

# A CONSISTENCE-STABILITY APPROACH TO HYDRODYNAMIC LIMIT OF INTERACTING PARTICLE SYSTEMS ON LATTICES

ANGELIKI MENEGAKI AND CLÉMENT MOUHOT

ABSTRACT. This is a review based on the presentation done at the seminar Laurent Schwartz in December 2021. It is announcing results in the forthcoming [MMM22]. This work presents a new simple quantitative method for proving the hydrodynamic limit of a class of interacting particle systems on lattices. We present here this method in a simplified setting, for the zero-range process and the Ginzburg-Landau process with Kawasaki dynamics, in the parabolic scaling and in dimension 1. The rate of convergence is quantitative and uniform in time. The proof relies on a consistence-stability approach in Wasserstein distance, and it avoids the use of the “block estimates”.

## CONTENTS

1. The general method	1
2. Concrete applications	3
3. The abstract strategy	4
4. Proof for the ZRP	5
5. Proof for the GLK	9
References	12

## 1. THE GENERAL METHOD

We consider the hydrodynamic limit of interacting particle systems on a lattice. The problem is to show that under an appropriate scaling of time and space, the local particle densities of a stochastic lattice gas converge to the solution of a macroscopic partial differential equation. We first present our method abstractly and then sketch applications to two concrete models: the zero-range process (ZRP) and the Ginzburg Landau process with Kawasaki dynamics (GLK). The hydrodynamic limit is known at a qualitative level for all these models under both hyperbolic and parabolic scalings for the ZRP and under parabolic scaling for the GLK, see [GPV88, Yau91, Rez91, KL99]. However finding quantitative error estimates had remained an important opened question, as well as understanding the long-time behaviour of the hydrodynamic limit. First results towards quantitative error, in the particular case of the Ginzburg-Landau process with Kawasaki dynamics in dimension 1, were obtained in the two-parts work [DMOWa, DMOWb], which builds upon partial progresses in [GOVW09].

**1.1. Set up and notation.** We denote by  $\mathsf{X}$  the state space at a given site (number of particles, spin, etc.), which will in this paper be  $\mathbb{N}$  (ZRP) or  $\mathbb{R}$  (GLK). Consider the discrete torus  $\mathbb{T}_N^d$  and the corresponding phase space of particle configurations  $\mathsf{X}_N := \mathsf{X}^{\mathbb{T}_N^d}$ . Variables in  $\mathbb{T}_N^d$  are called *microscopic* and denoted by  $x, y, z$ , whereas variables in the limit continuous torus  $\mathbb{T}^d$  are called *macroscopic* and denoted by  $u$ ; finally particle *configurations* in  $\mathsf{X}_N$  are denoted by  $\eta$ . The canonical embedding  $\mathbb{T}_N^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ ,  $x \mapsto \frac{x}{N}$  means the macroscopic distance between sites of the lattice is  $\frac{1}{N}$ . Given a particle configuration  $\eta \in \mathsf{X}_N$ , we define the *empirical measure*

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha_\eta^N := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} \eta_x \delta_{\frac{x}{N}} \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

where  $\eta_x$  denotes the value of  $\eta$  at  $x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is the space of positive Radon measures on the torus, and  $\sum$  denotes the “average sum”, here  $N^{-d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d}$ .

At the microscopic level, the interacting particle system evolves through a stochastic process and the time-dependent probability measure describing the law of  $\eta$  is denoted by  $\mu_t^N \in P(\mathsf{X}_N)$ . We consider a linear operator  $\mathcal{L}_N : C_b(\mathsf{X}_N) \rightarrow C_b(\mathsf{X}_N)$  generating uniquely a Feller semigroup  $e^{t\mathcal{L}_N}$  on

$P(\mathbf{X}_N)$  (see [Lig85, Chapter 1]) so that given  $\mu_0^N \in P(\mathbf{X}_N)$  the solution  $\mu_t^N = e^{t\mathcal{L}_N} \mu_0^N \in P(\mathbf{X}_N)$  satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \forall \Phi \in C_b(\mathbf{X}_N), \quad \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Phi, \mu_t^N \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_N \Phi, \mu_t^N \rangle,$$

where  $C_b(\mathbf{X}_N)$  denotes continuous bounded real-valued functions and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality bracket between  $C_b(\mathbf{X}_N)$  and  $P(\mathbf{X}_N)$ .

At the macroscopic level, we consider a map  $\mathcal{L}_\infty : \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{G}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{G}_\infty)$  (in general unbounded and nonlinear) and the evolution problem

$$(1.3) \quad \partial_t f_t = \mathcal{L}_\infty f_t, \quad f_{t=0} = f_0.$$

A measure  $\mu^N \in P(\mathbf{X}_N)$  is called *invariant* for (1.2) if

$$\forall \Phi \in C_b(\mathbf{X}_N), \quad \langle \mu^N, \mathcal{L}_N \Phi \rangle = 0.$$

We also denote  $\text{Lip}(\mathbf{X}_N)$  the Lipschitz functions  $\Phi : \mathbf{X}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with respect to the (normalised)  $\ell^1$  norm: for every  $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbf{X}_N$ ,  $|\Phi(\eta) - \Phi(\zeta)| \leq C_\Phi \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} |\eta_x - \zeta_x|$ , and we denote the smallest such constant  $C_\Phi$  by  $[\Phi]_{\text{Lip}(\mathbf{X}_N)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**1.2. Abstract assumptions.** We make the following assumptions on (1.2)-(1.3):

**(H0) Local equilibrium structure.** There are  $n_\lambda : \text{Conv}(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  depending on  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $\text{Conv}$  denotes the convex hull) and  $\sigma : \text{Conv}(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that: (i)  $n_\lambda^{\otimes \mathbb{T}_N^d}$  is invariant on  $\mathbf{X}_N$  for each  $\lambda$ , and (ii) for any  $\rho \in \text{Conv}(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_{n_{\sigma(\rho)}}[\eta_x] = \rho$ . We then define, given a macroscopic profile  $f$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , the *local Gibbs measure*

$$\vartheta_f^N(\eta) := \nu_{\sigma(f(\frac{\cdot}{N}))}^N(\eta) \quad \text{where} \quad \nu_F^N(\eta) := \prod_{x \in \mathbb{G}_N} n_{F(x)}(\eta(x)).$$

The two maps  $\eta \mapsto \alpha_\eta^N$  and  $f \mapsto \vartheta_f^N$  allow comparisons between the microscopic and macroscopic scales, as summarized in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. The functional setting.

**(H1) Microscopic stability.** The semigroup  $e^{t\mathcal{L}_N}$  satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \forall \Phi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbf{X}_N), \quad [e^{t\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi]_{\text{Lip}(\mathbf{X}_N)} \leq [\Phi]_{\text{Lip}(\mathbf{X}_N)}.$$

**(H2) Macroscopic stability.** There is a Banach space  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{G}_\infty)$  so that (1.3) is locally well-posed in  $\mathfrak{B}$ ; given the maximal time of existence  $T_m \in (0, +\infty]$  we denote for  $t \in [0, T_m)$ ,  $R(t) := \|f_t - f_\infty\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$  when (1.3) has a unique stationary solution  $f_\infty \in \mathfrak{B}$  with mass  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_\infty = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_0$ , otherwise we denote  $R(t) := \|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$ .

**(H3) Consistency.** There is a *consistency error*  $\epsilon(N) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  so that for  $T \in [0, T_m)$

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t \left\langle \left( e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi \right), \left[ \mathcal{L}_N^* \left( \frac{d\vartheta_{f_s}^N}{d\nu_\infty^N} \right) - \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{d\vartheta_{f_s}^N}{d\nu_\infty^N} \right) \right] d\nu_\infty^N \right\rangle ds dt \leq \epsilon(N) [\Phi]_{\text{Lip}(\mathbf{X}_N)} \int_0^t R(s) ds$$

for any  $\Phi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbf{X}_N)$ , where  $\nu_\infty^N$  is an equilibrium measure.

### 1.3. The abstract strategy.

**Theorem 1.1.** Consider (1.2)-(1.3) with the assumptions **(H0)**–**(H1)**–**(H2)**–**(H3)**. Let  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,  $\mu_0^N \in P_1(X_N)$  for all  $N \geq 1$ ,  $f_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then

$$(1.5) \quad \forall T \in [0, T_m), \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\mu_t^N - \vartheta_{f_t}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*} dt \lesssim \epsilon(N) \int_0^T R(s) ds + \|\mu_0^N - \vartheta_{f_0}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*}.$$

**Remark 1.** Note that  $\|\mu_t^N - \vartheta_{f_t}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  implies that the empirical measure (1.1) sampled from the law  $\mu_t^N$  satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \forall \phi \in C_b(\mathbb{G}), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t^N (\{|\langle \alpha_\eta^N, \phi \rangle - \langle f_t, \phi \rangle| > \epsilon\}) = 0$$

with a rate of convergence (thus recovering quantitatively results from [GPV88]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_t^N (\{|\langle \alpha_\eta^N, \phi \rangle - \langle f_t, \phi \rangle| > \epsilon\}) \\ & \leq \mu_t^N (\{\langle \alpha_\eta^N, \phi \rangle \geq \langle f_t, \phi \rangle + \epsilon\}) + \mu_t^N (\{\langle \alpha_\eta^N, \phi \rangle \leq \langle f_t, \phi \rangle - \epsilon\}) \\ & \leq \int_{X_N} [F_\epsilon^+ (\langle \phi, \alpha_\eta^N \rangle) - F_\epsilon^+ (\langle \phi, f_t \rangle)] d\mu_t^N + \int_{X_N} [F_\epsilon^- (\langle \phi, \alpha_\eta^N \rangle) - F_\epsilon^- (\langle \phi, f_t \rangle)] d\mu_t^N \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_\epsilon^\pm$  are mollified version of the characteristic functions of respectively  $\{z \geq \langle \phi, f_t \rangle + \epsilon\}$  and  $\{z \leq \langle \phi, f_t \rangle - \epsilon\}$ , which yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mu_t^N (\{|\langle \alpha_\eta^N, \phi \rangle - \langle f_t, \phi \rangle| > \epsilon\}) \lesssim \epsilon^{-1} \|\mu_t^N - \vartheta_{f_t}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*} + \epsilon^{-2} N^{-d}.$$

## 2. CONCRETE APPLICATIONS

We apply the abstract result to two archetypical models, the zero-range process (ZRP), and the Ginzburg-Landau process with Kawasaki dynamics (GLK).

**2.1. The ZRP.** In this case, the state space at each site is  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{N}$ . Given the choice of a *transition function*  $p \in P(\mathbb{T}_N^d)$  with  $p(0) = 0$  and a *jump rate function*  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , the base generator  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_N$  writes

$$(2.1) \quad \forall \Phi \in C_b(X_N), \quad \forall \eta \in X_N, \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_N \Phi(\eta) := \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} p(y-x) g(\eta_x) [\Phi(\eta^{xy}) - \Phi(\eta)]$$

where  $\eta^{xy}$  is defined as before. The local equilibrium structure of **(H0)** is given by

$$(2.2) \quad n_\lambda(k) := \frac{\lambda^k}{g(k)! Z(\lambda)} \quad \text{with} \quad Z(\lambda) := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{g(k)!}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma \text{ is defined implicitly by } \sigma(\rho) \frac{Z'(\sigma(\rho))}{Z(\sigma(\rho))} \equiv \rho$$

denoting  $g(k)! := g(k)g(k-1)\cdots g(1)$ . The pair  $(g, \sigma)$  thus constructed satisfies  $\mathbb{E}_{n_{\sigma(\alpha)}}[g] = \sigma(\alpha)$ . When  $f \equiv \rho \in [0, +\infty)$  is constant, the local Gibbs measure  $\vartheta_\rho^N = \nu_{\sigma(\rho)}^N$  is invariant with average number of particles  $\rho$ . The *mean transition rate* is defined by  $\gamma := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} x p(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . When  $\gamma \neq 0$ , the first non-zero asymptotic dynamics as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  is given by the hyperbolic scaling  $\mathcal{L}_N := N \hat{\mathcal{L}}_N$ , and the corresponding expected limit equation is  $\partial_t f = \gamma \cdot \nabla[\sigma(f)]$ . When  $\gamma = 0$ , the first non-zero asymptotic dynamics as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  is given by the parabolic scaling  $\mathcal{L}_N := N^2 \hat{\mathcal{L}}_N$ , and the corresponding limit equation is formally

$$(2.4) \quad \partial_t f = \Delta_a[\sigma(f)] \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta_a := \sum_{i, j=1}^d a_{ij} \partial_{ij}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{ij} := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p(x) x_i x_j.$$

We make the following assumptions on the jump rate function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ .

**(HZRP)** The jump rate  $g$  satisfies  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g(n) > 0$  for all  $n > 0$ , is non-decreasing, uniformly Lipschitz  $\sup_{n \geq 0} |g(n+1) - g(n)| < +\infty$ , and there are  $n_0 > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that  $g(n') - g(n) \geq \beta$  for any  $n' \geq n + n_0$ .

The main result on the ZRP is:

**Theorem 2.1** (Hydrodynamic limit for the ZRP). *Consider  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_N$  defined in (2.1) with  $g$  satisfying (HZRP). Let  $d = 1$ ,  $f_0 \in C^3(\mathbb{T})$  with  $f_0 \geq \delta > 0$ , and  $\mu_0^N \in P_1(\mathbb{X}_N)$  for all  $N \geq 1$ . Assume  $\gamma = 0$ , define  $\mu_t^N = e^{tN^2\hat{\mathcal{L}}_N}$  and  $f_t \in C([0, T], C^3(\mathbb{T}^d))$  solution to (2.4), then the following convergence holds (with quantitative constants)*

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{T \geq 0} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\mu_t^N - \vartheta_{f_t}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*} dt \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{8}} + \|\mu_0^N - \vartheta_{f_0}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*}.$$

**2.2. The GLK.** In this case, the state space at each site is  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}$ . Given the choice of a *single-site potential*  $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ , the base generator  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_N$  writes

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_N \Phi(\eta) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \sim y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \sim y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} [V'(\eta_x) - V'(\eta_y)] \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right)$$

where  $x \sim y$  denotes neighbouring sites. The local equilibrium structure is given by

$$n_\lambda(r) := \frac{e^{\lambda r - V(r)}}{Z(\lambda)} \quad \text{with} \quad Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda r - V(r)} dr$$

$$\sigma \text{ is defined implicitly by } \frac{Z'(\sigma(\rho))}{Z(\sigma(\rho))} \equiv \rho.$$

When  $f \equiv \rho \in \mathbb{R}$  is constant, the local Gibbs measure  $\vartheta_\rho^N = \nu_{\sigma(\rho)}^N$  is invariant with average spin  $\rho$ . The hyperbolic scaling formally leads to zero and the parabolic scaling  $\mathcal{L}_N := N^2 \hat{\mathcal{L}}_N$  formally leads to

$$(2.7) \quad \partial_t f = 2\Delta[\sigma(f)].$$

We assume that the single-site potential satisfies

**(HGLK)** The potential  $V$  is  $C^2$  and decomposes as  $V(u) = V_0(u) + V_1(u)$  with  $V_0''(u) \geq \kappa$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  for some  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\|V_1\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim 1$ .

This assumption is similar with those in [GOVW09, DMOWa, Fat13]. One can take for example a double-well potential, provided it is uniformly convex at infinity.

**Theorem 2.2** (Hydrodynamic limit for the GLK). *Consider  $\mathcal{L}_N$  defined in (2.6) with  $V$  satisfying (HGLK). Let  $d = 1$ ,  $f_0 \in C^3(\mathbb{T}^d)$  and  $\mu_0^N \in P_1(\mathbb{X}_N)$  for all  $N \geq 1$ . Define  $\mu_t^N = e^{tN^2\hat{\mathcal{L}}_N}$  and  $f_t \in C([0, +\infty), C^3(\mathbb{T}^d))$  the global solution to (2.7), then the following convergence holds (with quantitative constants)*

$$(2.8) \quad \sup_{T \geq 0} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\mu_t^N - \vartheta_{f_t}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*} dt \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{8}} + \|\mu_0^N - \vartheta_{f_0}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*}.$$

### 3. THE ABSTRACT STRATEGY

In this section we sketch the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $f_t$  be a solution to (1.3). Given  $0 < \ell < N$ , we denote by  $\eta^\ell$  for the local  $\ell$ -average  $\eta_x^\ell := \sum_{|y-x| \leq \ell} \eta_y$ .

Denote by  $F_t^N := \frac{d\mu_t^N}{d\nu_\infty^N}$  and  $G_t^N := \frac{d\vartheta_{f_t}^N}{d\nu_\infty^N}$  the densities with respect to  $\nu_\infty^N$ , and write

$$\frac{d}{dt} (F_t^N - G_t^N) = \mathcal{L}_N^* (F_t^N - G_t^N) + (\mathcal{L}_N^* G_t^N - \partial_t G_t^N)$$

so that Duhamel's formula yields

$$F_t^N - G_t^N = e^{t\mathcal{L}_N^*} (F_0^N - G_0^N) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N^*} (\mathcal{L}_N^* G_s^N - \partial_s G_s^N) ds.$$

Take  $\Phi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{X}_N)$  with  $\|\Phi\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{X}_N)} \leq 1$  and integrate the above equation to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{X}_N} \Phi (F_t^N - G_t^N) d\nu_\infty^N \\ &= \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{X}_N} (e^{t\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi) (F_0^N - G_0^N) d\nu_\infty^N}_{I_1(t)} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{X}_N} \int_0^t (e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi) (\mathcal{L}_N G_s^N - \partial_s G_s^N) d\nu_\infty^N ds}_{I_2(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

**(H1)** implies  $I_1(t) \lesssim \|\mu_0^N - \vartheta_{f_0}^N\|_{\text{Lip}^*}$  and **(H3)** implies  $\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_2(t) dt \leq \epsilon(N) \int_0^T R(s) ds$ , which implies the conclusion of Theorem 1.1.

#### 4. PROOF FOR THE ZRP

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1 (hydrodynamical limit for the ZRP). Note for this model  $\mathcal{L}_N = \mathcal{L}_N^*$  is symmetric with respect to equilibrium measures.

Given  $f_t \in C^3(\mathbb{T}^d)$  with  $f > \delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $\rho := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f$ , the density of the local Gibbs measure relatively to the invariant measure with mass  $\rho$  is:

$$(4.1) \quad G_t^N(\eta) := \frac{d\vartheta_f^N(\eta)}{d\vartheta_\rho^N(\eta)} = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} \left( \frac{\sigma(f_t(\frac{x}{N}))}{\sigma(\rho)} \right)^{\eta(x)} \left( \frac{Z(\sigma(f_t(\frac{x}{N})))}{Z(\sigma(\rho))} \right)^{-1}.$$

where the function  $\sigma(r)$  is defined by  $\langle n_{\sigma(r)}, \eta(x) \rangle = r$  and the *partition function*  $Z : [0, \lambda^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined in (2.2), with  $\lambda^* \in [0, +\infty]$  denoting the radius of convergence of the series.

It is proved in [KL99, Chapter 2, Section 3] that assumption **(HZRP)** on  $g$  implies that  $\sigma = R^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is well-defined and strictly increasing, with

$$R(\lambda) = \lambda \partial_\lambda \log(Z(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{Z(\lambda)} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{n \lambda^n}{g(n)!}.$$

Then the building block  $n_\rho$  of the Gibbs measure satisfies  $\langle n_{\sigma(\rho)}, g(\eta(x)) \rangle = \sigma(\rho)$ . Moreover **(HZRP)** implies that the function  $\sigma$  is  $C^\infty$  with uniform bound on all derivatives on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , with Lipschitz constant less than  $g^*$ , and with  $\inf_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-1} \sigma(\lambda) > 0$  (in particular  $\sigma'(0) > 0$ ), and that the invariant measure has exponential moment bounds, see [KL99, Corollary 3.6].

**4.1. Microscopic Stability – (H1).** We use the coupling of [Lig85, Rez91]. Let

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N \Psi(\eta, \zeta) &:= \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} p(y-x) (g(\eta_x) \wedge g(\zeta_x)) \left[ \Psi(\eta^{xy}, \zeta^{xy}) - \Psi(\eta, \zeta) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} p(y-x) (g(\eta_x) - g(\eta_x) \wedge g(\zeta_x)) \left[ \Psi(\eta^{xy}, \zeta) - \Psi(\eta, \zeta) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} p(y-x) (g(\zeta_x) - g(\eta_x) \wedge g(\zeta_x)) \left[ \Psi(\eta, \zeta^{xy}) - \Psi(\eta, \zeta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

for a two-variable test function  $\Psi(\eta, \zeta)$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N \Phi(\eta) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_N \Phi(\eta)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N \Phi(\zeta) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_N \Phi(\zeta)$ , and **(H1)** follows from the fact that  $e^{t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N}$  preserves sign and the inequality

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} |\eta_z - \zeta_z| \right) \leq 0.$$

To prove the latter inequality, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} |\eta_z - \zeta_z| \right) &= \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} p(y-x) (g(\eta_x) - g(\eta_x) \wedge g(\zeta_x)) \\ &\quad \times \left[ |\eta_x^{xy} - \zeta_x| + |\eta_y^{xy} - \zeta_y| - |\eta_x - \zeta_x| - |\eta_y - \zeta_y| \right] \\ &+ \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} p(y-x) (g(\zeta_x) - g(\eta_x) \wedge g(\zeta_x)) \\ &\quad \times \left[ |\eta_x - \zeta_x^{xy}| + |\eta_y - \zeta_y^{xy}| - |\eta_x - \zeta_x| - |\eta_y - \zeta_y| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

When  $g(\eta_x) - g(\eta_x) \wedge g(\zeta_x) > 0$  necessarily  $\eta_x - \zeta_y \geq 1$  and

$$\left[ |\eta_x^{xy} - \zeta_x| + |\eta_y^{xy} - \zeta_y| - |\eta_x - \zeta_x| - |\eta_y - \zeta_y| \right] \leq 0.$$

When  $g(\zeta_x) - g(\eta_x) \wedge g(\zeta_x) > 0$  necessarily  $\zeta_x - \eta_x \geq 1$  and

$$\left[ |\eta_x - \zeta_x^{xy}| + |\eta_y - \zeta_y^{xy}| - |\eta_x - \zeta_x| - |\eta_y - \zeta_y| \right] \leq 0.$$

**4.2. Macroscopic stability – (H2).** In the parabolic scaling the limit PDE is the nonlinear diffusion equation (2.4). We take  $\mathcal{B} = C^3$  with its standard infinity Banach norm. The proof that this norm remains uniformly bounded in time is classical in dimension  $d = 1$  (using the bounds on  $\sigma$ ), and  $f_t \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]$  for all times by maximal principle. Moreover  $f_t \rightarrow \rho$  exponentially fast as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**4.3. Consistency estimate – (H3).** Let  $\gamma = 0$  and the dimension  $d = 1$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Given the solution  $f_t \in C^3(\mathbb{T}^d)$  to (2.4) with  $f \geq \delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $\rho := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f$ , and  $G_t^N$  defined in (4.1), we have for every  $\Phi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{X}_N)$  with  $[\Phi]_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{X}_N)} \leq 1$*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_t^N dt := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t \left\langle \left( e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi \right), \left[ \mathcal{L}_N G_s^N - \frac{d}{ds} G_s^N \right] d\nu_\infty^N \right\rangle ds dt = \mathcal{O} \left( N^{-\frac{1}{8}} \right)$$

where the constant depends on the estimates in (H2).

*Proof.* We start by computing

$$\mathcal{L}_N G_s^N - \frac{d}{ds} G_s^N = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} A_x^N G_s^N$$

with (note that  $f_t \rightarrow \rho$  exponentially fast)

$$\begin{aligned} A_x^N &:= N^2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} p(y-x) g(\eta_x) \left( \frac{\sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{y}{N} \right) \right)}{\sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)} - 1 \right) - \eta_x \frac{\sigma' \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)}{\sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)} \Delta_a[\sigma(f)] \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \\ &= \frac{g(\eta_x)}{\sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)} \Delta_a[\sigma(f)] \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) - \eta_x \frac{\sigma' \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)}{\sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)} \Delta_a[\sigma(f)] \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{e^{-Cs}}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Since (conservation of mass)

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}_N} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} A_x^N G_s^N \right) d\nu_\infty^N = \int_{\mathbb{X}_N} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} A_x^N \right) d\vartheta_{f_s}^N = 0,$$

we can replace  $\Phi_{t-s} := e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi$  by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} := e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_{f_s}^N} [e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi]$$

and use the Lipschitz bound on  $e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi$  (microscopic stability) to get

$$I_t^N = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{X}_N} \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s}(\eta) \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} \tilde{A}_x^N \right) d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{N} \right)$$

with  $\tilde{A}_x^N$  defined by (note that it has zero average against  $d\vartheta_{f_s}^N$ )

$$\tilde{A}_x^N := \left\{ g(\eta_x) - \sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right) - \sigma' \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right) \left[ \eta_x - f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right] \right\} \frac{\Delta_a[\sigma(f)] \left( \frac{x}{N} \right)}{\sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)}.$$

We then form sub-sum over non-overlapping cubes of size  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  (this intermediate scale factor  $\ell$  will be chosen later in terms of  $N$ ). Let  $\mathcal{R}_N^d \subset \mathbb{T}_N^d$  be a net of centers of non-overlapping cubes of the form  $C_x := \{y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d : \|x - y\|_\infty \leq \ell\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_t^N &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{X}_N} \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s}(\eta) \left( \sum_{y \in C_x} \tilde{A}_y^N \right) d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{N} \right) \\ &= (2\ell + 1)^d \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{X}_N} \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s}(\eta) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the  $\hat{A}_x^N$  defined by

$$\hat{A}_x^N := \left\{ \langle g(\eta) \rangle_{C_x} - \sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right) - \sigma' \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right) \left[ \langle \eta \rangle_{C_x} - f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right] \right\} \frac{\Delta_a[\sigma(f)] \left( \frac{x}{N} \right)}{\sigma \left( f_t \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)}$$

where  $\langle F(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_x}$ , for  $F = F(\eta_x)$ , denotes taking the average over the cube  $\mathcal{C}_x$ . Note that the average of  $\hat{A}_x^N$  against  $d\vartheta_{f_s}^N$  is  $\mathcal{O}(e^{-Cs}\ell/N)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} (\tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} - \Pi_x^N \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s}) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \Pi_x^N \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} (\Phi_{t-s} - \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N \\ & \quad + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} (\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s} - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_{f_s}^N} [\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}]) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N =: J_t^N + \tilde{J}_t^N \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Pi_x^N$  projects on the average over configurations  $\Omega_m := \{\tilde{\eta} : \langle \tilde{\eta} \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_x} = m\}$  with the same mass in the cube  $\mathcal{C}_x$  (and does not touch the other sites):

$$(4.3) \quad \Pi_x^N \varphi(\eta) = [\Pi_x^N \varphi](\langle \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_x}) = \int_{\Omega_{\langle \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_x}}} \varphi(\tilde{\eta}) d\nu^{\ell, \langle \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_x}}(\tilde{\eta})$$

for a function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{C}_x}$ . To estimate the first term  $J_t^N$  we first approximate the measure  $\vartheta_{f_s}^N$  on  $\mathcal{C}_x$  by the equilibrium measure with local mass  $f_t(x/N)$ , and denote it by  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$  (note that the approximation is made differently for each cube and depends on  $x$ , even if it is written explicitly). This produces an error  $\mathcal{O}(\ell^{d+1}/N)$  (using the Lipschitz regularity of  $\Phi_{t-s}$  and the exponential convergence  $f_t \rightarrow \rho$  to get uniform in time bounds). We then apply the Poincaré inequality [LSV96, Theorem 1.1] in the cube  $\mathcal{C}_x$  (whose constant is independent of the number of particles and proportional to the size of the cube) and the law of large number  $\|\hat{A}_x^N\|_{L^2(\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N)} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-Cs}\ell^{-d/2})$  (using uniform bounds on the second moment of  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} J_t^N &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \|\Phi_{t-s} - \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}\|_{L^2(\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N)} \|\hat{A}_x^N\|_{L^2(\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N)} ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell^{d+1}}{N}\right) \\ &\lesssim \ell^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \sqrt{\bar{D}_x^\ell(\Phi_{t-s})} e^{-Cs} ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell^{d+1}}{N}\right) \\ &\lesssim \ell^{1-\frac{d}{2}} N^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \bar{D}_x^\ell(\Phi_{t-s}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-Cs} ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell^{d+1}}{N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{D}_x^\ell(\Phi)$  is the Dirichlet form on the cube  $\mathcal{C}_x$  with respect to the measure  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$ :

$$\bar{D}_x^\ell(\Phi) := \sum_{y, z \in \mathcal{C}_x} \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} p(z-y) g(\eta_y) [\Phi(\eta^{yz}) - \Phi(\eta)]^2 d\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N.$$

Then we change back the measure  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$  in each box, which produces (using the Lipschitz regularity of  $\Phi$ ) an error  $\ell^{3/2}N^{-1/2}$ , and we compute

$$\frac{1}{2N^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \Phi_{t-s}(\eta)^2 d\vartheta_{f_s}^N \leq - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} D_x^\ell(\Phi_{t-s}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)$$

(with  $D^\ell$  denoting the Dirichlet form for  $\vartheta_{f_s}^N$ ), where the last error accounts for the small default of self-adjointness. We deduce (in dimension  $d = 1$ ) that

$$\int_0^T J_t^N dt \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\ell}{N}\right)^{1-\frac{d}{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{T\ell^{d+1}}{N}\right).$$

To control the second term  $\tilde{J}_t^N$ , we first use the *equivalence of ensemble* in [KL99, Appendix II, Corollary 1.7] on the local equilibrium measure  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$  (using uniform exponential moment bounds):

$$(4.4) \quad \langle g(\eta) \rangle_{c_x} = \sigma(\langle \eta \rangle_{c_x}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ell^d}\right).$$

Second we remark that the Lipschitz regularity of  $\Phi_{t-s}$  implies that  $\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s} - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_{f_s}^N}[\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}] = \mathcal{O}(\ell^d N^{-d})$ , and since the average of  $\hat{A}_x^N$  with respect to  $\vartheta_{f_s}^N$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\ell/N)$ , we can write

$$\tilde{J}_t^N = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \left( \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}[\langle \eta \rangle_{c_x}] - \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s} \left[ f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right] \right) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell}{N}\right).$$

Third, we remark that the Lipschitz regularity of  $\Phi_{t-s}$  (with constant  $N^{-d}$ ) implies a Lipschitz regularity of its averaged projection  $\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}$  with constant  $\ell^d N^{-d}$ , with respect to the local mass. Indeed, given  $0 = m \leq m' < +\infty$ , pick any pair of configuration  $(\eta_0, \zeta_0)$  with  $\langle \eta_0 \rangle_{c_x} = m$ ,  $\langle \zeta_0 \rangle_{c_x} = m'$  and  $\eta_0 \leq \zeta_0$  (such configuration trivially exists since  $m \leq m'$ ). Then we consider the initial coupling  $\delta_{(\eta_0, \zeta_0)}$  on  $\Omega_m \times \Omega_{m'}$  which has  $\ell^1$  cost  $m' - m$ . Then we evolve it along the flow of the coupling operator  $e^{t\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N}$ . The marginals respectively converge to  $\nu^{\ell, m}$  and  $\nu^{\ell, m'}$  (convergence to equilibrium of the original evolution). Since the evolution by the coupling operator does not increase the Wasserstein distance, we deduce  $W_1(\nu^{\ell, m}, \nu^{\ell, m'}) \leq m' - m$ . An optimal coupling  $\Pi$  associated to this distance thus satisfies

$$m' - m \leq \int_{\Omega_m \times \Omega_{m'}} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} |\eta_x - \zeta_x| \right) \Pi(\eta, \zeta) \leq m' - m$$

where the first inequality follows from Jensen's inequality. Thus the Jensen's inequality is saturated which implies that the cost does not change sign on the support of  $\Pi$ , i.e.  $\eta \leq \zeta$  in the support. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}(m') - \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}(m) &= \int_{\Omega_{m'}} \Phi_{t-s}(\zeta) d\nu^{\ell, m'}(\zeta) - \int_{\Omega_m} \Phi_{t-s}(\eta) d\nu^{\ell, m}(\eta) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_m \times \Omega_{m'}} [\Phi_{t-s}(\zeta) - \Phi(\eta)] d\Pi(\eta, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\eta \leq \zeta$  on the support of  $\Pi$ ,  $\|\zeta - \eta\|_{\ell^1(c_x)} = (m' - m)\ell^d$  and

$$|\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}(m') - \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}(m)| \leq \frac{\ell^d}{N^d} |m' - m|.$$

We deduce (using (5.3))

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_t^N &\lesssim \frac{\ell^d}{N^d} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \left| \langle \eta \rangle_{c_x} - f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right| \times \\ &\quad \left| \sigma(\langle \eta \rangle_{c_x}) - \sigma \left( f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right) - \sigma' \left( f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right) \left[ \langle \eta \rangle_{c_x} - f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right] \right| d\vartheta_{f_s}^N e^{-Cs} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \left| \langle \eta \rangle_{c_x} - f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right| d\vartheta_{f_s}^N e^{-Cs} ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell}{N}\right) \end{aligned}$$



which yields by Taylor formula, the approximation of  $\vartheta_{f_s}^N$  by  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$ , and the law of large numbers

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{J}_t^N &\lesssim \frac{\ell^d}{N^d} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{X_N} \left| \langle \eta \rangle_{c_x} - f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right|^3 d\vartheta_{f_s}^N e^{-Cs} ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{X_N} \left| \langle \eta \rangle_{c_x} - f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right| d\vartheta_{f_s}^N e^{-Cs} ds + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\ell}{N} \right) e^{-Cs} ds \\
&\lesssim \frac{\ell^d}{N^d} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{X_N} \left| \langle \eta \rangle_{c_x} - f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right|^3 d\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N e^{-Cs} ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{X_N} \left| \langle \eta \rangle_{c_x} - f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right| d\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N e^{-Cs} ds + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\ell}{N} \right) \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{O} \left( \ell^{-\frac{3d}{2}} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\ell}{N} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all estimates we get (optimizing  $\ell := N^{1/4}$ )

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_t^N dt \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{\ell^{1+\frac{d}{2}}}{N^{1-\frac{d}{2}}} + \frac{\ell^{1+2d}}{N} + \frac{1}{\ell^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \frac{\ell}{N} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{8}}}.$$

□

## 5. PROOF FOR THE GLK

In this section we prove Theorem 2.2 (hydrodynamic limit for the GLK). Note again that for this model  $\mathcal{L}_N = \mathcal{L}_N^*$  is symmetric with respect to equilibrium measures. Given  $f_t \in C^3(\mathbb{T}^d)$  and  $\rho := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f \in \mathbb{R}$ , the density of the local Gibbs measure relatively to the invariant measure with mass  $\rho$  is:

$$(5.1) \quad G_t^N(\eta) := \frac{d\vartheta_f^N(\eta)}{d\vartheta_\rho^N(\eta)} = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} e^{[\sigma(f_t(\frac{x}{N})) - \sigma(\rho)]\eta_x} \frac{Z(\sigma(\rho))}{Z(\sigma(f_t(\frac{x}{N})))}.$$

where the function  $\sigma(r)$  is defined by  $\langle n_{\sigma(r)}, \eta_x \rangle = r$  and the *partition function*  $Z(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda r - V(r)} dr$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The uniform convexity of  $V$  at infinity easily implies bounds on some exponential moments of the invariant measure and **(HGLK)** implies that there exists  $C > 0$  so that  $0 < \frac{1}{C} \leq \sigma' \leq C < \infty$  (see [GOVW09, Lemma 41] and [DMOWa, Lemma 5.1]).

**5.1. Microscopic stability – (H1).** We define a “coupling generator”  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N : C_b(X_N^2) \rightarrow C_b(X_N^2)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N \Psi(\eta, \zeta) &:= \sum_{x \sim y} \left( \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right)^* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right) \otimes 1 \right] \Psi(\eta, \zeta) \right. \\
(5.2) \quad &\quad + \left[ 1 \otimes \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_y} \right)^* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_y} \right) \right] \Psi(\eta, \zeta) \\
&\quad \left. + K \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right) \otimes \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_y} \right) \Psi(\eta, \zeta) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K > 0$  is a constant to be chosen later and the adjoint is taken in  $L^2(d\vartheta_\rho^N)$  so

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{L}}_N &= \sum_{x \sim y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right)^2 - (V'(\eta_x) - V'(\eta_y)) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \sim y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right)^* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_y} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then for any  $p \in (1, 2]$  there is  $K = K(p) > 0$  (depending on  $p$ ) so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} |\eta_x - \zeta_x|^p \right) &= 2p(p-1)(2+4d) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} |\eta_x - \zeta_x|^{p-2} \\ &\quad - 2(p-1) \sum_{x \sim y} [V'_0(\eta_x) - V'_0(\zeta_x)] (\eta_x - \zeta_x) |\eta_x - \zeta_x|^{p-1} \\ &\quad - 2(p-1) \sum_{x \sim y} [V'_1(\eta_x) - V'_1(\zeta_x)] (\eta_x - \zeta_x) |\eta_x - \zeta_x|^{p-1} \\ &\quad + Kp(p-1)(2+4d) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} |\eta_x - \zeta_x|^{p-2} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by using the assumptions on the potential:  $V_0$  uniformly strictly convex and  $V_1 \in W^{1,\infty}$ . This implies the weak contraction of the evolution in  $W_p$  ( $p$ -Wasserstein distance) for any  $p \in (1, 2]$ , and thus by limit in  $W_1$ . By duality this implies that the evolution is weakly contractive for the dual Lipschitz norm.

**5.2. Macroscopic stability - (H2).** The limit equation is similar to that of the ZRP and **(H2)** is proved in the same way.

**5.3. Consistency estimate - (H3).** Let the dimension  $d = 1$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Given the solution  $f_t \in C^3(\mathbb{T}^d)$  to (2.4), and  $\rho := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f$ , and  $G_t^N$  defined in (5.1), we have for every  $\Phi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{X}_N)$  with  $[\Phi]_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{X}_N)} \leq 1$*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T I_t^N dt := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t \left\langle e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi, \left[ \mathcal{L}_N G_s^N - \frac{d}{ds} G_s^N \right] d\nu_\infty^N \right\rangle ds dt = \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\frac{1}{8}}\right)$$

where the constant depends on the estimates in **(H2)**.

*Proof.* The proof follows the same structure as for the ZRP. We start by computing

$$\mathcal{L}_N G_s^N - \frac{d}{ds} G_s^N = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} A_x^N G_s^N$$

with (note again that  $f_t \rightarrow \rho$  exponentially fast)

$$\begin{aligned} A_x^N &= \frac{N^2}{2} \sum_{y \sim x} \left[ 2\sigma\left(f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) \left( \sigma\left(f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) - \sigma\left(f_s\left(\frac{y}{N}\right)\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2V'(\eta_x) \sigma\left(f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) - \sigma\left(f_s\left(\frac{y}{N}\right)\right) \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_x \left( \eta_x - f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right) \sigma'\left(f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) \Delta[\sigma(f)]\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \\ &= \Delta[\sigma(f)]\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \left[ V'(\eta_x) - \sigma\left(f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) - \sigma'\left(f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) \left( \eta_x - f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{e^{-Cs}}{N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C > 0$ . By conservation of mass we replace again  $\Phi_{t-s} := e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi$  by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} := e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_{f_s}^N} [e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi]$$

and use the Lipschitz bound **(H1)** on  $e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_N} \Phi$  to get

$$I_t^N = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{X}_N} \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s}(\eta) \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N^d} \tilde{A}_x^N \right) d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

with  $\tilde{A}_x^N$  defined by (note that it has zero average against  $d\vartheta_{f_s}^N$ )

$$\tilde{A}_x^N := \Delta[\sigma(f)]\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \left[ V'(\eta_x) - \sigma\left(f\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) - \sigma'\left(f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) \left( \eta_x - f\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right) \right].$$

We again form sub-sum over non-overlapping cubes of size  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , with  $\mathcal{R}_N^d \subset \mathbb{T}_N^d$  a net of centers of cubes  $\mathcal{C}_x := \{y \in \mathbb{T}_N^d : \|x - y\|_\infty \leq \ell\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_t^N &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s}(\eta) \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{C}_x} \hat{A}_y^N \right) d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\ &= (2\ell + 1)^d \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s}(\eta) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with the  $\hat{A}_x^N$  defined by (and  $\langle F(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_x}$  again denotes the average over the cube  $\mathcal{C}_x$ )

$$\hat{A}_x^N := \Delta[\sigma(f)]\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \left[ \langle V'(\eta) \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_x} - \sigma\left(f\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) - \sigma'\left(f_s\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)\right) \left( \langle \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{C}_x} - f\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right) \right].$$

(Note again that the average of  $\hat{A}_x^N$  against  $d\vartheta_{f_s}^N$  is  $\mathcal{O}(e^{-Cs}\ell/N)$ .) Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \left( \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} - \Pi_x^N \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} \right) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \Pi_x^N \tilde{\Phi}_{t,s} \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \left( \Phi_{t-s} - \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s} \right) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N \\ &\quad + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \left( \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s} - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_{f_s}^N} [\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}] \right) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N =: J_t^N + \tilde{J}_t^N \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Pi_x^N$  again averages over  $\Omega_m$  (and does not touch the other site) as in (4.3).

To estimate the first term  $J_t^N$  we again approximate the measure  $\vartheta_{f_s}^N$  on  $\mathcal{C}_x$  by the equilibrium measure with local mass  $f_t(x/N)$ , and denote it by  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}$  (note that the approximation is made differently for each cube and depends on  $x$ , even if it is written explicitly). This produces an error  $\mathcal{O}(\ell^{d+1}/N)$  (using the Lipschitz regularity of  $\Phi_{t-s}$  and the exponential convergence  $f_t \rightarrow \rho$  to get uniform in time bounds). We then apply the Poincaré inequality [LY93, Theorem 2] in the cube  $\mathcal{C}_x$  (whose constant is independent of the number of particles and proportional to the size of the cube) and the law of large number  $\|\hat{A}_x^N\|_{L^2(\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N)} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-Cs}\ell^{-d/2})$  (using uniform bounds on the second moment of  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$ )

$$\begin{aligned} J_t^N &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \|\Phi_{t-s} - \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}\|_{L^2(\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N)} \|\hat{A}_x^N\|_{L^2(\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N)} ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell^{d+1}}{N}\right) \\ &\lesssim \ell^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \sqrt{\bar{D}_x^\ell(\Phi_{t-s})} e^{-Cs} ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell^{d+1}}{N}\right) \\ &\lesssim \ell^{1-\frac{d}{2}} N^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \bar{D}_x^\ell(\Phi_{t-s}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-Cs} ds + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell^{d+1}}{N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{D}_x^\ell(\Phi)$  is the Dirichlet form on the cube  $\mathcal{C}_x$  with respect to the measure  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$ :

$$\bar{D}_x^\ell(\Phi) := \sum_{y \sim z \in \mathcal{C}_x} \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} [\partial_{\eta_x} \Phi(\eta) - \partial_{\eta_y} \Phi(\eta)]^2 d\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N.$$

Then we use the entropy production

$$\frac{1}{2N^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \Phi_{t-s}(\eta)^2 d\vartheta_{f_s}^N \leq - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} D_x^\ell(\Phi_{t-s}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)$$

as before to deduce that

$$\int_0^T J_t^N dt \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\ell}{N}\right)^{1-\frac{d}{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{T\ell^{d+1}}{N}\right).$$

To control the second term  $\tilde{J}_t^N$ , we first use the *equivalence of ensemble* in [LPY02, Corollary 5.3] on the local equilibrium measure  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$  (using bounds on some exponential moments):

$$(5.3) \quad \langle V'(\eta) \rangle_{c_x} = \sigma(\langle \eta \rangle_{c_x}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ell^d}\right).$$

Second we remark that the Lipschitz regularity of  $\Phi_{t-s}$  implies that  $\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s} - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_{f_s}^N}[\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}] = \mathcal{O}(\ell^d N^{-d})$ , and since the average of  $\hat{A}_x^N$  with respect to  $\vartheta_{f_s}^N$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\ell/N)$ , we can write

$$\tilde{J}_t^N = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_N^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{X}_N} \left( \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}[\langle \eta \rangle_{c_x}] - \Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s} \left[ f_s \left( \frac{x}{N} \right) \right] \right) \hat{A}_x^N d\vartheta_{f_s}^N + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ell}{N}\right).$$

Third, we prove again that the Lipschitz regularity of  $\Phi_{t-s}$  (with constant  $N^{-d}$ ) implies a Lipschitz regularity of its averaged projection  $\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}$  with constant  $\ell^d N^{-d}$ , with respect to the local mass. Indeed, given  $0 = m < m' < +\infty$ , pick any pair of configuration  $(\eta_0, \zeta_0)$  with  $\langle \eta_0 \rangle_{c_x} = m$ ,  $\langle \zeta_0 \rangle_{c_x} = m'$  and  $\eta_0 < \zeta_0$  (such configuration trivially exists since  $m < m'$ ). Then consider the coupling on  $\Omega_m \times \Omega_{m'}$  given by a product of smooth probability distributions localised around respectively  $\delta_{\eta_0}$  and  $\delta_{\zeta_0}$ , so that the support of this coupling only contains strictly ordered  $\eta < \zeta$ . Then we evolve it along the flow of the coupling operator  $e^{t\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N}$ . The marginals respectively converge to  $\nu^{\ell, m}$  and  $\nu^{\ell, m'}$  (convergence to equilibrium of the original evolution). Arguing as for the ZRP, we deduce that  $W_1(\nu^{\ell, m}, \nu^{\ell, m'}) = m' - m$ , and a corresponding optimal coupling  $\Pi$  associated to this distance is so that the cost does not change sign on its support, i.e.  $\eta \leq \zeta$  in the support. We deduce as for the ZRP that  $\Pi_x^N \Phi_{t-s}$  is  $\ell^d N^{-d}$ -Lipschitz.

We finally deduce from (5.3), the Taylor formula, the approximation of  $\vartheta_{f_s}^N$  by  $\bar{\vartheta}_{f_s}^N$ , and the law of large numbers, the same estimate on  $\tilde{J}_t^N$  as for the ZRP, and finally the same estimate (4.5) on  $I_t^N$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [DMOWa] D. Dizdar, G. Menz, F. Otto, and T. Wu. The quantitative hydrodynamic limit of the Kawasaki dynamics. Preprint. arXiv:1807.09850.
- [DMOWb] D. Dizdar, G. Menz, F. Otto, and T. Wu. Toward a quantitative theory of the hydrodynamic limit. Preprint. arXiv:1807.09857.
- [Fat13] M. Fathi. A two-scale approach to the hydrodynamic limit part II: local Gibbs behavior. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 10(2):625–651, 2013.
- [GOVW09] Ñ. Grunewald, F. Otto, C. Villani, and M. Westdickenberg. A two-scale approach to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the hydrodynamic limit. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 45(2):302–351, 2009.
- [GPV88] M. Z. Guo, G. C. Papanicolaou, and S. R. S. Varadhan. Nonlinear diffusion limit for a system with nearest neighbor interactions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 118(1):31–59, 1988.
- [KL99] C. Kipnis and C. Landim. *Scaling limits of interacting particle systems*, volume 320 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [Lig85] T. Liggett. *Interacting Particle Systems*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1985.
- [LPY02] C. Landim, G. Panizo, and H. T. Yau. Spectral gap and logarithmic Sobolev inequality for unbounded conservative spin systems. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 38(5):739–777, 2002.
- [LSV96] C. Landim, S. Sethuraman, and S. Varadhan. Spectral gap for zero-range dynamics. *Ann. Probab.*, 24(4):1871–1902, 1996.
- [LY93] Sheng Lin Lu and Horng-Tzer Yau. Spectral gap and logarithmic Sobolev inequality for Kawasaki and Glauber dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 156(2):399–433, 1993.
- [MMM22] D. Marahrens, A. Menegaki, and C. Mouhot. Quantitative hydrodynamic limit of interacting particle systems on lattices. Forthcoming, 2022.
- [Rez91] F. Rezakhanlou. Hydrodynamic limit for attractive particle systems on  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 140(3):417–448, 1991.
- [Yau91] H.-T. Yau. Relative entropy and hydrodynamics of Ginzburg-Landau models. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 22(1):63–80, 1991.

INSTITUT DES HAUTES ÉTUDES SCIENTIFIQUES, 35 RTE DE CHARTRES, 91440, BURES-SUR-YVETTE, FRANCE  
*Email address:* Menegaki@ihes.fr

DPMMS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WA, UK  
*Email address:* C.Mouhot@dpmms.cam.ac.uk