arXiv:2211.17023v1 [math.PR] 30 Nov 2022

INFINITE CYCLES IN THE INTERCHANGE PROCESS IN FIVE DIMENSIONS

DOR ELBOIM AND ALLAN SLY

Abstract. In the interchange process on a graph $G = (V, E)$, distinguished particles are placed on the vertices of G with independent Poisson clocks on the edges. When the clock of an edge rings, the two particles on the two sides of the edge interchange. In this way, a random permutation $\pi_\beta : V \to V$ is formed for any time $\beta > 0$. One of the main objects of study is the cycle structure of the random permutation and the emergence of long cycles.

We prove the existence of infinite cycles in the interchange process on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ for all dimensions $d \geq 5$ and all large β, establishing a conjecture of Bálint Tóth from 1993 in these dimensions.

In our proof, we study a self-interacting random walk called the cyclic time random walk. Using a multiscale induction we prove that it is diffusive and can be coupled with Brownian motion. One of the key ideas in the proof is establishing a local escape property which shows that the walk will quickly escape when it is entangled in its history in complicated ways.

1. Introduction

The interchange process (sometimes also called the random stirring model) is a model of random permutations obtained by composing random transposition along the edges of a graph. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ the model is defined as follows. On each vertex of the graph there is a distinguished particle and each edge of the graph is endowed with an independent Poisson clock of rate 1. The process evolves according to the following simple rule: when an edge rings, the two particles on the two sides of the edge swap. In this way, a random permutation $\pi_\beta : V \to V$ is formed for any time $\beta > 0$.

The study of the cycle structure of the permutation π_β is of great interest. This was initiated by Bálint Tóth [42] (and independently by Aizenman and Nachtergaele [2]) who observed the connection between long cycles in the interchange process on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and a phase transition in the quantum Heisenberg ferromagnet. Tóth's conjecture is as follows.

Conjecture (Tóth 1993)**.** *Let π_β be the interchange permutation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ at time β.*

(1) When $d = 2$, for all $\beta > 0$, the permutation π_β contains only finite cycles almost surely.
(2) When $d \geq 3$, for all $\beta > \beta_c$, the permutation π_β contains infinite cycles almost surely.

Since the work of Tóth, the cycle structure of π_β has been studied extensively for various graphs. The existence of long cycles has been shown on the complete graph, trees, the hypercube, the Hamming graph and random regular graphs. However, proving similar results for $\mathbb{Z}^d$ was out of reach. In this paper we prove the existence of infinite cycles in dimensions 5 and higher.

Theorem 1. *For all $d \geq 5$ and all β sufficiently large, the permutation $\pi_\beta = \pi_\beta(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ contains infinite cycles almost surely.*

In the proof we study the cyclic random walk introduced in [42]. This is the walk obtained by exposing the cycle containing the origin in π_β. We use a multiscale inductive argument to show that this walk is diffusive. The method shows that for large β, the probability that the cycle containing the origin is finite is approximately the probability that a simple random walk will return to the origin at a cyclic time $k\beta$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, we show that this probability is roughly $\beta^{-d/2}$.

1.1. **Previous works.**

1.1.1. *The cycle structure of the interchange.* It is of great interest to study the lengths of the cycles in the random permutation $\pi_\beta = \pi_\beta(G)$ for various graphs G and in particular to prove the emergence of long cycles. This was done on the complete graph by Berestycki and Durrett [8] and later refined by Schramm [39] who proved the convergence of the cycle length to the Poisson Dirichlet distribution. The proof was later simplified and generalized by Berestycki [7]. Berestycki and Kozma found yet another proof of the result using representation theory [9].

The existence of infinite cycles was shown on the infinite d regular tree with $d \geq 5$ by Angel [6]. This was later improved by Hammond [24] for a wider class of trees including the infinite binary tree. In a subsequent paper [25], Hammond also proved the existence of a critical time for the d regular tree when d is sufficiently large. There are numerous other results showing the emergence of long cycles on the hypercube [31, 27], on Hamming graphs [1] and on random regular graphs [38]. Finally, Alon and Kozma [4] developed a formula for the probability of the (very rare) event that the permutation contains only one cycle in terms of the eigenvalues of the graph G. This result is proved using representation theory and it holds for all finite graphs.

1.1.2. *Mixing time and spectral gap.* When G is the complete graph, the interchange process has a nice interpretation as mixing a deck of cards. At each step one chooses two cards uniformly at random from the deck and switch them. In one of the founding results in the theory of Markov chain mixing, Diaconis and Shahshahani [19] showed that the deck will be mixed after $\frac{1}{2}n \log n$ random transpositions. This result is considered one of the first examples of the cutoff phenomenon in Markov chains. In their proof, the authors use representation theory to study the distribution of the random permutation.

Since the work of Diaconis and Shahshahani, the mixing time and spectral gap of the interchange process have been studied extensively. One notable result is the proof of Aldous conjecture by Caputo, Liggett and Richthammer [14]. The conjecture (now a theorem) says that, on any graph, the spectral gap of the interchange process is equal to the spectral gap of the simple random walk on the graph. We refer the reader to the following results on the spectral gap [23, 15, 26, 29, 35, 40, 20] and the mixing time [17, 43, 3, 37, 28, 33, 44, 18, 5, 32, 27] of the interchange process on various graphs. See also [41, 11, 36, 10] for similar mixing results on different variants of the process.

1.2. **Extensions and open problems.**

1.2.1. *The finite volume case.* Consider the interchange process on the torus graph $\Lambda_L := [1, \dots, L)^d$. Using the methods of this paper, it is not hard to show that when $d \geq 5$, and $L \to \infty$, there are polynomially long cycles in $\pi_\beta(\Lambda_n)$ when β is large. However, there are much more accurate predictions for the cycle lengths in this case. Tóth conjectured that macroscopic cycles are formed in the supercritical regime when $\beta > \beta_c$. These are cycles

with lengths proportional to the volume of the torus L^d. Moreover, it is expected that the longest cycles will obey the so called Poisson-Dirichlet law. More precisely, for all $d \geq 3$ and all $\beta > \beta_c$ there exists a fixed fraction $0 < \alpha = \alpha_t < 1$ such that roughly αL^d of the particles belong to a macroscopic cycle and roughly $(1-\alpha)L^d$ of the particle are in cycles of length $O(1)$ as $L \to \infty$. Letting $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots$ be the lengths of the longest cycle in π_β, second longest cycle and so on, it is conjectured that

$$\Big(\frac{\ell_1}{\alpha L^d}, \frac{\ell_2}{\alpha L^d}, \dots\Big) \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{PD}(1), \quad L \to \infty,$$

where PD(1) is the Poisson Dirichlet distribution with parameter 1. See [21] for more details and the exact definition of the Poisson Dirichlet distribution.

Such results were obtained by Schramm on the complete graph [39]. The proof of [39] contains two parts. In the first part, it is shown that macroscopic cycles emerge at the right time. In the second part, the author uses a coupling argument to show that once long cycles are formed they quickly converge to the Poisson-Dirichlet distribution. It is possible that using a combination of the methods in this paper and in [39] will allow us to obtain the Poisson-Diriclet distribution in Λ_n. We intend to pursue this direction in the future.

1.2.2. *Other dimensions.* Our proof holds in dimension $d \geq 5$ because of the following heuristic. A random walk in five dimension will completely avoid its past with positive probability whereas in four dimension it will intersect its past with high probability. This fact allows us to couple the walk with shorter independent walks in order to analyze its behavior.

Dimension four is in some sense critical for the property of avoiding the past. This is similar to the fact the dimension two is critical for the property of transience and recurrence. Indeed, consider the probability p_n that a simple random walk after time n avoids its history before time n. That is,

$$p_n := \mathbb{P}\big(\exists s \leq n-1,\ t \geq n \text{ such that } W(s) = W(t)\big),$$

where W is a simple d dimensional random walk. It is well known that p_n decays polynomially with n when $d \leq 3$, is bounded away from zero when $d \geq 5$ and decays like $1/\log n$ when $d = 4$.

In the future we hope to push our methods to dimension 4 using the fact that p_n decays so slowly to zero in this case. To this end, one has to understand better the self intersections of the walk and argue that these intersections do not change the diffusive behavior of the walk too much.

1.2.3. *The existence of a critical time.* A simple percolation argument shows that in any dimension $d \geq 2$ if β is sufficiently small then there are no infinite cycles in π_β. Indeed, consider the set of edges that rang at least once up to time β. This is a percolation with probability $1 - e^{-\beta}$ and therefore if $1 - e^{-\beta} < p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ then the resulting graph contains only finite connected components. It is clear that in this case π_β contains only finite cycles.

We note that, unlike percolation for example, there is no simple monotonicity in this model. It might be the case that infinite cycles appear at some time and then disappear at a later time. Thus, it is still open to prove the existence of a unique phase transition for all $d \geq 3$. That is, to prove that there exists a critical time β_c such that for $\beta < \beta_c$ there are only finite cycles and for $\beta > \beta_c$ there are infinite cycles.

It is possible that using a combination of our methods and those of [25], one can prove the existence of a phase transition when the dimension d is sufficiently large.

1.2.4. *Weakly correlated walks.* Many self-interacting random walks, such as the self avoiding walk or weakly self avoiding walk have been successfully studied using the Lace Expansion. Our methods in this paper give a new approach to these problems. Our inductive method seems to be quite robust in the sense that it does not use heavily the exact local interactions of the interchange process. It is natural to ask if our proof extends to any of these walks.

1.3. **Related models.**

1.3.1. *Spatial random permutations.* The interchange process on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ can be thought of as part of a wider family of models of spatial random permutations. In these models a random permutation is sampled such that x and $\pi(x)$ are typically close in some underlying geometry. Two examples of such models are the Mallows measure defined in one dimension and the Euclidean random permutations model. The second model was introduces by Matsubara [34] and Feynman [22] to study the phenomenon of Bose-Einstein condensation in the ideal Bose gas. The model is defined as follows. For a given dimension d, time $t > 0$ and length $L > 0$, one samples L^d particles in the continuous d-dimensional torus of side length L, and a permutation π of the particles, with probability density proportional to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{L^d} \exp\left(|x_{\pi(i)} - x_i|^2/t\right) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{L^d} |x_{\pi(i)} - x_i|^2\right) \tag{1.1}$$

where x_i is the position of the i-th particle and where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean distance. Note that in here the particles and the permutation are sampled simultaneously with a joint density given by (1.3.1). The connection to the interchange in finite volume is clear. Indeed, in the interchange process any particle performs a simple continuous time walk and therefore its final position will be roughly normally distributed around its starting point with standard deviation $\sqrt{t}$. Thus, the density of the final position $\pi_t(x)$ of the particle starting at x will be roughly given by the term inside the product in (1.3.1). Since different particles in the interchange process are somewhat independent, one may expect that a permutation sampled according to the product (1.3.1) will share some similarities with the interchange.

The model defined in (1.3.1) is in some sense integrable or exactly solvable and much more rigorous results are known. The analogue of Tóth conjecture was proved in [12] and the Poisson Dirichlet limit law is established in [13].

In the finite volume interchange process in dimensions 2, it is conjectured that for all fixed $t > 0$ there are only short and finite cycles in the permutation. However, when t grows like $\log L$ (where L is the side length of the box), it is expected that macroscopic cycles are starting to form. This prediction was rigorously established for the Euclidean permutations model by the first author and Peled [21]. In this paper there are similar results in dimension 1 and in the critical time in all dimensions. These results exceed the best known predictions for the interchange process and the possibility of universality is especially intriguing.

1.3.2. *The quantum Heisenberg ferromagnet.* Consider the following random permutation model on a graph $G = (V, E)$. For a permutation π we let $C(\pi)$ denotes the number of cycles in π. Suppose that $\mu_\beta(\pi)$ is the probability to obtain the permutation $\pi : V \to V$ in the interchange process at time β. We define the new measure on permutation

$$\nu_t(\pi) := \frac{1}{Z} \cdot 2^{C(\pi)} \cdot \mu_\beta(\pi), \tag{1.2}$$

where Z is a normalizing constant. This is just the interchange measure on permutations tilted by an additional factor of 2 to the power of the number of cycles. It is shown in [42] that this tilted model is in direct correspondence with the quantum Heisenberg model on G at temperature $T = 1/\beta$. More precisely, the spin-spin correlation between sites x and y in the quantum Heisenberg model equals a constant times the probability that x and y are in the same cycle. We denoted the time by β throughout the paper sense it corresponds to the inverse temperature in the quantum Heisenberg ferromagnet.

A longstanding open problem is to rigorously prove the existence of a phase transition in the quantum Heisenberg model on the torus $\Lambda_L := [0, \dots, L)^d$ in dimension $d \geq 3$. This is equivalent to showing the emergence of long cycles in the random permutation sampled according to (1.3.2) with $G = \Lambda_L$. It is possible that using the methods developed in this paper, one can make progress toward this conjecture.

1.4. **Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, we define the cyclic walk and the regenerated cyclic walk and prove some basic a priori estimates for these walks. In Section 3 we state the induction hypothesis and in Section 4 we show that the walk will, most likely, avoid its history after a relaxed time. One of the main ideas of this paper is the escape algorithm which is presented in Section 5. It shows that every time the walk enters a new small unexplored region, it has a non negligible chance of escaping far in a short period of time. The results of this section allows us to handle complicated situations in which the walk entangles with its history. In Section 6 we prove that the walk does not spend too much time in any large block and that the the walk will quickly reach a relaxed time. These key estimates allows us to couple the long walk with a concatenation of shorter independent walks. In Section 7 we use this concatenation to prove the induction step. In Section 8 we prove the induction base. Finally, in Section 9 we prove some Brownian motion estimates that are used throughout the paper.

1.5. **Acknowledgments.** The first author would like to give a special thanks to Ron Peled for introducing to him the conjecture of Tóth in a seminar in Tel Aviv University around eight years ago and for many helpful discussions over the years. This conjecture is one of the reasons why DE decided to specialize in probability theory. DE thanks Ofir Gorodetsky, Gady Kozma, Yuval Peres and Daniel Ueltschi for fruitful discussions on the interchange process and related models. We thank Roland Bauerschmidt and Tom Spencer for meeting with us. Indeed, their suggestions really helped get us started with the project. We thank Bálint Tóth for telling us about the history and background of the problem and of course for making the conjecture! A.S. is supported by NSF grants DMS-1855527, DMS-1749103, a Simons Investigator grant, and a MacArthur Fellowship.

2. Cyclic and regenerated walks

The continuous time **interchange process** π_β is stochastic process taking values in the symmetric group on the vertex set of some graph $G = (V, E)$ with generator

$$\mathscr{L} f(\pi) = \sum_{(u,v)\in E} (f((uv)\cdot\pi) - f(\pi))$$

It can be constructed as follows from rate 1 Poisson clocks Ψ_e on each edge e of the graph. If edge $e = (u, v)$ rings at time s, the process is multiplied by the transposition (uv) so $\pi_s = (uv) \cdot \pi_{s^-}$.

For time $t = k\beta + s$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in [0, \beta)$ we define the **cyclic walk with period** β to be $W(t) := (\pi_s(\pi_\beta)^k)(0)$ which describes the position of the origin generated by the random transpositions which repeat cyclically with period β. More concretely, the walk jumps from u to v at time $t = k\beta + s$ if $W(t-) = u$ and the edge (u, v) rings at time s. Note that according to this definition the walk W is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Up until time β the transpositions are Poisson processes so the cyclic walk is simply a continuous time random walk in the interval $[0, \beta]$. However, after time β it may encounter jumps it has already taken and so there will be an interaction with its past. In particular, the cyclic walk is not a Markov chain. A cyclic walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ is illustrated in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. A cyclic time random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$. The red bars indicate a ring on the corresponding edge. The pattern repeats periodically when time is shifted up by β. The blue path is the cyclic random walk $W(t)$ starting from the origin. When the walk meets a horizontal bar it jumps across it to the other side. In this example the walk closes at time 3β. Note also that -1 is a fixed point of π_β and $(2, 3)$ is a cycle of length 2.

From here let us assume that the graph is $\mathbb{Z}^d$. In order to sample the cyclic walk (say in a computer simulation), we do not need the randomness of the Poisson processes on all the edges. In fact, we only need the randomness of a simple, continuous time random walk. Let $X(t)$ be a simple continuous time walk, jumping with rate one to each one of its neighbors. We define $W(t)$ for $t > 0$ in the following inductive way. Intuitively, W takes exactly the same steps as X, unless it is forced to do something else by its history. That is, the jump is suppressed if W is attempting to jump into its history and a jump is forced if its history jumps into it. More precisely, suppose that W was defined for all $s \leq t^-$. Then,

(1) If X jumps at time t and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$W(t^-) + X(t) - X(t^-) \neq W(t - k\beta)$$

then we take the jump: $W(t) - W(t^-) = X(t) - X(t^-)$. Otherwise, the jump is suppressed: $W(t) = W(t^-)$.

(2) Similarly, if for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that W jumps at time $t - k\beta$ and $W(t - k\beta) = W(t^-)$ then W is forced to jump: $W(t) = W((t - k\beta)^-)$.

It is clear that the walk W defined in the above way has the same law as the cyclic random walk. We say that the cyclic random walk $W(t)$ is **driven** by the simple random walk $X(t)$. We let $\mathcal{F}_t$ be the sigma algebra generated by the walk $X(s)$ for $s \in [0, t]$.

We say that the walk W is **interacting with its past** at time $t > 0$ if

$$\inf\left\{|W(t) - W(t - k\beta)| : k \in \mathbb{N},\ k \le t/\beta\right\} \le 1.$$

If $W(t)$ does not interact with its past in $[t, t']$ then $W(s) - W(t) = X(s) - X(t)$ for all $s \in [t, t']$.

If the origin is in a finite cycle then for some positive integer k we have that $W(k\beta) = 0$ and we define

$$\tau_{\text{reg}} = \inf\{k\beta : k \in \mathbb{N}, W(k\beta) = 0\}.$$

After τ_{reg}, the cyclic walk repeats its past and so $W(s + \tau_{\text{reg}}) = W(s)$ for all $s \ge 0$. We call τ_{reg} the **time the cycle closes** and, for reasons that will be clear shortly, the **regeneration time**. Our goal is to study the cyclic walk on the event that the cycle does not close. Rather than study the conditional distribution, if the cycle closes we generate a new independent interchange process and run the process until the cycle closes in that one, repeating as many times as necessary. Formally, we define the **regenerated cyclic walk** $W(s)$ as follows. Let $\{W^{(i)}(s)\}_{i\ge1}$ be IID cyclic walks with regeneration times $\{\tau^{(i)}_{\text{reg}}\}_{i\ge1}$. Then

$$W(s) := W^{(i)}\left(s - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \tau^{(j)}_{\text{reg}}\right) \quad \text{for } s \in \left[\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \tau^{(j)}_{\text{reg}}, \sum_{j=1}^{i} \tau^{(j)}_{\text{reg}}\right]. \tag{2.1}$$

That is it sequentially follows the cyclic walks $W^{(i)}(s)$ up until they close the cycle and then moves onto the next one. Note that if i is the smallest integer with $\tau^{(i)}_{\text{reg}} = \infty$ then after time $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \tau^{(j)}_{\text{reg}}$ then the walk evolves according to $W^{(i)}(s)$ for all future time. We let

$$\mathfrak{R}_t = \max\left\{i : \sum_{j=1}^{i} \tau^{(j)}_{\text{reg}} \le t\right\}$$

count the number of regeneration by time t and let $\tau_{\text{reg}} = \tau^{(1)}_{\text{reg}}$ denote the first regeneration time. We let

$$\alpha(t) := \inf\{s : \mathfrak{R}_t = \mathfrak{R}_s\}$$

which is the most recent regeneration time. We can couple the regenerated random walk in the same way where the coupling is started anew at each regeneration time.

Let $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t)$ be the first time the walk interacts with its history, that is

$$\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) := \inf\left\{s > t : \exists s' \in s + \beta\mathbb{Z},\ \alpha(t) < s' < t \text{ such that } \|W(s) - W(s')\| \le 1\right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

We can couple the future of the walk after time t with an independent cyclic walk until $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $W(t)$ be the cyclic walk driven by $X(t)$. Let $W'(s)$ be the cyclic walk driven by $X'(s) = X(t + s) - X(t)$. Then for $s \in [0, \tau_{hit}(t) - t]$ we have $W(t + s) - W(t) = W'(s)$.*

Proof. Since the driving random walks are the same for $W'(s)$ and $W(t+s)-W(t)$, they are identical until $W(t+s)-W(t)$ is affected by its past in $[-t,0]$ which happens at $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t)-t$. □

In Section 7 we will use Lemma 2.1 to approximate a cyclic walk by a sum of shorter independent ones and thus show it is approximately Brownian. Let us note that by symmetry we have that there exists θ_t such that

$$\mathbb{E}[W(t)] = 0, \qquad \text{Cov}(W(t)) = \theta_t I.$$

2.1. **Constant policy.** Throughout the paper we regard the dimension $d \geq 5$, as fixed. Constants such as C, c may depend on d but are independent of all other parameters. These constants are regarded as generic constants in the sense that their value may change from one appearance to the next, with the value of C increasing and the value of c decreasing. However, constants labeled with a fixed number, such as C_0, c_0, have a fixed value throughout the paper.

2.2. **Deviation bounds.** In this section we give some simple a priori bounds on the walk moving too far in a short period of time.

Lemma 2.2. *For all $L, t \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s\leq t} \|W(s)\| \geq 10dLt\Big) \leq Ce^{-Lt}.$$

Proof. Let $s_i = \inf\{s : \langle W(s), e_1\rangle = i\}$ where $e_1 = (1,0,\dots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Each new step further along in direction e_1 corresponds to a new Poisson clock ring so

$$\mathbb{P}[s_{10LT} \leq t] \leq \mathbb{P}[\text{Poisson}(t) \geq 10LT] \leq e^{-Lt}.$$

Taking a union over the co-ordinate directions and the positive and negative directions,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s\leq t} \|W(s)\| \geq 10dLt\Big) \leq \mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s\leq t} \|W(s)\|_\infty \geq 10Lt\Big) \leq e^{-Lt}.$$

□

It will also be useful ensure the walk does not move to fast within a short time period. Define the stopping time

$$\tau_{\text{fast}}(L) := \inf\big\{t > 0 : \max_{s\in[t-1,t]} \|W(t) - W(s)\| \geq L\big\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Claim 2.3. *There exists $C, c > 0$, such that for $L \geq 1$ we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\text{fast}}(L) < t\big) \leq Ct^2 e^{-cL}.$$

Proof. When $t \leq \beta$ we can couple the walk with a simple random walk and the result follows by standard random walk estimates. So assume that $t \geq \beta$. First consider the problem for a non-regenerated cyclic walk $\hat{W}(s)$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \big\{\max_{s\in[i-1,i]} \|\hat{W}(s) - \hat{W}(i-1)\| \geq L/4\big\}$$

By Lemma 2.2 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_1] \leq Ce^{-cL}.$$

Next let

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i = \left\{\max_{\substack{s,s'\in[(i-1)\beta,i\beta]\\ |s-s'|\leq 1}} \|\hat{W}(s) - \hat{W}(s')\| \geq L/2\right\}.$$

By the triangle inequality, $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_1 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\lceil\beta\rceil} \mathcal{A}_i$ and by stationary of the process have that $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_1] = \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_i]$ and so by a union bound

$$\mathbb{P}[\hat{\mathcal{C}}_1] \leq C\beta e^{-cL}.$$

Again by stationarity we have that $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_1] = \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_i]$. Now, moving back to the regenerated random walk let

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \left\{ \max_{\substack{s,s' \in [(i-1)\beta, i\beta] \\ |s-s'| \leq 1}} \|W(s) - W(s')\| \geq L/2 \right\}.$$

Since regeneration times happen at integer multiples of β, the regenerated and non-regenerated walks have the same distribution up to time β and so $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_1] = \mathbb{P}[\hat{\mathcal{C}}_1] \leq C\beta e^{-cL}$. If we view the regenerated cyclic walk as constructed out of a sequence of independent walks as in equation (2), we have that $\mathcal{C}_i$ holds provided $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{i-\alpha((i-1)\beta)/\beta}$ holds for the $\mathfrak{R}_{(i-1)\beta}$th walk. There are at most t/β regenerations by time t so by a union bound

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\lceil t/\beta \rceil} \mathcal{C}_i \right] \leq \lceil t/\beta \rceil^2 \mathbb{P}[\hat{\mathcal{C}}_1] \leq Ct^2\beta e^{-cL}.$$

The lemma is completed by noting that $\tau_{\text{fast}}(L) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\lceil t/\beta \rceil} \mathcal{C}_i$. □

3. Induction hypothesis

In this section we outline our inductive hypothesis which will be over a series of time scales $t_n = 4^n t_0$ where $t_0 \in (1, 4]$. Throughout the remainder of the paper we will assume that β is a large constant and each lemma should be read as implicitly stating that "for a sufficiently large fixed β the following holds". We fix the constant $\epsilon := 1/(200d)$ throughout the paper.

For all $n \geq 1$, we partition $\mathbb{Z}^d$ into blocks of side length 2^n and let $\mathcal{B}_n$ be the set of blocks in the partition. These are blocks of the form $B = k + [0, 2^n)^d$ where $k \in 2^n\mathbb{Z}^d$. We will let $N(B, x) = \{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d : d(u, B) \leq x\}$.

A block B of length $2^n \geq \beta^{\epsilon^4}$ (not necessarily in the partition) is called heavy at time t if

$$\left|\left\{u \in B : \ \exists\, \alpha(t) \leq s \leq t, \ W(s) = u\right\}\right| \geq 5^n$$

and super heavy if

$$\left|\left\{u \in B : \ \exists\, \alpha(t) \leq s \leq t, \ W(s) = u\right\}\right| \geq 6^n.$$

Note that typically a random walk stays $O(4^n)$ time inside a block of side length 2^n so if the cyclic walk behaves like random walk then we do not expect to encounter heavy blocks above a logarithmic scale. We say that a block B of side length $2^n < \beta^{\epsilon^4}$ is super heavy at time t if there exists $s \in [\alpha(t), t - \beta^{\epsilon^3}]$ such that $W(s) \in B$. We say that a time t is **relaxed** if for all $n \geq 1$, the block $B = W(t) + [-2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1})^d$ is not super heavy at time t.

Definition 3.1. *A path of length $t \in (4^n, 4^{n+1}]$ is relaxed if*

$$\left|\left\{s \in [0, t] : s \text{ is relaxed}\right\}\right| \geq \big(9/10 + 1/n\big)t.$$

The required fraction of relaxed times decreases slightly as n increases to allow some room for errors in the induction step.

We define the inductive failure probability bound at time t_n to be

$$p_n = p_n(\beta, t_0) := \begin{cases} e^{-n^3} & \text{when } t_n < \beta \\ e^{-n^2} & \text{when } t_n \geq \beta \end{cases}$$

Note that for all n we have $e^{-n^3} \le p_n \le e^{-n^2}$. The following 5 assumptions are the inductive hypothesis at time t_n.

(1) Relaxed paths: The path up to time t_n is relaxed with probability at least $1 - p_n$.

(2) Transition probabilities: For all $1 \le t \le t_n$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(W(t) = u) \le t^{-d/2+0.1}.$$

(3) Traveling far: We have that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{s \le t_n} \|W(s)\| \ge n^2\sqrt{t_n}\Big) \le e^{-3n^2} p_n.$$

(4) Approximately Brownian: There exists a constant $\sigma = \sigma(t_n)$ with

$$|\sigma - \sqrt{2}| \le \max\{3\beta^{-1/16} - t_n^{-1/16}, 0\}$$

such that the following holds. There is a coupling of W and a Brownian motion B such that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{t \le t_n} |W(t) - \sigma B(t)| \ge t_n^{2/5}\Big) \le p_n.$$

(5) Pair proximity property: Let W_1 and W_2 be two cyclic walks starting from $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ at some cyclic times $q_1, q_2 \le \beta$ running for a slightly reduced time $t'_n := t_n/n^4$. Let $\tau_{\text{reg}}^{(i)}$ be the time that walk i closes

$$\tau_{\text{reg}}^{(i)} = \inf\{s > q_i : W_i(s) = W(q_i) = u_i, s \equiv q_i \bmod \beta\}$$

and let M be the time W_2 merges with W_1

$$M = \inf\big\{s_2 \ge q_2 : \exists s_1 \in [q_1, \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(1)} \wedge (q_1 + t'_n)], W_2(s_2) = Q_1(s_2), s_1 \equiv s_2 \bmod \beta\big\}$$

We write $\mathfrak{P}_n = \mathfrak{P}_n(u_1, u_2, q_1, q_2)$ for the set of times W_2 is proximate to W_1 before closings or mergers,

$$\mathfrak{P}_n = \big\{s_2 \in [q_2, \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(2)} \wedge M \wedge (q_2 + t'_n)] : \exists\, s_1 \in [q_1, \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(1)} \wedge (q_1 + t'_n)],\ |W_1(s_1) - W_2(s_2)| \le 1.9^n\big\}.$$

Then, for any choice of u_1, u_2, q_1, q_2 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\big|\mathfrak{P}_n\big| \ge 3.9^n, M > \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(2)} \wedge (q_2 + t'_n)\Big) \le p_n. \tag{3.1}$$

The condition $M > \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(2)} \wedge (q_2 + t'_n)$ means that the two walks do not merge.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists β_0 and n_0 such that for all $\beta \ge \beta_0$ and $n \ge n_0$ the 5 inductive hypothesises hold.*

Using this theorem we can easily prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. A cycle can only be closed at an integer multiple of β and therefore by inductive hypothesis

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau_{\text{reg}} < \infty] \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[W(i\beta) = 0] \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (i\beta)^{-d/2+0.1} < 2/\beta < 1$$

for large β. Theorem 1 follows immediately from the last estimate and the zero-one law. $\square$

4. Avoiding the history from Relaxed Times

In the next four sections we will assume the induction hypothesis holds for all $1 < t_0 \le 4$ and all $n' < n$. In particular, it holds at time 4^n. The following lemma shows that at relaxed times we have a good probability of not interacting with our past.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $t > 0$ is a relaxed time. Then,*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) \ge t + 4^n \mid \mathcal{F}_t\big) \ge 1 - C\beta^{-c}.$$

For the proof of the lemma we will need the following claims.

Claim 4.2. *Let $L \ge 1$ and let $A \subseteq \big\{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|u\| \ge L\big\}$. Suppose that for all $\ell \ge 1$ we have that $\big|A \cap [-2^\ell, 2^\ell]^d\big| \le 6.1^\ell$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\forall s \le 4^n,\ W(s) \notin A\big) \ge 1 - CL^{-c}.$$

Proof. Let $\ell_0 := \lfloor \log_4 L \rfloor$ and for all $\ell_0 \le \ell \le n$ consider the set $A_\ell := N(A, \ell^2)$. By the traveling far property in the induction hypothesis we have that $\mathbb{P}(\|W(s)\| \ge \ell^2 2^\ell) \le e^{-c\ell^2}$ for all $4^{\ell-1} \le s \le 4^\ell$. Thus, using the inductive transition probabilities and the assumption on the density of A we obtain for all $4^{\ell-1} \le s \le 4^\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(W(s) \in A_\ell) &\le \mathbb{P}(\|W(s)\| \ge \ell^2 2^\ell) + \mathbb{P}\big(W(s) \in A_\ell \cap [-\ell^2 2^\ell, \ell^2 2^\ell]^d\big)\\ &\le e^{-c\ell^2} + C\ell^C 6.1^\ell s^{-d/2+0.1} \le (2/9)^\ell,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used that $d \ge 5$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\exists s \in \mathbb{N} \cap [4^{\ell-1}, 4^\ell],\ W(s) \in A_\ell\big) \le e^{-c\ell}.$$

Next, consider the event $\mathcal{D}_\ell := \{\tau_{\text{fast}}(\ell^2) > 4^\ell\}$ and note that by Lemma 2.3 we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}_\ell) \ge 1 - e^{-c\ell^2}$. Moreover, on $\mathcal{D}_\ell$ for all $4^{\ell-1} \le s \le 4^\ell$ such that $W(s) \in A$ we have that $W(\lceil s \rceil) \in A_\ell$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\exists s \in [4^{\ell-1}, 4^\ell],\ W(s) \in A\big) \le \mathbb{P}\big(\exists s \in \mathbb{N} \cap [4^{\ell-1}, 4^\ell],\ W(s) \in A_\ell\big) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}_\ell^c) \le e^{-c\ell}.$$

Finally, using that $A \subseteq \{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|u\| \ge L\}$ and a union bound we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\exists s \le 4^n,\ W(s) \in A\big) &\le \mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s \le L} \|W(s)\| \ge L\Big) + \sum_{\ell=\ell_0}^{n} \mathbb{P}\big(\exists s \in [4^{\ell-1}, 4^\ell],\ W(s) \in A\big)\\ &\le CL^{-1} + C\sum_{\ell=\ell_0}^{\infty} e^{-c\ell} \le CL^{-c},\end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we used the traveling far property of the inductive assumption. □

Claim 4.3. *For any $t \le 4^n$ and $t^{2/5} \le L \le t^{1/2}$ we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\forall t \le s \le 4^n,\ \|W(s)\| \ge L\big) \ge 1 - CL^{d-2}t^{-(d-2)/2}. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. We prove the claim using the inductive Brownian approximation. Let $\ell_0 := \lfloor \log_4 t \rfloor$ and for $\ell \ge \ell_0$ let B_ℓ be the Brownian motion from the induction hypothesis at time 4^ℓ. Define the event

$$\mathcal{D} := \bigcap_{\ell=\ell_0}^{n} \Big\{ \max_{s \le 4^\ell} \|W(s) - \sigma_\ell B_\ell(s)\| \le 4^{2\ell/5} \Big\}$$

and note that by the induction hypothesis we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}) \geq 1 - Ce^{-c\ell_0^2}$. Next, define

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigcap_{\ell=\ell_0}^{n} \big\{ \inf_{s\in[4^{\ell-1},\leq 4^\ell]} \|\sigma_\ell B_\ell(s)\| \geq 2\max(4^{2\ell/5}, L)\big\}.$$

Let ℓ_1 be the first integer for which $4^{2\ell/5} > L$. By Lemma 9.2 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}^c) \leq \sum_{\ell=\ell_0}^{\ell_1} \mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{s>4^{\ell-1}} \|\sigma_\ell B_\ell(s)\| \geq 2L\Big) + \sum_{\ell=\ell_1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{s>4^{\ell-1}} \|\sigma_\ell B_\ell(s)\| \geq 2\cdot 4^{2\ell/5}\Big)$$
$$\leq C\sum_{\ell=\ell_0}^{\ell_1} L^{d-2}2^{-(d-2)\ell} + C\sum_{\ell=\ell_1}^{n} 4^{-(d-2)\ell/10} \leq CL^{d-2}t^{-(d-2)/2}$$

which completes the proof since on $\mathcal{C}\cap\mathcal{D}$ the event in (4.3) holds. □

We now prove Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let $\tilde{W}$ be a regenerated walk independent of W and let $W'(s) := W(t) + \tilde{W}(s)$ for all $s > 0$. By Lemma 2.1 we can couple W and W' such that for all $t \leq s \leq \tau_{\text{hit}}(t)$ we have that $W(s) = W'(s-t)$. Next, define the set

$$A := \big\{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|u - W(t)\| \geq \beta^{\epsilon^4} \text{ and } \exists s \in [0,t],\ \|W(s) - u\| \leq 1\big\}$$

and note that for all $\ell \geq 1$ we have $\big|A \cap \big(W(t) + [-2^\ell, 2^\ell]^d\big)\big| \leq 6^{\ell+2}$ since t is relaxed. Thus, using Claim 4.2, Claim 4.3 and that $\tilde{W}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_t$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\forall s \leq 4^n,\ W'(s) \notin A \mid \mathcal{F}_t\big) \geq 1 - C\beta^{-c\epsilon^4}. \tag{4.2}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\forall s \geq \beta^{\epsilon^3},\ \|W'(s) - W(t)\| \geq \beta^{\frac{2}{5}\epsilon^3} \mid \mathcal{F}_t\big) \geq 1 - C\beta^{-\epsilon^3/10}. \tag{4.3}$$

It suffices to show that on the intersection of (4) and (4) we have that $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) \geq t + 4^n$. To this end, by the definition of $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t)$ we have that

$$\|W'(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) - t) - W(s)\| \leq 1 \text{ for some } s \leq t \text{ with } s \in \tau_{\text{hit}}(t) + \beta\mathbb{Z}.$$

If $\|W'(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) - t) - W(t)\| \geq \beta^{\epsilon^4}$ then $W'(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) - t) \in A$. Thus, on the event in (4) we have $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) - t \geq 4^n$. If $\|W'(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) - t) - W(t)\| < \beta^{\epsilon^4}$ then on the event in (4) we have $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) < t + \beta^{\epsilon^3}$ and therefore $s < t - \beta/2$. By the definition of relaxed time we have $\|W(s) - W(t)\| \geq \beta^{\epsilon^4}$ which is a contradiction since $\|W'(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) - t) - W(s)\| \leq 1$. It follows that $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) \geq t + 4^n$ on the intersection of the events in (4) and (4). □

5. The escape algorithm

We will use the results of this section in order to escape fast from (possibly) messy situations. Assume that the induction hypothesis holds at all levels $n' < n$. Let j be the unique integer for which $n^{40} \leq 2^j < 2n^{40}$ and let $j \leq k \leq n/2$. Finally, let $C_1 = C_1(d) > 2$ be a sufficiently large constant that will be determined later. Define the escape stopping time

$$\tau_{\text{esc}} := \inf\left\{ t > 0 \ : \ \begin{array}{c} t \text{ is the first time we enter a block } B \in \mathcal{B}_j \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{P}\big(\|W(t + k^3 4^k) - W(t)\| \geq k2^k \mid \mathcal{F}_t\big) \leq n^{-C_1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

In words, before τ_{esc} every time we enter a new block $B \in \mathcal{B}_j$ we have a chance of at least n^{-C_1} to escape to a distance of at least $k2^k$ in time $k^3 4^k$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *We have that* $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\text{esc}} < t_n \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}}) \leq e^{-cn^4}$.

Theorem 5.1 follows immediately from the following proposition. From now on we fix a block $B = u + [0, 2^j)^d \in \mathcal{B}_j$ and let ξ_B to be the first time we enter B.

Proposition 5.2. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\xi_B \leq t_n \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}} \text{ and } \mathbb{P}\big(\|W(\xi_B + k^3 4^k) - W(\xi_B)\| \geq k2^k \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\big) \leq n^{-C_1}\Big) \leq e^{-cn^4}.$$



FIGURE 2. The escape algorithm. Corollary 5.4 shows that with very high probability at least one of the red paths will escape far. Most likely, this is true after conditioning on the history before entering B (the purple path). Once we enter B, we reveal the red paths and find the successful starting point (p_2). Then, we use Lemma 5.5 to connect v to this starting point at the right time and escape. For the proof of Lemma 5.5, we first use Claim 5.7 that shows that with positive probability, the green path will avoid the red paths and connect to B^- in a short amount of time. Then, we use Claim 5.6 to connect v to w while staying in B^-. This is done by splitting the blue path into $O(\log n)$ many paths of different length scales and use the Brownian approximation in each scale.

Theorem 5.1 follows from Proposition 5.2 and a union bound over all blocks $B \in \mathcal{B}_j$ at distance at most 5^n from the origin.

We next define the event $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_B(\beta')$ for an integer $\beta' \leq \beta$. Intuitively, $\mathcal{A}$ says that the block B is escapable. That is, if the walk enters B for the first time then it has a chance of at least n^{-C_1} to escape far.

We let $B^- := u + [0, 2^{j-1}) \times [0, 2^j)^{d-1}$ and $B^+ := u + [2^{j-1}, 2^j) \times [0, 2^j)^{d-1}$ be the left and right halves of the box B respectively. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_{n^4}$ be equally spaced points in the interior of B^+. To make things concrete, let

$$p_i := u + 2^{j-2}(3, 2, \ldots, 2) + in^{35}e_2 \quad i \leq n^4.$$

See Figure 2. For each one of these points we consider the cyclic random walk $W_i(t)$ starting from p_i at the cyclic time β'. We define inductively a sequence of stopping times τ_i and expose the walk $W_i(t)$ up to time τ_i. Suppose that $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{i-1}$ were defined. We define τ_i to be the first time $t > 0$ for which one of the following occurs:

(1) The walk reaches 0.
(2) We have $t \geq \beta/3$ with $\|W_j(t) - p_j\| \leq \log \beta$.
(3) We have $\|W_i(t) - p_\ell\| \leq n^{35}/2$ for $\ell \neq i$.
(4) A block $p_\ell + [-2^m, 2^m)^d$ becomes heavy with respect to $(W_i(s), s < t)$ for some $\ell \neq i$ and $m \geq 1$.
(5) The block $p_i + [-2^m, 2^m)^d$ becomes heavy with respect to $(W_i(s), s < t)$ for some m with $2^m \geq \log \beta$.
(6) For some $\ell < i$ and $s \leq \tau_\ell$ we have $\|W_i(t) - W_\ell(s)\| \leq 1$.
(7) We have that $d(W(t), B^-) \leq 2^{j-3}$.
(8) time reaches $k^3 4^k$.

We define

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}_i(\beta') := \big\{\tau_i = k^3 4^k \text{ and } \|W_i(s)\| \geq k2^{k+1} \text{ for all } k^3 4^{k-1} \leq s \leq k^3 4^k\big\}$$

and let $\mathcal{A} := \bigcup_{i \leq n^4} \mathcal{A}_i$.

Lemma 5.3. *For all $i \leq n^4$ we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{A}_i \;\big|\; W_\ell(s),\ s \leq \tau_\ell,\ \ell < i\big) \geq c.$$

Proof. Let W_i' be a regenerated cyclic walk starting from p_i and independent of $(W_\ell(s),\ s \leq \tau_\ell, \ell < i)$. We can couple W_i and W_i' such that $W_i(s) = W_i'(s)$ for all $s \leq \tau_i$. Define the event

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigcap_{m=m_1}^{k} \big\{\forall m^3 4^m \leq s \leq 4^n,\ \|W_i'(s) - p_i\| \geq m2^{m+2}\big\},$$

where $m_1 := \lfloor \log\log\beta \rfloor$. By Claim 4.3 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}^c) \leq C \sum_{m=m_1}^{\infty} m^{-3/2} \leq C(\log\log\beta)^{-1/2},$$

where in here we also used that $d \geq 5$.

Next, define the set

$$A := \{0\} \cup \big\{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \exists \ell < i,\ s \leq \tau_\ell,\ \|u - W_\ell(s)\| \leq 1\big\}.$$

Note that any $u \in A$ satisfies $\|u - p_i\| \geq n^{35}/2 - 1 \geq \log\beta$ since we have $\beta \leq 4^{n+1}$. Moreover, by condition (4), for all $m \geq 1$ we have that

$$\big|A \cap \big(p_i + [-2^m, 2^m]^d\big)\big| \leq n^4 5^m \leq 6^m.$$

We can now use Claim 4.2 to obtain that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \geq 1 - C\beta^c$ where $\mathcal{C} := \{\forall s \leq 4^n : W_i'(s) \notin A\}$.

Consider the stopping time $\tau_{\text{fast}}^{(i)}(L)$ defined as in (2.2) for the walk W_i'. Define the event

$$\mathcal{D} := \bigcap_{m=m_1}^{k} \big\{\tau_{\text{fast}}^{(i)}(m^2) \geq m^3 4^m\big\}.$$

By Claim 2.3 we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}) \geq 1 - 1/\log\beta$.

Next, let B_j be the Brownian motion from the inductive assumption at time $j^3 4^j$ for W_i. By the inductive assumption we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \geq 1 - Ce^{-cj^2}$ where we let $\mathcal{E}$ be the event that the coupling of W_i' and B_j was successful. Finally, consider the event

$$\mathcal{H} := \Big\{\forall s \leq j^3 4^j,\ d(B_j(s), B^-) \geq 0.2 \cdot 2^j \text{ and } \forall \ell \neq i,\ \|B_j(s) - p_\ell\| \geq 0.7 n^{35}\Big\}.$$

We clearly have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \geq c$.

We obtain that the event $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{I}) \geq c$ and therefore it suffices to show $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i$. On the event $\mathcal{C}$ the stopping time τ_i is not stopped because of condition (1). It also doesn't stop because of condition (2) on $\mathcal{B}$. Next, on the event $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}$ we don't stop because of condition (3) since the distance between W_i and B_j is at most $(j^3 4^j)^{2/5} \ll n^{35}$. Next, note that on $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{D}$, for all $m \geq m_1$ we have that

$$\big|\big\{u \in p_i + [-2^m, 2^m]^d : \exists s \leq k^3 4^k \text{ with } W_i'(s) = u\big\}\big| \leq m^C 4^m. \tag{5.1}$$

It follows that τ_i is not stopped because of condition (5). We turn to show that τ_i is not stopped because of condition (4). Let $\ell \neq i$ and $m \geq 1$. We wish to show that on $\mathcal{I}$ and before τ_i, the block $p_\ell + [-2^m, 2^m]$ is not heavy. If $2^m \leq n^{35}/2$ then the W_i' does not enter the block $p_\ell + [-2^m, 2^m]$ on $\mathcal{I}$ by the arguments above. If $n^{35}/2 \leq 2^m \leq 2^{j-2}$ then $p_\ell + [-2^m, 2^m]^d \subseteq p_i + [-2^j, 2^j]^d$ and therefore by (5) we have

$$\big|\big\{u \in p_\ell + [-2^m, 2^m]^d : \exists s \leq k^3 4^k \text{ with } W_i'(s) = u\big\}\big| \leq j^C 4^j \leq n^{81} < 5^m$$

and so the block $p_\ell + [-2^m, 2^m]^d$ is not heavy. Finally, if $2^m \geq 2^{j-2}$ then $p_\ell + [-2^m, 2^m]^d \subseteq p_i + [-2^{m+3}, 2^{m+3}]^d$ and so $p_\ell + [-2^m, 2^m]^d$ is not heavy by (5).

Next, on the event $\mathcal{C}$, τ_i is not stopped because of condition (6).

Finally, on $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}$ we have that $d(W_i'(s), B^-) > 2^{j-2}$ for all $s \leq j^3 4^j$ and on $\mathcal{B}$ we have $d(W_i'(s), B^-) > 2^{j-2}$ for all $s > j^3 4^j$. This shows that τ_i is not stopped because of condition (7). It follows that $\tau_i = k^3 4^k$. Moreover, on $\mathcal{B}$ we have that $\|W_i'(s)\| \geq k 2^{k+1}$ for all $k^3 4^{k-1} \leq s \leq k^3 4^k$. We obtain that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i$ as needed. □

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 5.4. *We have that* $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}(\beta')) \geq 1 - e^{-cn^4}$.

Next, let $\mathcal{G}_B(\beta')$ be the sigma algebra generated by $(W_i(s),\ s \leq \tau_i,\ i \leq n^4)$. We also let t' be the last cyclic integer before $\xi_B + 4^j$ and define the event

$$\mathcal{C}(\beta') := \{\xi_B \leq t_n \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}},\ W(\xi_B) \in B^- \text{ and } t' \equiv \beta' (\text{mod } \beta)\}$$

for any integer $\beta' \leq \beta$. Corollary 5.4 shows that with high probability there is $i \leq n^4$ such that the cyclic walk starting from p_i escapes far. The next lemma shows that with non negligible probability we can connect to p_i at the right time and escape.

Lemma 5.5. *For all integers* $\beta' \leq \beta$ *and* $i \leq n^4$, *on the event* $\mathcal{C}(\beta')$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(W(t') = p_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B},\ \mathcal{G}_B(\beta')\big) \geq 2n^{-C_1}.$$

Using Lemma 5.5 and Corollary 5.4 we can easily prove Proposition 5.2.

Proof. Note that if $W(t') = p_i$ and the event $\mathcal{A}_{B,i}$ holds then $\|W(\xi_B + k^3 4^k) - W(t)\| \geq k2^k$. Thus, letting $\mathcal{A}'_{B,i} := \mathcal{A}_{B,i} \setminus \big(\bigcup_{\ell<i} A_{B,\ell}\big)$, on the event $C(\beta')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\big(\|W(\xi_B + k^3 4^k) - W(\xi_B)\| \geq k2^k \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\big) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n^4} \mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{A}'_{B,i},\ W(t) = p_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\big) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n^4} \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}'_{B,i}} \mathbb{P}\big(W(t) = p_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B},\ \mathcal{G}_B(\beta')\big) \ \Big|\ \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\Big] \\ &\geq 2n^{-C_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n^4} \mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{A}'_{B,i} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\big) = 2n^{-C_1} \cdot \mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{A}_B \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Next, define the event $\mathcal{E} := \big\{\mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{A}_B^c \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B \wedge t_n \wedge \tau_{\mathrm{reg}}}\big) < 1/2\big\}$ and note that by Markov's inequality, we have that where

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^c) \leq 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_B^c) \leq e^{-cn^4}.$$

Moreover, on the event $C(\beta') \cap \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|W(\xi_B + k^3 4^k) - W(\xi_B)\| \geq k2^k \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\big) > n^{-C_1}.$$

We obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\mathcal{C}(\beta') \text{ and } \mathbb{P}\big(\|W(\xi_B + k^3 4^k) - W(\xi_B)\| \geq k2^k \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\big) \leq n^{-C_1}\Big) \leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^c) \leq e^{-cn^4}.$$

Summing over integers $\beta' \leq \beta$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\xi_B \leq t_n \wedge \tau_{\mathrm{reg}},\ W(\xi_B) \in B^-,\ \mathbb{P}\big(\|W(\xi_B + k^3 4^k) - W(\xi_B)\| \geq k2^k \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}\big) \leq n^{-C_1}\Big) \leq e^{-cn^4},$$

where in here we also used that $n \geq \log_4 \beta - 2$. Using exactly the same arguments when B^- is replaced with B^+ finishes the proof of the proposition. □

We turn to prove Lemma 5.5. To this end we need the following claims.

Claim 5.6. *Let $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that*

$$v \in \partial B \cap B^-, \quad w \in u + \{2^{j-1} - 1\} \times [2^{j-2}, 3 \cdot 2^{j-2}]^{d-1}$$

where ∂B is the set of vertices in B with a neighbour outside of B. Let $W_v(t)$ be the cyclic random walk starting at v. For all $4^{j-1} \leq t \leq 4^j$ we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(W_v(t) = w \text{ and } W_v(s) \in B^- \text{ for all } s \leq t\big) \geq n^{-C_1+1}.$$

We turn to state the second claim. To this end, recall that for $i \leq n^4$, $W_i(t)$ is the cyclic random walk that starts in p_i at time β'. We can also expose W_i backward in time and so $W_i(s)$ is defined for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\zeta_i := \sup\{t < 0 : W_i(t) \notin u + [2^{j-1}, 7 \cdot 2^{j-3}] \times [2^{j-2}, 3 \cdot 2^{j-2}]^{d-1}\}.$$

Claim 5.7. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\zeta_i \geq -4^{j-1} \text{ and } W_i(\zeta_i^-) \in B^- \ \big|\ \mathcal{G}_B(\beta')\big) \geq c.$$

Using these claims we can prove Lemma 5.5.

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Let $v \in \partial B \cap B^-$ and $w \in u + \{2^{j-1}-1\} \times [2^{j-2}, 3\cdot 2^{j-2}]^{d-1}$. By Claim 5.6, on the event $\mathcal{C}(\beta') \cap \{W(\xi_B) = v,\ \zeta_i \geq -4^{j-1},\ W_i(\zeta_i^-) = w\}$ we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(W(t'+\zeta_i^-) = w \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}, (W_i(t), t \in [\zeta_i, 0])\big) \geq n^{-C_1+1}. \tag{5.2}$$

Indeed, let W_v be a cyclic walk starting from v that is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}$ and $(W_i(t), t \in [\zeta_i, 0])$. On the event

$$\{W_v(t' - \xi_B + \zeta_i) = w \text{ and } W_v(s) \in B^- \text{ for all } s \leq t' - \xi_B + \zeta_i\}$$

We can couple W and W_v such that $W(\xi_B + s) = W_v(s)$ for all $s < t' - \xi_B + \zeta_i$. We note that there is a subtle point in here that requires some attention. The sigma algebras $\mathcal{F}_{\xi_B}$ and $\sigma(W_i(t), t \in [\zeta_i, 0])$ contain some information about edges that enter B^- and this information may ruin the coupling with W_v. However, the only information on such edges (except for the edges connected to v and w) is that the edge does not ring in a certain time interval since otherwise the walk W would have entered before ξ_B or W_i would have exited after ζ_i. Thus, on the event that W_v stays in B^- the information revealed on this edges is compatible. Note also that W_v does not return to v at a cyclic time before $t' - \xi_B + \zeta_i$ since it is a cyclic walk and therefore, the ring at ξ_B on the edge containing v will not force W out of B^-. The same holds at time $t' + \zeta_i$ on the edge containing w.

Finally, using (5) we obtain that in the event $\mathcal{C}(\beta') \cap \{W(\xi_B) = v\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\big(W(t') = p_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B},\ \mathcal{G}_B(\beta')\big) \\
&\geq \sum_w \mathbb{P}\big(\zeta_i \leq 4^{j-1} \text{ and } W_i(\zeta_i^-) = w,\ W(t'+\zeta_i^-) = w \ \big|\ \mathcal{F}_{\xi_B},\ \mathcal{G}_B(\beta')\big) \\
&\geq n^{-C_1+1} \sum_w \mathbb{P}\big(\zeta_i \leq 4^{j-1} \text{ and } W_i(\zeta_i^-) = w \ \big|\ \mathcal{G}_B(\beta')\big) \geq cn^{-C_1+1} \geq 2n^{-C_1},
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over $w \in u + \{2^{j-1}-1\} \times [2^{j-2}, 3\cdot 2^{j-2}]^{d-1}$ and where the third inequality is by Claim 5.7. □

We turn to prove Claim 5.6.

Proof of Claim 5.6. If $4^j \leq \beta$ then the claim follows from a standard random walk estimates.

Suppose next that $4^j \geq \beta$. Let $q = u + 2^{j-2}(1, 2\ldots, 2)$ be the center of B^- and define the continuous curve $\gamma : [0, t] \to B^-$ in the following way

$$\gamma(s) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{2s/t}\cdot q + (1-\sqrt{2s/t})v, & s \leq t/2 \\ \sqrt{2-2s/t}\cdot q + (1-\sqrt{2-2s/t})w, & t/2 \leq s \leq t \end{cases}.$$

The idea will be to use the Brownian approximation to show that $W_v(s)$ is close to $\gamma(s)$ in any dyadic scale with positive probability.

Let $m := \lfloor \log_4 t - \log\log\beta \rfloor$. We define a sequence of stopping times ζ_i and events $\mathcal{B}_i$ for $i \leq 2m$ in the following way. Let $\zeta_0 = 0$. For all $i \leq m$ we let ζ_i be the first time s after $4^{i-m}t/2$ that is relaxed with respect to the path $(W_v(s') : s' \in [\zeta_{i-1}, s])$ or time $0.6\cdot 4^{i-m}t$ is reached.

Next, we define the events $\mathcal{B}_i$ for $i \leq m$. For $i = 1$ we let $\mathcal{B}_1$ be the event that

(1) We have that $\zeta_1 < 0.6\cdot 4^{1-m}t$.
(2) For all $s \leq \zeta_1$ we have that $W_v(s) \in B^-$.
(3) We have that $\big\|W_v(\zeta_1) - \gamma(\zeta_1)\big\| \leq 2^{-m-3}\sqrt{t}$.

Note that $\beta/4 \le 4^{1-m}t \le (\log\beta)^C$. By the inductive assumption at time $0.6\cdot 4^{1-m}t$ we have that the path $(W(s) : s \in [0, 0.6\cdot 4^{1-m}t])$ is relaxed with probability $1-e^{-\log^2\beta}$ and on this event $\zeta_1 < 0.6\cdot 4^{1-m}t$. Moreover, before $4^{1-m}t$, W_v is a simple continuous time walk and therefore, using a straightforward random walk estimates we have that conditions (2) and (3) holds with probability at least $(\log\beta)^{-C}$. This shows that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_1) \ge c(\log\beta)^{-C}$.

Next, for any $2 \le i \le m$ we let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the event that

(1) We have $\zeta_i < 0.6\cdot 4^{i-m}t$.
(2) For all $\zeta_{i-1} \le s \le \zeta_i$ we have that $\left\|W_v(s)-\gamma(s)\right\| \le 2^{i-m-3}\sqrt{t}$.

We claim that for all $2 \le i \le m$ on the event $\bigcap_{i'<i}\mathcal{B}_{i'}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{\zeta_{i-1}}) \ge c$. To this end, we couple W_v with an independent regenerated walk $\tilde{W}$ starting from $W_v(\zeta_{i-1})$ such that $W_v(s) = \tilde{W}(s-\zeta_{i-1})$ until W_v hits its history. Using the inductive coupling with Brownian motion at time $0.6\cdot 4^{i-m}t - \zeta_{i-1}$ we have that $\tilde{W}$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\forall s \in [\zeta_{i-1}, 0.6\cdot 4^{i-m}t],\ \|\tilde{W}(s-\zeta_{i-1})-\gamma(s)\| \le 2^{i-m-3}\sqrt{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\zeta_{i-1}}\big) \ge c, \tag{5.3}$$

where in here we used that $\|\gamma'(s)\| \le C2^{m-i-j} \le C/(2^{i-m}\sqrt{t})$ in this time interval. Moreover, it is easy to check that on the event in (5) and $\bigcap_{i'<i}\mathcal{B}_i$, the walk $\tilde{W}$ will not intersect $(W_v(s), s \le \zeta_{i-2})$ before $0.6\cdot 4^{i-m}t$. Next, by Lemma 4.1, with probability at least $1-C\beta^{-c}$, the walk $W_v(s)$ for $s \in [\zeta_{i-1}, \zeta_{i-1}+4^n]$ will not hit its history in the interval $[\zeta_{i-2}, \zeta_{i-1}]$ since ζ_{i-1} is relaxed with respect to this history. It follows that with positive probability $W_v(s) = \tilde{W}(s-\zeta_{i-1})$ for all $s \in [\zeta_{i-1}, 0.6\cdot 4^{i-m}t]$ and (5) holds. Finally, by the inductive hypothesis, the path $(\tilde{W}(s), s \in [\zeta_{i-1}, 0.6\cdot 4^{i-m}t])$ is relaxed with very high probability and on this event $\zeta_i < 0.6\cdot 4^{i-m}t$. We obtain that on $\bigcap_{i'<i}\mathcal{B}_{i'}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{\zeta_{i-1}}) \ge c$.

We turn to define the stopping times ζ_i and the events $\mathcal{B}_i$ for $m \le i \le 2m$. We let ζ_i be the first time s after $t - 4^{m-i}t/2$ that is relaxed with respect to $(W_v(s') : s' \in [\zeta_{i-1}, s])$ or time $t - 0.3\cdot 4^{m-i}t$ is reached. The event $\mathcal{B}_i$ for $m+1 \le i \le 2m-1$ is defined to be

(1) We have that $\zeta_i < t - 0.3\cdot 4^{m-i}t$.
(2) For all $\zeta_{i-1} \le s \le \zeta_i$ we have that $\left\|W_v(s)-\gamma(s)\right\| \le 2^{m-i-3}\sqrt{t}$.
(3) We have that $\left\|W_v(\zeta_i)-\gamma(\zeta_i)\right\| \le 2^{m-i-5}\sqrt{t}$.

Note that in here $W(s)$ becomes closer to $\gamma(s)$ as i increases and therefore we add condition (3) to the definition. Using the same arguments as in the case $i \le m$ we obtain that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{\zeta_{i-1}}) \ge c$ on the event $\bigcap_{i'<i}\mathcal{B}_{i'}$.

Finally, when $i = 2m$ we define $\mathcal{B}_{2m}$ analogously to $\mathcal{B}_1$. The event $\mathcal{B}_{2m}$ is defined to be

(1) For all $\zeta_{2m-1} \le s \le t$ we have that $W_v(s) \in B^-$.
(2) We have that $W_v(t) = w$.

We claim that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_{2m} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\zeta_{2m-1}}) \ge (\log\beta)^{-C}$. Indeed, using the same arguments as before, the coupling with an independent continuous time walk works with probability at least $1-C\beta^{-c}$. Thus, using straightforward random walk estimates we get that conditions (1) and (2) holds with probability at least $(\log\beta)^{-C}$.

We obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\textstyle\bigcap_{i\le 2m}\mathcal{B}_i\big) \ge c^{2m} \ge n^{-C_1+1},$$

where the last inequality holds as long as C_1 is sufficiently large. This finishes the proof of the claim since the event of the claim holds on $\bigcap_{i\le 2m}\mathcal{A}_B$. □

It remains to prove Claim 5.7.

Proof of Claim 5.7. Let W_i' be a regenerated walk independent of $\mathcal{G}_B(\beta')$. We can couple W_i and W_i' such that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\forall -4^n \le s \le 0,\ W_i(s) = W_i'(-s) \mid \mathcal{G}_B(\beta')\big) \ge 1 - C\beta^{-c}. \tag{5.4}$$

This follows from the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Indeed, for all m with $2^m \ge \beta^{\epsilon^4}$, the blocks $p_i + [-2^m, 2^m]^d$ are not super heavy with respect to the union of paths $\bigcup_{\ell \le n^4}\{W_\ell(s) : s \le \tau_\ell\}$ and therefore the walk W_i' will avoid all of them with high probability. The detail are omitted. Next, using the Brownian approximation we obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\forall s \le 4^j,\ W_i'(s) \in u + [0, 7\cdot 2^{j-3}] \times [2^{j-2}, 3\cdot 2^{j-2}] \text{ and } W_i'(4^{j-1}) \in B^-\big) \ge c. \tag{5.5}$$

On the intersection of (5) and (5) the event of the claim holds. □

6. Heavy blocks and relaxed times

In this section we prove the key estimates that we will need to establish the induction. We let $t_n = t_0 4^n \ge \beta$ and assume that the induction hypothesis holds for all times $t \le 4^n$. The following theorems are the two main results of this section. The fist result says that it is very likely to reach a point for which there is a good chance to avoid the history. This will allow us to concatenate shorter walks and prove the induction step. In order to describe short time periods and length scales we set the parameter $\epsilon := 1/(200d)$.

Theorem 6.1. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf_{t \le t_n - t_n^{2\epsilon}} \big|\big\{s \in [t, t + t_n^{2\epsilon}] : \mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\text{hit}}(s) \ge s + 4^n \mid \mathcal{F}_s\big) \ge 3/4\big\}\big| \ge \frac{3}{4}\cdot t_n^{2\epsilon}\Big) \ge 1 - e^{-8n^2}.$$

In the second result we show that there are no heavy blocks of side length t_n^ϵ. Let τ_B be the first time the block B becomes heavy. Define

$$\tau_m := \min_{\ell \ge m} \min_{B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell} \tau_B.$$

Theorem 6.2. *We have that* $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\epsilon n} < t_n) \le e^{-2n^4}$.

It suffices to prove that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\epsilon n} < t_n \wedge \tau_{reg}\big) \le e^{-3n^4}. \tag{6.1}$$

where τ_{reg} is the first time that the walk was regenerated. Indeed, one can apply the same arguments to show that it is unlikely to hit $\tau_{\epsilon n}$ between any two regeneration times and then union bound over the at most 4^n regeneration times.

It is convenient to rule out short periods where the walk moves too quickly so we define τ_{fast} to be the first time that the walk moves n^5 in time 1,

$$\tau_{\text{fast}} := \inf\Big\{t > 1 : \sup_{0 \le s \le 1} \|W(t) - W(t-s)\| \ge n^5\Big\}.$$

Lemma 6.3. *We have that* $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\text{fast}} < t_n \wedge \tau_{reg}) \le e^{-4n^4}$.

Note that before τ_{fast} we have that $\|W(t)\| \le 5^n$.

Next, we define a key construction in our analysis, the notion of good blocks. Within a good block all paths either close or are relaxed and do not travel too far. Furthermore, pairs of paths satisfy the *pair proximity property* and so do not spend much time close to each other. We will check which blocks are good on the scale $k := \lfloor \delta n \rfloor$ where $\delta := \epsilon/(10d)$. Finally we say that a time t is an integer cyclic time if $t (\bmod \beta)$ is an integer.

Definition 6.4 (Good blocks)**.** *A block $B \in \mathcal{B}_k$ is good if all the following holds for all cyclic walks W_1, W_2 of length $t'_k := 4^k/k^4$ starting from $u_1, u_2 \in N(B, 2^{k+2})$ at integer cyclic times $q_1, q_2 \leq \beta$.*

(1) The walk W_1 either closes before time t'_k or is relaxed in time t'_k.
(2) We have that

$$\max_{s \leq t'_k} \|W_1(s)\| \leq 2^{k-1}.$$

(3) The pair proximity property (3) *holds,*

$$|\mathfrak{P}_k(u_1, u_2, q_1, q_2)| \leq 3.9^k.$$

Note that the event that a block B is good is measurable with respect to the Poisson clocks inside $N(B, 2^{k+3})$. Indeed, before a walk exits $N(B, 6 \cdot 2^k)$ condition (2) has been already violated and so the block is bad.

The following lemma is in a sense at the heart of our multi-scale analysis. It says that with very high probability, we will only encounter $O(1)$ number of bad blocks within a typical neighbourhood. We set this bound as $Q := 10^{12} d^6 20^d$.

Lemma 6.5. *With probability at least $1 - e^{-9n^2}$, there are at most Q bad blocks $B \in \mathcal{B}_k$ within distance 5^n of the origin. If $2^{\epsilon n} \leq \beta$ then with probability at least $1 - e^{-9n^2}$, there are no bad blocks $B \in \mathcal{B}_k$ within distance 5^n of the origin.*

Proof. Each of the 3 properties required of a good block correspond directly to bounds in the inductive hypothesis. Let p'_k is the probability bound we obtain from the induction hypothesis at time t'_k (this is just $p_{k'}$ where k' is the unique integer for which $4^{k'} < t'_k \leq 4^{k'+1}$).

The probability that a path is not relaxed or travels more than 2^{k-1} in time $4^k/k^4$ is bounded by p'_k. For a pair of points the probability that they fail to satisfy (3) is also at most p'_k. The number of pairs of starting points and starting times is bounded by $2^{2d(k+4)}\beta^2$ and so for a block $B \in \mathcal{B}_k$

$$\mathbb{P}[B \text{ is bad}] \leq 2^{2d(k+4)}\beta^2 p'_k.$$

The goodness of blocks at distance 20 are independent. If there are more than Q bad sub-blocks then we can find a subset $A \subset \mathcal{B}_k$ with $|A| = Q/20^d$ such that each block in A is bad and any pair of blocks are at distance at least 20. The number of such A is bounded by

$$\binom{(2 \cdot 5^n)^d}{Q/20^d} \leq (2 \cdot 5^n)^{dQ/20^d}.$$

Thus, using that $p'_k \leq e^{-k'^2} \leq e^{10^{-10} d^{-6} n^2}$ and $5^{dn} 2^{2d(k+5)} \beta^2 \leq e^{Cn}$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}[\#\text{Bad blocks} \geq Q] \leq (5^{dn} 2^{2d(k+5)} \beta^2 p'_k)^{Q/20^d} \leq e^{-9n^2},$$

for large enough β. Next suppose that $2^{\epsilon n} \leq \beta < t_n$. Then $4^{k'} \leq \beta$ and so we have that

$$p'_k = e^{-k'^3} \leq e^{-cn^3}.$$

Taking a union bound over the $2^d 5^{dn}$ blocks we have that

$$\mathbb{P}[\#\text{Bad blocks} \geq 1] \leq 5^n 2^{2d(k+5)} \beta^2 p'_k \leq e^{Cn - cn^3} \leq e^{-9n^2},$$

completing the lemma. □

We next show how to handle the case of small number of bad blocks. We say that a block $B \in \mathcal{B}_k$ is bad by time t if we exposed by time t a cyclic walk of length t'_k starting from $N(B, 2^k)$ at an integer time that violates conditions (1) or (2) or a pair of walks violating condition (3). We let Λ_t be the union of all bad blocks discovered so far and a buffer of size 3 around it. Let Λ_t^+ be the union of all bad blocks and a buffer of size Q^3,

$$\Lambda_t^+ = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_k : d(B, \Lambda_t) \le Q^3 2^k\}.$$

We let

$$\tau_{\text{bad}} = \begin{cases} \inf\{t : |\Lambda_t| > Q\} & \text{if } 2^{\epsilon n} > \beta, \\ \inf\{t : |\Lambda_t| > 0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 6.5 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\text{bad}} < t_n \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}} \wedge \tau_{\text{fast}}) \le e^{-9n^2}. \tag{6.2}$$

Our goal will be to show that if we ignore the time spent in Λ_t then none of the blocks in $\{\mathcal{B}_\ell\}_{\ell \ge k}$ are heavy. More precisely, we say that a block $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ is heavy* at time t if

$$\left|\left\{u \in B \setminus \Lambda_t : \exists\, 0 \le s \le t,\ W(s) = u\right\}\right| \ge 5^{\ell-1}.$$

We write τ_B^* for the stopping time of B becoming heavy*. We similarly define super heavy* when $5^{\ell-1}$ is replaced with 6^ℓ and relaxed* when it is relaxed with respect to this notion of super heavy.

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose that $t \le \tau_{\text{bad}}$ is relaxed* and $d(W(t), \Lambda_t) \ge \frac{1}{2} Q^3 2^k$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{hit}(t) \ge t + 4^n \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \ge 96/100.$$

Note that, $W(t) \notin \Lambda_t^+$ implies that $d(W(t), \Lambda_t) \ge \frac{1}{2} Q^3 2^k$.



FIGURE 3. Proof of Lemma 6.6. Once we are at a relaxed* time far from all the bad blocks we probably won't hit the history outside of the bad blocks. By the Brownian approximation, we probably won't hit the bad blocks either. This shows that most likely we will avoid the history completely.

Proof. We let $\tilde{W}(s)$ be an independent cyclic walk for $s \in [t, t+t_{n-1}]$ starting from $\tilde{W}(t) = W(t)$. Recall that we can couple W and $\tilde{W}$ perfectly before $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t)$. Define the sets

$$A_1 = \{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \exists \alpha(t) \le s \le t, \|u - W(s)\| \le 1, \|u - W(t)\| > \beta^{\epsilon^4}\} \setminus \Lambda_t,$$
$$A_2 = \{u \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \exists \alpha(t) \le s \le t, \|u - W(s)\| \le 1, \|u - W(t)\| \le \beta^{\epsilon^4}\} \setminus \Lambda_t.$$

Since t is relaxed*, by Claim 4.2 the probability that $\tilde{W}$ will hit A_1 is at most $1/100$. Also by Claim 4.3 the probability that $\tilde{W}$ hits a vertex in A_2 during $[t+\beta/2, t+t_n]$ is at most $1/100$. Since the relaxed* condition implies that $W(t)$ was not within distance β^{ϵ^4} during the interval $[t-\beta/2, t]$ this means that $\tilde{W}$ would not hit the history of $W(t)$ at a cyclic time. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) \ge t + 4^n, W(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t)) \notin \Lambda_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \le 2/100. \tag{6.3}$$

It remains to show that $\tilde{W}$ avoids Λ_t. Next, let $\ell_1 := \lfloor 1.1k \rfloor$. By the induction hypothesis, there are Brownian motions B^ℓ for $\ell_1 \le \ell \le n-1$ such that with probability at least $1-2^{-k}$,

$$\max_{s \le 4^\ell} \left\| \tilde{W}(t+s) - (\tilde{W}(t) + \sigma_\ell B^\ell(s)) \right\| \le 1.8^\ell \quad \text{for all } \ell_1 \le \ell \le n. \tag{6.4}$$

We define the events

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell_1} := \left\{ \forall s \le 4^{\ell_1},\ d(\tilde{W}(t) + \sigma_{\ell_1} B^{\ell_1}(s), \Lambda_t) > 1.8^{\ell_1+1} \right\}, \tag{6.5}$$

and for $\ell_1 < \ell \le n-1$

$$\mathcal{A}_\ell := \left\{ \forall 4^{\ell-1} \le s \le 4^\ell,\ d(\tilde{W}(t) + \sigma_\ell B^\ell(s), \Lambda_t) > 1.8^{\ell+1} \right\}. \tag{6.6}$$

For $B \in \Lambda_t$ let D_B be a ball of radius $d2^k$ centered at the centre of B. By Lemma 9.1 the probability that $\tilde{W}(t) + \sigma_{\ell_1} B^{\ell_1}(s)$ ever hits D_B is bounded by $(dQ^{-3})^{d-2} < 1/(20Q)$. Hence by a union bound over $B \in \Lambda_t$ we have that $\mathbb{P}[A_{\ell_1}] \ge 99/100$.

By Lemma 9.2 and a union bound over $B \in \Lambda_t$ we have that for $\ell_1 < \ell \le n-1$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_\ell) \ge 1 - Q\Big(\frac{1.8^{\ell+1}}{\sigma_\ell \sqrt{4^{\ell-1}}}\Big)^{d-2} \ge 1 - e^{-\ell/10},$$

for large enough ℓ which is large in β. Furthermore, on the intersection of the events in (6), (6) and (6) for all $s < 4^n$ we have that $d(\tilde{W}(s), \Lambda_t) > 1$ and so

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) \ge t + 4^n, W(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t)) \in \Lambda_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \le 2/100,$$

for all large enough β. Together with (6) this completes the proof of the claim. □

The final scale we consider is a logarithmic scale we use for the escape algorithm. We fix j to be the unique integer such that $n^{40} \le 2^j < 2n^{41}$.

Note that we have here 4 different scales that we consider simultaneously 2^j, 2^k, 2^ℓ and 2^n. n is the level of the induction step, $k = \lfloor \delta n \rfloor$ is the level in which we keep track of the bad blocks, ℓ is an arbitrary level between k and n in which we control the heaviness of blocks and $j \asymp \log n$ is the level we use for the escape algorithm.

Next, for each block $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ with $k \le \ell \le n-1$ we will define the following series of stopping times. Set $s_0(B) = u_0(B) = \eta_0(B)$. We let $s_i = s_i(B)$ be the first time after η_{i-1} that the walk enters a new block in $\mathcal{B}_j$ inside $B \setminus \Lambda_t$. We let $u_i = u_i(B)$ be the first time after s_i such that one of the following happens:

- We reach a relaxed* time $t \ge s_i$ such that $W(t) \notin \Lambda_t^+$.
- Time reaches $s_i + k^3 4^k$.

We next define the stopping time η_i. If $u_i = s_i + k^3 4^k$ we let $\eta_i = u_i$. Otherwise η_i is the first time after u_i that one of the following happens:

- Time reaches $u_i + 4^n$.
- We reach a time $t \geq u_i + \ell^3 4^\ell$ such that $W(t) \in N(B, 2^{\ell+3})$.

Let $K(t, B) = \max\{i : s_i \leq t\}$ and define the stopping times

$$\tau'_B := \inf\left\{t : K(t, B) \geq n^\gamma\right\}, \quad \tau' := \min_{\ell \in [k, n-1]} \min_{B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell} \tau'_B,$$

where $\gamma = 6C_1$ and C_1 is the constant from the τ_{esc}. Finally write

$$\hat{\tau} = \tau_{\text{reg}} \wedge t_n \wedge \tau_{\text{fast}} \wedge \tau_{\text{esc}} \wedge \tau_{\text{bad}} \wedge \tau'.$$

Lemma 6.7. *For any $\ell \geq k$ and any $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ we have that $\hat{\tau} \leq \tau^*_B$.*

Proof. First consider the case $k \leq \ell \leq n-1$. It is enough to show that $\tau_{\text{fast}} \wedge \tau'_B \leq \tau^*_B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$. To do this we count up the accumulated time the path can spend in B before τ'_B occurs.

We can split this into 3 parts, the time between (s_i, u_i) or (u_i, η_i) or (η_i, s_{i+1}). For any i, the maximal amount of time spent in (u_i, η_i) is $\ell^3 4^\ell$. Thus, before τ_{fast}, the number of vertices of B visited in (u_i, η_i) is at most $n^C 4^\ell$. Since $K(\tau'_B, B) \leq n^\gamma$ we get a total contribution of $n^C 4^\ell$ from intervals of the form (u_i, η_i). By the same arguments we get a total contribution of $n^C 4^k \leq n^C 4^\ell$ from intervals of the form (s_i, u_i).

Walks in (η_i, s_{k+1}) can be in B in two different ways. First it can be in Λ_t but we ignore these vertices in the definition of τ^*_B. Then there is the walk in $B \setminus \Lambda_t$ between η_i and s_{k+1}. This can only be in blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j$ that have been visited when the walk was between some (s_i, u_i) or (u_i, η_i). Hence they only increase the total amount by another $n^C 4^\ell$. It follows that the total number of vertices visited inside $B \setminus \Lambda_t$ before time $t < \tau_{\text{fast}} \wedge \tau'_B$ is at most $n^C 4^\ell \leq 5^{\ell-1}$ so the block is not heavy*.

Next consider the case $\ell \geq n$. By τ_{fast} the total number of vertices visited by time t_n is at most $n^C 4^n \leq 5^{n-1}$ and so B cannot be heavy or heavy*. Hence $\tau^*_B \geq \hat{\tau}$ which completes the proof. □

Corollary 6.8. *We have that $\hat{\tau} \leq \tau_{\epsilon n}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\hat{\tau} \leq \tau_B$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ and $\ell \geq \epsilon n$. By Lemma 6.7, if $t < \hat{\tau}$ then the number of vertices visited in $B \setminus \Lambda_t$ is at most $5^{\ell-1}$. Moreover, before τ_Λ, the number of vertices in Λ_t is at most $Q2^{dk} \leq Q2^{\epsilon n} \leq 5^{\ell-1}$. It follows that B is not heavy. □

The key estimate will then be the following proposition.

Proposition 6.9. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau' \leq \hat{\tau}) \leq e^{-4n^4}.$$

Equation (6) immediately follows from Corollary 6.8 together with Theorem 5.1, equation (6), Lemma 6.3, and Proposition 6.9. Hence, once we prove Proposition 6.9 we will have established Theorem 6.2.

For the proof of Proposition 6.9 we need a few lemmas. Recall that $t'_k := 4^k/k^4$. For a good block $B \in \mathcal{B}_k$ we cannot quite say that the walk makes few visits to B before $\hat{\tau}$. But the following lemma says that we can find at most $n^{\gamma+7}$ short excursions to B such that all its visits are close to one of these excursions.

Lemma 6.10. *Suppose that $t \le \hat{\tau}$ and let $B \in \mathcal{B}_k$. If B is a good block at time t then we can construct $r \le n^{\gamma+7}$ cyclic integer times $a_1, \dots, a_r < t - t'_k$ with $W(a_i) \in N(B, 2^k)$ such that the walk $(W(s) : s \le t - t'_k)$ inside B was always at distance at most n^{41} away of one of the cyclic walks $(W(s) : a_i \le s \le a_i + t'_k)$. That is, we have that*

$$B \cap \{W(s) : s \le t\} \subseteq \left\{u \in B : \exists i \le r,\ s \in [a_i, a_i + t'_k],\ \|u - W(s)\| \le n^{41}\right\}$$

Proof. The set of times

$$T := \left\{s \in [0, t-2] \cap \bigcup_i (s_i, \eta_i) : W(s) \in B\right\}$$

is contained in at most n^γ intervals of length at most $2k^3 4^k$. Thus, this set can be covered by at most $n^{\gamma+7}$ intervals $(a_m, a_m + t'_k)$ such that $a_m < t - t'_k$ is a cyclic integer. Moreover, by the definition of the stopping times $s_i(B)$ and τ_{fast}, any $s \in [0, t] \setminus T$ such that $W(s) \in B$ satisfies $\|W(s) - W(s')\| \le n^{41}$ for some $s' \in T$.

Next, note that if $W(a_m) \notin N(B, 2^k)$ then the walk $(W(s) : a_m \le s \le a_m + t'_k)$ do not enter $N(B, 2^{k-2})$. Indeed, otherwise, using that $a_m + t'_k < \tau_{\text{fast}}$, there exists some cyclic integer time $x \in [a_m, a_m + t'_k]$ and $x < y < a_m + t'_k$ such that $3 \cdot 2^{k-2} \le d(W(x), B) \le 2^k$ and $W(y) \in N(B, 2^{k-2})$. This is a contradiction since B is good and the cyclic walk $(W(z) : x < z < x + t'_k)$ cannot travel so fast. The claim now follows by taking the cyclic walks corresponding to a_m for which $W(a_m) \in N(B, 2^k)$. □

Lemma 6.11. *Suppose that $t'_k \le t < \hat{\tau}$ and that $W(t) \notin \Lambda_t^+$. Then,*

$$\left|\left\{s \in [t - t'_k, t] :\ s \text{ is relaxed}^*\right\}\right| \ge \frac{8}{10} \cdot t'_k.$$

Proof. For simplicity, assume that $t' := t - t'_k$ is a cyclic integer. Define the sets

$$U_1 := \left\{s \in [t', t] : s \text{ is relaxed with respect to the path } (W(x) : x \in [t', s])\right\}$$

and

$$U_2 := \left\{s \in [t', t] : \min_{s' < t'} \|W(s) - W(s')\| \ge 1.9^k\right\}.$$

Let $B \in \mathcal{B}_k$ be the block containing $W(t)$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 6.10, we have that $W(t') \in N(B, 2^k)$ and therefore path $(W(s), s \in [t', t])$ is a relaxed path. It follows that $|U_1| \ge 0.9 \cdot t'_k$.

We turn to show that U_2 is large. To this end, we apply Claim 6.10 for the 3^d blocks in $N(B, 2^k)$ and with t' as t. We obtain that there are $r \le 3^d n^{\gamma+7}$ cyclic integers $a_1 \dots, a_r < t' - t'_k$ with $W(a_i) \in N(B, 2^{k+2})$ such that

$$N(B, 2^k) \cap \{W(s) : s \le t'\} \subseteq \left\{u : \exists i \le r,\ s \in [a_i, a_i + t'_k],\ \|u - W(s)\| \le n^{41}\right\} \tag{6.7}$$

It is clear that the cyclic walks $(W(s) : s \in [t', t])$ and $(W(s) : s \in [a_i, a_i + t'_k])$ do not close or merge and therefore, using condition (3) in the definition of a good block we have that

$$\left|\left\{s \in [t', t] : \exists s' \in [a_i, a_i + t'_k] \text{ such that } \|W(s) - W(s')\| \le 1.9^k\right\}\right| \le 3.9^k. \tag{6.8}$$

It follows from (6) and (6) that

$$|U_2| \ge t'_k - 3^d n^{\gamma+7} \cdot 3.9^k \ge 0.9 \cdot t'_k$$

and so

$$|U_1 \cap U_2| \ge \frac{8}{10} \cdot t'_k.$$



FIGURE 4. The proof of Lemma 6.11. We wish to show that along the blue path, most of the times are relaxed*. Since we are in a good block, the blue path is relaxed which means that most of the times along this path are relaxed with respect to this path. By the definition of τ', there are no more than n^C earlier visits in the block (the red paths in the picture). Thus, by the pair property, most of the time, the blue path will be far from all the red paths. This means that the small blocks around $W(s)$ are not super heavy. The bigger blocks are not super heavy before $\hat{\tau}$ by Lemma 6.7.

It remains to prove that every $s \in U_1 \cap U_2$ is a relaxed* time. To this end, we let $s \in U_1 \cap U_2$ and show that for all ℓ the blocks in $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ with $d(W(s), B) \leq 2^\ell$ are not super heavy*. If $2^\ell < 1.9^{k-2}$ then the history of the walk before t' is disjoint from B and therefore using that s is relaxed with respect to $(W(s) : s \in [t', t])$ we get that B is not super heavy. By Lemma 6.7, all blocks $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ for $\ell \geq k$ are not heavy* at time $s < \hat{\tau}$. Hence

$$\left|\left\{u \in B : \ \exists\, \alpha(s) \leq s' \leq s, \ W(s') = u\right\}\right| \leq 5^{\ell \vee k} \leq 6^\ell$$

and therefore all blocks $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ for ℓ with $2^\ell \geq 1.9^{k-2}$ are not super heavy*. Hence all of $U_1 \cap U_2$ is relaxed* completing the lemma. □

Lemma 6.12. *Let $t' := t - t_n^\epsilon$, and suppose that $t < \hat{\tau}$. Then we have that*

$$\left|\left\{s \in [t', t] : \ s \text{ is relaxed}^*, W(s) \notin \Lambda_s^+\right\}\right| \geq \frac{79}{80} \cdot (t - t').$$

Proof. If $2^{\epsilon n} \leq \beta$ then $|\Lambda_t| = 0$ by the definition of τ it follows immediately from Lemma 6.11. Now assume that $2^{\epsilon n} \geq \beta$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{R} := \left\{s \in [t', t] : W(s) \notin \Lambda^+\right\}.$$

Since there are at most Q bad blocks, we have that $|\mathcal{R}| \leq \beta |\Lambda_t^+| \leq 2^{\epsilon n}(3Q)^{3d+1} 2^{dk} \leq t_n^\epsilon / 100$. Moreover, by Lemma 6.11, for each time $s \in \mathcal{R}$ there is a density of at least $8/10$ of relaxed* points in $[s - t_k^\alpha, s]$. The corollary follows from this. □

Lemma 6.13. *For any $\ell \geq k$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(u_i < s_i + k^3 4^k \quad or \quad \hat{\tau} \leq u_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_i}\big) \geq n^{-C_1}$$



FIGURE 5. Proof of Lemma 6.13. Every time we enter a new small blue block, we use the escape algorithm to escape far from all the bad blocks. Then, we use Lemma 6.11 to quickly reach a relaxed* time.

Proof. By the definition of τ_{esc} we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\|W(s_i + k^3 4^k) - W(s_i)\| \geq k2^k \mid \mathcal{F}_t\big) \geq n^{-C_1}.$$

Suppose that we have $\|W(s_i + k^3 4^k) - W(s_i)\| \geq k2^k$ and $\hat{\tau} > u_i$. Since there are at most Q bad blocks and since $k \gg Q^4$ we have that at some $s \in [s_i, s_i + k^3 4^k)$ that $d(W(s), W(s_i) \cup \Lambda^+_{s_i + k^3 4^k}) \geq 2^{k+3}$. Since $W(s)$ is in a good block, by τ_{fast} we have that for all $r \in [s - t'_k, s]$ that $\|W(r) - W(s)\| \leq 2^{k-1}$. Hence we have that $s > s_i + t'_k$ and for all $r \in [s - t'_k, s]$ that $W(r) \notin \Lambda^+_{s_i + k^3 4^k}$. By Lemma 6.11 there must be some $r \in [s - t'_k, s]$ such that r is relaxed* and $W(r) \notin \Lambda^+_r$. Then $u_i \leq r < s_i + k^3 4^k$ which completes the proof. □

Lemma 6.14. *For any $\ell \geq k$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\eta_i = u_i + 4^n \quad or \quad \hat{\tau} \leq \eta_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{u_i}, u_i < s_i + k^3 4^k\big) \geq 1/2.$$

Proof. When $u_i < s_i + k^3 4^k$ we are at a relaxed* point outside of Λ^+_t. Thus, by Lemma 6.6 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\text{hit}}(u_i) \geq t + 4^n \mid \mathcal{F}_{u_i}, u_i < s_i + k^3 4^k\big) \geq 95/100 \tag{6.9}$$

This means that we can couple the walk with an independent cyclic walk $\tilde{W}$ such that $W(u_i + t) = W(u_i) + \tilde{W}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 4^n]$ with probability 95/100. It remains to check that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\forall t \in [\ell^3 4^\ell, 4^n], \quad d\big(W(u_i) + \tilde{W}(t), B\big) \geq 2^{\ell+4}\Big) \geq 3/4. \tag{6.10}$$

Let $m_1 = \lfloor \log_4(\ell^3 4^\ell) \rfloor$. By the induction hypothesis, for all $m_1 < m \le n$ there are Brownian motions B^m for $m_1 \le m \le n$ and $1 \le \sigma_m \le 2$ such that with probability at least $1 - 2^{-m}$,

$$\max_{t \le 4^m} \left\| \tilde{W}(t) - \sigma_m B^m(t) \right\| \le 1.8^m. \tag{6.11}$$

Next, for $m_1 \le m \le n$ define the events

$$\mathcal{A}_m := \Big\{ \forall 4^{m-1} \le t \le 4^m,\ d\big(W(u_i) + \sigma_m B^m(t), B\big) > 2^{\ell+5} + 1.8^m \Big\}. \tag{6.12}$$

By Lemma 9.2 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_m) \ge 1 - C_d \Big(\frac{2^{\ell+5} + 1.8^m}{\sigma_m \sqrt{4^{m-1}}} \Big)^{d-2} \ge 1 - 2^{-c(m-\ell)},$$

for large enough ℓ which is large in β and so

$$\sum_{m=m_1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\big((\mathcal{A}_m)^c\big) \le 1/10.$$

On the intersection of the events in (6) and (6) we have that the event in (6) holds, which together with (6) completes the proof. □

We can now prove Proposition 6.9.

Proof of Proposition 6.9. Fix a block $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$ for some $k \le \ell \le n-1$ and let

$$\zeta := \min \big\{ i : s_i \ge \hat{\tau} \big\}.$$

If $\tau'_B \le \hat{\tau}$ then $K(\hat{\tau}, B) \ge n^\gamma$ and so $\zeta \ge n^\gamma - 1$. We will bound the probability that $\zeta \ge n^\gamma - 1$. Let

$$U_r := \big| \big\{ i \le r \wedge \zeta : \eta_i \ge s_i + 4^n \ \text{ or } \ \hat{\tau} \le \eta_i \big\} \big|.$$

Since there can be at most 4 excursions of length 4^n before time $\hat{\tau}$ we have that $U_r \le 5$. Combining Lemmas 6.13 and 6.14 we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\eta_i \ge s_i + 4^n \ \text{ or } \ \hat{\tau} \le \eta_i \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_i}\big) \ge \frac{1}{2} n^{-C_1}.$$

and so the process

$$M_r := U_r - \frac{1}{2}(r \wedge \zeta) n^{-C_1}$$

is a submartingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{s_{r+1}}$. If $\zeta \ge n^\gamma - 1$ then

$$M_{n^\gamma} \le 5 - \frac{1}{2}(n^\gamma - 1) n^{-C_1} \le -\frac{1}{4} n^{5C_1},$$

recalling that $\gamma = 6C_1$ and $C_1 \ge 2$. Then by Azuma's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau'_B \le \hat{\tau}] \le \mathbb{P}[M_{n^{6C_1}} \le -\frac{1}{4} n^{5C_1}] \le e^{-\frac{1}{32} n^{4C_1}} \le e^{-n^5}. \tag{6.13}$$

Since $\|W(t)\| \le 5^n$ for $t \le t_n \wedge \tau_{\text{fast}}$ we have that $\hat{\tau} < \tau'_B$ for any B at distance more than 5^n from the origin. The proposition then follows equation (6) and a union bound over blocks at distance at most 5^n from the origin. □

We are now ready to combine the results of this section and prove Theorem 6.1. Define the event

$$\mathcal{G}(1) =: \{\tau_{\text{reg}} \wedge t_n \leq \hat{\tau}\}. \tag{6.14}$$

This only involves the behaviour of the process up to the first regeneration time. We let $\mathcal{G}(i)$ be the analogous event for the ith iteration of the process between the $i-1$th and ith regeneration time. Then we let

$$\mathcal{G} := \Big\{\mathfrak{R}(t_n) \leq n^5, \mathcal{G}\big(1\big), \ldots, \mathcal{G}\big(\mathfrak{R}(t_n)+1\big)\Big\}$$

Lemma 6.15. *We have* $P[\mathcal{G}] \geq 1 - e^{-8n^2}$.

Proof. First note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{G}(1)^c] &\leq \mathbb{P}[\tau_{\text{fast}} \leq 4^n] + \mathbb{P}[\tau_{\text{esc}} \leq 4^n \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}}] + \mathbb{P}[\tau_{\text{bad}} \leq t_n \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}} \wedge \tau_{\text{fast}}] + \mathbb{P}[\tau' \leq \hat{\tau}] \\ &\leq Ce^{-n^5} + e^{-cn^4} + e^{-9n^2} + e^{-6n^4} \leq 2e^{-9n^2}.\end{aligned}$$

by Claim 2.3, Theorem 5.1, equation (6) and Proposition 6.9. The same bound holds for all $\mathcal{G}(i)$ since the regenerations all have the same distribution.

By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1, the number of regenerations between $[(i-1)4^n, i4^n]$ is stochastically dominated by a geometric random variable and so

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{R}(t_n) \geq n^5] \leq e^{-2n^4}.$$

Taking a union bound completes the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1. By Lemma 6.6 and Lemma 6.15, it suffices to show that on the event $\mathcal{G}$ that for all $t \leq t_n - t_n^{2\epsilon}$,

$$\big|\big\{s \in [t, t+t_n^{2\epsilon}] : s \text{ is relaxed}^*, W(s) \notin \Lambda_s^+\big\}\big| \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot t_n^{2\epsilon}. \tag{6.15}$$

Note that as stated, Lemma 6.12 applies only up to the first regeneration time. However, we can apply it between ant two regeneration times. Thus, for any $1 \leq \ell \leq \lfloor t_n^\epsilon \rfloor$ such that there is no regeneration time in $[t+(\ell-1)t_n^\epsilon, t+\ell t_n^\epsilon]$ we have that

$$\big|\big\{s \in [t+(\ell-1)t_n^\epsilon, t+\ell t_n^\epsilon] : \ s \text{ is relaxed}^*, W(s) \notin \Lambda_s^+\big\}\big| \geq \frac{79}{80} \cdot t_n^\epsilon.$$

On the event $\mathcal{G}$ there are at most n^5 regeneration times so

$$\big|\big\{s \in [t, t+t_n^{2\epsilon}] : s \text{ is relaxed}^*, W(s) \notin \Lambda_s^+\big\}\big| \geq \big(\lfloor t_n^\epsilon \rfloor - n^5\big) \cdot \frac{79}{80} \cdot t_n^\epsilon \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot t_n^{2\epsilon}.$$

Hence equation (6) holds which completes the proof. □

7. Concatenation embedding and transition probabilities

We will often use the following lemma in order to concatenate independent shorter walks, for which the induction hypothesis holds, into one long walk. Throughout this section W will be a regenerated walk running for time t_n. For walks W_1 and W_2 of length s_1 and s_2 respectively we define the concatenation $\tilde{W}$ of W_1 and W_2 by

$$\tilde{W}(s) := \begin{cases} W_1(s) & s \leq s_1 \\ W_1(s_1) + W_2(s-s_1) & s_1 \leq s \leq s_1 + s_2 \end{cases}.$$

We similarly define the concatenation of more than two walks.

Lemma 7.1. *Let s_1, s_2 such that $s_1 + s_2 \le t_n$ and let W_1 and W_2 be independent regenerated walks running for times s_1 and s_2 respectively. Let $\tilde{W}$ be the concatenation of W_1 and W_2. Then, with the natural coupling of W and $\tilde{W}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s \le s_1+s_2} \big\|W(s) - \tilde{W}(s)\big\| \ge t_n^{3\epsilon}\Big) \le 2e^{-8n^2}.$$

Proof. In order to couple W and $\tilde{W}$ we simply construct W and $\tilde{W}$ using the same continuous time walk. According to this coupling we have $W(s) = W_1(s)$ for all $s \le s_1$. For convenience we also extend W and W_2 to be infinite regenerated walks (so that W and $\tilde{W}$ are defined for all $t > 0$). Next, recall the definition of $\tau_{\text{hit}}(t)$ in (2). We similarly define $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{hit}}(t)$ to be the first time $\tilde{W}$ hits the relevant history after the concatenation time s_1. That is, for $t > s_1$ we let

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{hit}}(t) := \inf\big\{s > t : \exists s' \in s + \beta\mathbb{Z},\ \alpha(t) \vee s_1 \le s' < t \text{ such that } \|W(s) - W(s')\| \le 1\big\}.$$

Next, we define a sequence of stopping times $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \dots$ and $\zeta'_0, \zeta'_1, \zeta'_2 \dots$ in the following way. We let $\zeta'_0 := s_1$. For all $i \ge 1$ we let

$$\zeta_i := \inf\big\{t > \zeta'_{i-1} : \mathbb{P}\big(\tau_{\text{hit}}(t) \wedge \tilde{\tau}_{\text{hit}}(t) \ge t + 4^n \mid \mathcal{F}_t\big) \ge 1/2\big\}$$

and $\zeta'_i := \tau_{\text{hit}}(\zeta_i) \wedge \tilde{\tau}_{\text{hit}}(\zeta_i)$. For each ζ_i we have probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$ that $\zeta'_{i+1} \ge \zeta_i + 4^n$ so

$$\mathbb{P}\big(|\{i : \zeta_i < t_n\}| \le n^5\big) \ge 1 - e^{-cn^5} \le 1 - e^{-5n^4}. \tag{7.1}$$

Moreover, W and $\tilde{W}$ are coupled perfectly in the intervals (ζ_i, ζ'_i). Indeed, in this interval the walk is only "forced" by the history after time ζ_i which is identical for W and $\tilde{W}$. Thus, for all $s \le t_n$ we can write

$$W(s) - \tilde{W}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \big(W(s \wedge \zeta_i) - W(s \wedge \zeta'_{i-1})\big) - \big(\tilde{W}(s \wedge \zeta_i) - \tilde{W}(s \wedge \zeta'_{i-1})\big).$$

On the event of Theorem 6.1 we have for all $i \ge 1$ that $s \wedge \zeta_i - s \wedge \zeta'_{i-1} \le t_n^{2\epsilon}$. Thus, on this event, the event in (7) and the event $\{\tau_{\text{fast}} \ge t_n\}$ we have that

$$\|W(s) - \tilde{W}(s)\| \le 2n^5 \sum_{i=1}^{n^5} s \wedge \zeta_i - s \wedge \zeta'_{i-1} \le 2n^{10} t_n^{2\epsilon} \le t_n^{3\epsilon},$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. □

The next Corollary follows immediately by induction.

Corollary 7.2. *Let $s_1, \dots, s_k$ such that $s_1 + \dots + s_\ell \le t_n$. Let $W_1, \dots, W_\ell$ be independent regenerated cyclic walks running for times $s_1, \dots, s_\ell$ respectively. Let $\tilde{W}$ be the concatenation of the walks $W_1, \dots, W_\ell$. Then, in the natural coupling of W and $\tilde{W}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{s \le s_1 + \dots + s_\ell} \big\|W(s) - \tilde{W}(s)\big\| \ge \ell t_n^{3\epsilon}\Big) \le 2\ell e^{-8n^2}.$$

Remark 7.3. *We note that a slightly stronger statement follows from the proofs of Lemma 7.1 and Corollary 7.2. This stronger statement will be needed in order to prove the relaxed path property at time t_n. As in Corollary 7.2, we let $\tilde{W}$ be the concatenation of independent walks $W_1, \dots, W_k$ of lengths $s_1, \dots, s_\ell$ respectively. Then, in the natural coupling the following holds with probability at least $1 - 2\ell e^{-8n^2}$. There are times $a_1, \dots a_{\ell n^5}$ such that*

(1) *The walks W and $\tilde{W}$ are coupled perfectly outside of $\bigcup_i [a_i, a_i + t_n^{\epsilon}]$. That is, for all $a_i + t_n^{2\epsilon} < s < t < a_{i+1}$ we have that $W(t) - W(s) = \tilde{W}(t) - \tilde{W}(s)$.*
(2) *No concatenation times of $\tilde{W}$ outside of $\bigcup_i [a_i, a_i + t_n^{2\epsilon}]$. That is, for all $j \le k$ we have that $s_1 + \cdots + s_j \notin \bigcup_i [a_i, a_i + t_n^{2\epsilon}]$.*
(3) *No regeneration times of $\tilde{W}$ outside of $\bigcup_i [a_i, a_i + t_n^{2\epsilon}]$. That is, for all j and a regeneration time $t \le s_j$ of W_j we have that $t + s_1 + \cdots + s_{j-1} \notin \bigcup_i [a_i, a_i + t_n^{2\epsilon}]$.*

7.1. **Traveling far is unlikely.** In this section we concatenate independent walks and use concentration results for sum of independent variables to say that the concatenated walk cannot travel far. We do this in three steps, first we use Corollary 2.2 to say that large deviations are unlikely in the scale of $t_n^{1/3}$. Then, we use this to show that intermediate deviations are unlikely in the scale of $t_n^{2/3}$. Finally, we use this to show that small deviations are unlikely in the scale of t_n.

Lemma 7.4. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s \le t_n^{2/3}} \|W(s)\| \ge t_n^{2/5} \Big) \le e^{-7n^2}.$$

Proof. For clarity we ignore the floor notations in this proof and assume that $t_n^{1/3}$ is an integer. Let $k = t_n^{1/3}$ and let $W_1, \dots, W_k$ be independent regenerated walks running for time $t_n^{1/3}$. Let $\tilde{W}$ be the concatenation of $W_1, \dots, W_k$. By Corollary 7.2 there is a coupling of W and $\tilde{W}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s \le t_n^{2/3}} \|W(s) - \tilde{W}(s)\| \le t_n^{1/3+3\epsilon} \Big) \le 4^{n/3+1} e^{-8n^2}. \tag{7.2}$$

It is thus suffices to show that $\tilde{W}$ do not travel far. To this end, define the events $\mathcal{A}_i := \{\sup_{s \le t_n^{1/3}} \|W_i(s)\| \le n^5 t_n^{1/3}\}$ and the random variables $X_i := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i} W_i(t_n^{1/3})$. By Corollary 2.2 we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_i) \ge 1 - e^{-5n^4}$.

Next, we claim that $\mathbb{E}[\|X_i\|^2] \le 2dt_n^{1/3}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the event that the inductive coupling of W_i with its Brownian motion B_i was successful. That is

$$\mathcal{B}_i := \Big\{ \max_{s \le t_n^{1/3}} |W_i(s) - \sigma B_i(s)| \ge t_n^{2/15} \Big\}.$$

where $\sigma^2 \le 3$ and B_i are from the inductive assumption. Using the inductive hypothesis for time $t_n^{1/3}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_i) \ge 1 - e^{-cn^2}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\|X_i\|^2] &\le \mathbb{E}\big[\|X_i\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}_i}\big] + (n^5 t_n^{1/3})^2 \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_i) \\ &\le 2\mathbb{E}\big[\|\sigma B_i(t_n^{1/3})\|^2\big] + 2\mathbb{E}\big[\|W_i(t_n^{1/3}) - \sigma B_i(t_n^{1/3})\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{B}_i}\big] + Ce^{-cn^2} \le 6dt_n^{1/3}.\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ so we can now use Freedman's inequality [16, Theorem 18] to obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{j \le k} \Big\| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_j \Big\| \ge t_n^{2/5}/3 \Big) \le 2d \exp\Big(\frac{-t_n^{4/5}/18}{6dt_n^{2/3} + n^5 t_n^{1/3} t_n^{2/5}/9} \Big) \le e^{-4n^4}.$$

Note that the Theorem 18 in [16] deals with the one dimensional case. We therefore need to apply the theorem separately to each coordinate and then union bound over the coordinates.

Using that $\mathbb{P}(\cap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{A}_i) \geq 1 - t_n^{1/3} e^{-4n^4}$ and that on this event $W_i(t_n^{1/3}) = X_i$ on $\mathcal{A}_i$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s \leq t_n^{2/3}} \|\tilde{W}(s)\| \geq t_n^{2/5}/2 \Big) \leq C e^{-cn^4}. \tag{7.3}$$

The lemma follows from (7.1) and (7.1). □

Lemma 7.5. *We have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s \leq t_n} \|W(s)\| \geq n^2 \sqrt{t_n} \Big) \leq e^{-6n^2}.$$

Proof. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Lemma 7.4 and some of the details are omitted. Let $k := t_n^{1/3}$ and let $W_1, \ldots, W_k$ be independent regenerated walks running for time $t_n^{2/3}$. Let $\tilde{W}$ be the concatenation of $W_1, \ldots, W_k$. By Corollary 7.2 we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s \leq t_n} \|W(s) - \tilde{W}(s)\| \leq t_n^{1/3+3\epsilon} \Big) \leq e^{Cn - 8n^2}. \tag{7.4}$$

Next, we similarly define $\mathcal{A}_i := \{\sup_{s \leq t_n^{1/3}} \|W_i(s)\| \leq t_n^{2/5}\}$ and $X_i := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i} W(t_n^{2/3})$. By Lemma 7.4 we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_i) \geq 1 - e^{-7n^2}$. Using the same arguments we have that $\mathbb{E}[\|X_i\|^2] \leq 2dt_n^{2/3}$ and therefore by Freedman's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{j \leq k} \Big\| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_j \Big\| \geq n^2 \sqrt{t_n}/3 \Big) \leq 2d \exp\Big(\frac{-n^4 t_n/18}{2dt_n + t_n^{2/5} n^2 \sqrt{t_n}/9} \Big) \leq e^{-4n^3}.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma using the same arguments as before. □

7.2. **Coupling with a Brownian motion.** To couple the regenerated walk with Brownian motion we make use of Theorem 1.3 due to Zaitsev [45] that generalizes the classical KMT [30] result to higher dimensions. We present a special case of the Theorem.

Theorem 7.6 (Zaitsev)**.** *Let $X_1, \ldots, X_\ell$ be IID random variables in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $S_j := \sum_{i=1}^j X_i$. Suppose that*

$$\|X_i\| \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Cov}(X_i) = I.$$

Then, there is a coupling of the variables $X_1, \ldots, X_\ell$ and a Brownian motion B_t such that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{j \leq \ell} \big\| S_j - B(j) \big\| \geq M \log^4 \ell \Big) \leq \exp\big(- c_d \log^4 \ell \big).$$

The constant C_d depends on the dimension d but not on the distribution of the X_i.

Using this embedding theorem we will establish the coupling between $W(t)$ and Brownian motion.

Lemma 7.7. *There is a constant $\sigma = \sigma(t_n)$ with*

$$|\sigma - \sqrt{2}| \leq \max\{3\beta^{-1/16} - t_n^{-1/16}, 0\} \tag{7.5}$$

such that the following holds. There is a coupling of W and a Brownian motion $B(t)$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\max_{s \leq t_n} \big\| W(s) - \sigma B(s) \big\| \geq t_n^{2/5} \big) \leq e^{-2n^2}.$$

Proof. Once again, we ignore the floor notation throughout this proof. Let $\ell := t_n^{1/3}$ and let $W_1, \dots, W_\ell$ be independent regenerated cyclic walks running for time $t_n^{2/3}$. As usual, $\tilde{W}$ is the concatenation of $W_1, \dots, W_\ell$ and we have a coupling such that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{s \le t_n} \|W(s) - \tilde{W}(s)\| \le t_n^{1/3+3\epsilon} \Big) \le e^{Cn - 8n^2}. \tag{7.6}$$

Define the events $\mathcal{A}_i := \{\sup_{s \le t_n^{2/3}} \|W_i(s)\| \le n^2 t_n^{1/3}\}$ and note that by the induction hypothesis we have $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_i) \ge 1 - e^{-6(2n/3-1)^2} \ge 1 - e^{-2.5n^2}$.

Next, define the random variables $Y_i := t_n^{-1/3} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i} W_i(t_n^{2/3})$ and let $\sigma = \sigma(t_n)$ be the standard deviation of the first coordinate of Y_i.

First, we will establish that (7.7) holds. Set $\sigma' = \sigma(t_n^{2/3})$ be the constant from the inductive coupling with Brownian motion at time $t_n^{2/3}$ and so

$$|\sigma' - \sqrt{2}| \le \max\big(3\beta^{-1/16} - t_n^{-2/60}, 0\big). \tag{7.7}$$

Next, let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the event that the coupling of W_i with its Brownian motion B_i was successful. We have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_i) \ge 1 - e^{-cn^2}$. Denote by $(Y_i)_1$ the first coordinate of Y_i and by $\big(B_i(t_n^{2/3})\big)_1$ the first coordinate of $B_i(t_n^{2/3})$. By the symmetry of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ we have that $\mathbb{E}[(Y_i)_1] = 0$ and therefore $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[(Y_i)_1^2]$. Thus, using the triangle inequality in the $L^2(\Omega)$ space and Cauchy Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^{2/3}|\sigma - \sigma'|^2 &\le \mathbb{E}\big(t_n^{1/3}(Y_i)_1 - \sigma'\big(B_i(t_n^{2/3})\big)_1\big)^2 \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{B}_i} \big\|W_i(t_n^{2/3}) - \sigma' B_i(t_n^{2/3})\big\|^2\big] + 2\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i^c \cup \mathcal{B}_i^c}\big(t_n^{1/3}\|Y_i\| + \|B_i(t_n^{2/3})\|\big)^2\big] \\ &\le C\big(t_n^{2/3}\big)^{4/5} + \sqrt{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_i^c \cup \mathcal{B}_i^c) \cdot \mathbb{E}\big[\big(n^2 t_n^{1/3} + \|B_i(t_n^{2/3})\|\big)^4\big]} \le C t_n^{8/15} + C e^{-cn^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $|\sigma - \sigma'| \le t_n^{-1/16}$ and so

$$|\sigma - \sqrt{2}| \le |\sigma' - \sqrt{2}| + |\sigma - \sigma'| \le \max\big(3\beta^{-1/16} - t_n^{-2/60}, 0\big) + t_n^{-1/16}$$

This finishes the proof of (7.7) using (7.2) in both cases, when the maximum in (7.2) is zero and when it's positive.

Next, let $X_i := \sigma^{-1} Y_i$. By the symmetries of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ the covarice between different coordinates of X_i is 0 and therefore its covariance matrix is I. Moreover, we have that $\|X_i\| \le 2n^2$. Thus, by Theorem 7.6, there is a Brownian motion B' such that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{j \le k} \big\|S_j - B'(j)\big\| \ge n^7 \Big) \le e^{-cn^4},$$

where $S_j := \sum_{i=1}^j X_i$. Moreover, on the event $\mathcal{A} := \bigcap_{i \le k} \mathcal{A}_i$, we have that $S_j = \sigma^{-1} t_n^{-1/3} \tilde{W}(j t_n^{2/3})$ for all $j \le k$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{j \le k} \big\|\tilde{W}(j t_n^{2/3}) - \sigma t_n^{1/3} B'(j)\big\| \ge n^7 t_n^{1/3} \Big) \le e^{-2.4n^2}.$$

Rewriting the last equation with the Brownian motion $B(s) := t_n^{1/3} B'(t_n^{-2/3} s)$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{j \le k} \big\|\tilde{W}(j t_n^{2/3}) - \sigma B(j t_n^{2/3})\big\| \ge n^7 t_n^{1/3} \Big) \le e^{-2.4n^2}. \tag{7.8}$$

Next, define the event

$$\mathcal{C} := \Big\{ \text{For all } j \le k \text{ and } s \in \big[(j-1)t_n^{2/3}, (j-1)t_n^{2/3}\big] \text{ we have } \big\|B(s) - B(j t_n^{2/3})\big\| \le n^2 t_n^{1/3} \Big\}$$

and note that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \geq 1 - e^{-cn^4}$. On $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and the complement of the event in the (7.2) we have that $\|\tilde{W}(s) - \sigma B(s)\| \leq 2n^7 t_n^{1/3}$ for all $s \leq t_n$. This finishes the proof of the corollary using (7.2). □

7.3. **Transition Probabilities.** In this section we prove the inductive hypothesis on transition probabilities. To this end we use the coupling with Brownian motion at time t_n from Section 7.2 and the bound on transition probabilities at time $t_n^{4/5}$.

Lemma 7.8. *For all $t_n/4 \leq t \leq t_n$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}(W(t) = u) \leq t^{-d/2+1/10}.$$

Proof. Let $t_n/4 \leq t \leq t_n$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let W_1 and W_2 be independent regenerated walks of lengths $t - t^{2/3}$ and $t^{2/3}$ respectively. Let $\tilde{W}$ be a concatenation of W_1 and W_2. By Lemma 7.1 there is a coupling such that $\|\tilde{W}(t) - W(t)\| \leq t_n^{3\epsilon}$ with probability at least $1 - 2e^{4n^2}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ be the event that the coupling of W_1 with its Brownian motion B was successful. We have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}) \geq 1 - e^{-cn^2}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_2$ be the event that $\|W_2(t^{4/5})\| \leq n^2 t^{2/5}$ and note that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_2) \geq 1 - e^{-cn^2}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\big(\tilde{W}(t) = u\big) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_2^c) + \sum_{\substack{v: \\ \|v-u\| \leq n^2 t^{2/5}}} \mathbb{P}\big(W_1(t - t^{4/5}) = v\big) \cdot \mathbb{P}\big(W_2(t^{4/5}) = u - v\big) \\
&\leq e^{-cn^2} + t^{4/5(-d/2+1/10)} \mathbb{P}\big(\|W_1(t - t^{4/5}) - u\| \leq n^2 t^{2/5}\big) \\
&\leq e^{-cn^2} + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_1^c) + t^{-2d/5+8/100} \mathbb{P}\big(\|\sigma B(t - t^{4/5}) - u\| \leq 2n^2 t^{2/5}\big) \\
&\leq e^{-cn^2} + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_1^c) + C t^{-2d/5+8/100} n^{2d} t_n^{2d/5-d/2}\big) \\
&\leq e^{-cn^2} + C n^{2d} t^{-d/2+8/100} \leq C t^{-d/2+81/1000}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(W(t) = u) \leq e^{-cn^2} + \mathbb{P}\big(\|\tilde{W} - u\| \leq t_n^{3\epsilon}\big) \leq C t_n^{3\epsilon d} t^{-d/2+81/1000} \leq t^{-d/2+1/10},$$

where in the last inequality we used that $\epsilon \leq 1/(200d)$. □

7.4. **The relaxed path property.**

Lemma 7.9. *The path up to t_n is relaxed with probability at least $1 - e^{-n^2}$.*

Proof. Let $k = t_n^{1/9}$ and let $W_1, \ldots, W_k$ be independent regenerated walks of length $t_n^{8/9}$. Let $\tilde{W}$ be the concatenation of $W_1, \ldots, W_k$. Define the set of times

$$T_1 := \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \big\{t + (j-1)t_n^{8/9} : t \text{ is not relaxed with respect to the walk } W_j\big\}.$$

$$T_2 := \left\{ t \leq t_n : \begin{array}{l} \text{There exists } t - t_n^{5/6} \leq s \leq t \text{ such that } s \text{ is a concatenation} \\ \text{or regeneration time of } \tilde{W} \text{ or } W(t) - W(s) \neq \tilde{W}(t) - \tilde{W}(s) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$T_3 := \big\{t_n^{5/6} \leq t \leq t_n : \exists s \leq t - t_n^{5/6} \text{ such that } \|W(t) - W(s)\| \leq t_n^{2/5}\big\}$$

and $T := T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3$. We start first show that T is small with high probability.

We start with T_1. The probability that more than one of the walks W_j is not relaxed is at most $t_n^2 e^{-2(8n/9-1)^2} \le e^{-1.5n^2}$. Moreover, on the event that at most one of the walks W_j is not relaxed we have that

$$|T_1| \le t_n^{1/9} \cdot (0.1 - 9/(8n))t_n^{8/9} + t_n^{1/9} \le (0.1 - 1.1/n)t_n.$$

Hence, $\mathbb{P}\big(|T_1| \ge (0.1 - 1.1/n)t_n\big) \le e^{-1.5n^2}$.

Next, note that by Remark 7.3 we have that $\mathbb{P}(|T_2| \ge t_n^{18/19}) \le e^{-2n^2}$. Indeed, using the notation of the remark we have $T_2 \subseteq \bigcup_i [a_i, a_i + 2t_n^{5/6}]$ where the number of intervals in the union is at most $n^4 t_n^{1/9}$.

Finally, we bound T_3. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the event that the coupling of W with its Brownian motion $B(t)$ was successful. By Corollary 7.7 we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}) \ge 1 - e^{-2n^2}$. On $\mathcal{B}$ we have that $T_1 \subseteq T_1'$ where

$$T_1' := \big\{t_n^{5/6} \le t \le t_n : \exists s \le t - t_n^{5/6} \text{ such that } \|B(t) - B(s)\| \le 2t_n^{2/5}\big\}.$$

Rescaling the Brownian motion and using Claim 9.4 we obtain that $\mathbb{P}(|T_1'| \ge t_n/n^3) \le e^{-n^4}$.

Adding all up we obtain that $\mathbb{P}(|T| \ge 0.1 - 1/n) \le e^{-1.4n^2}$.

It is now suffices to show that if $t \notin T$ and the event $\{\tau_{\epsilon n} \ge t_n\}$ holds then t is a relaxed time. To this end, we let $\ell \ge 1$ and $B = W(t) + [-2^\ell, 2^\ell)$ and show that B is not super heavy. If $\ell \ge \epsilon n$ this follows from $\tau_{\epsilon n} \ge t_n$. Suppose next that $\ell \le \epsilon n$. Let $j \le k$ such that $t \in [(j-1)t_n^{8/9}, jt_n^{8/9}]$. Since $t \notin T_1$ we have that $t - (j-1)t_n^{8/9}$ is relaxed with respect to the path W_j. Since $t \notin T_2$, there is no concatenation and regeneration point in $[t - t_n^{5/6}, t]$ and therefore $\tilde{W}(t) + [-2^\ell, 2^\ell)$ is not super heavy with respect to the path $(\tilde{W}(s) : s \in [t - t_n^{5/6}, t])$. Using that $t \notin T_2$ once again we have that

$$(W(t) - W(s) : s \in [t - t_n^{5/6}, t]) = (\tilde{W}(t) - \tilde{W}(s) : s \in [t - t_n^{5/6}, t])$$

and therefore B is not super heavy with respect to the path $(W(s) : s \in [t - t_n^{5/6}, t])$. Finally, using that $t \notin T_3$ and that $\ell \le \epsilon n$ we have that $(W(s) : s \in [0, t - t_n^{5/6}])$ is disjoint from B and therefore B is not super heavy. The finishes the proof of the lemma. □

7.5. **The Pair Proximity Property.** In this section we prove the pair proximity property in the inductive hypothesis. By translation invariance in space and time, we may assume without loss of generality that $u_1 = 0, q_1 = 0$. Thus, it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7.10. *For all $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $q \le \beta$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\big|\mathfrak{P}_n(0, u, 0, q)\big| \ge 3.9^n\Big) \le e^{-n^2}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}_1$ be the events that $\mathcal{G}(1)$ defined in (6) holds for the walk W_1 and that

$$\big\{\forall s_1, s_2 \le t_n' \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(1)} \text{ with } |s_1 - s_2| \le 3.6^n \text{ we have } |W_1(s_1) - W_1(s_2)| \le 1.9^n\big\}.$$

Using the results of Section 6, the Brownian approximation in Lemma 7.7 and a straight forward Brownian motion estimate (using that $1.9^2 > 3.6$) we obtain that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}_1) \ge 1 - 2e^{-2n^2}$. Next, let $\mathcal{H}_2$ be the event that $\mathcal{G}(1)$ holds for the walk W_2 and let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2$. We have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ge 1 - 4e^{-2n^2}$. Let $V = \{W_1(s) : s \in [0, t_n' \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(1)}]\}$ denote the vertices visited by W_1 in $[0, t_n' \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(1)}]$. We will first reveal the path W_1 and then let $\mathcal{F}_t^+$ denote the σ-algebra generated by the walk W_2 up to time t together with the walk W_1 in $[0, t_n' \wedge \tau_{\text{reg}}^{(1)}]$. Let $\Lambda^{(1)}$ be the bad blocks discovered by the first walk and let $\Lambda_t^{(2)}$ be the set of of the second walk

up to time t. We will let $\tau^{(2)}_{\text{bad}}$ be the stopping time that W_2 discovered more than Q bad blocks.

Now let us define a series of stopping times. Let $\zeta_0 = \zeta'_0 = q$, the starting time of W_2, and let

$$\zeta_i = \inf\Big\{t \geq \zeta'_{i-1} : W_2(t) \text{ is relaxed}^* \ , d(W_2(t), V) \geq 1.9^k, d(W_2(t), \Lambda^{(1)} \cup \Lambda^{(2)}_t) \geq \frac{1}{2}Q^3 2^k\Big\} \\ \wedge \tau^{(2)}_{\text{bad}} \wedge \tau^{(2)}_{\text{reg}} \wedge M \wedge (q + t'_n),$$

and

$$\zeta'_i = \tau_{\text{hit}}(\zeta_i) \wedge \tau^{(2)}_{\text{reg}} \wedge M \wedge (q + t'_n) \wedge \inf\big\{t \geq \zeta_i : d(V, W_2(t)) \leq 1\big\} \\ \wedge \inf\big\{t \geq \zeta_i + 3.89^n : d(V, W_2(t)) \leq 1.9^n\big\}$$

where τ_{hit} is defined with respect to W_2 starting from q_2. To count the number of such stopping times we let

$$J = \inf\{i : \zeta_i = \tau^{(2)}_{\text{reg}} \wedge M \wedge (q + t'_n)\}.$$

First we show that there is a large probability that $\zeta'_i - \zeta_i \geq 4^n$. Let W' be an independent regenerated walk starting at $W_2(\zeta_i)$ at time ζ_i. By the same arguments as in Lemma 2.1, conditional on $\mathcal{F}^+_{\zeta_i}$, we can couple $\{W_2(s)\}_{s \geq \zeta_i}$ with $\{W'(s)\}_{s \geq \zeta_i}$ until ζ'_i. Since $W_2(\zeta_i)$ is relaxed* and $d(W_2(\zeta_i), \Lambda^{(2)}_t) \geq \frac{1}{2}Q^3 2^k$, the calculation in Lemma 6.6 implies that on the event $\{\tau^{(2)}_{\text{bad}} \geq \zeta_i\}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf\big\{t \geq \zeta_i : \exists s \in [q, \zeta_i),\ |W_2(s) - W'(t)| \leq 1, s \equiv t \bmod \beta\big\} \geq \zeta_i + 4^n \ \Big|\ \mathcal{F}^+_{\zeta_i}\Big) \geq 0.9.$$

Next we consider hitting V. Let $\ell_0 = \lfloor \log_2(1.9^k) \rfloor$. On the event $\mathcal{H}_1$ we have that no boxes of size 2^k or higher are heavy*. Moreover, all of the bad blocks are distance at least $\frac{1}{2}Q^3 2^k$ away. Hence for $\ell \geq \ell_0$,

$$\big|\{u \in V \setminus \Lambda^{(1)} : |u - W_2(\zeta_i)| \leq 2^\ell\}\big| \leq 2^d 5^{k \vee \ell} < 6^\ell,$$

while for $\ell < \ell_0$

$$\big|\{u \in V \setminus \Lambda^{(1)}) : |u - W_2(\zeta_i)| \leq 2^\ell\}\big| = 0.$$

Hence by Claim 4.2, on the event $\mathcal{H}_1$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf\big\{s \geq \zeta_i : d\big(V \setminus \Lambda^{(1)}, W'(s)\big) \leq 1\big\} \geq \zeta_i + 4^n \mid \mathcal{F}^+_{\zeta_i}\Big) \geq 0.9.$$

By an essentially identical proof to Lemma 6.6 and repeating the bounds on equations (6) and (6) we obtain that on $\mathcal{H}_1$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\inf\big\{s \geq \zeta_i : d\left(\Lambda^{(1)}, W'(s)\right) \leq 1\big\} \geq \zeta_i + 4^n \mid \mathcal{F}^+_{\zeta_i}\Big) \geq 0.9.$$

Finally we need to check that after time $\zeta_i + 3.89^n$ the walk $W'(t)$ is at distance at least 1.9^n away from V with high probability.

On the event $\mathcal{H}_1$ we have that

$$N(V, 1.9^n) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{t'_n/3.6^n} B\big(W_1(i \cdot 3.6^n), 1.9^{n+2}\big).$$

Thus, on the event $\mathcal{H}_1$ by the Brownian approximation and Lemma 9.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\Big(\exists t \in [\zeta_i + 3.89^n, \zeta_i + 4^n],\ W'(t) \in N(V, 1.9^n) \mid \mathcal{F}^+_{\zeta_i}\Big) \\
&\quad \le \sum_{i=0}^{t'_n/3.6^n} \mathbb{P}\Big(\exists t \in [\zeta_i + 3.89^n, \zeta_i + 4^n],\ \big\|W'(t) - W_1(i \cdot 3.6^n)\big\| \le 1.9^{n+2} \mid \mathcal{F}^+_{\zeta_i}\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \le C(4/3.6)^n \big(1.9/\sqrt{3.89}\big)^{(d-2)n} \le 0.1,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used that $d \ge 5$.

Combining the above estimates we have that on the event $\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \{\tau^{(2)}_{\text{bad}} \ge \zeta_i\}$

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\zeta'_i \ge \zeta_i + 4^n \mid \mathcal{F}^+_{\zeta_i}\big) \ge 1/2.$$

So each excursion starting from ζ_i has probability at least $1/2$ of lasting for at least time 4^n. We can have at most 1 such intervals before time t'_n and so

$$\mathbb{P}\big(J \ge n^5, \mathcal{H}\big) \le \mathbb{P}\big(\text{Bin}(n^5, 1/2) \le 2\big) \le e^{-n^4}. \tag{7.9}$$

Between $3.89^n + \zeta_i$ and ζ'_i we have that the distance from $W_2(t)$ to V is at least 1.9^k and so

$$\big|\mathfrak{P}_n(0, u, 0, q)\big| \le \sum_{i=1}^{J} 3.89^n + \zeta_i - \zeta'_{i-1}. \tag{7.10}$$

Next, on the event $\mathcal{H}$ we will show that

$$\zeta_i - \zeta'_{i-1} \le 2t^{\epsilon}_n \tag{7.11}$$

by following a similar proof to Lemma 6.11. Assume that (7.5) fails. Since there are at most $2Q^{d+1}2^{kd} = o(t^{\epsilon}_n)$ vertices within the buffer zones of the bad blocks with respect to W_1 and W_2 we can find $t \in [\zeta_{i-1} + t^{\epsilon}_n, \zeta_{i-1} + 2t^{\epsilon}_n]$ such that $W_2(t) \notin \Lambda^{+(1)} \cup \Lambda^{+(2)}_t$. We set $t' = t - t'_k$ and define

$$U_1 := \big\{s \in [t', t] : s \text{ is relaxed with respect to the path } (W_2(x) : x \in [t', s])\big\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2 :&= \big\{s \in [t', t] : \min_{s' < t'} \|W_2(s) - W_2(s')\| \ge 1.9^k\big\} \\
U_3 :&= \big\{s \in [t', t] : \min_{u \in V} \|W_2(s) - u\| \ge 1.9^k\big\}
\end{aligned}$$

In the proof of Lemma 6.11 we showed that $|U_1|, |U_2| \ge \frac{9}{10}t'_k$ and that if $s \in U_1 \cap U_2$ then s is relaxed* with respect to W_2. The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.11 for U_2 also shows that $|U_3| \ge \frac{9}{10}t'_k$ and hence $|U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3| \ge \frac{7}{10}t'_k$ and $s \in U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3$ satisfies the requirements for the stopping time ζ_i, contradicting the assumption that (7.5) fails.

Hence, using (7.5), we have on the event $\mathcal{H}$ and the complement of the event in (7.5) that

$$\mathfrak{P}_n(0, u, 0, q) \le \sum_{i=1}^{J} 3.89^n + \zeta_i - \zeta'_{i-1} \le 2n^5 3.89^n \le 3.9^n.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

8. Induction base

In this section we prove the inductive assumption when $t_n = t_0 4^n \leq \beta$. For time less than β, the cyclic walk is simply a continuous time simple random walk and thus the *transition probabilities* properties holds by standard properties of random walks. Similarly for the *travelling far* property we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{s\leq t_n} \|W(s)\| \leq n^2\sqrt{t_n}\Big) \geq 1 - 2\exp(-cn^4) \tag{8.1}$$

By Claim 2.3

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{t,t'\leq t_n, |t-t'|\leq 1} |W(t) - W(t')| \leq n^5\Big) \geq 1 - e^{-n^4} \tag{8.2}$$

and so by KMT embedding from Theorem 7.6 we have a coupling with Brownian motion such that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\max_{t\leq t_n} |W(t) - B(t)| \leq n^{10}\Big) \geq 1 - e^{-n^4} \tag{8.3}$$

establishing the *approximately Brownian* property. It remains to verify the relaxed path property and the pair property.

Lemma 8.1. *The path up to time t_n is relaxed with probability $1 - e^{-n^3}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}_1$ be the intersection of the events in equations (8), (8) and (8). So $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_1] \geq 1 - 3\exp(-cn^4)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_2$ be the event that for $B(t)$ all boxes of scale β^{ϵ^4} or higher are very lite,

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \Big\{\forall \ell \geq \epsilon^4 \log_4 \beta,\ \sup_{B\in\mathcal{B}_\ell} \big|\{i \in [0,\beta]\cap\mathbb{Z} : B(i) \in B\}\big| < (4.5)^\ell\Big\}.$$

Note that when $\ell \geq n$ we have $|\{i \in [0,t_n]\cap\mathbb{Z} : B(i) \in B\}| < (4.5)^\ell$ and that on the event $\mathcal{A}_1$ only blocks within distance $n^2 2^n$ are visited. For any block by $B \in \mathcal{B}_\ell$, by Lemma 9.3

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\big|\{i \in [0,t_n]\cap\mathbb{Z} : B(i) \in B\}\big| < (4.5)^\ell\big) \geq 1 - \exp(-n^5).$$

Taking a union bound over blocks in $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ with $\ell \in [\epsilon^4 \log_4 \beta, n]$ within distance $n^2 2^n$ of the origin we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{A}_2^c \cap \mathcal{A}_1\big) \leq \exp(-n^4)$$

and so $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2) \geq 1 - 4\exp(-cn^4)$. On the event $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ we claim that all boxes are not super heavy. Let $B = x + [-2^\ell, 2^\ell)^d$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and suppose that $W(t)$ visited $u \in B$ for some $t \leq t_n$. Then by (8) and the coupling we have that $|B(\lfloor t\rfloor) - u| \leq 2n^{10}$. There are at most 4^d blocks in $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ that cover every vertex within distance $2n^{10}$ of B so the event $\mathcal{A}_2$ implies that

$$|\{W(t) : t \leq t_n\} \cap B| \leq 4^d (4n^{10})^d (4.5)^\ell < 5^\ell. \tag{8.4}$$

Now let

$$T' = \big\{t \leq t_n : \forall 0 \leq s \leq t - \beta^{\epsilon^3},\ |B(s) - B(t)| \geq 2\beta^{\epsilon^4}\big\},$$

and

$$T = \big\{t \leq t_n : \forall 0 \leq s \leq t - \beta^{\epsilon^3},\ |W(s) - W(t)| \geq \beta^{\epsilon^4}\big\}.$$

On the event $\mathcal{A}_1$, using that $n^{10} << \beta^{\epsilon^4}$, we have $T' \subset T$. Furthermore, on $\mathcal{A}_2$, using equation (8), we have that all times $t \in T$ are relaxed. Thus, it suffices to show that T' is

large with high probability. If $t_n \le \beta^{\epsilon^3}$ then $|T'| = |T| = t_n$. Suppose next that $\beta^{\epsilon^3} \le t_n \le \beta$. Set

$$B^*(t) = \frac{1}{2\beta^{\epsilon^4}} B\big(4\beta^{2\epsilon^4} t\big).$$

which is also distributed as Brownian motion. Then if

$$T^* = \Big\{ t \le \frac{t_n}{4\beta^{2\epsilon^4}} : \forall s \le t - \beta^{\epsilon^3 - 2\epsilon^4}/4 \text{ with } |B^*(s) - B^*(t)| \ge 1 \Big\}$$

we have that $T' = 4\beta^{2\epsilon^4} T^*$. Note that $\beta^{\epsilon^3 - 2\epsilon^4}/4 \ge \beta^{\epsilon^3/2} \ge t_n^{\epsilon^3/2}$. Thus, setting $\zeta = \epsilon^3/2$, by Claim 9.4 we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(|T^*| \ge 0.95 \frac{t_n}{4\beta^{2\epsilon^4}}\Big) \ge 1 - \exp\Big(- \big(\frac{t_n}{4\beta^{2\epsilon^4}}\big)^c \Big) \ge 1 - \exp\big(- \beta^{c\epsilon^3} \big) \ge 1 - \exp(-n^5)$$

and so

$$\mathbb{P}\big(|T'| \ge 0.95 t_n\big) \ge 1 - \exp(-n^5).$$

On the event $\{|T'| \ge 0.95 t_n\} \cap \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ at least a 0.95 fraction of the times are relaxed and so the path is relaxed. This has probability at least $1 - 4\exp(-cn^4)$ which completes the proof. □

Finally we complete the base of the induction by proving the pair proximity property. As before, we may assume that $u_1 = 0$ and that $q_1 = 0$. Note also that by reversibility in time we may assume that $q_2 \le \beta/2$.

Lemma 8.2. *For any $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $q \le \beta/2$ we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\big|\mathfrak{P}_n(0, u, 0, q)\big| \ge 3.9^n\Big) \le e^{-n^3}.$$

Proof. Recall that W_1 and W_2 are two cyclic walks of length $t'_n = t_n/n^4 \le \beta/2$, starting from 0 and u at the cyclic times 0 and q.

We will begin with the case that $q \le t'_n$. We let Y_v be the cyclic walk started from $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ at time 0. Then W_1 corresponds to Y_0 while W_2 must correspond to Y_v for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Letting

$$\mathfrak{P}^*(v) = \{ s_2 \le 2t'_n : \exists s_1 \in [0, 2t'_n],\ |Y_v(s_2) - Y_0(s_1)| \le 1.9^n \}$$

we can bound

$$\big|\mathfrak{P}_n(0, u, 0, q)\big| \le \max_{v \ne 0} \big|\mathfrak{P}^*(v)\big|.$$

Define the event

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \Big\{ \sup_{s \le 2t'_n} |Y_v(s) - v| \le \frac{|v|}{2} + 4^n \Big\}$$

By standard estimates for random walks,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{s \le 2t'_n} |Y_v(s) - v| > \frac{|v|}{2} + 4^n \Big) \le \exp(-c(4^n - |v|))$$

and so by a union bound $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \ge 1 - e^{-ct_n}$. On the event $\mathcal{A}$, if $|v| \ge 4^{n+2}$ then $|\mathfrak{P}^*(v)| = 0$ so suppose that $|v| \le 4^{n+2}$. Now consider the evolution of $Y_0(s) - Y_v(s)$ for $s \in [0, 2t'_n]$. It is a Markov process with jump rate identical to a continuous time random walk with jump rate doubled except when $|Y_0(s) - Y_v(s)| = 1$. Since simple random walk is transient, in each

unit interval of time there is a positive probability $|Y_0(s) - Y_v(s)|$ becomes at least 2 and then never returns to 1. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[|\{i \in [0, 2t_n] \cap \mathbb{Z} : \min_{s\in[i,i+1)} |Y_0(s) - Y_v(s)| = 1\}| \geq n^5\Big] \leq \exp(-4n^4).$$

We can couple the two walks to independent random walks outside of these intervals. In particular, we can couple $Y_v(s)$ with a simple random walks $X(s)$ which is independent of $Y_0(s)$ such that the event

$$\mathcal{D} = \Big\{ \sup_{s\in[0,2t_n]} |Y_v(s) - X(s)| \leq n^{10} \Big\}$$

holds with very high probability, $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{D}] \geq 1 - \exp(-3n^4)$.

We now follow the proof of Lemma 7.10. Let $V := \big\{Y_0(s) : s \in [0, 2t'_n]\big\}$ be the vertices visited by the first walk. Let $\mathcal{F}_t^+$ be the sigma algebra generated by the first walk up to time $2t'_n$ and the second walk up to time t. Define the following sequence of stopping times. Let $\zeta_i = 0$ and

$$\zeta_i := \inf\big\{t \geq \zeta_i + 3.89,\ d(X(t), V) \leq 1.9^{n+1}\big\}.$$

Next, define the event

$$\mathcal{C} = \Big\{ \sup_{t\in[0,2t'_n]} \sup_{s\in[t-(3.6)^n\vee q_1,t]} |Y_0(t) - Y_0(s)| \leq 1.9^n \Big\}$$

and note that by standard random walk estimates (using that $1.9^2 > 3.6$) we have that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \geq 1 - e^{-n^5}$.

Now, by the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 7.10, on the event $\mathcal{C}$ we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\zeta_i \geq 2t'_n \mid \mathcal{F}^+_{\zeta_{i-1}}\big) \geq 1/2.$$

Thus, letting $J := \inf\big\{i : \zeta_i \geq 2t'_n\big\}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\big(J \geq n^4\big) \leq e^{-cn^4}.$$

On this event and the event $\mathcal{D}$ we can bound

$$|\mathcal{B}^*(v)| \leq J \cdot 3.89^n \leq 3.9^n$$

Taking a union bound over v we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\big|\mathfrak{P}_n(0, u, 0, q)\big| \geq 3.9^n\big) \leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) + \sum_{v:|v|\leq 4^{n+2}} \mathbb{P}\big(\big|\mathfrak{P}^*(v)\big| \geq 3.9^n\big) \leq e^{-cn^4}$$

which completes the proof when $q \leq t'_n$. If $t'_n < q < \beta/2$ then the walks W_1 and W_2 are independent and the proof is identical. □

9. Brownian Estimates

The following is a standard application of the Optional Stopping Theorem and the fact that $|B(t)|^{2-d}$ is a local martingale.

Lemma 9.1. *Let D be a ball of radius r centred at x and let $B(t)$ be Brownian motion started from y such that $|v - u| > r$. Then the probability that $B(t)$ ever hits D is $\left(\frac{r}{|x-y|}\right)^{d-2}$.*

Lemma 9.2. *Let $B(t)$ be Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then there exists $C_d > 0$ such for $0 < r \le s$ and any starting point $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\inf_{t \ge s^2} \|y + B(t)\| \le r] \le C_d (r/s)^{d-2}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 9.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[\inf_{t \ge s^2} \|B(t)\| \le r] &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\big(\frac{r}{\|y + B(s^2)\|}\big)^{d-2} \wedge 1\Big] \le \mathbb{E}\Big[\big(\frac{r}{\|B(s^2)\|}\big)^{d-2} \wedge 1\Big] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\Big[\big(\frac{r/s}{\|B(1)\|}\big)^{d-2} \wedge 1\Big] \\
&= C \int_0^\infty x^{d-1} (r/xs \wedge 1)^{d-2} e^{-x^2/2} dx \\
&\le C \int_0^{r/s} x^{d-1} e^{-x^2/2} dx + C (r/s)^{d-2} \int_{r/s}^\infty x e^{-x^2/2} dx \le C_d (r/s)^{d-2},
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from expectation being maximized at $y = 0$, the second inequality by Brownian scaling and the third equality follows by the radial symmetry of the Gaussian density. □

Lemma 9.3. *Let D be a ball of radius r and let N be the total number of integer times Brownian motion is inside D. Then for some $c > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[N > xr^2] \le 2 \exp(-cx).$$

Proof. For any starting point, by Lemma 9.2 after time Cr^2 there is a constant probability, not depending on r, such that the Brownian motion never returns to D. The result then follows by □

Claim 9.4. *Let $d \ge 5$ and let B be a standard d dimensional Brownian motion. Let $\zeta > 0$ and let $t \ge 1$. Define the set*

$$T := \big\{ s \le t : \exists s' \le s - t^\zeta \text{ with } \|B(s) - B(s')\| \le 1 \big\}.$$

We have that $\mathbb{P}\big(|T| \ge t^{1-\zeta^3}\big) \le C \exp(-t^c)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d = 5$. Indeed, the set T grows when removing the last $d-5$ coordinates. Let $r = t^{\zeta/2}$. First, we claim that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^5$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\big|\big\{ i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0,t] : \|B(i) - x\| \le 2r^{1+\zeta} \big\}\big| \ge r^{2+3\zeta}\big) \le \exp(-Ct^\zeta). \tag{9.1}$$

Indeed, every time the Brownian motion is inside a ball of radius $2dr^{1+\zeta}$ around x by Lemma 9.2 there probability $\theta > 0$ to escape the ball in time less than $r^{2+2\zeta}$ and never return. The estimate then follows from repeated trials.

Next, we say that the block $A_x := x + [-r^{1+\zeta}, r^{1+\zeta}]^5 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^5$ is heavy at time s if

$$\big|\big\{ y \in A_x \cap \mathbb{Z}^d : \exists s' \le s \text{ with } \|B(s') - y\| \le r^{1/20} \big\}\big| \ge r^{2.1}$$

and define the stopping time

$$\tau_{\text{hea}} := \inf \big\{ s > 0 : \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{Z}^5 \text{ the block } A_x \text{ is heavy at time } s \big\}.$$

We would like to show that it is unlikely that $\tau_{\text{hea}} \le t$. To this end, note that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\exists s, s' \le t \text{ with } |s-s'| \le 1 \text{ such that } \|B(s)-B(s')\| \ge r^{1/20}\big) \le Ct\exp(-cr^2) \le C\exp(-ct^{\zeta}). \quad (9.2)$$

Moreover, if both the events in (9) and (9) do not hold then

$$\big|\big\{y \in A_x \cap \mathbb{Z}^d : \exists s \le t \text{ with } \|B(s)-y\| \le r^{\zeta}\big\}\big| \le r^{2+3\zeta}(2r^{1/20})^5 < r^{2.1}$$

and therefore $\mathbb{P}(A_x \text{ is heavy at time } t) \le C\exp(-t^c)$. We obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\text{hea}} \le t) \le \mathbb{P}\big(\sup_{s\le t}\|B(s)\| \ge t\big) + \sum_{\|x\|\le 2t}\mathbb{P}\big(A_x \text{ is heavy at time } t\big) \le C\exp(-t^c).$$

Next, for any $j \le \lfloor t^{1-\zeta}\rfloor$ let $s_j := jt^{\zeta} = jr^2$ and define the event

$$\mathcal{A}_j := \big\{\exists s \in [s_j + r^{2-2\zeta}, s_{j+1}] \text{ and } s' \le s_j \text{ with } \|B(s)-B(s')\| \le 1\big\}.$$

We claim that on the event $\{\tau_{\text{hea}} \ge s_j\}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{A}_j \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_j}\big) \le r^{-1/2}$. Indeed, for any fixed $s \in [s_j + r^{2-2\zeta}, s_{j+1}]$ the density of $B(s) - B(s_j)$ is bounded by $Cr^{-5(1-\zeta)}$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\exists s' \le s_j \text{ with } \|B(s)-B(s')\| \le r^{\zeta} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_j}\big) \le Cr^{2.1}r^{-5(1-\zeta)} \le r^{-2.8}, \quad (9.3)$$

where in here we also used that the block A_x is not heavy where $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is the closest integer point to $W(s_j)$ and that $W(s) \in A_x$ with very high probability. We now union bound (9) over integers $s \in [s_j + r^{2-2\zeta}, s_{j+1}]$ and use (9) to obtain $\mathbb{P}\big(\mathcal{A}_j \mid \mathcal{F}_{s_j}\big) \le r^{-1/2}$.

It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\big|\big\{j \le \lfloor t^{1-\zeta}\rfloor : \mathcal{A}_j \text{ holds}\big\}\big| \ge t^{1-1.1\zeta}\big) \le C\exp(-t^c).$$

On the complement of the last event we have that

$$|T| \le r^{2-2\zeta}\big|\big\{j \le \lfloor t^{1-\zeta}\rfloor : \mathcal{A}_j \text{ doesn't hold}\big\}\big| + r^2\big|\big\{j \le \lfloor t^{1-\zeta}\rfloor : \mathcal{A}_j \text{ holds}\big\}\big| \le t^{1-\zeta^3}.$$

This finishes the proof of the claim. □

References

[1] R. Adamczak, M. Kotowski, and P. Miłoś. Phase transition for the interchange and quantum heisenberg models on the hamming graph. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques*, volume 57, pages 273–325. Institut Henri Poincaré, 2021.

[2] M. Aizenman and B. Nachtergaele. Geometric aspects of quantum spin states. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 164(1):17–63, 1994.

[3] D. Aldous and J. Fill. Reversible markov chains and random walks on graphs, 1995.

[4] G. Alon and G. Kozma. The probability of long cycles in interchange processes. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 162(9):1567–1585, 2013.

[5] G. Alon and G. Kozma. Comparing with octopi. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques*, volume 56, pages 2672–2685. Institut Henri Poincaré, 2020.

[6] O. Angel. Random infinite permutations and the cyclic time random walk. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, 2003.

[7] N. Berestycki. Emergence of giant cycles and slowdown transition in random transpositions and k-cycles. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 16:152–173, 2011.

[8] N. Berestycki and R. Durrett. A phase transition in the random transposition random walk. *Probability theory and related fields*, 136(2):203–233, 2006.

[9] N. Berestycki and G. Kozma. Cycle structure of the interchange process and representation theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1205.4753*, 2012.

[10] N. Berestycki, O. Schramm, and O. Zeitouni. Mixing times for random k-cycles and coalescence-fragmentation chains. *The Annals of Probability*, 39(5):1815–1843, 2011.

[11] M. Bernstein and E. Nestoridi. Cutoff for random to random card shuffle. *The Annals of Probability*, 47(5):3303–3320, 2019.
[12] V. Betz and D. Ueltschi. Spatial random permutations and infinite cycles. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 285(2):469–501, 2009.
[13] V. Betz and D. Ueltschi. Spatial random permutations and poisson-dirichlet law of cycle lengths. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 16:1173–1192, 2011.
[14] P. Caputo, T. Liggett, and T. Richthammer. Proof of aldous' spectral gap conjecture. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(3):831–851, 2010.
[15] F. Cesi. On the eigenvalues of cayley graphs on the symmetric group generated by a complete multipartite set of transpositions. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 32(2):155–185, 2010.
[16] F. Chung and L. Lu. Concentration inequalities and martingale inequalities: a survey. *Internet mathematics*, 3(1):79–127, 2006.
[17] P. Diaconis. Group representations in probability and statistics. *Lecture notes-monograph series*, 11:i–192, 1988.
[18] P. Diaconis and L. Saloff-Coste. Comparison theorems for reversible markov chains. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 3(3):696–730, 1993.
[19] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani. Generating a random permutation with random transpositions. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete*, 57(2):159–179, 1981.
[20] A. Dieker. Interlacings for random walks on weighted graphs and the interchange process. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 24(1):191–206, 2010.
[21] D. Elboim and R. Peled. Limit distributions for euclidean random permutations. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 369(2):457–522, 2019.
[22] R. P. Feynman. Atomic theory of the λ transition in helium. *Physical Review*, 91(6):1291, 1953.
[23] L. Flatto, A. M. Odlyzko, and D. Wales. Random shuffles and group representations. *The Annals of Probability*, pages 154–178, 1985.
[24] A. Hammond. Infinite cycles in the random stirring model on trees. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1202.1319*, 2012.
[25] A. Hammond. Sharp phase transition in the random stirring model on trees. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 161(3):429–448, 2015.
[26] S. Handjani and D. Jungreis. Rate of convergence for shuffling cards by transpositions. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 9(4):983–993, 1996.
[27] J. Hermon and J. Salez. The interchange process on high-dimensional products. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 31(1):84–98, 2021.
[28] J. Jonasson. Mixing times for the interchange process. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1210.6916*, 2012.
[29] T. Koma and B. Nachtergaele. The spectral gap of the ferromagnetic xxz-chain. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 40(1):1–16, 1997.
[30] J. Komlós, P. Major, and G. Tusnády. An approximation of partial sums of independent rv'-s, and the sample df. i. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete*, 32(1):111–131, 1975.
[31] R. Koteckỳ, P. Miłoś, and D. Ueltschi. The random interchange process on the hypercube. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 21:1–9, 2016.
[32] H. Lacoin. Mixing time and cutoff for the adjacent transposition shuffle and the simple exclusion. *The Annals of Probability*, 44(2):1426–1487, 2016.
[33] T.-Y. Lee and H.-T. Yau. Logarithmic sobolev inequality for some models of random walks. *The Annals of Probability*, 26(4):1855–1873, 1998.
[34] T. Matsubara. Quantum-statistical theory of liquid helium. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 6(5):714–730, 1951.
[35] B. Morris. Spectral gap for the interchange process in a box. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 13:311–318, 2008.
[36] E. Nestoridi and K. Peng. Mixing times of one-sided k-transposition shuffles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.05085*, 2021.
[37] R. I. Oliveira. Mixing of the symmetric exclusion processes in terms of the corresponding single-particle random walk. *The Annals of Probability*, 41(2):871–913, 2013.
[38] R. Poudevigne. Macroscopic cycles for the interchange and quantum heisenberg models on random regular graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.13370*, 2022.

[39] O. Schramm. Compositions of random transpositions. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 147(1):221–243, 2005.

[40] S. Starr and M. P. Conomos. Asymptotics of the spectral gap for the interchange process on large hypercubes. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2011(10):P10018, 2011.

[41] E. Subag. A lower bound for the mixing time of the random-to-random insertions shuffle. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 18:1–20, 2013.

[42] B. Tóth. Improved lower bound on the thermodynamic pressure of the spin 1/2 heisenberg ferromagnet. *letters in mathematical physics*, 28(1):75–84, 1993.

[43] D. B. Wilson. Mixing times of lozenge tiling and card shuffling markov chains. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 14(1):274–325, 2004.

[44] H.-T. Yau. Logarithmic sobolev inequality for generalized simple exclusion processes. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 109(4):507–538, 1997.

[45] A. Y. Zaitsev. Multidimensional version of the results of komlós, major and tusnády for vectors with finite exponential moments. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, 2:41–108, 1998.

Dor Elboim
Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, New Jersey, United States.
Email address: delboim@princeton.edu

Allan Sly
Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, New Jersey, United States.
Email address: allansly@princeton.edu