

Properties of uniformly 3-connected graphs

Frank Göring, Tobias Hofmann*

Chemnitz University of Technology
*tobias.hofmann@math.tu-chemnitz.de

Abstract. A graph is uniformly k -connected if each pair of its vertices is connected by exactly k independent paths. We reinvestigate a recent constructive characterization of uniformly 3-connected graphs and obtain a more detailed result that relates the number of vertices to the operations involved in constructing a respective uniformly 3-connected graph. Furthermore, we show that parts of the mentioned construction preserve crossing numbers and treewidths. We demonstrate how these results can be utilized to study the structure of graphs with minimum number of vertices of minimum degree or to obtain results about crossing numbers and colorability.

Keywords. uniform connectivity, graph constructions, crossing number, treewidth, graph coloring, vertices of minimum degree

MSC. 05C40, 05C75, 05C07, 05D99

1 Introduction

Among the many connectivity concepts in graph theory, requiring the same connectivity between each pair of a graph's vertices may seem to be quite restrictive. Yet it might be a valuable feature of certain communication or supply networks and, from a theoretical point of view, uniform connectivity nicely complements the notions of ordinary, minimal, or average connectivity. When studying the latter, Beineke, Oellermann, and Pippert [3] introduced uniformly connected graphs as they became interested for which graphs the connectivity equals the average connectivity. To proceed, let us recall the following definition, whereas we refer to the monograph of Diestel [6] for basic graph theoretical terminology.




Figure 1: Constructing uniformly 3-connected graphs

Definition 1. For a number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a graph is called *uniformly k -connected* if each pair of its vertices is connected by exactly k independent paths.

It is not hard to see that uniformly 1-connected graphs are exactly all trees and uniformly 2-connected graphs are exactly all cycles. Further examples are wheel graphs for $k = 3$ or k -regular, k -connected graphs for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In more detail, such relations as well as uniformly edge-connected graphs, in which each pair of vertices is connected by exactly k edge-disjoint paths, are discussed by Göring, Hofmann, and Streicher [7]. This article also contains the following characterization.

Theorem 2. A graph is uniformly 3-connected if and only if it is contained in the following recursively defined class \mathcal{C} .

- (i) If a graph G is 3-regular and 3-connected, then G shall be contained in \mathcal{C} .
- (ii) For graphs $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ with vertices $v_1 \in V(G_1)$ and $v_2 \in V(G_2)$ whose neighborhoods are $N(v_1) = \{x_1, y_1, z_1\}$ and $N(v_2) = \{x_2, y_2, z_2\}$, we include in \mathcal{C} the graph

$$(G_1 - v_1) \cup (G_2 - v_2) + x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2.$$

- (iii) For $G \in \mathcal{C}$ with distinct vertices $v, w, x \in V(G)$, containing $vw \in E(G)$, and satisfying $\deg(y) = 3$ for all $y \in V(G) \setminus \{x\}$, we include in \mathcal{C} the graph

$$G + u - vw + uw + uv + ux$$

where $u \notin V(G)$ is a new vertex to be added to G .

The operations (ii) and (iii) are illustrated in Figure 1. We refer to (ii) as *bridge operation* and to (iii) as *spoke operation*. More precisely, if $\deg(x) = 3$ in (iii), we call it a *primary spoke operation* and if $\deg(x) > 3$, we call it a *secondary spoke operation*. Note that the class of 3-regular 3-connected graphs is contained in the class of uniformly 3-connected graphs. In turn, the class of uniformly 3-connected graphs is contained in the class of 3-connected graphs. So Theorem 2 is in a sense complementary to the classical constructions by Tutte [11, 12] for 3-regular

3-connected and 3-connected graphs. A natural question to ask when learning about a class of graphs is what degrees one might see. In extremal graph theory, this lead to extensive research on the minimum number of vertices of minimum degree. Formally, for a graph G one asks for the parameter

$$\nu(G) := \left| \left\{ v \in V(G) : \deg(v) = \min_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) \right\} \right|.$$

A corner stone on which many related investigations build on is the result by Halin [8], who proved that a minimally k -connected graph contains a vertex of degree k . A series of results on that topic is concluded by Mader [9], who gave the tight bound $\nu(G) \geq \lceil ((k-1)n + 2k)/(2k-1) \rceil$ for a minimally k -connected graph G on n vertices. This result does also hold for uniformly 3-connected graphs, as those are minimally k -connected; see Beineke, Oellermann, and Pippert [3]. But as minimally k -connected graphs do not have to be uniformly k -connected, there can be stronger bounds on $\nu(G)$ and indeed there is the following result.

Theorem 3. A uniformly 3-connected graph G on n vertices satisfies

$$\nu(G) \geq \lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil.$$

Furthermore, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are graphs attaining this bound.

This result is proven in [7]. We call a uniformly 3-connected graph *extremal* if it attains the bound from Theorem 3. The results of Section 2 shall help us to learn more about that class. There we show in detail how the number of vertices of a uniformly 3-connected graph depends on the operations involved in constructing it. Furthermore, we prove that the bridge operation in a sense preserves crossing numbers as well as treewidths larger than two. Section 3 is intended to demonstrate how these results can be used, for example, to find out more about respective crossing numbers or colorability.

2 Main results

In what follows, we build on one of the characterizations by Tutte [12, Chapter 12], which says that all 3-regular 3-connected graphs can be obtained from a complete graph on four vertices by a sequence of *edge joins*. Formally, for a graph G and two edges $st, vw \in E(G)$ *joining* them means to build the graph

$$G + x + y - st - vw + sx + xt + vy + yw$$

where $x, y \notin V(G)$ are new vertices to be added to G .

Theorem 4. A uniformly 3-connected graph G on n vertices satisfies

$$n = 4 + 2j + 2t + p + s$$

if G is constructed from complete graphs on four vertices by a sequence of j bridge operations, t edge joins, p primary spoke operations and s secondary spoke operations.

Proof. The smallest uniformly 3-connected graph is the complete graph on four vertices, for which $j = t = p = s = 0$ and our claim holds. Now suppose we are given a graph G on n vertices and our statement is true for all graphs on less than n vertices.

First, take the case where an edge join is the final operation in the sequence of operations to build G . Then G arises from a graph G' with $n = |V(G)| = |V(G')| + 2$, as an edge join adds two vertices. Denoting the number of edge joins to build G' by t' , we have $t = t' + 1$. By induction, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n &= |V(G)| = |V(G')| + 2 \\ &= 4 + 2j + 2t' + 2 + p + s \\ &= 4 + 2j + 2t + p + s. \end{aligned}$$

Primary or secondary spoke operations add one vertex, as is illustrated in Figure 1. If such an operation is the final operation to build G , we can argue as in the previous case. It remains the case where a bridge operation is the final operation to build G . Then G arises from two graphs G_1 and G_2 . In view of Figure 1, we have $n = |V(G)| = |V(G_1)| + |V(G_2)| - 2$, as well as $j = j_1 + j_2 + 1$, $t = t_1 + t_2$, $p = p_1 + p_2$, and $s = s_1 + s_2$, where j_i, t_i, p_i, s_i are the respective numbers of bridge operations, edge joins, primary and secondary spoke operations used when constructing G_i , where $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By induction, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n &= |V(G)| = |V(G_1)| + |V(G_2)| - 2 \\ &= 4 + 2j_1 + 2t_1 + p_1 + s_1 + 4 + 2j_2 + 2t_2 + p_2 + s_2 - 2 \\ &= 4 + 2(t_1 + t_2) + 2(j_1 + j_2 + 1) + (p_1 + p_2) + (s_1 + s_2) \\ &= 4 + 2t + 2j + p + s. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

This allows us to reprove Theorem 3 as well as to obtain some additional conditions on the numbers of operations involved.

Proof of Theorem 3. For a uniformly 3-connected graph G on n vertices, Theorem 4 tells us that

$$n = 4 + 2j + 2t + p + s. \quad (1)$$

A primary spoke operation, by definition, can only be applied to 3-regular graphs, and it raises one of the respective degrees to four. So it can be applied only once per graph which is going into a bridge operation. This implies

$$j + 1 \geq p \Rightarrow 2j \geq 2p - 2. \quad (2)$$

Combining Equations (1) and (2), we obtain

$$n \geq 2 + 2t + 3p + s \geq 2 + 3p \Rightarrow p \leq \lfloor (n - 2)/3 \rfloor. \quad (3)$$

The primary spoke operation is the only operation that reduces the number of vertices of minimum degree. It does so by exactly one. Consequently,

$$\nu(G) \geq n - p \geq \lceil (2n + 2)/3 \rceil, \quad (4)$$

which was to be shown. □

Another property we shall verify in this section is that the bridge operation preserves the crossing numbers of the input graphs. In our proof, we build on the following basic fact about graph embeddings, presented by West [13, Chapter 6].

Lemma 5. If E is the edge set of a face of some planar embedding of a graph G , then there is an embedding of G such that E is the edge set of the outer face.

Theorem 6. If G is the result of applying the bridge operation on graphs G_1 and G_2 . Then

$$\text{cr}(G) \leq \text{cr}(G_1) + \text{cr}(G_2).$$

Proof. We are given two graphs G_1, G_2 with vertices $v_1 \in V(G_1)$ and $v_2 \in V(G_2)$ whose neighborhoods are $N(v_1) = \{x_1, y_1, z_1\}$ and $N(v_2) = \{x_2, y_2, z_2\}$ and a graph

$$G := (G_1 - v_1) \cup (G_2 - v_2) + x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2.$$

At first, let us consider some drawing of G_1 in the plane, possibly with crossings. We obtain a *planarization* P of this drawing by replacing each occurring crossing by a new vertex. In this process, we may have to subdivide some of the edges in $\{x_1v_1, y_1v_1, z_1v_1, x_2v_2, y_2v_2, z_2v_2\}$. The vertex on the former edge x_1v_1 excluding v_1 but including x_1 that is closest to v_1 shall be denoted by x'_1 . Analogously, we define $y'_1, z'_1, x'_2, y'_2, z'_2$. Since $\deg(v_1) = 3$, we know that two of the three edges $x'_1v_1, y'_1v_1, z'_1v_1$, say x'_1v_1 and y'_1v_1 , are both contained in the edge set of some face of P . Lemma 5 tells us that there is an embedding of P such that $\{x'_1v_1, z'_1v_1\}$ is contained in the edge set of the outer face. Replacing the vertices we introduced when planarizing G back to crossings, we obtain a drawing of G_1 where parts of both edges x_1v_1 and y_1v_1 are incident to the outer face. Even more, since we can




Figure 2: The bridge operation acting on graphs embedded in the plane

reflect the embedding of G_1 across a line through v_1 , it is possible to choose the orientation of $\{x_1v_1, y_1v_1\}$. Likewise, we can take a drawing of G_2 where parts of x_2v_2 and y_2v_2 are incident to the outer face. In other words, our situation is essentially as in Figure 2.

Since we embedded finite graphs in the plane, we find radii $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that the discs $U_\varepsilon(v_1)$ and $U_\delta(v_2)$ do not contain $x'_1, y'_1, z'_1, x'_2, y'_2$, or z'_2 . We denote the intersection of the edge x_1v_1 with the disc $U_\varepsilon(v_1)$ by x''_1 and the intersection of the edge x_2v_2 with the disc $U_\delta(v_2)$ by x''_2 . This provides us with a polygonal arc, leading from x_1 to x''_1 to x''_2 to x_2 . There are analogous polygonal arcs linking y_1 with y_2 and z_1 with z_2 . Those polygonal arcs can be drawn without intersections when choosing the orientation of the embeddings of G_1 or G_2 as in Figure 2. This tells us that we can build G out of G_1 and G_2 by the bridge operation without adding any additional crossings. So $\text{cr}(G) \leq \text{cr}(G_1) + \text{cr}(G_2)$. \square

Finally, we aim to demonstrate that the bridge operation also preserves, in some sense, the treewidths of the input graphs. So let us recall the following terms.

Definition 7. A *tree decomposition* of a graph G is a pair $(\{X_i : i \in I\}, T = (I, F))$ where each *node* $i \in I$ has a *bag* $X_i \subseteq V(G)$ such that the following properties hold.

- (i) Each vertex of V belongs to some bag, or $\cup_{i \in I} X_i = V$.
- (ii) For all $vw \in E(G)$ there exists an $i \in I$ such that $v, w \in X_i$.
- (iii) For all $v \in V$ the set of nodes $\{i \in I : v \in X_i\}$ induces a subtree of T .

The *width* of a tree decomposition $(\{X_i : i \in I\}, T = (I, F))$ is $\max_{i \in I} |X_i| - 1$ and the *treewidth* of a graph G is the minimum width of all tree decompositions of G . We shall denote the latter by $\text{tw}(G)$.

Before we focus on how the treewidth behaves under the bridge operation, let us recall the following fact, whose proof may be found in Diestel [6, Chapter 12].

Lemma 8. If H is a minor of G , then $\text{tw}(H) \leq \text{tw}(G)$.

Theorem 9. If G is the result of applying the bridge operation on graphs G_1 and G_2 with $\text{tw}(G_i) \geq 3$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then

$$\text{tw}(G) = \max\{\text{tw}(G_1), \text{tw}(G_2)\}.$$

Proof. We are given two graphs G_1, G_2 with vertices $v_1 \in V(G_1)$ and $v_2 \in V(G_2)$ whose neighborhoods are $N(v_1) = \{x_1, y_1, z_1\}$ and $N(v_2) = \{x_2, y_2, z_2\}$ and a graph

$$G := (G_1 - v_1) \cup (G_2 - v_2) + x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2.$$

Furthermore, let $(\{X_i : i \in I_1\}, T_1 = (I_1, F_1))$ and $(\{Y_j : j \in I_2\}, T_2 = (I_2, F_2))$ be tree decompositions of minimum width of G_1 and G_2 , respectively. To show that $\text{tw}(G) \leq \max\{\text{tw}(G_1), \text{tw}(G_2)\}$, our goal is to define a tree decomposition of width at most $\max\{\text{tw}(G_1), \text{tw}(G_2)\}$ for G . Since in G the vertices v_1 and v_2 do not exist, we may safely replace them as follows. For each $i \in I_1$ where $v_1 \in X_i$ set $X'_i := X_i \setminus \{v_1\} \cup \{x_2\}$ and for each $i \in I_1$ where $v_1 \notin X_i$ set $X'_i := X_i$. Likewise, for each $j \in I_2$ where $v_2 \in Y_j$ set $Y'_j := Y_j \setminus \{v_2\} \cup \{y_1\}$ and for each $j \in I_2$ where $v_2 \notin Y_j$ set $Y'_j := Y_j$. Note that we have not changed the cardinalities of the bags. Now take a new node $v \notin I_1 \cup I_2$ to define the tree $T := T_1 \cup T_2 + v + sv + vt$ as well as the bag $X_v := \{z_1, z_2, x_2, y_1\}$. Because of $|X_v| = 4$ and our assumption that $\text{tw}(G_i) \geq 3$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we obtain that

$$\max \left\{ \max_{i \in I_1} |X_i|, \max_{j \in I_2} |Y_j| \right\} = \max \left\{ \max_{i \in I_1} |X'_i|, \max_{j \in I_2} |Y'_j|, |X_v| \right\}.$$

So it remains to be shown that $D := (\{X'_i : i \in I_1\} \cup \{Y'_j : j \in I_2\} \cup \{X_v\}, T)$ is a tree decomposition of G . When building the bags of D , the only vertices that we removed are v_1 and v_2 , which are not present in G . So D satisfies Condition (i) of Definition 7. By the same reason, we find a bag in D containing the endvertices of each edge in $E(G_1)$ as well as $E(G_2)$. Furthermore, by Condition (ii) of Definition 7, there must be some $k \in I_1$ such that $x_1, v_1 \in X_k$, which implies that $x_1, x_2 \in X'_k$. Likewise, there must be some $\ell \in I_2$ with $y_1, y_2 \in Y'_\ell$ and since the edge z_1z_2 is covered by the bag X_v , we know that for all $ij \in E(G)$ there is some bag in D containing i and j , which is Condition (ii) of Definition 7. To verify Condition (iii) of Definition 7, we have to focus only on the vertices in $W := \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2\}$, since those in $V(G_1) \setminus W$ are not contained in any of the bags in $\{Y'_j : j \in I_2\} \cup \{X_v\}$ and those in $V(G_2) \setminus W$ are not contained in

any of the bags in $\{X'_i : i \in I_1\} \cup \{X_v\}$. By construction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{i \in I_1 : x_1 \in X'_i\} &= \{i \in I_1 : x_1 \in X_i\}, & \{j \in I_2 : x_1 \in Y'_j\} &= \emptyset, \\
\{i \in I_1 : x_2 \in X'_i\} &= \{i \in I_1 : v_1 \in X_i\}, & \{j \in I_2 : x_2 \in Y'_j\} &= \{j \in I_2 : x_2 \in Y_j\}, \\
\{i \in I_1 : y_1 \in X'_i\} &= \{i \in I_1 : y_1 \in X_i\}, & \{j \in I_2 : y_1 \in Y'_j\} &= \{j \in I_2 : v_2 \in Y_j\}, \\
\{i \in I_1 : y_2 \in X'_i\} &= \emptyset, & \{j \in I_2 : y_2 \in Y'_j\} &= \{j \in I_2 : y_2 \in Y_j\}, \\
\{i \in I_1 : z_1 \in X'_i\} &= \{i \in I_1 : z_1 \in X_i\}, & \{j \in I_2 : z_1 \in Y'_j\} &= \emptyset, \\
\{i \in I_1 : z_2 \in X'_i\} &= \emptyset, & \{j \in I_2 : z_2 \in Y'_j\} &= \{j \in I_2 : z_2 \in Y_j\}.
\end{aligned}$$

All right hand side node sets of the left column, and so the corresponding left hand side node sets, by our prerequisites, induce subtrees of T_1 . Likewise, all node sets in the right column induce subtrees of T_2 . Denoting the subtree of T_1 induced by $\{i \in I_1 : w \in X'_i\}$ by $T_1[w]$ and the subtree induced by $\{j \in I_2 : w \in Y'_j\}$ by $T_2[w]$, we obtain that $T_1[w] + v + T_2[w]$ induces a subtree of T for all $w \in W$. So D satisfies Condition (iii) of Definition 7 and thus is a tree decomposition of G .

For the other inequality, note that both G_1 and G_2 are minors of G . For example, contracting all vertices in G that stem from G_2 to a single vertex yields G_1 . So Lemma 8 implies $\text{tw}(G) \geq \max\{\text{tw}(G_1), \text{tw}(G_2)\}$, which concludes our proof. \square

Quite a few difficult combinatorial problems on graphs can be solved in polynomial, or even linear, time by dynamic programming approaches if the input graph has bounded treewidth, about which Bodlaender and Koster [4] give an overview. This makes Theorem 9 a valuable tool, which we make use of also in what follows. Also note that in general uniformly 3-connected graphs have unbounded treewidth, which is shown by Meeks [10] by an example that works already for 3-regular, 3-connected graphs.

3 Applications

Let us proceed with an example that illustrates how to use the Equations (1) to (4), which we obtained in the course of our proof of Theorem 3, to get a precise picture of extremal uniformly 3-connected graphs.

Example 10. Let us ask for the graphs on $n = 10$ vertices with minimum number of vertices of minimum degree. Condition (4) tells us that the extremal graphs are those where p is maximal. In view of Condition (3), we choose $p = 2$. Condition (1) then reads $4 = 2t + 2j + s$ and by Condition (2), we obtain $j \geq 1$. This leaves us exactly with the settings where $p = 2$ and

$$t = 1, j = 1, s = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad t = 0, j = 2, s = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad t = 0, j = 1, s = 2.$$




Figure 3: An extremal uniformly 3-connected graph on ten vertices

A graph for the setting $t = 1, j = 1, p = 2, s = 0$ is illustrated in Figure 3.

In what follows, we shall generalize the findings from this example, and so identify the conditions under which extremal uniformly 3-connected graphs are planar.

Theorem 11. Given an extremal uniformly 3-connected graph on $n = 3k + \ell \geq 5$ vertices, for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $\ell \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, let j, t, p , and s be the respective numbers of bridge operations, edge joins, primary and secondary spoke operations involved in constructing G .

- (i) Then $p = k - 1$.
- (ii) If $\ell = -1$, then $j = k - 2, t = s = 0$.
- (iii) If $\ell = 0$, then $j = k - 2, t = 0, s = 1$.
- (iv) If $\ell = 1$, then $j = k - 1, t = s = 0$ or $j = k - 2, t = 1, s = 0$
or $j = k - 2, t = 0, s = 2$.

Proof. In view of Conditions (3) and (4), building an extremal graph involves

$$p = \lfloor (n - 2)/3 \rfloor = \lfloor (3k + \ell - 2)/3 \rfloor = k + \lfloor (\ell - 2)/3 \rfloor = k - 1$$

primary spoke operations. Thus Statement (i) holds. Condition (2) requires that $j \geq p - 1 \geq k - 2$ and so Condition (1) tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 4 + 2t + 2j + p + s \\ \Rightarrow 3k + \ell &\geq 4 + 2t + 2(k - 2) + k - 1 + s \\ \Rightarrow 1 + \ell &\geq 2t + s. \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell = -1$, we obtain $j = k - 2, t = s = 0$, which is Statement (ii). For $\ell = 0$, we obtain $j = k - 2, t = 0, s = 1$, which is Statement (iii). For $\ell = 1$ and $j = k - 2$, we obtain $t = 0$ and $s = 2$ or $t = 1$ and $s = 0$, which are the last two alternatives in Statement (iv). If $\ell = 1$ and $j = k - 1$, then Condition (1) implies $t = s = 0$, which is the remaining alternative in Statement (iv). Finally, note that j cannot




Figure 4: Small extremal uniformly 3-connected graphs build out of a wheel graph by edge splits (\rightsquigarrow_t) and primary spoke operations (\rightsquigarrow_p)

be larger than $k - 1$, since otherwise the right hand side of Equation (1) exceeds the left hand side. \square

Let us see what we now know about small extremal uniformly 3-connected graphs.

Observation 12. The *wheel* graph on $n \geq 4$ is the graph resulting from a cycle on $n - 1$ vertices by adding a new vertex which is adjacent to all other vertices. We denote such a graph by W_n . The graph W_4 is complete and all its vertices have degree three. Performing a primary spoke operation on W_4 results in W_5 . Similarly, performing a secondary spoke operation on W_n results in W_{n+1} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us consider an extremal uniformly 3-connected graph G in whose construction an edge join is involved. Recall that edge joins in Tutte's characterization [12], and so in Theorem 2, are only allowed to be applied on 3-regular 3-connected graphs. It is not hard to see that extremal uniformly 3-connected graphs are nonregular for all $n \geq 5$. So when an edge split is involved in building G , it can only take the graph W_4 as input. This can only produce the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$ or the envelope graph, depicted in the middle of Figure 4. Out of those graphs, we can obtain the graphs on the right in Figure 4 by a primary spoke operation. The dashed green edges drawn in the bottom right graph are to be understood as alternatives. They indicate the three nonisomorphic graphs that can be build out of the envelope graph by a primary spoke operation. In fact, one can check that the alternative where edge f is added to the envelope graph is isomorphic to the top right graph in Figure 4. The alternative where edge e is added to the envelope graph is isomorphic to the graph which results from combining the wheel graphs W_4 and W_5 by the bridge operation. Similarly, the envelope graph can be combined out of two wheel graphs W_4 by the bridge operation. So nonplanar




Figure 5: An extremal uniformly 3-connected graph

graphs might arise even if we forbid edge joins.

With Theorem 6, we have the key to combine our present findings as follows.

Theorem 13. Let G be an extremal uniformly 3-connected graph on $n = 3k + \ell \geq 4$ vertices, for suitable $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then $\text{cr}(G) \leq 1$ and G is planar if $n = 4$ or $\ell \in \{-1, 0\}$.

Proof. The only uniformly 3-connected graph for $n = 4$ is the complete graph on four vertices. It is an extremal one and it is planar. Consider now an extremal uniformly 3-connected graph G on $n = 3k + \ell \geq 5$ vertices, where $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. If $\ell \in \{-1, 0\}$, then Items (i) to (iii) of Theorem 11 tell us that G is build by $k - 1$ primary spoke operations, one secondary spoke operation if $\ell = 0$, and $k - 2$ bridge operations. In other words, G results from using the bridge operation recursively to combine wheels W_5 , and one wheel W_6 if $\ell = 0$. So G is planar by Theorem 6.

If $\ell = 1$, then Items (i) and (iv) of Theorem 11 tell us that G is build by $k - 1$ primary spoke operations. If $j = k - 1$, then $t = s = 0$. So G results from recursively using the bridge operation to combine one wheel W_4 and $k - 1$ wheels W_5 or, in view of Observation 12, to combine one of the graphs in the bottom right corner of Figure 4 with $k - 2$ wheels W_5 . So $\text{cr}(G) \leq 1$ by Theorem 6.

It remains the case where $\ell = 1$ and $j = k - 2$. If $t = 1$, then $s = 0$ and G results from using the bridge operation recursively to combine wheels W_5 with one of the graphs on the right of Figure 4. So $\text{cr}(G) \leq 1$ by Theorem 6. If $t = 0$, then $s = 2$ and G results from using the bridge operation recursively to combine wheels W_5 with two W_6 or one W_7 . So $\text{cr}(G) \leq 1$ by Theorem 6. \square

A nice way to express certain graphs from the extremal class is to think of them as *Halín graphs*, surveyed by Brandstadt, Le, and Spinrad [5]. Those are graphs that can be gained by embedding a tree without vertices of degree two in the




Figure 6: An extremal uniformly 3-connected graph which is not a Halin graph

plane and connecting its leafs by a cycle without crossing any of the tree edges. By the previous proof, we can obtain those Halin graphs where the inner vertices are of degree four, with few exceptions. If $\ell = 0$, we may have one vertex of degree five. If $\ell = 1$, we may have two vertices of degree five or one of degree six. An example is illustrated in Figure 5. In general, Halin graphs can be seen to be uniformly 3-connected, but not the other way around. Counterexamples are certainly nonplanar (extremal) uniformly 3-connected graphs and even for $\ell = -1$, we find for example the graph depicted in Figure 6.

Let us close with an application of our treewidth results.

Theorem 14. Extremal uniformly 3-connected graphs except the wheels W_4 and W_6 can be colored with three colors in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof. At first, we observe that all graphs in Figure 4 as well as wheel graphs have treewidth three. By Observation 12 and the proof of Theorem 6, those are all graphs that serve as input for the bridge operation when building extremal uniformly 3-connected graphs. By Theorem 6, we conclude that all extremal uniformly 3-connected graphs have treewidth three. For such graphs an optimal coloring can be found in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time, as is shown by Arnborg and Proskurowski [2]. The fact that uniformly 3-connected graphs, except the wheels on an even number of vertices, are 3-colorable, is shown by Aboulker, Brettell, Havet, Marx, and Trotignon [1], concluding our arguments, since the only extremal uniformly 3-connected wheels are W_4 and W_6 . \square

Acknowledgments

Our research was partially funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 416228727 – SFB 1410.

References

- [1] Pierre Aboulker, Nick Brettell, Frédéric Havet, Dániel Marx, and Nicolas Trotignon. Coloring graphs with constraints on connectivity. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 85(4):814–838, 2017.
- [2] Stefan Arnborg and Andrzej Proskurowski. Linear time algorithms for NP -hard problems restricted to partial k -trees. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 23(1):11–24, 1989.
- [3] Lowell W. Beineke, Ortrud R. Oellermann, and Raymond E. Pippert. The average connectivity of a graph. *Discrete Mathematics*, 252(1-3):31–45, 2002.
- [4] Hans L. Bodlaender and Arie M. C. A. Koster. Combinatorial optimization on graphs of bounded treewidth. *The Computer Journal*, 51(3):255–269, 2008.
- [5] Andreas Brandstadt, Van Bang Le, and Jeremy P. Spinrad. *Graph Classes: A Survey*. Monographs on Discrete Mathematics and Applications. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1999.
- [6] Reinhard Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Springer, 2017.
- [7] Frank Göring, Tobias Hofmann, and Manuel Streicher. Uniformly connected graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, pages 1–16, 2022.
- [8] Rudolf Halin. A theorem on n -connected graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 7(2):150–154, 1969.
- [9] Wolfgang Mader. Zur Struktur minimal n -fach zusammenhängender Graphen. *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, 49(1):49–69, 1979.
- [10] Kitty Meeks. The challenges of unbounded treewidth in parameterised subgraph counting problems. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 198:170–194, 2016.
- [11] William T. Tutte. A theory of 3-connected graphs. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 23(441-455), 1961.
- [12] William T. Tutte. *Connectivity in Graphs*. University of Toronto Press, 1966.
- [13] Douglas B. West. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Prentice Hall, 2001.