Postnikov section of  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$  by using the canonical map

$$MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n] \rightarrow MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]/(\sigma_0, \sigma_{2p-2}, \dots, \sigma_{2p^{n-1}-2}).$$

□

This means that we can quotient out the elements  $v_i$  in an  $MU$ -algebra  $X$  by forgetting  $X$  to an  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$ -algebra through  $\varphi$  and using the functor

$$- \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]} MU_\epsilon.$$

Since  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$  is an  $E_\infty$ -ring,  $E_k$ -algebras over it form a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category [Lur16, 3.2.4.4]. Therefore,  $X \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]} MU_\epsilon$  is an  $E_1$   $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]$ -algebra.

The following proposition provides a convenient description of  $k(n)$  as an  $E_1$ -ring. Recall that we have

$$\pi_* K(n) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[v_n^{\pm 1}] \text{ and } \pi_* k(n) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[v_n].$$

**Proposition 9.8.** *For a given  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , there is an equivalence of  $E_1$ -rings*

$$BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} \mid i < n]} MU_\epsilon \simeq k(n).$$



*Proof.* Due to Lemma 9.7,

$$(9.9) \quad \pi_*((BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon)[v_n^{-1}]) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[v_n^{\pm 1}]$$

as desired. Since this is a field, the spectrum in (9.9) is a free module over  $K(m)$  for some  $m$  [HS98, Proposition 1.9]. For  $m < n$ ,  $v_m$  cannot act non-trivially on the ring above by degree reasons; therefore, the spectrum in (9.9) is not a  $K(m)$ -module. For  $m > n$ , since  $BP\langle n \rangle$  is  $T(m)$ -acyclic,  $BP\langle n \rangle$  is also  $K(m)$ -acyclic [LMMT20, Lemma 2.2 (iv)] and therefore, the spectrum in (9.9) also is  $K(m)$ -acyclic. This shows that the spectrum in (9.9) is not a module over  $K(m)$  for  $m > n$ . Therefore, the spectrum in (9.9) is a free module over  $K(n)$  and we have an equivalence of spectra:

$$(BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon)[v_n^{-1}] \simeq K(n).$$

This equivalence lifts to an equivalence of  $E_1$ -rings due to the uniqueness of the  $E_1$ -ring spectrum structure on  $K(n)$  [Ang11].

Since the map

$$BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon \rightarrow BP\langle n \rangle \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon[v_n^{-1}] \simeq K(n)$$

is a connective cover of  $E_1$ -rings, we deduce that the left hand side is  $k(n)$  as an  $E_1$ -ring due to the universal property of connective covers.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.10.** *For every  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , there is a map of  $E_1$ -rings*

$$BP\langle n \rangle \rightarrow k(n).$$

**Caution 9.11.** For the rest of this subsection, when we say  $BP\langle n \rangle$ , we mean an  $E_3$   $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  provided by [HW20].

Due to Proposition 9.8,  $k(n)$  admits the structure of an  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra equipped with a map  $BP\langle n \rangle \rightarrow k(n)$  of  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebras.

To show that  $L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n))$  is non-trivial, we will need to prove that  $L_{T(n+1)}u$  is non-trivial for  $u$  mentioned below. The following lemma reduces this question to the non-triviality of  $L_{T(n+1)}f$  which is a more tractable problem due to our knowledge of  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1}$  from [HW20]. Note that since  $BP\langle n \rangle$  is an  $E_3$   $MU$ -algebra,  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1}$  admits the structure of an  $E_2$   $MU$ -algebra.

**Lemma 9.12.** *The canonical composite map*

$$u: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(k(n))^{hS^1}$$

*of spectra factors the canonical map*

$$f: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon$$

*of spectra. For the smash product on the target of  $f$ , the  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]$ -algebra structure on  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1}$  is given by forgetting through  $\varphi$  of Construction 9.4. Furthermore, the maps out of  $\mathbb{S}$  above are given by the unit maps of the respective  $E_1$ -rings.*

*Proof.* For this, we consider the following composite map  $h$  of  $S^1$ -equivariant  $MU$ -modules. Later, we prove the lemma by showing that  $f = h^{hS^1} \circ u$ .

$$\begin{aligned} h: \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(k(n)) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n])} \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU_\epsilon) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n])} MU_\epsilon \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU)} (\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n])} MU_\epsilon) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{MU} (MU \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

The first map above follows by Propositions 4.12 and 9.8. The second map is given by the fact that the underlying  $E_\infty$   $MU$ -algebra of  $MU_\epsilon$  is  $MU$ . The  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]$ -algebra structure on  $BP\langle n \rangle$  is given by forgetting through  $\varphi$ ; this provides the third map above. The last map is induced by the map  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]) \rightarrow MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]$  and the equivalence  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(MU) \simeq MU$ . In the composite above,  $MU_\epsilon$ ,  $MU$  and  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]$  are given the respective trivial  $S^1$ -actions.

Indeed, the target of  $h^{hS^1}$  agrees with the target of  $f$ . In other words, we have

$$(9.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle) \wedge_{MU} (MU \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon))^{hS^1} \simeq \\ &\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU} (MU \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

To see this, note that due to Lemma 9.7,  $MU \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon$  is a finite  $MU$ -module. Furthermore, we equipped  $MU \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon$  with the trivial  $S^1$ -action. Therefore, the functor  $-\wedge_{MU} (MU \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon)$  preserves homotopy limits and this provides (9.13).

What remains is to verify that  $f = h^{hS^1} \circ u$ . Note that  $f$  factors as

$$f: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \xrightarrow{g} \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon$$

where the first map is the unit map of the  $E_2$ -ring  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1}$  and  $g$  is the canonical  $E_1$ -algebra map induced by  $\epsilon$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to check that the composition of the map  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(k(n))^{hS^1}$  with  $h^{hS^1}$  gives  $g$ . This follows by inspection on the composite defining  $h$  and (9.13).  $\square$

We are going to use the following lemma to prove the non-triviality of  $L_{T(n+1)}f$ .

**Lemma 9.14.** *Let  $E$  be a  $BP$ -algebra for which  $v_0, \dots, v_{n-1} \in \pi_*BP$  act trivially on  $\pi_*E$ . Then the canonical map  $E \rightarrow E/(v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$  of  $BP$ -modules is the inclusion of a wedge summand.*

*Proof.* Let  $v_i: \Sigma^{|v_i|}BP \rightarrow BP$  denote the map of  $BP$ -modules representing  $v_i$ . The corresponding map  $E \wedge_{BP} \Sigma^{|v_i|}BP \xrightarrow{id_E \wedge BPv_i} E \wedge_{BP} BP$ , whose cofiber is  $E/v_i$ , is also given by the composite:

$$(9.15) \quad E \wedge_{BP} \Sigma^{|v_i|}BP \xrightarrow{id_E \wedge BPv_i} E \wedge_{BP} BP \rightarrow E \wedge_{BP} E \rightarrow E$$

where the second map is the unit and the third map is the multiplication map of  $E$ ; the composite of these two maps is the identity. For  $i < n$ , since  $v_i$  act trivially on  $\pi_*E$ , the composite map  $\Sigma^{|v_i|}BP \rightarrow BP \rightarrow E$  of  $BP$ -modules is trivial and therefore

(9.15), i.e. the map  $id_E \wedge_{BP} v_i$ , is also trivial. In particular, this shows that in the triangle below,

$$E \xrightarrow{v_0} E \rightarrow E/v_0 \rightarrow \Sigma E,$$

the first map is trivial. Therefore, there is a retract of  $E \rightarrow E/v_0$  which shows that the claim is true for  $E/v_0$ , i.e.  $E \rightarrow E/v_0$  is the inclusion of a wedge summand.

Assuming the claim is true for  $E/(v_0, \dots, v_i)$ , the cofiber sequence defining the quotient  $E/(v_0, \dots, v_{i+1})$  splits. In particular, there is a factorization  $E \rightarrow E/(v_{i+1}) \rightarrow E/(v_0, \dots, v_{i+1})$  of the canonical map where the second map is the inclusion of a wedge summand. The first is also an inclusion of a wedge summand of  $BP$ -modules as the map  $id_E \wedge_{BP} v_{i+1}$  is trivial.  $\square$

For the following, let  $P(n+1)$  denote the  $BP$ -module defined as:

$$P(n+1) := BP/(v_0, \dots, v_n).$$

**Lemma 9.16.** *The following map*

$$L_{T(n+1)}f: L_{T(n+1)}\mathbb{S} \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon$$

of spectra is non-trivial where  $f$  is as in Lemma 9.12.

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is a map of  $E_1$ -rings,  $L_{T(n+1)}f$  is also a map of  $E_1$ -rings. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the target of  $L_{T(n+1)}f$  is non-trivial. Due to [LMMT20, Lemma 2.2 (iv)] and [Rav84, Theorem 2.1 (a)], **it is also sufficient to show that**

$$(9.17) \quad \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon \wedge P(n+1)[v_{n+1}^{-1}] \not\cong *$$

Forgetting through the  $E_4$ -map  $BP \rightarrow MU$ , we obtain a  $BP$ -algebra structure on  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon$ . This defines the following  $BP$ -module.

$$(9.18) \quad \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon \wedge_{BP} P(n+1)[v_{n+1}^{-1}].$$

If the left hand side of (9.17) were trivial, the two-sided bar construction defining the  $BP$ -relative smash product above would also be trivial; and therefore, the spectrum above would be trivial. This means that **it is sufficient to show that** (9.18) is non-trivial.

For this, we use the computation of  $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1})$  in [HW20, Theorem 5.0.1]. Indeed,  $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$ -algebra for which two of the algebra generators denoted by  $t$  and  $\sigma^2 v_{n+1}$  satisfy  $t\sigma^2 v_{n+1} = v_{n+1}$ . Since  $MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]$  acts on  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1}$  by forgetting through

$$\varphi: MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n] \rightarrow MU,$$

we apply Lemma 9.7 and obtain that

$$(9.19) \quad \pi_*(\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2} | i < n]} MU_\epsilon)$$

is given by the free  $\mathbb{F}_p[v_n]$ -algebra with the same generators as the generators of  $\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1})$  as a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$ -algebra. In particular, (9.19) is non-trivial after first killing  $v_n$  and then inverting  $v_{n+1}$ .

The operation  $- \wedge_{BP} P(n+1)$  first kills  $v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}$  and then kills  $v_n$ , i.e. we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2}|i<n]} MU_\epsilon \wedge_{BP} P(n+1) \\ \simeq \left( \mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2}|i<n]} MU_\epsilon / (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}) \right) / (v_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v_i$  for  $i < n$  act trivially on (9.19), we apply Lemma 9.14 for the quotient in parenthesis above and obtain that the  $BP$ -module above contains the  $BP$ -module

$$\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2}|i<n]} MU_\epsilon / (v_n)$$

as a summand. Therefore, (9.18) contains

$$\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \wedge_{MU[\sigma_{2p^i-2}|i<n]} MU_\epsilon / (v_n)[v_{n+1}^{-1}]$$

as a summand which is non-trivial due to the description of (9.19) above. In other words, (9.18) is non-trivial as desired.  $\square$

The following shows that  $\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(-)^{hS^1}$  increases height for  $k(n)$ .

**Lemma 9.20.** *The composite map of spectra*

$$L_{T(n+1)}u: L_{T(n+1)}\mathbb{S} \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(k(n))^{hS^1}$$

is not null-homotopic. Here,  $u$  is as in Lemma 9.12.

*Proof.* By Lemma 9.12, this map factors  $L_{T(n+1)}f$  and  $L_{T(n+1)}f$  is non-trivial due to Lemma 9.16.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.21.** *The composite map*

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{T(n+1)}K(K(n))$$

of spectra induced by the canonical composite  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow k(n) \rightarrow K(n)$  is not null-homotopic; the second map above is an equivalence due to [LMMT20, Corollary E].

*Proof.* For this, we use the following commuting diagram of spectra.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) & \longrightarrow & L_{T(n+1)}K(BP\langle n \rangle) & \longrightarrow & L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n)) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \scriptstyle{tr} & & \downarrow \scriptstyle{tr} \\ L_{T(n+1)}\mathbb{S} & \longrightarrow & L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(BP\langle n \rangle)^{hS^1} & \longrightarrow & L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{THH}^{MU}(k(n))^{hS^1} \end{array}$$

Here, the left vertical arrow is induced by the unit map of the  $E_\infty$ -ring  $K(\mathbb{S})$ . The vertical maps  $tr$  are induced by the trace map and the reduction of THH to  $MU$ -relative THH. The left hand square commutes because the middle horizontal map  $tr$  and the map  $K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow K(BP\langle n \rangle)$  are  $E_1$ -maps as  $BP\langle n \rangle$  is an  $E_3$   $MU$ -algebra. The right hand square above commutes due to the naturality of the trace map and due to the fact that the map  $BP\langle n \rangle \rightarrow k(n)$  that we use is a map of  $MU$ -algebras.

It follows by Lemma 9.20 that the bottom horizontal composite is not null-homotopic as a map of spectra. Therefore, all the maps factoring this composite are also non-trivial. In particular, the map

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n))$$

is non-trivial. Since the map  $k(n) \rightarrow K(n)$  is a  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalence, the induced map  $K(k(n)) \rightarrow K(K(n))$  is a  $T(n+1)$ -equivalence [LMMT20]. Therefore, the composite

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{T(n+1)}K(K(n))$$

is also non-trivial. □

**Theorem 9.22** (Theorem 1.14). *The Morava  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(n)$  satisfies the redshift conjecture. Furthermore, if an  $E_1$ -ring spectrum  $R$  of height  $n$  is equipped with a map*

$$R \rightarrow K(n)$$

*of  $E_1$ -ring spectra, then  $R$  also satisfies the redshift conjecture.*

*Proof.* We have

$$L_{T(m)}K(n) \simeq *$$

for all  $m > n$  due to [LMMT20, Lemma 2.2 (iii)]. This, together with the purity result for algebraic  $K$ -theory [LMMT20], imply that  $L_{T(m)}K(K(n)) \simeq *$  for  $m > n+1$ , i.e.  $K(K(n))$  is at most height  $n+1$ . Due to Proposition 9.21,  $L_{T(n+1)}K(K(n)) \not\simeq *$  and this shows that  $K(K(n))$  is of height  $n+1$ , i.e.  $K(n)$  satisfies the redshift conjecture.

For the second statement of the theorem, note that the map  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow K(n)$  factors as

$$\mathbb{S} \rightarrow R \rightarrow K(n)$$

and this provides a factorization of the map  $L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(K(n))$  as

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(R) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(K(n)).$$

Since the composite above is non-trivial, see Proposition 9.21,  $L_{T(n+1)}K(R)$  is also non-trivial, i.e.  $K(R)$  is of height at least  $n+1$ . This, together with the purity of algebraic  $K$ -theory [LMMT20] imply the second statement of the theorem. □

*Remark 9.23.* The hypothesis of the theorem above is satisfied if there is an  $E_1$ -map  $R \rightarrow k(n)$  since this can be composed with the connective cover  $k(n) \rightarrow K(n)$  to obtain the desired map  $R \rightarrow K(n)$ .

We mention in Corollary 9.10 that all  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  carry an  $E_1$ -ring map to  $K(n)$ . This, and Theorem 9.22 imply the following.

**Theorem 9.24.** *All  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  satisfy the redshift conjecture.*

Using an  $E_2$ -ring map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2p^n-2}] \rightarrow MU_{(p)}$  that carries  $\sigma_{2p^n-2}$  to  $v_n$ , we forget the  $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra structure on  $k(n)$  to a  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2p^n-2}]$ -algebra structure and adjoin a root to  $v_n \in \pi_*k(n)$ . Through this, one obtains an  $E_1$ -map  $k(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}) \rightarrow K(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$ .

**Theorem 9.25.** *Let  $K(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  be as above and let  $R$  be an  $E_1$ -ring of height  $n$ . If there is an  $E_1$ -map:*

$$R \rightarrow K(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}),$$

*then  $R$  satisfies the redshift conjecture. In particular,  $K(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  satisfies the redshift conjecture.*

*Proof.* Since there is an  $E_1$ -map  $k(n) \rightarrow k(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$ , the unit  $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow k(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  factors as:

$$\mathbb{S} \rightarrow k(n) \rightarrow k(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}),$$

where the first map is the unit of  $k(n)$ . Therefore, the map

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}))$$

admits a factorization:

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n)) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})),$$

where the first map is non-trivial due to Proposition 9.21. Since the second map is the inclusion of a wedge summand (Theorem 5.7), the composite above is also non-trivial. Therefore, the canonical composite

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L_{T(n+1)}K(k(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} L_{T(n+1)}K(K(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n}))$$

is non-trivial as well since the second map above is an equivalence [LMMT20, Corollary E]. The rest follows as in the proof of Theorem 9.22.  $\square$

**9.2. Redshift conjecture for Morava  $E$ -theories.** We are ready to prove the redshift conjecture for Morava  $E$ -theories. For the  $K(n)$ -localized Johnson-Wilson spectrum  $\widehat{E(n)}$  mentioned in Section 7, there is an  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra form of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  such that there is a chain of  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalences

$$BP\langle n \rangle \rightarrow BP\langle n \rangle[v_n^{-1}] \simeq E(n) \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)}.$$

This, together with Theorem 9.24 and the purity result for  $K$ -theory [LMMT20] shows that  $\widehat{E(n)}$  also satisfies the redshift conjecture. Since  $K(\widehat{E(n)})$  splits  $K(E_n^{hGal})$  up to a  $T(n+1)$ -equivalence,  $L_{T(n+1)}K(E_n^{hGal})$  is non-trivial. This provides the following.

**Theorem 9.26.** *The  $E_\infty$ -ring  $E_n^{hGal}$  satisfies the redshift conjecture.*

**Corollary 9.27.** *The spectrum  $L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{TC}^-(cE_n^{hGal})$  is non-trivial. Here,  $cE_n^{hGal}$  denotes the connective cover of  $E_n^{hGal}$ .*

*Proof.* Due to [LMMT20, Corollary E], we have  $L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{TC}(cE_n^{hGal}) \not\simeq *$ . Furthermore, the map *can* induces an equivalence

$$L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{TC}^-(cE_n^{hGal}) \simeq L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{TP}(cE_n^{hGal})$$

as the fiber of this map, is trivial  $T(n+1)$ -locally. Therefore, if  $L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{TC}^-(cE_n^{hGal})$  was trivial, then  $L_{T(n+1)}\mathrm{TC}(cE_n^{hGal})$  would also be trivial due to the fiber sequence defining  $\mathrm{TC}$  [NS18]. This is not the case as mentioned above.  $\square$

We are ready to prove the redshift conjecture for the Morava  $E$ -theories.

**Theorem 9.28** ([Yua21]). *The Morava  $E$ -theory spectrum  $E_n$  satisfies the redshift conjecture.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.10, there is an equivalence of  $E_\infty$ -rings  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} cE_n^{hGal} \simeq cE_n$ . Using this, we obtain the following canonical composite map of  $E_\infty$ -rings.

$$\begin{aligned} K(cE_n) &\simeq K(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} cE_n^{hGal}) \xrightarrow{tr} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} cE_n^{hGal})^{hS^1} \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} cE_n^{hGal})^{hS^1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathrm{THH}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}) \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathrm{THH}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(cE_n^{hGal}))^{hS^1} \\ &\rightarrow (\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathrm{THH}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(cE_n^{hGal}))^{hS^1} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$  on the target of this composite is equipped with the trivial  $S^1$ -action and it is a finite product of copies of  $\mathbb{S}_p$  (see Proposition 7.2), in particular, it is a finite  $\mathbb{S}_p$ -module. Therefore, we have an equivalence:

$$(9.29) \quad (\mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathrm{THH}(cE_n^h))^{hS^1} \simeq \mathbb{S}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})} \wedge_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathrm{THH}(cE_n^{hGal})^{hS^1}.$$

The right hand side is a finite product of copies of  $\mathrm{THH}(cE_n^{hGal})^{hS^1}$ . By Corollary 9.27,  $L_{T(n+1)} \mathrm{THH}(cE_n^{hGal})^{hS^1} \not\cong *$  and therefore (9.29), i.e. the target of the composite above, is also  $T(n+1)$ -locally non-trivial. Since the composite above is a map of  $E_\infty$ -rings, the non-triviality of its target implies the non-triviality of its domain, i.e.  $L_{T(n+1)} K(cE_n) \not\cong *$ . This provides the desired result  $L_{T(n+1)} K(E_n) \not\cong *$  due to [LMMT20, Corollary E].  $\square$

### 9.3. Redshift conjecture for quotients of the Brown-Peterson spectrum.

Here, we show that various quotients of  $MU_{(p)}$  satisfy the redshift conjecture.

Lazarev shows that the quotients of  $MU_{(p)}$  with respect to a possibly infinite regular sequence in  $\pi_* MU_{(p)}$  can be given  $E_1 MU_{(p)}$ -algebra structure in a way that successive quotients are given by  $E_1 MU_{(p)}$ -algebra maps [Laz03, Remark 2.11]. Indeed, one may also do this by arguing as in Construction 9.4 and using Lemma 9.7 but Lazarev's results are sufficient for our purposes.

In particular, there are an  $MU_{(p)}$ -algebra structures on the spectra  $B(n)$  and  $BPv_n^{-1}$  (determined by the Hazewinkel generators on  $\pi_* MU_{(p)}$ ) mentioned below and Lazarev shows that these  $MU_{(p)}$ -algebras map into  $K(n)$ . Similarly, one obtains the  $p$ -local integral Morava  $K$ -theory  $\overline{K}(n)$  with  $\pi_*(\overline{K}(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_n^{\pm 1}]$  which also carries an  $E_1$ -map to  $K(n)$ . Since  $\overline{K}(n)$  and its connective cover  $\overline{k}(n)$  are  $MU_{(p)}$ -algebras, we forget through an  $E_2$ -map  $\mathbb{S}[\sigma_{2p^n-1}] \rightarrow MU_{(p)}$  detecting  $v_n$  to adjoin a root to  $v_n$  for  $\overline{k}(n)$  and  $\overline{K}(n)$  obtaining  $\overline{K}(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$ . The following theorem provides a summary of the  $E_1$ -rings for which we verified the redshift conjecture.

**Theorem 9.30** (Theorem 1.15). *The following  $E_1$ -rings satisfy the redshift conjecture.*

- (1) *Morava  $K$ -theory  $K(n)$*
- (2) *The 2-periodic Morava  $K$ -theory given by  $K(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$*
- (3) *The integral Morava  $K$ -theory  $\overline{K}(n)$  and its 2-periodic version  $\overline{K}(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  as above.*
- (4) *All  $E_1$   $MU$ -algebra forms of  $BP\langle n \rangle$  and the corresponding Johnson-Wilson theories  $E(n) := BP\langle n \rangle[v_n^{-1}]$*
- (5)  *$B(n) := P(n)[v_n^{-1}] \simeq BP/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})[v_n^{-1}]$*
- (6)  *$BP[v_n^{-1}]$*
- (7) *[Yua21] Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$*

*Proof.* Items (1) and (2), (4) and (7) follow by Theorems 9.22, 9.25, 9.24 and 9.28 respectively. The  $E_1$ -rings  $B(n)$  and  $BP[v_n^{-1}]$  and  $\overline{K}(n)$  map into  $K(n)$  as mentioned in the discussion above; therefore, Theorem 9.22 provides the Items (6) and (5) and the first part of Item (3). It follows by [LMMT20, Corollary E] that the connective cover  $\overline{k}(n)$  of  $\overline{K}(n)$  also satisfies the redshift conjecture. Therefore,  $\overline{k}(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  satisfies redshift due to Theorem 5.7 and therefore the  $T(n) \vee T(n+1)$ -equivalent  $\overline{K}(n)(\sqrt[p^n]{v_n})$  also satisfies the redshift conjecture; this provides Item (3).  $\square$

*Remark 9.31.* It is certainly possible to obtain more examples of  $E_1$   $MU_{(p)}$ -algebras that satisfy the redshift conjecture by quotienting out regular sequences in  $\pi_*MU_{(p)}$  and exploiting the uniqueness properties of  $K(n)$ , as we do in the proof of Proposition 9.8, to obtain maps into  $K(n)$ .

## APPENDIX A. UNIQUENESS OF $E_1$ -RING STRUCTURES

In applications, we use the following obstruction theoretical result to identify the  $E_1$ -ring we obtain by adjoining a root to an  $E_\infty$ -algebra. This is an application of Hopkins-Miller [Rez98], or alternatively, Robinson obstruction theory [Rob89] for  $E_1$ -rings.

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $R$  be an  $E_\infty$ -ring and let  $S$  be an  $E_1$   $R$ -algebra. Assume that  $\pi_*S$  is a commutative ring that is projective as a  $\pi_*R$ -module. Furthermore, assume that  $\pi_*R$  and  $\pi_*S$  are concentrated in even degrees and that the map  $\pi_*R \rightarrow \pi_*S$  is étale. If  $S'$  is another  $E_1$   $R$ -algebra for which there is an isomorphism  $\pi_*S \cong \pi_*S'$  of  $\pi_*R$ -algebras, then there is an equivalence*

$$S \simeq S'$$

of  $E_1$   $R$ -algebras.

*Proof.* Given an isomorphism of  $\pi_*R$ -algebras  $f: \pi_*S \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_*S'$  the obstructions to lifting this map to a map of  $E_1$   $R$ -algebras lie in the Hochschild cohomology groups

$$\mathrm{HH}_{\pi_*R\text{-Mod}}^m(\pi_*S, \Omega^{m-2}\pi_*S')$$

for  $m > 2$ . This follows by Robinson's obstruction theory [Rob89] or by Hopkins-Miller obstruction theory [Rez98] applied for  $E_1$   $R$ -algebras. The auxiliary spectrum we use for the obstruction theory is  $R$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}_{\pi_*R\text{-Mod}}^m(\pi_*S, \Omega^{m-2}\pi_*S') &\cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\pi_*S \otimes_{\pi_*R}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_*S}^m(\pi_*S, \Omega^{m-2}\pi_*S') \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\pi_*S}^m(\pi_*S \otimes_{\pi_*S \otimes_{\pi_*R}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_*S}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_*S, \Omega^{m-2}\pi_*S') \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism is obtained by the extension/restriction of scalars adjunction induced by the map  $\pi_*S \otimes_{\pi_*R}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_*S \rightarrow \pi_*S$ . Since  $\pi_*R \rightarrow \pi_*S$  is étale, it follows by the HKR theorem that the map

$$\mathrm{HH}^{\pi_*R}(\pi_*S) \cong \pi_*S \otimes_{\pi_*S \otimes_{\pi_*R}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_*S}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_*S \rightarrow \pi_*S$$

is an equivalence. From this, we deduce that the Hochschild cohomology groups that contain the obstructions to lifting  $f$  to a map of  $E_1$   $R$ -algebras are trivial.  $\square$



## REFERENCES

- [AMMN20] Benjamin Antieau, Akhil Mathew, Matthew Morrow, and Thomas Nikolaus, *On the Beilinson fiber square*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.12541 (2020).
- [Ang11] Vigleik Angeltveit, *Uniqueness of Morava  $K$ -theory*, *Compos. Math.* **147** (2011), no. 2, 633–648. MR 2776615
- [AR02] Christian Ausoni and John Rognes, *Algebraic  $K$ -theory of topological  $K$ -theory*, *Acta Math.* **188** (2002), no. 1, 1–39. MR 1947457
- [AR08] ———, *The chromatic red-shift in algebraic  $K$ -theory*, *Enseign. Math.* **54** (2008), no. 2, 9–11.
- [AR12] ———, *Algebraic  $K$ -theory of the first Morava  $K$ -theory*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **14** (2012), no. 4, 1041–1079. MR 2928844
- [AR20] Christian Ausoni and Birgit Richter, *Towards topological Hochschild homology of Johnson-Wilson spectra*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **20** (2020), no. 1, 375–393. MR 4071375
- [Aus05] Christian Ausoni, *Topological Hochschild homology of connective complex  $K$ -theory*, *Amer. J. Math.* **127** (2005), no. 6, 1261–1313. MR 2183525
- [Aus10] ———, *On the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the complex  $K$ -theory spectrum*, *Invent. Math.* **180** (2010), no. 3, 611–668. MR 2609252
- [BDRR11] Nils A. Baas, Bjørn Ian Dundas, Birgit Richter, and John Rognes, *Stable bundles over rig categories*, *J. Topol.* **4** (2011), no. 3, 623–640. MR 2832571
- [BLPØ22] Federico Binda, Tommy Lundemo, Doosung Park, and Paul Arne Østvær, *A Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg theorem and residue sequences for logarithmic Hochschild homology*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14182 (2022).
- [BM08] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Mandell, *The localization sequence for the algebraic  $K$ -theory of topological  $K$ -theory*, *Acta Math.* **200** (2008), no. 2, 155–179 (English).
- [BM13] Maria Basterra and Michael A. Mandell, *The multiplication on  $BP$* , *J. Topol.* **6** (2013), no. 2, 285–310. MR 3065177
- [BM22] Haldun Özgür Bayındır and Tasos Moulinos, *Algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)$* , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **375** (2022), no. 6, 4177–4207. MR 4419056
- [BSY22] Robert Burklund, Tomer M. Schlank, and Allen Yuan, *The chromatic Nullstellensatz*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.09929 (2022).
- [Dev20] Sanath Devalapurkar, *Roots of unity in  $K(n)$ -local rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** (2020), no. 7, 3187–3194. MR 4099803
- [DM22] Sanath Devalapurkar and Tasos Moulinos, *Logarithmic structures for  $E_2$ -algebras*, in progress (2022).
- [EKMM97] A. D. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M. A. Mandell, and J. P. May, *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 47, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, With an appendix by M. Cole. MR 1417719
- [Gla16] Saul Glasman, *Day convolution for  $\infty$ -categories*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **23** (2016), no. 5, 1369–1385. MR 3601070
- [Hah16] Jeremy Hahn, *On the Bousfield classes of  $H_\infty$ -ring spectra*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.04386 (2016).
- [HM97] Lars Hesselholt and Ib Madsen, *Cyclic polytopes and the  $K$ -theory of truncated polynomial algebras*, *Invent. Math.* **130** (1997), no. 1, 73–97. MR 1471886
- [HS98] Michael J. Hopkins and Jeffrey H. Smith, *Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. II*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **148** (1998), no. 1, 1–49. MR 1652975
- [HW20] Jeremy Hahn and Dylan Wilson, *Redshift and multiplication for truncated Brown-Peterson spectra*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.00864 (2020).
- [HY20] Jeremy Hahn and Allen Yuan, *Exotic multiplications on periodic complex bordism*, *J. Topol.* **13** (2020), no. 4, 1839–1852. MR 4186145
- [KN19] Achim Krause and Thomas Nikolaus, *Bökstedt periodicity and quotients of DVRs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.03477 (2019).
- [Law18] Tyler Lawson, *Secondary power operations and the Brown-Peterson spectrum at the prime 2*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **188** (2018), no. 2, 513–576. MR 3862946

- [Law20] ———, *Adjoining roots in homotopy theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.01997 (2020).
- [Laz03] A. Lazarev, *Towers of MU-algebras and the generalized Hopkins-Miller theorem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **87** (2003), no. 2, 498–522. MR 1990937
- [LMMT20] Markus Land, Akhil Mathew, Lennart Meier, and Georg Tamme, *Purity in chromatically localized algebraic K-theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.10425 (2020).
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur15] ———, *Rotation invariance in algebraic K-theory*, preprint (2015).
- [Lur16] ———, *Higher algebra. 2014*, Preprint, available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie> (2016).
- [Lur18] ———, *Elliptic cohomology ii: orientations*.
- [Mao20] Zhouhang Mao, *Perfectoid rings as thom spectra*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.08697 (2020).
- [Mat17] Akhil Mathew, *THH and base-change for Galois extensions of ring spectra*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **17** (2017), no. 2, 693–704. MR 3623668
- [Nik16] Thomas Nikolaus, *Stable  $\infty$ -operads and the multiplicative Yoneda lemma*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.02901 (2016).
- [NS18] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze, *On topological cyclic homology*, Acta Math. **221** (2018), no. 2, 203–409. MR 3904731
- [Rak20] Arpon Raksit, *Hochschild homology and the derived de Rham complex revisited*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.02576 (2020).
- [Rav84] Douglas C. Ravenel, *Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), no. 2, 351–414. MR 737778
- [Rez98] Charles Rezk, *Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem*, Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations (Evanston, IL, 1997), Contemp. Math., vol. 220, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 313–366. MR 1642902
- [Rob89] Alan Robinson, *Obstruction theory and the strict associativity of Morava K-theories*, Advances in homotopy theory (Cortona, 1988), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 139, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989, pp. 143–152. MR 1055874
- [Rog08] John Rognes, *Galois extensions of structured ring spectra. Stably dualizable groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **192** (2008), no. 898, viii+137. MR 2387923
- [Rog09] ———, *Topological logarithmic structures*, New topological contexts for Galois theory and algebraic geometry (BIRS 2008), Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 16, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2009, pp. 401–544. MR 2544395
- [Sen17] Andrew Senger, *The Brown-Peterson spectrum is not  $E_{2p^2+2}$  at odd primes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.09822 (2017).
- [Str99] N. P. Strickland, *Products on MU-modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 7, 2569–2606. MR 1641115
- [Wal84] Friedhelm Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of spaces, localization, and the chromatic filtration of stable homotopy*, Algebraic topology, Proc. Conf., Aarhus 1982, Lect. Notes Math. 1051, 173-195 (1984)., 1984.
- [Yua21] Allen Yuan, *Examples of chromatic redshift in algebraic K-theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.10837 (2021).