

# POLYTOPES, POLYHEDRA, AND THE FARKAS LEMMA

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

ABSTRACT. The Farkas lemma is proved and then applied to obtain a structure theorem for polyhedra. These notes are based on a talk in the New York Number Theory Seminar on October, 20, 2022.

## 1. NOTATION

For vectors  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  we write  $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{v}$  (and also  $\mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{u}$ ) if  $u_i \leq v_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . If  $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{v}$  and  $t \geq 0$ , then  $t\mathbf{u} \leq t\mathbf{v}$ . We denote both the *zero vector* in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and the zero  $m \times n$  matrix in  $\mathbf{R}^{m,n}$  by  $\mathbf{0}$ . The vector  $\mathbf{v}$  is *nonnegative* if  $\mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{0}$  and *nonpositive* if  $\mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{0}$ . The transpose of the column vector  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}$  is the row vector  $\mathbf{u}^t = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and

$$\mathbf{u}^t \mathbf{v} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i.$$

If  $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{u}^t \mathbf{v} \leq 0$ .

Let  $A^t$  denote the transpose of the matrix  $A$ . The matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$  is *nonnegative*, denoted  $A \geq \mathbf{0}$ , if  $a_{i,j} \geq 0$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , and *nonpositive*, denoted  $A \leq \mathbf{0}$ , if  $a_{i,j} \leq 0$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . The inequalities  $A \leq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{0}$  imply  $A\mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{0}$ .

## 2. CONVEX SETS AND POLYHEDRA

A subset  $X$  of a real vector space  $V$  is *convex* if  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2 \in X$  for all vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in X$  and all nonnegative numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  such that  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$ . The intersection of convex subsets of  $V$  is a convex set in  $V$ . For example, for every positive integer  $k$ , the set

$$\Delta_k = \left\{ \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^k : \lambda \geq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1 \right\}$$

---

*Date:* January 19, 2023.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A05, 52A20, 52A37, 52B11.

*Key words and phrases.* Convexity, Farkas lemma, polyhedra.

Supported in part by the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program, grant #63117-00 51.

is a convex subset of  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . The set  $X$  is convex if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i \in X$  for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k) \in X^k$ , and  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_k$ . We call  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i \in X$  a *convex combination* of the  $k$ -tuple  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)$ .

The intersection of convex subsets of a real vector space  $V$  is convex. The *convex hull* of a nonempty subset  $W$  of  $V$  is the intersection of all convex subsets of  $V$  that contain  $W$ . This is the set  $X = \text{conv}(W)$  of all convex combinations of  $k$ -tuples of elements of  $W$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . For  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , the standard basis vector  $\mathbf{e}_j \in \mathbf{R}^k$  is the vector whose  $j$ th coordinate is 1 and whose  $i$ th coordinate is 0 for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus \{j\}$ . The set  $\Delta_k$  is the convex hull of the set  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k\}$ . We define  $\text{conv}(\emptyset) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

The *closed half-space* in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  defined by the vector  $\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and the scalar  $b \in \mathbf{R}$  is the set

$$H(\mathbf{a}, b) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^n : \mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \leq b \right\}.$$

If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in H(\mathbf{a}, b)$  and if  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$ , then

$$\mathbf{a}^t (\lambda_1 \mathbf{x} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{y}) = \lambda_1 \mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{x} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{a}^t \mathbf{y} \leq \lambda_1 b + \lambda_2 b = b$$

and so  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{x} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{y} \in H(\mathbf{a}, b)$ . Thus, every closed half-space is convex.

If  $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $H(\mathbf{a}, b) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $H(\mathbf{a}, b) \neq \mathbf{R}^n$ . We have  $H(\mathbf{0}, b) = \emptyset$  if  $b < 0$  and  $H(\mathbf{0}, b) = \mathbf{R}^n$  if  $b \geq 0$ .

A *polyhedron* in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is the intersection of a finite number of closed half-spaces, that is, a set  $P$  of vectors  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$  whose coordinates satisfy a finite number  $m$  of linear inequalities of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} x_j \leq b_i$ . These inequalities define the  $m \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$  and the vector  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ , which generate the polyhedron  $P$  as follows:

$$P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m H(\mathbf{a}_i, b_i)$$

where  $\mathbf{a}_i^t$  is the  $i$ th row vector of  $A$ . If  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in P$  and if  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$  with  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}^2$ , then

$$A(\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2) = \lambda_1 A\mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 A\mathbf{x}_2 \leq \lambda_1 \mathbf{b} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}$$

and so every polyhedron is convex.

The inequality  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \geq b$  is equivalent to the inequality  $\sum_{j=1}^n (-a_j) x_j \leq -b$ , and the equation  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j = b$  is equivalent to the two inequalities  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \leq b$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n (-a_j) x_j \leq -b$ . Thus, the set of vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  that satisfy a finite number of inequalities of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \leq b$ , a finite number of inequalities of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \geq b$ , and a finite number of equations  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j = b$  is a polyhedron.

## 3. PROJECTIONS

For all  $n \geq 2$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , the *projection* of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  onto  $\mathbf{R}^{n-k}$  is the linear transformation  $\pi_{n,k} : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$  defined by

$$\pi_{n,k} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \\ x_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$(1) \quad \pi_{n,k} = \pi_{n-k+1,1} \cdots \pi_{n-1,1} \pi_{n,1}.$$

For example,

$$\pi_{4,1} \pi_{5,1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} = \pi_{4,1} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} = \pi_{5,2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $V$  and  $V'$  be real vector spaces and let  $T : V \rightarrow V'$  be a linear transformation. If  $X$  is a convex subset of  $V$ , then  $Y = T(X)$  is a convex subset of  $V'$ . In particular, the projection of a convex set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a convex set.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in Y$  and  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Delta_2$ . There exist  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in X$  such that  $T(\mathbf{x}_1) = \mathbf{y}_1$  and  $T(\mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{y}_2$ . If  $X$  is convex, then  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2 \in X$  and so

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{y}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{y}_2 = \lambda_1 T(\mathbf{x}_1) + \lambda_2 T(\mathbf{x}_2) = T(\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2) \in T(X) = Y.$$

Thus,  $Y$  is convex.

Every projection  $\pi_{n,k} : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$  is a linear transformation and so the projection of a convex set is convex. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *The projection of a polyhedron is a polyhedron.*

*Proof.* Identity (1) implies that it suffices to prove the Theorem for  $n \geq 2$  and  $k = 1$ . The projection  $\pi_{n,1} : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  is defined by

$$\pi_{n,1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}\}$  be the polyhedron in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  defined by the  $m \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$  and the vector  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ . We have  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in P$  if and only if the following  $m$  inequalities are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,1}x_1 + a_{1,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1,n}x_n &\leq b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + a_{2,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2,n}x_n &\leq b_2 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + a_{m,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{m,n}x_n &\leq b_m \end{aligned}$$

Partition the set  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{p \in S : a_{p,1} > 0\} \\ S_{-1} &= \{q \in S : a_{q,1} < 0\} \\ S_0 &= \{r \in S : a_{r,1} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in P$ . If  $p \in S_1$ , then

$$x_1 \leq -\frac{a_{p,2}}{a_{p,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{p,n}}{a_{p,1}}x_n + \frac{b_p}{a_{p,1}}.$$

If  $q \in S_{-1}$ , then

$$-\frac{a_{q,2}}{a_{q,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{q,n}}{a_{q,1}}x_n + \frac{b_q}{a_{q,1}} \leq x_1.$$

For all  $p \in S_1$  and  $q \in S_{-1}$  we have

$$-\frac{a_{q,2}}{a_{q,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{q,n}}{a_{q,1}}x_n + \frac{b_q}{a_{q,1}} \leq x_1 \leq -\frac{a_{p,2}}{a_{p,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{p,n}}{a_{p,1}}x_n + \frac{b_p}{a_{p,1}}$$

and so

$$(2) \quad \left(\frac{a_{p,2}}{a_{p,1}} - \frac{a_{q,2}}{a_{q,1}}\right)x_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{a_{p,n}}{a_{p,1}} - \frac{a_{q,n}}{a_{q,1}}\right)x_n \leq \frac{b_p}{a_{p,1}} - \frac{b_q}{a_{q,1}}.$$

For all  $r \in S_0$  we have

$$(3) \quad a_{r,2}x_2 + \dots + a_{r,n}x_n \leq b_r.$$

Thus, if  $\mathbf{x} \in P$ , then the vector  $\pi_{n,1}(\mathbf{x})$  is in the polyhedron defined by the linear inequalities (2) and (3) for all  $p, q$ , and  $r$ . There are  $|S_1| + |S_{-1}| + |S_0|$  such inequalities.

Conversely, if the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  satisfies inequalities (2) and (3) for all  $p, q$ , and  $r$ , then

$$(4) \quad -\frac{a_{q,2}}{a_{q,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{q,n}}{a_{q,1}}x_n + \frac{b_q}{a_{q,1}} \leq -\frac{a_{p,2}}{a_{p,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{p,n}}{a_{p,1}}x_n + \frac{b_p}{a_{p,1}}$$

for all  $p$  and  $q$ , and so

$$\max_{q \in S_{-1}} \left( -\frac{a_{q,2}}{a_{q,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{q,n}}{a_{q,1}}x_n + \frac{b_q}{a_{q,1}} \right) \leq \min_{p \in S_1} \left( -\frac{a_{p,2}}{a_{p,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{p,n}}{a_{p,1}}x_n + \frac{b_p}{a_{p,1}} \right).$$

The left side of this inequality is  $-\infty$  if  $S_{-1} = \emptyset$  and the right side of this inequality is  $\infty$  if  $S_1 = \emptyset$ . If  $x_1$  is any real number such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{q \in S_{-1}} \left( -\frac{a_{q,2}}{a_{q,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{q,n}}{a_{q,1}}x_n + \frac{b_q}{a_{q,1}} \right) \\ &\leq x_1 \leq \min_{p \in S_1} \left( -\frac{a_{p,2}}{a_{p,1}}x_2 - \dots - \frac{a_{p,n}}{a_{p,1}}x_n + \frac{b_p}{a_{p,1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

then the vector  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is in the polyhedron  $P$ , and  $\pi_{n,1}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ .

Thus, the projection of the polyhedron  $P$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is the polyhedron in  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  defined by the inequalities (2) and (3). This completes the proof.  $\square$

The method of proof of Theorem 1 is sometimes called *Fourier-Motzkin elimination*.

#### 4. CONVEX CONES

A *cone* in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a nonempty set  $C$  such that  $\lambda \mathbf{w} \in C$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in C$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ . If  $\mathbf{w} \in C$ , then  $\mathbf{0} = 0\mathbf{w} \in C$  and so every cone contains the zero vector. The set  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a cone. The cone  $C$  is bounded if and only if  $C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

A *convex cone* in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a cone that is convex. The set  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a convex cone. If the cone  $C$  is convex, then for all  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}' \in C$  we have

$$\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}' = 2 \left( \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}' \right) \in C.$$

Conversely, if  $C$  is a cone such that  $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}' \in C$  for all  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}' \in C$ , then for all  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Delta_2$  we have  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{w}, \lambda_2 \mathbf{w}' \in C$  and so  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{w} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{w}' \in C$ . Thus, the cone  $C$  is convex if and only if  $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}' \in C$  for all  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}' \in C$ .

The union of cones is a cone, but the union of convex cones is not necessarily convex. For example, the union of two distinct one-dimensional subspaces in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (that is, two lines passing through the origin) is a cone that is not convex.

The vector space  $\mathbf{R}^{n^2}$  can be viewed as the set of  $n \times n$  matrices. An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is *positive semidefinite* if  $\mathbf{x}^t A \mathbf{x} \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . The set of positive semidefinite matrices is a convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^{n^2}$ .

A *conic combination* of a finite sequence of vectors  $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a vector of the form  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{w}_n$  for some  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ . A nonempty set  $\mathcal{W}$  of vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  *conically generates* the cone  $C$  if  $C$  is the set of all conic combinations of finite sequences of elements of  $\mathcal{W}$ . We write  $C = \text{cone}(\mathcal{W})$  if  $C$  is the cone generated by  $\mathcal{W}$ . A cone is *finitely generated* if it is the set of all conic combinations of a finite set of vectors. The zero cone  $C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is the cone generated by the empty set of vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The cone generated by a nonempty set of vectors is convex.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a nonempty set of vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and let  $C = \text{cone}(\mathcal{W})$ . The set  $C$  is nonempty because  $\mathcal{W}$  is nonempty. A conic combination of conic combinations of finite sequences of vectors in  $\mathcal{W}$  is also a conic combination of a finite sequence of vectors in  $\mathcal{W}$ , and so  $C$  is a cone. In particular, if  $\mathbf{w} \in C$  and  $\mathbf{w}' \in C$ , then  $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}' \in C$ . Thus,  $C$  is convex. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $W$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix. The set*

$$C(W) = \{W\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$$

*is a convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* For  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , let  $\mathbf{w}_j \in \mathbf{R}^n$  be the the  $j$ th column vector of the matrix  $W$  and let  $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} C(W) &= \{W\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} \\ &= \{y_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + \dots + y_m \mathbf{w}_m : y_j \geq 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, m\} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $C(W)$  is the set of all conic combinations of the sequence of vectors  $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m)$ , that is,  $C(W) = \text{cone}(\mathcal{W})$ . By Theorem 2, the cone  $C(W)$  is convex. This completes the proof.  $\square$

A *polyhedral cone* in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a polyhedron that is a cone.

**Lemma 2.** *For every positive integer  $m$  and every  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , the polyhedron*

$$P(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}$$

*is a polyhedral cone. Every polyhedral cone in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is of this form for some matrix  $A$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $A(\lambda\mathbf{x}) = \lambda A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$  and so the polyhedron  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}$  is a cone.

Let  $A = (a_{i,j})$  and  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix}$ . Suppose that the polyhedron  $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}\}$  is a cone. We have  $\mathbf{0} \in P$  and so  $\mathbf{0} = A\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{b}$ . Therefore,  $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}$  implies  $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  and  $P$  contains the set  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}$ .

If  $P$  contains a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $A\mathbf{x} \not\leq \mathbf{0}$ , then the  $i$ th coordinate of the vector  $A\mathbf{x}$  is positive for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . This coordinate is  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}x_j$  and satisfies the inequality

$$0 < \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}x_j \leq b_i.$$

Because  $P$  is a cone, for all  $\lambda > 0$  we have  $\lambda\mathbf{x} \in P$  and so  $\lambda A\mathbf{x} = A(\lambda\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{b}$ . The  $i$ th coordinate of the vector  $\lambda A\mathbf{x}$  satisfies the inequality

$$0 < \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}x_j \leq b_i$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ , which is absurd. Therefore,  $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $V$  and  $V'$  be real vector spaces and let  $T : V \rightarrow V'$  be a linear transformation. If  $X$  is a cone in  $V$ , then  $Y = T(X)$  is a cone in  $V'$ . In particular, the projection of a cone in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a cone.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a cone in  $V$ . If  $\mathbf{w} \in T(C)$ , then  $\mathbf{w} = T(\mathbf{v})$  for some  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . For all  $\lambda \geq 0$  we have  $\lambda\mathbf{v} \in C$  and so

$$\lambda\mathbf{w} = \lambda T(\mathbf{v}) = T(\lambda\mathbf{v}) \in T(C)$$

and so  $T(C)$  is a cone.

Every projection  $\pi_{n,k} : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$  is a linear transformation and so the projection of a cone is a cone. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Notation.* For  $\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^p$  and  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_p \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in$

$\mathbf{R}^{p+n}$ . Let  $I_n$  be the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and  $\mathbf{0}_{p,n}$  the  $p \times n$  zero matrix.

**Theorem 4 (Weyl).** *Every finitely generated convex cone is a polyhedral cone.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be the convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  generated by the finite set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p\}$ . Let  $W$  be the  $n \times p$  matrix whose column vectors are  $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p)$ . Then

$$C = \{W\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Consider the set

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ W\mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p, \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \right\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{p+n}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p, \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} = W\mathbf{y} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n, -\mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{0}, W\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}, -W\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{R}^{p+n} : \tilde{W}\mathbf{z} \leq \mathbf{0} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{W}$  is the  $(p+2n) \times (p+n)$  matrix written in block form as

$$\tilde{W} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & \mathbf{0}_{p,n} \\ W & -I_n \\ -W & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that  $P$  is a polyhedral cone.

Let  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . The projection  $\pi_{p+n,p} : \mathbf{R}^{p+n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is defined by  $\pi_{p+n,p} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x}$ . We have the cone

$$\pi_{p+n,p}(P) = \{W\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} = C.$$

By Theorem 1, the set  $C = \pi_{p+n,p}(P)$  is a polyhedron, and so  $C$  is a polyhedral cone. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following result is an example of what is called a ‘‘theorem of the alternative.’’

**Theorem 5** (Farkas lemma). *Let  $W$  be an  $n \times p$  matrix and let  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Exactly one of the following two statements holds:*

(a) *There is a vector  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p$  such that*

$$\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad W\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}.$$

(b) *There is a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that*

$$\mathbf{v}^t W \leq \mathbf{0}^t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}^t \mathbf{b} > 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that alternative (a) holds and that  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p$  satisfies  $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$  and  $W\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$ . If  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{v}^t W \leq \mathbf{0}^t$ , then

$$\mathbf{v}^t \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{v}^t (W\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{v}^t W) \mathbf{y} \leq 0$$

and so alternative (b) does not hold.

Let  $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p$  be the column vectors of the matrix  $W$  and let

$$C(W) = \{W\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$$

be the convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  generated by the finite sequence  $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p)$ . By Weyl’s theorem (Theorem 4), the finitely generated convex cone  $C(W)$  is a polyhedral cone and so there is an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  such that

$$C(W) = P(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}.$$

If alternative (a) does not hold, then  $\mathbf{b} \notin C(W)$  and so  $A\mathbf{b} \not\leq \mathbf{0}$ . This means that the  $i$ th coordinate of the vector  $A\mathbf{b}$  is positive for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Let

$(a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n})$  be the  $i$ th row of the matrix  $A$  and let  $\mathbf{v} = (a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n})^t \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . The  $i$ th coordinate of  $A\mathbf{b}$  is  $\mathbf{v}^t\mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}b_j$  and so  $\mathbf{v}^t\mathbf{b} > 0$ .

For all  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ , the  $j$ th column vector  $\mathbf{w}_j = W\mathbf{e}_j$  belongs to the convex cone  $C(W)$ , and so  $A\mathbf{w}_j \leq \mathbf{0}$ . The vector  $A\mathbf{w}_j$  is the  $j$ th column vector of the matrix  $AW$ . Therefore, the  $m \times h$  matrix  $AW$  is nonnegative. Because  $\mathbf{v}^t$  is the  $i$ th row of  $A$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{v}^tW$  is the  $i$ th row of  $AW$  and so  $\mathbf{v}^tW \leq \mathbf{0}^t$ . Thus, alternative (b) holds if alternative (a) does not hold. This completes the proof of the Farkas lemma.  $\square$

## 5. A DUALITY

Associated to every  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  are two convex sets in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ : The polyhedral cone

$$P = P(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}$$

and the finitely generated convex cone

$$C = C(A^t) = \{A^t\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Consider, for example, the matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1^t = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the polyhedral cone

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_1) &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 \\ -x_2 \\ x_2 - x_1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : 0 \leq x_2 \leq x_1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and the convex cone

$$\begin{aligned} C(A_1^t) &= \{A^t\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^3 \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} : y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -y_1 - y_3 \\ -y_2 + y_3 \end{pmatrix} : y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $x_1 = -y_1 - y_3 \leq 0$  and  $x_2 = -y_2 + y_3 = -x_1 - y_1 - y_2 \leq -x_1$ , we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -y_1 - y_3 \\ -y_2 + y_3 \end{pmatrix} : y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0 \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x_1 \leq 0 \text{ and } x_2 \leq -x_1 \right\}.$$

If  $x_1 \leq 0$  and  $x_2 \leq -x_1$ , then choosing  $y_1 = 0$ ,  $y_2 = -x_1 - x_2 \geq 0$ , and  $y_3 = -x_1 \geq 0$  gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -y_1 - y_3 \\ -y_2 + y_3 \end{pmatrix} \in C(A_1^t)$$






FIGURE 1. The cone  $C(A_1^t)$  (blue) and the polyhedron  $P(A_1)$  (red)

and so

$$C(A_1^t) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x_1 \leq 0 \text{ and } x_2 \leq -x_1 \right\}.$$

By Weyl's theorem, the cone  $C(A_1^t)$  is a polyhedral cone. Indeed,  $C(A_1^t)$  is defined by the inequalities  $x_1 \leq 0$  and  $x_2 \leq -x_1$ . Consider the  $2 \times 2$  matrices

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B_1^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the polyhedral cone

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_1) &= \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : B_1 \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0} \} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x_1 \leq 0 \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 \leq 0 \right\} \\ &= C(A_1^t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(5) \quad \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : B_1 \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0} \} = \{ A_1^t \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^3 \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \}.$$

The  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $B_1^t$  defines the convex cone

$$\begin{aligned} C(B_1^t) &= \{ B_1^t \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^2 \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} : y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + y_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} : y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : 0 \leq x_2 \leq x_1 \right\} \\ &= P(A_1) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(6) \quad \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : A_1 \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\} = \{B_1^t \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^2 \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Relations (5) and (6) illustrate the following result.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix and let  $B$  be an  $n \times p$  matrix. If*

$$(7) \quad P(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\} = \{B\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} = C(B)$$

then

$$(8) \quad P(B^t) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : B^t \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\} = \{A^t \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} = C(A^t).$$

*Proof.* For  $j \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ , the  $j$ th column vector of  $B$  is

$$\mathbf{b}_j = B\mathbf{e}_j \in \{B\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$$

and so

$$\mathbf{b}_j \in \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}$$

by equation (7). The vector inequalities  $A\mathbf{b}_j \leq \mathbf{0}$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  imply the matrix inequality  $AB \leq \mathbf{0}$ . The transpose of the matrix  $AB$  is also nonpositive:

$$B^t A^t = (AB)^t \leq \mathbf{0}.$$

It follows that for all  $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$  we have

$$B^t (A^t \mathbf{y}) = (B^t A^t) \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{0}$$

and so

$$C(A^t) = \{A^t \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : B^t \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\} = P(B^t).$$

We must prove the reverse inclusion:  $P(B^t) \subseteq C(A^t)$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $B^t \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}$ . If  $A^t \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}$  for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m$  with  $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$ , then the Farkas Lemma (Theorem 5) implies that there exists a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that

$$(9) \quad (A\mathbf{v})^t = \mathbf{v}^t A^t \leq \mathbf{0}^t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}^t \mathbf{x} > 0.$$

The inequalities  $(A\mathbf{v})^t \leq \mathbf{0}^t$  and  $A\mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{0}$  are equivalent. Equation (7) implies  $\mathbf{v} = B\mathbf{y}$  for some  $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$ . The inequalities  $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{y}^t \geq \mathbf{0}^t$  are equivalent. From  $\mathbf{y}^t \geq \mathbf{0}^t$  and  $B^t \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}$  we obtain

$$\mathbf{v}^t \mathbf{x} = (B\mathbf{y})^t \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}^t B^t) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^t (B^t \mathbf{x}) \leq 0$$

which contradicts (9). Therefore,

$$P(B^t) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : B^t \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\} \subseteq \{A^t \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} = C(A^t).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We can now prove the converse of Weyl's Theorem.


**Theorem 7** (Minkowski). *Every polyhedral cone is a finitely generated convex cone.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Consider the polyhedral cone  $P(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\}$  and the finitely generated convex cone  $C(A^t) = \{A^t \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$ . By Weyl's theorem, the cone  $C(A^t)$  is polyhedral and so there is an  $n \times p$  matrix  $B$  such that

$$C(A^t) = \{A^t \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : B^t \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\} = P(B^t).$$

Theorem 6 implies that

$$P(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{0}\} = \{B\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^p \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\} = C(B)$$

FIGURE 2. The polyhedron  $P_1 = Q_1 + C_1$ 

and so  $P(A)$  is a finitely generated convex cone. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. STRUCTURE OF POLYHEDRA

A *polytope* in  $\mathbf{R}^k$  is the convex hull of a finite set of points in  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . For example, the set  $\Delta_k = \text{conv}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k)$  is a polytope. A triangle in  $\mathbf{R}^k$  is a polytope that is the convex hull of three non-collinear points. The convex hull of the set  $\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$  is the triangle

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} : 0 \leq x_1 \leq 2 \text{ and } 0 \leq x_2 \leq 2 - x_1 \right\}.$$

The triangle  $T$  is also convexly generated by the set  $\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$  and by the set  $\{(x, 0) : 0 \leq x \leq 2\} \cup \{(0, 2)\}$ .

The *sum* (sometimes called the *Minkowski sum*) of subsets  $C$  and  $Q$  in  $\mathbf{R}^k$  is the set

$$C + Q = \{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{u} \in C \text{ and } \mathbf{v} \in Q\}.$$

We shall prove that every polyhedron is the sum of a finitely generated convex cone and a polytope, and that, conversely, every sum of a finitely generated convex cone and a polytope is a polyhedron.

Here are two examples. Let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{3,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^3.$$


The polyhedron

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : A_1 \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_1\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} : x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

is the sum of the convex cone

$$C_1 = \{I_2 \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} : x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

and the polytope  $Q_1 = \Delta_2$ .

FIGURE 3. The polyhedron  $P_2 = Q_2 + C_2$  and the polytope  $Q_2$ 

Let

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{5,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^5.$$

The polyhedron

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &= \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : A_2 \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_2 \} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} : -4 \leq x_1 - x_2 \leq 4, x_1 + x_2 \geq 3, 2x_1 + x_2 \geq 4, x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 4 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

is the sum of the convex cone

$$C_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (x_1) : x_1 \geq 0 \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} : x_1 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

and the polytope

$$Q_2 = \left\{ \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_4 \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_4 \right\}.$$

**Theorem 8.** *A subset  $P$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a polyhedron if and only if there is a polytope  $Q$  and a finitely generated convex cone  $C$  such that  $P = Q + C$ .*

*Proof.* Every vector in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . For every  $\ell \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$  and vector  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_\ell \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^\ell$ , let  $(A|\mathbf{b})$  be the  $\ell \times (n+1)$  matrix whose  $i$ th row is  $(a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n}, b_i)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Every  $\ell \times (n+1)$  matrix  $\hat{A}$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\hat{A} = (A|\mathbf{b})$  for some  $\ell \times n$  matrix  $A$  and vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^\ell$ . For  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , the  $i$ th

coordinate of the vector  $(A|\mathbf{b}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^\ell$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}x_j + b_it$ . Thus, the vector inequality  $(A|\mathbf{b}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbf{0}$  is equivalent to the  $\ell$  inequalities

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}x_j \leq -tb_i$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  and so

$$(10) \quad (A|\mathbf{b}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbf{0} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathbf{Ax} \leq -t\mathbf{b}.$$

More generally, let  $A_k = (a_{i,j}^{(k)})$  be an  $m \times n_k$  matrix for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  and let  $n = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} n_k$ . Let

$$A = (A_1 | \dots | A_k | \dots | A_\ell)$$

be the  $m \times n$  matrix obtained by concatenating the matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_\ell$ . Thus, for  $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n_k\}$ , the  $(\sum_{r=1}^{k-1} n_r + j)$ th column of  $A$  is

$$\text{col}_j(A_k) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,j}^{(k)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m,j}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The coordinate in row  $i$  and column  $\sum_{r=1}^{k-1} n_r + j$  of the matrix  $A$  is  $a_{i,j}^{(k)}$ .

Let  $Q$  be a polytope in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and let  $C$  be a finitely generated convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We shall prove that the sumset  $P = Q + C$  is a polyhedron.

Let  $h \geq 2$  and let  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_h$  be vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . For  $k \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}$ , let  $\widehat{P}$  be the convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  conically generated by the finite sequence of vectors

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{P} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_h \geq 0 \right\}.$$

By Weyl's theorem (Theorem 4) and by relation (10), the finitely generated convex cone  $\widehat{P}$  is a polyhedral cone, and so there is an  $\ell \times n$  matrix  $A$  and a vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^\ell$  such that the  $\ell \times (n+1)$  matrix  $(A|\mathbf{b})$  satisfies

$$(11) \quad \widehat{P} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : (A|\mathbf{b}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbf{0} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : \mathbf{Ax} \leq -t\mathbf{b} \right\}.$$

Let  $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$  be a finite sequence of vectors that convexly generates the polytope  $Q$  and let  $(\mathbf{w}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_h)$  be a finite sequence of vectors that conically generates the cone  $C$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \in Q + C$ , then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_Q + \mathbf{x}_C$$

with

$$\mathbf{x}_Q = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in Q \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_C = \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in C$$

and

$$\lambda_j \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, k, k+1, \dots, h\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_Q \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_C \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\in \widehat{P}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (11) that  $A\mathbf{x} \leq -\mathbf{b}$  and so  $C + Q \subseteq \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq -\mathbf{b}\}$ .

Similarly, if  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $A\mathbf{x} \leq -\mathbf{b}$ , then  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widehat{P}$  and there exist nonnegative numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_h$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j = 1$  and so

$$\mathbf{x}_Q = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in Q \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_C = \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in C.$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_Q + \mathbf{x}_C \in Q + C$  and  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq -\mathbf{b}\} \subseteq Q + C$ . This proves that

$$Q + C = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq -\mathbf{b}\}$$

and so the sum of a polytope and a finitely generated convex cone is a polyhedron.

Conversely, we shall prove that every polyhedron is the sum of a finitely generated convex cone and a polytope. Let  $P$  be a polyhedron in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . There is an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$  and a vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^m$  such that

$$P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}\}.$$

Consider the  $(m+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix

$$\widehat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} & -b_1 \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2,n} & -b_2 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ a_{m,1} & a_{m,2} & \cdots & a_{m,n} & -b_m \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Lemma 2, the set  $\widehat{P}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  defined by

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{P} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n, t \geq 0, A\mathbf{x} \leq t\mathbf{b} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : \widehat{A} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ t \end{pmatrix} \leq \mathbf{0} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a polyhedral cone. We have  $\mathbf{x} \in P$  if and only if  $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  if and only if  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widehat{P}$  and so

$$P = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widehat{P} \right\}.$$

By Minkowski's theorem (Theorem 7), the polyhedral cone  $\widehat{P}$  is a finitely generated convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , and so there is an  $(n+1) \times h$  matrix  $W$  such that

$$\widehat{P} = \{W\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^h \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}.$$

The set  $\widehat{P}$  is conically generated by the set of columns of  $W$ . For  $j \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ , let  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ t_j \end{pmatrix}$  be the  $j$ th column of  $W$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_j \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $t_j \in \mathbf{R}$ . We have  $t_j \geq 0$  because  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ t_j \end{pmatrix} = W\mathbf{e}_j \in \widehat{P}$ .

Modify the matrix  $W$  as follows: If  $t_j > 0$ , then multiply the  $j$ th column of  $W$  by  $1/t_j > 0$ . If  $t_j = 0$ , do not change the  $j$ th column of  $W$ . We obtain a new matrix, which we shall also denote by  $W$ , whose  $j$ th column is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ \varepsilon_j \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\varepsilon_j = 0$  or  $1$ . Because the columns of the original matrix  $W$  have only been multiplied by positive numbers, the new matrix  $W$  still conically generates  $\widehat{P}$ . Renumber the vectors  $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_h$  so that

$$\{\mathbf{w}_j : \varepsilon_j = 1\} = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mathbf{w}_j : \varepsilon_j = 0\} = \{\mathbf{w}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_h\}.$$

Let  $Q$  be the polytope in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  convexly generated by the set  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$  and let  $C$  be the convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  conically generated by the set  $\{\mathbf{w}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_h\}$ . Let  $Q = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  if  $k = 0$ , that is,  $\{\mathbf{w}_j : \varepsilon_j = 1\} = \emptyset$ , and let  $C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  if  $k = h$ .

We have  $\mathbf{x} \in P$  if and only if  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widehat{P}$  if and only if there is a nonnegative vector  $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_h \end{pmatrix}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= W\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j = 1$  and so

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in Q \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in C.$$

We obtain

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j + \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in Q + C.$$

Thus,  $P \subseteq Q + C$ .

Now we prove that  $Q + C \subseteq P$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \in Q + C$ , then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_Q + \mathbf{x}_C$ , where

$$\mathbf{x}_Q = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in Q \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_C = \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j \in C$$

and  $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_h \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^h$  is a nonnegative vector such that  $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_Q \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_C \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=k+1}^h \lambda_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\in \widehat{P} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\mathbf{x} \in P$ . Thus,  $C + Q \subseteq P$ . It follows that  $P = C + Q$  and so every polyhedron is the sum of a finitely generated convex cone and a polytope. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 7. NOTES

Much of the material in this paper derives from the classic paper of Weyl [3]. The English translation is Weyl [4]. Excellent introductions to convexity are the books by Eggleston [1] and Lauritzen [2].

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. G. Eggleston, *Convexity*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 47, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1958.
- [2] N. Lauritzen, *Undergraduate Convexity: From Fourier and Motzkin to Kuhn and Tucker*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2013.
- [3] H. Weyl, Elementare Theorie der konvexen Polyeder, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* 7 (1935), 290–306.
- [4] H. Weyl, The elementary theory of convex polyhedra, in: *Contributions to the Theory of Games*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 24, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1950, pp. 3–18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NY 10468  
*Email address:* melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu