

ON REGULAR BUT NON-SMOOTH INTEGRAL CURVES

CESAR HILARIO AND KARL-OTTO STÖHR

ABSTRACT. Given a regular but non-smooth integral curve over an imperfect field, we establish a bound for the number of iterated Frobenius pullbacks needed in order for a non-smooth point to become rational. This then lets us compute several parameters associated to the non-smooth point via an algorithm developed by Bedoya and the second author. We illustrate our result with a pencil of plane projective rational quartics of arithmetic genus 3 in characteristic 2.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Non-smooth points of regular integral curves	3
3. A pencil of singular quartics of arithmetic genus 3	10
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

Bertini's theorem on variable singular points, also known as the Bertini-Sard theorem, is nowadays one of the most used theorems in algebraic geometry. In its modern version, it states that in characteristic zero almost every fibre of a dominant morphism $\phi : T \rightarrow B$ of integral smooth algebraic varieties over an algebraically closed field k is smooth. As pointed out by Zariski [Zar44] in the 1940s, this is no longer the case in positive characteristic. The most familiar counterexamples are the quasi-elliptic fibrations that arise in the classification of smooth surfaces by Bombieri and Mumford in characteristics 2 and 3 (see [BM76, Lan79]).

From the point of view of Grothendieck's scheme theory, the generic fibre of the fibration $\phi : T \rightarrow B$ is the regular integral scheme $C := T \times_B \operatorname{Spec} k(B)$ over the function field $K := k(B)$ of the base B , while the geometric generic fibre

$$C \otimes_K \overline{K} = C \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(K)} \operatorname{Spec} \overline{K}$$

is singular precisely when all special fibres are singular. This reveals a deep connection between the failure of Bertini's theorem and the existence of regular schemes C defined over a (non-algebraically closed) field K that are non-smooth, i.e., for which the base extension $C \otimes_K \overline{K}$ is singular. Such existence represents a striking feature of geometry in characteristic $p > 0$, that results from the fact that over imperfect fields the notions of smoothness and regularity differ: every smooth variety (i.e., smooth scheme of finite type over a field) is regular, but not every regular variety is smooth. In several areas such

as birational geometry, and particularly in the Minimal Model Program, these regular but non-smooth schemes cause difficulties when one tries to apply zero characteristic techniques to positive characteristic situations; an explicit example: del Pezzo fibrations, where the picture seems more involved in characteristic 2 (see [Mad16, p. 404] and [Kol91, Remark 1.2]). As a result, much effort has been devoted to understand their behaviour and to bound their occurrence (see e.g. [Sch08, JW21, PW22]).

In this paper we explore the above phenomenon in the specific situation where the variety is a curve. If a regular proper integral curve $C|K$ is the generic fibre of a fibration $\phi : T \rightarrow B$, then its closed points correspond bijectively to the horizontal prime divisors on the total space T of the fibration. The curve $C|K$ is non-smooth if and only if at least one of its closed points is non-smooth, i.e., the corresponding horizontal prime divisor is a moving singularity of the fibration [SCS16, Section 1].

Of particular importance are the closed points of $C|K$ that are *non-decomposed*. A closed point \mathfrak{p} is called non-decomposed if over it there lies only one point in the normalization of the extended curve $C \otimes_K \bar{K} | \bar{K}$. These points occur naturally in many situations; for instance, the fact that the generic fibre $C|K$ of $\phi : T \rightarrow B$ has a unique non-smooth point that is non-decomposed means that almost every special fiber has a unique singular point. Our interest in non-decomposed points stems from the rationality of its images under iterated Frobenius morphisms.

To be more precise, let $C_n|K$ denote the regular integral curve obtained by normalizing the n -th Frobenius pullback $C^{(p^n)}|K$ of $C|K$. Given a non-decomposed point \mathfrak{p} of $C|K$, its image \mathfrak{p}_n under the n -th iterated relative Frobenius morphism $C \rightarrow C_n$ is a rational point of $C_n|K$ for n large enough. This property has an important consequence: the algorithm developed in [BS87] can be applied to compute several parameters associated to \mathfrak{p} (e.g., orders of differentials, singularity degrees), which may then shed light on the global structure of the curve $C|K$. For example, if the singularity degrees $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ of the non-decomposed non-smooth points \mathfrak{p} of a given curve $C|K$ are known, then one can derive explicit equations for $C|K$ through the algorithm. This approach has been particularly useful in the investigation of curves of genus $g = 3$ and $g = 2$ (see [BN87, SCS16] for genus $g = 2$, or [Stö04, Stö07, Sal11, Sal14] for genus $g = 3$ in characteristics $p = 3, 5, 7$), as here almost every non-smooth point that arose turned out to be non-decomposed.

To apply the algorithm, however, it is crucial to know how large the integer n must be so that \mathfrak{p}_n is rational. The reason is that the algorithm works in a descending manner: one starts with the point \mathfrak{p}_n , whose parameters are known because it is rational, and then one finds successively the parameters of \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} , \mathfrak{p}_{n-2} , \dots , $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}$. For curves of genus $g = 2$ and $g = 3$ the bound for n was calculated specifically for the situation at hand (see e.g. [Sal11, Cor. 3.3]). Our main result provides a general bound valid in any characteristic.

Theorem (Theorem 2.10). *Let \mathfrak{p} be a non-smooth non-decomposed point of an integral regular proper curve C . Then \mathfrak{p}_n is rational for each $n \geq \log_p(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}) + 1)$; moreover, if the integer $\frac{2}{p-1}\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ is not a sum of consecutive p -powers, then \mathfrak{p}_n is rational for each $n \geq \log_p(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}) + 1) - 1$.*

We show that the above bound is sharp in characteristic $p = 2$. This is not the case, nevertheless, in characteristic $p > 2$ as one can already find examples in characteristic $p = 3$ where the bound is not sharp.

We study in the last section a pencil of singular rational quartics of arithmetic genus 3 in characteristic 2. Its generic fibre is a curve $C|K$ for which the sharp bound for $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = 3$ and $p = 2$ is realized. In other words, the only non-smooth point \mathfrak{p} of $C|K$ has the property that its images \mathfrak{p}_3 and \mathfrak{p}_2 are rational and non-rational respectively.

2. NON-SMOOTH POINTS OF REGULAR INTEGRAL CURVES

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the regular proper integral curves over (the spectrum of) a given field K and the one-dimensional function fields with base field K (see [DG61, Section 7.4]). The function field $F|K$ corresponding to such a curve $C|K$ is the local ring at its generic point. Conversely, the points of the curve C different from the generic point, i.e., the closed points of C , are the primes \mathfrak{p} of the function field $F|K$, and their local rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are just the (discrete) valuation rings of $F|K$. If U is a non-empty open subset of C , that is, the complement of a finite set of closed points, then the space $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$ of local sections of the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_C is the intersection of the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the closed points $\mathfrak{p} \in U$.

In this section we assume that $F|K$ is a one-dimensional separable function field of genus g in positive characteristic p . The separability assumption on $F|K$ means that the corresponding regular proper integral curve $C|K$ is geometrically integral, i.e., it remains integral under algebraic extensions of the base field.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of $F|K$ and consider its valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If K' is an algebraic extension of the base field K , then the tensor product $K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} := K' \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a semilocal domain with fraction field $\text{Frac}(K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = K' \cdot F := K' \otimes_K F$, which coincides with the finite intersections of its localizations (i.e., the localizations at its maximal ideals); its K' -codimension in the integral closure $\widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is finite (see [Ros52, Theorem 1]) and is known as the K' -singularity degree of \mathfrak{p} . Since base extensions of local rings are semilocal, in order to study the behaviour of \mathfrak{p} under algebraic base field extensions it is convenient to work with the semilocal rings of $F|K$ rather than with its local rings.

Let \mathcal{O} be a semilocal domain of $F|K$ (where $K \subset \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}) = F$), which is equal to the finite intersection of its localizations, say

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{O}_r.$$

Let K' be an algebraic extension field of the base field K . The K' -singularity degree of \mathcal{O} is finite and equal to the sum of the K' -singularity degrees of the localizations, i.e.,

$$\dim_{K'} \widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}} / K' \cdot \mathcal{O} = \sum_{i=1}^r \dim_{K'} \widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_i} / K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_i$$

(see [Ros52, p.172]); indeed, the canonical homomorphism $\widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_i} / K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_i$ has kernel $K' \cdot \mathcal{O}$ and is surjective, as follows by applying the Chinese remainder theorem to the conductor ideals of the rings $K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_i$.

If K'' is an algebraic extension field of K' then the K'' -singularity degree of \mathcal{O} is equal to the sum of the K' -singularity degree of \mathcal{O} and the K'' -singularity degree of $\widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}}$, as

can be seen as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{K'} \widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}} / K' \cdot \mathcal{O} &= \dim_{K''} (K'' \otimes_{K'} \widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}}) / (K'' \otimes_{K'} K' \cdot \mathcal{O}) \\ &= \dim_{K''} K'' \cdot \widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}} / K'' \cdot \mathcal{O} \\ &= \dim_{K''} \widetilde{K'' \cdot \mathcal{O}} / K'' \cdot \mathcal{O} - \dim_{K''} (\widetilde{K'' \cdot \mathcal{O}} / K'' \cdot \widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}}). \end{aligned}$$

The *singularity degree* of a prime \mathfrak{p} , denoted $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$, is defined as its \overline{K} -singularity degree, where \overline{K} is the algebraic closure of K , i.e., as the \overline{K} -singularity degree of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The prime \mathfrak{p} is called *singular* if $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) > 0$, i.e., $\overline{K} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subsetneq \widetilde{\overline{K} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, i.e., \mathfrak{p} is a non-smooth point of the curve C .¹ By Rosenlicht's genus drop formula (see [Ros52, Theorem 11]) the genus of the extended function field $\overline{K}F|\overline{K}$ is equal to

$$\overline{g} = g - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \delta(\mathfrak{p}) \quad (2.1)$$

where g is the genus of $F|K$ and the sum is taken over the singular primes \mathfrak{p} of $F|K$. In particular, the function field $F|K$ is *conservative* (i.e., $\overline{g} = g$) if and only if it does not admit singular primes.

For each non-negative integer n we consider the function field $F_n|K := F^{p^n} \cdot K|K$ which is uniquely determined by the property that the extension $F|F_n$ is purely inseparable of degree p^n (see [Sti78, p. 33]). Let \mathfrak{p}_n be the restriction of the prime \mathfrak{p} to F_n , and let $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ be the only extension of \mathfrak{p} to the purely inseparable base field extension $F^{(n)} := K^{p^{-n}} \cdot F$. The valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}}$ of $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ is the integral closure $\widetilde{K^{p^{-n}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of the domain $K^{p^{-n}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in its field of fractions $K^{p^{-n}} \cdot F$. The n -th Frobenius map $z \mapsto z^{p^n}$ defines an isomorphism between the function fields $F^{(n)}|K^{p^{-n}}$ and $F_n|K$ which maps $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ into \mathfrak{p}_n . As the ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}|\mathfrak{p}_n$ is equal to p^n we get $e(\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}|\mathfrak{p}) \cdot e(\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_n) = p^n$ and therefore

$$e(\mathfrak{p}^{(n+1)}|\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}) \cdot e(\mathfrak{p}_n|\mathfrak{p}_{n+1}) = p \text{ for each } n.$$

In this section we ask for an integer n such that the prime \mathfrak{p}_n is rational, i.e., such that its degree $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_n) = [\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_n) : K]$ is equal to 1. If such an integer is known then the algorithm developed in [BS87] can be applied to compute several invariants of \mathfrak{p} , such as its singularity degree or the order of differentials at \mathfrak{p} .

Let $\Delta_n = \Delta(\mathfrak{p}_n) := \dim_{K^{1/p}} (\widetilde{K^{1/p} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_n}} / K^{1/p} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_n})$ be the $K^{1/p}$ -singularity degree of \mathfrak{p}_n . The non-negative integers $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots$ are divisible by $\frac{p-1}{2}$ if $p > 2$ (see [Stö88, Corollary 2.4]) and they decrease rapidly to zero in the sense that

$$\Delta_{n+1} \leq p^{-1} \Delta_n \text{ for each } n$$

(see [Stö88, Proposition 3.5]). In particular $\Delta_n = 0$ whenever $n \gg 0$, or more precisely

$$\Delta_n = 0 \text{ whenever } p^n > \begin{cases} \Delta_0 & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ or } 3, \\ \frac{2}{p-1} \Delta_0 & \text{if } p > 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Proposition 2.1.

$$\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \dots$$

¹It might be tempting to use the term “non-smooth prime”, but the term “singular prime” is already in use in the literature on function fields.

Proof. By using the n -th Frobenius map we see that Δ_n is equal to the $K^{p^{-(n+1)}}$ -singularity degree of $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$. Hence by considering the chain of local rings

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}^{(0)}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}}$$

we deduce that the $K^{p^{-(n+1)}}$ -singularity degree of \mathfrak{p} is equal to the sum $\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_n$. As $K^{p^{-\infty}} := \bigcup K^{p^{-n}}$ and therefore

$$K^{p^{-\infty}} \cdot F = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} K^{p^{-n}} \cdot F = \varinjlim K^{p^{-n}} \cdot F,$$

we conclude that the $K^{p^{-\infty}}$ -singularity degree of \mathfrak{p} is equal to $\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \dots$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}^{(\infty)}$ be the only extension of \mathfrak{p} to the purely inseparable base field extension $K^{p^{-\infty}} \cdot F$. As the algebraic closure \overline{K} is separable over $K^{p^{-\infty}}$, the prime $\mathfrak{p}^{(\infty)}$ is non-singular and so the singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ of the prime \mathfrak{p} coincides with its $K^{p^{-\infty}}$ -singularity degree. \square

By applying the proposition to the restricted prime \mathfrak{p}_n for each non-negative integer n we obtain

Corollary 2.2.

- $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_n) = \Delta_n + \Delta_{n+1} + \dots$;
- $\Delta_n = \delta(\mathfrak{p}_n) - \delta(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})$;
- the prime \mathfrak{p}_n is non-singular if and only if $\Delta_n = 0$;
- if $\Delta_n = 0$ and $n > 0$, then $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1})$.

We say that a prime \mathfrak{p} of $F|K$ is *decomposed* if there is more than one prime of $\overline{K}F|\overline{K}$ lying over \mathfrak{p} ; in other words, \mathfrak{p} is decomposed if its local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not geometrically unibranch. For an example of a decomposed singular prime we refer to [Sal14, Example 2.5]. In general, it may be hard to decide whether a given prime is non-decomposed. The corollary to the following proposition provides a sufficient criterion for a singular prime to be non-decomposed.

Proposition 2.3. *Let r denote the number of the primes of $\overline{K}F|\overline{K}$ lying over \mathfrak{p} . Then r divides the singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_n)$ and the $K^{1/p}$ -singularity degree $\Delta_n = \Delta(\mathfrak{p}_n)$ for each non-negative integer n . If $p > 2$ then r also divides the integers $\frac{2}{p-1}\delta(\mathfrak{p}_n)$ and $\frac{2}{p-1}\Delta(\mathfrak{p}_n)$.*

Proof. Let \check{K} be the separable closure of K . As the extension $\overline{K}F|\check{K}F$ is purely inseparable, each prime of $\check{K}F|\check{K}$ admits only one extension to $\overline{K}F|\overline{K}$. Hence r is also the number of the primes of $\check{K}F|\check{K}$ lying over \mathfrak{p} .

Since $\check{K}F|\check{K}$ is a separable base field extension of $F|K$, the \check{K} -singularity degree of \mathfrak{p} is zero and therefore the singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ is equal to the singularity degree of the semilocal ring $\check{K} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, i.e., equal to the sum of the singularity degrees of the primes of $\check{K}F|\check{K}$ lying over \mathfrak{p} . As the extension $\check{K}F|F$ is normal, these primes are conjugated, and so their singularity degrees coincide. It follows that the number r is a divisor of the singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$. If $p > 2$ then r is even a divisor of the integer $\frac{2}{p-1}\Delta(\mathfrak{p})$, because the singularity degrees are multiples of $\frac{p-1}{2}$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *If $p = 2$ and the integers $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots$ are coprime, then the prime \mathfrak{p} is non-decomposed. Similarly, if $p > 2$ and the integers $\frac{2}{p-1}\Delta_0, \frac{2}{p-1}\Delta_1, \frac{2}{p-1}\Delta_2, \dots$ are coprime, then \mathfrak{p} is non-decomposed.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a singular prime of the one-dimensional separable function field $F|K$. Then the degree of \mathfrak{p}_1 is a divisor of the integer $\frac{2}{p-1}\Delta(\mathfrak{p})$.*

Proof. Let $K' := K^{1/p}$. As $K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Gorenstein ring (see [Stö88, Theorem 1.1(b)]) we obtain

$$2\Delta_0 = \dim_{K'} \widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathfrak{p}}}$$

where $\mathfrak{c}'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes the conductor ideal of the domain $K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in its integral closure $\widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. As $\widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}(1)}$, the non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{c}'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a power of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}(1)}$, and so the K' -dimension of $\widetilde{K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is a multiple of $\deg(\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}) = \deg(\mathfrak{p}_1)$. By [Stö88, Corollary 2.4] this dimension is even a multiple of $(p-1)\deg(\mathfrak{p}_1)$. \square

We recall that a prime \mathfrak{p} of $F|K$ is rational if it has degree 1, i.e., its residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is equal to the base field K .

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a non-singular non-decomposed prime of $F|K$. Then the residue field extension $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|K$ is purely inseparable, say of degree p^m for some $m \geq 0$, and the prime \mathfrak{p}_m is rational.*

Proof. Let K' be a finite extension of the base field K . As the prime \mathfrak{p} is non-decomposed it admits only one extension \mathfrak{p}' to the base field extension $FK'|K'$. If $K'|K$ is separable then $\mathfrak{p}'|\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified, by Dedekind's different theory, and therefore $[\kappa(\mathfrak{p}') : \kappa(\mathfrak{p})] = [K' : K]$, i.e., $\deg(\mathfrak{p}') = \deg(\mathfrak{p})$.

As \mathfrak{p} is non-singular it is also K' -non-singular, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}'} = K' \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If $K'|K$ is a subextension of $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|K$ then it follows that $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}') = K' \cdot \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ and therefore

$$\deg(\mathfrak{p}') = [\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : K'].$$

Applying this to the separable closure of K in $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ we conclude that the extension $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|K$ is purely inseparable and so $\deg(\mathfrak{p}) = p^m$ for some m . If $K' = \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ then $\deg(\mathfrak{p}') = 1$. Identifying $K \subseteq K' = \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \overline{K}$ we obtain that FK' is contained in $FK^{p^{-m}}$ and that $\mathfrak{p}^{(m)}$ lies over \mathfrak{p}' , and so $\deg(\mathfrak{p}^{(m)}) = 1$, i.e., $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_m) = 1$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let \mathfrak{p} be an arbitrary (i.e., singular or non-singular) prime of $F|K$. Then the following assertions are equivalent*

- (i) \mathfrak{p} is non-decomposed,
- (ii) the residue field extension $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})|K$ is purely inseparable,
- (iii) there is an integer $n \geq 0$ such that the prime \mathfrak{p}_n is rational.

Proof. We note that \mathfrak{p} is non-decomposed if and only if for some (and any) integer $n \geq 0$ the prime \mathfrak{p}_n is non-decomposed. Analogous statements hold for (ii) and (iii). Therefore, by choosing an integer $n \geq 0$ such that the prime \mathfrak{p}_n is non-singular (see (2.2)) we may assume that \mathfrak{p} is non-singular. It then follows from the proof of the proposition that (i) implies (ii) and that (ii) implies (iii). Because rational primes are non-decomposed we also have that (iii) implies (i). \square

For a given non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} , we now ask for a specific integer n such that \mathfrak{p}_n is rational. When \mathfrak{p} is non-singular, this question has already been addressed by Proposition 2.6. To treat the case where \mathfrak{p} is singular we shall work with the partitions of the singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ as the sum of the $K^{1/p}$ -singularity degrees Δ_i (see Proposition 2.1).

Let d be a positive integer. We consider the partitions

$$d = d_1 + \cdots + d_s$$

of d by positive integers d_i satisfying

$$d_{i+1} \leq p^{-1}d_i \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, s-1.$$

We define

$$\tau_p(d) := \max\{s + \min\{v_p(d_1), \dots, v_p(d_s)\}\},$$

where the maximum is taken over all such partitions and $v_p(d_i)$ denotes the exponent of the largest p -power that divides d_i .

Proposition 2.8. *Let $F|K$ be a one-dimensional separable function field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let \mathfrak{p} be a singular non-decomposed prime of $F|K$. Then*

$$\deg(\mathfrak{p}_n) = 1 \text{ if } n \geq \tau_p\left(\frac{2}{p-1}\delta(\mathfrak{p})\right).$$

Proof. We take $d := \frac{2}{p-1}\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ and $d_i := \frac{2}{p-1}\Delta(\mathfrak{p}_{i-1})$. Let s be the largest integer such that $d_s > 0$, that is, $\Delta(\mathfrak{p}_{s-1}) \neq 0$ but $\Delta(\mathfrak{p}_s) = 0$, i.e., \mathfrak{p}_{s-1} is singular but \mathfrak{p}_s is non-singular. By Proposition 2.6 we have $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_s) = p^m$ for some $m \geq 0$, and $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_{s+m}) = 1$. By Proposition 2.5, each degree $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ divides $\frac{2}{p-1}\Delta(\mathfrak{p}_{i-1})$, and since $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_s)$ divides each $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ we conclude $m \leq \min\{v_p(d_1), \dots, v_p(d_s)\}$. \square

To get the desired bound on n so that \mathfrak{p}_n is rational it remains to find the precise value of $\tau_p(d)$. Since this will depend on whether d is a sum of consecutive p -powers, we introduce the following notation: for a pair of non-negative integers $j \leq i$ we write

$$P_j^i := p^j + \cdots + p^i = \sum_{r=j}^i p^r = \frac{p^{i+1} - p^j}{p-1}.$$

Note that for every positive integer i one has

$$P_0^{i-1} < P_i^i < P_{i-1}^i < \cdots < P_0^i.$$

Proposition 2.9. *Let d be a positive integer. If $P_0^{i-1} \leq d \leq P_0^i$, then*

$$\tau_p(d) = \begin{cases} i+1 & \text{if } d = P_j^i \text{ for some } j \leq i, \\ i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$\tau_p(d) = \begin{cases} \lceil \log_p((p-1)d+1) \rceil & \text{if } d \text{ is a sum of consecutive } p\text{-powers,} \\ \lceil \log_p((p-1)d+1) \rceil - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. As $\tau_p(d) = 1$ whenever $1 \leq d < p$ and $\tau_p(p) = \tau_p(p+1) = 2$, the case $i = 1$ is clear. So we can assume that $i > 1$. The partition $d = (p^{i-1} + (d - P_0^{i-1})) + p^{i-2} + \cdots + 1$ shows that $\tau_p(d) \geq i$ whenever $d \geq P_0^{i-1}$. Moreover, if $d = P_j^i$ for some $j \leq i$ then $\tau_p(d) > i$, as follows from the partition $d = p^i + \cdots + p^j$. Thus it suffices to show that if $d \leq P_0^i$ then any partition $d = d_1 + \cdots + d_s$ different from the ones of the preceding line satisfies

$$s + \min\{v_p(d_1), \dots, v_p(d_s)\} \leq i.$$

We prove this claim by induction. The base case $i = 1$ has been already settled. We may assume that $s > 1$; indeed, if $s = 1$ then $v_p(d_1) < i$ because d_1 is different from p^i and not larger than P_0^i . If $d \leq P_0^{i-1}$ then the claim follows from the induction hypothesis. Thus we may assume that $d > P_0^{i-1}$. As

$$d_2 + \cdots + d_s \leq \frac{d_1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{d_1}{p^{s-1}} < \frac{d_1}{p-1} = \frac{d - d_2 - \cdots - d_s}{p-1},$$

hence $d_2 + \cdots + d_s < p^{-1}d \leq p^{-1}P_0^i = p^{-1} + P_0^{i-1}$ and therefore $d_2 + \cdots + d_s \leq P_0^{i-1}$, it follows from the induction hypothesis that either

$$s - 1 + \min\{v_p(d_2), \dots, v_p(d_s)\} \leq i - 1$$

or $d_2 = p^{i-1}, \dots, d_s = p^{i+1-s}$. In the first case the claim follows. In the second case it remains to show that d_1 is not a multiple of p^{i+1-s} . This holds because on the one hand $d_1 \geq pd_2 = p^i, d_1 \neq p^i$ and therefore $d_1 - p^i > 0$, and on the other hand

$$d_1 - p^i = d - p^i - d_2 - \cdots - d_s = d - P_{i+1-s}^i \leq P_0^i - P_{i+1-s}^i = p^0 + \cdots + p^{i-s} < p^{i-s+1}. \quad \square$$

Combining Propositions 2.8 and 2.9 we obtain explicit bounds for an integer n so that the prime \mathfrak{p}_n is rational. These bounds depend on the characteristic $p > 0$ and on the singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ of the singular prime \mathfrak{p} . We recall that by a sum of consecutive p -powers we mean an integer of the form $\sum_{r=j}^i p^r$ for some $i \geq j \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $F|K$ be a one-dimensional separable function field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let \mathfrak{p} be a singular non-decomposed prime of $F|K$.*

- (i) *If the integer $\frac{2}{p-1}\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ is a sum of consecutive p -powers, then \mathfrak{p}_n is rational for each $n \geq \log_p(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}) + 1)$.*
- (ii) *If the integer $\frac{2}{p-1}\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ is not a sum of consecutive p -powers, then \mathfrak{p}_n is rational for each $n \geq \log_p(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}) + 1) - 1$.*

In the special case where $p > 2$ and $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = p(p-1)/2$ the above bound was obtained previously by Salomão [Sal11, Corollary 3.3].

We remark that the bound provided by the theorem is sharp in characteristic $p = 2$ (see the proposition below) but not in characteristic $p > 2$. Then Theorem 2.10 guarantees that for every non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} of singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = 16$ in characteristic $p = 3$, the restricted prime \mathfrak{p}_n is rational for all $n \geq 3$. However, it can be shown that in fact \mathfrak{p}_n is rational for every $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 2.11. *The bound provided by Theorem 2.10 is sharp in characteristic $p = 2$.*

To prove the proposition, we must construct for every positive integer d' an explicit singular non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} of singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = d'$ with the property that its restriction \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} is non-rational, where n is the smallest integer allowed by the bound in Theorem 2.10. Equivalently, we must construct for every positive even integer d a non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} with $d = 2\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ such that \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} is non-rational, where

$$n = \tau_2(d) = \begin{cases} i + 1 & \text{if } d = P_j^i \text{ for some } j \leq i, \\ i & \text{if } d \neq P_j^i \text{ for all } j \leq i \text{ and } P_0^{i-1} < d < P_0^i. \end{cases}$$

In Example 2.12 below we build for every $i > j > 0$ and every $\ell \geq 0$ a non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} with

$$P_j^i + \ell 2^{j+1} = 2\delta(\mathfrak{p})$$

such that \mathfrak{p}_i and \mathfrak{p}_{i+1} are non-rational and rational respectively. Similarly, in Example 2.13 we construct for every $i > 0$ a non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} with

$$d = 2\delta(\mathfrak{p})$$

such that \mathfrak{p}_i and \mathfrak{p}_{i+1} are non-rational and rational respectively. Before getting to the examples themselves we show how the proposition is obtained from them.

Proof of Proposition 2.11. In view of the two examples, it is enough to show that if d is a positive even integer such that $P_0^{i-1} < d < P_0^i$ and $d \neq P_j^i$ for all $j \leq i$ (in particular $i > 2$), then it can be written as $d = P_j^{i-1} + \ell \cdot 2^{j+1}$ for some j with $0 < j < i - 1$ and some $\ell \geq 0$. Indeed, if this were not the case then

$$d \not\equiv 2^j \pmod{2^{j+1}} \quad \text{for each } j = 0, \dots, i-2,$$

which means $d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i-1}}$, and therefore $d \in \{P_i^i, P_{i-1}^i\}$ as $P_0^{i-1} < d < P_0^i$, a contradiction. \square

Example 2.12. Let $i > j > 0$ and $\ell \geq 0$. We construct a non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} that realizes the partition of length $s := i - j + 1$

$$P_j^i + \ell 2^{j+1} = (2^i + \ell 2^{i+1}) + 2^{i-1} + \dots + 2^j,$$

i.e.,

$$2\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = P_j^i + \ell 2^{j+1}, 2\Delta_0 = 2^i + \ell 2^{i+1}, 2\Delta_1 = 2^{i-1}, \dots, 2\Delta_{s-1} = 2^j$$

(see the proof of Proposition 2.8), with the property that \mathfrak{p}_i and \mathfrak{p}_{i+1} are non-rational and rational respectively. Consider the function field $F|K = K(y, u)|K$ in characteristic $p = 2$ given by the following relation

$$(a + z^{2^j})z + y^{2^{i-j}} = 0,$$

where $z := u^2 + y^{1+2\ell}$ and $a \in K \setminus K^2$. Then $y^{2^{i-j}} = (a + z^{2^j})z$ and $u^2 = z + y^{1+2\ell}$, whence the Frobenius pullbacks of $F|K$ are given by

$$F_n|K = \begin{cases} K(y, u)|K & \text{if } n = 0, \\ K(z, y^{2^{n-1}})|K & \text{if } 0 < n < s, \\ K(z)|K & \text{if } n = s. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathfrak{p} be the zero of the function $z^{2^j} + a$, i.e., the only prime \mathfrak{p} such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(z^{2^j} + a) > 0$. Then the restriction \mathfrak{p}_s is the $(z^{2^j} + a)$ -adic prime of the rational function field $F_s|K = K(z)|K$, i.e., $v_{\mathfrak{p}_s}(z^{2^j} + a) = 1$, and therefore it is a non-decomposed prime of degree $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_s) = 2^j$. Moreover, the prime $\mathfrak{p}_{s+j} = \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}$ is rational with local parameter $x := z^{2^j} + a$, while the prime $\mathfrak{p}_{s+j-1} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ is not (see Proposition 2.6).

We compute the singularity degree of \mathfrak{p} by applying the algorithm in [BS87]. Because $y \in F_1$ and $y^{2^{i-j}} = xz$ is a local parameter at the prime \mathfrak{p}_s , for every $0 < n < s$ the prime \mathfrak{p}_n is ramified over F_{n+1} with local parameter $y^{2^{n-1}}$. Since the differential $dy^{2^i} = x^{2^j} dx$ of $F_{i+1}|K = K(x)|K$ has order 2^j at \mathfrak{p}_{i+1} , this implies by [BS87, Theorem 2.3] that

$$2\delta(\mathfrak{p}_n) = 2(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})) + v_{\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}}(dy^{2^i}) = 2(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}_{n+1})) + 2^j \quad (0 < n < s).$$

Now, since $u(\mathfrak{p}) = z(\mathfrak{p})^{1/2}$ does not lie in $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_1) = K(z(\mathfrak{p})) = K(a^{1/2^j})$ the prime \mathfrak{p} is unramified over F_1 and

$$2\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = 2(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1)) + v_{\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}}(du^{2^{i+1}}) = 2(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1)) + 2^j + \ell 2^{j+1},$$

where the last equality is due to the fact that the differential $du^{2^{i+1}} = x^{2^j(1+2\ell)}(a^2 + x^2)^\ell dx$ of $F_{i+1}|K$ has order $2^j(1+2\ell)$ at \mathfrak{p}_{i+1} . This shows that the non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} realizes the aforementioned partition of $P_j^i + \ell 2^{j+1}$.

Example 2.13. Let $i > 0$. We construct a non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} that realizes the partition of length $s := 1$, i.e.,

$$2\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = 2^i, \quad 2\Delta_0 = 2^i,$$

with the property that \mathfrak{p}_i and \mathfrak{p}_{i+1} are non-rational and rational respectively. Let $F|K = K(z, y)|K$ be the function field in characteristic $p = 2$ defined by the equation

$$y^2 = (a + z^{2^i})z,$$

where $a \in K \setminus K^2$. The first Frobenius pullback is then given by

$$F_1|K = K(z)|K.$$

Let \mathfrak{p} be the zero of the function $z^{2^i} + a$, so that its restriction \mathfrak{p}_1 is the $(z^{2^i} + a)$ -adic prime of the rational function field $F_1|K = K(z)|K$, i.e., $v_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(z^{2^i} + a) = 1$. This implies that \mathfrak{p}_1 is a non-decomposed prime of degree $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_1) = 2^i$ and that the primes \mathfrak{p}_{i+1} and \mathfrak{p}_i are rational and non-rational respectively. As $y^2 = xz$ is a local parameter at \mathfrak{p}_1 we conclude

$$2\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = 2(2\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1)) + v_{\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}}(dy^{2^{i+1}}) = 2^i.$$

3. A PENCIL OF SINGULAR QUARTICS OF ARITHMETIC GENUS 3

In this section we construct a fibration by singular rational quartics of arithmetic genus 3 over the projective line in characteristic 2. We shall see that the function field associated to the generic fibre of this fibration has a singular non-decomposed prime \mathfrak{p} of singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = 3$, with the property that its restrictions \mathfrak{p}_2 and \mathfrak{p}_3 are non-rational and rational respectively. This will illustrate in particular that the bound provided by Theorem 2.10 is sharp for $p = 2$ and $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = 3$.

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p = 2$. Consider the irreducible projective algebraic surface over k

$$S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

cut out by the bihomogeneous polynomial equation

$$T_0(Z^4 + X^2Y^2 + X^3Z) + T_1(Y^4 + X^2Z^2) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where X, Y, Z and T_0, T_1 represent the homogenous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^2 and \mathbb{P}^1 respectively. By the Jacobian criterion, the surface S has just one singular point, namely $P = ((1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1))$. The second projection

$$\phi : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

yields a fibration by plane projective curves over \mathbb{P}^1 . The fibre S_c over each “finite” point $(1 : c)$ of the base is isomorphic to the plane projective quartic curve cut out by the equation

$$Z^4 + X^2Y^2 + X^3Z + c(Y^4 + X^2Z^2) = 0,$$

which has a unique singular point at

$$P_c := (0 : 1 : c^{1/4})$$

of singularity degree 3. By the genus-degree formula for plane curves, the arithmetic genus of S_c is equal to 3. Moreover, the only tangent line at the singular point P_c of S_c has multiplicity 2 (if $c^3 \neq 1$) or 3 (if $c^3 = 1$), while the tangent lines at the non-singular points of S_c are all bitangents (if $c \neq 0$) or lines meeting the curve at just one point (if $c = 0$). The fibre S_c is thus a rational plane projective quartic of arithmetic genus 3 with no inflection points.

Over the “infinite” point $(0 : 1)$ the fibre degenerates to the non-reduced curve

$$(Y^2 + XZ)^2 = 0.$$

This is the *bad fibre* of the fibration in the sense that its behaviour differs from the generic behaviour of the fibres.

The generic fibre C of $\phi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the quartic curve over the function field $K = k(t) := k(T_1/T_0)$ of the base \mathbb{P}^1 defined by the homogeneous equation (3.1). Its function field $F := K(C)$ coincides with the function field $k(S)$ of the total space S of the fibration. Dehomogenizing $X \mapsto 1$ and $T_0 \mapsto 1$ in equation (3.1) we obtain

$$F = k(S) = k(t, y, z) = K(y, z)$$

where the affine coordinate functions t , y and z of the surface S satisfy the equation

$$(z^4 + y^2 + z) + t(y^4 + z^2) = 0,$$

Hence the function field $F|K = K(y, z)|K$ of C is generated over K by the functions y and z , which satisfy the relation

$$(z^4 + y^2 + z) + t(y^4 + z^2) = 0, \quad \text{where } t \in K \setminus K^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Consider the function $u := z + y^2 \in F$, and note that it satisfies the relation

$$tu^2 + u = z^4.$$

Clearly, the first three Frobenius pullbacks of $F|K$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1|K &= K(u, z)|K, \\ F_2|K &= K(u, z^2)|K, \\ F_3|K &= K(u)|K. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathfrak{p} denote the only pole of u , i.e., \mathfrak{p} is the only prime of $F|K$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(u) < 0$. Then the restricted prime \mathfrak{p}_3 of $F_3|K$ is rational with local parameter $\check{u} := u^{-1} \in F_3$. In particular, the prime \mathfrak{p} is non-decomposed, hence we can apply the algorithm developed in [BS87] to determine its singularity degree. To this end, we introduce the functions $\check{w} := z^2u^{-1} \in F_2$ and $\check{z} := zu^{-1} \in F_1$, which satisfy the equations

$$\check{w}^2 = t + \check{u} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{z}^4 = t\check{u}^2 + \check{u}^3.$$

Since $\check{w}(\mathfrak{p}) = t^{1/2}$ does not belong to $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_3) = K$, the prime \mathfrak{p}_2 of $F_2|K$ is unramified over F_3 with residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_2) = K(t^{1/2})$, and hence $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_2) = \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(d\check{w}^2) = 0$ by [BS87, Theorem 2.3]. As $v_{\mathfrak{p}_2}(\check{z}^2) = 1$, it follows that the prime \mathfrak{p}_1 of $F_1|K$ is ramified over F_2 with local parameter \check{z} , so we see that $\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(d\check{z}^4) = 1$ by [BS87, Theorem 2.3]. To compute its singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p})$ we write the eighth power of the quotient z/y as a power series in \check{u}

$$\left(\frac{z}{y}\right)^8 = \frac{t^2 + \check{u}^2}{1 + t\check{u}^2 + \check{u}^3} = t^2 + (t^3 + 1)\check{u}^2 + t^2\check{u}^3 + \dots$$

Because $\frac{z}{y}(\mathfrak{p}) = t^{1/4}$ lies outside $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}_1) = K(t^{1/2})$ we deduce that \mathfrak{p} is unramified over F_1 with residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = K(t^{1/4})$, and therefore it has singularity degree $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = 2\delta(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}_3}(d(\frac{z}{y})^8) = 3$ by [BS87, Theorem 2.3].

As an aside consequence of the above reasoning we can find the genera of $F|K$. Since every singular prime \mathfrak{q} different from \mathfrak{p} must be centered at a singular point of the plane curve over \overline{K} defined by equation (3.2) (see [Sal11, Corollary 4.5]), it follows from the Jacobian criterion that \mathfrak{p} is the only singular prime of $F|K$. Thus the previous paragraph together with Rosenlicht's genus drop formula (2.1) implies that $F|K$ has genera $g = 3$ and $\overline{g} = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [BS87] Hernando Bedoya and Karl-Otto Stöhr, *An algorithm to compute discrete invariants of singular primes in function fields*, J. of Number Theory **27** (1987), no. 3, 310–323.
- [BM76] Enrico Bombieri and David Mumford, *Enriques' classification of surfaces in char. p. III*, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 197–232.
- [BN87] Herminio Borges Neto, *Mudança de gênero e classificação de corpos de gênero 2*, PhD thesis, IMPA, 1987.
- [DG61] Jean Dieudonné and Alexander Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **8** (1961), 222 pp.
- [JW21] Lena Ji and Joe Waldron, *Structure of geometrically non-reduced varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), no. 12, 8333–8363.
- [Kol91] János Kollár, *Extremal rays on smooth threefolds*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **24** (1991), no. 3, 339–369.
- [Lan79] William Lang, *Quasi-elliptic surfaces in characteristic three*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 473–500.
- [Mad16] Zachary Maddock, *Regular del Pezzo surfaces with irregularity*, J. Algebraic Geom. **25** (2016), no. 3, 401–429.
- [PW22] Zsolt Patakfalvi and Joe Waldron, *Singularities of general fibers and the LMMP*, Amer. J. Math. **144** (2022), no. 2, 505–540.
- [Ros52] Maxwell Rosenlicht, *Equivalence relations on algebraic curves*, Ann of Math. (2) **56** (1952), 169–191.
- [Sal11] Rodrigo Salomão, *Fibrations by nonsmooth curves of arithmetic genus three in characteristic three*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), no. 8, 1967–1979.
- [Sal14] ———, *Fibrations by curves with more than one nonsmooth point*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **45** (2014), no. 2, 267–292.
- [Sch08] Stefan Schröer, *Singularities appearing on generic fibers of morphisms between smooth schemes*, Michigan Math. J. **56** (2008), no. 1, 55–76.
- [SCS16] Alejandro Simarra Cañate and Karl-Otto Stöhr, *Fibrations by non-smooth projective curves of arithmetic genus two in characteristic two*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), no. 9, 3282–3299.

- [Sti78] Henning Stichtenoth, *Zur Konservativität algebraischer Funktionenkörper*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **301** (1978), 30–45.
- [Stö88] Karl-Otto Stöhr, *On singular primes in function fields*, Arch. Math. **50** (1988), no. 2, 156–163.
- [Stö04] ———, *On Bertini's theorem in characteristic p for families of canonical curves in $\mathbb{P}^{(p-3)/2}$* , Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **89** (2004), no. 3, 291–316.
- [Stö07] ———, *On Bertini's theorem for fibrations by plane projective quartic curves in characteristic five*, J. Algebra **315** (2007), no. 2, 502–526.
- [Zar44] Oscar Zariski, *The theorem of Bertini on the variable singular points of a linear system of varieties*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **56** (1944), 130–140.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, HEINRICH-HEINE-UNIVERSITÄT, 40204 DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY.
Email address: Cesar.Hilario@hhu.de

IMPA - INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA - ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA,
110, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL 22460-320.
Email address: stohr@impa.br