

THE RANKS OF HOMOLOGY OF COMPLEXES OF PROJECTIVE MODULES OVER FINITE GROUPS

JON F. CARLSON

ABSTRACT. We show that counterexamples of Iyengar and Walker to the algebraic version of Gunnar Carlsson's conjecture on the rank of the homology of a free complex can be extended to examples over any finite group with many choices of the complex.

Let p be a prime. A conjecture of Gunnar Carlsson [2] says that if an elementary abelian p -group of rank r acts freely on a CW-complex, then the sum of the dimensions of the homology groups is at least 2^r . The conjecture is still open. An algebraic version of the conjecture says that for such group G , and for any finite dimensional complex of free kG -modules the sum of the dimensions of the homology groups of the complex is at least 2^r . In a recent paper [3], Iyengar and Walker gave a counterexample to this conjecture in the form of a cone over an endomorphism of the Koszul complex over kG .

The purpose of this note is to point out that the Iyengar-Walker calculation combined with a construction of complexes of modules in a paper [1] by Benson and this author gives a large number of counterexamples to the algebraic conjecture. Moreover, the counterexamples are not confined to the category of modules over elementary abelian groups but can be constructed over any finite groups. Specifically, we prove the following.

Theorem. Suppose that k is a field of odd characteristic $p \geq 3$ and that G is a finite group having p -rank $r \geq 8$. Then there exists an infinite number of mutually nonisomorphic finite dimensional complexes \mathcal{D}_* of projective kG -modules with the property that $\sum \dim_k(H_i(\mathcal{D}_*)) < 2^r$. In addition, if G is a p -group, then \mathcal{D}_* is a complex of free modules.

Proof. The proof consists of two parts. The first is the construction of the complexes following [1]. The second in the calculation of the dimension of the homology, which

Date: December 1, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C20, 20J06, 13D22.

Key words and phrases. Finite Free Complexes, Toral rank conjecture.

Research partially supported by Simons Foundation grant 054813-01.

is described in detail in [3]. Because each part is well developed in the literature, we give only a sketch of the proof.

As for notation, we emphasize that \otimes means \otimes_k unless otherwise indicated. If M and N are kG -modules then the tensor product $M \otimes N$ is a kG -module using the diagonal coproduct $g \mapsto g \otimes g$ for $g \in G$.

Let ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r be a homogeneous set of parameters for the cohomology ring $H^*(G, k)$. That is, the p -rank r of G is also the Krull dimension of the cohomology ring, and $H^*(G, k)$ is finitely generated as a module over the subring generated by ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r . In addition, assume that there is a positive integer n such that the degree of ζ_i is n for all i . Such a choice can be made as long as n is a common multiple of the degrees of some collection of generators of $H^*(G, k)$. Note that since p is odd, odd degree elements of $H^*(G, k)$ are nilpotent, and hence, it must be that n is even.

We construct a complex as follows. Assume that (P_*, ε) is a minimal projective kG -resolution of the trivial module k . Each ζ_i is identified with a unique cocycle $\zeta_i : P_n \rightarrow k$. So we can construct a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & P_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & P_n & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & P_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \zeta_i & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ & & 0 & \longrightarrow & k & \xrightarrow{\iota_i} & L_{\zeta_i} & \longrightarrow & P_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & k & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the L_{ζ_i} is the pushout, so that the bottom row of the diagram is an exact sequence. Let \mathcal{C}_*^i be the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\zeta_i} \longrightarrow P_{n-2} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

Note that the homology of \mathcal{C}_*^i consists of two copies of k in degrees 0 and $n-1$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_* = \mathcal{C}_*^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{C}_*^r$. Using support varieties or the method of [1, Theorem 4.1], we see that $L_{\zeta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\zeta_r}$ is a projective kG -module. If G is a p -group then it is a free module, because all projective modules over the group algebra of a p -group are free. Thus \mathcal{C}_* is a complex of projective modules. Its homology is that of a space that is homotopic to a product of r spheres of dimension $n-1$ with trivial G -action on homology.

Now \mathcal{C}_*^i has a degree $n-1$ chain map ϑ_i that takes P_0 to L_{ζ_i} by $\iota_i \varepsilon$. The action on homology takes the $H_0(\mathcal{C}^i)$ isomorphically to $H_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}^i)$. This induces a chain map

$$\theta_i = 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes \vartheta_i \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 : \mathcal{C}_* \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_*.$$

Now notice that because $n-1$ is odd, we have that $\theta_i \theta_j = -\theta_j \theta_i$ for $i \neq j$. That is, switching the order of the composition is tantamount to changing the ordering of the factors in the tensor product of the complexes.

So the algebra of chain maps $R \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{kG}(\mathcal{C}_*, \mathcal{C}_*)$, generated by the θ_i 's, is seen to be an exterior algebra. Moreover, $H_*(\mathcal{C}_*)$ is (with some adjusting for degrees) the left regular representation of R .

Now we follow Iyengar-Walker (particularly, [3, Cor. 2.3]). Assume that $r \geq 8$, and let $\theta = \theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_3\theta_4 + \theta_5\theta_6 + \theta_7\theta_8$. Let \mathcal{D}_* be the cone over θ or equivalently, the third object in the triangle of θ in the derived category. Iyengar and Walker prove that its homology has dimension $2^r - 2^{r-6}$, thus giving our desired result. \square

The “infinite number” claim in the statement of the theorem is proved by the fact that there is an infinite number of choices for the degree n of the elements in the homogeneous set of parameters. It is not certain, at this point, what happens if we change the parameters keeping the same n . It seems likely that we get nonisomorphic complexes. In addition, the thing that is really important is that the products $\vartheta_{2n-1}\vartheta_{2n}$, for $n = 1, \dots, 4$, have the same degree so that θ is a chain map.

We emphasize that the theorem is not proved to hold in the case that $p = 2$. As Iyengar and Walker point out, this stems from the fact that in an exterior algebra in characteristic 2, the squares of all elements in the radical are zero. In addition, it seems to indicate that if one were to attempt to lift the system (systems of parameters and all) to the rational integers, then the homology would necessarily have 2-torsion. It bodes ill for attempts to get a counterexample to the topological version of the conjecture, using this machinery. Indeed, Rüping and Stephan [4] have proved that the counterexample of Iyengar-Walker does not lift to the topological setting.

There is the question of whether the Iyengar-Walker counterexample fits into the scheme presented here. The answer is that it does up to quasi-isomorphism.

Proposition. Suppose that $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \rangle$ is an elementary abelian p -group of order p^r . The Koszul complex used by Iyengar and Walker to construct their counterexample is quasi-isomorphic to a complex \mathcal{C}_* constructed as above from a homogeneous set of parameters for $H^*(G, k)$.

Proof. Assume that $X_i = g_i - 1$ so that $kG \cong A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_r$ where $A_i \cong k[X_i]/(X_i^p)$ is the subalgebra generated by X_i . Let \mathcal{U}_*^i be the complex $A_i \rightarrow A_i$ where the map is multiplication by X_i . We regard \mathcal{U}_i as a complex of kG -modules which is annihilated

by X_j for all $j \neq i$. Now form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \zeta_i & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & L\zeta_i & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & U_1^i & \xrightarrow{X_i} & U_0^i & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Here (P_*, ε) is the minimal projective kG -resolution of k , and ζ_i is the unique cocycle in the cohomology class in $\text{Ext}_{kG}(k, k) \cong H^2(G, k)$ that is represented by the sequence on the bottom row. Thus the chain map from the top row to the bottom, must factor through the middle row. This shows that there is a quasi-isomorphism from the complex \mathcal{C}^i formed from ζ_i , as in the proof of the theorem, to the complex \mathcal{U}_*^i . Thus, by tensoring, we get a quasi-isomorphism from \mathcal{C}_* to $\mathcal{U}_* = \mathcal{U}_*^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}_*^r$. We note that there is an action of the exterior algebra on r generators by chain maps on \mathcal{U}_* defined very similarly to the action on the complex \mathcal{C}_* and that the quasi-isomorphism commutes with the action of the exterior algebra.

The only thing left to finish the proof, is to show that the complex \mathcal{U}_* is quasi-isomorphic to the Koszul complex \mathcal{K}_* in the Iyengar-Walker counterexample. In fact, the identifications that we make between the two complexes are so natural that it could really be said that the two are identical. The Koszul complex is the product $\mathcal{K}_* = \mathcal{K}_*^1 \otimes_{kG} \cdots \otimes_{kG} \mathcal{K}_*^r$ where \mathcal{K}^i is the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow kG \xrightarrow{X_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

in degrees 1 and 0. For any choice i_1, \dots, i_r of elements of the set $\{0, 1\}$, we have that

$$\mathcal{K}_{i_1}^1 \otimes_{kG} \cdots \otimes_{kG} \mathcal{K}_{i_r}^r \cong kG \cong \mathcal{U}_{i_1}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}_{i_r}^r$$

and the maps, which consist of multiplications by the X_i 's is the same on both. The total differential on both complexes is given by the same rule. The complexes are naturally identified to be the same. We check that the chain maps defining the action of the exterior algebra are the same on both complexes. \square

Acknowledgment. Part of this paper was written while I was a virtual participant at the conference on “Rank Conjectures in Algebraic Topology and Commutative Algebra” at the Banff International Research Station. I would like to thank BIRS and the organizers of the stimulating meeting. I also thank Mark Walker for helping me sort through the proof of the proposition in the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson, *Projective resolutions and Poincaré duality complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **342**(1994), 447–488.
- [2] G. Carlsson, *Free $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^k$ -actions and a problem in commutative algebra*, Transformation groups, Proc. Symp., Poznań/Pol. 1985, Lect. Notes Math. 1217, (1986), 79–83.
- [3] S. B. Iyengar and M. E. Walker, *Examples of finite free complexes of small rank and small homology*, Acta Math. **221**(2018), 143–158.
- [4] H. Rüping and M. Stephan, *Multiplicativity and nonrealizable equivariant chain complexes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **226**(2022).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602, USA
Email address: jfc@math.uga.edu