

# HOMOTOPICAL MODELS FOR METRIC SPACES AND COMPLETENESS

ISAIAH DAILEY, CLARA HUGGINS, SEMIR MUJEVIC, CHLOE SHUPE

**ABSTRACT.** We develop model structures in which homotopy theory can be used on Lawvere metric spaces, with a focus on extended, Cauchy complete Lawvere, and Cauchy complete extended metric spaces. The motivating example for one of these model structures is the proof of the *Karoubian model structure* on  $\mathbf{Cat}$  which has been described in the literature, although no formal proof of its existence was given. We then construct model structures on the categories  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}$ , of Lawvere metric spaces, and  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$ , of symmetric Lawvere metric spaces. The fibrant-cofibrant objects in these three model structures are the extended metric spaces, the Cauchy complete Lawvere metric spaces, and the Cauchy complete extended metric spaces, respectively. In particular, we show that the two of these model structures which model extended metric spaces are suitably “unique” while the other bears a striking resemblance to the Karoubian model structure on  $\mathbf{Cat}$ .

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	3
1. Preliminaries	3
2. The Karoubian Model Structure on $\mathbf{Cat}$	9
3. The Metric Model Structure on $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}$	11
4. The Cauchy Model Structure on $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$	17
5. The Cauchy-Metric Model Structure on $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$	21
Appendix A. Analysis in $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}$	24
References	32

## INTRODUCTION

An unfortunate feature of most conventional categories of metric spaces is that they do not have particularly nice categorical properties. For instance, not all limits and colimits exist in categories of metric spaces. One way to alleviate these difficulties is to pass to a less restrictive notion of metric spaces. In his 1973 paper [6], Lawvere noted that the framework of enriched category theory provides a particularly convenient way to do just this. If one considers the interval  $[0, \infty]$  as a sub-poset of the extended reals, and equips the opposite category with the (closed) monoidal structure given by addition, one obtains a category — herein denoted  $\mathbb{R}_+$  — such that  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched categories are relaxed analogues of extended metric spaces. The resulting axioms require that the “distance” from any point to itself is 0, and that the “metric” satisfy the triangle inequality, but nothing more.

Within this analogy, the enriched functors between  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched categories correspond to Lipschitz maps of coefficient 1, also called *short maps*. The corresponding category  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched categories is, perhaps unsurprisingly, substantially better-suited to the application of categorical techniques than is the corresponding subcategory of metric spaces. Categories enriched over  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , which are also sometimes known as *Lawvere metric spaces*, are of interest in applied category theory — see, e.g. [3, pg. 60] or [2, §2.3.3]. However, the degree to which they offer a chance to apply categorical techniques to metric analysis has been little explored outside of Lawvere’s original work [6] and the subsequent paper [1].

Both of these works concern themselves with the subject of *Cauchy completions* of categories. Per [1, §4], given a complete and cocomplete symmetric monoidal closed category  $\mathcal{V}$ , the *Cauchy completion* of a  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category  $\mathcal{C}$  is the full  $\mathcal{V}$ -subcategory  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ -profunctors from the monoidal unit  $I$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  on those profunctors which have *duals* as described in *op. cit.* There are a wide variety of equivalent characterizations

of  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ , but for our purposes the key fact about  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  lives in the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched setting: if we begin with a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  which corresponds to a genuine *metric space*, then the Cauchy completion of  $\mathbb{C}$  as an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category coincides with the completion of  $\mathbb{C}$  as a metric space.

The Cauchy completion is quite well-behaved, categorically, mainly stemming from its relation to the enriched Yoneda embedding. Of particular interest, any functor  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories induces a functor  $\bar{F} : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  between Cauchy completions — effectively by left Kan extension. This construction is pseudo-functorial, and looks suspiciously like a concept from abstract homotopy theory: that of a *functorial fibrant replacement*.

This latter notion is a key part of the theory of *model categories*, introduced by Quillen in [8, Ch. 1]. In practice, model structures are ways to import the constructions of classical homotopy theory into settings other than topological spaces. A category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with the structure of a model category — three classes of morphisms subject to five axioms — admits well-behaved notions of homotopies, a homotopy category, fibrant and cofibrant objects, etc. Model categories are also used to define additional notions like homotopy (co)limits, which are stable under the corresponding notion of ‘weak equivalence’.

**The model structures.** Our aim in this paper is to develop model categories which give insight into the theory of various kinds of metric spaces, specifically Cauchy complete and extended metric spaces. To this end, we construct model structures on two closely related categories:  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat and  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup>. The former is simply the category of (small)  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched categories; the latter is the full subcategory on those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories which are *symmetric*, meaning that the hom-object (an element of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ) from an object  $x$  to an object  $y$  is the same as the hom-object from  $y$  to  $x$ . The subcategory  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup> is closed under the formation of small limits and colimits, and so no great difficulty occurs in passing arguments between these two settings.

Our first main result is to establish that there is a *unique* model structure on each of these categories whose fibrant and cofibrant objects satisfy the identity of indiscernibles — the property that two objects distance zero apart are necessarily identical. In the case of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup>, this means that the homotopy theory modeled by the model structure is, in fact, the category of extended metric spaces with short maps. More precisely, we prove

**Theorem.** *There is a unique model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat (or  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup>) such that*

- (1) *The fibrant-cofibrant objects are precisely those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories which satisfy the identity of indiscernibles.*
- (2) *The weak equivalences are the fully-faithful and essential surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors, that is, the isometries.*

This appears in the text as Theorems 3.14 and 3.17.

The other model structures appearing in this paper deal with Cauchy completeness in various ways. Because of the technical difficulties introduced by considering Cauchy sequences in the non-symmetric setting, we restrict our constructions to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup>. We first prove

**Theorem.** *There is a model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup> such that*

- (1) *The fibrant-cofibrant objects are the Cauchy-complete symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories.*
- (2) *The weak equivalences are the fully-faithful and dense  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors.*

Combining the arguments for these two theorems then yields our final result.

**Theorem.** *There is a model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup> such that*

- (1) *The fibrant-cofibrant objects are precisely the Cauchy-complete extended metric spaces.*
- (2) *The weak equivalences are the fully faithful and dense  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors.*

These two theorems appear in the text, respectively, as Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.1. In each of our proofs, we provide a very explicit construction of the model structure and verification of the axioms, with an eye towards developing the detail which could become useful in later applications.

Such applications could include localizing these model structures to study the homotopy theory of metric spaces, or using the model structures developed here to explore formal properties of known constructions. While such explorations are of significant interest, we defer them here and focus solely on the construction of the model structures described above. Other possible extensions of the work presented here include generalizations to more general  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched settings.

**Structure of the paper.** We begin by providing some background and preliminaries in section 1, including basics on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories, model structures, and Cauchy completions of categories. We then warm up by proving the existence of the Karoubian model structure on the category **Cat** of small categories in section 2. The existence of this model structure was known, but to the best of our knowledge no proof for it can be found in the literature.

We then turn our attention to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories, proving the three theorems stated above. Each of the next three sections is devoted to the construction of a model structure, and verification of the concomitant axioms: Section 3 for the structure which models extended metric spaces, section 4 for the structure which models Cauchy-complete symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories, and section 5 for the structure which models Cauchy-complete extended metric spaces. A number of analytically-flavored results, mostly variants of well-known statements about metric spaces, are collected in an appendix and referred to throughout the paper.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was written during the 2022 UVA Topology REU with the intention of sharing our research with the other students in the REU. Thank you to the organizers who made this program possible and special thanks to our wonderful mentor Walker Stern, and graduate students Tanner Carawan and Miika Tuominen who offered their advice and insight throughout the project. Additional thanks to the NSF Research Training Grant (RTG) in Geometry and Topology (DMS-1839968) which partly supported the REU.

#### 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we define the first class of weak equivalences we will consider, which we term *pastoral equivalence* as well as a characterization of the definition in terms of lifting properties of retracts. The characterization in terms of lifting properties of retracts, which we call *surjectivity up to retracts*, is similar in concept and structure to the lifting properties of isomorphisms in the canonical model structure on **Cat**, as exposed in [9]. In the next section, pastoral equivalences will be used to define and prove the existence of a model structure on **Cat**, which, following [7], we refer to as the Karoubian model structure. Our first main theorem is the existence of the Karoubian model structure, which is stated, but not proven, in [7].

We further discuss the theory of Cauchy completion in enriched category theory which was first introduced in [6], and later expanded in [1]. This article will not consider these concepts in full generality, focusing instead on categories enriched over a specific poset  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . These provide the analogues of metric spaces mentioned in the introduction. This section, together with **Appendix A** will recapitulate and further develop the framework of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched categories of [6, 1]. The latter is devoted to the proofs of generalizations of properties of limits in metric spaces to the setting of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories. While we will not consider the general enriched setting, we do expect that some of the results in this and following sections will generalize beyond  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories.

First we give some important definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** For a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $\mathbf{Set}_e := \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set})$  denote the *presheaf category* i.e., the category of functors from  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$  to **Set**.

**Definition 1.2.** We say that  $F$  is a *pastoral equivalence* if the postcomposition functor  $F^* : \mathbf{Set}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}_e$  is an equivalence of categories.

Now, we prove an alternate characterization of pastoral equivalences. We first introduce the categories **Idem** and **Split** to simplify work with split idempotents. Further, we define Cauchy complete categories as categories where all idempotents split.

**Definition 1.3.** Define the category **Idem** to have a single object 0 and a single non-identity morphism  $e : 0 \rightarrow 0$  with  $e \circ e = e$ . Define the category **Split** to be the category with two objects 0 and 1 freely generated by two arrows  $p : 0 \rightarrow 1$  and  $q : 1 \rightarrow 0$  such that  $p \circ q = \text{id}_1$ . Let  $\Sigma : \mathbf{Idem} \rightarrow \mathbf{Split}$  be the “inclusion functor” which takes  $e$  to  $q \circ p$ .  $\Sigma$  is fully-faithful.

**Remark 1.4.** Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , a functor  $F : \mathbf{Idem} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is precisely an idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$ . A functor  $F : \mathbf{Split} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a split idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The following definition is similar in form to the definition of surjective up to isomorphisms from the canonical model structure on **Cat** [9] and behaves in many of the same ways.

**Definition 1.5.** Given a functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , we say that  $F$  is *surjective up to retracts* if every object in  $\mathcal{D}$  is a retract of an object in the image of  $F$ .

With the concept of surjective up to retracts defined, we proceed to define Cauchy completions and their interactions with idempotents following [1] and [6].

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category. Then we define its *Cauchy completion*, denoted by  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ , to be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathcal{C}}$  on the objects which are retracts of objects in the image of the Yoneda Embedding  $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** *Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , every idempotent splits in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . In other words, every functor  $\mathbf{Idem} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$  factors through a functor  $\mathbf{Split} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$  via  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* This is part 2 of [1, Theorem 1]. □

**Remark 1.8.** It follows immediately from construction that the Yoneda embedding determines a fully-faithful inclusion functor  $\iota_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}$  which is surjective up to retracts.

Having defined Cauchy complete categories, we now consider how to extend a functor between two categories to a functor between their Cauchy completions.

**Proposition 1.9.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. There exists a functor  $\overline{F} : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}$  such that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow \iota_{\mathcal{C}} & & \downarrow \iota_{\mathcal{D}} \\ \overline{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\overline{F}} & \overline{\mathcal{D}} \end{array}$$

Moreover, the functor  $\overline{F}$  is unique up to unique natural isomorphism.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the characterization of  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  as a completion of  $\mathcal{C}$  under absolute colimits. See [1], the discussion before Proposition 3. □

We now show the relationship between surjectivity up to retracts and the Cauchy completion of categories.

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor between small categories, and  $\overline{F} : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}$  the induced functor on Cauchy completions of Proposition 1.9. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The functor  $F$  is fully-faithful and surjective up to retracts.*
- (2) *The induced functor  $\overline{F} : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}$  is an equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* We first show that (2) implies (1). As a composition of functors which are fully-faithful and surjective up to retracts,  $\overline{F} \circ \iota_{\mathcal{C}} = \iota_{\mathcal{D}} \circ F$  is likewise fully-faithful and surjective up to retracts. Since  $\iota_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\iota_{\mathcal{D}} \circ F$  are fully-faithful,  $F$  must be as well. It remains to show  $F$  is surjective up to retracts. Let  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . We know  $\iota_{\mathcal{D}} \circ F$  is surjective up to retracts, so that  $\iota_{\mathcal{D}}(d)$  is a retract of some object  $\iota_{\mathcal{D}}(F(c))$  in the image of  $\iota_{\mathcal{D}} \circ F$ . Fully-faithful functors reflect retracts in their image, so that  $d$  is necessarily a retract of  $F(c)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

To show that (1) implies (2), first suppose that  $F$  is fully faithful and essentially surjective up to retracts. Let  $d \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ . Since  $\iota_{\mathcal{D}} \circ F$  is essentially surjective up to retracts and fully faithful, there is an idempotent  $e_d : c_d \rightarrow c_d$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\iota_{\mathcal{D}}(F(e_d))$  splits through the object  $d$ . On the other hand, we can choose a splitting of  $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}(e_d)$  through an object  $b_d \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ , thus, the idempotent  $\overline{F}(\iota_{\mathcal{C}}(e_d)) = \iota_{\mathcal{D}}(F(e_d))$  splits through both  $d$  and  $\overline{F}(b_d)$ . Thus  $\overline{F}(b_d) \cong d$ , and  $\overline{F}$  is essentially surjective.

Moreover, we know from the defining diagram of  $\overline{F}$  that  $\overline{F}$  induces a bijection on homsets between objects in the image of  $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$ . For any other object  $c \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ , we can choose a retraction

$$c \xrightarrow{i_c} b_c \xrightarrow{r_c} d$$

where  $b_c$  is in the image of  $\iota_{\mathcal{C}}$ . We then obtain a retract diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}(c, d) & \xrightarrow{i_d \circ (-) \circ r_c} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}(b_c, b_d) & \xrightarrow{r_d \circ (-) \circ i_d} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}(c, d) \\ \overline{F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{F} & & \downarrow \overline{F} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(\overline{F}(c), \overline{F}(d)) & \xrightarrow{\overline{F}(i_d) \circ (-) \circ \overline{F}(r_c)} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(\overline{F}(b_c), \overline{F}(b_d)) & \xrightarrow{\overline{F}(r_d) \circ (-) \circ \overline{F}(i_d)} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(\overline{F}(c), \overline{F}(d)) \end{array}$$

of maps of sets. Since bijections are stable under retract, it follows that  $\overline{F}$  induces a bijection on morphism from  $c$  to  $d$ , i.e.,  $\overline{F}$  is fully faithful.  $\square$

We now can reformulate the definition of pastoral equivalences in more easily verifiable conditions.

**Proposition 1.11.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor between small categories.  $F$  is a pastoral equivalence if and only if  $F$  is fully-faithful and surjective up to retracts.*

*Proof.* By [Proposition 1.10](#), it suffices to show that  $F$  is a pastoral equivalence if and only if the induced functor  $\overline{F} : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}$  is an equivalence of categories. However, this follows from the defining commutative diagram for  $\overline{F}$  of [Proposition 1.9](#) together with [\[1, Thm 1, \(4\)\]](#).  $\square$

**Definition 1.12.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category which admits all small limits and colimits. A *model structure*  $(\mathcal{W}, \mathrm{Cof}, \mathrm{Fib})$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of three classes of morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

- A class  $\mathcal{W}$  called *weak equivalences*.
- A class  $\mathrm{Cof}$  called *cofibrations*.
- A class  $\mathrm{Fib}$  called *fibrations*.

Note that morphisms in  $\mathrm{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W}$  are called *trivial fibrations* and morphisms in  $\mathrm{Cof} \cap \mathcal{W}$  *trivial cofibrations*. These three classes are required to satisfy the following conditions

- (M1) The class  $\mathcal{W}$  of weak equivalences contains every isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  and satisfies the 2-out-of-3 rule.
- (M2) Each of the classes  $\mathrm{Cof}$ ,  $\mathrm{Fib}$ , and  $\mathcal{W}$  is closed under retracts.
- (M3) Given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \longrightarrow & x \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \pi \\ d & \longrightarrow & y \end{array}$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a morphism  $\ell : d \rightarrow x$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \longrightarrow & x \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow \ell & \downarrow \pi \\ d & \longrightarrow & y \end{array}$$

commute when either of the following conditions are satisfied

- $i$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is a trivial fibration
- $i$  is a trivial cofibration and  $\pi$  is a fibration

- (M4) Any morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  can be factored as

$$x \xrightarrow{i} y \xrightarrow{\pi} z$$

where  $i$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is a trivial fibration.

- (M5) Any morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  can be factored as

$$x \xrightarrow{i} y \xrightarrow{\pi} z$$

where  $i$  is a trivial cofibration and  $\pi$  is a fibration

Now we proceed to our discussion of Cauchy Completion in enriched category theory which is used extensively in [section 3](#), [section 4](#), and [section 5](#).

**Definition 1.13.** The category  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is the opposite category associated to the partially ordered set  $[0, \infty]$ . That is, there is a unique morphism  $a \rightarrow b$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  if and only if  $a \geq b$ .

There is a product operation on this category: a functor

$$\begin{aligned} (-) + (-) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \\ (a, b) &\longmapsto a + b, \end{aligned}$$

where we adopt the convention that  $a + \infty = \infty + a = \infty$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

This product has a neutral element: the object 0 in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . This is also the terminal object in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover, if we adopt the convention that  $\infty - \infty = 0$ ,  $a - \infty = -\infty$ , and  $\infty - a = \infty$  for all  $a < \infty$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , then we can define a functor

$$\begin{aligned} [-, -] : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \\ (a, b) &\longmapsto \max(b - a, 0), \end{aligned}$$

so that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(a + b, c) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(a, [b, c]).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is not closed under subtraction with the conventions given above, nor does addition associate with subtraction, as exemplified by [Lemma A.1](#). As justification for this convention, note that  $[-, -]$  gives an internal hom with respect to the addition functor, giving  $\mathbb{R}_+$  the structure of a *strict* symmetric monoidal closed category.

**Definition 1.14.** We call a category  $\mathbb{C}$  enriched over the monoidal category  $(\mathbb{R}_+, +, 0)$  a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched category (or an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category, for short). We call an enriched functor of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched categories an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor.

**Remark 1.15.** While we will not make heavy use of the theory of enriched categories in this paper, the interested reader can find a quite accessible treatment in [\[5\]](#).

**Remark 1.16.** It follows from the general theory of enriched categories (or from a not-too-difficult direct construction) that  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat has all small limits and colimits. It is not hard to show that  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup> is closed under limits and colimits, and so is itself complete and cocomplete. Alternatively, in [\[4, §1\]](#), Jardine explicitly constructs colimits in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup>, though he there calls symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories *ep-metric spaces*.

Lawvere's insight was that the definition of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched categories can be reformulated to be viewed as a weakening of the definition of metric spaces.

**Definition 1.17.** A *Lawvere metric space*  $(X, d)$  consists of a set  $X$ , together with a function

$$d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- For any  $x \in X$ ,  $d(x, x) = 0$ .
- (Triangle inequality) For any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq d(x, z).$$

**Remark 1.18.** An  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched category is precisely the same thing as a Lawvere metric space. This is a weaker definition than that of a metric space: we allow for infinite distances, we do not require that  $d(x, y) = 0$  implies  $x = y$ , and do not require that  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ .

Given an  $\mathbb{R}_+$  category  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $c, c' \in \mathbb{C}$ , we say that  $c$  and  $c'$  are *isomorphic* and write  $c \cong c'$  if

$$\mathbb{C}(c, c') = 0 = \mathbb{C}(c', c).$$

**Definition 1.19.** We say an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfies the *identity of indiscernables* if, for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$x \cong y \iff x = y,$$

In a similar vein, we say  $\mathbb{C}$  is *symmetric* if, for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\mathbb{C}(x, y) = \mathbb{C}(y, x).$$

An  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category which satisfies the identity of indiscernables is called a *gaunt*  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category.

**Definition 1.20.** Given an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can form a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$  with the same objects, and hom-objects given by

$$\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}(x, y) := \mathbb{C}(y, x).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{C}$  is symmetric.

Expressed in the language of Lawvere metric spaces, a  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functor is precisely the data of a *short map*  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, \delta)$ , that is, a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$

$$d(x, y) \geq \delta(f(x), f(y)).$$

**Remark 1.21.** Given two  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{D}$ , a function  $f : \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathbb{D})$  *uniquely* determines an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  if and only if  $f$  is a short map between the underlying Lawvere metric spaces.

By the above remark, when defining  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors, we will oftentimes simply define the function on objects and show it is a short map.

**Definition 1.22.** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor.

- (1) We say  $f$  is *fully-faithful* if  $f_{x,y}$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (2) We say  $f$  is *essentially surjective* if for all  $d \in \mathbb{D}$ , there exists  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(c)$  is isomorphic to  $d$ .
- (3) We say that  $f$  is an *equivalence* of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories if it is both fully-faithful and essentially surjective.

Note that in the language of Lawvere metric spaces, a fully-faithful  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor is an *isometry*. Furthermore, if  $f$  is an essentially surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor whose codomain satisfies the identity of indiscernibles, then  $f$  is strictly surjective.

We now have the necessary ingredients required to define the “category of (small)  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories.”

**Definition 1.23.** Let  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}$  denote the category of (small)  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories, whose morphisms are  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors. We further define  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{gaunt}}$  to be the full subcategories of  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}$  on the symmetric and gaunt  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories, respectively.

**Definition 1.24.** We can define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category whose objects are  $[0, \infty]$  and the hom-object from  $a$  to  $b$  is given by  $[a, b] := \max(b - a, 0)$ . By abuse of notation, we also use  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to denote this  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category.

From this point onwards, we use  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to refer the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched category as defined above, not the poset 1-category, unless stated otherwise.

We now look to define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched definition of the Yoneda embedding. First, we need to define some notion of a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched functor category.

**Definition 1.25.** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  be two (small)  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched categories. Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Fun}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D})$ , or just  $\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{C}}$ , whose objects are the set of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ , and hom-objects are given by:

$$\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{C}}(f, g) := \sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{D}(f(c), g(c)).$$

It is straightforward to verify that the construction above indeed defines a valid  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category. Given a small  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ , we denote the category  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Fun}(\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbb{R}_+)$  of presheaves on  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $(\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

We can now define the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched Yoneda embedding.

**Definition 1.26.** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a small  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category. Then define the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched Yoneda embedding.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}} \\ c &\longmapsto \mathbb{C}(-, c). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 1.27.** *The  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched Yoneda embedding is fully-faithful (an isometry).*

*Proof.* This is [Proposition A.2](#) in the appendix. □

In §1, we developed the notion of Cauchy completion of 1-categories by means of the Yoneda embedding. In particular, we defined the Cauchy completion of a (small) 1-category  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathcal{C}}$  on those presheaves which are retracts of objects in the image of the Yoneda embedding  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

We now look to define a notion of the Cauchy completion of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories in a similar manner. As it will turn out, for  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories  $\mathbb{C}$  whose underlying Lawvere metric spaces happen to be actual metric spaces, the Cauchy completion  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  will be isometrically isomorphic to the standard notion of the Cauchy completion of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Before we may do this, we must develop a large amount of the theory of analysis in Lawvere metric spaces.

**Definition 1.28.** Given a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  and a sequence  $\overline{x} = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we say  $\overline{x}$  is a *Cauchy sequence* if for all real numbers  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n, m \geq N \implies \max(\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m), \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n)) < \varepsilon.$$

**Definition 1.29.** Given an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ , a sequence  $\overline{x} = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and some object  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , we say that  $c$  is a *limit* of  $\overline{x}$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n \geq N \implies \max(\mathbb{C}(x_n, c), \mathbb{C}(c, x_n)) < \varepsilon.$$

If  $\overline{x}$  has a limit, then we say that the sequence  $\overline{x}$  *converges*. If a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a **unique** limit  $c$ , we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = c.$$

If we adopt the convention that  $|\infty| = |-\infty| = \infty$ , then it turns out that

$$\max(\mathbb{R}_+(x, y), \mathbb{R}_+(y, x)) = \max(\max(y - x, 0), \max(x - y, 0)) = |x - y| = |y - x|.$$

In this way, it can be seen that for sequences of (non-infinite) real numbers that the usual notion of a limit corresponds with our definition of limit given above. For this reason, when considering limits of real numbers, we may replace the unwieldy  $\max(\mathbb{R}_+(x, y), \mathbb{R}_+(y, x))$  as given by [Definition 1.29](#) with  $|x - y|$ .

Yet, there still are some distinctions, namely, note that by our conventions for addition and subtraction, any sequence of real numbers which grows arbitrarily does *not* converge to infinity, in fact, such a sequence does not converge at all. Instead, the only sequences which converge to infinity under our definition are those sequences which are eventually constant on  $\infty$ . This distinction is important and somewhat counterintuitive.

We give some important definitions for use later:

**Definition 1.30.** Given an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ , we say that two sequences  $\overline{x} = \{x_n\}$  and  $\overline{y} = \{y_n\}$  are *equivalent*, and write  $\overline{x} \sim \overline{y}$ , if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max(\mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n), \mathbb{C}(y_n, x_n)) = 0.$$

More explicitly,  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are equivalent if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N$ , then

$$\max(\mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n), \mathbb{C}(y_n, x_n)) < \varepsilon.$$

Again, in the case that  $\mathbb{C}$  is a metric space, the above definitions correspond exactly to the usual meaning of subsequences and equivalence of sequences.

From this point onwards, we focus primarily on symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories.

**Definition 1.31.** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category, and let  $\overline{x} = \{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We fix notation and define a presheaf

$$\ell_{\overline{x}} : \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

by

$$\ell_{\overline{x}}(z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(z, x_n).$$

The existence of the above limit is guaranteed by [Lemma A.4](#) from the appendix. The proof that  $\ell_{\overline{x}}$  is indeed a short map is given in [Proposition A.15](#).

In [1, §4] in which the Cauchy completion of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  enriched by some complete, cocomplete symmetric monoidal closed category  $\mathcal{V}$  is discussed, it is done so via the language of a certain type of functor called a *profunctor* from the monoidal unit to  $\mathcal{C}$ . It turns out that in the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched setting, a profunctor from the monoidal unit to  $\mathbb{C}$  may be canonically identified with an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -presheaf, i.e., an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . In [1], the Cauchy completion of a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched category turns out to be the full subcategory of  $(\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}$  on the presheaves which have *duals*:



**Definition 1.32.** Given a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  and presheaves  $f$  and  $g$  in  $(\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}$ , we say that  $f$  and  $g$  are *dual* if

$$0 = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{C}} (f(z) + g(z))$$

and

$$f(y) + g(z) \geq \mathbb{C}(y, z)$$

for any  $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition 1.33.** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category and  $f : \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched presheaf. The following are equivalent.

- (1) The presheaf  $f$  is the limit (in  $(\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}$ ) of a Cauchy sequence of objects in the image of the Yoneda embedding.
- (2) The presheaf  $f$  has a dual.
- (3) There is a Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = \ell_{\bar{x}}$ .

*Proof.* This is [Proposition A.16](#) in the appendix. □

**Definition 1.34.** Given a small, symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ , we define the *Cauchy completion*  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  to be the full  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -subcategory of  $(\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}$  on those presheaves satisfying the (equivalent) conditions of [Proposition 1.33](#). By construction, the Yoneda embedding induces a fully-faithful  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$

Remark: If  $\mathbb{C}$  is a metric space, then the Cauchy completion  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  corresponds to the usual notion of Cauchy completion of the metric space  $\mathbb{C}$  as explained in [1], whose objects are equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences under the metric afforded by [Proposition A.18](#).

Given an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ , this extends uniquely to an  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functor  $\bar{f} : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  which agrees with  $f$  via the inclusions  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\iota_{\mathbb{D}}$ :

**Proposition 1.35.** Given an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  between small, symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories, there exists a unique induced  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\bar{f} : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{D} \\ \iota_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{\mathbb{D}} \\ \bar{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \bar{\mathbb{D}} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* This is [Proposition A.22](#) in the appendix. □

Finally, we give the following definition, which will be useful later.

**Definition 1.36.** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor. Then we say that  $f$  is *dense* if for any object  $d$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  there is some Cauchy sequence in the image of  $f$  which converges to  $d$ .

## 2. THE KAROUBIAN MODEL STRUCTURE ON CAT

Our first result, which can be seen as a warm-up for our exploration of Lawvere metric spaces, is the existence of a model structure on **Cat**, which we call the *Karoubian Model structure*, following [7, §2]. While a description of this model structure appears in *loc. cit.*, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there is not yet a complete proof of the model structure's existence in the literature. This section thus serves the double role of filling in the details of this proof, and providing a warm-up for later sections.

To construct our model structure, we will, as usual define three classes of morphisms in **Cat**.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that  $F$  is a *idfibration* if it has the right lifting property with respect to the canonical inclusion  $\Sigma : \mathbf{Idem} \rightarrow \mathbf{Split}$ . Let  $\text{JdFib} \subseteq \text{Mor}(\mathbf{Cat})$  denote the class of all idfibrations between small categories.

**Theorem 2.2.** *There is a model structure on the category **Cat** of small categories with*

- (W) *Weak equivalences given by the pastoral equivalences.*
- (Cof) *Cofibrations given by those functors which are injective on objects.*
- (Fib) *Fibrations are given by JdFib.*

*We call this model structure the Karoubian model structure on **Cat**.*

Now we embark on a proof that the Karoubian Model Structure is in fact a model structure. The proofs of each axiom rely heavily on the proof of the canonical model structure given in [9]. Notice that we have defined the Karoubian Cofibrations to be the functors that are injective on objects, which is the same definition of the cofibrations in the canonical model structure. This will be particularly useful in our arguments for axioms (M2) and (M4).

**Note:** Throughout this proof we primarily use the characterization of pastoral equivalence afforded by [Proposition 1.11](#).

**2.1. Axiom (M1).** First, it is straightforward to verify that any equivalence is both fully-faithful and surjective up to retracts, so that indeed  $\mathcal{W}$  contains every equivalence of categories, and in particular, every isomorphism.

Secondly, by Axiom (M1) for the canonical model structure on  $\mathbf{Cat}$  as described in [9], it is clear that if any two of  $\{F, G, F \circ G\}$  are pastoral equivalences then the third is, by passing to the postcomposition functors.

**2.2. Axiom (M2).** From the canonical model structure on  $\mathbf{Cat}$ , we know that  $\mathcal{Cof}$  is closed under retracts [9]. As with (M1), it likewise follows from the canonical model structure on  $\mathbf{Cat}$  that  $\mathcal{W}$  is closed under retracts, by passing any retract diagram to the postcomposition-functors. Since fibrations are characterized by a lifting property, they are also closed under retracts.

Suppose we have the following lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{C} \\ \iota \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

where  $\iota$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is an idfibration. We want to show that if either  $\iota$  or  $\pi$  is a pastoral equivalence, then the lifting problem has a solution.

Suppose  $\iota \in \mathcal{Cof} \cap \mathcal{W}$  and  $\pi \in \mathcal{JdFib}$ . Since  $\iota$  is a pastoral equivalence, for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , we can choose an object  $a_b \in \mathcal{A}$  and a retraction  $b \xrightarrow{i_b} \iota(a_b) \xrightarrow{r_b} b$ . Moreover, since  $\iota$  is injective, when  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , we may uniquely choose  $a_b$  in such a way that we may take  $r_b$  and  $i_b$  to be identities. Since  $\iota$  is fully-faithful, there is a unique idempotent  $h_b : a_b \rightarrow a_b$  such that  $\iota(h_b) = i_b \circ r_b$ . In particular, when  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ ,  $h_b = \text{id}_{a_b}$ .

We then define a lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Idem} & \xrightarrow{\nu_b} & \mathcal{C} \\ \Sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbf{Split} & \xrightarrow{\psi_b} & \mathcal{D}, \end{array}$$

by setting  $\nu_b(e) = F(h_b)$ ,  $\psi_b(p) = G(r_b)$ , and  $\psi_b(q) = G(i_b)$ . Since  $\pi$  is an idfibration, we may choose a solution  $L_b$  to this lifting problem. When  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , we may choose  $L_b$  to be the constant functor on  $F(a_b)$ .

Given  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $f : b \rightarrow d$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , we define  $L(b) := L_b(1)$  and  $L_{b,d}(f) := L_d(p) \circ F(\iota_{a_b, a_d}^{-1}(i_d \circ f \circ r_b)) \circ L_b(q)$ . It is straightforward to check that  $L$  is a solution to the original lifting problem.

**Case 2:** Suppose  $\iota \in \mathcal{Cof}$  and  $\pi \in \mathcal{JdFib} \cap \mathcal{W}$ . In this case, it suffices to show that  $\pi$  is a trivial fibration in the canonical model structure given in [9], so that it has the right lifting property against  $\iota$ , which is a cofibration in the canonical model structure on  $\mathbf{Cat}$ .

We know  $\pi$  is fully-faithful. It follows by unravelling definitions that since  $\pi$  is surjective up to retracts and has the right lifting property against  $\Sigma$ , it is a strictly surjective functor, so that it is an equivalence of categories (a weak equivalence in the canonical model structure on  $\mathbf{Cat}$ ). Finally, because it is strictly-surjective and fully-faithful, any isomorphism in the  $\mathcal{D}$  lifts to an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  along  $\pi$ , so that  $\pi$  is an isofibration.

**2.3. Axiom (M4).** Since the classes of cofibrations of in the canonical and Karoubian model structures on  $\mathbf{Cat}$  are the same, the construction here [9]. The additional work here amounts to verifying that a trivial fibration in the canonical model structure is, indeed, a trivial fibration in the Karoubian model structure.

This follows by the fact that  $\Sigma$  is a cofibration, so that any trivial isofibration  $F$  has the right lifting property against  $\Sigma$ , so that  $F$  is an idfibration.

**2.4. Axiom (M5).** Throughout this subsection we often create a new set of morphisms by pre- and post-composing a hom-set with one or two chosen morphisms. For ease of reading we define the following notation.

**Notation 2.3.** Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$  with morphisms  $f$  and  $g$  and a hom-set  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$  we denote by

$$f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \circ g = \{f \circ h \circ g \mid h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)\}.$$

Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor between small categories. Define a category  $\mathcal{L}$  as follows: Let the objects of  $\mathcal{L}$  be pairs of functors  $(H : \mathbf{Idem} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, K : \mathbf{Split} \rightarrow \mathcal{D})$  such that  $F \circ H = K \circ \Sigma$ . Given two such objects  $(H, K)$  and  $(H', K')$ , we then define

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}((H, K), (H', K')) := H'(e) \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H(0), H'(0)) \circ H(e).$$

Then, define the factorization  $\iota : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  and  $\pi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . Define  $\iota$  by  $\iota(c) := (\bar{c}, \overline{F(c)})$ , where  $\bar{c} : \mathbf{Idem} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  denotes the constant functor on  $c$ , and  $\overline{F(c)} : \mathbf{Split} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is the constant functor on  $F(c)$ . By this definition, we get that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}(\iota(c), \iota(c')) = \text{id}_{c'} \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, c') \circ \text{id}_c = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, c'),$$

so we can define the component

$$\iota_{c, c'} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, c') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}(\iota(c), \iota(c')) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, c')$$

to be the identity on  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, c')$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $\iota$  is a trivial cofibration.

Next, define a functor  $\pi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .  $\pi$  sends an object  $(H, K)$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $K(1)$ . Given a morphism  $h$  in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}((H, K), (H', K')) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H(0), H'(0))$ , define

$$\pi_{(H, K), (H', K')}(h) := K'(p) \circ F_{H(0), H'(0)}(h) \circ K(q).$$

By unravelling definitions, it follows that  $\pi$  is a functor satisfying  $\pi \circ \iota = F$ .

It remains to show that  $\pi$  is an idfibration, i.e., that  $\pi$  has the right lifting property with respect to  $\Sigma$ .

Suppose we have a lifting problem of the following form.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Idem} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathcal{L} \\ \Sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbf{Split} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

Then we want to construct a functor  $L : \mathbf{Split} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\pi \circ L = \psi$  and  $L \circ \Sigma = \nu$ . Let  $(H, K)$  be the object to which  $\nu$  maps 0 in  $\mathcal{L}$ . In particular, this means that  $\nu(e)$  is a morphism in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}((H, K), (H, K))$ , so that it can be written as  $\nu(e) = H(e) \circ f \circ H(e)$  for some morphism  $f : H(0) \rightarrow H(0)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In particular, we know that  $H(e) \circ f \circ H(e)$  is an idempotent in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

First, we define  $L(0) = \nu(0) = (H, K)$ . Now, define  $L(1) = (H', K')$ , where

- $H'(0) := H(0)$ , and  $H'(e) = \nu(e) = H(e) \circ f \circ H(e) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}((H, K), (H, K))$ , and
- $K'(0) = K(0)$ ,  $K'(1) = \psi(1)$ ,  $K'(p) = \psi(p) \circ K(p)$ , and  $K'(q) = K(q) \circ \psi(q)$ .

It is straightforward to verify that  $H'$  and  $K'$  are functors which satisfy  $F \circ H' = K' \circ \Sigma$ , so that  $(H', K')$  is a valid element of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Finally, define  $L(p) = H'(e) \circ f \circ H(e)$  and  $L(q) = H(e) \circ f \circ H'(e)$ .

It is a lengthy albeit straightforward process to verify that  $L$  defines a functor satisfying  $\pi \circ L = \psi$  and  $L \circ \Sigma = \nu$ , so that indeed  $\pi$  has the right lifting property against  $\Sigma$ .

### 3. THE METRIC MODEL STRUCTURE ON $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat

We now turn our attention to homotopy theory. The aim of this section is to show that of each of the categories  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat and  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup>, there is a model structure *uniquely determined* by the following properties:

- The weak equivalences are the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are essentially surjective and fully faithful, i.e., the equivalences of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories.
- The fibrant-cofibrant objects are those symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories which satisfy the *identity of indiscernables*, i.e., those  $\mathcal{C}$  for which  $\mathcal{C}(x, y) = \mathcal{C}(y, x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$

Such a model structure is the natural first step to understanding metric spaces using homotopy-theoretic techniques, since the homotopy theory it encodes is precisely the theory of extended metric spaces.

As alluded to above, it turns out that the model structure with these properties is unique. This proof of uniqueness follows very closely the proof from [10] that the canonical model structure on  $\mathbf{Cat}$  is unique. However, it diverges later in the proof as every  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category is equivalent to a gaunt  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category. In a comment to the blog post [10], Schommer-Pries suggests that an analogous model structure should exist on  $\mathbf{Cat}$ , though the definition of weak equivalences in that case is somewhat more involved.

First, we define notation for some elementary  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories and  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors.

**Notation 3.1.** We fix the following notations for common  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories and  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors:

- Define  $\mathbb{I}$  as the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category with two elements  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  such that  $\mathbb{I}(a_1, a_2) = 0 = \mathbb{I}(a_2, a_1)$
- Define  $*$  as the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category with one object.
- Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\Delta : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow *$  by  $a_i \mapsto *$ .
- Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\Gamma : * \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  by  $*$   $\mapsto a_1$ .

**Definition 3.2.** We define the *isofibrations* of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ - $\mathbf{Cat}$  to be the set of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which have the right lifting property with respect to  $\Gamma$ .

**3.1. Properties of model structures on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ - $\mathbf{Cat}$ .** We now aim to restrict the possible classes of fibrations and cofibrations in our desired model structure. Throughout the following argument, suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ - $\mathbf{Cat}$  such that the set of weak equivalences is precisely the set of equivalences of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories.

**Lemma 3.3.** *In the model structure  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\emptyset \rightarrow *$  is a cofibration.*

*Proof.* Since every  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functor is equivalent to some cofibrant object, there exists some cofibration  $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for a non-empty  $\mathbb{R}_+$ - $\mathbf{Cat}$   $\mathbb{C}$ . Then consider the following retract diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \emptyset & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_\emptyset} & \emptyset & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_\emptyset} & \emptyset \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

Since the cofibrations are closed under retracts,  $\emptyset \rightarrow *$  is a cofibration.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *In the model structure  $\mathcal{M}$ , every  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor which is injective on objects must be a cofibration.*

*Proof.* The trivial fibrations in our intended model structure must have the right lifting property with respect to all cofibrations, including  $\emptyset \rightarrow *$  by Lemma 3.3. However, any map from  $*$   $\rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  for any  $\mathbb{R}_+$ - $\mathbf{Cat}$   $\mathbb{D}$  is a choice of a point in  $\mathbb{D}$ . So for every object  $d$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  the lift chooses a point in  $\mathbb{C}$  which the trivial fibration must map to  $d$ . Thus trivial fibrations are surjective on objects.

Note that surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors are a subset of the isofibrations, thus the trivial fibrations of our intended model structure are a subset of the those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are fully faithful, essentially surjective, and isofibrations. But then, the cofibrations in our intended model structure must contain those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which right lift with respect to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functors which are fully faithful, essentially surjective, and isofibrations. These are the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are injective on objects. Thus every  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor which is injective on objects must be a cofibration.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *If the set  $\text{Cof}$  of cofibrations in  $\mathcal{M}$  consists of precisely those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are injective on objects, then any  $\mathbb{R}_+$  category is fibrant-cofibrant.*

*Proof.* If the cofibrations are those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are injective on objects then the fibrations are the set of isofibrations. This follows from an argument very similar to the 1-categorical case of [9], explicitly showing that a functor has the necessary right lifting property if and only if it is an isofibration. For any  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  the functor  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow *$  is an isofibration, so every object is fibrant. Moreover,  $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is vacuously injective on objects, so every object is cofibrant.  $\square$

So, the cofibrations must be more than just those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are injective on objects if we want to obtain our desired fibrant-cofibrant objects.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that the set  $\text{Cof}$  of cofibrations in  $\mathcal{M}$  contains a functor which is not injective on objects. Then  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  are cofibrations.*

*Proof.* The proof that  $\Delta$  is a cofibration is analogous to that in [10]. By Corollary 3.4,  $\Gamma$  is a cofibration.  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** It is not difficult to check that  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  are weak equivalences, and thus are must be trivial cofibrations by Lemma 3.6.

**Corollary 3.8.**  $\text{Fib} \subseteq \{\Delta, \Gamma\}_\perp$

*Proof.* By Remark 3.7,  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  are both trivial cofibrations.  $\square$

We now wish to prove an alternate characterization of the weak equivalences to achieve a simple definition for our trivial fibrations. To do so we first define a new functor. Note that this a usual functor between categories and not an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor.

**Definition 3.9.** Define a functor  $M : \mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{gaunt}}$  by the following properties

- A  $\mathbb{R}_+$  category  $\mathbb{C}$  is sent to the gaunt category  $M(\mathbb{C})$  where each object  $x$  is sent to its isomorphism class, denoted  $[x]$ , and the hom-objects  $M(\mathbb{C})([x], [y]) = \mathbb{C}(x, y)$
- A  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functor  $f$  is set to the function which sends  $[x]$  to  $[f(x)]$ .

We define the composition map to be  $M(f) \circ M(g) = M(f \circ g)$  and we leave the verification of functoriality to the reader.

With the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $M$  defined, we now prove an alternate characterization of the weak equivalences.

**Lemma 3.10.** *An  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is fully faithful and essentially surjective if and only if  $M(f)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from unwinding the definitions.  $\square$

With this alternate characterization of weak equivalences, we are closer to characterization our trivial fibrations. We now discover additional properties about the set with the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ , as we know from Corollary 3.8 that the fibrations of our model structure  $\mathcal{M}$  are contained in this set.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\text{Iso}$  denote the set of isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories. Then*

$$\{\Delta, \Gamma\}_\perp \cap \mathcal{W} = \text{Iso}.$$

*Proof.* It is immediate that  $\text{Iso} \subseteq \{\Delta, \Gamma\}_\perp \cap \mathcal{W}$ . To show the other inclusion, let  $f \in \{\Delta, \Gamma\}_\perp \cap \mathcal{W}$ .

Suppose first  $f(c_1) = f(c_2)$ . Since  $f$  is fully faithful,  $c_1$  is isomorphic to  $c_2$ . Since  $f$  lifts with respect to  $\Delta$ , this implies that  $c_1 = c_2$ , and so  $f$  is injective. On the other hand, let  $d \in \mathbb{D}$ . Since  $f$  is essentially surjective, there is a  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(c) \cong d$ . We can lift this isomorphism using  $\Gamma$ , yielding an object  $c_d \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $f(c_d) = d$ . This means  $f$  is surjective, and hence an isomorphism.  $\square$

Then, since  $\text{Fib} \subseteq \{\Delta, \Gamma\}_\perp$ , we have that  $\text{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W} \subseteq \text{Iso}$ , however they are in fact equal.

**Lemma 3.12.** *Suppose that the set  $\text{Cof}$  of cofibrations in  $\mathcal{M}$  contains a functor which is not injective on objects. Then the set  $\text{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W}$  of trivial fibrations consists precisely of the isomorphisms in  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}$ .*

*Proof.* We need only show that  $\text{Iso} \subseteq \text{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W}$ . First, note that the identity  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor is a trivial fibration, and the trivial fibrations are closed under retract. So consider the following diagram, where  $f$  is any  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{X} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbb{Y} & \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} & \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{Y} \end{array}$$

Since the trivial fibrations are closed under retracts, and  $f$  is a retract of the identity, which is a trivial fibration,  $f$  is also a trivial fibration, thus  $\text{Iso} \subseteq \text{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.13.** Note that the trivial fibrations are the set with the right lifting property with respect to the cofibrations. From [Lemma 3.12](#) we see that the trivial fibrations are the isomorphisms. The isomorphisms have the right lifting property with respect to every  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor. Thus, the set of cofibrations must be the set of all  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors.

In effect, we have shown that there is only one candidate for our desired model structure. The following theorem lays out explicitly what this must be.

**Theorem 3.14.** *There is a model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat with*

*W The weak equivalences are the fully faithful and essentially surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors.*

*Cof Every  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functor is a cofibration.*

*Fib The fibrations are those  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functors with the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ .*

**3.2. Axiom (M1).** First, we want to show that  $\mathcal{W}$  contains every isomorphism in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat. Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an isomorphism. Since  $M$  is a functor,  $M(f)$  is an isomorphism. Hence,  $\mathcal{W}$  contains all isomorphisms.

Next, we need to show that  $\mathcal{W}$  satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property. This follows from the functoriality of  $M$  and the 2-out-of-3 property for isomorphisms of gaunt  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories.

**3.3. Axiom (M2).** Now we want to show that Cof, Fib, and  $\mathcal{W}$  are closed under retracts. Cof is trivially closed under retracts as every  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor is a cofibration. Since  $\text{Fib} = \{\Delta, \Gamma\}_\perp$ , i.e., Fib is the set of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors with the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ , Fib is closed under retracts. It remains to show that  $\mathcal{W}$  is closed under retracts.

Consider the following retract diagram in which  $f$  is a weak equivalence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{id}_{\mathbb{X}} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathbb{X} \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\
 \mathbb{Y} & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbb{Y} \\
 & & \text{id}_{\mathbb{Y}} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & & 
 \end{array}$$

Then by applying  $M$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{id}_{M(\mathbb{X})} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 M(\mathbb{X}) & \xrightarrow{M(p)} & M(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{M(q)} & M(\mathbb{X}) \\
 \downarrow M(g) & & \downarrow M(f) & & \downarrow M(g) \\
 M(\mathbb{Y}) & \xrightarrow{M(r)} & M(\mathbb{D}) & \xrightarrow{M(s)} & M(\mathbb{Y}) \\
 & & \text{id}_{M(\mathbb{Y})} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & & 
 \end{array}$$

Since isomorphisms in any category are closed under retracts, the proposition follows.

**3.4. Axiom (M3).** Now we want to prove that the following diagram has a lift if either  $\iota$  is a trivial fibration and  $\pi$  is a fibration or if  $\iota$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  a trivial fibration.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C} \\
 \downarrow \iota & \nearrow L & \downarrow \pi \\
 \mathbb{Y} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{D}
 \end{array}$$

**Case 1:  $\iota$  is a trivial cofibration and  $\pi$  is a fibration**

For any  $y$  in the image of  $\iota$ , define

$$L(y) := f(x)$$

such that  $\iota(x) = y$ . If  $y$  is not in the image of  $\iota$ , by the essential surjectivity of  $\iota$ , there exists  $x_y \in \mathbb{X}$  such that  $\iota(x_y)$  is isomorphic to  $y$ . Then  $g(\iota(x_y))$  is isomorphic to  $g(y)$ . By the commutativity of the square,  $\pi(f(x_y)) = g(\iota(x_y))$ . Since  $\pi$  right lifts with respect to  $\Gamma$ , there exists a  $c_y \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $c_y$  is isomorphic to  $f(x_y)$  and  $\pi(c_y) = g(y)$ . For  $y$  not in the image of  $\iota$ , define

$$L(y) := c_y$$

To prove that  $L$  is a  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functor it suffices to prove that the diagram commutes for objects and that  $L$  is a short map. For  $y$  not in the image of  $\iota$ ,  $\pi \circ L = g$  by construction. For  $y$  in the image of  $\iota$ , by the commutativity of the square,

$$\pi(L(y)) = \pi(f(x)) = g(\iota(x)) = g(y)$$

Thus the diagram commutes.

To prove that  $L$  is a short map first assume  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are in the image of  $\iota$ . Then since  $f$  is a short map and by definition of  $M(\mathbb{X})([x], [y])$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}(L(y_1), L(y_2)) &\leq \mathbb{X}(x_1, x_2) \\ &= M(\mathbb{X})([x_1], [x_2]) \\ &= M(\mathbb{Y})([y_1], [y_2]) \\ &= \mathbb{Y}(y_1, y_2) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we assume  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are not in the image of  $\iota$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}(L(y_1), L(y_2)) = \mathbb{C}(c_{y_1}, c_{y_2})$ . By triangle inequality, the fully-faithfulness of  $\iota$ , and the fact that  $f$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}(c_{y_1}, c_{y_2}) &\leq \mathbb{C}(c_{y_1}, f(x_{y_1})) + \mathbb{C}(f(x_{y_1}), f(x_{y_2})) + \mathbb{C}(f(x_{y_2}), c_{y_2}) \\ &= \mathbb{C}(f(x_{y_1}), f(x_{y_2})) \\ &\leq \mathbb{X}(x_{y_1}, x_{y_2}) \\ &= \mathbb{Y}(\iota(x_{y_1}), \iota(x_{y_2})) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Y}(\iota(x_{y_1}), y_1) + \mathbb{Y}(y_1, y_2) + \mathbb{Y}(y_2, \iota(x_{y_2})) \\ &= \mathbb{Y}(y_1, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, assume that one of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  is in the image of  $\iota$  and the other is not. Without loss of generality, take  $y_1$  not in the image. Then,  $\mathbb{C}(L(y_1), L(y_2)) = \mathbb{C}(c_{y_1}, f(x_{y_2}))$ . Then by triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}(c_{y_1}, f(x_{y_2})) &\leq \mathbb{C}(c_{y_1}, f(x_{y_1})) + \mathbb{C}(f(x_{y_1}), f(x_{y_2})) \\ &= \mathbb{C}(f(x_{y_1}), f(x_{y_2})). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows as in the previous case. We thus see that  $L$  is a short map, and so provides a solution to the lifting problem.

**Case 2:  $\iota$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is a trivial fibration**

By [Lemma 3.11](#)  $\pi$  is an isomorphism. Isomorphisms have the right lifting property with respect to any functor. Thus, there exists a lift.

**3.5. Axiom (M4).** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor. Define an  $\mathbb{R}$ -category  $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{D}$ . Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\iota := f$  and another  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\pi := \text{id}_{\mathbb{D}}$ . It follows from the definition that this is the desired factorization.

**3.6. Axiom (M5).** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor. Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$  such that  $\text{Ob}(\tilde{\mathbb{L}})$  is the set of pairs  $(c, d)$  such that  $\mathbb{D}(f(c), d) = 0 = \mathbb{D}(d, f(c))$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{L}}((c, d), (a, b)) = \mathbb{C}(c, a)$ . Define an equivalence relation  $(c, d) \sim (a, b)$  if and only if  $\mathbb{C}(a, c) = 0 = \mathbb{C}(c, a)$ .

Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{L}$  such that  $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{L})$  is the set of equivalence classes of pairs  $(c, d)$  and  $\mathbb{L}([(c, d)], [(a, b)]) = \mathbb{C}(c, a)$ . Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  such that  $c \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$  is sent to the equivalence class  $[(c, f(c))] \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{L})$ . Define another  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\pi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  such that the equivalence class  $[(c, d)] \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{L})$  is sent to  $d \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{D})$ .

The proof that  $\iota$  is a short map and a trivial cofibration follows by construction. The proof that  $\pi$  is a short map follows by similar methods to those used in 3.4.

For  $\pi$  to be a fibration,  $\pi$  must have the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ . For the  $\Delta$  lifting property define the following lifting problem,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbb{L} \\ \Delta \downarrow & \nearrow \delta & \downarrow \pi \\ * & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Define  $\delta(*) := \mu(a_1) = [(c_1, \phi(*))]$ . Proofs that  $\delta$  is a short map and that the bottom triangle commutes follow from properties of  $*$ , while the proof of the top triangle commuting follows from properties of  $\mathbb{L}$ . Thus  $\pi$  has the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$ .

Now we will show that  $\pi$  has the right lifting property with respect to  $\Gamma$ . Define the following lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{L} \\ \Gamma \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{I} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Define  $\gamma(a_1) := \nu(*) = [(c, \psi(a_1))]$  and  $\gamma(a_2) := [(c, \psi(a_2))]$ . Commutativity then follows from the commutativity of the outer square, and the proof that  $\gamma$  is a short map again follows from properties of  $\mathbb{L}$ . Hence,  $\pi$  has the right lifting property with respect to  $\Gamma$ . Thus,  $\pi$  is a fibration.

It remains to show that  $\pi \circ \iota = f$ , but it follows immediately by definition that  $\pi(\iota(c)) = \pi([(c, f(c))]) = f(c)$ .

Thus we have proven the model structure exists. Now we check that the fibrant-cofibrant objects are as we intended.

**Proposition 3.15.** *The fibrant-cofibrant objects are those  $\mathbb{R}$ -enriched categories  $\mathbb{C}$  such that if  $\mathbb{C}(x, y) = 0 = \mathbb{C}(y, x)$ , then  $x = y$ .*

*Proof.* Since every functor is a cofibration, every object is cofibrant. We can thus restrict ourselves to considering the fibrant objects. First, we claim that if  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfies the identity of indiscernibles, then  $\mathbb{C}$  is fibrant. Define the following lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbb{C} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{Y} & \xrightarrow{k} & * \end{array}$$

and let  $f$  be a weak equivalence. Then to show that  $\pi$  is a fibration, we need to show that there exists a lift  $L : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $y$  be in  $\mathbb{Y}$ . Then  $[y]$  is in  $M(\mathbb{Y})$  so, there exists an  $[x]$  in  $M(\mathbb{X})$  such that  $[y] = [f(x)]$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfies the identity of indiscernibles,  $M(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $L(y)$  to be  $h(x)$ . Observe that the top triangle commutes by construction, and since every  $y$  in  $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{Y})$  maps to  $*$ , the bottom triangle commutes. Proof that  $L$  is a short map follows similarly to that in 3.4. Hence, there exists a lift and  $\pi$  is a fibration.

Next, we claim that if  $\mathbb{C}$  is fibrant, then  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfies the identity of indiscernibles. Let  $\pi$  be a fibration. Since  $\text{Fib} = (\text{Cof} \cap \mathcal{W})_{\perp}$ ,  $\pi$  is in  $\mathcal{W}_{\perp}$ . By Remark 3.7,  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  are weak equivalences, so  $\pi$  is in  $\{\Delta, \Gamma\}_{\perp}$ .

If  $\mathbb{C}$  is fibrant, then  $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow *$  is a fibration, meaning  $\pi$  right lifts with respect to  $\Delta$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ * & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

For a lift to exist, every pair of isomorphic objects in  $\mathbb{C}$  must collapse to a single object. So, for any  $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\mathbb{C}(c_1, c_2) = 0 = \mathbb{C}(c_2, c_1)$ ,  $\pi(c_1) = \pi(c_2)$ . Then by the  $\Delta$  lifting problem  $c_1 = c_2$ , so  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfies the identity of indiscernibles.  $\square$



In fact, this model structure ends up being the unique one with weak equivalences as fully faithful and essentially surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors and fibrant-cofibrant objects the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories that satisfy the identity of indiscernibles.

**Proposition 3.16.** *The metric model structure is the unique model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat in which*

- (1) *the weak equivalences are the fully faithful and essentially surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors, and*
- (2) *The fibrant cofibrant objects are precisely those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories which satisfy the identity of indiscernibles.*

*Proof.* Suppose there exists another model structure  $\mathcal{M}$  with these properties.

Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be any  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor, and consider the factorization guaranteed by (M4) where  $\iota$  is a cofibration,  $\pi$  is a trivial fibration, and  $f$  is any  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widehat{\mathbb{D}} & \\ \iota \nearrow & & \searrow \pi \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

By [Corollary 3.8](#),  $\pi$  right lifts with respect to  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  with our given weak equivalences and fibrant-cofibrant objects. Then by [Lemma 3.12](#),  $\pi$  is an isomorphism. This means that the cofibration  $\iota$  is isomorphic to  $f$ , and thus  $f$  must itself be a cofibration. Since a model structure is uniquely determined by its sets of cofibrations and weak equivalences, this shows that  $\mathcal{M}$  must, in fact, be the metric model structure.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.17.** *There is a model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup> with*

W *The weak equivalences are the fully faithful and essentially surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors.*

Cof *Every  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functor is a cofibration.*

Fib *The fibrations are those  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functors with the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$ .*

*This model structure is the unique model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup> in which*

- (1) *the weak equivalences are the fully faithful and essentially surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors, and*
- (2) *The fibrant cofibrant objects are precisely those symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories which satisfy the identity of indiscernibles.*

*Proof.* Each of the previous constructions and proofs works verbatim in the symmetric case.  $\square$

#### 4. THE CAUCHY MODEL STRUCTURE ON $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup>

We now wish to define an analogous model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup> in which the fibrant-cofibrant objects are those Cauchy complete symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories, as defined in [\[1\]](#).

As it turns out, the construction will be remarkably similar. The cofibrations in both model structures are the functors which are injective on objects. The weak equivalences in both model structures are the functors which induce equivalences between the Cauchy completions. The fibrations in the Karoubian model structure are characterized as those functors which lift retracts in a certain sense. The fibrations in the Cauchy model structure are characterized as those functors which lift limits of Cauchy sequences.

To make this more rigorous, we first define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category Seq so that a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor out of Seq is a choice (of an equivalence class) of a Cauchy sequence in the target  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category.

**Definition 4.1.** Define a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category Seq whose objects are natural numbers, and for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{Seq}(n, m) = \sum_{i=\min(n,m)}^{\max(n,m)-1} \frac{1}{2^i}.$$

Note that, by [Proposition A.25](#), subsequences of Cauchy sequences in an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  can be written as  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors  $f : \text{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, the Cauchy completion  $\overline{\text{Seq}}$  contains a single additional point, which is a limit of the sequence  $\{n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in Seq.

**Theorem 4.2.** *There is a model structure on the category  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat<sup>sym</sup> of small  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched symmetric categories in which*

- (W) The class  $\mathcal{W}$  of weak equivalences are given by the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are fully-faithful and dense, i.e., those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  for which  $\overline{f} : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  is an equivalence ([Proposition A.24](#)).
- (Cof) The class  $\mathcal{Cof}$  of cofibrations are given by  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are injective on objects.
- (Fib) The class  $\mathcal{Fib}$  of fibrations are given by  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which have the right lifting property with respect to  $\iota_{\text{Seq}} : \text{Seq} \hookrightarrow \overline{\text{Seq}}$ .

4.1. **Axiom (M1).** Clearly condition (1) in [Proposition A.24](#) gives that any  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -equivalence of categories is a pastoral equivalence. The 2-out-of-3 axiom for  $\mathcal{W}$  follows from (M1) for the metric model structure by passing to the induced maps between Cauchy completions.

4.2. **Axiom (M2).** The fact that  $\mathcal{W}$  is closed under retracts follows from (M2) for the metric model structure by passing to the induced maps between Cauchy completions. The fact that  $\mathcal{Cof}$  is closed under retracts follows by applying the forgetful functor to any retract diagram and the model structure on **Set** in which the cofibrations are the inclusions. The fact that  $\mathcal{Fib}$  is closed under retracts follows by the fact that it is characterized as the set of morphisms in  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$  which have the right lifting property with respect to some other morphism.

4.3. **Axiom (M3).** Suppose we have a lifting problem in  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$  of the following form,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{B} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

where  $\iota$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is a fibration. Then we want to show that if either  $\iota$  or  $\pi$  is a pastoral equivalence then the lifting problem has a solution  $L : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Case 1:** Suppose  $\iota$  is a pastoral equivalence, so it is fully-faithful and dense. Let  $b \in \mathbb{B}$ , and define a lift  $L$  as follows

- Because  $\iota$  is dense, there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\overline{a_b} = \{(a_b)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{A}$  such that  $\iota(\overline{a_b})$  converges to  $b$ . If  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , since  $\iota$  is injective, there exists a unique  $a_b \in \mathbb{A}$  such that  $\iota(a_b) = b$ . Then, define the Cauchy sequence  $\overline{a_b} := \widehat{a_b}$ , the constant Cauchy sequence on  $a_b$ . If  $b$  is not in the image of  $\iota$ , fix any Cauchy sequence  $\overline{a_b}$ .
- By [Proposition A.25](#), we can define a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\nu_b : \text{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which picks out a subsequence  $\{\nu_b(n)\}$  of the Cauchy sequence  $f(\overline{a_b})$ . If  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , then  $f(\overline{a_b})$  is the constant Cauchy sequence on  $f(a_b)$ , in which case  $\nu_b$  is the constant functor on  $f(a_b)$ , as  $\{\nu(n)\}$  is a subsequence of  $f(\overline{a_b})$ .
- Since the Cauchy sequence  $\{\nu_b(n)\}$  is equivalent to  $f(\overline{a_b})$ , we know  $\{\pi(\nu_b(n))\}$  is equivalent to  $\pi(f(\overline{a_b})) = g(\iota(\overline{a_b}))$ , which has limit  $\overline{g(b)}$ , so  $\{\pi(\nu_b(n))\}$  does too ([Proposition A.14](#)). By [Lemma A.28](#), we may construct a functor  $\psi_b : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  sending  $\ell_{\{n\}} \mapsto g(b)$  so the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seq} & \xrightarrow{\nu_b} & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow \iota_{\text{Seq}} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \overline{\text{Seq}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_b} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Note if  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , then  $\nu_b$  is necessarily the constant functor on  $f(a_b)$ , so  $\psi_b \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \pi \circ \nu_b$  is the constant functor on  $\pi(f(a_b)) = g(b)$ . In this case, define  $\psi_b$  to be the constant functor on  $g(b)$ .

- Since  $\pi$  is a fibration, it has the right lifting property with respect to  $\iota_{\text{Seq}}$ , so there exists some functor  $L_b : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $L_b \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \nu_b$  and  $\psi_b = \pi \circ L_b$ . If  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , then define  $L_b$  to be the constant  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor on  $f(a_b)$ . Then  $L_b \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}}$  and  $\nu_b$  are both the constant functor on  $f(a_b)$  and  $\psi_b$  and  $\pi \circ L_b$  are both the constant functor on  $\pi(f(a_b)) = g(b)$ , so that  $L_b$  is a solution to the lifting problem. If  $b$  is not in the image of  $\iota$ , then fix any choice of solution  $L_b$ .

With this, define  $L : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $L(b) := L_b(\ell_{\{n\}})$ . Verification that this is a lift follows from from construction.

Finally, we show that  $L$  is a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor, i.e., a short map. Note that  $L_b(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is a limit of the sequence  $f(\overline{a_b})$ . Indeed, we have that  $\ell_{\{n\}}$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n)\}$  by [Corollary A.19](#), so that by [Proposition A.14](#)  $L_b(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is a limit of  $\{L_b(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n))\} = \{\nu_b(n)\}$ . We know that  $\{\nu_b(n)\}$  is equivalent to  $f(\overline{a_b})$ , so that  $L_b(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is indeed a limit of  $f(\overline{a_b})$ .

Now let  $b, d \in \mathbb{B}$ . Then we have

$$\mathbb{C}(L(b), L(d)) = \mathbb{C}(L_b(\ell_{\{n\}}), L_d(\ell_{\{n\}})) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(f((a_b)_n), f((a_d)_n)),$$

where the inequality follows by [Lemma A.21](#). Now because  $f$  is a short map, and  $\iota$  is an isometry, for all  $n$  we know that

$$\mathbb{C}(f((a_b)_n), f((a_d)_n)) \leq \mathbb{A}((a_b)_n, (a_d)_n) = \mathbb{B}(\iota((a_b)_n), \iota((a_d)_n)).$$

Therefore, by [Proposition A.6](#), we know that

$$\mathbb{C}(L(b), L(d)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(f((a_b)_n), f((a_d)_n)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{B}(\iota((a_b)_n), \iota((a_d)_n)).$$

Finally, note that by construction the sequences  $\iota(\overline{a_b})$  and  $\iota(\overline{a_d})$  limit to  $b$  and  $d$ , respectively, so that again by [Lemma A.21](#), we have that:

$$\mathbb{C}(L(b), L(d)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{B}(\iota((a_b)_n), \iota((a_d)_n)) = \mathbb{B}(b, d).$$

Hence,  $L$  is a short map.

**Case 2:** Suppose that  $\pi$  is a pastoral equivalence. Let  $b \in \mathbb{B}$  and construct a lift  $L$  as follows.

- Because  $\pi$  is a pastoral equivalence, it is dense, so there exists some Cauchy sequence  $\overline{c_b}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\pi(\overline{c_b})$  converges to  $g(b)$ . If  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , then because  $\iota$  is injective, there exists a unique  $a_b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\iota(a_b) = g(b)$ . Then, define the Cauchy sequence  $\overline{c_b} := \widehat{f(a_b)}$ , the constant Cauchy sequence on  $f(a_b)$ . If  $b$  is not in the image of  $\iota$ , fix any choice of Cauchy sequence  $\overline{a_b}$ .

The construction proceeds analogously to that in Case 1. With this, define  $L : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $L(b) := L_b(\ell_n)$ . Proof that  $L$  is a short map follows since  $g$  is a short map and  $\pi$  an isometry. Proof that  $L$  is a solution to the lifting problem follows from the construction.

**4.4. Axiom (M4).** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -functor. We define a new category  $\mathbb{L}$  as follows:

$$\text{Ob}(\mathbb{L}) = \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \amalg \text{Ob}(\mathbb{D}).$$

We define the hom-objects as follows:

$$\mathbb{L}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{D}(f(x), y) & \text{if } x \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{D}) \\ \mathbb{D}(f(x), f(y)) & \text{if } x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \mathbb{D}(x, y) & \text{if } x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{D}). \end{cases}$$

It follows from construction that  $\mathbb{L}$ , as defined, gives a small symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category.

We now define  $\mathbb{R}$ -functors  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  and  $\pi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  as follows:

- $\iota(c) = c$ .
- $\pi(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \\ x & \text{if } x \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{D}) \end{cases}$

It follows by construction that  $\pi \circ \iota = f$ ,  $\iota$  is a short map which is injective on objects, and that  $\pi$  is a fully-faithful, strictly surjective  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor.

It remains to show that  $\pi$  is a fibration. That is, we wish to show that  $\pi$  has the right-lifting property with respect to  $\iota_{\text{Seq}}$ . Suppose we have a lifting problem in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -**Cat**<sup>sym</sup> of the following form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seq} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbb{L} \\ \iota_{\text{Seq}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \overline{\text{Seq}} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Since  $\ell_{\{n\}}$  is a limit of  $\{\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n)\}$  ([Corollary A.19](#)), necessarily  $g(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is a limit of  $\{g(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n))\} = \{\pi(h(n))\}$  ([Proposition A.14](#)). We know  $g(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is an object of  $\mathbb{D}$ , and  $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{L}) := \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \amalg \text{Ob}(\mathbb{D})$ , so that  $g(\ell_{\{n\}}) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{L})$ . In particular, since  $\pi(g(\ell_{\{n\}})) = g(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is a limit of  $\{\pi(h(n))\}$ , and  $\pi$  is an isometry,  $g(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is a limit of  $\{h(n)\}$  by [Proposition A.14](#). Then by [Lemma A.28](#), there exists a unique  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $L : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  such that  $L \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = h$  and  $L(\ell_{\{n\}}) = g(\ell_{\{n\}})$ .

It remains to show that  $\pi \circ L = g$ . Indeed, given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\pi(L(\ell_{\widehat{m}})) = \pi(L(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(m))) = \pi(h(m)) = g(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(m)) = g(\ell_{\widehat{m}}),$$

and

$$\pi(L(\ell_{\{n\}}) = \pi(g(\ell_{\{n\}})) = g(\ell_{\{n\}}).$$

By [Corollary A.27](#), this suffices to show that  $\pi \circ L = g$ .

**4.5. Axiom (M5).** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor between two small symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories. We construct a small  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functors  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  and  $\pi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\iota$  is a trivial cofibration,  $\pi$  is a fibration, and  $\pi \circ \iota = f$ .

First, let  $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{L})$  be the set of pairs  $(\bar{x}, L)$  where  $\bar{x}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $L \in \mathbb{D}$  is a limit of the Cauchy sequence  $f(\bar{x})$ . Then define:

$$\mathbb{L}(\{x_n\}, a), (\{y_n\}, b) := \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\{x_n\}}, \ell_{\{y_n\}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n).$$

The second equality is by [Proposition A.18](#). It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathbb{L}$  is a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category.

Next, define  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  by  $\iota(c) := (\hat{c}, f(c))$ , where  $\hat{c}$  denotes the constant Cauchy sequence on  $c$ . Note that  $\iota$  is injective on objects and an isometry. To show that  $\iota$  is dense, let  $(\{x_n\}, L) \in \mathbb{L}$ . We wish to show that  $\{\iota(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence with  $(\{x_n\}, L)$  as a limit. To this end, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then because  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq M$  we have

$$\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

But now, for all  $m \geq M$ , we have

$$\mathbb{L}(\iota(x_m), (\{x_n\}, L)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

where the last inequality follows by [Proposition A.6](#). By symmetry,  $(\{x_n\}, L)$  is a limit of  $\{\iota(x_n)\}$ , and so  $\iota$  is dense. Since  $\iota$  is a dense fully-faithful  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor that is injective on objects,  $\iota$  is a trivial cofibration.

Finally, we define  $\pi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  and show that it is a fibration. Given  $(\{x_n\}, L) \in \mathbb{L}$ , we define  $\pi(\bar{x}, L) := L$ . First, we want to show that  $\pi$  is a short map. Let  $(\{x_n\}, a), (\{y_n\}, b) \in \mathbb{L}$ . Then since  $a$  is a limit of  $\{f(x_n)\}$  and  $b$  is a limit of  $\{f(y_n)\}$ , by [Lemma A.21](#), we have:

$$\mathbb{D}(\pi(\{x_n\}, a), \pi(\{y_n\}, b)) = \mathbb{D}(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(f(x_n), f(y_n)).$$

Then because  $f$  is a short map, we have:

$$\mathbb{D}(\pi(\{x_n\}, a), \pi(\{y_n\}, b)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) = \mathbb{L}(\{x_n\}, a), (\{y_n\}, b).$$

Hence,  $\pi$  is a short map.

It remains to show that  $\pi$  is a fibration. Suppose we have a lifting problem in  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$  of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seq} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{L} \\ \iota_{\text{Seq}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \overline{\text{Seq}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\nu(n)$  be the pair  $(\bar{x}_n, L_n)$  where  $\bar{x}_n$  denotes the Cauchy sequence  $\{x_{n,m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We construct a lift  $L : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ .

Note that by how we defined  $\mathbb{L}$ , there exists a fully-faithful functor  $\varphi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  given by  $(\bar{x}, L) \mapsto \ell_{\bar{x}}$ . Furthermore,  $\varphi$  is well defined by [Proposition A.17](#). Since  $\{\nu(n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{L}$ , by [Proposition A.14](#),  $\{\varphi(\nu(n))\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , so there exists some Cauchy sequence  $\bar{z} = \{z_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\ell_{\bar{z}}$  is a limit of  $\{\varphi(\nu(n))\}$ , as  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  is Cauchy complete by [Proposition A.20](#). We claim that  $(\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}}))$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\nu(n)\}$  in  $\mathbb{L}$ . Verification that  $\psi(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is a limit of the Cauchy sequence  $f(\bar{z})$ , i.e.  $(\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}}))$  is a valid element in  $\mathbb{L}$ , follows from [Appendix A](#) and is left to the reader.

Now, we want to show that  $(\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}}))$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\nu(n)\}$  in  $\mathbb{L}$ . Since  $\varphi(\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}})) = \ell_{\bar{z}}$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\varphi(\nu(n))\}$  and since  $\varphi$  is an isometry, we indeed get that  $(\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}}))$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\nu(n)\}$  by [Proposition A.14](#).

Therefore, by [Lemma A.28](#), there exists an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $L : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  sending  $\ell_{\{n\}}$  to  $(\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}}))$  such that  $f \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \nu$ , as  $\nu : \text{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  picks out a sequence  $\{\nu(n)\}$  which has a limit  $(\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}}))$ .

Finally, it remains to show that  $\pi \circ L = \psi$ . By [Corollary A.27](#), it suffices to show that  $\pi(L(\ell_{\{n\}})) = \psi(\ell_{\{n\}})$  and  $\pi(L(\ell_{\widehat{m}})) = \psi(\widehat{m})$  for all  $m$ . Indeed, we have:

$$\pi(L(\ell_{\{n\}})) = \pi(\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}})) = \psi(\ell_{\{n\}}),$$

and given any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\pi(L(\ell_{\widehat{m}})) = \pi(L(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(m))) = \pi(\nu(m)) = \psi(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(m)) = \psi(\ell_{\widehat{m}}).$$

## 5. THE CAUCHY-METRIC MODEL STRUCTURE ON $\mathbb{R}_+$ -**Cat**<sup>sym</sup>

**Theorem 5.1.** *There is a model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -**Cat**<sup>sym</sup> in which:*

- (W) *The weak equivalences  $\mathcal{W}$  are given by those  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which are fully-faithful and dense.*
- (Cof) *Every  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor is a cofibration, i.e.,  $\text{Cof} := \text{Mor}(\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}})$ .*
- (Fib) *The fibrations are the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functors which have the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$ , and  $\iota_{\text{Seq}}$ , i.e.  $\text{Fib} := \{\Delta, \Gamma, \iota_{\text{Seq}}\}_\perp$ .*

Before proving this theorem, we prove the following propositions:

**Proposition 5.2.** *Any morphism  $f$  between small symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories which is both a fibration and a weak equivalence is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor between small, symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories which is both a fibration and a weak equivalence. Then because  $f$  is a weak equivalence, it is fully-faithful, so in order to show that  $f$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories it suffices to show that  $f$  is both injective and surjective on objects.

First, we show that  $f$  is injective on objects. Suppose there existed  $c, c' \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(c) = f(c')$ . Then because  $f$  is a weak equivalence, it is fully-faithful, so that  $0 = \mathbb{D}(f(c), f(c')) = \mathbb{C}(c, c')$ . Therefore,  $c$  and  $c'$  are isomorphic objects in  $\mathbb{C}$  which are mapped to the same object by  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , so that by the  $\Delta$  lifting problem, necessarily  $c = c'$ . Hence, indeed  $f$  is injective on objects.

Second, we show that  $f$  is surjective on objects. Let  $d \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then because  $f$  is dense, there exists some Cauchy sequence  $\{f(c_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $d$  is a limit of  $\{f(c_n)\}$ . Then by [Proposition A.14](#),  $\{c_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ , as  $f$  is an isometry. Thus, we can construct a lifting problem.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seq} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{C} \\ \iota_{\text{Seq}} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \overline{\text{Seq}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

The top arrow  $\nu$  picks out some equivalent subsequence  $\{\nu(n)\}$  of  $\{c_n\}$  (such an arrow exists by [Proposition A.25](#)). By [Proposition A.14](#), we therefore have that  $\{f(\nu(n))\}$  is equivalent to  $\{f(c_n)\}$ , which has  $d$  as a limit, and so  $d$  is likewise a limit of  $\{f(\nu(n))\}$ , so that [Lemma A.28](#) gives us an arrow  $\psi : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  sending  $\ell_{\{n\}}$  to  $d$  such that the diagram commutes.

Now, since  $f$  is a fibration, it has the right lifting property with respect to  $\iota_{\text{Seq}}$ , so that there exists some  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $L : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f \circ L = \psi$  and  $L \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \nu$ , in which case we have

$$d = \psi(\ell_{\{n\}}) = f(L(\ell_{\{n\}})),$$

meaning  $d$  is indeed in the image of  $f$ . Therefore,  $f$  is strictly surjective.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.**  $\{\Gamma, \Delta, \iota_{\text{Seq}}\}_\perp = \{\Delta, \iota_{\text{Seq}}\}_\perp$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\iota_{\text{Seq}} \subseteq \Gamma_\perp$ .

Let  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D} \in \mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$  and  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  such that  $f$  has the right lifting property with respect to  $\iota_{\text{Seq}}$ . Then we want to show that  $f \in \Gamma_\perp$ . Suppose we have a lifting problem in  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$  of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{C} \\ \Gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbb{I} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Then consider the following diagram, where the arrow  $\varphi : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  sends every element in  $\overline{\text{Seq}}$  to  $a_1 = \Gamma(*)$ , except  $\ell_{\{n\}}$ , which is sent to  $a_2$ . Since  $\mathbb{I}(a_1, a_2) = 0$ ,  $\varphi$  is clearly a short map.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Seq} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & * & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{C} \\ \iota_{\text{Seq}} \downarrow & & \Gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \overline{\text{Seq}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{I} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

It is not hard to see that this diagram commutes, and  $f \in \iota_{\text{Seq}\perp}$ , so that there exists a lift  $L : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f \circ L = \psi \circ \varphi$  and  $L \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \nu \circ \mu$ . Then define a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\delta : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\delta(a_1) = \nu(*)$  and  $\delta(a_2) = L(\ell_{\{n\}})$ .

First, we show that  $f \circ \delta = \psi$ . Indeed, we have:

$$f(\delta(a_1)) = f(\nu(*)) = \psi(\Gamma(*)) = \psi(a_1),$$

and

$$f(\delta(a_2)) = f(L(\ell_{\{n\}})) = \psi(\varphi(\ell_{\{n\}})) = \psi(a_2).$$

Second, we show that  $\delta \circ \Gamma = \nu$ . Indeed, we have:

$$\delta(\Gamma(*)) = \delta(a_1) = \nu(*).$$

Finally, we show that  $\delta$  is a short map. It suffices to show that  $\mathbb{C}(\delta(a_1), \delta(a_2)) = 0$ . Suppose for the sake of a contradiction that  $\mathbb{C}(\delta(a_1), \delta(a_2)) = \varepsilon > 0$ . Then by [Corollary A.19](#),  $\ell_{\{n\}}$  is a limit of  $\{\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n)\}$ , so that  $L(\ell_{\{n\}})$  is a limit of  $\{L(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n))\}$  by [Proposition A.14](#). Then that means there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \geq N$ , we have

$$\mathbb{C}(L(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n)), L(\ell_{\{n\}})) < \varepsilon.$$

Yet,  $L \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \nu \circ \mu$ , so that

$$\mathbb{C}(L(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n)), L(\ell_{\{n\}})) = \mathbb{C}(\nu(\mu(n)), L(\ell_{\{n\}})) = \mathbb{C}(\nu(*), \delta(a_2)) = \mathbb{C}(\delta(a_1), \delta(a_2)) < \varepsilon,$$

a contradiction of the fact that  $\mathbb{C}(\delta(a_1), \delta(a_2)) = \varepsilon$ .

Therefore, it must have been true that  $\mathbb{C}(\delta(a_1), \delta(a_2)) = 0$  in the first place;  $\delta$  is indeed a solution to the lifting problem so that  $f \in \Gamma_{\perp}$ .  $\square$

**5.1. Axiom (M1).** This model has the same weak equivalences as the model in the preceding section, and so the same proof of (M1) applies.

**5.2. Axiom (M2).** Again, the fact that  $\mathcal{W}$  is closed under retracts follows from the proof of the same statement from the previous model structure. Clearly,  $\text{Cof}$  is closed under retracts as every morphism is a cofibration. Finally, as  $\text{Fib}$  is characterized as the set of morphisms with the right lifting property with respect to some other set of morphisms, it is closed under retracts.

**5.3. Axiom (M3).** Suppose we have a lifting problem in  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$  of the following form,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C} \\ \iota \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{B} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

where  $\iota$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is a fibration. Then we claim if either is a weak equivalence, then the lifting problem has a solution.

**Case 1:** Suppose  $\pi$  is a weak equivalence. By [Proposition 5.2](#),  $\pi$  is an isomorphism, so a lift exists.

**Case 2:** Suppose  $\iota$  is a weak equivalence. We construct a lift  $L : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $b \in \mathbb{B}$ .

- Since  $\iota$  is fully-faithful and dense, we pick some Cauchy sequence  $\overline{a_b} = \{(a_b)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{A}$  with  $b$  as a limit of  $\iota(\overline{a_b})$ . If there exists some  $a_b \in \mathbb{A}$  with  $\iota(a_b) = b$ , take  $\overline{a_b}$  to be the constant sequence on  $a_b$ .
- By [Proposition A.25](#), we can define a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\nu_b : \text{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which picks out a subsequence  $\{\nu_b(n)\}$  of the Cauchy sequence  $f(\overline{a_b})$ . Note that if  $\iota(a_b) = b$ , then  $\nu_b$  is the constant functor on  $f(a_b)$ .

- Since the Cauchy sequence  $\{nu_b(n)\}$  is equivalent to  $f(\overline{a_b})$ , we know  $\{\pi(\nu_b(n))\}$  is equivalent to  $\pi(f(\overline{a_b})) = g(\iota(\overline{a_b}))$ , which has  $g(b)$  as a limit, so that  $\{\pi(\nu_b(n))\}$  has  $g(b)$  as a limit as well ([Proposition A.14](#)). Therefore by [Lemma A.28](#), we may construct some functor  $\psi_b : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  sending  $\ell_{\{n\}} \mapsto g(b)$  so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seq} & \xrightarrow{\nu_b} & \mathbb{C} \\ \iota_{\text{Seq}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \overline{\text{Seq}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_b} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Note that if  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , then according to our earlier choices  $\nu_b$  is necessarily the constant functor on  $f(a_b)$ , so that  $\psi_b \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \pi \circ \nu_b$  is the constant functor on  $\pi(f(a_b)) = g(b)$ . In particular, we may explicitly define  $\psi_b$  to be the constant functor on  $g(b)$ .

- Since  $\pi$  is a fibration, it has the right lifting property with respect to  $\iota_{\text{Seq}}$ , so that there exists some functor  $L_b : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $L_b \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \nu_b$  and  $\psi_b = \pi \circ L_b$ .

If  $b$  is in the image of  $\iota$ , then we may explicitly define  $L_b$  to be the constant  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor on  $f(a_b)$ . Then  $L_b \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}}$  and  $\nu_b$  are both the constant functor on  $f(a_b)$  and  $\psi_b$  and  $\pi \circ L_b$  are both the constant functor on  $\pi(f(a_b)) = g(b)$ , so that indeed  $L_b$  determines a solution to the lifting problem.

If  $b$  is not in the image of  $\iota$ , then fix any choice of solution  $L_b$ .

With this, we may define  $L : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $L(b) := L_b(\ell_{\{n\}})$ . It is somewhat tedious yet straightforward to verify that  $L$  is a short map satisfying  $L \circ \iota = f$  and  $\pi \circ L = g$ .

**5.4. Axiom (M4).** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor. Define an  $\mathbb{R}$ -category  $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{D}$ . Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\iota := f$  and another  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\pi := \text{Id}_{\mathbb{D}}$ . It follows from the definition that this is the desired factorization.

**5.5. Axiom (M5).** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor. We construct a small  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+$  functors  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  and  $\pi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\iota$  is a trivial cofibration,  $\pi$  is a fibration, and  $\pi \circ \iota = f$ .

Let the objects of  $\mathbb{L}$  be equivalence classes of pairs  $(\overline{x}, L)$ , where  $\overline{x}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $L \in \mathbb{D}$  is a limit of  $f(\overline{x})$ , under the equivalence relation given by

$$(\overline{x}, L_x) \sim (\overline{y}, L_y) \iff \overline{x} \sim \overline{y} \text{ and } L_x = L_y.$$

This gives an equivalence relation by [Proposition A.13](#). We denote the equivalence class of a pair  $(\overline{x}, L)$  under this relation by  $[\overline{x}, L]$ , and define the hom-objects by

$$\mathbb{L}([\{x_n\}, L_x], [\{y_n\}, L_y]) = \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\{x_n\}}, \ell_{\{y_n\}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n).$$

The construction is well-defined by [Proposition A.17](#), and  $\mathbb{L}$  is a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category since  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  is symmetric.

Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  by  $\iota(c) := [\overline{c}, f(c)]$ . It follows from construction that  $\iota$  is a fully-faithful  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor. Proof that  $\iota$  is dense follows from [Lemma A.10](#). Thus  $\iota$  is a trivial cofibration. Define an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\pi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  by  $\pi([\overline{x}, L]) = L$ .  $\pi$  is well-defined by construction. First, we claim that  $\pi$  is a short map. Verification that  $\pi$  is a short map follows using previous strategies, [Proposition A.6](#), and [Lemma A.21](#).

To show that  $\pi$  is a fibration. By [Proposition 5.3](#) it is sufficient to prove that  $\pi$  has the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$  and  $\iota_{\text{Seq}}$ . To prove that  $\pi$  has the right lifting property with respect to  $\Delta$ , suppose  $[\overline{x}, L_x]$  and  $[\overline{y}, L_y]$  are isomorphic objects in  $\mathbb{L}$  which map via  $\pi$  to the same object in  $\mathbb{D}$ . It suffices to show they are equal. Since they are isomorphic,  $\mathbb{L}([\{x_n\}, L_x], [\{y_n\}, L_y]) = 0$ . Since  $\pi([\{x_n\}, L_x]) = \pi([\{y_n\}, L_y])$ , necessarily  $L_x = L_y$ . Hence,  $[\{x_n\}, L_x] = [\{y_n\}, L_y]$ .

Now, we want to prove that  $\pi$  has the right lifting property with respect to  $\iota_{\text{Seq}}$ . Suppose we have a lifting problem in  $\mathbb{R}_+\text{-Cat}^{\text{sym}}$  of the following form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seq} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{L} \\ \iota_{\text{Seq}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \overline{\text{Seq}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote  $\nu(n)$  by the equivalence class  $[(\overline{x}_n, L_n)]$  where  $\overline{x}_n$  denotes the Cauchy sequence  $\{x_{n,m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will construct a lift  $L : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ .

There exists an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\varphi : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  given by  $[(\bar{x}, L)] \mapsto \ell_{\bar{x}}$ , which is well-defined by [Proposition A.17](#). This functor is fully faithful. Since  $\{\nu(n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{L}$ ,  $\{\varphi(\nu(n))\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  by [Proposition A.14](#), so there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\bar{z} = \{z_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\ell_{\bar{z}}$  is a limit of  $\{\varphi(\nu(n))\}$ .

We claim that  $[\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}})]$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\nu(n)\}$  in  $\mathbb{L}$ . We leave the verification  $[\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}})]$  is in  $\mathbb{L}$  to the reader. Since  $\ell_{\bar{z}}$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\varphi(\nu(n))\}$  and  $\varphi$  is an isometry, we get that  $[\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}})]$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\nu(n)\}$  by [Proposition A.14](#). Therefore, by [Lemma A.28](#), there exists an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $L : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  sending the presheaf  $\ell_{\{n\}}$  to  $[\bar{z}, \psi(\ell_{\{n\}})]$  such that  $L \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}} = \nu$ . It remains to show that  $\pi \circ L = \psi$ , proof of which is left to the reader with help of [Corollary A.27](#).

Thus,  $\pi$  is a fibration and  $\iota$  is a trivial cofibration, it remains to show that  $\pi \circ \iota = f$ . Given  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$\pi(\iota(c)) = \pi([\widehat{c}, f(c)]) = f(c).$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *The Cauchy metric model structure is the unique model structure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat in which*

- (1) *the weak equivalences are the fully faithful and dense, and*
- (2) *The fibrant cofibrant objects are precisely those Cauchy complete symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories which satisfy the identity of indiscernibles.*

*Proof.* The proof follows by similar techniques to the proof of [Proposition 3.16](#). □

## APPENDIX A. ANALYSIS IN $\mathbb{R}_+$ -Cat

In this appendix, we collect technical results on limits and Cauchy sequences in Lawvere metric spaces. Much of this material closely parallels the analysis of metric spaces, but because of key distinctions, care must be taken in generalizing results to this setting. Note that basic arithmetic operations (+, −, etc.) use different conventions in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories than in more conventional metric spaces. As such, a number of results are proven in cases, depending on whether distances are infinite. Note also that the limit of a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is only ever  $\infty$  when that sequence eventually becomes constant on  $\infty$ . The proofs of many of the statements below are entirely similar to their metric-space analogues or are entirely straightforward, in which case no proof is given.

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then  $(a + b) - a \leq b$  and  $|(a - b) - c| \leq |a - c| + |b|$ . In particular  $|a - b| < |a| + |b|$ .*

*Proof.* These follow immediately for finite values, and can be easily checked for infinite values. □

**Proposition A.2.** *Given a small  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ , the Yoneda embedding  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}$  as defined in [Definition 1.26](#) is fully-faithful.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ . By unravelling definitions, we easily get that

$$\mathbb{R}_{+\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Y}(x), \mathcal{Y}(y)) \leq \mathbb{C}(x, y).$$

The other inequality follows by an application of the triangle inequality and [Lemma A.1](#) (which together imply the reverse triangle inequality):

$$(\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Y}(x), \mathcal{Y}(y)) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \max(\mathbb{C}(z, y) - \mathbb{C}(z, x), 0) \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \max(\mathbb{C}(x, y), 0) = \mathbb{C}(x, y). \quad \square$$

**Proposition A.3.** *Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence with a limit  $c$  in a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then given any  $c' \in \mathbb{C}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The objects  $c$  and  $c'$  are isomorphic (i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}(c, c') = \mathbb{C}(c', c) = 0$ )*
- (2) *The object  $c'$  is also a limit of  $\{x_n\}$ .*

*In particular, if  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfies the identity of indiscernibles, then limits in  $\mathbb{C}$  are unique.*

**Lemma A.4.** *Given a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched category  $\mathbb{C}$  and two Cauchy sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the following limit exists.*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n)$$



*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.  $\{\mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , so in order to show it has a unique limit it suffices to show it is Cauchy<sup>1</sup>. Choose  $N$  such that for  $n, m \geq N$   $\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m)$  and  $\mathbb{C}(y_n, y_m)$  are both bounded above by  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Let  $n, m \geq N$ , and suppose without loss of generality that  $\mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) \geq \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) - \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m)| &\leq (\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) + \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n)) - \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m) \\ &\leq (\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) + (\mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m) + \mathbb{C}(y_m, y_n))) - \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m). \end{aligned}$$

In the case where  $\mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m) = \infty$ , it follows immediately that  $|\mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) - \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m)| = 0 < \varepsilon$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m) < \infty$ , then the fact that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences implies that every term in the expression

$$(\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) + (\mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m) + \mathbb{C}(y_m, y_n))) - \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m).$$

is finite, and so addition associates with subtraction. A quick computation then shows that  $|\mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) - \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_m)| < \varepsilon$ , so that  $\mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition A.5.** *Given two sequences  $\bar{a} = \{a_n\}$  and  $\bar{b} = \{b_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with limits  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, the limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n)$$

*exists and is equal to  $A + B$ .*

*Proof.* The case when the limits  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$ , respectively, are finite follow immediately from the usual analysis of metric spaces. On the other hand, if the limit of  $\{a_n\}$  is infinite, then  $\{a_n\}$  is eventually constant on  $\infty$ . This means that, necessarily,  $\{a_n + b_n\}$  is eventually constant on  $\infty$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition A.6.** *Given two convergent sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $b_n \leq a_n$  for all  $n \geq N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n.$$

**Proposition A.7.** *If  $\{x_n\}$  is a convergent sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x_n \leq M$  for all  $n \geq N$  (i.e., if  $\{x_n\}$  is eventually bounded above by  $M$ ), then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq M.$$

**Lemma A.8.** *Given a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  and three Cauchy sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$ , and  $\{z_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, c_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n)$$

*and*

$$(2) \quad \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, c_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n) \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n).$$

*Proof.* We first show [Equation 1](#). Note that for all  $n$  by [Lemma A.4](#) (existence) and [Proposition A.5](#)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n) + \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n).$$

For all  $n$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, c_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n),$$

so that by [Proposition A.6](#) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, c_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n) + \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, c_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n) &\leq \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n) \right) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b_n, c_n) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by [Lemma A.1](#). [Equation 1](#) follows. [Equation 2](#) follows by symmetry.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>This is because  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is a complete extended metric space, i.e., every Cauchy sequence has a limit

**Lemma A.9.** *Given a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  and two Cauchy sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) = L < \infty,$$

*then given any other Cauchy sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, z_n) = \infty \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(y_n, z_n) = \infty.$$

*Proof.* By symmetry, it suffices to show one direction. Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, z_n) = S < \infty$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(y_n, z_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{C}(y_n, x_n) + \mathbb{C}(x_n, z_n)) = L + S < \infty. \quad \square$$

**Lemma A.10.** *Given a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched category  $\mathbb{C}$  and two Cauchy sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n).$$

*Proof.* First, note that by [Lemma A.4](#), we know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n)$  indeed exists. Define  $D \in \mathbb{R}_+$  to be this limit.

Define a sequence  $\{L_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  where

$$L_m := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n).$$

By [Lemma A.4](#), each  $L_m$  is indeed a well-defined number in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , as  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence (in particular, this follows by applying the [Lemma A.4](#) to the case where one sequence is constant). Then the statement we want to show becomes

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L_m = D.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there exists some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq M$  we have

$$\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Fix  $m \geq M$ . We know

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

by [Lemmas A.4](#) and [A.7](#), as  $\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq M$ . Thus, by [Lemma A.9](#), since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m)$$

is finite, we know that

$$L_m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) = \infty \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) = D = \infty.$$

Hence, we may split the remaining proof into the following two cases:

**Case 1:**  $L_m = D = \infty$ . In this case, we have that for all  $m \geq M$  that

$$|L_m - D| = |\infty - \infty| = |0| = 0 < \varepsilon.$$

**Case 2:**  $D, L_m < \infty$ . In this case we have

$$|L_m - D| = \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) \right| \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

where  $(*)$  follows by [Lemma A.8](#).

In both cases, we have shown that for all  $m \geq M$ , that

$$|L_m - D| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we know

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L_m = D = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n). \quad \square$$

**Lemma A.11.** *Let  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  and  $\bar{y} = \{y_n\}$  be sequences in an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then*

- (1) *Every subsequence of  $\bar{x}$  is equivalent to  $\bar{x}$ .*
- (2) *If  $\bar{x}$  is equivalent to  $\bar{y}$ ,  $\bar{x}$  is Cauchy if and only if  $\bar{y}$  is.*
- (3) *If  $\bar{x}$  is equivalent to  $\bar{y}$ ,  $L$  is a limit of  $\bar{x}$  if and only if  $L$  is a limit of  $\bar{y}$ .*

**Lemma A.12.** *Let  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence such that each  $x_n$  is a limit of some sequence  $\overline{z(n)} = \{z(n)_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . There exists a diagonal sequence  $\bar{d} = \{d_n\}$  where each  $d_n$  is in  $\overline{z(n)}$  and  $\bar{d} \sim \bar{x}$ .*

**Proposition A.13.** *Equivalence of Cauchy sequences as in [Definition 1.30](#) is an equivalence relation on the set of Cauchy sequences in a (small) symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Reflexivity is immediate from the definition, and symmetry follows from the symmetry of  $\mathbb{C}$  as an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category. Transitivity follows from [Proposition A.5](#).  $\square$

**Proposition A.14.** *Given a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ ,*

- (1)  *$f$  sends Cauchy sequences to Cauchy sequences.*
- (2)  *$f$  sends a limit of a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  to a limit of  $\{f(x_n)\}$ .*
- (3)  *$f$  sends equivalent sequences in  $\mathbb{C}$  to equivalent sequences in  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

*Furthermore, if  $f$  is an isometry it reflects Cauchy sequences, limits, and equivalent sequences in its image.*

*Proof.* We show (1), as the proofs of (2) and (3) follow using an entirely similar strategy. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N$ ,

$$\max(\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m), \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n)) < \varepsilon.$$

Then for all  $n, m \geq N$  we have

$$\max(\mathbb{D}(f(x_n), f(x_m)), \mathbb{D}(f(x_m), f(x_n))) \leq \max(\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m), \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n)) < \varepsilon,$$

so that  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is indeed a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.15.** *Given a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  and a Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the function  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  of [Definition 1.31](#) is a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor from  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .*

*Proof.* We wish to show that

$$\mathbb{C}(a, b) \geq \mathbb{R}_+(\ell_{\bar{x}}(a), \ell_{\bar{x}}(b))$$

holds for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_+(\ell_{\bar{x}}(a), \ell_{\bar{x}}(b)) &= \max\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b, x_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a, x_n), 0\right) \\ &\leq \max\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(b, a), 0\right) && \text{(Lemma A.8)} \\ &= \max(\mathbb{C}(b, a), 0) \\ &= \mathbb{C}(b, a) = \mathbb{C}(a, b). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Proposition A.16.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category and  $f : \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -enriched presheaf. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The presheaf  $f$  is the limit (in  $(\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}$ ) of a Cauchy sequence of objects in the image of the Yoneda embedding.*
- (2) *The presheaf  $f$  has a dual in the sense of [Definition 1.32](#).*
- (3) *There is a Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = \ell_{\bar{x}}$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that the argument of [[1](#), Example 3] extends, *mutatis mutanda*, to show the equivalence of (2) and (3). We now show that (1)  $\implies$  (3). Let  $f$  be a limit of some Cauchy sequence  $\{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\}$  in  $(\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}$ , where  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . In particular, the Yoneda embedding  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a fully-faithful  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor (an isometry) by [Proposition A.2](#), so by [Proposition A.14](#),  $\bar{x}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We claim that  $f = \ell_{\bar{x}}$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then we want to show

$$\ell_{\bar{x}}(c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_n) = f(c),$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Because  $f$  is a limit of the sequence  $\{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\}$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n \geq N \implies \max((\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(f, \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)), (\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n), f)) < \varepsilon.$$

Then, given any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(c) - \mathbb{C}(c, x_n)| &= \max(\mathbb{R}_+(f(c), \mathbb{C}(c, x_n)), \mathbb{R}_+(\mathbb{C}(c, x_n), f(c))) \\ &\leq \max\left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{R}_+(f(z), \mathbb{C}(z, x_n)), \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{R}_+(\mathbb{C}(z, x_n), f(z))\right) \\ &= \max((\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(f, \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)), (\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n), f)) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, indeed the sequence  $\{\mathbb{C}(c, x_n)\}$  limits to  $f(c)$ , so that  $\ell_{\bar{x}}(c) = f(c)$ . Hence,  $f = \ell_{\bar{x}}$ , we have shown (1)  $\implies$  (3).

We now wish to show that (3)  $\implies$  (1). Let  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  be the presheaf determined by some Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then by [Proposition A.14](#), since  $\bar{x}$  is a Cauchy sequence, so is  $\{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\}$ . We claim  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  is a limit of this sequence. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We want to find some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(3) \quad \max((\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n), \ell_{\bar{x}}), (\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\bar{x}}, \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n))) < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\bar{x}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ , we have

$$\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then given  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max((\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n), \ell_{\bar{x}}), (\mathbb{R}_+)_{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\bar{x}}, \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n))) \\ &= \max\left(\sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{R}_+ \left(\mathbb{C}(c, x_n), \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_m)\right), \sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{R}_+ \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_m), \mathbb{C}(c, x_n)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \max\left(\sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left| \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_m) - \mathbb{C}(c, x_n) \right|, \sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left| \mathbb{C}(c, x_n) - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_m) \right|\right) \\ &= \sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left| \mathbb{C}(c, x_n) - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_m) \right| \\ &= \sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left| \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_n) - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_m) \right| \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(*)$  follows by [Lemma A.8](#). Since  $\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $m \geq N$  (because  $n \geq N$ ), we have by [Proposition A.6](#) that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, the desired inequality ([Equation 3](#)) has been shown,  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  is the limit of the sequence  $\{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.17.** *Let  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  be Cauchy sequences in a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The Cauchy sequences  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are equivalent, i.e.  $\bar{x} \sim \bar{y}$ .*
- (2) *The presheaves  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  and  $\ell_{\bar{y}}$  are equal.*

*Proof.* First assume (1). Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary. It suffices to show that  $\ell_{\bar{x}}(c) = \ell_{\bar{y}}(c)$ . To that end, an application of the triangle inequality gives us the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\bar{x}}(c) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{C}(c, y_n) + \mathbb{C}(y_n, x_n)) && \text{(by [Proposition A.6](#))} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, y_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(y_n, x_n) && \text{(by [Proposition A.5](#))} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, y_n) = \ell_{\bar{y}}(c) && \text{(since } \bar{x} \sim \bar{y}\text{).} \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this exact same argument but with the role of  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  swapped yields the reverse inequality. Now assume (2). Then we would have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) \right) && \text{(by [Lemma A.10](#))} \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) \right) && \text{(since } \ell_{\bar{x}}(x_m) = \ell_{\bar{y}}(x_m)\text{)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, x_n) = 0 && \text{(by [Lemma A.10](#)),} \end{aligned}$$

so that indeed  $\bar{x} \sim \bar{y}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.18.** *Given a small  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  and two Cauchy sequences  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  and  $\bar{y} = \{y_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\bar{x}}, \ell_{\bar{y}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n).$$

*Proof.* By [Lemma A.8](#), we have  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\overline{x}}, \ell_{\overline{y}}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n)$ . Now to show the converse, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\overline{x}}, \ell_{\overline{y}}) &= \sup_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \max \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(c, x_n), 0 \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n), 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of clarity, set

$$D := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n).$$

By [Lemma A.10](#), we know that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) = D \geq 0$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, x_n) = 0.$$

**Case 1:**  $D < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and choose  $M$  such that for  $m \geq M$

$$\left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - D \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Thus for  $m \geq M$ , we have

$$\left| \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) \right) - D \right| \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - D \right| + |\mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n)| < \varepsilon,$$

where  $(*)$  follows by [Lemma A.1](#). If  $D = 0$ , this immediately implies

$$\left| \max \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n), 0 \right) \right| \leq \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

If  $D > 0$ , then we can choose  $M$  large enough that

$$\begin{aligned} m \geq M &\implies \left| \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) \right) - D \right| < \min \left( \frac{D}{2}, \varepsilon \right) \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) > \frac{D}{2} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

In either case, we thus see that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n), 0 \right) = D$$

as desired.

**Case 2:**  $D = \infty$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m \geq M$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon.$$

and thus

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, y_n) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_m, x_n), 0 \right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max(\infty, 0) = \infty.$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary A.19.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a small symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category. Then,  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfies the identity of indiscernibles, and thus has unique limits when they exist. Furthermore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n) = \ell_{\overline{x}}$ .*

**Proposition A.20.** *Every Cauchy sequence in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  has a limit.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\ell_{\overline{x}_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . By [Corollary A.19](#), each  $\ell_{\overline{x}_n}$  is a limit of the sequence  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{x}_n)$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . By [Lemma A.12](#), we can therefore pick a diagonal sequence  $\overline{d}$  in the image of  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  where  $\overline{d} \sim \{\ell_{\overline{x}_n}\}$ . By [Corollary A.19](#),  $\overline{d}$  has a limit, therefore so does  $\{\ell_{\overline{x}_n}\}$  by [Lemma A.11](#).  $\square$

**Lemma A.21.** *Given a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$  and two Cauchy sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  with limits  $A$  and  $B$  respectively in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(a_n, b_n) = \mathbb{C}(A, B).$$

*Proof.* This follows from [Proposition A.18](#) and [Corollary A.19](#).  $\square$

**Proposition A.22.** *Given an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  between small, symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -categories, there exists a unique induced  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\bar{f} : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  such that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{D} \\ \iota_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{\mathbb{D}} \\ \bar{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \bar{\mathbb{D}} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* It's straightforward to verify that  $\ell_{\{x_n\}} \mapsto \ell_{\{f(x_n)\}}$  defines a valid  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $\bar{f}$  such that the above diagram commutes. It only remains to show that  $\bar{f}$  is unique. Suppose there existed some  $G : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  such that  $G \circ \iota_{\mathbb{C}} = \iota_{\mathbb{D}} \circ f$ . By [Corollary A.19](#), in order to show that  $\bar{f} = G$ , it suffices to show that

$$\bar{\mathbb{D}}(\bar{f}(\ell_{\bar{x}}), G(\ell_{\bar{x}})) = 0$$

for all  $\ell_{\bar{x}} \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ . Given a Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{D}}(\bar{f}(\ell_{\bar{x}}), G(\ell_{\bar{x}})) &= \bar{\mathbb{D}}\left(\bar{f}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\right), G\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\right)\right) && \text{(Corollary A.19)} \\ &= \bar{\mathbb{D}}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}(\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n))\right) && \text{(Proposition A.14)} \\ &= \bar{\mathbb{D}}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iota_{\mathbb{D}}(f(x_n)), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iota_{\mathbb{D}}(f(x_n))\right) = 0. && \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition A.23.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -equivalence of categories.
- (2) Every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  has a limit.

Furthermore, if either of the above equivalent conditions hold, we say that  $\mathbb{C}$  is Cauchy complete.

*Proof.* First, we show (1)  $\implies$  (2). Let  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  be an equivalence of categories, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then by [Proposition A.20](#),  $\{\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ , so that it has a limit  $f$  in  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then since  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  is an equivalence, it is essentially surjective, so that there exists  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(c) \cong f$ . Then by [Proposition A.3](#),  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(c)$  is likewise a limit of  $\{\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\}$ . Finally, by [Proposition A.14](#),  $c$  is a limit of  $\{x_n\}$ , as  $\iota$  is an isometry so that it reflects limits in its image.

Next, we show that (2)  $\implies$  (1). Suppose that every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  has a limit. Then we want to show that  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  is essentially surjective, as  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  is always a fully-faithful  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor. Let  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  be a presheaf in  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  determined by the Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\bar{x}$  has a limit  $c$ , so that  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(c)$  is a limit of  $\{\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\}$  by [Proposition A.14](#). Yet,  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  is also a limit of  $\{\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(x_n)\}$  ([Corollary A.19](#)) and limits in  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  are unique by [Corollary A.19](#), so that necessarily  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}(c) = \ell_{\bar{x}}$ . Therefore,  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  is *strictly* surjective.  $\square$

**Proposition A.24.** *Given an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $f$  is fully-faithful and dense.
- (2)  $\bar{f} : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -equivalence of categories.

*Proof.* We first show that (2)  $\implies$  (1). Suppose  $\bar{f}$  is an equivalence of categories. Since both  $\bar{f}$  and  $\iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  are fully-faithful, we know that  $\iota_{\mathbb{D}} \circ f = \bar{f} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{C}}$  is fully-faithful. Furthermore, since  $\iota_{\mathbb{D}}$  is fully-faithful, we have for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$  that

$$\mathbb{D}(f(x), f(y)) = \bar{\mathbb{D}}(\iota_{\mathbb{D}}(f(x)), \iota_{\mathbb{D}}(f(y))) = \mathbb{C}(x, y).$$

Hence,  $f$  is likewise fully-faithful.

Now, let  $d \in \mathbb{D}$ . Since  $\bar{f}$  is essentially surjective, there exists some Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  such that  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}(\bar{f}(\ell_{\bar{x}}), \iota_{\mathbb{D}}(d)) = 0$ . In particular, this means:

$$\bar{\mathbb{D}}(\bar{f}(\ell_{\bar{x}}), \iota_{\mathbb{D}}(d)) = \bar{\mathbb{D}}(\ell_{f(\bar{x})}, \ell_d) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(f(x_n), d) = 0,$$

where the second equality follows by [Proposition A.18](#). Hence,  $d$  is a limit of  $\{f(x_n)\}$ , and so  $f$  is dense.

We now show (1)  $\implies$  (2). Suppose  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is fully-faithful and dense. Let  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  and  $\bar{y} = \{y_n\}$  be Cauchy sequences in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We have

$$\bar{\mathbb{D}}(\bar{f}(\ell_{\bar{x}}), \bar{f}(\ell_{\bar{y}})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(f(x_n), f(y_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(x_n, y_n) = \bar{\mathbb{C}}(\ell_{\bar{x}}, \ell_{\bar{y}}),$$

where the first and last equality follow by [Proposition A.18](#). Hence,  $\bar{f}$  is fully-faithful.

We now claim that  $\bar{f}$  is strictly surjective. Let  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  be a presheaf in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  determined by some Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . We want to show that there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\bar{c}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\bar{f}(\ell_{\bar{c}}) = \ell_{\bar{x}}$ . Since  $f$  is dense, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a sequence  $\{(z_n)_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $x_n$  is a limit of  $\{f((z_n)_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then by [Lemma A.12](#), there is a sequence  $\bar{c} = \{c_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that the sequence  $\bar{d} := f(\bar{c})$  is equivalent to  $\bar{x}$ . Then because  $f$  is fully-faithful and  $\bar{d} = f(\bar{c})$  is a Cauchy sequence,  $\bar{c}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ , by [Proposition A.14](#). Then by [Proposition A.17](#)

$$\bar{f}(\ell_{\bar{c}}) = \ell_{f(\bar{c})} = \ell_{\bar{d}} = \ell_{\bar{x}}. \quad \square$$

The following proofs concern the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\text{Seq}$  defined in [Definition 4.1](#).

**Proposition A.25.** *Given a Cauchy sequence  $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , there exists an enriched  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \text{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that the Cauchy sequence  $\{f(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $\bar{x}$ .*

*Proof.* We define  $f(n)$  inductively, so that  $f(n) = x_{N_n}$ , where  $N_n > N_{n-1}$ . First, since  $\bar{x}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there exists some  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N_1$ , we have  $\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then set  $f(1) := x_{N_1}$ . Suppose  $f(n)$  has been defined to be  $x_{N_n}$  for some  $n \geq 1$  so that  $N_n > N_{n-1}$ . Then since  $\bar{x}$  is Cauchy, there exists some  $N_{n+1} > N_n$  such that if  $n, m \geq N_{n+1}$  then  $\mathbb{C}(x_n, x_m) < 2^{-(n+1)}$ . Then set  $f(n+1) := x_{N_{n+1}}$ . Note that by this construction necessarily  $N_n \geq n$  for all  $n$ . It is straightforward to check that  $f$  is a well-defined short map, and  $\{f(n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence equivalent to  $\bar{x}$ .  $\square$

**Remark A.26.** Every Cauchy sequence in  $\text{Seq}$  is either eventually constant or equivalent to  $\{n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**Corollary A.27.** *Every element of  $\overline{\text{Seq}}$  is equal to either  $\ell_{\hat{m}}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $\ell_{\{n\}}$ .*

**Lemma A.28.** *Given a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category  $\mathbb{C}$ , an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $f : \text{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  factors through the inclusion  $\iota_{\text{Seq}} : \text{Seq} \hookrightarrow \overline{\text{Seq}}$  (i.e., there exists some dashed map which makes the following diagram commute)*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seq} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C} \\ & \searrow \iota_{\text{Seq}} & \nearrow g \\ & \overline{\text{Seq}} & \end{array}$$

if and only if the sequence  $\{f(n)\}$  has a limit in  $\mathbb{C}$ , in which case the dashed map sends the presheaf  $\ell_{\{n\}}$  to a limit of  $\{f(n)\}$ . Furthermore, there exists a unique such  $g$  sending  $\ell_{\{m\}}$  to  $c$  for each distinct limit point  $c$  of  $\{f(n)\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -category and let  $f : \text{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor.

First, suppose that  $f$  factors through  $\overline{\text{Seq}}$  as  $g \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}}$ . Then since  $\ell_{\{n\}}$  is a limit of  $\{\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n)\}$  ([Corollary A.19](#)),  $g(\ell_{\{n\}})$  must be a limit of  $\{g(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(n))\} = \{f(n)\}$  by [Proposition A.14](#), so that indeed  $\{f(n)\}$  has a limit.

Conversely, suppose that  $\{f(n)\}$  has a limit  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . By [Corollary A.27](#), in order to define a  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -functor  $g : \overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , it suffices to define  $g(\ell_{\{n\}})$  and  $g(\ell_{\hat{m}})$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and show that  $g$  is a short map. It is then straightforward to see that we must define

$$\begin{aligned} g(\ell_{\{n\}}) &= c, \\ g(\ell_{\hat{m}}) &= f(m). \end{aligned}$$

in order to get a map  $\overline{\text{Seq}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfies  $f = g \circ \iota_{\text{Seq}}$  and sends  $\ell_{\{n\}} \mapsto c$ . It remains to show that  $g$  is a short map. Let  $\ell_{\bar{x}}$  and  $\ell_{\bar{y}}$  be two presheaves in  $\overline{\text{Seq}}$ . We wish to show that

$$\overline{\text{Seq}}(\ell_{\bar{x}}, \ell_{\bar{y}}) \geq \mathbb{C}(g(\ell_{\bar{x}}), g(\ell_{\bar{y}})).$$

In the case that  $\ell_{\bar{x}} = \ell_{\bar{y}}$ , this is clearly true. In the case that  $\ell_{\bar{x}} = \ell_{\hat{i}}$  and  $\ell_{\bar{y}} = \ell_{\hat{j}}$  for some distinct  $i, j \in \text{Seq}$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Seq}}(\ell_{\bar{x}}, \ell_{\bar{y}}) &= \overline{\text{Seq}}(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(i), \iota_{\text{Seq}}(j)) = \text{Seq}(i, j) \\ &\geq \mathbb{C}(f(i), f(j)) = \mathbb{C}(g(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(i)), g(\iota_{\text{Seq}}(j))) = \mathbb{C}(g(\ell_{\bar{x}}), g(\ell_{\bar{y}})). \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose  $\ell_{\bar{x}} = \ell_{\{n\}}$  and  $\ell_{\bar{y}} = \ell_{\bar{m}}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Seq}}(\ell_{\bar{x}}, \ell_{\bar{y}}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Seq}(n, m) && \text{(Proposition A.18)} \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}(f(n), f(m)) && \text{(Proposition A.6)} \\ &= \mathbb{C}(c, f(m)) && \text{(Lemma A.21)} \\ &= \mathbb{C}(g(\ell_{\bar{x}}), g(\ell_{\bar{y}})). \end{aligned}$$

By [Corollary A.27](#) and symmetry, we have covered all possible cases, so that indeed  $g$  is a short map.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Francis Borceux and Dominique Dejean. “Cauchy completion in category theory”. In: *Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques* 27.2 (1986), pp. 133–146. URL: [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC\\_1986\\_\\_27\\_2\\_133\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC_1986__27_2_133_0).
- [2] B. Fong and D.I. Spivak. *Seven Sketches in Compositionality: An Invitation to Applied Category Theory*. 2018.
- [3] T. Fritz. “Resource convertibility and ordered commutative monoids”. In: *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 27.6 (2017), pp. 850–938. DOI: [10.1017/S0960129515000444](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129515000444).
- [4] J. F. Jardine. *Metric spaces and homotopy types*. 2020. DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2012.09026](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2012.09026). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09026>.
- [5] Gregory Maxwell Kelly. *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*. Vol. 10. Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories. 2005.
- [6] F.W. Lawvere. “Metric spaces, generalized logic and closed categories”. In: *Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories* 1 (2002), pp. 1–37. URL: <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/1/tr1abs.html>.
- [7] *Model structures on Cat*. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/joyalscatlab/published/Model+structures+on+Cat> (visited on 07/01/2022).
- [8] Daniel G. Quillen. *Homotopical algebra*. Lecture notes in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [9] C. Rezk. *A model category for categories*. URL: <https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/~rezk/papers.html> (visited on 07/04/2022).
- [10] *The canonical model structure on Cat*. Secret Blogging Seminar. 2012. URL: <https://sbseminar.wordpress.com/2012/11/16/the-canonical-model-structure-on-cat/> (visited on 07/26/2022).