

THE TAMELY RAMIFIED GEOMETRIC QUANTITATIVE MINIMAL RAMIFICATION PROBLEM

MARK SHUSTERMAN

ABSTRACT. We prove a large finite field version of the Boston–Markin conjecture on counting Galois extensions of the rational function field with a given Galois group and the smallest possible number of ramified primes. Our proof involves a study of structure groups of (direct products of) racks.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a nontrivial finite group. We denote by $d(G)$ the least cardinality of a generating set of G , and by $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ the least cardinality of a subset of G generating G normally. That is, $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ is the smallest positive integer d for which there exist $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G$ such that G has no proper normal subgroup containing g_1, \dots, g_d . Equivalently, it is the least positive integer d for which there exist d conjugacy classes in G that generate G . Let $G' = [G, G]$ be the commutator subgroup of G , and let $G^{\text{ab}} = G/[G, G]$ be the abelianization of G . It is a standard group-theoretic fact, see for instance [NSW, Theorem 10.2.6], that

$$d_{\triangleleft}(G) = \max\{d(G^{\text{ab}}), 1\} = \begin{cases} d(G^{\text{ab}}) & G^{\text{ab}} \neq \{1\}, \\ 1 & G^{\text{ab}} = \{1\}. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.1. A G -extension of a field L is a pair (K, φ) where K is a Galois extension of L and $\varphi: \text{Gal}(K/L) \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism. Abusing notation, we will at times denote a G -extension simply by K , tacitly identifying $\text{Gal}(K/L)$ with G via φ .

Since \mathbb{Q} has no unramified extensions, for every tamely ramified G -extension K/\mathbb{Q} , the inertia subgroups of G generate it and are cyclic. As the inertia subgroups of primes in K lying over a given prime of \mathbb{Q} are conjugate, it follows that the number of primes of \mathbb{Q} ramified in K is at least $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$.

Going beyond the inverse Galois problem, [BM09] conjectures that the lower bound $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ on the number of ramified primes in a tamely ramified G -extension of \mathbb{Q} is optimal.

Conjecture 1.2. *There exists a (totally real) tamely ramified G -extension K/\mathbb{Q} such that the number of (finite) primes of \mathbb{Q} ramified in K is $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$.*

The assumption that K is totally real can also be stated as K/\mathbb{Q} being split completely at infinity, or as complex conjugation corresponding to the trivial element of G . [BM09] does not restrict to this case, allowing arbitrary ramification at infinity, but suggests that this case is perhaps more interesting (or more challenging). [BM09] also verifies the conjecture for G abelian.

Let p be a prime number, and let q be a power of p . To study a problem analogous to Conjecture 1.2 over the rational function field $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ in place of \mathbb{Q} , we introduce two restrictions that can perhaps make the situation over $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ more similar to the one over \mathbb{Q} . First, we consider only regular extensions $K/\mathbb{F}_q(T)$, namely those for which every element of K that is algebraic over \mathbb{F}_q lies in \mathbb{F}_q . In particular, this rules out constant extensions of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ - a family of everywhere unramified extensions that do not have an analog over \mathbb{Q} . Second, we assume that p does not divide $|G|$, so that every G -extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ is tamely ramified. The following is a special case of conjectures made in [DeW14, BSEF21].

Conjecture 1.3. *Let q be a prime power coprime to $|G|$. Then there exists a regular G -extension $K/\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ (split completely at infinity), such that the number of primes of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ ramified in K is $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$.*

Giving such an extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ is equivalent to producing a morphism of smooth projective geometrically connected curves $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ over \mathbb{F}_q having the following three properties.

- There exist monic irreducible polynomials $P_j \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with $1 \leq j \leq d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ such that g is étale away from the points of \mathbb{P}^1 corresponding to the (zeros of the) polynomials P_j , and g is ramified at these points. In other words, the set of roots of the polynomials P_j is the branch locus of g .
- We have $\text{Aut}(g) \cong G$ and this group acts transitively on the geometric fibers of g .
- The fiber of g over ∞ contains an \mathbb{F}_q -point.

We refer to [DeW14, BSEF21, BSS20] (and references therein) for some of the progress made on Conjecture 1.2 and Conjecture 1.3. For example, some results have been obtained in case G is the symmetric group, or a dihedral group, assuming Schinzel's Hypothesis H on prime values of integral polynomials.

[BM09] goes on to propose, among other things, a quantitative version of their conjecture that we restate here. For that, we fix conjugacy classes $C_1, \dots, C_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)}$ of G that generate G , and put

$$C = \bigcup_{j=1}^{d_{\triangleleft}(G)} C_j.$$

Furthermore, we assume that for each $1 \leq j \leq d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ and $g \in C_j$, every generator of the cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ lies in C_j .

For a number field K put

$$\text{ram}(K) = \{p : p \text{ is a prime number ramified in } K\}, \quad D_K = \prod_{p \in \text{ram}(K)} p.$$

Recall that $\text{ram}(K)$ is the set of prime numbers dividing the discriminant of K , so D_K is the radical of this discriminant.

For a positive integer d and a positive real number X , we denote by $\Pi_d(X)$ the probability that a uniformly random positive squarefree integer less than X has exactly d (distinct) prime factors.

Conjecture 1.4. *Let X be a positive real number, and let $\mathcal{E}^C(G; X)$ be the family of (isomorphism classes of) totally real tamely ramified G -extensions K of \mathbb{Q} for which $D_K < X$ and some (equivalently, every) generator of each nontrivial inertia subgroup of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ lies in C . Then there exists a positive real number $\delta^{G,C}$ such that as $X \rightarrow \infty$ we have*

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}^C(G; X)} \mathbf{1}_{|\text{ram}(K)|=d_{\triangleleft}(G)} \sim \delta^{G,C} \cdot \Pi_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)}(X) \cdot |\mathcal{E}^C(G; X)|.$$

We note that the family $\mathcal{E}(G; X)$ of totally real tamely ramified G -extensions K of \mathbb{Q} with $D_K < X$ is finite by a classical result of Hermite.

Conjecture 1.4 suggests that the chances of D_K having $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ prime factors asymptotically equal the chances of a squarefree number having $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ prime factors. It is also possible to restate this heuristic in the following equivalent way. For $K \in \mathcal{E}^C(G; X)$ and $1 \leq j \leq d_{\triangleleft}(G)$, let $D_K(j)$ be the product of all the primes $p \in \text{ram}(K)$ for which the generators of the inertia subgroups of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ for primes of K lying over p belong to C_j . Then $D_K(j) \neq 1$ for every $1 \leq j \leq d_{\triangleleft}(G)$, and

$$D_K = \prod_{j=1}^{d_{\triangleleft}(G)} D_K(j).$$

Conjecture 1.4 suggests that for every $1 \leq j \leq d_{\triangleleft}(G)$, the chances that $D_K(j)$ is a prime asymptotically equal the chances that a squarefree number is a prime, and that these events are asymptotically independent over j .

Remark 1.5. To elaborate on the last point, it is possible to give a localized version of Conjecture 1.4 where we only sum over those K in $\mathcal{E}^C(G; X)$ with $D_K(j)$ having order of magnitude X_j for every $1 \leq j \leq d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ where $X_j \rightarrow \infty$ are real numbers whose product is X . In such a version we would replace $\Pi_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)}(X)$ with the product over $1 \leq j \leq d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ of the odds that a squarefree number with order of magnitude X_j is a prime number, which is $\frac{\zeta(2)}{\log X_j}$ where ζ is the Riemann zeta function. We insist that each $X_j \rightarrow \infty$ (and not just their product) because if some X_j does not grow, then (at least) one of the others is substantially more likely to be a prime as it is coprime to X_j by definition.

The reason we expect the appearance of an arithmetic correction factor $\delta^{G,C}$ is that, for a given prime number r , the probability that r divides D_K for a uniformly random $K \in \mathcal{E}^C(G; X)$ may not quite converge to $1/r$ (but perhaps to a different value) as $X \rightarrow \infty$. Heuristics of analytic number theory would then suggest that $\delta^{G,C}$ is a product over all the primes r of certain expressions involving these limiting probabilities.

The odds that a uniformly random positive squarefree integer less than X with exactly $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ prime factors (which models D_K for a random $K \in \mathcal{E}^C(G; X)$ with $|\text{ram}(K)| = d_{\triangleleft}(G)$) is coprime to $|G|$ approach 1 as $X \rightarrow \infty$. This justifies (to some extent) our avoidance of wild ramification throughout, since even if we were to include wildly ramified extension in our count, there would be no apparent reason to change the conjectured asymptotic.

Remark 1.6. It is also possible to state a version of Conjecture 1.4 for $\mathcal{E}(G; X)$ in place of $\mathcal{E}^C(G; X)$. Such a version (and its function field analog) may require more elaborate correction factors in place of $\delta^{G,C}$. We do not pursue this direction in the current work.

Additional motivation for Conjecture 1.4 comes from [BE11] suggesting (roughly speaking) that the Galois group over \mathbb{Q} of the maximal extension of \mathbb{Q} unramified away from a random set of primes of a given finite cardinality follows a certain distribution. Conjecture 1.4 can then be viewed as predicting the asymptotics of certain moments of such a distribution.

Conjecture 1.4 remains open for every nonabelian G , see [BM09, Section 4] for some progress. Speaking to its difficulty we note that even obtaining an asymptotic for $|\mathcal{E}(G; X)|$ is an open problem for most G . We refer to [KoPa21, Introduction] for a discussion of results on counting number fields with various Galois groups. Most often one bounds by X the discriminant of K rather than its radical D_K as we do here, see however [Wood10] for some advantages of working with D_K . The conjectures in [Mal04] and the heuristic arguments of [EV05] suggest that $|\mathcal{E}(G; X)| \sim \epsilon_G X \log^{\beta_G} X$ where ϵ_G is a positive real number and β_G is a positive integer.

It may also be interesting to consider summing over $K \in \mathcal{E}^C(G; X)$ some other functions of the number of ramified primes in place of the indicator function of this number being equal to $d_{\triangleleft}(G)$, as in Conjecture 1.4. For example, one may consider the Möbius function of D_K namely

$$\mu(D_K) = (-1)^{|\text{ram}(K)|}.$$

Conjecture 1.7. *As $X \rightarrow \infty$ we have*

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}^C(G; X)} (-1)^{|\text{ram}(K)|} = o(|\mathcal{E}^C(G; X)|).$$

The conjecture predicts that the number of ramified primes, for number fields $K \in \mathcal{E}^C(G; X)$, is even approximately as often as it is odd.

The reason for us to emphasize specifically the Möbius function is its close relation with the aforementioned indicator function from Conjecture 1.4. [BSS20] shows that, for every integer $m \geq 2$, there exists an S_m -extension K_m/\mathbb{Q} such that the number of primes of \mathbb{Q} ramified in K_m is at most 4, falling just a little short of the conjecture from [BM09] predicting the existence of an S_m -extension ramified at only $d_{\triangleleft}(S_m) = 1$ prime. The problem of finding such an extension, and the use of sieve theory in [BSS20], is subject to the parity barrier making it challenging to even produce an S_m -extension

ramified at an odd number of primes, let alone a single prime. A sieve is also employed in [TT20] to obtain a lower bound on the number of S_3 -extensions of \mathbb{Q} with no more than 3 ramified primes, and S_4 -extensions with no more than 8 ramified primes. Here, parity is one of the barriers to obtaining a lower bound of the right order of magnitude. Another application of sieve theory in this context is [BG09, Theorem 7.11] obtaining an upper bound in Conjecture 1.4 for $G = S_4$. Parity is one of the barriers to improving this upper bound. Because of this, and because of the ability to express the indicator function of the prime numbers using the Möbius function, we view Conjecture 1.7 as a step toward Conjecture 1.4.

We would like to state analogs over $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ of Conjecture 1.4 and Conjecture 1.7. For that, we recall that the norm of a nonzero polynomial $D \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is given by $|D| = |\mathbb{F}_q[T]/(D)| = q^{\deg D}$. For a finite extension $K/\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ we put

$$\text{ram}(K) = \{P \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] : P \text{ is a monic irreducible polynomial ramified in } K\}, \quad D_K = \prod_{P \in \text{ram}(K)} P.$$

Our analogs over $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ will be modeled on localized versions of Conjecture 1.4 and Conjecture 1.7, as discussed in Remark 1.5. To state these analogs, we need more notation.

Definition 1.8. Let p be a prime number not dividing $|G|$, let q be a power of p , and let $n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)}$ be positive integers. Let $\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)})$ be the family of regular G -extensions K of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ split completely at ∞ and satisfying the following two conditions.

- Every generator of each nontrivial inertia subgroup of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}_q(T))$ lies in C .
- For $1 \leq j \leq d_{\triangleleft}(G)$ let $D_K(j)$ be the product of all the $P \in \text{ram}(K)$ for which the generators of the inertia subgroups of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}_q(T))$ for primes of K lying over P belong to C_j . Then

$$\deg D_K(j) = n_j.$$

For every $K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)})$ we have

$$D_K = \prod_{j=1}^{d_{\triangleleft}(G)} D_K(j).$$

As in the number field case, the family $\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)})$ is finite. [ETW17] provides upper bounds of the (conjecturally) right order of magnitude on $|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)})|$ for all q larger than a certain quantity depending on C . In case $C \cap H$ is either empty or a single conjugacy class of H for every subgroup H of G , near-optimal upper and lower bounds on $|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n)|$ are obtained in [EVW16].

We also recall that the zeta function of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is given for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\zeta_q(s) = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \\ f \text{ is monic}}} |f|^{-s} = \frac{1}{1 - q^{1-s}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Conjecture 1.9. Fix a prime power q coprime to $|G|$. Then there exists a positive real number $\delta_q^{G,C}$ such that as $n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)} \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)})} \mathbf{1}_{|\text{ram}(K)|=d_{\triangleleft}(G)} \sim \delta_q^{G,C} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d_{\triangleleft}(G)} \frac{\zeta_q(2)}{n_j} \cdot |\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)})|.$$

Moreover, as soon as at least one of the n_j tends to ∞ we have

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)})} (-1)^{|\text{ram}(K)|} = o(|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_{\triangleleft}(G)})|).$$

The factor $\frac{\zeta_q(2)}{n_j} = \frac{q}{(q-1)n_j}$ is (a good approximation for) the probability that a uniformly random monic squarefree polynomial of degree $n_j \geq 2$ over \mathbb{F}_q is irreducible.

Using the (very) special cases of Schinzel's Hypothesis H and the Chowla conjecture established in [SS22], it is perhaps possible to make partial progress on Conjecture 1.9 for certain groups. It is however not clear to us how to make additional (or significant) progress on Conjecture 1.9, going beyond what's known about Conjecture 1.4.

In this paper we prove a large finite field version of Conjecture 1.9. In the large finite field regime, instead of fixing q and taking the n_j to ∞ , we fix the n_j and take q to ∞ . One indication that this regime is more tractable is given by [LWZB19, Proof of Theorem 1.4] that obtains (among other things) the leading term of the asymptotic for $|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)})|$. Another indication of the tractability of the large finite field regime is given by the resolution (in this setting) of very difficult problems in analytic number theory, such as Schinzel's Hypothesis H, see [Ent21] and references therein.

We have $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_q(2) = 1$, and we believe that the arithmetic correction constants $\delta_q^{G,C}$ also converge to 1 as $q \rightarrow \infty$. This belief is based in part on the convergence to 1 of various singular series constants over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$, see also [Ent21]. Our main result provides further evidence for this belief.

Theorem 1.10. *Fix $n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)}$ such that $n_j > |C_j|$ for some $1 \leq j \leq d_\triangleleft(G)$. Then as $q \rightarrow \infty$ along prime powers coprime to $|G|$ we have*

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)})} (-1)^{|\text{ram}(K)|} = o(|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)})|).$$

Fix $n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)}$ large enough. Then as $q \rightarrow \infty$ along prime powers coprime to $|G|$ we have

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)})} \mathbf{1}_{|\text{ram}(K)|=d_\triangleleft(G)} \sim \frac{|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)})|}{n_1 \cdots n_{d_\triangleleft(G)}}.$$

By 'large enough' we mean larger than a certain function of $|G|$ (or in fact, a function of $|C|$). This dependence on $|G|$ obtained from our argument (or rather from arguments of Conway, Parker, Fried, and Völklein) is ineffective, but it is likely possible to give a less elementary form of the argument that is effective. We do not pursue this direction in the current work.

The error term with which we obtain the asymptotics in Theorem 1.10 is

$$O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)})|}{\sqrt{q}}\right)$$

where the implied constant depends $n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)}$. With the geometric setup in the proof of Theorem 1.10 we are well-positioned to explicate (and improve) this dependence by a study of Betti numbers, but we do not do it in this paper. We also do not attempt to improve the dependence of the error term on q by studying cohomology beyond the top degree.

Our proof of Theorem 1.10 allows us to obtain the frequency with which D_K attains any given factorization type (such as the product of $d_\triangleleft(G)$ irreducible polynomials). Put differently, we can obtain the asymptotic for the sum over $K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_{d_\triangleleft(G)})$ of any factorization function of D_K (such as $\mu(D_K)$). For a more detailed discussion of factorization types and factorization functions see [Gor20]. We can then see that the factors $D_K(j)$ of D_K indeed behave as random independent monic squarefree polynomials of degree n_j , as far as their factorizations into monic irreducible polynomials are concerned.

2. SKETCH OF A PROOF OF THEOREM 1.10

We describe a simplified variant of the argument we use to prove Theorem 1.10. In this sketch, for simplicity we will mostly restrict to the special case $d_\triangleleft(G) = 1$. Towards the very end of our sketch, we will comment on what the actual argument is, and mention some of the additional difficulties involved.

For a squarefree monic polynomial f of degree $n \geq 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q we denote by σ_f the conjugacy class in S_n of the permutation raising the roots of f to q th power. The lengths of the cycles of σ_f are the degrees of the irreducible factors of f . Let us restate this in a more geometric language.

Let Conf^n be the configuration space of n unordered distinct points on the affine line over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Viewing these n points as the roots of a monic squarefree polynomial of degree n over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, one endows Conf^n with the structure of a variety over \mathbb{F}_q , namely

$$\text{Conf}^n = \{(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) : \text{Discriminant}_T(a_0 + a_1T + \dots + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + T^n) \neq 0\}.$$

There exists a continuous homomorphism λ from the (profinite) étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Conf}^n)$ to S_n such that for every $f \in \text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (viewed as a monic squarefree polynomial of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q) the conjugacy class in S_n of the value of λ at the element $\text{Frob}_f \in \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Conf}^n)$ is σ_f .

In fact, this definition of Conf^n works over an arbitrary field, and slightly abusing notation we denote by $\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C})$ the configurations space of n complex numbers (equivalently, monic polynomials of degree n over \mathbb{C} with no repeated roots). This space is a manifold, and its fundamental group is the braid group on n strands, namely

$$\pi_1(\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C})) = B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} : \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ for } i > j + 1, \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \text{ for } i < n - 1 \rangle.$$

The counterpart of the homomorphism $\lambda: \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Conf}^n) \rightarrow S_n$ in this setting is the homomorphism from B_n to S_n that maps the generator σ_i to the transposition $(i \ i + 1) \in S_n$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

For every prime power q coprime to $|G|$ there exists an \mathbb{F}_q -variety $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n$ parametrizing G -covers of \mathbb{P}^1 branched at n points with monodromy of type C and a choice of a point over ∞ . To simplify matters in this sketch, we assume that $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n$ is geometrically connected (this assumption is not satisfied for many choices of G , C , and n , and when it fails to hold, the connected components of $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n$ are not necessarily defined over \mathbb{F}_q). We make the identification

$$\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n).$$

There is a finite étale map $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n \rightarrow \text{Conf}^n$ that on the level of \mathbb{F}_q -points sends every $K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n)$ to D_K . Therefore the distribution of the factorization type of D_K as K ranges over $\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n)$, or rather the distribution of σ_{D_K} among the conjugacy classes of S_n , is the distribution of $\lambda(\text{Frob}_{D_K})$ among the conjugacy classes of S_n as K ranges over $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

In view of our simplifying assumption, the variety $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n$ is a connected finite étale cover of Conf^n , so we can view $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n)$ as an open subgroup of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Conf}^n)$. By a version of Chebotarev's density theorem, the aforementioned distributions are governed by the image H of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n)$ in S_n under the homomorphism $\lambda: \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Conf}^n) \rightarrow S_n$. That is, for every conjugacy class Δ of S_n , as $q \rightarrow \infty$ along prime powers coprime to $|G|$ we have

$$|\{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n) : \sigma_{D_K} = \Delta\}| = |\{K \in \text{Hur}_{G,C}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) : \lambda(\text{Frob}_{D_K}) \in \Delta\}| \sim \frac{|\Delta \cap H|}{|H|} \cdot |\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n)|.$$

For our purposes, it would be sufficient to show that $H = S_n$ for n large enough. The subgroup H does not change if we work with étale fundamental groups over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ rather than over \mathbb{F}_q as we did so far.

The group B_n acts on C^n (the set of n -tuples of elements from C) from the right by

$$(2.1) \quad (c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_i, c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \dots, c_n)^{\sigma_i} = (c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, c_i^{c_{i+1}}, c_{i+2}, \dots, c_n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1,$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_n \in C$ and for group elements g, h we use the notation g^h for $h^{-1}gh$. For (an appropriately chosen) $s \in C^n$ whose entries generate G , the stabilizer of s in B_n is the counterpart of the subgroup $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n)$ of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Conf}^n)$. In particular, one can show that H is the image in S_n of the stabilizer of s in B_n . At this point we have reduced the arithmetic problem we wanted to solve to a group-theoretic question. This kind of reduction is by now standard, being employed frequently in the proofs of results in the large finite field limit, see for instance [Ent21], and [LWZB19].

The technical heart of this paper lies in showing that for n large enough we indeed have $H = S_n$. Recalling that the pure braid group PB_n is the kernel of the homomorphism from B_n to S_n , we see that the equality $H = S_n$ is equivalent to the transitivity of the action of PB_n on the orbit of s under the action of B_n . As a result, our work gives an additional justification for [BE11, Heuristic 4.7] suggesting the transitivity of a very similar action of a (profinite) group closely related to PB_n .

To state our technical result in greater generality, we need to recall a few additional notions.

Definition 2.1. A rack is a set X with a binary operation x^y for $x, y \in X$ such that for every $y \in X$ the function $x \mapsto x^y$ is a bijection from X to X , and for every $x, y, z \in X$ we have $(z^x)^y = (z^y)^{x^y}$. If in addition $x^x = x$ for all $x \in X$ then we say that X is a quandle.

As an example of a quandle we can take C with the binary operation of conjugation. For a rack X we have a right action of B_n on X^n using the formula in Eq. (2.1).

Definition 2.2. Let X be a finite rack. Define the (directed unlabeled) Schreier graph of X to be the graph whose set of vertices is X and whose set of edges is $\{(x, x^y) : (x, y) \in X \times X\}$. We say that X is connected if its Schreier graph is connected, and we call the connected components of the Schreier graph of X simply ‘the connected components of X ’.

The Schreier graph may contain loops. Two vertices in the Schreier graph are weakly connected if and only if they are strongly connected. The quandle C is an example of a connected rack, and the Schreier graph of C is the Schreier graph of the right action of G on C by conjugation, where C also plays the role of a generating set of G .

Definition 2.3. Let X be a finite rack. We say that a subset X_0 of X is a subrack if for all $x, y \in X_0$ we have $x^y \in X_0$. We say that elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ generate X if there is no proper subrack of X containing x_1, \dots, x_n .

Our technical result is ‘an $H = S_n$ theorem’, but in the generality of racks.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a connected finite rack. Let n be a sufficiently large positive integer, and let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ be an n -tuple of elements from X such that x_1, \dots, x_n generate X . Denote by $\text{Stab}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the stabilizer in B_n of (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Then the image H of $\text{Stab}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ under the homomorphism from B_n to S_n is either A_n or S_n . If moreover X is a quandle, then $H = S_n$.*

Example 4.38 shows that it is necessary to distinguish between racks and quandles for that matter. For the possibility of extending Theorem 2.4 to biracks and to more general algebraic structures, see Remark 4.33.

We shall now briefly describe a proof strategy for Theorem 2.4. At first we prove in Proposition 3.3 a criterion for a subgroup of S_n to be either A_n or S_n - the subgroup has to be homogeneous (in the sense of Definition 3.2) of every possible degree. The proof of this criterion rests on a theorem of Jordan about permutation groups, and somewhat unexpectedly, on (a slightly strengthened form of) Bertrand’s postulate - the existence of a prime number between $n/2$ and n .

Let \mathcal{T}_2 be the trivial rack on two elements, and consider the rack $Z = X \times \mathcal{T}_2$. As we show in the proof of Theorem 4.32, in order to show that the subgroup H from Theorem 2.4 has the required homogeneity property, it suffices to show that certain elements in Z^n lie in the same orbit under the action of B_n in case their projections to X^n , and their projections to \mathcal{T}_2^n , lie in the same orbit. In Theorem 4.30 we show that this is indeed the case, even for a more general rack Y in place of \mathcal{T}_2 , assuming that Y satisfies certain natural (yet somewhat technical) conditions.

The problem of understanding the orbits of the action of B_n on Z^n in case Z is a union of conjugacy classes in a group has been addressed by several authors including Conway, Parker, Fried, and Völklein, see [Wood21, Theorem 3.1]. In Section 4.1 we recast their arguments in the generality of racks, and this leads us to a proof of Theorem 4.30. What remains is to check that the conditions of Theorem 4.30 are satisfied in case $Y = \mathcal{T}_2$. This is done in Proposition 4.26 that deals with commutators in the structure group of a rack, see Definition 4.14.

The proof of Theorem 2.4 just sketched, in particular Theorem 4.30, is potentially applicable to statistics in the large finite field limit of arithmetic functions arising from other racks, not necessarily directly related to factorization types or to counting covers of \mathbb{P}^1 with certain properties. More precisely, Theorem 4.30 could be helpful for studying correlation sums of arithmetic functions associated to X and to Y . We do not elaborate here on the possibility of associating an arithmetic function to a rack, or to more general algebraic structures.

A downside of this proof of Theorem 2.4, is that even if the arguments of Conway–Parker–Fried–Völklein will be made effective, the resulting dependence of n on $|X|$ will be suboptimal. We have therefore included in this paper also a more direct proof of the quandle case of Theorem 2.4 which is likely to give a better dependence of n on $|X|$. In this proof, a different criterion for a permutation group to be S_n is used, based on invariable generation - see Proposition 3.5.

We also include two effective forms of Theorem 2.4 in two special cases. In the first special case X is a (certain conjugacy class in a) finite simple group G . In this case we are only able to show that H contains an n -cycle, and even that under an additional simplification - we consider the action of B_n on $G \backslash X^n$ rather than on X^n , see Corollary 4.46. This additional simplification means that as an application, we can count G -extensions of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ unramified at ∞ rather than split completely at ∞ . Since we only know that H contains an n -cycle (and not that $H = S_n$), we do not know exactly how often D_K is irreducible, but we should be able to show that this happens with positive probability as $q \rightarrow \infty$ along prime powers coprime to $|G|$. For the second special case see Proposition 4.40. These results are in the spirit of [Chen20] counting connected components of generalized Hurwitz spaces where we do not fix G and let n grow, but rather vary G in a certain family of finite groups while n remains reasonably small.

Our proof of Theorem 1.10 is given in Section 5 and it does not quite proceed by invoking Chebotarev’s theorem as in this sketch, rather it adapts a proof of Chebotarev’s theorem to the special case at hand by expressing the indicator functions of conjugacy classes as linear combinations of complex characters. Put differently, we interpret certain factorization functions (such as $\mu(D_K)$) as trace functions of sheaves on $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^n$, and apply the Grothendieck–Lefschetz fixed-point formula in conjunction with Deligne’s Riemann Hypothesis to estimate the sums of these trace functions. The advantage of repeating a proof of Chebotarev’s theorem (over a use of the theorem as a black box) is that further progress on some of the function field problems mentioned in the introduction is thus reduced to questions on the cohomology of local systems on Conf^n .

In order to remove the assumption $d_{\triangleleft}(G) = 1$ and deal with an arbitrary nontrivial finite group G , we prove in Theorem 4.32 and Corollary 4.37 a generalization of Theorem 2.4 to finite racks with $k \geq 1$ connected components. For this generalization, instead of showing that a certain subgroup of a symmetric group is large, we need to consider subgroups of a direct product of symmetric (and alternating) groups. Building on [BSGKS20] and on Goursat’s Lemma, we provide in Lemma 3.6 a criterion for a subgroup of a direct product of groups to be the whole group, valid under certain assumptions on the groups in the product.

3. GROUPS AND THEIR ACTIONS

3.1. Braid Group and its Action on Presentations. Let F_n be the free group on the letters x_1, \dots, x_n . We can view B_n as the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ generated by the automorphisms

$$\sigma_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} x_j & j \notin \{i, i+1\} \\ x_{i+1} & j = i \\ x_i^{x_{i+1}} & j = i+1, \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Let $C \subseteq G$ be a generating set of G which is a disjoint union of some conjugacy classes C_1, \dots, C_k of G . Let $\text{Mor}^C(F_n, G)$ be the collection of all homomorphisms $\theta: F_n \rightarrow G$ with $\theta(x_1), \dots, \theta(x_n) \in C$, and put $\text{Mor}(F_n, G) = \text{Mor}^G(F_n, G)$. The group $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ acts on $\text{Mor}(F_n, G)$ from the right by precomposition,

namely

$$\theta^\phi = \theta \circ \phi, \quad \theta \in \text{Mor}(F_n, G), \quad \phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n).$$

Restricting this action to B_n , we also get a right action of B_n on the subset $\text{Mor}^C(F_n, G)$ of $\text{Mor}(F_n, G)$.

Let n_1, \dots, n_k be nonnegative integers such that $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$. We further get an action of B_n on the subsets

$$\text{Sur}^C(F_n, G) = \{\theta \in \text{Mor}^C(F_n, G) : \theta \text{ is surjective}\}, \quad \text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G) = \{\theta \in \text{Sur}^C(F_n, G) : \theta(x_1) \cdots \theta(x_n) = 1\},$$

$$\text{Sur}^C(F_n, G; n_1, \dots, n_k) = \{\theta \in \text{Sur}^C(F_n, G) : |\{1 \leq i \leq n : \theta(x_i) \in C_j\}| = n_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k\},$$

$$\text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G; n_1, \dots, n_k) = \{\theta \in \text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G) : |\{1 \leq i \leq n : \theta(x_i) \in C_j\}| = n_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

We will simply write $\text{Sur}(F_n, G)$ for $\text{Sur}^G(F_n, G)$ and $\text{Sur}_1(F_n, G)$ for $\text{Sur}_1^G(F_n, G)$.

We identify $\text{Mor}(F_n, G)$ with G^n by sending $\theta \in \text{Mor}(F_n, G)$ to $(\theta(x_1), \dots, \theta(x_n)) \in G^n$, so that $\text{Mor}^C(F_n, G)$ is identified with C^n . Every $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ gives us the n words $w_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \phi(x_i) \in F_n$ in the letters x_1, \dots, x_n . Given an n -tuple $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$, the action of ϕ on it is

$$(g_1, \dots, g_n)^\phi = (w_1(g_1, \dots, g_n), \dots, w_n(g_1, \dots, g_n)).$$

In case $\phi = \sigma_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ is one of our generators of B_n , we recover Eq. (2.1).

With this identification we also have $\text{Sur}^C(F_n, G) = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in C^n : \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle = G\}$ and

$$\text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G) = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in C^n : \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle = G, g_1 \cdots g_n = 1\}.$$

Moreover, we identify $\text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G; n_1, \dots, n_k)$ with

$$\{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in C^n : \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle = G, g_1 \cdots g_n = 1, |\{1 \leq i \leq n : g_i \in C_j\}| = n_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

For disjoint subsets $D_j \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|D_j| = n_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, we make the identification

$$\{\sigma \in S_n : \sigma(D_j) = D_j \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq k\} = S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}.$$

Often we take $D_j = \{n_1 + \dots + n_{j-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_j\}$. We denote by B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} the inverse image of $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$ under the homomorphism from B_n to S_n . Sometimes B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} is called a colored braid group (with k colors).

For every $1 \leq m < n$, we identify the subgroup of B_n generated by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}, \sigma_{m+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ with $B_m \times B_{n-m}$.

3.2. Permutation Groups. Let Γ be a group acting on a set S from the right. We say that $B \subseteq S$ is a block of Γ if for every $g \in \Gamma$ either $B^g = B$ or $B^g \cap B = \emptyset$. We call $H = \{g \in \Gamma : B^g = B\}$ the stabilizer of the block, and note that it acts on B .

Proposition 3.1. *The natural map $B/H \rightarrow S/\Gamma$ is injective, so in case B meets every orbit of Γ this map is bijective.*

Proof. Let $b_1, b_2 \in B$ be representatives for orbits under the action of H whose images in S/Γ coincide. This means that there exists $g \in \Gamma$ with $b_1^g = b_2$. In particular, $B^g \cap B \neq \emptyset$ so $g \in H$ since B is a block of Γ . It follows that b_1 and b_2 are in the same orbit under the action of H so the required injectivity is established. \square

Definition 3.2. Let $k \leq n$ be nonnegative integers. We say that a subgroup H of the symmetric group S_n is k -homogeneous if the action of H on the set of all k -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is transitive.

Proposition 3.3. *Let H be an $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -homogenous subgroup of S_n . Then either $H = A_n$ or $H = S_n$.*

Proof. For small n this can be verified by hand. For n large enough, we can find a prime number p satisfying $(n+1)/2 < p \leq n-3$. The stabilizer of $\{1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ in the action of S_n on the set of all

$\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is the subgroup $S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \times S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. The $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -homogeneity of H is tantamount to the equality $H \cdot (S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \times S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}) = S_n$ of subsets of S_n . Therefore

$$n! = |S_n| = |H \cdot (S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \times S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})| = \frac{|H| \cdot |S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \times S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}|}{|H \cap (S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \times S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})|} = \frac{|H| \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor! \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor!}{|H \cap (S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \times S_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})|}$$

so $n!$ divides $|H| \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor! \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor!$ hence p divides this number as well.

Our choice of p guarantees that p divides $|H|$. By Cauchy's theorem, H contains an element of order p . An element of order p in S_n is a product of p -cycles, but $p > n/2$ so in our case this element is necessarily a p -cycle. It is readily checked that an $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -homogeneous subgroup of S_n is transitive (equivalently, 1-homogeneous) and moreover primitive (or even 2-homogeneous). By a theorem of Jordan, the only primitive subgroups of S_n that contain a p -cycle for a prime number $p \leq n-3$ are A_n and S_n . We conclude that either $H = A_n$ or $H = S_n$ as required. \square

Definition 3.4. Let I be an indexing set, and let $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ be subgroups of a group H . We say that these subgroups invariably generate H if for every choice of elements $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ from H , the conjugate subgroups $\{H_i^{\sigma_i}\}_{i \in I}$ generate the group H .

For any fixed $i \in I$ in the above definition we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\sigma_i = 1$.

Proposition 3.5. Let n, k be positive integers with $n > 2k$, and let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a permutation all of whose cycles are of lengths exceeding k . Then the subgroups S_{n-k} and $\langle \sigma \rangle$ invariably generate S_n .

Proof. We view S_{n-k} as the group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, n-k\}$ in S_n fixing each element of $\{n-k+1, \dots, n\}$. Denote by H the subgroup of S_n generated by S_{n-k} and a conjugate ρ of σ . We need to show that $H = S_n$. First we claim that H acts transitively on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We take $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and our task is to show that it lies in the orbit of 1 under the action of H . If $j \in \{1, \dots, n-k\}$ this is clear since H contains S_{n-k} , so we assume that $j \in \{n-k+1, \dots, n\}$. Since the cycle of ρ in which j lies is of length more than k , it contains an element from $\{1, \dots, n-k\}$. As all the powers of ρ lie in H , we conclude that j is indeed in the orbit of 1 under the action of H , as required for transitivity.

Next, we claim that H is primitive. Toward a contradiction, suppose that $\{1, \dots, n\}$ can be partitioned into disjoint blocks for H with at least two distinct blocks, each block of size at least 2. As $n-k > n/2$ by assumption, and the size of every block is a proper divisor of n , we conclude that $\{1, \dots, n-k\}$ is not contained in a single block, and some block B meets $\{1, \dots, n-k\}$ at two distinct elements at least, say i and j . Since $\{1, \dots, n-k\} \not\subseteq B$, we can find $\tau \in S_{n-k}$ with $\tau(i) = i \in B$ and $\tau(j) \notin B$. We see that $\tau \in H$, that $\tau B \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and that $\tau(B) \neq B$. This contradicts our assumption that B is a block for H , and concludes the proof of primitivity.

As $n-k > k \geq 1$, there exists a transposition in S_{n-k} , so H contains a transposition. By a theorem of Jordan, the only primitive subgroup of S_n that contains a transposition is S_n itself so $H = S_n$ as required. \square

3.3. Direct Products of Groups.

Lemma 3.6. Let G_1, \dots, G_n be groups such that for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ either $G_i \cong G_j$ or G_i and G_j do not have nonabelian simple isomorphic quotients. Put $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ and let H be a subgroup of G satisfying the following three conditions.

- The restriction of the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$ to H is surjective.
- The subgroup H projects onto G_i for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- The subgroup H projects onto $G_i \times G_j$ for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ with $G_i \cong G_j$.

Then $H = G$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_r$ be all the distinct isomorphism types appearing among the groups G_1, \dots, G_n . Then $G \cong \mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{G}_r^{m_r}$ where $m_i = |\{1 \leq j \leq n : G_j \cong \mathcal{G}_i\}|$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. We claim that H projects onto $\mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. Indeed, in case $m_i = 1$ this follows from the second condition

that H satisfies by assumption. In case $m_i \geq 2$ this follows from [BSGKS20, Lemma 6.6] applied to the projection of H to $\mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$, using the first and the third condition that H satisfies. The claim is thus established.

We prove by induction on $1 \leq i \leq r$ that H projects onto $\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$. The base case $i = 1$ follows from the claim established above. For $i > 1$ we apply Goursat's Lemma to the projection H_i of H in $(\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}}) \times \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$. Since H_i projects onto both $\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}}$ by induction, and onto $\mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$ by the aforementioned claim, there exists a group K and surjective homomorphisms $\varphi: \mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} \rightarrow K$, $\psi: \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i} \rightarrow K$ such that $H_i = (\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}}) \times_K \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$. We claim that K is trivial.

Toward a contradiction, suppose that K admits a simple quotient S . Then we have surjections $\overline{\varphi}: \mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} \rightarrow S$ and $\overline{\psi}: \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i} \rightarrow S$. Since S is simple, and $\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\psi}$ map normal subgroups to normal subgroups, we see that S is a quotient of \mathcal{G}_t for some $1 \leq t \leq i-1$ and a quotient of \mathcal{G}_i because the direct factors are normal subgroups that generate the direct product. As $\mathcal{G}_t \not\cong \mathcal{G}_i$, our initial assumption implies that S is abelian. We note that H_i is contained in the proper subgroup $\overline{H}_i = (\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}}) \times_S \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$ of $\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$. Since S is abelian, this subgroup \overline{H}_i contains the commutator subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$. It follows that the restriction of the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i} \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i})^{\text{ab}}$ to \overline{H}_i , and therefore also to H_i , is not surjective. This contradicts the first condition that H satisfies, and thus proves the claim that $K = \{1\}$.

We conclude that $H_i = (\mathcal{G}_1^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}}) \times \mathcal{G}_i^{m_i}$ so our induction is complete. Plugging $i = r$ we get that $H = G$, as required. \square

Corollary 3.7. *For every $1 \leq i \leq r$ let n_i be an integer for which*

$$n_i \geq 7, \quad \binom{n_i}{\lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor} > 2^r.$$

Let H be a subgroup of $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_r}$ such that for every choice of pairs (X_i, Y_i) of subsets

$$X_i, Y_i \subseteq \{n_1 + \cdots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \cdots + n_i\}, \quad |X_i| = |Y_i| = \lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor,$$

there exists an $h \in H$ for which $h(X_i) = Y_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then H contains $A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_r}$.

Remark 3.8. The assumption that n_1, \dots, n_r are large enough is possibly unnecessary, but is satisfied in our applications, so we include it because it facilitates obtaining Corollary 3.7 as a consequence of Lemma 3.6.

Proof. Put $K = H \cap (A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_r})$. We need to show that $K = A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_r}$ and we will do this by invoking Lemma 3.6 whose assumptions we shall verify now. The first assumption is met because the groups A_n for $n \geq 5$ are nonabelian pairwise nonisomorphic simple groups (and the groups A_n for $n < 5$ do not have nonabelian simple quotients). We check next that K satisfies the three conditions in Lemma 3.6.

The first condition is satisfied because the abelianization of $A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_r}$ is trivial as $n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 5$ by assumption. To check the second condition we start by fixing $1 \leq i \leq r$ and noting that in view of our assumptions on H and Proposition 3.3, the projection H_i of H to S_{n_i} contains A_{n_i} . Since

$$H/K = H/(H \cap (A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_r})) \cong H \cdot (A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_r})/A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_r} \leq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$$

denoting by K_i the projection of K to S_{n_i} we see that H_i/K_i is an elementary abelian 2-group. We conclude that K_i contains A_{n_i} so the second condition is indeed satisfied.

To check the third condition, we take $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ with $n_i = n_j$, and denote by $K_{i,j}$ the projection of K to $A_{n_i} \times A_{n_j}$. As $n_i = n_j$ the function

$$f: \{n_1 + \cdots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \cdots + n_i\} \rightarrow \{n_1 + \cdots + n_{j-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \cdots + n_j\},$$

given by

$$f(x) = x + n_{i+1} + \cdots + n_j = x + n_i + \cdots + n_{j-1}$$

is a bijection. This bijection will be silently used in what follows to identify the group S_{n_i} with the group S_{n_j} (and the group A_{n_i} with the group A_{n_j}).

Suppose toward a contradiction that $K_{i,j}$ is a proper subgroup of $A_{n_i} \times A_{n_j}$. In view of the second condition verified above, the subgroup $K_{i,j}$ projects onto both A_{n_i} and A_{n_j} so since these two groups are simple, Goursat's Lemma tells us that $K_{i,j} = \{(\sigma, \psi(\sigma)) : \sigma \in A_{n_i}\}$ for some automorphism $\psi: A_{n_i} \rightarrow A_{n_j}$. Our assumption that $n_i \geq 7$ implies that $\text{Aut}(A_{n_i}) = S_{n_i}$, namely there exists $\tau \in S_{n_i}$ for which $K_{i,j} = \{(\sigma, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}) : \sigma \in A_{n_i}\}$.

We pick an $\lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor$ -element subset X of $\{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_i\}$, for instance

$$X = \{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + \lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor\}$$

and consider the orbit of $(X, \tau(f(X)))$ under the action of $K_{i,j}$. On the one hand, this orbit is $\{(\sigma(X), \tau(\sigma(f(X)))) : \sigma \in A_{n_i}\}$ so its length is at most

$$|\{\sigma(X) : \sigma \in A_{n_i}\}| \leq \binom{n_i}{\lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor}.$$

On the other hand, in view of our initial assumption on H , the orbit of $(X, \tau(f(X)))$ under the action of the projection $H_{i,j}$ of H to $S_{n_i} \times S_{n_j}$ has length $\binom{n_i}{\lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor}^2$. Since $K_{i,j}$ is a normal subgroup of $H_{i,j}$ with $[H_{i,j} : K_{i,j}] \leq [H : K] \leq 2^r$, the length of the orbit of $(X, \tau(f(X)))$ under the action of $K_{i,j}$ is at least $2^{-r} \binom{n_i}{\lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor}^2$. We conclude that

$$2^{-r} \binom{n_i}{\lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor}^2 \leq \binom{n_i}{\lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor}$$

so $\binom{n_i}{\lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor} \leq 2^r$ contrary to our initial assumption. We have thus shown that $K_{i,j} = A_{n_i} \times A_{n_j}$. We can therefore invoke Lemma 3.6 and conclude that $K = A_{n_1} \times \dots \times A_{n_r}$ as required. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let n_1, \dots, n_r, k be integers with $\min\{n_1, \dots, n_r\} > 2k > 0$, and let $g \in S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_r}$ such that the lengths of the cycles of the projection of g to S_{n_i} all exceed k for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then the subgroups $S_{n_1-k} \times \dots \times S_{n_r-k}$ and $\langle g \rangle$ invariably generate $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_r}$.*

Proof. Denote by H the subgroup of $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_r}$ generated by $S_{n_1-k} \times \dots \times S_{n_r-k}$ and a conjugate ρ of g . In order to show that $H = S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_r}$, we will invoke Lemma 3.6 whose assumptions we verify next. The first assumption is satisfied because for every positive integer n , the group S_n does not have a nonabelian simple quotient. We shall now check that H satisfies the three conditions in Lemma 3.6.

For the first condition, we need to check the surjectivity of the restriction of the sign homomorphism $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_r} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$ to H . This follows at once from the surjectivity of the restriction of this homomorphism to $S_{n_1-k} \times \dots \times S_{n_r-k}$, a consequence of the fact that $n_i - k > k \geq 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. The second condition is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.5.

To check the third condition, we take $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ (with $n_i = n_j$), and denote by $H_{i,j}$ the projection of H to $S_{n_i} \times S_{n_j}$. Suppose toward a contradiction that $H_{i,j}$ is a proper subgroup of $S_{n_i} \times S_{n_j}$. In view of the second condition verified above, the subgroup $H_{i,j}$ projects onto both S_{n_i} and S_{n_j} so Goursat's Lemma provides us with a nontrivial group K and surjective homomorphisms $\varphi: S_{n_i} \rightarrow K$, $\psi: S_{n_j} \rightarrow K$ such that $H_{i,j} = S_{n_i} \times_K S_{n_j}$. Since K is (isomorphic to) a nontrivial quotient of a symmetric group, its abelianization is necessarily nontrivial, so $S_{n_i} \times_{K^{\text{ab}}} S_{n_j}$ is a proper subgroup of $S_{n_i} \times S_{n_j}$ that contains both $H_{i,j}$ and the commutator subgroup of $S_{n_i} \times S_{n_j}$. It follows that the restriction to $H_{i,j}$ of the natural homomorphism $S_{n_i} \times S_{n_j} \rightarrow (S_{n_i} \times S_{n_j})^{\text{ab}}$ is not surjective. This contradicts the first condition verified above, namely the surjectivity of the map $H \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$. We have thus shown that $H_{i,j} = S_{n_i} \times S_{n_j}$ so the third condition is verified.

We can therefore invoke Lemma 3.6 and conclude that $H = S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_r}$ as required. \square

4. BRAIDED SETS

Definition 4.1. A nonempty set X equipped with a bijection $R: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is said to be a braided set if

$$(R \times \text{id}_X) \circ (\text{id}_X \times R) \circ (R \times \text{id}_X) = (\text{id}_X \times R) \circ (R \times \text{id}_X) \circ (\text{id}_X \times R)$$

as maps from $X \times X \times X$ to $X \times X \times X$.

This relation is sometimes called the set-theoretic Yang–Baxter equation, and the braided set X is sometimes said to be a solution of this equation.

Definition 4.2. We denote by $\pi_1: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_2: X \times X \rightarrow X$ the projections. In case the function $\pi_2 \circ R$ is a bijection on each fiber of π_2 , and the function $\pi_1 \circ R$ is a bijection on each fiber of π_1 , we say that X is nondegenerate.

A nondegenerate braided set is sometimes also called a birack.

Definition 4.3. Given braided sets (X, R) and (X', R') , we say that a function $f: X \rightarrow X'$ is a morphism (of braided sets) if $(f \times f)(R(x, y)) = R'(f(x), f(y))$.

We obtain the category of braided sets. Products exist in this category.

Definition 4.4. We say that a braided set X is trivial if $R(x, y) = (y, x)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$. A braided set X will be called squarefree if $R(x, x) = (x, x)$ for all $x \in X$.

For a positive integer k , we denote the trivial braided set of cardinality k by \mathcal{T}_k . In the sequel, the trivial braided set $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ will play an important role.

Definition 4.5. A braided set (X, R) is said to be self-distributive if for all $x, y \in X$ we have $\pi_1(R(x, y)) = y$. In this case, we use the notation $x^y = \pi_2(R(x, y))$.

For a self-distributive braided set X , and every $y \in X$, the function $x \mapsto x^y$ is a bijection from X to X , so a self-distributive braided set is necessarily nondegenerate. The category of self-distributive braided sets is therefore equivalent to the category of racks, and the subcategory of squarefree self-distributive braided sets is equivalent to the subcategory of quandles.

Definition 4.6. We say that a subset $X_0 \subseteq X$ of a braided set X is a braided subset if R restricts to a bijection from $X_0 \times X_0$ to $X_0 \times X_0$ or equivalently, if X_0 is a braided set and the inclusion $X_0 \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of braided sets. We write $X_0 \leq X$ to indicate that X_0 is a braided subset of X . Given an indexing set I , and elements $x_i \in X$ for every $i \in I$, we denote by

$$\langle x_i \rangle_{i \in I} = \bigcap_{\substack{X_0 \leq X \\ x_i \in X_0 \text{ for every } i \in I}} X_0$$

the braided subset of X generated by all the x_i for $i \in I$.

In case X is a finite rack, this definition agrees with Definition 2.3.

Example 4.7. Let G be a group, and let C be a union of conjugacy classes of G . We endow C with the structure of a braided set by

$$R(x, y) = (y, x^y) = (y, y^{-1}xy), \quad x, y \in C.$$

This braided set is squarefree, self-distributive, and is trivial if and only if the subgroup of G generated by C is abelian.

Example 4.8. We denote by $\mathcal{N} = \{\eta, \xi\}$ the unique nontrivial self-distributive braided set on two elements. We have $\eta^\eta = \eta^\xi = \xi$ and $\xi^\xi = \xi^\eta = \eta$. This braided set is not squarefree.

The category of braided sets admits a (unique) final object - the trivial braided set \mathcal{T}_1 .

Definition 4.9. For a braided set (X, R) we denote by $\tau: X \rightarrow X_{\text{triv}}$ the morphism of braided sets characterized by the following universal property. The braided set X_{triv} is trivial, and for every trivial braided set Y , and every morphism $\gamma: X \rightarrow Y$, there exists a unique morphism $\eta: X_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow Y$ such that $\gamma = \eta \circ \tau$. We say that X_{triv} , or rather τ , is the trivialization of X .

Proposition 4.10. *A trivialization of a braided set X exists and is unique up to an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let \sim be the smallest equivalence relation on X such that for all $x, y, z, w \in X$ with

$$R(x, y) = (z, w)$$

we have $x \sim w$ and $y \sim z$. We let X_{triv} be the set of equivalence classes in X for \sim , and denote by $\tau: X \rightarrow X_{\text{triv}}$ the map taking an element to its equivalence class. One readily checks that τ is a morphism.

To check the universal property let Y be a trivial braided set, and let $\gamma: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. Since the braided sets X_{triv}, Y are trivial, and τ is surjective, we just need to find a function $\eta: X_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow Y$ with $\gamma = \eta \circ \tau$. For that, it suffices to check that for every $x, y \in X$ with $\tau(x) = \tau(y)$ we have $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$. This follows at once from the definition of \sim and the fact that γ is a morphism.

Uniqueness up to an isomorphism follows (as usual) from the universal property. \square

We call the equivalence classes appearing in the proof the connected components of X . These connected components are the fibers of the map $\tau: X \rightarrow X_{\text{triv}}$. In case X is a finite rack, this definition agrees with Definition 2.2.

Example 4.11. *For a disjoint union C of conjugacy classes C_1, \dots, C_k of a group G , such that C generates G , the trivialization of C is the map $C \rightarrow C_{\text{triv}} = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ sending each element to the conjugacy class in which it lies. The connected components of C as a braided set are C_1, \dots, C_k .*

Definition 4.12. For a braided set (X, R) , a positive integer n , and an integer $1 \leq i < n$ we let $\sigma_i \in B_n$ act from the right on the n -fold Cartesian product X^n of X by $\text{id}_{X^{i-1}} \times R \times \text{id}_{X^{n-i-1}}$ namely

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n)^{\sigma_i} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, R(x_i, x_{i+1}), x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n)$$

so we get a right action of B_n on X^n .

The association of X^n to X is a functor from the category of braided sets to the category of right actions of B_n . In case X is trivial, this action factors through the permutation action of S_n on X^n . In the special case where X is a group G , we recover the action of B_n on $\text{Mor}(F_n, G)$, and in case $X = C$ is a generating set for G that is stable under conjugation by the elements of G , we recover the action of B_n on $\text{Mor}^C(F_n, G)$.

Definition 4.13. We denote the coinvariants of the action of B_n on X^n , namely the collection of orbits, by X^n/B_n and consider the disjoint union

$$S_X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X^n/B_n.$$

Since the action of $B_m \times B_{n-m}$ on $X^m \times X^{n-m}$ is compatible with the natural inclusion $B_m \times B_{n-m} \hookrightarrow B_n$ and the identification $X^m \times X^{n-m} = X^n$, the set S_X endowed with the binary operation of concatenation of representatives of orbits is a semigroup. We call S_X the semigroup of coinvariants (or the structure semigroup) of the braided set X .

4.1. The Structure (Semi)group. In case our braided set X is self-distributive, the structure semigroup is given by the presentation

$$S_X = \langle X : xy = yx^y \text{ for all } (x, y) \in X^2 \rangle.$$

Definition 4.14. To a self-distributive braided set X we also functorially associate the group given by the same presentation

$$\Gamma_X = \langle X : y^{-1}xy = x^y \text{ for all } (x, y) \in X^2 \rangle.$$

This group is sometimes called the structure group of X .

The morphism of braided sets $\gamma_X: X \rightarrow \Gamma_X$ enjoys the following universal property. For every group G and a morphism of braided sets $f: X \rightarrow G$ there exists a unique homomorphism of groups $\varphi: \Gamma_X \rightarrow G$ such that $f = \varphi \circ \gamma_X$. At times we will abuse notation viewing elements of X as sitting inside Γ_X via γ_X (or even inside S_X).

We note that the natural homomorphism $\Gamma_X^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{X_{\text{triv}}}$ is an isomorphism. For a trivial braided set, the structure group is a free abelian group on the braided set.

Definition 4.15. We view the group of permutations of X as acting on X from the right. We then have a homomorphism from Γ_X to the group of permutations of X sending $y \in X$ to the permutation $x \mapsto x^y$. The image of this homomorphism will be denoted by $\text{Inn}(X)$.

One sometimes calls $\text{Inn}(X)$ the group of inner automorphisms of X . We get a right action of Γ_X (or equivalently, of $\text{Inn}(X)$) on X whose orbits are the connected components of X . The kernel of the homomorphism $\Gamma(X) \rightarrow \text{Inn}(X)$ is contained in the center of Γ_X . In particular, in case X is finite, the center of Γ_X is of finite index in Γ_X . In this case we denote for $x \in X$ by m_x the order of the image of x in $\text{Inn}(X)$. Then x^{m_x} lies in the center of S_X (and of Γ_X) and we put

$$z = \prod_{x \in X} x^{m_x} \in Z(S_X).$$

Proposition 4.16. *Let S be a semigroup and let $z \in Z(S)$. Then there exists a monoid $S[z^{-1}]$ and a morphism of semigroups $\varphi: S \rightarrow S[z^{-1}]$ with $\varphi(z)$ invertible in $S[z^{-1}]$ such that for every monoid M and a semigroup homomorphism $\psi: S \rightarrow M$ with $\psi(z)$ invertible, there exists a unique homomorphism of monoids $\theta: S[z^{-1}] \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\psi = \theta \circ \varphi$.*

Proof. Consider first the semigroup $\mathbb{N} \times S$ whose elements we write as $z^{-m}w$ for a nonnegative integer m , and $w \in S$. The product is given by

$$z^{-m}w \cdot z^{-n}v = z^{-(m+n)}wv, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad v, w \in S_X.$$

There is a natural homomorphism of semigroups $S \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times S$ sending $w \in S_X$ to $z^{-0}w$. We define an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{N} \times S$ by $z^{-m}w \sim z^{-n}v$ if there exists a positive integer r such that

$$z^{n+r}w = z^{m+r}v$$

in S . Since multiplication in $\mathbb{N} \times S$ descends to multiplication on the set of equivalence classes for \sim we can let $S[z^{-1}]$ be the quotient semigroup, and take φ to be the composition $S \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times S \rightarrow S[z^{-1}]$. We note that $z^{-1}z$ is the identity element of $S[z^{-1}]$ so $S[z^{-1}]$ is indeed a monoid. The inverse of $\varphi(z)$ in $S[z^{-1}]$ is given by $z^{-2}z$ so $\varphi(z)$ is indeed invertible. A routine check establishes the required universal property of $S[z^{-1}]$. \square

Proposition 4.17. *The natural homomorphism of monoids $S_X[z^{-1}] \rightarrow \Gamma_X$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We first show that the monoid $S_X[z^{-1}]$ is a group. Since $S_X[z^{-1}]$ is generated by X and $z^{-2}z$ it suffices to show that (the image in $S_X[z^{-1}]$ of) every $x \in X$ is invertible in $S_X[z^{-1}]$. Fix an $x \in X$. As $z^{-2}z$ and y^{m_y} lie in the center of $S_X[z^{-1}]$ for every $y \in X$, we have

$$x \cdot x^{m_x-1} \prod_{y \in X \setminus \{x\}} y^{m_y} \cdot z^{-2}z = 1 = x^{m_x-1} \prod_{y \in X \setminus \{x\}} y^{m_y} \cdot z^{-2}z \cdot x$$

so x is indeed invertible in $S_X[z^{-1}]$. We have thus shown that $S_X[z^{-1}]$ is a group.

Since $S_X[z^{-1}]$ is a group, the natural map $X \rightarrow S_X[z^{-1}]$ is a morphism of braided sets. The universal property of Γ_X therefore provides us with a group homomorphism $\Gamma_X \rightarrow S_X[z^{-1}]$ which is seen to be the inverse of $S_X[z^{-1}] \rightarrow \Gamma_X$ by checking that this is the case on the image of X . \square

Definition 4.18. Let X be a finite self-distributive braided set, and let C_1, \dots, C_k be the connected components of X . For nonnegative integers n_1, \dots, n_k , and $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$, we put

$$X(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n : |\{1 \leq i \leq n : x_i \in C_j\}| = n_j \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq k\},$$

and consider those tuples that generate X as a braided set, namely we define

$$X^*(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X(n_1, \dots, n_k) : \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = X\}.$$

We define also $(C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})^* = (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k}) \cap X^*(n_1, \dots, n_k)$.

In case n_1, \dots, n_k are positive, the elements of a tuple in $X(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ generate X as a braided set if and only if they generate the group Γ_X , or equivalently the group $\text{Inn}(X)$. We note that $X^*(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is stable under the action of B_n , and that for a nonnegative integer N the set

$$S_X^*(N) = \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq N} X^*(n_1, \dots, n_k)/B_n$$

is an ideal (thus also a subsemigroup) of S_X .

Example 4.19. In case X is the disjoint union C of conjugacy classes C_1, \dots, C_k of a finite group G such that C generates G , and n_1, \dots, n_k are positive, we have $X^*(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \text{Sur}^C(F_n, G; n_1, \dots, n_k)$.

Proposition 4.20. The subset $C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k}$ of $X(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is a block for B_n and its stabilizer is B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} .

Proof. This follows from the fact that $C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k}$ is a fiber of the map

$$X(n_1, \dots, n_k) \rightarrow X_{\text{triv}}(n_1, \dots, n_k),$$

from the fact that this map is a morphism of sets with a right action of B_n , and from the fact that the action of B_n on $X_{\text{triv}}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ factors through the permutation action of S_n on $X_{\text{triv}}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$. \square

The set $(C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^* = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})^* : x_1 \cdots x_n = 1\}$ is therefore stable under the action of B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} .

Corollary 4.21. The natural map $C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k}/B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} \rightarrow X(n_1, \dots, n_k)/B_n$ is a bijection.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 4.20 because the orbit under the action of B_n of every element from $X(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ contains an element from $C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k}$. \square

In what follows, every time we say that a positive integer is large enough, we mean large enough compared to $|X|$ (and not to any additional quantity).

Proposition 4.22. For positive integers n_1, \dots, n_k large enough, for integers m_1, \dots, m_k , the integer $m = m_1 + \dots + m_k$, and $w \in X(m_1, \dots, m_k)/B_m$, the function

$$M_w: X^*(n_1, \dots, n_k)/B_n \rightarrow X^*(n_1 + m_1, \dots, n_k + m_k)/B_{n+m}, \quad M_w(v) = wv$$

of multiplication by w from the left is surjective.

Proof. Since composition of surjective functions is surjective, it suffices to treat the case $m = 1$, namely we assume that $w \in X$, so $w \in C_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$. Take a representative

$$s \in X^*(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_j + 1, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_k)$$

for an orbit under the action of B_{n+1} . As n_j is large enough, it follows from the pigeonhole principle that there exists an $x \in C_j$ that appears in s more than m_x times. We can therefore assume, without changing the orbit of s under the action of B_{n+1} , that the first $m_x + 1$ entries of s are all equal to x . In particular, we can write $s = x^{m_x} s'$ (the equality taking place in S_X) for some

$$s' \in X^*(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_j + 1 - m_x, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_k)/B_{n+1-m_x}.$$

Since x and w lie in the same connected component of X , there exists $g \in \text{Inn}(X)$ such that $x^g = w$. The entries of (a representative of) s' generate X , so these entries generate $\text{Inn}(X)$ as a group. In particular, we can write g as a product some of these entries and their inverses (allowing repetition). Therefore, in order to show that $s = w^{m_x} s'$ in S_X and deduce the required surjectivity, it suffices to observe that for every $y \in X$ that appears in (a representative of) s' , and every $x_1, \dots, x_\ell \in X$ for which $x_1 \cdots x_\ell \in Z(S_X)$, we have the equality $x_1 \cdots x_\ell s' = x_1^y \cdots x_\ell^y s'$ in S_X . \square

Corollary 4.23. *For every N large enough, and every $w \in S_X$, multiplication by w from the left is an injective function from $S_X^*(N)$ to itself.*

Proof. Since composition of injective functions is injective, it suffices to consider the case $w \in C_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$. In this case, it is enough to show that for integers $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq N$, the function

$$M_w: X^*(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_j, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_k)/B_n \rightarrow X^*(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_j + 1, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_k)/B_{n+1}$$

of multiplication by w from the left is injective. It follows from Proposition 4.22 that for large enough N , the function $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \mapsto |X^*(n_1, \dots, n_k)/B_n|$ is nonincreasing in each coordinate hence eventually constant because its values are positive integers. Therefore, for large enough N , the function M_w maps one finite set onto another finite set of the same cardinality. The required injectivity follows. \square

Theorem 4.24. *For every N large enough, the natural homomorphism of semigroups $S_X^*(N) \rightarrow \Gamma_X$ is injective.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 4.17, it suffices to show that the natural homomorphism of semigroups $S_X^*(N) \rightarrow S_X[z^{-1}]$ is injective. For that, let $u, v \in S_X^*(N)$ for which $z^r u = z^r v$ for some positive integer r . Invoking Corollary 4.23 with $w = z^r$, we get that $u = v$ so injectivity follows. \square

4.2. Products of Braided Sets.

Definition 4.25. Let X, Y be self-distributive braided sets. Then the group homomorphism

$$\Gamma_{X \times Y} \rightarrow \Gamma_X \times \Gamma_Y$$

(arising from the functoriality of the structure group) induces a homomorphism

$$\Gamma'_{X \times Y} \rightarrow \Gamma'_X \times \Gamma'_Y$$

on the commutator subgroups. In case the latter homomorphism is injective, we say that (X, Y) is a productive pair.

Proposition 4.26. *Let X be a self-distributive braided set. Then the pair (X, \mathcal{T}_2) is productive.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_2}$ is abelian, we need to show that the natural surjection $\pi: \Gamma'_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2} \rightarrow \Gamma'_X$ is an isomorphism. We will do so by constructing an inverse. The inclusion of the braided subset $X \times \{0\}$ into $X \times \mathcal{T}_2$ induces a group homomorphism $\psi_0: \Gamma_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_{X \times \{0\}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2}$. We then get a homomorphism $\psi'_0: \Gamma'_X \rightarrow \Gamma'_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2}$. Arguing in the same way with $X \times \{1\}$ in place of $X \times \{0\}$, we get a homomorphism $\psi'_1: \Gamma'_X \rightarrow \Gamma'_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2}$. We claim that these homomorphisms coincide, namely $\psi'_0 = \psi'_1$.

The commutator subgroup is generated by conjugates of commutators of elements in a generating set, so it suffices to check that $\psi'_0([x, y]^g) = \psi'_1([x, y]^g)$ for every $x, y \in X$ and $g \in \Gamma_X$. Equivalently, it is enough to show that $\psi_0([x, y]^{\psi_0(g)}) = \psi_1([x, y]^{\psi_1(g)})$. Since conjugation by $\psi_0(g)$ coincides with conjugation by $\psi_1(g)$, our task is to show that $[\psi_0(x), \psi_0(y)] = [\psi_1(x), \psi_1(y)]$. Indeed we have

$$(4.1) \quad (x, 0)(y, 0)(x, 0)^{-1}(y, 0)^{-1} = (x, 1)(y, 0)(x, 1)^{-1}(y, 0)^{-1} = (x, 1)(y, 1)(x, 1)^{-1}(y, 1)^{-1}.$$

We have thus proven our claim that $\psi'_0 = \psi'_1$. We henceforth denote this map by ψ' .

Now we claim that ψ' is an inverse of the natural surjection π . Since the inclusion of the braided set $X \times \{0\}$ into $X \times \mathcal{T}_2$ is a section of the projection $X \times \mathcal{T}_2 \rightarrow X$, it follows that $\pi \circ \psi'$ is the identity on Γ'_X . It remains to check that the homomorphism $\psi' \circ \pi$ is the identity on $\Gamma'_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2}$. It suffices to show that $\psi' \circ \pi$ acts as the identity on some generating set of $\Gamma'_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2}$. Since $X \times \mathcal{T}_2$ is a generating set for

$\Gamma_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2}$ which is stable under conjugation, the subgroup $\Gamma'_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2}$ is generated by commutators of elements in $X \times \mathcal{T}_2$. Therefore, it is enough to check that $\psi' \circ \pi$ acts as the identity on such commutators. This follows at once from Eq. (4.1). We have thus shown that ψ' and π are inverse to each other. \square

Corollary 4.27. *For a productive pair (X, Y) of self-distributive braided sets, the natural group homomorphism $\Gamma_{X \times Y} \rightarrow \Gamma_X \times \Gamma_Y \times \Gamma_{X \times Y}^{\text{ab}}$ is injective.*

Proof. Let a be an element in the kernel of our homomorphism. Since a maps to the identity in $\Gamma_{X \times Y}^{\text{ab}}$ we get that $a \in \Gamma'_{X \times Y}$. It follows from the definition of a productive pair that $a = 1$. We have thus shown that the kernel of our homomorphism is trivial, so our homomorphism is indeed injective. \square

Definition 4.28. We say that a pair of braided sets (X, Y) is synchronized if the natural surjection

$$(X \times Y)_{\text{triv}} \rightarrow X_{\text{triv}} \times Y_{\text{triv}}$$

is a bijection.

For every braided set X and every squarefree braided set Y , the pair (X, Y) is synchronized. In particular, for every braided set X , the pair (X, \mathcal{T}_2) is synchronized.

Corollary 4.29. *For a productive synchronized pair (X, Y) of self-distributive braided sets, the natural group homomorphism $\Gamma_{X \times Y} \rightarrow \Gamma_X \times \Gamma_Y \times \Gamma_{X_{\text{triv}} \times Y_{\text{triv}}}$ is injective.*

Proof. In view of Corollary 4.27, it suffices to check that the natural homomorphism from $\Gamma_{X \times Y}^{\text{ab}}$, which we identify with $\Gamma_{(X \times Y)_{\text{triv}}}$, to $\Gamma_{X_{\text{triv}} \times Y_{\text{triv}}}$ is injective. This follows at once from our assumption that the pair (X, Y) is synchronized. \square

Theorem 4.30. *Let (X, Y) be a productive synchronized pair of self-distributive braided sets. Let N be sufficiently large, and let $v, w \in S_{X \times Y}^*(N)$ satisfying the following three conditions.*

- *The projection of v to S_X coincides with the projection of w to S_X .*
- *The projection of v to S_Y coincides with the projection of w to S_Y .*
- *For every $t \in X_{\text{triv}} \times Y_{\text{triv}}$ the number of entries of v that project to t coincides with the number of entries of w that project to t .*

Then $v = w$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.24, it suffices to show that $v = w$ in $\Gamma_{X \times Y}$. In view of Corollary 4.29, it is enough to show that the images of v and w in each of the groups $\Gamma_X, \Gamma_Y, \Gamma_{X_{\text{triv}} \times Y_{\text{triv}}}$ agree. This is guaranteed by the three conditions that v and w satisfy. \square

4.3. Image of Stabilizer in S_n . As in Definition 4.18, let X be a finite self-distributive braided set, let C_1, \dots, C_k be the connected components of X , let n be a positive integer, and let $s = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. For $1 \leq j \leq k$ put $D_j = \{1 \leq i \leq n : x_i \in C_j\}$ and $n_j = |D_j|$. We will make the identification

$$\{\sigma \in S_n : \sigma(D_j) = D_j \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq k\} = S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}.$$

Recall that B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} is the inverse image of $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ under the homomorphism from B_n to S_n .

Corollary 4.31. *The image in S_n of the stabilizer in B_n of s is contained in $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$. In other words, the stabilizer of s in B_n is the stabilizer of s in B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} .*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.20. \square

Theorem 4.32. *Suppose that n_1, \dots, n_k are sufficiently large, and that $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = X$. Then the image in $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ of the stabilizer in B_n (equivalently, in B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) of s contains $A_{n_1} \times \dots \times A_{n_k}$.*

Proof. We invoke Corollary 3.7 so given $X_j, Y_j \subseteq D_j$ with $|X_j| = |Y_j| = \lfloor n_j/2 \rfloor$, we need to show that there exists $g \in B_n$ such that $s^g = s$ and $X_j^g = Y_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ put

$$u_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in X_j \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad v_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in Y_j \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Viewing $((x_1, u_1), \dots, (x_n, u_n))$ and $((x_1, v_1), \dots, (x_n, v_n))$ as elements of $(X \times \mathcal{T}_2)^n$, our task is to show that $((x_1, u_1), \dots, (x_n, u_n))^g = ((x_1, v_1), \dots, (x_n, v_n))$ for some $g \in B_n$.

Since the entries of s generate X , they also generate $\text{Inn}(X)$. As \mathcal{T}_2 is a trivial braided set, it follows that the entries of $((x_1, u_1), \dots, (x_n, u_n))$ generate $\text{Inn}(X \times \mathcal{T}_2)$, so these entries also generate $X \times \mathcal{T}_2$ because they map surjectively onto $(X \times \mathcal{T}_2)_{\text{triv}} = X_{\text{triv}} \times \mathcal{T}_2$. Similarly, the entries of $((x_1, v_1), \dots, (x_n, v_n))$ generate $X \times \mathcal{T}_2$. Therefore, we need to show that $((x_1, u_1), \dots, (x_n, u_n)) = ((x_1, v_1), \dots, (x_n, v_n))$ as elements in $S_{X \times \mathcal{T}_2}^*(\lfloor \min\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}/2 \rfloor)$.

By Proposition 4.26, the pair (X, \mathcal{T}_2) is productive, so we can resort to Theorem 4.30 once we check the three conditions therein. The first condition is satisfied because both $((x_1, u_1), \dots, (x_n, u_n))$ and $((x_1, v_1), \dots, (x_n, v_n))$ project to s in S_X . The second condition is satisfied because by assumption

$$|X_1| + \dots + |X_k| = |Y_1| + \dots + |Y_k|$$

so there exists $g \in B_n$ such that $(u_1, \dots, u_n)^g = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ as elements in \mathcal{T}_2^n . To see that the third condition is satisfied, we fix $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_2$. We have

$$|\{1 \leq i \leq n : x_i \in C_j, u_i = \lambda\}| = \begin{cases} |X_j| & \lambda = 1 \\ n_j - |X_j| & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

and similarly

$$|\{1 \leq i \leq n : x_i \in C_j, v_i = \lambda\}| = \begin{cases} |Y_j| & \lambda = 1 \\ n_j - |Y_j| & \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $|X_j| = |Y_j|$ by assumption, the third condition in Theorem 4.30 is indeed satisfied. \square

Remark 4.33. It is likely possible to extend Theorem 4.32 to nondegenerate (but not necessarily self-distributive) finite braided sets. To do this, one associates to a nondegenerate finite braided set Y its derived self-distributive braided set X , as in [Sol00]. The key point is that this association gives an isomorphism $Y^n \rightarrow X^n$ of B_n -sets. We do not know whether it is possible to extend Theorem 4.32 to (some family of) degenerate braided sets. Perhaps a first step would be to obtain a version of the results in Section 4.1 for more general braided sets.

Lemma 4.34. *Suppose that X is squarefree, and let $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n$ with $x_\alpha = x_\beta$. Then the image in S_n of the stabilizer of s in B_n contains the transposition $(\alpha \beta)$.*

Proof. Since X is squarefree and self-distributive, we see that the element

$$R_{\alpha, \beta} = \sigma_{\beta-1} \sigma_{\beta-2} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha+1} \sigma_\alpha \sigma_{\alpha+1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{\beta-2}^{-1} \sigma_{\beta-1}^{-1} \in B_n$$

lies in the stabilizer of (x_1, \dots, x_n) , and that its image in S_n is the transposition $(\alpha \beta)$. \square

Proposition 4.35. *Suppose that X is squarefree, set $N = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |C_j|$, and assume that $n_j > N$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then the image in $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$ of the stabilizer in B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} of s surjects onto $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ under the sign homomorphisms.*

Proof. Fix $1 \leq j \leq k$. Our task is to find an element in the stabilizer of (x_1, \dots, x_n) whose image in S_{n_j} is an odd permutation, for instance a transposition, and whose image in S_{n_r} for every $1 \leq r \leq k$ with $r \neq j$ is trivial. It follows from our definition of N and the assumption on n_j that there exist two indices $\alpha < \beta$ in D_j for which $x_\alpha = x_\beta$. The required element is supplied to us by Lemma 4.34. \square

The argument in the proof above also gives the following.

Corollary 4.36. *Suppose that X is squarefree, and that $n_j > |C_j|$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then the image in S_n of the stabilizer in B_n (equivalently, in B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) of s is not contained in A_n .*

Corollary 4.37. *Suppose that n_1, \dots, n_k are sufficiently large, that $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = X$, and that X is squarefree. Then the image in S_n of the stabilizer in B_n (equivalently, in B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}) of s is $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$.*

Proof. Denote this image by H . By Theorem 4.32, H contains $A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_k}$. It follows from Proposition 4.35 that the restriction of the quotient map $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k} \rightarrow S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k} / A_{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{n_k}$ to H is surjective. We conclude that $H = S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$ as required. \square

From Theorem 4.32 and Corollary 4.37 we get Theorem 2.4.

Example 4.38. *By considering the case of the nontrivial self-distributive braided set $X = \mathcal{N} = \{\eta, \xi\}$ from Example 4.8, we show that the squarefreeness assumption in Corollary 4.37 is necessary in order to obtain a result stronger than in Theorem 4.32.*

First note that in this case X is connected. Now take any $s \in X^n$, and observe that its entries necessarily generate X . It is readily checked that for each of the generators σ_i for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the parity of the number of appearances of η in s differs from the parity of the number of appearances of η in s^{σ_i} . As a result, for every $g \in B_n$, the number of times η appears in s is congruent mod 2 to the number of times η appears in s^g if and only if the image of g in S_n lies in A_n . We conclude that the image in S_n of the stabilizer of s in B_n is contained in A_n .

We sketch an additional proof of Corollary 4.37.

Proposition 4.39. *There exists a nonnegative integer r for which the following holds. If n_1, \dots, n_k are large enough, and $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = X$, then the B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} -orbit of s contains both*

- *an n -tuple whose entries indexed by the first $n_j - r$ indices in D_j coincide for every $1 \leq j \leq k$;*
- *and an n -tuple (y_1, \dots, y_n) with $|\{1 \leq i \leq n : y_i = x\}| > r$ for every $x \in X$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.22 and Corollary 4.21. \square

Proof of Corollary 4.37. It follows from the first item in Proposition 4.39 in conjunction with Lemma 4.34 that there exists a nonnegative integer r such that the image in $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$ of the stabilizer in B_n of s contains the subgroup $S_{n_1-r} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k-r}$. From the second item in Proposition 4.39 and Lemma 4.34 we conclude that this image also contains a conjugate of an element whose projections to S_{n_1}, \dots, S_{n_r} have all of their cycles of lengths exceeding r . We conclude by invoking Corollary 3.9. \square

4.3.1. Results for Small n .

Proposition 4.40. *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer, and let X be the conjugacy class of all transpositions in the group S_m . Then for a positive integer n and every $s \in X^n$ whose entries generate X , the restriction to the stabilizer of s in B_n of the homomorphism to S_n is a surjection.*

Remark 4.41. If there exists an n -tuple $s \in X^n$ whose entries generate X , then $n \geq m-1$.

Proof. Let $s = ((i_1 j_1), \dots, (i_n j_n))$ be an n -tuple of transpositions in S_m that generates X . Consider the simple graph Λ whose set of vertices is $\{1, \dots, m\}$ with $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq m$ adjacent in case

$$\{i_\alpha, j_\alpha\} \cap \{i_\beta, j_\beta\} \neq \emptyset.$$

We claim that Λ is connected.

To prove the claim, suppose toward a contradiction that there exist nonempty disjoint subsets I, J of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ with $I \cup J = \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that there is no edge between any index in I and any index in J . From our definition of Λ it follows that the nonempty subsets

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \{i_\alpha, j_\alpha\}, \quad \mathcal{J} = \bigcup_{\beta \in J} \{i_\beta, j_\beta\}$$

of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ are disjoint. We conclude that

$$X = \langle (i_1 j_1), \dots, (i_n j_n) \rangle \leq \{(i j) : i \neq j, i, j \in \mathcal{I} \text{ or } i, j \in \mathcal{J}\} \leq X.$$

This contradiction concludes the proof of our claim that Λ is connected.

Denote by H the image in S_n of the stabilizer in B_n of s . We note that for every $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n$ that are adjacent in Λ , the stabilizer of s in B_n contains the element

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}^3 = \sigma_{\beta-1}\sigma_{\beta-2}\cdots\sigma_{\alpha+1}\sigma_{\alpha}^3\sigma_{\alpha+1}^{-1}\cdots\sigma_{\beta-2}^{-1}\sigma_{\beta-1}^{-1}.$$

This element maps to the transposition $(\alpha \beta)$ in S_n , so $(\alpha \beta) \in H$. We consider the subgroup

$$H_0 = \langle \{(\alpha \beta) : 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n \text{ are adjacent in } \Lambda\} \rangle$$

of H generated by all such transpositions. Since Λ is connected, it follows that H_0 is a transitive subgroup of S_n . The only transitive subgroup of S_n that is generated by transpositions is S_n itself, so $H_0 = S_n$ and thus $H = S_n$ as required. \square

Let $C \subseteq G$ be a generating set of a group G which is a disjoint union of conjugacy classes of G . We have a left action of G on the sets $\text{Mor}(F_n, G)$, $\text{Mor}^C(F_n, G)$, $\text{Sur}^C(F_n, G)$, $\text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G)$ by postcomposition with conjugation. This action commutes with the right action of B_n , so we get a right action of B_n on the sets of orbits $G \setminus \text{Mor}(F_n, G)$, $G \setminus \text{Mor}^C(F_n, G)$, $G \setminus \text{Sur}^C(F_n, G)$, $G \setminus \text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G)$. With our identification of $\text{Mor}(F_n, G)$ with G^n , this left action of G on is given by

$${}^g(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (gg_1g^{-1}, \dots, gg_ng^{-1}), \quad (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n, \quad g \in G.$$

Proposition 4.42. *For a positive integer n , and every $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n) \in G \setminus \text{Mor}(F_n, G)$ we have*

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n)^{\sigma_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}\cdots\sigma_2\sigma_1} = (g_n, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1})$$

as classes in $G \setminus \text{Mor}(F_n, G)$.

Proof. Viewing our representatives in $G \setminus \text{Mor}(F_n, G)$ as elements in $\text{Mor}(F_n, G)$, we see that the action of $\sigma_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}\cdots\sigma_2\sigma_1 \in B_n$ is given by $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n)^{\sigma_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}\cdots\sigma_2\sigma_1} = (g_n, g_1^{g_n}, g_2^{g_n}, \dots, g_{n-1}^{g_n})$. The required equality of classes in $G \setminus \text{Mor}(F_n, G)$ is then seen by conjugating the right hand side by g_n . \square

Definition 4.43. Let G be a group and let $C \subset G$ be a conjugacy class. We say that C is abundant if for some (equivalently, every) $x \in C$ there exists $y \in G$ such that the set $\{x^{y^r} : r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (of conjugates of x by elements of the cyclic subgroup of G generated by y) generates G .

Corollary 4.44. *Let G be a finite simple group. Then there exists an abundant conjugacy class $C \subset G$.*

Proof. This is a special case of [BGH21, Corollary 4 (ii)]. \square

Proposition 4.45. *Let G be a finite group, and let $C \subset G$ be an abundant conjugacy class. Then for every positive integer n that is divisible by $|G|^2$, there exists $s \in G \setminus \text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G)$ such that the image of the stabilizer of s under the homomorphism from B_n to S_n contains an n -cycle.*

Proof. Since G is a finite group, and C is an abundant conjugacy class, there exist $x \in C$ and $y \in G$ such that $\langle x^{y^r} : 0 \leq r \leq |G| - 1 \rangle = G$. We set

$$g = x \cdot x^y \cdot x^{y^2} \cdots x^{y^{|G|-1}} \in G, \quad s_0 = (x, x^y, x^{y^2}, \dots, x^{y^{|G|-1}}) \in G^{|G|}$$

and denote by s the $\frac{n}{|G|}$ -fold concatenation of s_0 with itself. Since n is divisible by $|G|^2$, we see that $\frac{n}{|G|}$ is a multiple of $|G|$, so multiplying the entries of s (in order) gives $1 \in G$ because $g^{|G|} = 1$. We conclude that s represents an element of $\text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G)$.

It follows from Proposition 4.42 that the class of s in $G \setminus \text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G)$ is mapped under $\sigma_{n-1}\cdots\sigma_1$ to a class represented by a one-step cyclic right shift of s . Since conjugating this representative by $y^{-1} \in G$ gives s , we conclude that $\sigma_{n-1}\cdots\sigma_1$ lies in the stabilizer of the class of s in $G \setminus \text{Sur}_1^C(F_n, G)$. As $\sigma_{n-1}\cdots\sigma_1$ maps to an n -cycle in S_n , the image in S_n of the stabilizer of s contains an n -cycle. \square

Corollary 4.46. *Let G be a finite simple group. Then for every positive integer n that is divisible by $|G|^2$ there exists $s \in G \setminus \text{Sur}_1(F_n, G)$ such that the image of the stabilizer of s under the homomorphism from B_n to S_n contains an n -cycle.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.44 and Proposition 4.45. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.10

For brevity of notation, we set $k = d_{\triangleleft}(G)$.

5.1. The Möbius Function. Our task here is to prove the first part of Theorem 1.10, namely that

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)} (-1)^{|\text{ram}(K)|} = o(|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)|), \quad q \rightarrow \infty, \quad \gcd(q, |G|) = 1,$$

assuming $n_j > |C_j|$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$.

We recall from [LWZB19, Section 11.4, Theorem 11.1, Lemma 11.2, Proposition 11.4] that there exists a smooth separated scheme $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}[|G|^{-1}]$ with

$$\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k).$$

The scheme $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ is (pure) of relative dimension n over $\mathbb{Z}[|G|^{-1}]$.

Let $\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ be the k -colored configuration space of n_j unordered points of color j , for every $1 \leq j \leq k$, on the affine line such that all points are distinct (whether they have the same color or not). We can view a point of this space over a field as a k -tuple of pairwise coprime monic squarefree polynomials of degrees n_1, \dots, n_k over that field. The space $\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ is a smooth separated scheme of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . [LWZB19, Proposition 11.4] provides us with a finite étale map

$$\pi: \text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \rightarrow \text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$$

such that $\pi(K) = (D_K(1), \dots, D_K(k))$ on the level of \mathbb{F}_q -points.

Let PConf^n be the locus in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ where all coordinates are pairwise distinct. We can view a point of this space over a field as an (ordered) n -tuple of distinct scalars from that field. We consider the finite étale map $\rho: \text{PConf}^n \rightarrow \text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ which given an n -tuple $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of distinct scalars from a field, assigns the color j to the scalars $\lambda_{n_1 + \dots + n_{j-1} + 1}, \dots, \lambda_{n_1 + \dots + n_j}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$. We can also write

$$\rho(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n_j} (T - \lambda_{n_1 + \dots + n_{j-1} + r}) \right)_{j=1, \dots, k}$$

where the right hand side is a k -tuple of pairwise coprime monic squarefree polynomials. The map ρ is a Galois cover with Galois group $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ that acts by permuting the roots of each polynomial.

Take an auxiliary prime number $\ell > n$ with ℓ not dividing q . We fix an isomorphism of fields $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and will at times (silently) identify these fields via ι .

Using ρ we can view every finite-dimensional representation W of $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ as a lisse (étale) $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -sheaf on $\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ punctually pure of weight 0. We write χ_W for the character of W , and for $(f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we denote by $(\sigma_{f_1}, \dots, \sigma_{f_k})$ the conjugacy class in $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ corresponding to the permutation induced by Frob_q on (the roots of) f_j for every $1 \leq j \leq k$. The cycle structure of σ_{f_j} is the multiset of degrees of the monic irreducible factors of f_j . We therefore have

$$\text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, W_{(f_1, \dots, f_k)}) = \chi_W(\sigma_{f_1}, \dots, \sigma_{f_k})$$

an expression for the trace of Frobenius on the stalk of (the sheaf corresponding to) W at a geometric point of $\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ lying over (f_1, \dots, f_k) .

Let π^*W be the lisse sheaf (punctually pure of weight 0) on $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ obtained by pulling back W . For every $K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)$ we have

$$\text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, (\pi^*W)_K) = \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, W_{\pi(K)}) = \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, W_{(D_K(1), \dots, D_K(k))}) = \chi_W(\sigma_{D_K(1)}, \dots, \sigma_{D_K(k)}).$$

In the special case $W = \text{sgn}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \text{sgn}_k$ the sign representation of $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$, for K in $\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)$ we have

$$\chi_{\text{sgn}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \text{sgn}_k}(\sigma_{D_K(1)}, \dots, \sigma_{D_K(k)}) = (-1)^n \cdot (-1)^{|\text{ram}(K)|}$$

so

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)} (-1)^{|\text{ram}(K)|} = (-1)^n \sum_{K \in \text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, (\pi^* \text{sgn}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \text{sgn}_k)_K).$$

We can assume that $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ has an \mathbb{F}_q -point since otherwise the sum above is over the empty set, so the statement to be proven holds trivially. It follows that $\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}$ has an \mathbb{F}_q -rational component, so from the Lang–Weil bound applied to that component we get that

$$\liminf_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)|}{q^n} > 0.$$

Our task is therefore to show that

$$\lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{K \in \text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, (\pi^* W)_K) = 0, \quad W = \text{sgn}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \text{sgn}_k.$$

From now until almost the end of the proof, we will task ourselves with computing (under suitable assumptions on n_1, \dots, n_k) the limit above for an arbitrary finite-dimensional representation W of $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. It is only at the very end that we will specialize again to $W = \text{sgn}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \text{sgn}_k$ and deduce that the limit is indeed 0 in case $n_j > |C_j|$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$.

By the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{K \in \text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, (\pi^* W)_K) = \\ & \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, H_c^i(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi^* W)) \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation $\pi^* W$ also for the pullback of this sheaf to $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. We claim first that there is no contribution to the limit from $0 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$. Indeed since $\pi^* W$ is punctually pure of weight 0, Deligne’s Riemann Hypothesis gives an upper bound of $q^{i/2}$ on the absolute value of each eigenvalue of Frob_q on $H_c^i(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi^* W)$, and the dimension over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ of these cohomology groups is bounded independently of q , so dividing the trace of Frob_q by q^n and taking $q \rightarrow \infty$ gives 0 in the limit. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, H_c^i(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi^* W)) = \\ & \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, H_c^{2n}(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi^* W)). \end{aligned}$$

Since representations of finite groups in characteristic 0 are semisimple, we can find a subrepresentation U of W for which

$$W = U \oplus W^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}.$$

We then have $U^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, H_c^{2n}(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi^* W)) = \\ & \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, H_c^{2n}(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi^* U)) + \\ & \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} W^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}} \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|)=1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, H_c^{2n}(\text{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is of dimension n , the action of Frob_q on its topmost compactly supported étale cohomology (with constant coefficients, namely $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$) is via multiplication by q^n , so the above equals

$$\lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow \infty \\ \gcd(q, |G|) = 1}} \frac{1}{q^n} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, H_c^{2n}(\mathbf{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi^* U)) + \\ \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} W^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}} \cdot \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} H_c^{2n}(\mathbf{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}).$$

Since π is finite, it follows from the Leray spectral sequence with compact supports that

$$H_c^{2n}(\mathbf{Hur}_{G,C}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}[|G|-1]} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi^* U) \cong H_c^{2n}(\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi_* \pi^* U)$$

where π_* is the pushforward of lisse sheaves by π . One readily checks that $\pi_* \pi^* U \cong \pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U$ so

$$H_c^{2n}(\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi_* \pi^* U) \cong H_c^{2n}(\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U).$$

Since the sheaves $\pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ and U are self-dual, from Poincaré duality we get that

$$H_c^{2n}(\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U) \cong H^0(\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U).$$

It follows from the proof of [LWZB19, Lemma 10.3] that with our choice of ℓ we have

$$H^0(\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U) = H^0(\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{C}), \pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U)$$

where on the right hand side we take singular cohomology, viewing $\pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U$ as a local system on $\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{C})$, or rather as a representation of the fundamental group B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} of $\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore we have

$$H^0(\text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{C}), \pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U) \cong (\pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U)^{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}}.$$

Denote by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^*$ the permutation representation over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ associated to the action of B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} on $(C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^*$. It follows from the proof of [LWZB19, Theorem 12.4] that

$$\pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^*$$

so

$$(\pi_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes U)^{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}} \cong (\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^* \otimes U)^{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}}.$$

Since permutation representations are self-dual, we have

$$(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^* \otimes U)^{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}} \cong \text{Hom}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^*, U).$$

Let S be a set of representatives for the orbits of the action of B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} on $(C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^*$. That is, for every $t \in (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^*$ there exists a unique $s \in S$ that lies in the orbit of t under the action of B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} . For $s \in S$, denoting by $B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}$ the stabilizer of s in B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} , we see that

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^* \cong \bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Ind}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}}^{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$$

and as a result

$$\text{Hom}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} (C_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times C_k^{n_k})_1^*, U) \cong \bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Hom}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}}(\text{Ind}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}}^{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, U).$$

It follows from Frobenius reciprocity that

$$\bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Hom}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}}(\text{Ind}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}}^{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, U) \cong \bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Hom}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, U).$$

The homomorphism from B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} to $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ arising from the cover $\text{PConf}^m(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Conf}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ is the one we have considered in previous sections. In case n_1, \dots, n_k are large enough, Corollary 4.37

tells us that for every $s \in S$ the restriction to $B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}$ of the homomorphism from B_{n_1, \dots, n_k} to $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ is surjective, so

$$\bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Hom}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, U) \cong \bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Hom}_{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, U) \cong \bigoplus_{s \in S} U^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}} = 0.$$

Therefore in case n_1, \dots, n_k are large enough, the limit we wanted to compute is

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} W^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}} \cdot \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell} H^0(\text{Hur}_{G, \mathbb{C}}^{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\mathbb{C}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

Let us specialize now to the case $W = \text{sgn}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \text{sgn}_k$. In this case we have

$$U = W = \text{sgn}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \text{sgn}_k, \quad W^{S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}} = 0.$$

By assumption $n_j > |C_j|$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$ so it follows from Corollary 4.36 that

$$\bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Hom}_{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, U) \cong \bigoplus_{s \in S} U^{B_{n_1, \dots, n_k, s}} = 0.$$

Hence in this case the limit we wanted to compute is indeed 0.

5.2. The von Mangoldt Function. Here we prove the second part of Theorem 1.10, namely that

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)} \mathbf{1}_{|\text{ram}(K)|=k} \sim \frac{|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)|}{n_1 \cdots n_k}, \quad q \rightarrow \infty, \quad \gcd(q, |G|) = 1,$$

assuming that n_1, \dots, n_k are sufficiently large. We will freely use arguments and conclusions from the previous subsection.

We denote by Λ_j the von Mangoldt function, which for our purposes is defined on monic squarefree polynomials of degree n_j over \mathbb{F}_q , taking the value n_j on irreducible polynomials and the value 0 on reducible polynomials. For $K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)$ we therefore have

$$\mathbf{1}_{|\text{ram}(K)|=k} = \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j(D_K(j)).$$

We denote by std_j the standard representation of S_{n_j} (of dimension $n_j - 1$) over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. By [Saw21, Lemma 3.6], for every monic squarefree polynomial f of degree n_j over \mathbb{F}_q we have

$$\Lambda_j(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} (-1)^i \chi_{\wedge^i(\text{std}_j)}(\sigma_f)$$

where σ_f is the conjugacy class in S_{n_j} of the permutation induced by the map $z \mapsto z^q$ on the (necessarily distinct) roots of f . We conclude that for every $K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)$ we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{|\text{ram}(K)|=k} = \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} (-1)^i \chi_{\wedge^i(\text{std}_j)}(\sigma_{D_K(j)}).$$

The contribution to the right hand side above from taking the $i = 0$ term for every $1 \leq j \leq k$ is $\frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k}$, so summing this over all $K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)$ gives the required main term $\frac{|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)|}{n_1 \cdots n_k}$. Our task is therefore to show that the contribution of any other term is $o(|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)|)$. That is, taking $0 \leq i_1 < n_1, \dots, 0 \leq i_k < n_k$ not all zero, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)} \prod_{j=1}^k \chi_{\wedge^{i_j}(\text{std}_j)}(\sigma_{D_K(j)}) = o(|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)|), \quad q \rightarrow \infty, \quad \gcd(q, |G|) = 1.$$

We consider the representation

$$W = \wedge^{i_1}(\text{std}_1) \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \wedge^{i_k}(\text{std}_k)$$

of $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. Since $\wedge^{i_j}(\text{std}_j)$ is an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of S_{n_j} over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$, it follows that W is an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$. Our assumption that $i_j > 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$ implies that

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} W = \prod_{j=1}^k \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} \wedge^{i_j}(\text{std}_j) > 1$$

so $W^{S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}} = 0$ in view of irreducibility. In the notation of the previous subsection we therefore have $U = W$.

The sum in which we need to obtain cancellation can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)} \prod_{j=1}^k \chi_{\wedge^{i_j}(\text{std}_j)}(\sigma_{D_K(j)}) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)} \chi_W(\sigma_{D_K(1)}, \dots, \sigma_{D_K(k)}).$$

As in the previous subsection we have

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)} \chi_W(\sigma_{D_K(1)}, \dots, \sigma_{D_K(k)}) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_q, (\pi^* W)_K).$$

By assumption n_1, \dots, n_k are large enough, so from the previous subsection we see that the sum above is indeed $o(|\mathcal{E}_q^C(G; n_1, \dots, n_k)|)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am deeply indebted to Be'eri Greenfeld for his support, interest, and input. In particular, I am thankful to him for his guidance on racks, for helpful discussion on the second proof of Corollary 4.37, for informing me of [BGH21], and for proving extensions of Proposition 4.40 to other cycle structures.

REFERENCES

- [BSEF21] L. Bary-Soroker, A. Entin, A. Fehm, *The minimal ramification problem for rational function fields over finite fields*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2106.09126, 2021.
- [BSGKS20] L. Bary-Soroker, O. Gorodetsky, T. Karidi, W. Sawin, (2020) *Chebotarev density theorem in short intervals for extensions of $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$* . Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 373 (1), 597-628.
- [BSS20] L. Bary-Soroker, T. Schlank, *Sieves and the minimal ramification problem*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu 19.3 (2020): 919-945.
- [BG09] M. Bhargava, E. Gbate, *On the average number of octahedral newforms of prime level*, Mathematische Annalen, 344.4 (2009): 749-768.
- [BE11] N. Boston, J. Ellenberg, *Random pro- p groups, braid groups, and random tame Galois groups*, Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics 5.2 (2011): 265-280.
- [BM09] N. Boston, N. Markin, *The fewest primes ramified in a G -extension of \mathbb{Q}* , Ann. Sci. Math. Québec 33(2):145-154, 2009.
- [BGH21] T. Burness, R. Guralnick, S. Harper, *The spread of a finite group*, Annals of Math. 193, 619-687, 2021.
- [Chen20] W. Chen, (2020). *Nonabelian level structures, Nielsen equivalence, and Markoff triples*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.12940.
- [DeW14] M. De Witt, *Minimal ramification and the inverse Galois problem over the rational function field $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$* , J. Number Theory 143:62-81, 2014.
- [EV05] J. Ellenberg, A. Venkatesh, *Counting extensions of function fields with bounded discriminant and specified Galois group*, Geometric Methods in Algebra and Number Theory, vol. 235 of Progr. Math. 151-168. Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 2005.
- [ETW17] J. S. Ellenberg, T. Tran, C. Westerland, *Fox-Neuwirth-Fuks cells, quantum shuffle algebras, and Malle's conjecture for function fields*, arXiv preprint, 2017.
- [EVW16] J. S. Ellenberg, A. Venkatesh, C. Westerland, *Homological stability for Hurwitz spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture over function fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) 183 (2016), no. 3, 729-786.
- [Ent21] A. Entin, (2021). *Monodromy of hyperplane sections of curves and decomposition statistics over finite fields*, IMRN, 14, 10409-10441.
- [Gor20] O. Gorodetsky, *Mean values of arithmetic functions in short intervals and in arithmetic progressions in the large-degree limit*, Mathematika 66 (2020), 2, 373-394.

- [KoPa21] P. Koymans, C. Pagano, *On Malle's conjecture for nilpotent groups, I*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2103.17223 (2021).
- [LWZB19] Y. Liu, M. Matchett Wood, D. Zureick-Brown, *A predicted distribution for Galois groups of maximal unramified extensions*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1907.05002 (2019).
- [Mal04] G. Malle, *On the distribution of Galois groups, II*, Experiment. Math. 13:129-135, 2004.
- [NSW] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, Vol. 323. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Saw21] W. Sawin, *Square-root cancellation for sums of factorization functions over short intervals in function fields*, Duke Math. J. 170(5): 997-1026 (2021).
- [SS22] W. Sawin, M. Shusterman, *Möbius cancellation on polynomial sequences and the quadratic Bateman–Horn conjecture over function fields*, Invent. math. (2022) 229:751-927.
- [Sol00] A. Soloviev, *Non-unitary set-theoretical solutions to the Quantum Yang-Baxter Equation*, Mathematical Research Letters, 7, 5, 577-596, 2000.
- [TT20] T. Taniguchi, F. Thorne, *Levels of distribution for sieve problems in prehomogeneous vector spaces*, Mathematische Annalen, 376.3 (2020): 1537-1559.
- [Wood10] M. Matchett Wood, *On the probabilities of local behaviors in abelian field extensions*, Compositio Math. 146 (2010), 102-128.
- [Wood21] M. Matchett Wood, *An algebraic lifting invariant of Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland*, Res. Math. Sci. (2021) 8:21.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, USA
Email address: mshusterman@math.harvard.edu