

**EXISTENCE OF NONNEGATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR
FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH NEUMANN
CONDITION**

H. BUENO AND ALDO H. S. MEDEIROS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study a Neumann problem for the fractional Laplacian, namely

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathcal{N}_s u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth bounded domain, $N > 2s$, $s \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter and \mathcal{N}_s is the nonlocal normal derivative introduced by Dipierro, Ros-Oton, and Valdinoci. We establish the existence of a nonnegative, non-constant small energy solution u_ε , and we use the Moser-Nash iteration procedure to show that $u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study a Neumann elliptic problem for an equation driven by the fractional Laplacian. More precisely, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathcal{N}_s u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth bounded domain, $N > 2s$, $s \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter and $\mathcal{N}_s u$ is the nonlocal normal derivative defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_s u(x) = C_{N,s} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \quad (1.2)$$

where $C_{N,s}$ is the normalization constant of the fractional Laplacian, defined for smooth functions by

$$(-\Delta)^s \phi(x) = C_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

with both integrals being understood in the principle value sense. One advantage of the present approach is that the integration by parts formulas

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} v(-\Delta u) + \int_{\Omega} v \partial_\nu u$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R11, 35A01, 35B45.

Key words and phrases. fractional operators, Neumann problem, variational methods, a priori estimates.

are substituted, respectively, by

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^s u = - \int_{\Omega^c} \mathcal{N}_s u(x)$$

and

$$\frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} v(-\Delta)^s u + \int_{\Omega^c} v \mathcal{N}_s u,$$

where $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ and $(\Omega)^2 = \Omega \times \Omega$. For further details on the fractional Neumann derivative $\mathcal{N}_s u$, see Dipierro, Ros-Oton, and Valdinoci [10], where this concept was introduced.

This type of boundary problem for the fractional Laplacian has a probabilistic interpretation: if a particle has gone to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, then it may come back to any point $y \in \Omega$, the probability of jumping from x to y being proportional to $|x - y|^{-N-2s}$. So, it generalizes the classical Neumann conditions for elliptic (or parabolic) differential equations since, as $s \rightarrow 1$, then $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$ turns into the classical Neumann condition. For more details, see [10] and also [11, 12].

Du et al. introduced volume constraints for a general class of nonlocal diffusion problems on a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N via a nonlocal vector calculus. If we rewrite (1.2) using that vector calculus, then a modified version of $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$ can be considered as a particular case of the volume constraints defined by them.

Neumann problems for the fractional Laplacian and other nonlocal operators were introduced in [4, 5, 8, 9]. All these generalizations to nonlocal operators recover the classical Neumann problem as a limit case, and most also have clear probabilistic interpretations. In Dipierro et al. [10, Section 7], the authors compared all these models with the one considered here.

The case $f(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$ with $1 < p < \frac{N+2s}{N-2s}$, which is known as the singularly perturbed Neumann problem, was studied by Guoyuan Chen in [7]. The author established the existence of non-negative small energy solutions and investigated their integrability in \mathbb{R}^N .

When $s = 1$, the problem (1.1) reduces to the Laplacian case, considered in the classical paper by Lin, Ni, and Takagi [14], which studies the existence of solutions to the semilinear Neumann boundary problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^2(-\Delta)u + u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where ν denotes the outer normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $g(t)$ is a suitable nonnegative nonlinearity on \mathbb{R} vanishing for $t \leq 0$, growing superlinearly at infinity. It was shown that, if ε is small enough, there exists a positive smooth solution u_ε that satisfies $J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}$, where C is a positive constant independent of ε and J_ε is the energy functional of problem (1.3).

Stinga-Volzone [20] extended the results in [14] to the square root of the Laplacian, obtaining similar results. More precisely, they considered problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}u + u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

for the nonlinearity

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} t^p & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

with $1 < p < \frac{N+1}{N-1}$.

Recently, Haige Ni, Aliang Xia, and Xiogjun Zheng [17] studied the problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where g satisfies (1.5) and $s \in (0, s_0)$, with $s_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The authors used the extension technique to obtain the existence of nonnegative solutions for ε small enough and L^∞ -estimates to show that they are bounded. In their paper, they considered the spectral fractional Laplacian, which differs from its integral form, see [16, 19]. By applying the Mountain Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz, they proved the existence of nonconstant solutions of (1.6) provided ε is small. They also studied regularity and the Harnack inequality in the same paper.

Here, we study problem (1.1) considering the normal derivative defined by Dipierro, Ros-Oton, and Valdinoci in [10]. We suppose that the continuous nonlinearity f satisfies the following conditions.

- (f₁) $f(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, and $f(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$;
- (f₂) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^{p-1}} = 0$ for some $2 < p < \frac{2N}{N-2s} = 2_s^*$;
- (f₃) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = +\infty$;
- (f₄) There exist $\theta > 2$ and $a_3 \geq 0$, such that

$$0 < \theta F(t) \leq t f(t), \quad \forall t \geq a_3,$$

where $F(t)$ denotes the primitive of f .

- (f₅) $\alpha := \inf \left\{ \frac{t^2}{2} - F(t); t \in \text{Fix}(f) \right\} > 0$, where $\text{Fix}(f) = \{t > 0; f(t) = t\}$.

Condition (f₅) permits us to discard constant solutions.

Remark 1.1. *It follows from (f₁) and (f₂) that, for any fixed $\eta > 0$ (or any fixed $C_\eta > 0$), there exists a constant C_η (respectively, $\eta > 0$) such that*

$$|f(t)| \leq \eta t + C_\eta t^{p-1}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1.7)$$

and analogously, denoting $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$ we have

$$|F(t)| \leq \eta t^2 + C_\eta t^p \leq C(t^2 + t^p), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1.8)$$

for any $2 < p < 2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$.

Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1. *Assume (f_1) - (f_5) . Then, for ε sufficiently small, there exists a non-constant, nonnegative solution of (1.1) satisfying*

$$I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^N$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on Ω and f .

We use the Mountain Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz to prove this result, see [18, 21]. The main difficulties arise from the degeneracy of the operator and also from the geometry of the problem.

We also prove the following result.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $0 < s < 1$, (f_1) - (f_3) holds. If u_ε is a solution to problem (1.1) with $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, then $u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

We prove Theorem 2 by using Moser-Nash's iteration method (see [13]), which has been used to study uniform bounds for fractional elliptic problems, see [6, 1, 2, 15, 3, 22].

2. VARIATIONAL FORMULATION

Problem (1.1) has a variational structure. More precisely, consider

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\varepsilon, s} := \frac{C_{N, s} \varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} uv dx \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ and $(\Omega)^2 = \Omega \times \Omega$. The space

$$H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega) := \{u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable and } \langle u, u \rangle_{\varepsilon, s} < \infty\}$$

is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)} = \langle u, u \rangle_{\varepsilon, s}^{1/2}$, see [10] for details.

Remark 2.1. *Note that constant functions are contained in $H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$, see [7]. Moreover, for all $u \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$, we have that $u|_\Omega \in H^s(\Omega)$. Using the compact embedding $H^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for $q \in \left(1, \frac{2N}{N-2s}\right)$, we conclude that the embedding*

$$H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega), \text{ for all } 1 < q < \frac{2N}{N-2s}.$$

is compact. So, if (u_n) is bounded sequence in $H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$, then $u_n|_\Omega$ has a convergence subsequence in $L^q(\Omega)$.

More precisely, considering the Sobolev constant,

$$S = \inf_{u \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega), u \neq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{C_{N, s}}{2} \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s}}} \quad (2.2)$$

we have the following Sobolev inequality:

Lemma 3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ bounded and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq S^2 \varepsilon^{-2s} \|u\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^s(\Omega)}^2, \quad \forall u \in H_{\varepsilon}^s(\Omega). \quad (2.3)$$

where S is the Sobolev constant defined in (2.2).

Proof. For any fixed $u \in H_{\varepsilon}^s(\Omega)$, consider the function $v_{\varepsilon}(x) = u(\varepsilon x)$ defined in $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \varepsilon x \in \Omega\}$. It follows from the Sobolev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^s(\Omega)}^2 &= \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{N+2s}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{|u(x) - u(\varepsilon y)|^2}{|x - \varepsilon y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \varepsilon^N \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |u(\varepsilon x)|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{N+2s}}{2} \varepsilon^N \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{|u(\varepsilon x) - u(\varepsilon y)|^2}{|\varepsilon x - \varepsilon y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \varepsilon^N \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |u(\varepsilon x)|^2 dx \\ &= \varepsilon^N \left[\frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{N+2s}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{|v_{\varepsilon}(x) - v_{\varepsilon}(y)|^2}{|\varepsilon x - \varepsilon y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |v_{\varepsilon}(x)|^2 dx \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon^N}{S^2} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |v_{\varepsilon}(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} = \frac{\varepsilon^{N(1-\frac{2}{2_s^*})}}{S^2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$N \left(1 - \frac{2}{2_s^*} \right) = N \left(1 - \frac{N-2s}{N} \right) = 2s,$$

we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq S^2 \varepsilon^{-2s} \|u\|_{H_{\varepsilon}^s(\Omega)}^2. \quad \square$$

Definition 2.1. *We say that $u \in H_{\varepsilon}^s(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if*

$$\frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} uv dx - \int_{\Omega} f(u)v dx = 0$$

for all $v \in H_{\varepsilon}^s(\Omega)$.

For all $u, v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H_{\varepsilon}^s(\Omega)$, it follows from a direct computation that

$$\frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} v(-\Delta)^s u dx + \int_{\Omega^c} v \mathcal{N}_s u dx,$$

what yields

$$\int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon^{2s} (-\Delta)^s u + u - f(u)) v dx + \varepsilon^{2s} \int_{\Omega^c} v \mathcal{N}_s u dx = 0.$$

Thus, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$,

$$\int_{\Omega^c} v(x) \left(C_{N,s} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy \right) dx = 0,$$

meaning that we have, weakly, $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$.

Let us define, for all $u \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$,

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{4} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx - \int_\Omega F(u) dx.$$

As an easy consequence of Remark (1.1) and of the above discussion, we have that the functional I_ε is well-defined and $I_\varepsilon \in C^1(H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$.

The derivative of the functional I_ε is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I'_\varepsilon(u) \cdot v &= \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_\Omega uv dx \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega f(u)v dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, critical points of I_ε are weak solutions of (1.1).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

With arguments similar to that of Lin, Ni, and Takagi [14], we prove Theorem 1, which is a consequence of the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. *There exist $\rho, \delta > 0$ such that $I_\varepsilon|_S \geq \delta > 0$ for all $u \in S$, where*

$$S = \{u \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega) : \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} = \rho\}.$$

Proof. Maintaining the notation of Remark (1.1), the Sobolev embedding yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(u) &= \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{4} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega)^2} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx - \int_\Omega F(u) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 - \eta \int_\Omega |u|^2 dy - C_\eta \int_\Omega |u|^p dy = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 - \eta |u|_2^2 - C_\eta |u|_p^p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \eta\right) \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 - S^2 \varepsilon^{-2s} \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2}$, denote by $a = \frac{1}{2} - \eta$ and $A > 0 = S^2 \varepsilon^{-2s}$. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(u) &\geq a \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 - A \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^p, \quad \text{for all } u \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega) \\ &\geq \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 \left(a - A \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^{p-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \in \left(2, \frac{2N}{N-2s}\right)$, for $\rho \leq \left(\frac{a}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$ we have

$$I_\varepsilon(u) \geq \rho^2 (a - A \rho^{p-2}) > 0, \quad \text{for all } \|u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)} = \rho. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5. *If (f_1) - (f_4) hold, then I_ε satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

Proof. Let (u_n) be a (PS)-sequence for I_ε in $H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$. Thus,

$$I_\varepsilon(u_n) \leq k_0 \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s} - \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)u_n dx \right| = |I'_\varepsilon(u_n) \cdot u_n| \leq \|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

It follows from condition (f_4) the existence of $\theta > 2$ and $a_3 > 0$ such that $0 < \theta F(t) \leq tf(t)$, $\forall t \geq a_3$.

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 - k_0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} F(u_n) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\{x \in \Omega; u_n \geq a_3\}} f(u_n)u_n dx + \int_{\{x \in \Omega; u_n \leq a_3\}} F(u_n) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)} + \|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \int_{\{x \in \Omega; u_n \leq a_3\}} F(u_n) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\theta} \|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{\theta} \|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 + A_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_1 = |\Omega| \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq a_3} F(t) \right) < \infty$.

Therefore, for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|u_n\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)} + k_1.$$

Since $\theta > 2$, it follows that (u_n) is bounded in $H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$.

Thus, for a subsequence

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega) \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^q(\Omega),$$

for all $q \in \left(1, \frac{2N}{N-2s}\right)$.

Condition (f_2) allows us to conclude that (for a subsequence) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (f(u_n) - f(u))(u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Combining (3.1) with the identity

$$(I'_\varepsilon(u_n) - I'_\varepsilon(u)) \cdot (u_n - u) = \|u_n - u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} (f(u_n) - f(u))(u_n - u) dx,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)}^2 = \lim_{\rightarrow} (I'_\varepsilon(u_n) - I'_\varepsilon(u)) \cdot (u_n - u) = 0,$$

that is, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$. \square

From now on, without loss of generality, we assume that $0 \in \Omega$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_\varepsilon(0) \subset \Omega$, following Lin, Ni, and Takagi [14] we define

$$\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-N} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } |x| \leq \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

According to Chen [7, Lemma 3.4], we have that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, $\phi_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$ and the following estimate is valid

$$\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^N}, \quad \text{where } C = C(N, s, \Omega). \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, we have (see [14, Equation 2.11])

$$\int_{\Omega} |\phi_\varepsilon(x)|^q dx = K_q \varepsilon^{(1-q)N}, \quad \text{with } K_q = N\Omega_N \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^q \rho^{N-1} d\rho. \quad (3.3)$$

The following lemmas are adaptations of results in Lin, Ni e Takagi [14].

Lemma 6. *There exists a unique $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx$$

where $\Omega_\sigma = \{x \in \Omega : \phi_\varepsilon(x) > \sigma\varepsilon^{-N}\}$.

Proof. In fact, note that if $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi_\varepsilon(x) > \sigma\varepsilon^{-N}$, then $|x| < (1-\sigma)\varepsilon$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\phi_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx &= \int_{B_{(1-\sigma)\varepsilon}(0)} \varepsilon^{-2N} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 dx = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2N+2}} \int_{B_{(1-\sigma)\varepsilon}(0)} (\varepsilon - |x|)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{N\Omega_N}{\varepsilon^{2N+2}} \int_0^{(1-\sigma)\varepsilon} (\varepsilon - r)^2 r^{N-1} dr \\ &= \frac{N\Omega_N}{\varepsilon^{2N+2}} \int_0^{(1-\sigma)\varepsilon} [\varepsilon^2 r^{N-1} - 2\varepsilon r^N + r^{N+1}] dr \\ &= \frac{N\Omega_N(1-\sigma)^N}{\varepsilon^N} \left[\frac{1}{N} - \frac{2(1-\sigma)}{N+1} + \frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{N+2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, taking $q = 2$ in (3.3), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\phi_\varepsilon|^2 dx = \frac{N\Omega_N}{\varepsilon^N} \left[\frac{1}{N} - \frac{2}{N+1} + \frac{1}{N+2} \right].$$

Thus, we conclude the claim just by taking $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1-\sigma)^N \left[\frac{1}{N} - \frac{2(1-\sigma)}{N+1} + \frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{N+2} \right] = \left[\frac{1}{N} - \frac{2}{N+1} + \frac{1}{N+2} \right]. \quad \square$$

Now, consider the function $g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(t) = I_\varepsilon(t\phi_\varepsilon) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_{\Omega} F(t\phi_\varepsilon) dx. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 7. *There exist $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \infty)$ with $0 < t_1 < t_2$ such that*

- (i) $g'(t) < 0$ if $t > t_1$;
- (ii) $g(t) < 0$ if $t \geq t_2$.

Proof. Taking the derivative in (3.4) and applying estimate (3.2), we obtain

$$g'(t) = t\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_{\Omega} f(t\phi_\varepsilon)\phi_\varepsilon dx \leq \frac{tC}{\varepsilon^N} - \int_{\Omega} f(t\phi_\varepsilon)\phi_\varepsilon dx. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that condition (f₃) implies that, for any $R > 0$, there exists $M_R > 0$ such that for all $\xi \geq M_R$, we have

$$f(\xi) \geq R\xi. \quad (3.6)$$

Denote

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \phi_\varepsilon(x) > \frac{M_R}{t} \right\}.$$

Keeping in mind Lemma 6, note that $\Omega_\sigma \subset \Omega_1$ for $t > \frac{M_R\varepsilon^N}{\sigma}$. Since $f(t) > 0$, for such t , it follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f(t\phi_\varepsilon(x))\phi_\varepsilon(x) dx &\geq \int_{\Omega_1} f(t\phi_\varepsilon(x))\phi_\varepsilon(x) dx \geq \int_{\Omega_1} Rt\phi_\varepsilon(x)\phi_\varepsilon(x) dx \\ &\geq Rt \int_{\Omega_\sigma} (\phi_\varepsilon(x))^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (3.5) and applying Lemma 6, we obtain

$$g'(t) \leq \frac{Ct}{\varepsilon^N} - Rt \int_{\Omega_\sigma} (\phi_\varepsilon(x))^2 dx = \frac{Ct}{\varepsilon^N} - \frac{Rt}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\phi_\varepsilon(x))^2 dx = t\varepsilon^{-N} \left(C - \frac{K_2R}{2} \right).$$

where K_2 was defined in (3.3). So, for $R_1 > \frac{2C}{K_2}$, we have

$$g'(t) < 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad t > \frac{M_R\varepsilon^N}{\sigma} = t_1.$$

In order to prove (ii), note that (f₁) and (3.6) imply that, for any $\xi \geq M_R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\xi) &= \int_0^\xi f(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^{M_R} f(\tau) d\tau + \int_{M_R}^\xi f(\tau) d\tau \\ &\geq \int_{M_R}^\xi R\tau d\tau = \frac{R\xi^2}{2} - m_R \end{aligned}$$

where $m_R = \frac{M_R^2R}{2}$.

Applying again (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= \frac{t^2}{2}\|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} F(t\phi_\varepsilon) dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2C}{2\varepsilon^N} - \frac{RK_2t^2}{2\varepsilon^N} + m_R|\Omega| \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2\varepsilon^N} (C - RK_2) + m_R|\Omega|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Taking $R_2 > \frac{C}{K_2}$, it follows that

$$g(t) < 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad t^2 > \frac{2m_r|\Omega|\varepsilon^N}{R_2K_2 - C}.$$

In order to have $t_2 > t_1$, we take t_2 satisfying

$$t_2 > \frac{MR\varepsilon^N}{\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad t_2^2 > \frac{2m_R|\Omega|\varepsilon^N}{R_2K_2 - C}.$$

We are done. \square

Lemma 8. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists a nonnegative function $\phi \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that $I_\varepsilon(t_0\phi) = 0$. Moreover, there is $C = C(N, s, \Omega) > 0$*

$$I_\varepsilon(t\phi) \leq C\varepsilon^N \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Proof. According to Lemma 4, we have $g(t) > 0$ for t sufficiently small. Lemma 7 and (f_1) imply that $g(t) \geq 0$ for $0 < t < t_1$. Thus, by substituting (3.2) into (3.7), we obtain

$$\max_{t \geq 0} g(t) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} g(t) \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \left\{ \frac{Ct^2}{2\varepsilon^N} - \int_{\Omega} F(t\phi_\varepsilon) dx \right\} \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \frac{Ct^2}{2\varepsilon^N} = \frac{Ct_1^2}{2\varepsilon^N}.$$

Since $t_1 = \frac{MR\varepsilon^N}{\sigma}$, we have

$$I_\varepsilon(t\phi_\varepsilon) = g(t) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} g(t) = \frac{CM_R^2\varepsilon^{2N}}{2\varepsilon^N\sigma^2} = C_1\varepsilon^N$$

for a positive constant C_1 . The existence of $t_0 > t_1$ also follows from Lemma 7. \square

Theorem 1. *Assume (f_1) - (f_5) . Then, for ε is sufficiently small, there exists a non-constant, nonnegative solution of (1.1) satisfying*

$$I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^N$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on Ω and f .

Proof. Choose t_2 as in Lemma 7 and define $e = t_2\varphi_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon^s$. The geometry of the Mountain Pass Theorem was obtained in Lemmas 4 and 7, while the (PS)-condition was proved in Lemma 5. Considering

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1]; H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)); \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = e \},$$

the value

$$c_\varepsilon := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)) \geq \delta > 0$$

is a critical value of I_ε . Therefore, there exists $u_\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$ such that,

$$I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon \text{ and } I'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = 0.$$

In particular, Lemma 8 implies that

$$I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_2} I_\varepsilon(t\phi_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^N.$$

Observe that, if $u = \mu$ is a solution to our problem (1.1), then

$$f(\mu) = \mu.$$

It follows from condition (f_5) that

$$I_\varepsilon(\mu) = \frac{\mu^2}{2} - \int_{\Omega} F(\mu) dx = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2} - F(\mu) \right) |\Omega| \geq \alpha |\Omega| > 0.$$

Thus, for $\varepsilon < \left(\frac{\alpha |\Omega|}{C} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ we obtain

$$I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^N < \alpha |\Omega| = I_\varepsilon(\mu),$$

meaning that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, u_ε can not be constant, and therefore, is nontrivial.

Finally, condition (f_1) implies that $f(u_\varepsilon) = 0$ if $x \in \{x \in \Omega; u_\varepsilon \leq 0\}$. Thus, denoting for $u_\varepsilon^- = \max\{-u_\varepsilon, 0\}$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon^- dx = \int_{\{x \in \Omega; u_\varepsilon > 0\}} f(u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon^- dx + \int_{\{x \in \Omega; u_\varepsilon \leq 0\}} f(u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon^- dx = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= I'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \cdot u_\varepsilon^- \\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y))(u_\varepsilon^-(x) - u_\varepsilon^-(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon^-|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the inequality $(\xi - \eta)(\xi^- - \eta^-) \geq |\xi^- - \eta^-|^2$ guarantees that

$$\frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|u_\varepsilon^-(x) - u_\varepsilon^-(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon^-|^2 dx = 0,$$

proving that $u_\varepsilon^- \equiv 0$, that is, $u_\varepsilon \geq 0$. \square

Corollary 9. *Assume conditions (f_1) - (f_5) with $a_3 = 0$. If u_ε is a solution of (1.1), then there exists a constant $K_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^s}^2 = \int_{\Omega} f(u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon dx \leq K_0 \varepsilon^N.$$

Proof. Since $I'_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \cdot u_\varepsilon = 0$, we have

$$\frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon dx,$$

that is,

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} f(u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon dx.$$

Theorem 1 and (f_4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} C\varepsilon^N &\geq I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_{\Omega} F(u_\varepsilon) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\Omega} f(u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon dx = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta > 2$, we obtain that

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_\varepsilon^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq K_0 \varepsilon^N. \quad \square$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Theorem 2. *Suppose $0 < s < 1$, (f_1) - (f_3) holds. If u_ε is a solution to problem (1.1) with $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, then $u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

Proof. In order to simplify the notation, we denote $u = u_\varepsilon$ a solution of (1.1) for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Theorem 1 guarantees that $u \geq 0$. Given $\alpha > 1$ and $M > 0$, consider the functions $u_M = \min\{u, M\}$ and

$$g_{\alpha,M}(t) = t(\min\{t, M\})^{\alpha-1} = \begin{cases} t^\alpha, & \text{if } t \leq M \\ tM^{\alpha-1}, & \text{if } t > M. \end{cases}$$

Since $g_{\alpha,M}$ is Lipschitz continuous and increasing, we conclude that $g_{\alpha,M}(u) \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$, for all $u \in H_\varepsilon^s(\Omega)$.

Thus,

$$I'_\varepsilon(u) \cdot g_{\alpha,M}(u) = 0$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(g_{\alpha,M}(u)(x) - g_{\alpha,M}(u)(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_\Omega u g_{\alpha,M}(u) dx \\ = \int_\Omega f(u) g_{\alpha,M}(u) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

We define the function,

$$G_{\alpha,M}(t) = \int_0^t (g'_{\alpha,M}(\tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$G_{\alpha,M}(t) \geq \frac{2}{\alpha+1} t(\min\{t, M\})^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.2)$$

Moreover,

$$|G_{\alpha,M}(a) - G_{\alpha,M}(b)|^2 \leq (g_{\alpha,M}(a) - g_{\alpha,M}(b))(a - b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.3)$$

It follows from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} [G_{\alpha,M}(u)]_{s,2}^2 &:= \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|G_{\alpha,M}(u(x)) - G_{\alpha,M}(u(y))|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{C_{N,s}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c)^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(g_{\alpha,M}(u(x)) - g_{\alpha,M}(u(y)))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 (i.e., the Sobolev inequality) and (4.1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-2}\varepsilon^{2s} \left(\int_{\Omega} |G_{\alpha,M}(u)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} &\leq [G_{\alpha,M}(u)]_{s,2}^2 + \int_{\Omega} |G_{\alpha,M}(u)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} f(u) g_{\alpha,M}(u) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u) u u_M^{\alpha-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.2) with the last inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-2}\varepsilon^{2s} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\alpha+1} \right)^{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} \left| u u_M^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \right|^{2_s^*} dx \right]^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} &\leq S^{-2}\varepsilon^{2s} \left(\int_{\Omega} |G_{\alpha,M}(u)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} f(u) u u_M^{\alpha-1} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

According to Remark 1.1, for any fixed $C_{\eta} > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$|f(t)| \leq \eta|t| + C_{\eta}|t|^{2_s^*-1}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.5)$$

Applying (4.5) and the Hölder inequality, we estimate the right-hand of (4.4).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f(u) u u_M^{\alpha-1} dx &\leq \eta \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_M^{\alpha-1} dx + C_{\eta} \int_{\Omega} u^{2_s^*} u_M^{\alpha-1} dx \\ &= \eta \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_M^{\alpha-1} dx + C_{\eta} \int_{\Omega} u^{2_s^*-2} u^2 u_M^{\alpha-1} dx \\ &= \eta \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_M^{\alpha-1} dx + C_{\eta} \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{4s}{N-2s}} \left| u u_M^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \eta \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_M^{\alpha-1} dx + C_{\eta} \|u\|_{2_s^*}^{\frac{4s}{N-2s}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| u u_M^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \right|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2s}{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $C_{\eta} > 0$ small enough such that

$$C_{\eta} \|u\|_{2_s^*}^{\frac{4s}{N-2s}} \leq \frac{S^{-2}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha+1} \right)^2,$$

we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u) u u_M^{\alpha-1} dx \leq \eta \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_M^{\alpha-1} + \frac{S^{-2}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha+1} \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| u u_M^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \right|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}.$$

Combining with (4.4) yields

$$\frac{S^{-2}\varepsilon^{2s}}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha+1} \right)^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| u u_M^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \right|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq \eta \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_M^{\alpha-1} dx.$$

Thus, for a positive constant C ,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \left| u u_M^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \right|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq C(\alpha+1)^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 u_M^{\alpha-1} dx.$$

Making $M \rightarrow \infty$, Fatou's lemma and the dominate convergence theorem yield

$$\|u\|_{2_s^*}^{\alpha+1} \leq C(\alpha+1)^2 \|u\|_{2(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})}^{\alpha+1}$$

and taking $\beta = \frac{\alpha+1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{2_s^*\beta}^{2\beta} \leq C\beta^2 \|u\|_{2\beta}^{2\beta}. \quad (4.6)$$

Now, choose $K > 1$ such that $C^{\frac{1}{2}}\beta \leq Ke^{\sqrt{\beta}}$. Then, (4.6) can be written as $\|u\|_{2_s^*\beta}^\beta \leq Ke^{\sqrt{\beta}} \|u\|_{2\beta}^\beta$.

Thus,

$$\|u\|_{2_s^*\beta} \leq K^{\frac{1}{\beta}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}} \|u\|_{2\beta}, \quad \text{for all } \beta > 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Consider the sequence defined by

$$\beta_1 = 1, \quad \beta_{n+1} = \left(\frac{2_s^*}{2}\right) \beta_n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Since $\frac{\beta_n}{\beta_{n+1}} = \frac{2}{2_s^*} < 1$, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_n}} \quad (4.8)$$

are both convergent.

Using the sequence (β_n) in (4.7) and iterating we obtain

$$\|u\|_{2_s^*\beta_2} \leq K^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_2}}} \|u\|_{2_s^*} = K^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta_2}} e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_2}}} \|u\|_2.$$

Proceeding repeatedly, yields

$$\|u\|_{2_s^*\beta_n} \leq K^{\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{\beta_i}\right)} e^{\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_i}}\right)} \|u\|_2.$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that, for positive constants γ_1 and γ_2

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq K^{\gamma_1} e^{\gamma_2} \|u\|_2 < \infty,$$

that is, $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. □

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Gilberto A. Pereira for useful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Alves and O. Miyagaki. Existence and concentration of solution for a class of fractional elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^n via penalization method. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 55(03):19 pp., 2016.
- [2] V. Ambrosio. Periodic solutions for a pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 120:262–284, 2015.
- [3] V. Ambrosio. Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of fractional Schrödinger equations via penalization method. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 196:2043–2062, 06 2017.
- [4] G. Barles, E.I Chasseigne, C. Georgelin, and E. Jakobsen. On Neumann type problems for nonlocal equations set in a half space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(9):4873–4917, 2014.
- [5] G. Barles, C. Georgelin, and E. Jakobsen. On Neumann and oblique derivatives boundary conditions for nonlocal elliptic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 256(4):1368–1394, 2014.
- [6] L. Brasco and E. Parini. The second eigenvalue of the fractional p-Laplacian. *Adv. Calc. Var.*, 9(4):323–355, 2016.

- [7] G. Chen. Singularly perturbed Neumann problem for fractional Schrödinger equations. *Sci. China Math.*, 61(4):695–708, 2018.
- [8] C. Cortazar, M. Elgueta, J. D. Rossi, and N. Wolanski. Boundary fluxes for nonlocal diffusion. *J. Differential Equations*, 234(2):360–390, 2007.
- [9] C. Cortazar, M. Elgueta, J. D. Rossi, and N. Wolanski. How to approximate the heat equation with Neumann boundary conditions by nonlocal diffusion problems. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 187(1):137–156, 2008.
- [10] S. Dipierro, X. Ros-Oton, and E. Valdinoci. Nonlocal problems with Neumann boundary conditions. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 33(2):377–416, 2017.
- [11] Q. Du, M. Gunzburger, and R. Lehoucq. Analysis and approximation of nonlocal diffusion problems with volume constraints. *SIAM Rev.*, 54:667–696, 4 2012.
- [12] Q. Du, M. Gunzburger, R. Lehoucq, and K. Zhou. A nonlocal vector calculus, nonlocal volume-constrained problems, and nonlocal balance laws. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 23:493–540, 3 2013.
- [13] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, volume 224 of *Classics in Mathematics*. 2001.
- [14] C.-S. Lin, W.-M. Ni, and I. Takagi. Large amplitude stationary solutions to a chemotaxis system. *J. Differential Equations*, 72(1):1–27, 1988.
- [15] E. Montefusco, B. Pellacci, and G. Verzini. Fractional diffusion with Neumann boundary conditions: The logistic equation. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series B*, 18, 08 2012.
- [16] R. Musina and A. I. Nazarov. On fractional laplacians. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(9):1780–1790, 2014.
- [17] H. Ni, A. Xia, and X. Zheng. Existence of positive solutions for nonlinear fractional Neumann elliptic equations. *Differ. Equ. Appl.*, 10(1):115–129, 2018.
- [18] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci. Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 33(5):2105–2137, 2013.
- [19] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci. On the spectrum of two different fractional operators. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 144(4):831–855, 2014.
- [20] P. Stinga and B. Volzone. Fractional semilinear Neumann problems arising from a fractional Keller-Segel model. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 54(1):1009–1042, 2015.
- [21] M. Willem. *Minimax theorems*, volume 24 of *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [22] A. Xia and J. Yang. Regularity of nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacian. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141:2665–2672, 08 2013.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS, 31270-901 - BELO HORIZONTE - MG, BRAZIL

Email address: hamilton@mat.ufmg.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE VIÇOSA, 36570-900 - VIÇOSA - MG, BRAZIL.

Email address: aldo.medeiros@ufv.br