

MASS CONCENTRATION FOR ERGODIC CHOQUARD MEAN-FIELD GAMES

CHIARA BERNARDINI

ABSTRACT. We study concentration phenomena in the vanishing viscosity limit for second-order stationary Mean-Field Games systems defined in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N with Riesz-type aggregating nonlocal coupling and external confining potential. In this setting, every player of the game is attracted toward congested areas and the external potential discourages agents to be far away from the origin. Focusing on the *mass-subcritical regime* $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$, we study the behavior of solutions in the vanishing viscosity limit, namely when the diffusion becomes negligible. First, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of rescaled solutions as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, obtaining existence of classical solutions to potential free MFG systems with Riesz-type coupling. Secondly, we prove concentration of mass around minima of the potential.

Keywords Stationary Mean-Field Games · Choquard equation · Riesz potential · Vanishing viscosity limit · Semiclassical limit · Concentration-compactness

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 35J47 · 35B25 · 49N70 · 35Q55 · 35J50

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present work, we study stationary Mean-Field Games systems defined in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N with aggregating nonlocal coupling. In particular, given $M > 0$, we consider systems of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u|^\gamma + \lambda = -K_\alpha * m(x) \\ -\Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m \nabla u |\nabla u|^{\gamma-2}) = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m = M, \quad m \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma > 1$ is fixed and $K_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Riesz potential of order $\alpha \in (0, N)$, which is defined for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$K_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{N-\alpha}}.$$

Such nonlinear elliptic systems of PDEs arise in the context of Mean-Field Games to describe Nash equilibria of differential games with infinitely many interacting particles. We refer the reader to [9, 23] and the references therein, for more details on the general theory of Mean-Field Games and its developments. In our setting, the coupling between the individual and the overall population is defined in terms of a Riesz-type interaction kernel $-K_\alpha * m$, since it is attractive and nonlocal, each player of the game is attracted toward regions where the population is highly distributed. Moreover, every player is subject to a Brownian noise $\sqrt{2}B_t$ which induces a dissipation effect. Existence results for classical solutions to the MFG system (1) will depend on a balancing between dissipation and aggregation. Indeed, if the long-range attractive force is too strong, the mass m tends to concentrate and hence to develop singularities, while if the diffusion dominates, we might have loss of mass at infinity, in both cases we expect nonexistence of classical solutions.

Stationary *focusing* MFG systems with different assumptions on the coupling have been studied e.g. in [10, 11, 13, 14, 16]. The problem of existence of solutions to focusing MFG systems requires different approaches than the ones that have been developed in the literature to study *defocusing* MFG systems, namely models where individuals avoid areas with high density of population. Indeed, many existence and regularity arguments and also uniqueness of equilibria requires to have an increasing coupling. In addition, our model is defined in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N (refer e.g. to [1, 3, 10, 17] for other works in the non-compact setting), this non-compact situation brings new difficulties, due to the fact that the Brownian noise has to be balanced by the optimal velocity to avoid loss of mass.

In this paper, focusing on the *mass-subcritical regime* $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$, we provide existence of classical solutions to the MFG system (1), where by *classical solution* we will mean a triple $(u, m, \lambda) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathbb{R}$ for every $p \in (1, +\infty)$. More precisely, we obtain the following existence result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$. Then, for every $M > 0$ there exists $(\bar{u}, \bar{m}, \bar{\lambda})$ classical solution to the MFG system (1). Moreover, there exist C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 positive constants such that*

$$\bar{u}(x) \geq C_1|x| - C_1^{-1}, \quad |\nabla \bar{u}| \leq C_2$$

and

$$0 < \bar{m}(x) \leq C_3 e^{-C_4|x|}.$$

In [3, Theorem 1.1], using a Pohozaev identity, the author and A. Cesaroni proved that if $0 < \alpha < N - 2\gamma'$, regular solutions to the MFG system (1) (so satisfying some ‘‘quite natural’’ integrability conditions and boundary conditions at infinity) do not exist. It remains still open the problem of existence of classical solutions to (1) when $\alpha \in [N - 2\gamma', N - \gamma']$.

Notice that we cannot expect uniqueness of solutions to the MFG system (1), even up to spatial translations. In the case $\gamma = \gamma' = 2$, using the so called Hopf-Cole transformation $v(x) := e^{-u(x)/2}$ and setting $m(x) = v^2(x)$, we can reduce the MFG system (1) to the following normalized Choquard equation

$$\begin{cases} -2\Delta v - \lambda v = (K_\alpha * v^2)v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2(x) dx = M, \quad v > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) was first studied by E. Lieb [22], who proved existence and uniqueness (up to translations) of solutions when $N = 3$ and $\alpha = 2$ by using symmetric decreasing rearrangement inequalities. Then, P.-L. Lions [24] proved that there exists a minimum of the energy associated to (2) when we restrict the infimum to functions with spherical symmetry, refer to [25, §3] and also [26, 27] for further results. Here, from Theorem 1.1 we obtain a more general result for the range of values α such that the normalized Choquard equation (2) has a solution, but we left open the problem of symmetry of solutions.

In order to study the system (1), we consider an ergodic Mean-Field Games system defined in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N with an external confining potential V and Brownian noise which depends on $\varepsilon > 0$. More in detail, we take into account systems of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon \Delta u + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u|^\gamma + \lambda = V(x) - K_\alpha * m(x) \\ -\varepsilon \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m \nabla u |\nabla u|^{\gamma-2}) = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m = M, \quad m \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (3)$$

where we assume that the potential V is locally Hölder continuous and there exist two positive constants b and C_V such that

$$C_V^{-1}(\max\{|x| - C_V, 0\})^b \leq V(x) \leq C_V(1 + |x|)^b, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (4)$$

Existence of classical solutions to the MFG system (3) when $\alpha \geq N - 2\gamma'$, has been obtained in [3] exploiting a Schauder fixed point argument (see [3, Theorem 1.2]). Notice that, using a fixed point approach, the presence of a coercive potential V add compactness to the problem and it proves to be essential to conclude. Studying the asymptotic behavior of rescaled solutions to the MFG system (3) in the vanishing viscosity limit, we prove existence of classical solutions to the MFG system (1) (without the potential term V). If $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the dissipation effect, due to the Brownian motion, becomes negligible and we expect aggregation of players. So in the vanishing viscosity limit the mass m tends to concentrate, the introduction of the coercive potential V , which represents spatial preferences of agents, rule out this possibility and leads to aggregation of players, namely concentration of mass, around minima of the potential V . That is what we show in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$. Assume that the potential V is locally Hölder continuous and satisfies (4). Then, for every $\varepsilon, M > 0$ there exists $(u_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ classical solution to (3), such that $(m_\varepsilon, -m_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{\gamma-2})$ is a minimum of the energy associated to the MFG system. Moreover, there exists a sequence $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and a sequence of points $x_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} y_\varepsilon$ around which there is concentration of mass, namely for every $\eta > 0$ there exist $R, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{B(x_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} R)} m_\varepsilon(x) dx \geq M - \eta$$

for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and

$$x_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{x}, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

where \bar{x} is a minimum point of the potential V and $V(\bar{x}) = 0$.

Let us summarize the main tools to prove our results. As Lasry and Lions first pointed out in [21], taking into account the variational nature of the MFG system, solutions to (3) are related to critical points of the following energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}(m, w) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} + V(x) m \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dx \, dy & \text{if } (m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $\gamma' = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$ is the conjugate exponent of γ , and the constraint set is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M} := \left\{ (m, w) \in (L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \, dx = M, \quad m \geq 0 \text{ a.e.} \right. \\ \left. \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(-\Delta\varphi) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w \cdot \nabla\varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\} \quad (6)$$

with

$$q := \begin{cases} \frac{N}{N-\gamma'+1} & \text{if } \gamma' < N \\ \gamma' & \text{if } \gamma' \geq N \end{cases}. \quad (7)$$

Using some regularity results for the Kolmogorov equation (refer to Proposition 2.1 below), the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and the fact that V is non-negative, we prove that the energy \mathcal{E} associated to the MFG system is bounded from below. By classical direct methods and compactness arguments, we obtain minimizers $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ of \mathcal{E} . Then, passing to a linearized functional and using convex duality arguments (see for instance [6–8, 10, 11]), we are able to construct the associated solutions $(u_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ of the MFG system (3). In order to investigate the behavior of the system in the vanishing viscosity limit, we define a suitable rescaling of u_ε , m_ε and λ_ε . We also translate the reference system by y_ε , where y_ε is a point of minimum for the value function u_ε , in this way around y_ε the mass remains positive and we can rule out vanishing of the total mass in the limit. We obtain a triple $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{u}_\varepsilon, \bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon)$ which solves the following MFG system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \bar{u}_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon|^\gamma + \bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon = V_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon) - K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) \\ -\Delta \bar{m}_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(\bar{m}_\varepsilon \nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon |\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon|^{\gamma-2}) = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}_\varepsilon = M \end{cases}$$

(see Subsection 4.1 for more details). Exploiting a concentration-compactness argument (refer to the seminal work of P.-L. Lions [25]) as done in [10], we are able to prove that there is no loss of mass when passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We show that in the vanishing viscosity limit, the rescaled solutions converge (up to sub-sequences) to $(\bar{u}, \bar{m}, \bar{\lambda})$ classical solution to the MFG system (1) without the potential term V . Moreover, solutions to (1) are related to minimum points of the following energy

$$\mathcal{E}_0(m, w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dx \, dy$$

over the constraint set

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ (m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{1, M} \mid m(1 + |x|^b) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the dynamic of each player is no subject anymore to the dissipation effect induced by the Brownian motion, so we have aggregation of players around minima of the potential V .

Remark 1. We have assumed that the Hamiltonian H has the form $H(p) = \frac{1}{\gamma} |p|^\gamma$ for $\gamma > 1$ fixed, but actually the previous results hold also for more general assumptions on the Hamiltonian H , namely assuming that the Hamiltonian $H : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly convex, $H \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ and there exist $C_H, K > 0$ and $\gamma > 1 \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the following conditions hold

$$\begin{aligned} C_H |p|^\gamma - K &\leq H(p) \leq C_H |p|^\gamma \\ \nabla H(p) \cdot p - H(p) &\geq K^{-1} |p|^\gamma - K \\ |\nabla H(p)| &\leq K |p|^{\gamma-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The outline of the paper is the following. In Section 2 we provide some preliminary results. In particular, we recall some a priori estimates and elliptic regularity results for solutions to the Kolmogorov equation, and also integrability, Hölder continuity and compactness results for the Riesz potential term. In Section 3, using a variational approach, we prove existence of minimizers of the energy and from them

we obtain the associated solution to the MFG system. In such a way we are in the position to analyze the asymptotic behavior of solutions in the vanishing viscosity limit, in particular, in Section 4 we prove existence of ground states to MFG system defined in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N with Riesz-type coupling and without the confining potential V . Finally, in Section 5, we show concentration of the mass toward minima of V .

In what follows, C, C_1, C_2, K_1, \dots denote generic positive constants which may change from line to line and also within the same line.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank her supervisor Professor Annalisa Cesaroni for helpful suggestions and fruitful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall here some a priori estimates and elliptic regularity results for solutions to the Kolmogorov equation; we mention also some regularity results for solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations defined in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N . Finally, we state some properties of the Riesz potential. For further details we refer to [3, 10]. Let us fix $\varepsilon, M > 0$. We will always assume that either $\gamma' \geq N$ or $\gamma' < N$ and $N - \gamma' \leq \alpha < N$.

2.1. Regularity for the Kolmogorov equation and the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ and $E := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\gamma'}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} dx < +\infty$. Then,*

i)

$$m \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall \beta \in \left[1, \frac{N}{N - \gamma'} \right) \quad (\forall \beta \in [1, +\infty), \text{ if } \gamma' \geq N)$$

and there exists a constant C depending on N, β and γ' such that

$$\|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(E + M)$$

ii)

$$m \in W^{1, \ell}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall \ell < q$$

and there exists a constant C depending on N, ℓ and γ' such that

$$\|m\|_{W^{1, \ell}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(E + M);$$

iii) if $\gamma' > N$, we have also

$$m \in C^{0, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1 - N/\gamma')$$

and there exists a constant C depending on N, θ and γ' such that

$$\|m\|_{C^{0, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(E + M).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|m\|_{L^{\frac{2\gamma'}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq CM^{\frac{2\gamma'}{N-\alpha}-1} E \tag{8}$$

where C is a constant depending on N, γ and α ;

Proof. For the proof of statement *i)* and *ii)* see [3, Proposition 2.5]. *Proof of iii).* From *ii)* we have in particular that $m \in W^{1, \ell}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $N < \ell < \gamma'$, hence by Morrey's embedding

$$m \in C^{0, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{for } 0 < \theta < 1 - \frac{N}{\gamma'}$$

and there exists a constant C , depending on θ, N and γ' , such that

$$\|m\|_{C^{0, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(E + M).$$

Concerning estimate (8) refer to [3, Proposition 2.4]. □

The following compactness result for sequences of couples $(m_n, w_n) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ holds.

Proposition 2.2. *Let us consider a sequence of couples $(m_n, w_n) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ such that $E_n < C$ uniformly in n . Assume also that there exists a couple $(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ such that $\bar{E} < +\infty$ and $m_n \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then*

$$m_n \rightarrow \bar{m} \text{ in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall s \in \left[1, \frac{N}{N-\gamma'}\right)$$

(the previous holds $\forall s \in [1, +\infty)$ if $\gamma' \geq N$).

Proof. If $\gamma' < N$, from Proposition 2.1 we have that $m_n, \bar{m} \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N) \forall \beta < \frac{N}{N-\gamma'}$ and $\|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(E+M)$. Let us pick $1 \leq s < \frac{N}{N-\gamma'}$ and $s_1 \in \left(s, \frac{N}{N-\gamma'}\right)$, by interpolation we get there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$ (depending on s and s_1) such that

$$\|\bar{m} - m_n\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\bar{m} - m_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\theta \|\bar{m} - m_n\|_{L^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\theta}.$$

We observe that

$$\|\bar{m} - m_n\|_{L^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\bar{m}\|_{L^{s_1}} + \|m_n\|_{L^{s_1}} \leq C(\bar{E} + M) + C(E_n + M) \leq C_1.$$

Hence $\|\bar{m} - m_n\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ is bounded, since $\|\bar{m} - m_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ we can conclude. The same argument holds in the case when $\gamma' \geq N$. \square

Finally, we will need some a priori regularity estimates for solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation

$$-\Delta u + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u(x)|^\gamma + \lambda = V(x) - K_\alpha * m(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (9)$$

where $\gamma > 1$ is fixed. Assuming $m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ fixed and such that $K_\alpha * m$ is Hölder continuous, we can define

$$\bar{\lambda} := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid (9) \text{ has a solution } u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}. \quad (10)$$

If $\bar{\lambda}$ does exist, then there exists $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solving the HJB equation with such value $\bar{\lambda}$, moreover u is coercive and its gradient has polynomial growth. We refer the reader to [3, §2.3] and the references [2, 12, 19] for more details.

2.2. Properties of the Riesz potential. The Riesz potential K_α is well-defined as an operator on the whole space $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if $r \in [1, \frac{N}{\alpha})$. We state now the following well-known theorem (for which refer e.g. to [29, Theorem 14.37] and [23, Theorem 4.3]).

Theorem 2.3 (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality). *Let $0 < \alpha < N$ and $1 < r < \frac{N}{\alpha}$. Then for any $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ it holds*

$$\|K_\alpha * f\|_{L^{\frac{Nr}{N-\alpha r}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

where C is a constant depending only on N, α and r . Moreover, let $s, t > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{s} - \frac{\alpha}{N} + \frac{1}{t} = 1$ and assume that $f \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $g \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, there exists a sharp constant $C(N, \alpha, s)$ (independent of f and g) such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \right| \leq C \|f\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|g\|_{L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \quad (11)$$

Taking advantage of the previous result, we are able to prove more precise integrability results for the Riesz term $K_\alpha * m$ and a compactness result.

Corollary 2.4. *Assume that $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ and $E < +\infty$.*

i) *If $\gamma' \geq N$, then*

$$K_\alpha * m \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall \beta \in \left(\frac{N}{N-\alpha}, +\infty\right);$$

ii) *if $\gamma' < N$ and $N - \gamma' \leq \alpha < N$, then*

$$K_\alpha * m \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall \beta \in \left(\frac{N}{N-\alpha} + \infty\right);$$

and in both cases, there exists a constant C depending on N , α , γ' and β such that

$$\|K_\alpha * m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(E + M).$$

Moreover, if we consider a sequence of couples $(m_n, w_n) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ such that $E_n < C$ uniformly in n and we assume also that there exists a couple $(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ such that $\bar{E} < +\infty$ and $m_n \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. It holds

$$(K_\alpha * m_n)m_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} (K_\alpha * \bar{m})\bar{m}, \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (12)$$

Proof. Case $\gamma' \geq N$. From Proposition 2.1 i) we have in particular that $m \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N) \forall \beta \in (1, \frac{N}{\alpha})$, hence by Theorem 2.3 it follows claim i). Case $\gamma' < N$. From Proposition 2.1 i) it holds that $m \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N) \forall \beta < \frac{N}{N-\gamma'}$. In the case when $N - \gamma' \leq \alpha < N$, we have that $m \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N) \forall \beta \in (1, \frac{N}{\alpha})$ and we can conclude as before. *Proof of (12).* Let us consider $\bar{r} := \frac{Nr}{N-\alpha r}$ and $(\bar{r})'$ its conjugate exponent, namely $(\bar{r})' = \frac{Nr}{Nr-N+\alpha r}$. If $\gamma' < N$, from Proposition 2.2, we observe that in order to have $m_n \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $(L^r \cap L^{(\bar{r})'}) (\mathbb{R}^N)$ for a certain $r \in (1, \frac{N}{N-\gamma'})$, it is sufficient to require that $(\bar{r})' < \frac{N}{N-\gamma'}$, that is

$$\frac{N}{\alpha + \gamma'} < r < \frac{N}{N - \gamma'}$$

and hence

$$\alpha > N - 2\gamma'.$$

In particular $m_n \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so from Theorem 2.3 it follows that

$$K_\alpha * m_n \rightarrow K_\alpha * \bar{m}, \quad \text{in } L^{\bar{r}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and since $m_n \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L^{(\bar{r})'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$(K_\alpha * m_n)m_n \rightarrow (K_\alpha * \bar{m})\bar{m}, \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The case $\gamma' \geq N$ is analogous. \square

Regarding the Hölder continuity and the L^∞ -norm of the Riesz potential, we remind here the following results (see e.g. [3, Theorem 2.8]).

Theorem 2.5. *Let $1 < r < +\infty$ and $0 < \alpha < N$ be such that $0 < \alpha - \frac{N}{r} < 1$. Then, for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have that*

$$K_\alpha * f \in C^{0, \alpha - \frac{N}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and there exists a constant C , depending on r , α and N , such that

$$\frac{|K_\alpha * f(x) - K_\alpha * f(y)|}{\|x - y\|^{\alpha - \frac{N}{r}}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let $0 < \alpha < N$, $1 < r \leq +\infty$ be such that $r > \frac{N}{\alpha}$ and $s \in [1, \frac{N}{\alpha})$. Then, for every $f \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have that*

$$\|K_\alpha * f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C_2 \|f\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad (13)$$

where $C_1 = C_1(N, \alpha, r)$ and $C_2 = C_2(N, \alpha, s)$.

Taking advantage of the integrability results in Proposition 2.1, we are able to prove Hölder continuity of the term $K_\alpha * m$, for couples $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ with finite kinetic energy E .

Corollary 2.7. *Assume that $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ and $E < +\infty$.*

i) *If $\gamma' \geq N$, then*

$$K_\alpha * m \in C^{0, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \min\{1, \alpha\}).$$

ii) *If $\gamma' < N$ and $\alpha > N - \gamma'$, then*

$$K_\alpha * m \in C^{0, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \min\{1, \alpha - (N - \gamma')\}).$$

Proof. The thesis follows from Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.1. \square

We recall here a Brezis-Lieb-type lemma for the Riesz potential (refer to [5, Theorem 1] for the classical Brezis-Lieb lemma). It will be a key tool in Section 4.

Lemma 2.8 (Lemma 2.4 in [27]). *Let $0 < \alpha < N$, $p \in \left[1, \frac{2N}{N+\alpha}\right)$ and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{\frac{2Np}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (K_\alpha * |f_n|^p) |f_n|^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (K_\alpha * |f_n - f|^p) |f_n - f|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (K_\alpha * |f|^p) |f|^p.$$

3. EXISTENCE OF GROUND STATES FOR $\varepsilon > 0$

In this section, we provide existence of classical solutions to the MFG system (3) using a minimization procedure. Notice that, even if this result partially covers the existence result obtained in [3], the variational approach proves to be essential to obtain some suitable estimates that will be necessary in the vanishing viscosity setting and hence to prove concentration phenomena as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If $\gamma' < N$, condition

$$N - \gamma' \leq \alpha < N \quad (14)$$

is *necessary* for the problem

$$\min_{(m,w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,M}} \mathcal{E}(m,w)$$

to be well-posed. Indeed, let us consider $m = ce^{-|x|}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) dx = M$ and $w = \varepsilon \nabla m$, in this way $(m,w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,M}$. For $\sigma > 0$ define

$$m_\sigma(x) := \frac{m(\sigma^{-1}x)}{\sigma^N} \quad \text{and} \quad w_\sigma(x) := \frac{w(\sigma^{-1}x)}{\sigma^{N+1}},$$

we get that $(m_\sigma, w_\sigma) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{E}(m_\sigma, w_\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma^{\gamma'}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} + \sigma^{\gamma'} V m dX - \frac{\sigma^{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(X) (K_\alpha * m)(X) dX \right].$$

From (4) we have that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} + \sigma^{\gamma'} V m dX \leq C$, so if $\alpha < N - \gamma'$ and $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ the Riesz term in the energy dominates and

$$\mathcal{E}(m_\sigma, w_\sigma) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow 0.$$

Actually, condition (14) is also *sufficient*, in fact we prove that if $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$ the energy \mathcal{E} is bounded from below; and in the case when $\alpha = N - \gamma'$, requiring in addition that the constraint mass M is sufficiently small, the energy \mathcal{E} is non-negative (see Section 3.1). Hence, the minimum problem is well defined and by means of classical direct methods we are able to obtain minimizers. Notice that in the case when $\gamma' \geq N$, the above condition (14) reduces to $0 < \alpha < N$.

In what follows we address the case $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ fixed. Let us define

$$e_\varepsilon(M) := \inf_{(m,w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,M}} \mathcal{E}(m,w).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$ and let $(m,w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,M}$. Then, there exist $C_1 = C_1(N, \gamma, \alpha, M)$, $C_2 = C_2(N, \gamma, \alpha, M)$ and $K = K(M, C_V, b, N, \alpha, \gamma)$ positive constants such that*

$$-C_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \leq e_\varepsilon(M) \leq -C_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + K. \quad (15)$$

Proof. Let us fix $\beta := \frac{2N}{N+\alpha}$, since $1 < \beta < 1 + \frac{\gamma'}{N}$ by (8), (11) and the fact that $V \geq 0$, we get

$$\mathcal{E}(m,w) \geq c_1 \varepsilon^{\gamma'} \|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{2\gamma'}{N-\alpha}} - c_2 \|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \quad (16)$$

where c_1 is a constant depending on N, α, γ, M and c_2 is a constant which depends on N and α . Minimizing the RHS of (16), we obtain that

$$c_1 \varepsilon^{\gamma'} \|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{2\gamma'}{N-\alpha}} - c_2 \|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \geq (c_3 - c_4) \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}$$

hence, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ depending on N, γ, α, M such that

$$\mathcal{E}(m,w) \geq -C_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}.$$

In order to prove the estimate from above it is enough to show that for a suitable couple $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{w}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,M}$ it holds

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{m}, \tilde{w}) \leq -C_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + K. \quad (17)$$

Let us consider a smooth function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $\varphi(r) = e^{-r}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{m}(x) &:= M\tau^N I_1 \varphi(\tau|x|) \\ \tilde{w}(x) &:= \varepsilon \nabla \tilde{m}(x)\end{aligned}$$

where τ is a positive constant to be fixed and $I_1^{-1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-|y|} dy$, obviously $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{w}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$. We get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{m} \left| \frac{\tilde{w}}{\tilde{m}} \right|^{\gamma'} dx = M\varepsilon^{\gamma'} \tau^{\gamma'},$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \tilde{m} dx \leq MC_V + MC_V I_1 I_2 \frac{1}{\tau^b}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\tilde{m}(x)\tilde{m}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy = M^2 I_1^2 I_3 \tau^{N-\alpha}$$

where $I_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y|^b \varphi(|y|) dy$ and $I_3 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\varphi(|x|)\varphi(|y|)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy$. Now, coming back to the energy, we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{m}, \tilde{w}) \leq M(\varepsilon\tau)^{\gamma'} + MC_V + MC_V I_1 I_2 \frac{1}{\tau^b} - \frac{1}{2} M^2 I_1^2 I_3 \tau^{N-\alpha}$$

finally taking $\tau = \frac{1}{A} \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}$ we get

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{m}, \tilde{w}) \leq \left(M \frac{1}{A^{\gamma'}} - \frac{1}{2} M^2 I_1^2 I_3 \frac{1}{A^{N-\alpha}} \right) \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + MC_V + MC_V I_1 I_2 \frac{1}{\tau^b} \leq -C_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + K$$

choosing A large enough. \square

In particular, from Lemma 3.1, it follows that for $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$ there exists finite $e_\varepsilon(M)$. We have the following a priori bounds.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ such that $e_\varepsilon(M) \geq \mathcal{E}(m, w) - \eta$ for some positive η . Then*

$$\|m\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \quad (18)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} dx \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + K \quad (19)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) m dx \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + K \quad (20)$$

where C and K are positive constants depending on $M, N, \alpha, C_V, b, \gamma$.

Proof. Let us denote $\beta := \frac{2N}{N+\alpha}$, if $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ and $e_\varepsilon(M) \geq \mathcal{E}(m, w) - \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}c + \eta &\geq e_\varepsilon(M) + \eta \geq \mathcal{E}(m, w) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \\ &\geq C_1 \varepsilon^{\gamma'} M^{1-\frac{2\gamma'}{N-\alpha}} \|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{2\gamma'}{N-\alpha}} - C_2 \|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2,\end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where in the first inequality, we used that by Lemma 3.1 there exists a positive constant c depending on $M, C_V, b, \gamma, N, \alpha$ such that $e_\varepsilon(M) \leq c$, while in the last inequality we exploit estimates (8) and (11). Since $\frac{\gamma'}{N-\alpha} > 1$, choosing C sufficiently large (not depending on ε) we have

$$C_1 \varepsilon^{\gamma'} M^{1-\frac{2\gamma'}{N-\alpha}} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} C \right)^{\frac{\gamma'}{N-\alpha}} - C_2 \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} C \right) \geq c + \eta,$$

hence we must have

$$\|m\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} C.$$

From (21) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\gamma'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} dx &\leq c + \eta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \\ &\leq c + \eta + C \|m\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + K\end{aligned}$$

which proves (19). Finally, since $\frac{1}{\gamma'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) m dx = \mathcal{E}(m, w) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}$, using (11) and (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) m dx &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) m dx \leq \mathcal{E}(m, w) + C \|m\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \\ &\leq e_\varepsilon(M) + \eta + C \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

which gives estimate (20). \square

By means of classical direct methods, we prove that for every $\varepsilon, M > 0$ there exists a minimizer $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ of the energy \mathcal{E} .

Proposition 3.3. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M > 0$, there exists a minimizer $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ of the energy \mathcal{E} , namely*

$$\mathcal{E}(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) = \inf_{(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}} \mathcal{E}(m, w).$$

For every minimizer $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ of \mathcal{E} , we have that

$$\|m_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \quad (23)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon \left| \frac{w_\varepsilon}{m_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} dx \leq C \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + K \quad (24)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) m_\varepsilon dx \leq C \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} + K, \quad (25)$$

where $C > 0$ and K are two constants not depending on ε . Moreover, it holds

$$m_\varepsilon(1 + |x|)^b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad w_\varepsilon(1 + |x|)^{\frac{b}{\gamma'}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (26)$$

Proof. Let us consider a minimizing sequence $(m_n, w_n) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$, namely $\mathcal{E}(m_n, w_n) \rightarrow e_\varepsilon(M)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. For n sufficiently large $e_\varepsilon(M) \geq \mathcal{E}(m_n, w_n) - 1$, so estimates (18), (19) and (20) hold. By Proposition 2.1, using (19), we get that

$$\|m_n\|_{W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C, \quad \forall r < q$$

where C does not depend on n , hence by Sobolev compact embedding, up to subsequences $m_n \rightarrow m_\varepsilon$ in $L^s(K)$ for $1 \leq s < q^*$ and $K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^N$. We observe that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ it holds

$$\int_A m_n(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_n(x) \chi_A(x) dx \leq \|m_n\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\chi_A\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)};$$

hence using (18) we get that for every $\mu > 0$ there exists $\delta_\mu > 0$ such that

$$\int_A m_n(x) dx \leq \mu$$

for every n and for any $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\text{mis}(A) < \delta_\mu$. Using estimate (20) and (4) we obtain that for $R > 1$

$$C \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_n V dx \geq \int_{B_R^c} m_n V dx \geq C R^b \int_{B_R^c} m_n(x) dx$$

namely, for every $\eta > 0$ there exists $R > 1$ such that $\int_{|x|>R} m_n(x) dx \leq \eta$ for any n (more precisely, for every n greater than a certain value n_0). Thus, using the Vitali Convergence Theorem, up to extracting a subsequence, we have that

$$m_n \rightarrow m_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and consequently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon(x) dx = M.$$

Moreover, since by Sobolev embeddings m_n are bounded in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $s \in [1, q^*)$, we have also that $m_n \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If we assume $\beta = \frac{2N}{N+\alpha}$, by Hölder inequality we get also

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_n|^{\frac{\gamma'\beta}{\gamma'-1+\beta}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_n^{1-\gamma'} |w_n|^{\gamma'} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma'-1+\beta}} \|m_n\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{\beta(\gamma'-1)}{\gamma'-1+\beta}}$$

hence using (18) and (19)

$$w_n \rightharpoonup w_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{\gamma'\beta}{\gamma'-1+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

From (18), (19) and (20) passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and using Fatou's Lemma we obtain estimates (23), (24) and (25).

We can infer that $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$. Since the functional $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} + V(x)m \, dx$ is sequentially lower semi-continuous with respect to the weak convergence and by Corollary 2.4 we have that $(K_\alpha * m_n)m_n \rightarrow (K_\alpha * m_\varepsilon)m_\varepsilon$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ is a minimum of the energy \mathcal{E} .

Finally the fact that $m_\varepsilon(1 + |x|)^b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ follows from (25) and (4); whereas, by Hölder inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_\varepsilon|(1 + |x|)^{b/\gamma} \, dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma}} |w_\varepsilon|^{\gamma'} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon(1 + |x|)^b \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma}} |w_\varepsilon|^{\gamma'} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon \left| \frac{w_\varepsilon}{m_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} \, dx$, using (24) and the fact that $m_\varepsilon(1 + |x|)^b \in L^1$, we obtain that $w_\varepsilon(1 + |x|)^{b/\gamma} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. \square

Once we have obtained minimizers $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ of the energy \mathcal{E} , we construct the associated solutions $(u_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ of the MFG system (3).

Proposition 3.4. *Let $N - \gamma' < \alpha < N$. Assume that the potential V is locally Hölder continuous and satisfies (4). Then, for every $\varepsilon, M > 0$ there exists a classical solution $(u_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ to the MFG system (3) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(x) &\geq C_\varepsilon |x|^{1+\frac{b}{\gamma}} - C_\varepsilon^{-1} \\ |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)| &\leq C_\varepsilon (1 + |x|)^{\frac{b}{\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

where C_ε positive constant. Moreover, $m_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there exist C_1, C_2, K positive constants not depending on ε such that

$$-C_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \leq \lambda_\varepsilon \leq K - C_2 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}. \quad (27)$$

Proof. Following the proof of [10, Proposition 3.4], let us consider the set of test functions

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\nabla \psi(x)|}{|x|^{b/\gamma}} < +\infty, \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\Delta \psi(x)|}{|x|^b} < +\infty \right\}.$$

From Proposition 3.3 there exists at least one minimizer $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ of the energy \mathcal{E} , and one can verify (using (26) and integrating by parts) that

$$-\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon \Delta \psi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \psi \, dx, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{A} \quad (28)$$

(see (3.18) in [10], for details). Since every minimizer satisfies (26) and (28), minimizing \mathcal{E} on $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ is equivalent to minimize \mathcal{E} on the following constraint set

$$\mathcal{K} := \left\{ (m, w) \in (L^1 \cap W^{1,r}) \times L^{\frac{\gamma'\beta}{\gamma'-1+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid (m, w) \text{ satisfies (26), (28), } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m = M, m \geq 0 \right\}$$

where $r < q$. Now we prove that if $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ is a minimizer of \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{K} , then $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ is also a minimizer of the linearized functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(m, w) := \frac{1}{\gamma'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)m \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m_\varepsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dx \, dy \quad (29)$$

on \mathcal{K} . Define

$$\Phi(m, w) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\gamma'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} \, dx & \text{if } (m, w) \in \mathcal{K} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Psi(m) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)m \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \, dx \, dy,$$

we have

$$\mathcal{E}(m, w) = \Phi(m, w) + \Psi(m).$$

For any $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ we define $m_\lambda := (1 - \lambda)m_\varepsilon + \lambda m$ and $w_\lambda := (1 - \lambda)w_\varepsilon + \lambda w$, by minimality of $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ it holds

$$\Phi(m_\lambda, w_\lambda) - \Phi(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) \geq \Psi(m_\varepsilon) - \Psi(m_\lambda) \quad (30)$$

and by convexity of Φ

$$\lambda(\Phi(m, w) - \Phi(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)) \geq \Phi(m_\lambda, w_\lambda) - \Phi(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon). \quad (31)$$

From (30) and (31) we obtain that

$$\lambda(\Phi(m, w) - \Phi(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)) \geq -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(m - m_\varepsilon) dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m_\varepsilon(y)(m(x) - m_\varepsilon(x))}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha}} dy dx + o(\lambda),$$

dividing by λ and letting λ go to 0, we get

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(m - m_\varepsilon) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m_\varepsilon(y)(m(x) - m_\varepsilon(x))}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha}} dy dx \leq \Phi(m, w) - \Phi(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$$

for any $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence, the couple $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$ minimizes $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ on \mathcal{K} . Using convexity of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, we pass to the dual problem, in the sense of the Fenchel–Rockafellar duality theorem (refer e.g. [15]). Let us consider the following functional

$$\mathcal{L}(m, w, \lambda, \psi) := \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(m, w) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varepsilon m \Delta \psi + w \nabla \psi - \lambda m dx + \lambda M.$$

One can easily verify that

$$\min_{(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(m, w) = \min_{(m, w) \in E} \sup_{(\lambda, \psi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(m, w, \lambda, \psi)$$

where

$$E := \left\{ (m, w) \in (L^1 \cap W^{1, r})(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{\gamma' \beta}{\gamma' + \beta - 1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid (m, w) \text{ satisfies (26)} \right\}.$$

Proceeding as in [10, Proposition 3.4], by means of the Fan's minimax theorem (refer to Theorem 2.3.7 in [4]) and some results in [18] which allow us to interchange the minimum and the integral, we get that

$$\min_{(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(m, w) = \sup_{(\lambda, \psi) \in B} \lambda M$$

where

$$B := \left\{ (\lambda, \psi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{A} \mid -\varepsilon \Delta \psi + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla \psi|^\gamma + \lambda \leq V(x) - K_\alpha * m_\varepsilon(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \right\}.$$

From Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 2.7 we have that $K_\alpha * m_\varepsilon \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{0, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, hence by [3, Proposition 2.10] there exists a couple $(u_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^\gamma + \lambda_\varepsilon = V(x) - K_\alpha * m_\varepsilon(x), \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (32)$$

where

$$\lambda_\varepsilon = \bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon := \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid -\varepsilon \Delta u + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u|^\gamma + \lambda = V - K_\alpha * m_\varepsilon \text{ has a subsolution } u_\varepsilon \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$$

and (using also [3, Proposition 2.9]) we have

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \geq C_\varepsilon |x|^{\frac{b}{\gamma} + 1} - C_\varepsilon^{-1}, \quad |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C_\varepsilon (1 + |x|)^{\frac{b}{\gamma}}.$$

Since

$$\varepsilon |\Delta u_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^\gamma + |\lambda_\varepsilon| + V(x) + K_\alpha * m_\varepsilon(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^b,$$

it follows that $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\Delta u_\varepsilon(x)|}{|x|^b} < +\infty$, hence $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$. This proves that $\sup_{(\lambda, \psi) \in B} \lambda M = \lambda_\varepsilon M$ and consequently

$$\lambda_\varepsilon M = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{E}(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m_\varepsilon(x)m_\varepsilon(y)}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy. \quad (33)$$

Now, by (33), (32) and (28) (since $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w_\varepsilon}{m_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} + V(x) - K_\alpha * m_\varepsilon - \lambda_\varepsilon \right) m_\varepsilon dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w_\varepsilon}{m_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} - \varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^\gamma \right) m_\varepsilon dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w_\varepsilon}{m_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} + \frac{w_\varepsilon}{m_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^\gamma \right) m_\varepsilon dx \end{aligned}$$

and we must have

$$\frac{w_\varepsilon}{m_\varepsilon} = -\nabla u_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{\gamma-2}, \quad \text{on the set } \{m_\varepsilon > 0\}.$$

We can conclude that $\varepsilon \Delta m_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(m_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{\gamma-2}) = 0$ (in weak sense) and by elliptic regularity $(u_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ is a classical solution to the MFG system (3). Moreover, the function m_ε is bounded from above in \mathbb{R}^N (see [3, Proposition 4.3 *iv*]) for more details).

Proof of estimate (27). From (33) we get that

$$\lambda_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{M} \mathcal{E}(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m_\varepsilon(x) m_\varepsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \quad (34)$$

hence, by (15) and (11) we get that

$$\lambda_\varepsilon \geq -c_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} - c_2 \|m_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \geq -C_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \quad (35)$$

using (18) in the last inequality. Moreover, from (34) we have

$$\lambda_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{M} \mathcal{E}(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{M} \inf_{(m,w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon,M}} \mathcal{E}(m, w)$$

and using (15), we conclude the proof of estimate (27). \square

3.1. Existence of minima in the critical case $\alpha = N - \gamma'$. In this subsection, assuming $\gamma' < N$, we study the critical case $\alpha = N - \gamma'$. In order to deal with the Riesz-interaction term, which is not Hölder continuous a priori in the critical case $\alpha = N - \gamma'$ (refer to Corollary 2.7), we first regularize the problem convolving the Riesz term with a standard symmetric mollifier. More precisely, we consider the following regularised version of the MFG system (3)

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon \Delta u + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla u|^\gamma + \lambda = V(x) - K_\alpha * \varphi_k * m(x) \\ -\varepsilon \Delta m - \operatorname{div}(m \nabla u |\nabla u|^{\gamma-2}) = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m = M, \quad m \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (36)$$

where $(\varphi_k)_k$ is a sequence of standard symmetric mollifiers approximating the unit as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. We associate to (36) the following energy

$$\mathcal{E}_k(m, w) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} + V(x) m dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(x) K_\alpha * \varphi_k * m(x) dx & \text{if } (m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

and we prove that if the total mass M is sufficiently small then, \mathcal{E}_k is bounded from below.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\alpha = N - \gamma'$ and $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$. Then, there exists $M_0 > 0$ (depending on N, γ and ε) such that for any $M \in (0, M_0]$*

$$\mathcal{E}_k(m, w) \geq 0. \quad (38)$$

Hence, there exists finite

$$\inf_{(m,w) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}} \mathcal{E}_k(m, w).$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.1, let us fix $\beta := \frac{2N}{2N-\gamma'}$, we get

$$\mathcal{E}_k(m, w) \geq \left(C_1 \varepsilon^{\gamma'} \frac{1}{M} - C_2 \right) \|m\|_{L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \quad (39)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants depending on N and γ . If $C_1 \frac{\varepsilon^{\gamma'}}{M} - C_2 > 0$, that is $M \leq M_0$ where $M_0 := \frac{C_1}{C_2} \varepsilon^{\gamma'}$, we have that

$$\mathcal{E}_k(m, w) \geq 0. \quad \square$$

As before, by classical direct methods we prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M \in (0, M_0]$ there exists a global minimizer $(m_k, w_k) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ of the regularised energy \mathcal{E}_k , this allows us to construct the corresponding associated solutions (u_k, m_k, λ_k) of regularised problem. With the same arguments of [3, §4.2], since we have a uniform L^∞ -bound on m_k , we can finally pass to the limit as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in the MFG system and obtain a solution to the initial problem (3) for $\alpha = N - \gamma'$.

4. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF SOLUTIONS

In this section, assuming $\alpha \in (N - \gamma', N)$, we want to study the behavior of agents when the Brownian noise vanishes. To this end, we analyze the asymptotic behavior of a solution $(m_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ to the MFG system (3) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. If the diffusion is not relevant for the model, we expect aggregation of players, namely concentration of mass, around minima of the potential V .

4.1. The rescaled problem and some a priori estimates. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let us define a suitable rescaling for m , u and λ , which preserves the mass of m :

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{m}(y) &:= \varepsilon^{\frac{N\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} m \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} y \right), \\ \tilde{u}(y) &:= \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha) - \gamma' - N + \alpha}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} u \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} y \right), \\ \tilde{\lambda} &:= \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} \lambda\end{aligned}$$

and a rescaled potential

$$V_\varepsilon(y) := \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} V \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} y \right). \quad (40)$$

Notice that V_ε vanishes locally as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, hence, passing to the limit, we can not take advantage of the coercivity of V in order to prove that there is no loss of mass (compare with the proof of Proposition 3.3) indeed we will use a concentration-compactness argument. From assumptions (4) on the potential V , we get the corresponding assumptions on V_ε

$$C_V^{-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} \left(\max \left\{ \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} |y| - C_V, 0 \right\} \right)^b \leq V_\varepsilon(y) \leq C_V \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} |y| \right)^b. \quad (41)$$

The rescaled Riesz-type interaction term is

$$K_\alpha * \tilde{m}(y) = \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} K_\alpha * m \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} y \right).$$

Hence, if the triple $(u_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ is a classical solution to the MFG system (3) (from Proposition 3.4 there exists at least one solution to (3)), by computations, one can verify that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^\gamma + \tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon = V_\varepsilon(y) - K_\alpha * \tilde{m}_\varepsilon(y) \\ -\Delta \tilde{m}_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(\tilde{m}_\varepsilon \nabla \tilde{u}_\varepsilon |\nabla \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{\gamma-2}) = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{m}_\varepsilon = M \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (42)$$

In order to prove that there is no loss of mass when passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we translate the reference system at a point around which the mass \tilde{m}_ε remains positive. By Proposition 3.4, u_ε is bounded from below, hence, there exists a point $y_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\tilde{u}_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) = \min_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(y).$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u}_\varepsilon(y) &:= \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon) - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) \\ \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) &:= \tilde{m}_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon)\end{aligned}$$

in this way we have $\bar{u}_\varepsilon(0) = 0 = \min_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_\varepsilon$. One can immediately verify that $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{u}_\varepsilon, \tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon)$ is a classical solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \bar{u}_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon|^\gamma + \tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon = V_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon) - K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) \\ -\Delta \bar{m}_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(\bar{m}_\varepsilon \nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon |\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon|^{\gamma-2}) = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}_\varepsilon = M \end{cases}. \quad (43)$$

We define also the rescaled energy

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(m, w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} + V_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon) m \, dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx \, dy.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \mathcal{E}(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon)$, hence if $(m_\varepsilon, w_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon, M}$ is a minimizer of \mathcal{E} , then $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon)$ is a minimizer of \mathcal{E}_ε on $\mathcal{K}_{1, M}$ (where $\bar{w}_\varepsilon = -\bar{m}_\varepsilon \nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon |\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon|^{\gamma-2}$). We will denote

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M) := \min_{(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{1, M}} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(m, w).$$

From (15) by rescaling, we get

$$-C_1 \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M) \leq -C_2 + K \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \quad (44)$$

where C_1, C_2, K are positive constants not depending on ε .

First of all, we prove the following a priori estimates.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\bar{u}_\varepsilon, \bar{m}_\varepsilon, \tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon)$ be a classical solution to (43). Then there exist C_1, C_2, C positive constants not depending on ε such that*

$$-C_1 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon \leq -C_2 \quad (45)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}_\varepsilon |\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon|^\gamma dx \leq C \quad (46)$$

$$\|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \quad (47)$$

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha+b)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} |y_\varepsilon|^b \leq C \quad (48)$$

$$0 \leq V_\varepsilon(y + y_\varepsilon) \leq C \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'(N-\alpha+b)}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} |y|^b \right) \quad (49)$$

$$|\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon(y)| \leq C(1 + |y|)^{\frac{b}{\gamma}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}_\varepsilon(y) \geq C|y|^{1+\frac{b}{\gamma}} - C^{-1}. \quad (50)$$

Moreover, for R sufficiently large we have

$$\int_{B_R(0)} \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) dy \geq C. \quad (51)$$

Proof. Estimate (45) and (46) follow, by rescaling, from (27) and (24) respectively. From Proposition 3.4 we have that for every ε , \bar{u}_ε are bounded from below and $\bar{m}_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can apply [3, Theorem 2.12] (actually a slightly modified version of it, in fact the same result holds in the case where $W_k(x) = s_k V(t_k x)$, assuming that the potential $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (4) and $(s_k)_k, (t_k)_k$ are bounded positive real sequences, and in particular we take $s_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}$ and $t_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}$) to obtain the uniform L^∞ -bound (47). Evaluating the first equation of (43) in $y = 0$, we get

$$\tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon \geq V_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) - K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon(0) \quad (52)$$

from estimates (45), (47) and (41) we get (48); using it and (41) again, we obtain (49).

Since (45), (47) and (49) hold and \bar{u}_ε is bounded from below, from [3, Proposition 2.9] we get estimates (50) (which are uniform with respect to ε since $\|K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq C_{N,\alpha} \|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_\infty + M \leq C$ uniformly in ε).

From (52), using the fact that $V_\varepsilon \geq 0$ and (45), we get that there exists a positive constant C not depending on ε such that

$$K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon(0) \geq C > 0,$$

hence

$$C \leq \int_{B_R} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|y|^{N-\alpha}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|y|^{N-\alpha}} dy \leq \int_{B_R} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|y|^{N-\alpha}} dy + \frac{M}{R^{N-\alpha}}.$$

This implies that for $R > 0$ sufficiently large

$$\int_{B_R} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|y|^{N-\alpha}} dy \geq C_1 > 0.$$

Moreover, if $r < R$ we have

$$C_1 \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_r} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|y|^{N-\alpha}} dy + \int_{B_r} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|y|^{N-\alpha}} dy \leq \frac{1}{r^{N-\alpha}} \int_{B_R \setminus B_r} \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) dy + \|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_\infty \int_{B_r} \frac{dy}{|y|^{N-\alpha}}.$$

Keeping in mind that $\int_{B_r} \frac{dy}{|y|^{N-\alpha}} = cr^\alpha$ and (47), we can infer that choosing r sufficiently small

$$\frac{1}{r^{N-\alpha}} \int_{B_R \setminus B_r} \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) dy \geq C_2 > 0$$

and consequently

$$\int_{B_R} \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) dy \geq C_3 > 0$$

□

4.2. Convergence of solutions. At this stage we are able to prove a convergence result, which however, do not ensure conservation of mass in the limit.

Proposition 4.2. *If $(\bar{u}_\varepsilon, \bar{m}_\varepsilon, \tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon)$ is a classical solution to (43), then as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ up to extracting a subsequence we have that*

$$\tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$$

and

$$\bar{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{u}, \quad \nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla \bar{u}, \quad \bar{m}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{m}, \quad \text{locally uniformly.}$$

The triple $(\bar{u}, \bar{m}, \bar{\lambda})$ is a classical solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \bar{u} + \frac{1}{\gamma} |\nabla \bar{u}|^\gamma + \bar{\lambda} = g(x) - K_\alpha * \bar{m}(x) \\ -\Delta \bar{m} - \operatorname{div}(\bar{m} \nabla \bar{u} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{\gamma-2}) = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m} dx = a \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

where g is a continuous function such that, up to subsequence, $V_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} g(x)$ locally uniformly and $a \in (0, M]$. Moreover, there exist C_1, C_2, c_1, c_2 positive constants such that

$$\bar{u}(y) \geq C_1 |y| - C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \bar{u}| \leq C_2 \quad (54)$$

and finally

$$\bar{m}(x) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 |x|}, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (55)$$

Proof. By means of the previous uniform estimates and the fact \bar{u}_ε is a classical solution to the HJB equation, denoting by $v_\varepsilon(x) = \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) - \bar{u}_\varepsilon(0)$, we get that for any compact set K in \mathbb{R}^N and for any $\theta \in (0, 1]$

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{C^{1,\theta}(K)} \leq C \quad \text{locally uniformly in } \varepsilon.$$

Using (47) and (50), by [3, Proposition 2.2] and Sobolev embedding, we get that for every $\mu \in (0, 1)$

$$\|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_{C^{0,\mu}(K)} \leq C \quad \text{locally uniformly in } \varepsilon.$$

Hence, up to subsequences, we have that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$v_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{u}, \quad \text{locally uniformly in } C^1 \text{ on compact sets}$$

and

$$\bar{m}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{m} \quad \text{locally uniformly on compact sets.}$$

Moreover, from (45) it follows that up to extracting a subsequence $\tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$. From (47) and the fact that $\|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = M$, by interpolation we get that for every $p \in (1, +\infty)$ it holds $\|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$ uniformly in ε . Using Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 we have that $\|K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_{C^{0,\alpha-\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$ uniformly in ε and up to extracting a subsequence

$$K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\alpha * \bar{m}, \quad \text{locally uniformly.}$$

Finally, from (51) it follows that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}(x) dx = a \in (0, M]$. We can conclude passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (43), this solution is classical by elliptic regularity.

In order to prove (54) we use [3, Proposition 2.9]. Notice that, if f is a non-negative Hölder continuous function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f^\beta dx < +\infty$ for a certain $\beta > 1$, then $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$ (see [10, Lemma

2.2] which is stated in the case $\beta = 1$ but can be easily generalised to $\beta > 1$). Since $K_\alpha * \bar{m} \in C^{0,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and it is non-negative, we get that

$$K_\alpha * \bar{m}(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty$$

and hence

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} (g(x) - K_\alpha * \bar{m}(x) - \bar{\lambda}) \geq -\bar{\lambda} > 0.$$

From [3, Proposition 2.5] *i.* and *ii.* we get (54).

Since we can choose $k > 0$ such that the function $\varphi(x) := e^{k\bar{u}(x)}$ is a Lyapunov function for the process, from [28, Proposition 2.6] we get that

$$e^{k\bar{u}} \in L^1(\bar{m})$$

and finally from [28, Theorem 6.1] it follows (55). \square

4.3. No loss of mass when passing to the limit. First, we prove that the energy functional $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(m, w)$ holds a short of sub-additive property. Then, we assume by contradiction to have loss of mass, namely that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m} dx = a \in (0, M)$, by means of a concentration-compactness argument we prove that this leads to an absurd. Hence \bar{m} has still L^1 -norm equal to M .

Lemma 4.3. *For all $a \in (0, M)$, there exists a positive constant C depending on a, M and the other constants of the problem (but not on ε) such that*

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M) < \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(a) + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M - a) - C. \quad (56)$$

Proof. Let us assume that $a \geq \frac{M}{2}$ and fix $c > 1$ and $B > 0$. If $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{1,B}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(cB) &\leq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(cm, cw) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{cm}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} + cV_\varepsilon(x + y_\varepsilon)m dx - \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \\ &= c\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(m, w) - \frac{c(c-1)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

If $(m, w) \in \mathcal{K}_{1,B}$ is a minimizer of \mathcal{E}_ε , we have

$$-C_2(B) + K_\varepsilon \frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'^{N+\alpha}} \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(B) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy$$

notice that the constant C_2 is the one that appears in (44) and depends on B and on the others variables of the problem. Taking ε sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m(x)m(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \geq \frac{C_2(B)}{2} > 0. \quad (58)$$

and using (58) in (57) we get

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(cB) < c\tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(B) - c(c-1) \frac{C_2(B)}{2}. \quad (59)$$

Taking $B = a$ and $c = M/a$ in (59) we get

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M) < \frac{M}{a} \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(a) - \frac{M}{a} \left(\frac{M}{a} - 1 \right) \frac{C_2(a)}{2} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(a) + \frac{M-a}{a} \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(a) - \frac{M}{a} \left(\frac{M}{a} - 1 \right) \frac{C_2(a)}{2}$$

if $a = M/2$ we have done, whereas if $a > M/2$ we take $B = M - a$ and $c = \frac{a}{M-a}$ in (59) and multiplying by $\frac{M-a}{a}$, we get

$$\frac{M-a}{a} \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(a) < \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M-a) - \left(\frac{a}{M-a} - 1 \right) \frac{C_2(M-a)}{2} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M-a).$$

From the previous we can conclude that

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M) < \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(a) + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\varepsilon(M-a) - \frac{M}{a} \left(\frac{M}{a} - 1 \right) \frac{C_2(a)}{2}.$$

In the case when $a < M/2$ we replace a with $M - a$. \square

From estimate (55), it follows that there exists a positive constant \bar{c} such that $\bar{m} \leq \bar{c}e^{-|x|}$. For $R > 0$ (which will be fixed later) let us define

$$\nu_R(x) := \begin{cases} \bar{c}e^{-R} & \text{if } |x| \leq R \\ \bar{c}e^{-|x|} & \text{if } |x| > R \end{cases}.$$

We have the following splitting of the energy \mathcal{E}_ε .

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon)$ be a minimizer of \mathcal{E}_ε , \bar{m} and \bar{w} obtained from Proposition 4.2 and $\bar{w}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{w} = -\bar{m}\nabla\bar{u}|\nabla\bar{u}|^{\gamma-2}$ locally uniformly. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}dx = a \in (0, M)$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon) \geq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) + \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R, \bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R) + o_\varepsilon(1) - CR^{b+N}e^{-R} \quad (60)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Following the arguments of the proof of [10, Theorem 5.6], we recall some facts that we will need. By definition, $\bar{m}_\varepsilon(x) \leq \nu_R(x)$ for $|x| > R$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nu_R(x)dx = \omega_N R^N \bar{c}e^{-R} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \bar{c}e^{-|x|} \leq Ce^{-R}R^N \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (61)$$

Since $\bar{m}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{m}$ and $\nabla\bar{w}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla\bar{w}$ locally uniformly as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, there exists ε_0 , which depends on R , such that $\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$

$$|\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m}| + \left| |\nabla\bar{w}_\varepsilon|^{\gamma-2}\nabla\bar{w}_\varepsilon - |\nabla\bar{w}|^{\gamma-2}\nabla\bar{w} \right| \leq \bar{c}e^{-R}, \quad \text{for } |x| \leq R. \quad (62)$$

Moreover, $\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$

$$\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R \geq \nu_R, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

and hence

$$|\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m}| \leq \bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R. \quad (63)$$

We estimate each term of the energy \mathcal{E}_ε separately. Concerning the kinetic term, notice that the function $(m, w) \mapsto \frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'}$ is convex and in particular

$$\partial_m \left(\frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} \right) = -\frac{1}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_w \left(\frac{m}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'} \right) = \frac{w}{m} \left| \frac{w}{m} \right|^{\gamma'-2}.$$

By convexity, we estimate separately the integral over B_R and the integral over $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}_\varepsilon}{\bar{m}_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} dx &\geq \int_{B_R} \frac{\bar{m}}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{m}} \right|^{\gamma'} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_R} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R}{\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R} \right|^{\gamma'} dx - CR^N e^{-R}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}_\varepsilon}{\bar{m}_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} dx &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \frac{\bar{m}}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{m}} \right|^{\gamma'} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R}{\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R} \right|^{\gamma'} dx - CR^{N+b} e^{-R}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

we also have (refer to estimate (5.44) in [10])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon(x+y_\varepsilon)\bar{m}_\varepsilon dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon(x+y_\varepsilon)\bar{m} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon(x+y_\varepsilon)(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R) dx - CR^{b+N} e^{-R}. \quad (66)$$

Regarding the Riesz term in the energy \mathcal{E}_ε , since by Proposition 4.2 $\bar{m}_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow \bar{m}(x)$ a.e. as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (it follows by interpolation using the uniform estimate (47)), applying Lemma 2.8 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(x)\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}(x)\bar{m}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\bar{m}_\varepsilon(x) - \bar{m}(x)| |\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) - \bar{m}(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}(x)\bar{m}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R)(x) (\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R)(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used (63). Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon) \bar{m}_\varepsilon \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (K_\alpha * \bar{m}) \bar{m} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (K_\alpha * (\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R)) (\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R) + o_\varepsilon(1). \quad (67)$$

Finally, putting together estimates (64), (65), (66) and (67), we obtain (60). \square

We are now in position to prove that there is no loss of mass passing to the limit.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon)$ be a minimizer of \mathcal{E}_ε , \bar{m} and \bar{w} obtained from Proposition 4.2. Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m} dx = M$$

and hence $\bar{m}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, for every $\eta > 0$, there exist $R, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$

$$\int_{B(0,R)} \bar{m}_\varepsilon(x) dx \geq M - \eta, \quad (68)$$

namely

$$\int_{B(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} y_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' - N + \alpha}} R)} m_\varepsilon(x) dx \geq M - \eta. \quad (69)$$

Proof. From (61) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R) dx = M - a + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nu_R dx \rightarrow M - a, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let us define

$$C_R := \frac{M - a}{M - a + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nu_R},$$

we observe that $0 < C_R < 1$ and $C_R \rightarrow 1$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$, moreover the couple $(C_R(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R), C_R(\bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R)) \in \mathcal{K}_{M-a}$ and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_R \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R, \bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R) &= \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon\left(C_R(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R), C_R(\bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R)\right) \\ &+ \frac{C_R^2 - C_R}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R)(x) (\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R)(y)}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $C_R^2 - C_R < 0$ and from (47) and the fact that $\|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = M$, by interpolation we get that $\|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$ uniformly in ε for every $p \in (1, +\infty)$. By (11) we get that there exists a constant C independent of ε such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R)(x) (\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R)(y)}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \right| &\leq C \|\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \\ &\leq C \left(\|\bar{m}_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\bar{m}\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + 2\|\nu_R\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \right)^2 \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_R \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R, \bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R) &\geq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon\left(C_R(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R), C_R(\bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R)\right) + C \frac{C_R^2 - C_R}{2} \\ &\geq \tilde{e}_\varepsilon(M - a) + C \frac{C_R^2 - C_R}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this in (60) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_\varepsilon(M) &\geq \tilde{e}_\varepsilon(a) + \tilde{e}_\varepsilon(M - a) + (1 - C_R) \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R, \bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R) \\ &\quad + o_\varepsilon(1) - CR^{b+N} e^{-R} + C(C_R^2 - C_R) \end{aligned}$$

by (44) we have $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon - \bar{m} + 2\nu_R, \bar{w}_\varepsilon - \bar{w} + 2\nabla\nu_R) \geq -K$, hence

$$\tilde{e}_\varepsilon(M) \geq \tilde{e}_\varepsilon(a) + \tilde{e}_\varepsilon(M - a) - (1 - C_R)K + o_\varepsilon(1) - CR^{b+N} e^{-R} + C(C_R^2 - C_R)$$

finally, from Lemma 4.3 we get

$$0 > -C > -(1 - C_R)K + o_\varepsilon(1) - CR^{b+N} e^{-R} + C(C_R^2 - C_R)$$

letting $R \rightarrow +\infty$ this yields a contradiction. We can conclude following the proof of [10, Corollary 5.7]. \square

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We are ready to prove that the triple $(\bar{u}_\varepsilon, \bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon)$ converges to $(\bar{u}, \bar{m}, \bar{\lambda})$ solution to the MFG system (1).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $(\bar{u}, \bar{m}, \bar{\lambda})$ be the triple obtained from Proposition 4.2 and $\bar{w} := -\bar{m}\nabla\bar{u}|\nabla\bar{u}|^{\gamma-2}$. We have that $(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) \in \mathcal{B}$, indeed from Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.5 we get that $(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) \in \mathcal{K}_{1,M}$, and using estimate (55) it follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}(1+|x|^b)dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c_1 e^{-c_2|x|}(1+|x|)^b dx < +\infty.$$

Since $\bar{m}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon), (\bar{m}, \bar{w}) \in K_{1,M}$ and $\bar{E}_\varepsilon, \bar{E} < +\infty$, using Corollary 2.4 we get that $(K_\alpha * \bar{m}_\varepsilon)\bar{m}_\varepsilon \rightarrow (K_\alpha * \bar{m})\bar{m}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, $\bar{w}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{w}$ locally uniformly and weakly in $L^{\frac{\gamma'\beta}{\gamma'-1+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It follows that the energy \mathcal{E}_0 is lower semi-continuous and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_0(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{m}} \right|^{\gamma'} dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}(x)\bar{m}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \\ &\leq \liminf_\varepsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}_\varepsilon}{\bar{m}_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(x)\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy \right) \leq \liminf_\varepsilon \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that $V \geq 0$. Moreover, if $(m, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, using (41), we have

$$0 \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(y+y_\varepsilon) V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) dy \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_V \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1+|y|)^b m(y) dy = 0$$

from which it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(m(\cdot+y_\varepsilon), w(\cdot+y_\varepsilon)) = \mathcal{E}_0(m, w).$$

Using the fact that $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon)$ is a minimizer for \mathcal{E}_ε and then (70), we finally get

$$\mathcal{E}_0(m, w) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(m(\cdot+y_\varepsilon), w(\cdot+y_\varepsilon)) \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon) \geq \mathcal{E}_0(\bar{m}, \bar{w}),$$

this proves that

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) = \min_{(m,w) \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{E}_0(m, w).$$

Since $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon)$ and (\bar{m}, \bar{w}) are minimizers of \mathcal{E}_ε and \mathcal{E}_0 respectively, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}(y+y_\varepsilon)}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}(y+y_\varepsilon)}{\bar{m}(y+y_\varepsilon)} \right|^{\gamma'} + V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) \bar{m}(y+y_\varepsilon) - \bar{m}(y+y_\varepsilon) K_\alpha * \bar{m}(y+y_\varepsilon) dy \\ &= \mathcal{E}_0(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) \bar{m}(y+y_\varepsilon) dy \leq \mathcal{E}_0(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon) + C \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used also (41) and the fact that $(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) \in \mathcal{B}$. It follow immediately that

$$\int_{B(0,R)} \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) dy \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}.$$

From (41) and (68) we get that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} |y_\varepsilon| \leq C \quad (71)$$

and hence using (41) again we obtain that

$$0 \leq V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) \leq C_V \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} |y+y_\varepsilon| \right)^b \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{(N-\alpha)\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} |y| \right)^b$$

which allows us to conclude that $V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ locally uniformly as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This prove that the function g defined in Theorem 4.2 is actually zero. \square

5. CONCENTRATION OF THE MASS

The following result allows us to localize the points where the mass concentrates.

Proposition 5.1. *As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the sequence $\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} y_\varepsilon$ converges, up to subsequences, to a point $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $V(\bar{x}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (to be fixed later), by minimality of $(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon)$ and $(\bar{m}(\cdot+z), \bar{w}(\cdot+z))$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}_\varepsilon, \bar{w}_\varepsilon) &\leq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(\bar{m}(\cdot+z), \bar{w}(\cdot+z)) = \mathcal{E}_0(\bar{m}, \bar{w}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}(y+z) V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon}{\gamma'} \left| \frac{\bar{w}_\varepsilon}{\bar{m}_\varepsilon} \right|^{\gamma'} dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\bar{m}_\varepsilon(x) \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}(y+z) V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) dy \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}(y+z) V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}(y) V_\varepsilon(y+y_\varepsilon-z) dy$$

and using (40) and the fact that $\bar{m}_\varepsilon(y) = \varepsilon^{\frac{N\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} m(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} y + \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} y_\varepsilon)$ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon(y) V(y) dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}(y) V(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}}(y+y_\varepsilon-z)) dy.$$

Let us denote with \bar{z} a point in \mathbb{R}^N such that $V(\bar{z}) = 0$, and fix $z = y_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{-\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} \bar{z}$, it holds

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{m}(y) V(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} y + \bar{z}) dy \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-c_2|y|} V(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} y + \bar{z}) dy = 0. \quad (72)$$

Moreover, by (71), we get that (up to subsequences)

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} y_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

and by (69)

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m_\varepsilon(y) V(y) dy \geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} y_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} R)} m_\varepsilon(y) V(y) dy \geq (M-\eta) V(\bar{y}). \quad (73)$$

From (72) and (73) we can obtain that $V(\bar{y}) = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 4.5. \square

Remark 2. Arguing as in [10] (refer to Proposition 5.13 and its proof) one can prove that if V has a finite number of minima $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and can be written as

$$V(x) = h(x) \prod_{i=1}^n |x - x_i|^{b_i}$$

for a certain function $C_V^{-1} \leq h(x) \leq C_V$ on \mathbb{R}^N and $b_i > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = b$, then the sequence $\varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'-N+\alpha}} y_\varepsilon$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, converges (up to subsequences) to the more stable minimum of V (namely the point x_j such that $b_j = \max_{i=1..n} b_i$).

REFERENCES

- [1] Bardi M., Priuli F.S.; *Linear-quadratic N -person and mean-field games with ergodic cost*, SIAM J. Control Optim. 52:5 (2014), 3022–3052.
- [2] Barles G., Meireles J.; *On unbounded solutions of ergodic problems in \mathbb{R}^m for viscous Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 41:12, 1985–2003 (2016). doi:10.1080/03605302.2016.1244208
- [3] Bernardini C., Cesaroni A.; *Ergodic Mean-Field Games with aggregation of Choquard-type*, (2022) preprint ArXiv:2208.08177
- [4] Borwein J.M., Vanderwerff J.D.; *Convex functions: constructions, characterizations and counterexamples*, volume 109, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
- [5] Brezis H., Lieb E.; *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 88(3):486–490, 1983. doi:10.2307/2044999

- [6] Briani A., Cardaliaguet P.; *Stable solutions in potential mean field game systems*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. (2018) 25:1. doi:[10.1007/s00030-017-0493-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00030-017-0493-3)
- [7] Cardaliaguet P., Graber P.J.; *Mean field games systems of first order*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., **21**:3 (2015) 690–722. doi:[10.1051/cocv/2014044](https://doi.org/10.1051/cocv/2014044)
- [8] Cardaliaguet P., Graber P.J., Porretta A., Tonon D.; *Second order mean field games with degenerate diffusion and local coupling*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. **22** (2015), 1287–1317. doi:[10.1007/s00030-015-0323-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00030-015-0323-4)
- [9] Cardaliaguet P., Porretta A.; *An introduction to mean field game theory*, Mean field games, 1–158, Lecture Notes in Math., 2281, Fond. CIME/CIME Found. Subser., Springer, Cham, (2020)
- [10] Cesaroni A., Cirant M.; *Concentration of ground states in stationary Mean-Field Games systems*, Anal. PDE **12** (2019), no. 3, 737–787. doi:[10.2140/apde.2019.12.737](https://doi.org/10.2140/apde.2019.12.737)
- [11] Cesaroni A., Cirant M.; *Introduction to variational methods for viscous ergodic mean-field games with local coupling*, Contemporary research in elliptic PDEs and related topics, 221–246, Springer INdAM Ser., 33, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [12] Cirant M.; *On the solvability of some ergodic control problems in \mathbb{R}^d* , SIAM J. Control Optim., **52**:6, (2014), 4001–4026. doi:[10.1137/140953903](https://doi.org/10.1137/140953903)
- [13] Cirant M.; *Stationary focusing Mean Field Games*, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations, (2016) **41**:8, 1324–1346. doi:[10.1080/03605302.2016.1192647](https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2016.1192647)
- [14] Cirant M.; *On the existence of oscillating solutions in non-monotone mean-field games*; J. Differential Equations 266 (2019), no. 12, 8067–8093.
- [15] Ekeland I., Temam R.; *Convex analysis and variational problems*, vol. 28, SIAM (1976).
- [16] Gomes D.A., Nurbekyan L., Prazeres M.; *One-dimensional stationary mean-field games with local coupling*, Dyn. Games Appl. 8 (2018), no. 2, 315–351.
- [17] Gomes D.A., Pimentel E.; *Local regularity for mean-field games in the whole space*, Minimax Theory Appl. 1:1 (2016), 65–82.
- [18] Hafsa O.A., Mandallena J.-P.; *Interchange of infimum and integral*, Calc. Var. **18**, 433–449 (2003). doi:[10.1007/s00526-003-0211-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-003-0211-3)
- [19] Ichihara N.; *The generalized principal eigenvalue for Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations of ergodic type*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 32:3 (2015), 623–650.
- [20] Lasry J.-M., Lions P.-L.; *Jeux à champ moyen. I. Le cas stationnaire*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **343**:9 (2006) 619–625. doi:[10.1016/j.crma.2006.09.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2006.09.019)
- [21] Lasry J.-M., Lions P.-L.; *Mean field games*, Jpn. J. Math., **2**:1 (2007) 229–260. doi:[10.1007/s11537-007-0657-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11537-007-0657-8)
- [22] Lieb E.H.; *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard’s nonlinear equation*, Studies in Appl. Math. 57 (1976/77), no. 2, 93–105.
- [23] Lieb E.H., Loss M.; *Analysis*. Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. xxii+346 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-2783-9 00A05 (26-01 28-01 31-01 35J10 42-01)
- [24] Lions P.L.; *The Choquard equation and related questions*, Nonlinear Anal. 4 (1980), no. 6, 1063–1072.
- [25] Lions P.L.; *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 1(2):109–145, 1984.
- [26] Moroz V., Van Schaftingen J.; *A guide to the Choquard equation*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **19** (2019), 773–813. doi:[10.1007/s11784-016-0373-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-016-0373-1)
- [27] Moroz V., Van Schaftingen J.; *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics*, J. Funct. Analysis, **265**:2 (2013), 153–184. doi:[10.1016/j.jfa.2013.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2013.04.007)
- [28] Metafune G., Pallara D., Rhandi A.; *Global properties of invariant measures*. J. Funct. Anal., 223(2):396–424, 2005. doi:[10.1016/j.jfa.2005.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2005.02.001)
- [29] Wheeden, R.L., Zygmund A.; *Measure and integral. An introduction to real analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 43. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 1977. x+274 pp. ISBN: 0-8247-6499-4.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "TULLIO LEVI CIVITA", UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 121, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY

Email address: chiara.bernardini@math.unipd.it