

SCATTERED P -SPACES OF WEIGHT ω_1

WOJCIECH BIELAS, ANDRZEJ KUCHARSKI, AND SZYMON PLEWIK

ABSTRACT. We examine dimensional types of scattered P -spaces of weight ω_1 . Such spaces can be embedded into ω_2 . There are established similarities between dimensional types of scattered separable metric spaces and dimensional types of P -spaces of weight ω_1 with Cantor–Bendixson rank less than ω_1 .

1. INTRODUCTION

A topological space is said to be a P -space, whenever G_δ subsets are open. A topological space is *scattered* (dispersed) if every non-empty subspace of it contains an isolated point. If X is a topological space and α is an ordinal number, then $X^{(\alpha)}$ denotes the α -th derivative of X , compare [9, p. 261] or [15, p. 64]. If X is a scattered space, then *Cantor–Bendixson rank* of X is the least ordinal $N(X)$ such that the derivative $X^{(N(X))}$ is empty, see [7, p. 34]. Thus, if $X^{(N(X))} = \emptyset$ and $\beta < N(X)$, then $X^{(\beta)} \neq \emptyset$, also if X is a scattered space of cardinality ω_1 , then $N(X) < \omega_2$.

This paper is a continuation of [1], where we have investigated crowded P -spaces of cardinality and weight ω_1 . Here, we examine scattered P -spaces of weight ω_1 . Following the idea that some proofs on P -spaces are similar to proofs concerning (scattered) metric spaces, compare [2, Lemma 2.2.], the readers can modify our argumentation to obtain results stated in [5], and also contained in [11] and [17].

It will be convenient to use the notation from [3] and [6]. A scattered P -space is assumed to be regular and of weight ω_1 , nevertheless, we shall repeat these assumptions in the statements of facts. For brevity, we write $\gamma \in \text{Lim}$ instead of $\gamma < \omega_2$ is an infinite limit ordinal. Also, a closed and open set will be called *clopen*. The sum of a family of κ many homeomorphic copies of a space X we denote $\bigoplus_\kappa X$. Basic facts about sums can be found in [3, pp. 74–76]. If topological spaces X and Y are homeomorphic, then we write $X \cong Y$. Following [4], [15, p.

Date: December 1, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 54G12; Secondary: 54F05, 54G10.

Key words and phrases. P -space, scattered space, dimensional type.

130] or [9, p. 112], if X is homeomorphic to a subspace of Y , then we write $X \subset_h Y$. If $X \subset_h Y$ and $Y \subset_h X$, then we write $X =_h Y$ and say that X and Y have the same dimensional type.

The paper is organised as follows. First, we observe that any scattered space of weight ω_1 has to be of cardinality ω_1 and then we establish a lemma on embeddings of spaces with a point together with a decreasing base consisting of clopen sets, Lemma 2. In Section 3, we are concerned with properties of elementary sets, i.e. clopen sets with the last non-empty derivative of cardinality 1. Lemma 4 says that a scattered P -space of weight ω_1 can be represented as the sum of a family of elementary sets. Theorem 6 generalises a result of B. Knaster and K. Urbanik, see [8] and [17, Theorem 9], that each scattered metric space is homeomorphic to a subspace of an ordinal number with the order topology. To be more precise, dimensional types of scattered P -spaces of weight ω_1 are represented by dimensional types of subspaces of ω_2 . Corollary 7 states that any scattered P -space of weight ω_1 has a scattered compactification. The notion of a stable set enables us to reduce dimensional types of scattered P -spaces with countable Cantor–Bendixson rank to those of finite ranks. In Section 6, we examine spaces $J(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha < \omega_2$, in particular, we have established that the space $J(\alpha)$ is maximal among elementary sets with Cantor–Bendixson rank not greater than α . Our main results are contained in Section 7. Theorem 30 and Corollary 31 are counterparts of [5, Theorem 19] and [5, Corollaries 29 and 31]. Finally, we add some remarks concerning P -spaces with uncountable Cantor–Bendixson ranks. We think that a more detailed description of such spaces requires new tools, therefore it seems to be troublesome.

2. PRELIMINARIES

One can readily check the following properties of a P -space, see [1]. A regular P -space has a base consisting of clopen subsets, hence it is completely regular, [1, Proposition 1]. For a countable family of open covers, there exists an open cover which refines each member of this family. If a regular P -space is of cardinality ω_1 , then any open cover has a refinement consisting of clopen sets, [1, Lemma 14], and also a countable union of clopen sets is clopen, [1, Corollary 15].

Note that, there exist P -spaces of cardinality ω_1 and of weight greater than ω_1 . Indeed, let $X = \omega_1 + 1$ be equipped with the topology such that countable ordinal numbers are isolated points and

$$\mathcal{B}(\omega_1) = \{U \cup \{p\} : U \text{ is a club}\}$$

is a base at the point $\omega_1 \in X$, where a closed unbounded subset of ω_1 is called a club, compare [6, Definition 8.1.]. The intersection of

countably many clubs is a club and any base for filter generated by the family of all clubs is of cardinality greater than ω_1 , which follows from [6, Lemma 8.4.]. Therefore X is a P -space of cardinality ω_1 and of weight greater than ω_1 .

Proposition 1. *A scattered space of weight at most ω_1 is of cardinality at most ω_1 .*

Proof. If X is a scattered P -space of weight at most ω_1 , then

$$X = \bigcup \{X^{(\alpha)} \setminus X^{(\alpha+1)} : \alpha < \beta\},$$

where $\beta < \omega_2$. The inherited topology of each $X^{(\alpha)} \setminus X^{(\alpha+1)}$ is discrete and of cardinality at most ω_1 , hence $|X| \leq \omega_1$. \square

Suppose $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ is an injection. Clearly, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad & \forall \beta < \omega_1 \exists \alpha < \omega_1 f[(\alpha, \omega_1)] \subseteq (\beta, \omega_1). \\ (**) \quad & \forall \alpha < \omega_1 \exists \beta < \omega_1 f^{-1}[(\beta, \omega_1)] \subseteq (\alpha, \omega_1). \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma looks to be known, but for the readers convenience, we present it with a proof.

Lemma 2. *Let X and Y be topological spaces such that the families of clopen subsets*

$$\mathcal{B}_x = \{V_\alpha^x : \alpha < \omega_1\} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_y = \{U_\alpha^y : \alpha < \omega_1\}$$

are decreasing bases at points $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, respectively. If

$$X = \{x\} \cup \bigcup \{V_\alpha^x \setminus V_{\alpha+1}^x : \alpha < \omega_1\},$$

and $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ is an injection, and $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a family of embeddings

$$F_\alpha : V_\alpha^x \setminus V_{\alpha+1}^x \rightarrow U_{f(\alpha)}^y \setminus U_{f(\alpha)+1}^y, \text{ for } \alpha < \omega_1,$$

then $X \subset_h Y$.

Proof. We obtain an injection $F: X \rightarrow F[X] \subseteq Y$, putting

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } t = x; \\ F_\alpha(t), & \text{if } t \in V_\alpha^x \setminus V_{\alpha+1}^x. \end{cases}$$

The sets $V_\alpha^x \setminus V_{\alpha+1}^x$ and $U_\alpha^y \setminus U_{\alpha+1}^y$ are clopen, hence $F[X \setminus \{x\}] \subseteq Y$ is a homeomorphic copy of $X \setminus \{x\}$. It remains to show that the function F is continuous at the point $x \in X$ and F^{-1} is continuous at the point $y \in Y$.

Fix a set $U_\beta^y \ni F(x)$. By (*) there exists $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $f[(\alpha, \omega_1)] \subseteq (\beta, \omega_1)$. Therefore

$$F[V_{\alpha+1}^x] = \{y\} \cup \bigcup_{\gamma > \alpha} F[V_\gamma^x \setminus V_{\gamma+1}^x] \subseteq \{y\} \cup \bigcup_{\gamma > \alpha} U_{f(\gamma)}^y \setminus U_{f(\gamma)+1}^y \subseteq U_\beta^y,$$

hence F is continuous at $x \in X$.

Now, fix $V_\alpha^x \ni x$. There exists $\beta < \omega_1$ such that

$$(\beta, \omega_1) \cap f[[0, \omega_1]] \subseteq f[(\alpha, \omega_1)],$$

because of (**). We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\beta+1}^y \cap F[X] &= \{y\} \cup \bigcup \{F[X] \cap U_\xi^y \setminus U_{\xi+1}^y : \xi > \beta\} \subseteq \\ &\subseteq \{y\} \cup \bigcup \{F[X] \cap U_{f(\gamma)}^y \setminus U_{f(\gamma)+1}^y : \gamma > \alpha\} = \\ &= \{y\} \cup \bigcup_{\gamma > \alpha} F[V_\gamma^x \setminus V_{\gamma+1}^x] = F[V_\alpha^x]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F^{-1}[U_{\beta+1}^y] \subseteq V_\alpha^x$, hence F^{-1} is continuous at $y \in Y$. \square

Let X be a P -space. A base $\mathcal{B}_x = \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ at a point $x \in X$ is called a P -base whenever

- $V_0 = X$ and sets V_α are clopen,
- $V_\beta \supseteq V_\alpha$ for $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$,
- $V_\alpha = \bigcap \{V_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ for a limit ordinal number $\alpha < \omega_1$.

Moreover, the sets $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ will be called *slices*. Also, we have

$$X \setminus \{x\} = \bigcup \{V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1} : \alpha < \omega_1\}.$$

Note that if X is a P -space and $x \in X$, then there exists a P -base at point $x \in X$. Indeed, let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a base at a point $x \in X$, which consists of clopen sets. Putting $W_\alpha = \bigcap_{\gamma < \alpha} V_\gamma$, we obtain the family $\{W_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ which is a desired P -base.

For the purpose of Theorem 15 we will need the following notions and Lemma 3. Let (P, \leq) be an ordered set. An *antichain* in P is a set $A \subseteq P$ such that any two distinct elements $x, y \in A$ are *incomparable*, i.e., neither $x \leq y$ nor $y \leq x$. A nonempty $C \subseteq P$ is a *chain* in P if C is linearly ordered by \leq . Now, assume that (P, \leq) is a well-ordered set. If $1 \leq n < \omega$, then let \preceq be the coordinate-wise order on the product P^n , i.e. $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \preceq (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, whenever $a_i \leq b_i$ for $0 < i \leq n$. The following variant of Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem seems to be known, it can be deduced from [5, Lemma 28].

Lemma 3. *If (P, \leq) is a well-ordered set, then any infinite subset of (P^n, \preceq) contains an infinite increasing sequence. In particular, any antichain and any decreasing sequence in (P^n, \preceq) should be finite. \square*

3. ON ELEMENTARY SETS

A clopen subset E of a P -space is *elementary*, whenever the derivative $E^{(N(E)-1)}$ is a singleton. Clearly, a singleton is an elementary set and if E is an elementary set, then $N(E)$ is not a limit ordinal.

Lemma 4. *If X is a regular scattered P -space of weight ω_1 , then any open cover of X can be refined by a partition consisting of elementary sets.*

Proof. Let $\{U_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\}$ be an open cover of X . If $N(X) = 1$, then X is a discrete space, so there is nothing to do. Assume that the hypothesis is fulfilled for each scattered P -space Y with $N(Y) < \alpha$. If $N(X) = \alpha$ is a limit ordinal number, then the family $\{X \setminus X^{(\gamma)}: \gamma < \alpha\}$ is an open cover of X . So, there exists a partition $\{V_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\}$ which refines both covers $\{U_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\}$ and $\{X \setminus X^{(\gamma)}: \gamma < \alpha\}$. By the induction hypothesis, we can assume that each V_γ is the union of elementary subsets, since $N(V_\gamma) \leq \gamma < \alpha$. In the case $N(X) = \beta + 1$, the derivative $X^{(\beta)}$ is a discrete space. Let $\{V_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\}$ be a partition of X which refines $\{U_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\}$ and such that each $V_\gamma \cap X^{(\beta)}$ is a singleton or $V_\gamma \cap X^{(\beta)} = \emptyset$. If $V_\gamma \cap X^{(\beta)}$ is a singleton, then V_γ is an elementary subset. But if a set $V_\gamma \subseteq X \setminus X^{(\beta)}$, then, by the induction hypothesis, it is the union of a family of elementary subsets. \square

Proposition 5. *If X is an elementary set and $\alpha < N(X)$, then there exists an elementary subset $E \subseteq X$ such that $N(E) = \alpha + 1$. Moreover, if $\alpha + 1 < N(X)$, then there exists uncountable many pairwise disjoint elementary subsets $E \subseteq X$ such that $N(E) = \alpha + 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $\alpha < N(X)$ and $X^{(\alpha)} \setminus X^{(\alpha+1)} \neq \emptyset$. Each point of $X^{(\alpha)} \setminus X^{(\alpha+1)}$ is isolated in $X^{(\alpha)}$, hence there exists $x \in X^{(\alpha)}$ and a clopen set E such that $E \cap X^{(\alpha)} = \{x\}$. Clearly, E is an elementary set and $N(E) = \alpha + 1$.

If $\alpha + 1 < N(X)$, then fix an elementary set $E \subseteq X \setminus X^{(\alpha+2)}$ with a point $x \in X^{(\alpha+1)} \cap E$. We have $N(E \setminus \{x\}) = \alpha + 1$. By Lemma 4, the set $E \setminus \{x\}$ contains an uncountable family of pairwise disjoint elementary subsets, each one with the Cantor–Bendixson rank $\alpha + 1$. \square

B. Knaster and K. Urbanik showed that a scattered separable metric space can be embedded in a sufficiently large countable ordinal number, see [8]. Later, R. Telgársky removed the assumption of separability, showing that each metrizable scattered space can be embedded in a sufficiently large ordinal number, see [17].

Theorem 6. *Any regular scattered P -space of weight ω_1 can be embedded into ω_2 .*

Proof. We proceed inductively with respect to the rank $N(Y) < \omega_2$ of scattered P -spaces Y . If $N(Y) = 1$, then Y is discrete, hence it is homeomorphic to the family of all non-limit countable ordinals. First,

we present the second step of the induction. Let Y be a scattered P -space with $N(Y) = 2$. The derived set $Y^{(1)}$ is discrete and closed, so we can choose an open cover \mathcal{P} such that if $V \in \mathcal{P}$, then $V \cap Y^{(1)}$ is a singleton or $V = Y \setminus Y^{(1)}$. Let \mathcal{P}^* be a partition which refines \mathcal{P} . Thus each member of \mathcal{P}^* has at most one accumulation point and also $|\mathcal{P}^*| \leq \omega_1$. Members of \mathcal{P}^* should be homeomorphic to $J(2)$, $i(2)$, $i(2) \oplus D$, or D , where D is a discrete space and $J(2) = \text{succ}([0, \omega_1^2]) \cup \{\omega_1^2\}$, and $i(2) = \text{succ}([0, \omega_1]) \cup \{\omega_1\}$. Thus, one can embed members of \mathcal{P}^* into successive disjoint intervals of ω_2 .

We inductively assume that if Z is a P -space with $N(Z) < \alpha$, then Z is homeomorphic to a subspace of an initial interval of ω_2 . Let Y be a P -space such that $N(Y) = \alpha$ is a limit ordinal, so $\mathcal{P} = \{Y \setminus Y^{(\tau)} : \tau < \alpha\}$ is an open cover of Y . Let \mathcal{P}^* be a partition which refines \mathcal{P} . For each $V \in \mathcal{P}^*$, we have $N(V) < \alpha$, so one can embed members of \mathcal{P}^* into successive disjoint intervals of ω_2 .

Let Y be a P -space such that $N(Y) = \gamma + 1 < \omega_2$. If $Y^{(\gamma)} = \{z\}$, then fix a P -base $\{W_\mu : \mu < \omega_1\}$ at $z \in Y$. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{P} = \{W_\mu \setminus W_{\mu+1} : \mu < \omega_1\},$$

is a partition of the subspace $Y \setminus \{z\}$, consisting of clopen sets in Y . Let $Y_1 \subseteq [0, \tau_1]$ be a subspace homeomorphic to $Y \setminus W_1$ and $Y_\mu \subseteq (\tau_\mu, \tau_{\mu+1})$ be homeomorphic to $W_\mu \setminus W_{\mu+1}$, where $(\tau_\mu, \tau_{\mu+1})$ are successive disjoint intervals of ω_2 . The subspace

$$\bigcup \{Y_\mu : 0 < \mu < \omega_1\} \cup \left\{ \sup_{0 < \mu < \omega_1} \tau_\mu \right\} \subseteq [0, \sup_{0 < \mu < \omega_1} \tau_\mu] \subseteq \omega_2$$

is homeomorphic to Y , where the ordinal number $\sup\{\tau_\mu : 0 < \mu < \omega_1\}$ is assigned to z . If $Y^{(\gamma)}$ is not a singleton, then Y is the sum of elementary sets with Cantor–Bendixson rank $\gamma + 1$. As previously, we embed these elementary sets into successive disjoint intervals of ω_2 . \square

Theorem 6 shows that all scattered P -spaces of weight ω_1 share topological properties of the generalised ordered spaces, compare [10]. We omit a detailed discussion of this kind and confine ourselves to a counterpart of the Knaster–Urbanik result, see [8].

Corollary 7. *A regular scattered P -space of weight ω_1 has a scattered compactification of cardinality ω_1 .*

Proof. Any regular scattered P -space of weight ω_1 has a homeomorphic copy contained in a initial interval of ω_2 , thus the closure of this copy is the desired compactification. \square

Clearly, among regular P -spaces only finite ones are compact, so any compactification of an infinite P -space is not a P -space.

4. STABLE SETS WITH FINITE CANTOR–BENDIXSON RANK

Assume that $J(0)$ is the empty set and $J(1)$ is a singleton. But $J(2) = \text{succ}([0, \omega_1^2]) \cup \{\omega_1^2\}$, thus $J(2)$ is a P -space with exactly one accumulation point x such that there exists a P -base $\{V_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ at $x \in J(2)$ with all slices $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ of cardinality ω_1 , being discrete as subspaces. Assume that the P -space $J(n-1)$ is defined, then $J(n)$ is the P -space with $J(n)^{(n-1)} = \{x\}$ such that there exists P -base $\{V_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ at the point $x \in J(n)$ with $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1} \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(n-1)$, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Analogously, let $i(0) = J(0)$. If the P -space $i(n-1)$ is defined, then $i(n)$ is the P -space with $i(n)^{(n-1)} = \{x\}$ and a P -base at x such that slices are homeomorphic to the sum of ω many copies of $i(n-1)$.

Adapting the idea from [13, p. 248], we change the definition of a stable set. Namely, among the elementary sets we shall single out stable sets as follows. Let E be an elementary set such that $E^{(n)} = \{g\}$, where $n < \omega$. Considering E as a P -space, we say that E is a *stable* set, whenever there is a P -base at $g \in E$ such that any two slices are homeomorphic. A singleton is a stable set. Let X be a P -space such that $X^{(1)} = \{g\}$. If there exists a P -base at $g \in X$ with countably infinite slices, then X is a stable set. By Lemma 2, such a space X is unique up to homeomorphism, in fact it is $i(2)$. The space $J(2)$ is a stable set, but the elementary set $i(2) \oplus D$ is not stable, whenever D is uncountable and discrete. Obviously, $J(2)$ and $i(2)$ are the only stable sets in the class of all P -spaces with Cantor–Bendixson rank 2. This class consists of spaces which have three different dimensional types: $i(2)$, $i(2) \oplus D$ and $J(2)$; and moreover

$$i(2) \subset_h i(2) \oplus D \subset_h J(2).$$

If E is a stable set and $N(E) = n + 1$, then the set E is sometimes called *n-stable*. By Lemma 2, we have the following.

Corollary 8. *Suppose that E and F are n -stable sets with P -bases $\{V_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and $\{W_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$, respectively, witnessing stability. If $V_0 \setminus V_1 \cong W_0 \setminus W_1$, then $E \cong F$. \square*

In the class of all elementary sets with Cantor–Bendixson rank 3 there is countably many elementary sets having different dimensional types. For example, spaces $i(3) \oplus \bigoplus_n J(2)$ are of different dimensional types, depending on n .

Lemma 9. *For each $n < \omega$, there exist only finitely many non-homeomorphic n -stable sets. Also, any elementary set with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank is the sum of a family of stable sets.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 1$ and E is an elementary set with $N(E) = 1$, then there is nothing to do. Let \mathcal{F}_k be a family which consists of all, up to homeomorphism, k -stable sets. Suppose that the family \mathcal{F}_k is finite, for each $k < n$, and any elementary set with Cantor–Bendixson rank $< n$ is the sum of a family of stable sets. Suppose E is an elementary set with $E^{(n)} = \{g\}$. Fix a P -base $\mathcal{B} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ at $g \in E$. By the induction hypothesis, assume that each slice $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ is the sum of a family \mathcal{U}_α of elements from $\bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_k : k < n\}$. Let us define a subsequence of \mathcal{B} as follows.

- If $Y \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_m : m < n\}$ appears only in countably many families \mathcal{U}_α , then there exists $\beta_Y < \omega_1$ such that there is no Y in \mathcal{U}_α , where $\beta_Y < \alpha < \omega_1$.
- If $Y \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_m : m < n\}$ appears uncountable many times only in countably many \mathcal{U}_α , then there exists $\gamma_Y < \omega_1$ such that each \mathcal{U}_α contains at most countably many copies of Y , where $\gamma_Y < \alpha < \omega_1$.
- For the rest of $Y \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_k : k < n\}$, put $\gamma_Y = \beta_Y = 0$.
- Having defined β_Y and γ_Y for each $Y \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_k : k < n\}$, choose an increasing function $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that

$$f(0) > \max\{\max\{\gamma_Y, \beta_Y\} : Y \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_k : k < n\}\}$$

and there exists $\tau \in \{0, \omega, \omega_1\}$ such that Y appears τ -many times in each slice $V_{f(\alpha)} \setminus V_{f(\alpha+1)}$.

Clearly, the set $V_{f(0)}$ is n -stable. Since the family $\bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_k : k < n\}$ is finite, it follows that the family of all n -stable sets is finite. By the induction hypothesis and Lemma 4, the set $E \setminus V_{f(0)}$ is the sum of a family of stable sets. \square

For technical reasons, the sum $\bigoplus_0 X$ is understood as the empty set.

Lemma 10. *If X is a scattered P -spaces with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank, then there exists a partition*

$$X \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa_1} F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{\kappa_m} F_m,$$

where F_1, \dots, F_m are all stable sets with Cantor–Bendixson rank not greater than $N(X)$ and $\kappa_i \in \omega \cup \{\omega, \omega_1\}$.

Proof. Let X be a scattered P -space with $N(X) = n$. By Lemma 4, the space X is the sum of a family \mathcal{F} of elementary sets. By Lemma 9, each $E \in \mathcal{F}$ is the sum of a family of stable sets. Thus, X is the sum of a family of k -stable sets, where $k < n$. Therefore (again by Lemma 9), if F_1, \dots, F_m is a sequence of all (up to homeomorphism) k -stable sets, where $k < n$, then

$$X \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa_1} F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{\kappa_m} F_m,$$

where and $\kappa_i \in \omega \cup \{\omega, \omega_1\}$. \square

Theorem 11. *There are at most countably many non-homeomorphic scattered P -spaces with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank.*

Proof. If X is a scattered P -space with $N(X) < n$, then X is homeomorphic to the sum as in Lemma 10, where $N(F_i) < n$ for each F_i . There are at most finitely many k -stable sets with $k < n$, hence there exist at most countably many such sums determined by the number of occurrences of k -stable sets with $k < n$, which suffices to finish the proof. \square

Corollary 12. *There exist countably many dimensional types of scattered P -spaces with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank.* \square

5. DIMENSIONAL TYPE OF P -SPACES WITH FINITE CANTOR–BENDIXSON RANK

Some technical problems of P -spaces with countable Cantor–Bendixson rank can be reduced to studying P -spaces with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank.

Proposition 13. *If Y is an elementary P -space of weight ω_1 with $N(Y) = n$, then $Y \subset_h J(n)$.*

Proof. If $n < 2$, then there is nothing to do. If $N(Y) = 2$, then check that $Y \subset_h J(2)$, using Lemma 2. Suppose that the hypothesis is fulfilled for each $k < n$. Fix a P -base $\{W_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_1\}$ at the point $y \in Y^{(n-1)}$ and fix a P -base $\{V_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_1\}$ at the point $x \in J(n)^{(n-1)}$ such that $V_\gamma \setminus V_{\gamma+1} = \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(n-1)$ for each $\gamma < \omega_1$. By Lemma 4,

$$W_\gamma \setminus W_{\gamma+1} \cong \bigoplus \{E_\mu : \mu < \omega_1\},$$

where subsets $E_\mu \subseteq Y$ are elementary and with $N(E_\mu) \leq n-1$. So, by the induction hypothesis, there exist embeddings

$$f|_{W_\gamma \setminus W_{\gamma+1}} : W_\gamma \setminus W_{\gamma+1} \rightarrow V_\gamma \setminus V_{\gamma+1}.$$

Putting $f(y) = x$, we are done. \square

Proposition 14. *If X is a scattered P -space of weight ω_1 such that $X^{(2n)} = \{g\}$, then $J(n+1) \subset_h X$.*

Proof. If $n = 1$, then $J(2) \cong (X \setminus X^{(1)}) \cup \{g\}$. Assume that the hypothesis is fulfilled for any scattered P -space Y such that $Y^{(2n-2)} = \{y\}$. Let

$$X^* = \{g\} \cup (X \setminus X^{(2n-1)}).$$

The point $g \in X^*$ has a P -base $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ such that each slice $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ contains ω_1 many pairwise disjoint elementary sets, each

with Cantor–Bendixson rank $2n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, any such elementary set contains a copy of $J(n)$, hence $J(n+1) \subset_h X^*$. \square

If $k \geq 2n$ and $X^{(k)} = \{g\}$, then there exists $Y \subseteq X$ such that the derivative $Y^{(2n)}$ is a singleton, hence $J(n+1) \subset_h Y \subseteq X$. The assumption $k = 2n$ is minimal. Indeed, if $n = 2$ and $k = 3$, then $i(4)$ and $J(3)$ have incomparable dimensional types.

Theorem 15. *Let (\mathcal{F}, \subset_h) be an ordered set, where \mathcal{F} is a family of scattered P -spaces of weight ω_1 with Cantor–Bendixson ranks $\leq n$. Then every antichain is finite and every strictly decreasing chain is finite.*

Proof. Let X be a scattered P -space with $N(X) = n$ and F_1, \dots, F_m be all k -stable sets with Cantor–Bendixson rank $k \leq n$. Applying Lemma 10, fix a partition

$$X =_h \bigoplus_{\kappa_1^X} F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{\kappa_m^X} F_m,$$

where $\kappa_i^X \in \omega \cup \{\omega, \omega_1\} = A$. Thus we have defined a function φ such that

$$X \mapsto \varphi(X) = (\kappa_1^X, \dots, \kappa_m^X) \in A^m.$$

Consider the coordinate-wise order (A^m, \preceq) . Using elementary properties of the sum of spaces, we have the following implications:

- (1) $\varphi(X) \preceq \varphi(Y) \Rightarrow X \subset_h Y$;
- (2) $X \subset_h Y$ and $X \neq_h Y \Rightarrow \exists_i \kappa_i^X < \kappa_i^Y$.

Condition (1) implies that if $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is an antichain with respect to \subset_h , then $\{\varphi(X) : X \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an antichain in (A^m, \preceq) . Therefore, by Lemma 3, there is no infinite antichain in \mathcal{F} .

Suppose that (X_n) is a strictly decreasing sequence with respect to \subset_h . Put

$$B_i = \left\{ \{k, n\} : \kappa_i^{X_{\max\{k, n\}}} < \kappa_i^{X_{\min\{k, n\}}} \right\}.$$

We have $[\omega]^2 = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$, by condition (2). According to Ramsey's theorem [14, Theorem A], there exist an infinite subset $N \subseteq \omega$ and i such that $\kappa_i^{X_n} < \kappa_i^{X_k}$ for each $k, n \in N$, where $k < n$. This contradicts A being well-ordered. \square

Observe that our proof of Theorem 15 uncovers hidden usage of Ramsey's theorem in the proof [5, Lemma 30].

Corollary 16. *Let (\mathcal{F}, \subset_h) be an ordered set, where \mathcal{F} is a family of scattered P -spaces of weight ω_1 with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank. Then every antichain is finite and every strictly decreasing chain is*

finite. However, among spaces of \mathcal{F} , there is ω -many but not ω_1 -many different dimensional types.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be an antichain of scattered P -spaces with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank. If $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$, then $2N(X) < N(Y)$ is impossible. Suppose otherwise and put $n = N(X) + 1$, then $X \subset_h J(n)$ by Proposition 13. The inequality $N(Y) \geq 2n - 1$ and Proposition 14 imply $J(n) \subset_h Y$, a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{A} has to be finite.

Suppose $X_1 \supset_h X_2 \supset_h \dots$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of scattered P -spaces with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank. Then all spaces X_n have Cantor–Bendixson rank $\leq N(X_1)$. By Theorem 15, the sequence is finite.

Observe that if $m \neq n$, then spaces $\bigoplus_m J(2)$ and $\bigoplus_n J(2)$ have different dimensional types. By Lemma 4 and 9, there is at most countably many different dimensional types among spaces with Cantor–Bendixson rank n , hence no family of dimensional types of spaces with finite Cantor–Bendixson rank can be uncountable. \square

6. MAXIMAL ELEMENTARY SETS

Proposition 13 states that $J(n)$ is maximal with respect to \subset_h in the class of all P -spaces with Cantor–Bendixson rank $\leq n$. We proceed to a definition of maximal P -spaces with infinite Cantor–Bendixson ranks. Namely, let $J(\omega)$ be the sum of the family $\{J(n) : n < \omega\}$, i.e.

$$J(\omega) = \bigoplus \{J(n) : n < \omega\}.$$

If $\beta < \omega_2$ and the P -space $J(\beta)$ is already defined, then let $J(\beta + 1)$ be a P -space with $J(\beta + 1)^{(\beta)} = \{x\}$ such that there exists a P -base $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ at $x \in J(\beta + 1)$ with all slices $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ homeomorphic to the sum $\bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\beta)$. If $\beta > \omega$ is a limit ordinal and the space $J(\gamma)$ is defined for each $\gamma < \beta$, then put

$$J(\beta) = \bigoplus \{J(\gamma) : \gamma < \beta\}.$$

Now, we establish some properties of $J(\beta)$.

Lemma 17. *If $\gamma < \omega_2$, then $J(\gamma + 1) \cong J(\gamma + 1) \oplus \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\{x\} = J(\gamma + 1)^{(\gamma+1)}$ and let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -base at the point $x \in J(\gamma + 1)$. We have

$$V_0 \setminus V_1 \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\gamma) \text{ and } V_1 \cong J(\gamma + 1) = V_0,$$

since $V_0 \setminus V_1$ is a clopen set, we are done. \square

Lemma 18. *If $\beta \in \text{Lim}$, then $J(\beta) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\beta)$.*

Proof. Since $J(\omega) = \bigoplus\{J(n): n \in \omega\}$, using Lemma 17, we get

$$J(\omega) \cong \bigoplus\{J(n) \oplus \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(n-1): n > 0\},$$

therefore $J(\omega) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\omega)$.

Assume that if $\gamma \in \beta \cap \text{Lim}$, then the hypothesis of the lemma is fulfilled. According to Lemma 17, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(\beta) &= \bigoplus\{J(\gamma+1): \gamma < \beta\} \oplus \bigoplus\{J(\gamma): \gamma \in \beta \cap \text{Lim}\} \cong \\ &\bigoplus\{J(\gamma+1) \oplus \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\gamma): \gamma < \beta\} \oplus \bigoplus\{J(\gamma): \gamma \in \beta \cap \text{Lim}\} \cong \\ &\bigoplus\{J(\gamma+1): \gamma < \beta\} \oplus \bigoplus_{\omega_1} \bigoplus\{J(\gamma): \gamma < \beta\} \oplus \bigoplus\{J(\gamma): \gamma \in \beta \cap \text{Lim}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $J(\beta) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} \bigoplus\{J(\gamma): \gamma < \beta\} \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\beta)$. \square

In fact, for each $\beta \in \text{Lim}$ we have

$$J(\beta) \cong \bigoplus\{\bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\gamma): \gamma < \beta \text{ and } \gamma \notin \text{Lim}\}.$$

7. DIMENSIONAL TYPE OF P -SPACES WITH COUNTABLE AND INFINITE CANTOR–BENDIXSON RANK

Note that $J(2)$ cannot be embedded as a clopen subset of $i(n)$. Indeed, if $U \subseteq i(n)$ is a non-discrete clopen subset, then U contains clopen homeomorphic copy of $i(2)$, but $J(2)$ does not contain a clopen homeomorphic copy of $i(2)$. Consequently no $i(n)$, for $n > 1$, can be homeomorphic to a clopen subset of $J(\omega)$. Analogously, no $J(n)$, for $n > 1$, can be homeomorphic to a clopen subset of $\bigoplus\{i(n): n < \omega\}$. So, one can readily check that $J(\omega)$ is not homeomorphic to $\bigoplus\{i(n): n < \omega\}$. Nevertheless, we have the following.

Proposition 19. *If X is a scattered P -space of weight ω_1 such that $N(X) = \omega$, then $X =_h J(\omega)$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 4, let $X = \bigcup\{E_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\}$ be a partition such that each E_γ is an elementary set. For each n , fix γ such that $N(E_\gamma) > 2n$. By Proposition 14, we have $J(n) \subset_h E_\gamma$, consequently $J(\omega) \subset_h X$. The inequality $N(E_\gamma) < \omega$ and Proposition 13 imply $E_\gamma \subset_h J(N(E_\gamma)) \subseteq J(\omega)$. Hence $X \subset_h J(\omega)$, since $J(\omega) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\omega)$ by Lemma 18. \square

Inductively one can check that $J(n+1)^{(1)} = J(n)$ for each $n \in \omega$, but $J(\omega)^{(1)} \cong J(\omega)$ and therefore, by induction, one readily checks $J(\alpha)^{(1)} \cong J(\alpha)$ for any infinite α .

Proposition 20. *If Y is an elementary set of weight ω_1 with Cantor–Bendixson rank $\alpha + 1$, then $Y \subset_h J(\alpha + 1)$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 13, the thesis is fulfilled for $\alpha < \omega$. We simply mimic the proof of Proposition 13, for other ordinal numbers. Let $Y^{(\alpha)} = \{y\}$ and suppose that the hypothesis is fulfilled for each $\beta < \alpha$. Let $\{W_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\}$ be a P -base at the point $y \in Y$ and let $\{V_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\}$ be a P -base at the point $x \in J(\alpha + 1)^{(\alpha)}$ such that $V_\gamma \setminus V_{\gamma+1} = \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\alpha)$ for each $\gamma < \omega_1$. By Lemma 4,

$$W_\gamma \setminus W_{\gamma+1} \cong \bigoplus \{E_\mu: \mu < \omega_1\},$$

where sets E_μ are elementary with $N(E_\mu) \leq \alpha$, for each $\mu < \omega_1$. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, there exist embeddings

$$f|_{W_\gamma \setminus W_{\gamma+1}}: W_\gamma \setminus W_{\gamma+1} \rightarrow V_\gamma \setminus V_{\gamma+1}.$$

To finish the proof, put $f(y) = x$. \square

Corollary 21. *If X and Y are elementary sets with Cantor–Bendixson rank $\omega + 1$, both of the weight ω_1 , then $X =_h Y$.*

Proof. Assume that $X = J(\omega + 1)$. We have $J(\omega + 1)^{(\omega)} = \{x\}$ and $Y^{(\omega)} = \{y\}$. Let $\{V_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -base at the point $y \in Y$ such that each slice $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ is the sum of a family \mathcal{R}_α consisting of elementary subsets and let $\{U_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -base at the point $x \in J(\omega + 1)$ such that each slice $U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha+1}$ is homeomorphic to $J(\omega) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\omega)$. For each $E \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha$, we have $N(E) < \omega$, hence the sum of \mathcal{R}_α can be embedded into $U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha+1}$. Sending the point y to x , we get $Y \subset_h J(\omega + 1)$.

To prove that $J(\omega + 1) \subset_h Y$, let families \mathcal{R}_α be as above, assuming, without loss of generality, that for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $n < \omega$ there exists an elementary set $W \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha$ such that $W^{(2n)} \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 14, we get an increasing sequence (α_n) such that $J(n) \subset_h V_{\alpha_n} \setminus V_{\alpha_{n+1}}$. Therefore $J(\omega) \subset_h V_0 \setminus V_\beta$ for some $\beta < \omega_1$. Repeating this procedure ω_1 many times, we obtain an unbounded subset $\{\beta_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\} \subseteq \omega_1$ such that $J(\omega) \subset_h V_{\beta_\gamma} \setminus V_{\beta_{\gamma+1}}$. Let $W_\gamma \subseteq V_{\beta_\gamma} \setminus V_{\beta_{\gamma+1}}$ be a copy of $J(\omega) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\omega)$. Hence $J(\omega + 1) \cong \bigoplus \{W_\gamma: \gamma < \omega_1\} \cup \{g\} \subseteq Y$. \square

Proposition 22. *If $\beta \in \text{Lim}$, then any P -space X of weight ω_1 such that $N(X) \leq \beta$ is homeomorphic to a subset of $J(\beta)$, i.e. $X \subset_h J(\beta)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 19, the hypothesis is fulfilled for $\beta = \omega$. Assume that the hypothesis is fulfilled for each limit ordinal number $\gamma < \beta$. Let $X = \bigoplus \{E_\mu: \mu < \lambda\}$, where $\lambda \leq \omega_1$ and each E_μ is an elementary set with $N(E_\mu) < \beta$. By the induction hypothesis, fix embeddings $f_\mu: E_\mu \rightarrow J(\gamma_\mu)$, where $\gamma_\mu < \beta$. Since $J(\beta)$ has a representation as the sum $\bigoplus \{\bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\gamma): \gamma < \beta \text{ and } \gamma \notin \text{Lim}\}$, one readily checks that

$$\bigcup \{f_\mu: \mu < \lambda\}: X \rightarrow \bigoplus \{J(\gamma_\mu): \mu < \lambda\} \cong J(\beta)$$

is a desired embedding. \square

Corollary 23. *If X is a crowded P -space of weight ω_1 and Y is a scattered P -space of weight ω_1 , then $Y \subset_h X$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 22, it suffices to prove inductively that $J(\alpha) \subset_h X$ for each $\alpha < \omega_2$. Recall that, by [1, Propositions 1 and 2], any open subset of a crowded P -space contains ω_1 -many clopen pairwise disjoint clopen subsets, i.e. $X = \bigoplus \{X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, where each X_α is crowded.

We have $J(1) \subset_h X$, since $J(1)$ is a one-point space. Assume that $J(\gamma) \subset_h Z$ for each $\gamma < \alpha$ and any crowded P -space Z of weight ω_1 . If $\alpha \in \text{Lim}$, then $J(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{\gamma < \alpha} J(\gamma)$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $J(\gamma) \subset_h X_\gamma$, therefore $J(\alpha) \subset_h X$. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$, then fix $x \in X$ and a P -base $\{V_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_1\}$ at $x \in X$. Let $J(\beta + 1)^{(\beta)} = \{g\}$ and $\{W_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_1\}$ be a P -base at $g \in J(\beta + 1)$ such that $W_\gamma \setminus W_{\gamma+1} \cong \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\beta)$. By the induction hypothesis, there exist embeddings $F_\gamma : W_\gamma \setminus W_{\gamma+1} \rightarrow V_\gamma \setminus V_{\gamma+1}$. By Lemma 2, we get $J(\beta + 1) \subset_h X$, putting $F(g) = x$. \square

By Proposition 19 and Corollary 21, P -spaces with the Cantor–Bendixson rank ω or $\omega + 1$ have dimensional type of $J(\omega)$ or $J(\omega + 1)$, respectively, and similarly for countable limit ordinals.

Theorem 24. *If $\beta \in \text{Lim} \cap \omega_1$, then $J(\beta) =_h X$ for any P -space X of weight ω_1 with $N(X) = \beta$. Moreover, if $n > 0$ and Z is a P -space of weight ω_1 with $N(Z) = \beta + 2n - 1$, then $J(\beta + n) \subset_h Z$.*

Proof. If $\beta = \omega$ and $n = 1$, then there is nothing to do, as it is observed just before this theorem. Assume that

(*) $_\beta$ If $\omega \leq \gamma < \beta$, then there exists $\lambda < \beta$ such that $\gamma < \lambda$ and if E is an elementary set with $N(E) = \lambda$, then $J(\gamma) \subset_h E$.

Conditions (*) $_\beta$ suffice to show that if $N(X) = \beta$, then $J(\beta) \subset_h X$. Indeed, X is the sum of a family of elementary sets E_μ such that β is the supremum of ordinal numbers $N(E_\mu)$, hence if $\gamma < \beta$, then one can choose an elementary set $E_\mu \supset_h J(\gamma)$, for each γ a different one. Having chosen sets E_μ , we get $\bigoplus \{J(\gamma) : \gamma < \beta\} \subset_h X$. Therefore, by virtue of Proposition 22, we get $J(\beta) =_h X$.

Now, we prove that if $n > 0$ and $N(Z) = \beta + 2n - 1$, then $J(\beta + n) \subset_h Z$. If $N(X) = \beta$, then $J(\beta) =_h X$ as it has been proved above. Using Lemma 18, one can readily check that $J(\beta + 1) \subset_h X$ whenever $N(X) = \beta + 1$. The inductive steps mimic the proof of Proposition 14. Namely, assume that the hypothesis is fulfilled for any P -space Y such that $Y^{(\beta+2n-2)} = \{y\}$, i.e. $J(\beta + n) \subset_h Y$. Now fix a P -space Z with

$Z^{(\beta+2n)} = \{g\}$. Let

$$Z^* = \{g\} \cup (Z \setminus Z^{(\beta+2n-1)}).$$

The point $g \in Z^*$ has a P -base $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ such that each slice $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ contains ω_1 many pairwise disjoint elementary sets, each with a one-point $(\beta + 2n - 2)$ -derivative. By the induction hypothesis, any such elementary set contains a copy of $J(\beta + n)$, hence $J(\beta + n + 1) \subset_h Z^* \subseteq Z$.

Observe that if $(*)_\beta$ is fulfilled, then $(*)_{\beta+\omega}$ is also fulfilled, which finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 25. *If $\beta \in \text{Lim} \cap \omega_1$ and X is an elementary set with $N(X) = \beta + 1$, then $J(\beta + 1) =_h X$.*

Proof. Let $X^{(\beta)} = \{g\}$ and $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -base at $g \in X$. By Proposition 20, we have $X \subset_h J(\beta + 1)$. Fix an uncountable subset $\{\gamma_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq \omega_1$, which is enumerated increasingly and such that $N(V_{\gamma_\alpha} \setminus V_{\gamma_{\alpha+1}}) = \beta$ for each α . Putting $W_\alpha = V_{\gamma_\alpha}$ and bearing in mind that $\bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\beta) \cong J(\beta)$, we have

$$\bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(\beta) \subset_h W_\alpha \setminus W_{\alpha+1},$$

by Theorem 24. Applying Lemma 2, we get $J(\beta + 1) \subset_h X$. \square

The relation $=_h$ is an equivalence, where

$$[X]_h = \{Y : Y =_h X\}$$

is the equivalence class of X . If $\lambda \in \text{Lim} \cup \{0\}$, then let \mathcal{P}_λ be the family of equivalence classes of P -spaces X such that $\lambda < N(X) \leq \lambda + \omega$. Putting $[X]_h <_h [Y]_h$, whenever $X \subset_h Y$, we obtain the ordered set, which we denote $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda, <_h)$. By Theorem 24, classes $[J(\lambda + 1)]_h$ and $[J(\lambda + \omega)]_h$ are the least element and the greatest element of \mathcal{P}_λ , respectively. If $[X]_h \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$, then $X \setminus X^{(\lambda+1)}$ is the sum of a family of elementary sets with Cantor–Bendixson rank $\lambda + 1$. If $[X]_h \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$, then $[X^{(\lambda)}]_h \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and by Proposition 25 we have

$$[X \setminus X^{(\lambda+1)}]_h = [\bigoplus_\kappa J(\lambda + 1)]_h,$$

where $\kappa = |X^{(\lambda)} \setminus X^{(\lambda+1)}|$, i.e. $\kappa \in \omega \cup \{\omega, \omega_1\}$, but if $N(X) > \lambda + 1$, then $\kappa = \omega_1$. Note that, the class $[J(\omega^2)]_h$ does not belong to any family \mathcal{P}_λ , despite the fact that if X is a P -space with $N(X) < \omega^2$, then $[X]_h <_h [J(\omega^2)]_h$. A similar statement holds when ω^2 is replaced by $\gamma \in \text{Lim}$ such that $\gamma \neq \lambda + \omega$ for each $\lambda \in \text{Lim}$.

The lemma below is probably well known.

Lemma 26. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous injection, then we have $f[X^{(\alpha)}] \subseteq Y^{(\alpha)}$, for any ordinal number α . Moreover, if $X \subset_h Y$, then $X^{(\alpha)} \subset_h Y^{(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous injection and $x \in X^{(1)}$, then we have

$$f(x) \in \text{cl } f[X \setminus \{x\}] = \text{cl}(f[X] \setminus \{f(x)\}),$$

hence $f(x) \in f[X]^{(1)} \subseteq Y^{(1)}$. By induction on α , assume that $\beta < \alpha$ implies $f[X^{(\beta)}] \subseteq Y^{(\beta)}$. Thus, if $\alpha = \beta + 1$, then

$$f[X^{(\beta+1)}] \subseteq f[X^{(\beta)}]^{(1)} \subseteq (Y^{(\beta)})^{(1)} = Y^{(\beta+1)}.$$

If α is a limit ordinal number, then

$$f[\bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X^{(\beta)}] \subseteq \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} f[X^{(\beta)}] \subseteq \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} Y^{(\beta)} = Y^{(\alpha)}.$$

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding, then $f[X^{(\alpha)}] \subseteq Y^{(\alpha)}$ and also if a set $U \subseteq X$ is open, then $f[U \cap X^{(\alpha)}] = f[U] \cap f[X^{(\alpha)}]$ is an open subset of $f[X^{(\alpha)}]$. \square

It appears that Lemma 26 can be reversed.

Lemma 27. *If Z is a P -space such that $0 < N(Z) \leq \omega$ and $\lambda \in \text{Lim}$, then there exists a P -space Z^* such that $Z^{*(\lambda)} \cong Z$. Moreover, $Z^* \setminus Z^{*(\lambda+1)}$ is the sum $\bigoplus_{\kappa} J(\lambda + 1)$, where $\kappa = |Z \setminus Z^{(1)}|$.*

Proof. Fix a P -space Z such that $0 < N(Z) \leq \omega$. Let $Z^* = Z^{(1)} \cup \bigcup \{J_x: x \in Z \setminus Z^{(1)}\}$, where $\{J_x: x \in Z \setminus Z^{(1)}\}$ are disjoint copies of $J(\lambda + 1)$. Equip the set Z^* with a topology as follows. Each subset of the form J_x is clopen in Z^* and it is homeomorphic to $J(\lambda + 1)$. If $z \in Z^{(1)}$ and V is a neighbourhood of z in Z , then let

$$V^* = V^{(1)} \cup \bigcup \{J_x: x \in V \setminus Z^{(1)}\}.$$

Let the family $\mathcal{B}_z = \{V^*: V \text{ is a neighbourhood of } z \in Z\}$ constitute a base at the point $z \in Z^*$. We leave the reader to check that the space Z^* is as desired. \square

Lemma 28. *If $\lambda \in \text{Lim} \cap \omega_1$ and E is a P -space with $E^{(\lambda+n)} = \{g\}$, then E contains a subspace $Y =_h J(\lambda + 1)$ such that $Y^{(\lambda)} = \{g\}$.*

Proof. Fix a P -space E such that $E^{(\lambda+n)} = \{g\}$. If $n = 0$, then $E =_h J(\lambda + 1)$ by Proposition 25. If $n > 0$, then fix a P -base $\{V_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ at $g \in E$ such that each slice $N(V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}) = \lambda + n - 1$. By Theorem 24, we can choose a subspace $Y_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ such that $Y_\alpha =_h J(\lambda)$. Therefore $\{g\} \cup \bigcup \{Y_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \cong J(\lambda + 1)$. \square

Lemma 29. *Let X, Y be scattered P -spaces and $\lambda \in \text{Lim} \cap \omega_1$. If $X^{(\lambda)} \subset_h Y^{(\lambda)}$, then $X \subset_h Y$.*

Proof. Fix an embedding $f: X^{(\lambda)} \rightarrow Y^{(\lambda)}$. For each $x \in X^{(\lambda)} \setminus X^{(\lambda+1)}$ there exists an elementary $U_x \subseteq X$ such that $U_x \cap X^{(\lambda)} = \{x\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that sets U_x are pairwise disjoint

and, by Theorem 24, each $U_x =_h J(\lambda + 1)$. Similarly, choose a family $\{V_x \subseteq Y : x \in X^{(\lambda)} \setminus X^{(\lambda+1)}\}$ of pairwise disjoint elementary subsets of Y such that $V_x \cap f[X^{(\lambda)} \setminus X^{(\lambda+1)}] = \{f(x)\}$. For each $x \in X^{(\lambda)} \setminus X^{(\lambda+1)}$ we have $N(V_x) \geq \lambda + 1$ and $U_x =_h J(\lambda + 1)$. Thus we can define an embedding $g_x : U_x \rightarrow V_x$ as in Lemma 28. If $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is such that $F|_{X^{(\lambda)}} = f$ and $F|_{U_x} = g_x$, for each $x \in X^{(\lambda)} \setminus X^{(\lambda+1)}$, then F is a desired embedding. \square

Theorem 30. *If λ is a countable limit ordinal, then ordered sets $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda, <_h)$ and $(\mathcal{P}_0, <_h)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. By Lemma 26, if $[X]_h, [Y]_h \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$ and $[X]_h = [Y]_h$, then $[X^{(\lambda)}]_h = [Y^{(\lambda)}]_h$. Thus we can define $\psi : \mathcal{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0$ by $\psi([X]_h) = [X^{(\lambda)}]_h$. It remains to show that ψ is a desired isomorphism.

By Lemma 27, the function ψ is a surjection. Again, by Lemma 26, we have

$$[X]_h <_h [Y]_h \Rightarrow \psi([X]_h) <_h \psi([Y]_h),$$

whenever $[X]_h, [Y]_h \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$.

By Lemma 29, if $[X]_h, [Y]_h \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$ and $X^{(\lambda)} \subset_h Y^{(\lambda)}$, then $X \subset_h Y$, which implies injectivity of ψ . So, the proof is finished. \square

Corollary 31. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of scattered P -spaces of weight ω_1 with countable Cantor–Bendixson ranks. In (\mathcal{F}, \subset_h) , any antichain and any strictly decreasing chain are finite.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{A} is an antichain in (\mathcal{F}, \subset_h) and $X \in \mathcal{A}$, and $N(X) = \lambda + n$, where $\lambda \in \text{Lim}$ and $n < \omega$. By Theorem 24 and Proposition 22, if $Y \in \mathcal{A}$, then $N(Y) = \lambda + m$, where $m < \omega$ and $\lambda < \omega_1$. By Corollary 16 and Theorem 30, the family \mathcal{A} has to be finite. Analogously, we proceed in the case \mathcal{A} is a decreasing chain. \square

8. A FEW REMARKS ON P -SPACES WITH CANTOR–BENDIXSON RANK $\geq \omega_1 + 1$

As we have learned there is only one sensible way of defining an elementary set with Cantor–Bendixson rank $\beta + 1$ for $\beta \in \text{Lim}$, since any two such elementary sets have the same dimensional type by Proposition 25. This is not the case for elementary sets with Cantor–Bendixson rank $> \omega_1$. Namely, let $Y(\omega_1)$ be an elementary set such that $Y(\omega_1)^{(\omega_1)} = \{g\}$ and each slice $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1} = J(\alpha)$.

Proposition 32. *If X is a P -space of the weight ω_1 such that $|X^{(\omega_1)}| = 1$, then $X =_h Y(\omega_1)$ or $X =_h J(\omega_1 + 1)$, or $X =_h Y(\omega_1) \oplus J(\omega_1)$.*

Proof. Let $X^{(\omega_1)} = \{x\}$. Let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a P -base at the point $x \in X$.

If each slice $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ has Cantor–Bendixson rank ω_1 , then, by Proposition 20, $X \subset_h J(\omega_1 + 1)$ and

$$V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1} =_h \bigoplus_{\beta < \omega_1} J(\beta) = J(\omega_1),$$

for each $\alpha < \omega_1$. Therefore $X =_h J(\omega_1 + 1)$.

If each slice $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ has Cantor–Bendixson rank $< \omega_1$, then, by Proposition 22, for any slice $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$ there exists $\gamma < \omega_1$ such that $V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1} \subset_h J(\gamma)$. Therefore, we have $X \subset_h Y(\omega_1)$, by Lemma 2. By Theorem 24, for any $\gamma < \omega_1$ there exists $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $J(\gamma) \subset_h V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}$, therefore we get $X =_h Y(\omega_1)$.

Without loss of generality, it remains to consider the case when $N(V_0 \setminus V_1) = \omega_1$ and $N(V_\alpha \setminus V_{\alpha+1}) < \omega_1$ for $\alpha \geq 1$. Then we have

$$X = V_1 \cup (V_0 \setminus V_1) =_h Y(\omega_1) \oplus J(\omega_1),$$

which completes the proof. \square

One can readily check that

$$J(\omega_1) \subset_h Y(\omega_1) \subset_h Y(\omega_1) \oplus J(\omega_1) \subset_h J(\omega_1 + 1)$$

and no two of these four spaces have the same dimensional type.

9. CONCLUSIONS

Compare cardinal characteristics of some classes of dimensional types with classes of non-homeomorphic spaces.

By Corollary 12 and Theorems 24 and 30, if $\lambda < \omega_1$, then there are only countably many dimensional types of P -spaces with Cantor–Bendixson ranks $\leq \lambda$. Therefore, there is exactly ω_1 -many dimensional types of P -spaces with countable Cantor–Bendixson ranks.

There exist 2^{ω_1} -many non-homeomorphic P -spaces X with $N(X) = \omega_1$, but if $\lambda \in \text{Lim} \cap \omega_1$, then there are continuum many non-homeomorphic P -spaces X with $N(X) = \lambda$. Indeed, for each

$$A \subseteq \{\alpha + 2k : \alpha \in \text{Lim} \cap \lambda \text{ and } k < \omega\},$$

let X be a P -space such that

$$X^{(\alpha)} \setminus X^{(\alpha+2)} =_h \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\omega_1} i(2), & \text{if } \alpha \in A, \\ \bigoplus_{\omega_1} J(2), & \text{if } \alpha \notin A; \end{cases}$$

constructing such a P -space needs some extra work which we leave to the reader. We get that different subsets of λ are assigned to

non-homeomorphic P -spaces. Hence, there are continuum many non-homeomorphic scattered P -spaces with countable Cantor–Bendixson rank. Similarly, one can prove that if $\lambda = \omega_1$, then there exist 2^{ω_1} -many non-homeomorphic scattered P -spaces.

It seems that examination of P -spaces with uncountable Cantor–Bendixson ranks needs several new ideas and extra efforts. As it has been noted earlier, the readers would easily conclude results concerning scattered separable metric spaces mimicking our argumentation. The same can be said about the so-called ω_μ -additive spaces, introduced by R. Sikorski [16], compare [12, p. 1]. Indeed, consider the family of all scattered ω_μ -additive spaces of weight ω_μ . Replacing ω_1 by ω_μ , one can adapt our results to this family.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bielas, A. Kucharski, Sz. Plewik, *Inverse limits which are P -spaces*. Topology Appl. 312 (2022), Paper No. 108064, 18.
- [2] A. Dow, *More topological partition relations on $\beta\omega$* . Topology Appl. 259 (2019), 50–66.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General topology*. Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 60, PWN–Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, (1977).
- [4] M. Fréchet, *Les dimensions d'un ensemble abstrait*. Math. Ann. 68 (1910), no. 2, 145–168.
- [5] W. D. Gillam, *Embeddability properties of countable metric spaces*. Topology Appl. 148 (2005), no. 1–3, 63–82.
- [6] T. Jech, *Set theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2003).
- [7] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1995).
- [8] B. Knaster, K. Urbanik, *Sur les espaces complets séparables de dimension 0*. Fund. Math. 40 (1953), 194–202.
- [9] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*. Vol. I. Academic Press, New York-London; Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw (1966).
- [10] D. J. Lutzer, *On generalized ordered spaces*. Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 89 (1971), 32 pp.
- [11] S. Mazurkiewicz, W. Sierpiński, *Contribution à la topologie des ensembles dénombrables*. Fundamenta Mathematicae 1 (1920), 17–27.
- [12] A. K. Misra, *A topological view of P -spaces*. General Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), 349–362.
- [13] Sz. Plewik, M. Walczyńska, *On metric σ -discrete spaces*. Algebra, logic and number theory, 239–253, Banach Center Publ., 108, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, (2016).
- [14] F. P. Ramsey, *On a Problem of Formal Logic*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 30 (1929), no. 4, 264–286.
- [15] W. Sierpiński, *General topology*. Translated by C. Cecilia Krieger. Mathematical Expositions, No. 7, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, (1952).
- [16] R. Sikorski, *Remarks on some topological spaces of high power*. Fund. Math. 37 (1950), 125–136.

- [17] R. Telgársky, *Total paracompactness and paracompact dispersed spaces*. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 16 (1968), 567–572.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SILESIA IN KATOWICE, BANKOWA
14, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND

Email address: `wojciech.bielas@us.edu.pl`

Email address: `andrzej.kucharski@us.edu.pl`

Email address: `szymon.plewik@us.edu.pl`