

NEUMANN PROBLEM ON A TORUS

Z. Ashtab, J. Morais, R. M. Porter

Department of Mathematics, CINVESTAV-Querétaro, Libramiento Norponiente #2000, Fracc. Real de Juriquilla. Santiago de Querétaro, C.P. 76230 Mexico.

Department of Mathematics, ITAM, Río Hondo #1, Col. Progreso Tizapán, Mexico City, C.P. 01080 Mexico.

Abstract. We consider the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping and the Neumann problem for the Laplace operator on a torus, given in toroidal coordinates. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping is expressed with respect to series expansions in toroidal harmonics and thereby reduced to algebraic manipulations on the coefficients. A method for computing the numerical solutions of the corresponding Neumann problem is presented, and numerical illustrations are provided. We combine the results for interior and exterior domains to solve the Neumann problem for a toroidal shell.

Keywords: Laplacian, Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, Neumann problem, toroidal harmonics, potential theory on a torus, potential theory on a solenoid.

MSC Classification. Primary 31B20. Secondary 35J05 35J25 65N21.

1 Introduction

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the Laplace equation plays an important role in various areas of analysis (e.g., elliptic boundary value problems [10, 22, 25, 29], inverse problems [5, 20]) and physics (e.g., electromagnetism [6], electrical transmission [11], fluid mechanics [9], electrical impedance tomography [19, 21, 32]). The map describes the relationship between the value of a function $f: \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (Dirichlet datum) on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of some spatial domain Ω and the boundary normal derivative (Neumann datum) of the unique harmonic extension $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determined by having the boundary values f . The Neumann problem is to recover f (or u) from the normal derivative.

When Ω is a torus, harmonic functions defined on Ω are naturally expressed as series based on a doubly-indexed collection of *toroidal harmonics* (involving half-integer associated Legendre functions of the first and second kinds) [17], which are orthogonal with respect to a certain weighted L^2 -inner product over a torus. (We have seen applications [6] where the potential in a toroidal conductor is modeled in spherical coordinates, which are not ideally suited for such problems.) The coefficients of this expression provide a solution to the Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian quite directly. However, unlike the case for a sphere, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping for a torus turns out to be much more complicated, and the solution of the Neumann problem involves solving an infinite system of linear equations. We express the well-known necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of the Neumann problem (compatibility condition), as well as the normalization condition, in terms of the

Fourier coefficients. The solution to the Neumann problem turns out to involve a special twist in that the free parameter in the undetermined linear system cannot be found algebraically, as far as we know. Therefore we express it as a limit of easily calculated algebraic expressions. The analysis is illustrated through numerical examples.

The paper is organized as follows. Properties of toroidal harmonic functions are summarized in Section 2. In Section 3, we compute the toroidal Neumann derivative, from which we deduce the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping. The expansion coefficients of the normal derivative are linear expressions in the Fourier coefficients on the surface of the torus. While the mapping exists in the context of the appropriate Sobolev function spaces associated with the Laplace equation and the boundary data, as well as the trace operator, we only justify the derivation of our formulas for the normal derivative under stronger smoothness assumptions. Section 4 presents the algorithm and numerical examples to show the accuracy of the procedure. Section 5 combines the results for interior and exterior domains to solve the Neumann problem for a toroidal shell.

2 Toroidal coordinates and toroidal harmonics

In this section, we introduce notation and summarize several well-known facts to be used throughout the paper.

2.1 Normal derivatives

One defines toroidal coordinates (η, θ, φ) for a point $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$ in three-dimensional Euclidean space by the relations

$$x_0 = \frac{\sin \theta}{\cosh \eta - \cos \theta}, \quad x_1 = \frac{\sinh \eta \cos \varphi}{\cosh \eta - \cos \theta}, \quad x_2 = \frac{\sinh \eta \sin \varphi}{\cosh \eta - \cos \theta} \quad (1)$$

in the range $\eta \in (0, \infty)$, $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, $\varphi \in (-\pi, \pi]$. For a geometric explanation of this coordinate system, see [17, 27]. The correspondence is singular on the two subsets $S^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3: x_0 = 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3: x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$, which correspond respectively to the limiting cases $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ and $\eta \rightarrow 0$. For any fixed $\eta_0 > 0$, these coordinates define the interior and exterior toroidal domains

$$\Omega_{\eta_0} = \{x: \eta > \eta_0\} \cup S^1, \quad \Omega_{\eta_0}^* = \{x: \eta < \eta_0\} \cup \mathbb{R}_0. \quad (2)$$

Any open solid torus in \mathbb{R}^3 can be shifted and rescaled to a torus of the form Ω_{η_0} .

By calculating the coordinate tangent vectors $x_\eta = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta}$, $x_\theta = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta}$, $x_\varphi = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi}$ and normalizing $x_\theta \times x_\varphi$, one obtains the normal unit vector

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{-1}{(\cosh \eta_0 - \cos \theta)} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \eta_0 \sin \theta, & \cos \varphi (\cosh \eta_0 \cos \theta - 1), \\ & \sin \varphi (\cosh \eta_0 \cos \theta - 1) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Since toroidal coordinates form an orthogonal coordinate system, we have $\mathbf{n} = x_\eta/|x_\eta|$, and recalling that $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ at $S^1 \subseteq \Omega_{\eta_0}$, we see that \mathbf{n} is in fact the inward pointing normal vector on $\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}$.

The *normal derivative* of a function f defined in a neighborhood V of a point $x \in \partial\Omega_{\eta_0}$ (or a half-neighborhood nor $f(x) = \frac{d}{dt}f(x+t\mathbf{n})|_{t=0^+} = (\text{grad } f(x)) \cdot \mathbf{n}$, and by orthogonality of the coordinate system the normal derivative is also equal to the following, which is often more convenient for calculations:

$$\text{nor } f(x) = \frac{1}{|x_\eta|} \frac{d}{d\eta} f(\eta, \theta, \varphi) \Big|_{\eta=\eta_0^+}. \quad (4)$$

In using the notation $\text{nor } f$, the fixed value of η_0 will always be understood.

2.2 Toroidal harmonics

The associated Legendre functions (Ferrer's functions) of the first and second kinds for $t > 1$ are defined for integer values of $n, m \geq 0$, respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^m(t) &= (t^2 - 1)^{m/2} \frac{d^m P_n(t)}{dt^m}, \\ Q_n^m(t) &= \frac{1}{2} P_n(t) \log \frac{t+1}{t-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_k(t) P_{n-k-1}(t)}{t-k}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $P_n(t)$ denotes the classical Legendre polynomial of degree n [1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 14, 17, 18, 31, 33]. When one extends these functions analytically in the complex plane away from the ray $t \in (1, \infty)$, they are branched at $t = \pm 1$. However, they are entire functions of n and m regarded as complex variables [17]. In this sense (5) can be taken as a definition of the associated Legendre functions for half-integer values of n as we will need here.

We will abbreviate $\Phi_n^\nu(\theta) = \cos n\theta$ for $\nu = 1$, and $\Phi_n^\nu(\theta) = \sin n\theta$ for $\nu = -1$. The *interior toroidal harmonic functions* are

$$I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}(x) = I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}[\eta_0](x) = \sqrt{\cosh \eta - \cos \theta} \frac{Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta)}{Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)} \Phi_n^\nu(\theta) \Phi_m^\mu(\varphi) \quad (6)$$

for integers n, m with

$$n \geq 0, \quad m \geq 0, \quad \nu \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad \mu \in \{-1, 1\}. \quad (7)$$

A derivation of the Laplacian equation in toroidal coordinates and the verification that $I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ is harmonic can be found in [17, p. 434]. For the values of n and m specified in (7), $I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ is bounded near S^1 , which is of measure zero and hence is a removable set for harmonic functions (cf. [3]), so we may write $I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \in \text{Har}(\mathbb{R}^3 - \mathbb{R}_0)$. In particular, $I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ is harmonic in Ω_{η_0} . Similarly, the exterior harmonics

$$E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}(x) = E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}[\eta_0](x) = \sqrt{\cosh \eta - \cos \theta} \frac{P_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta)}{P_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)} \Phi_n^\nu(\theta) \Phi_m^\mu(\varphi) \quad (8)$$

are in $\text{Har}(\mathbb{R}^3 - S^1)$.

One may define a weighted L^2 inner product on real-valued functions by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\eta_0} = \iiint_{\Omega_{\eta_0}} fg w dV \quad (9)$$

with the weight function

$$w(\eta, \theta, \varphi) = \frac{(\cosh \eta - \cos \theta)^2}{\sinh \eta}. \quad (10)$$

From this one easily finds the following.

Proposition 2.1. *The interior toroidal harmonics $\{I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}\}$ (for n, m, ν, μ as in (7)) form a complete orthogonal system in $L^2(\Omega_{\eta_0}, w)$. Their norms are*

$$\|I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}\|_{\eta_0}^2 = \varepsilon_n \varepsilon_m \int_{n_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta)}{Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)} \right)^2 d\eta$$

where $\varepsilon_n = 1 + \delta_{n,0}$ and $\delta_{n,m}$ is the Kronecker delta function. Further, the restrictions to the boundary $\{I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}|_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}}\}$ are complete in $L^2(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0})$ and $L^2(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}, w)$.

Proof. We only comment on the completeness since the orthogonality is trivial. It is well known that $\{\Phi_n^\nu(\theta) \Phi_m^\mu(\varphi)\}$ is a complete set in $L^2([-\pi, \pi]^2)$, and since the factor $\sqrt{\cosh \eta - \cos \theta}$ and the weight function w are bounded from below and above, and thus do not affect the completeness [28, p. 154], we have completeness on the boundary. The completeness in the interior is similar. \square

We will need the following series expansion.

Proposition 2.2 ([7]). *For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$(\cosh \eta - \cos \theta)^{-\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-i\pi(\alpha-1/2)}}{(\sinh \eta)^{\alpha-1/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \cos(n\theta) Q_{n-1/2}^{\alpha-1/2}(\cosh \eta).$$

3 Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping

Given a suitable $f: \partial\Omega_{\eta_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping is described by finding the harmonic function $u \in \text{Har} \Omega_{\eta_0}$ with boundary values $f = u|_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}}$, and then taking the normal derivative $h = \text{nor } u$. The mapping is thus $\Lambda f = h$. A common setting [24] is for u to be in the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega_{\eta_0})$ and f in the boundary space $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0})$.

Roughly speaking, $H^1(\Omega_{\eta_0})$ consists of L^2 functions with L^2 derivatives, and $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}) = H^1(\Omega_{\eta_0})/H_0^1(\Omega)$ is identified with the space of boundary values of elements of $H^1(\Omega_{\eta_0})$, where $H_0^1(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of the subspace of functions of compact support. The trace map $\text{tr}: H^1(\Omega_{\eta_0}) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0})$ is a bounded linear function, and for $v \in H^1(\Omega_{\eta_0})$ we will informally write $v|_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}}$ for $\text{tr}[v]$.

We have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *The normal derivative of the interior toroidal harmonics is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nor} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} &= \left(\Phi_{n-1}^{\nu}(\theta) \left((1+2n) \cosh \eta_0 - (2(n-m)+1) \frac{Q_{n+1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)}{Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi_n^{\nu}(\theta) \left(-2 \left((2n \cosh^2 \eta_0 + 1) - (2(n-m)+1) \cosh \eta_0 \frac{Q_{n+1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)}{Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi_{n+1}^{\nu}(\theta) \left((1+2n) \cosh \eta_0 - (2(n-m)+1) \frac{Q_{n+1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)}{Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0)} \right) \right) \times \\ &\quad \frac{(\cosh \eta_0 - \cos \theta)^{1/2}}{4 \sinh \eta_0} \Phi_m^{\mu}(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward, but tedious calculation based on (3), (4), and (6), and the recurrence formula [4, pp. 161–162]

$$\sinh^2 \eta (Q_{n+1}^m)'(\cosh \eta) = (n+m+1)Q_n^m(\cosh \eta) - (n+1) \cosh \eta Q_{n+1}^m(\cosh \eta).$$

□

Consider the boundary function f represented as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh \eta_0 - \cos \theta}} f(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \Phi_n^{\nu}(\theta) \Phi_m^{\mu}(\varphi), \quad (11)$$

i.e.,

$$f(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}(\eta_0, \theta, \varphi) \quad (12)$$

as per Proposition 2.1. Taking into account that $\Phi_0^- = 0$ identically, unless otherwise specified, the indices of summation will always be as in (7) but excluding the cases of (n, m, ν, μ) being $(0, m, -1, \mu)$ or $(n, 0, \nu, -1)$. For convenience, we will often write superscripts as “+” in place of 1 and “−” in place of −1.

Thus $f = u|_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}}$ where $u \in \text{Har}(\Omega_{\eta_0})$ is given by

$$u = \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}. \quad (13)$$

Then by Lemma 3.1, we have $h = \Lambda f$ is in turn given by

$$h = \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \text{nor} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}, \quad (14)$$

assuming that f is sufficiently well-behaved to justify the exchange of summation and differentiation. For example, since the trace operator and $\Lambda: H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0})$ are

continuous [30],

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{nor } u &= \text{nor} \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} = \Lambda\left(\left(\sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}\right)\Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}}\right) \\
&= \Lambda\left(\sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} (I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}\Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}})\right) \\
&= \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \Lambda(I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}\Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}}) = \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \text{nor } I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu},
\end{aligned}$$

with the last sum converging in the dual space $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0})$ of $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0})$. It is also valid under the assumption that the sum in (13) and the sums of the partial derivatives of the terms converge uniformly on compact subsets of Ω_{η_0} .

We will show that $\text{nor } u$ can be expressed in terms of the coefficients of f and certain constants defined in terms of Legendre functions. We will use the abbreviations

$$t_0 = \cosh \eta_0, \quad s_0 = \sinh \eta_0, \quad q_{n,m} = Q_{n-1/2}^m(t_0), \quad (15)$$

and will make use of the following constants.

Definition 3.2. The *toroidal Neumann constants*, $\rho_{n,m} = \rho_{n,m}(\eta_0)$, $\sigma_{n,m} = \sigma_{n,m}(\eta_0)$, and $\tau_{n,m} = \tau_{n,m}(\eta_0)$, are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{1,m} &= \frac{1}{2s_0} \left(t_0 + (2m-1) \frac{q_{1,m}}{q_{0,m}}\right), \\
\rho_{n,m} &= \frac{1}{4s_0} \left((2n-1)t_0 + (2(m-n)+1) \frac{q_{n,m}}{q_{n-1,m}}\right) \quad (n \geq 2), \\
\sigma_{n,m} &= \frac{-1}{2s_0} \left((2nt_0^2+1) + (2(m-n)-1)t_0 \frac{q_{n+1,m}}{q_{n,m}}\right) \quad (n \geq 0), \\
\tau_{n,m} &= \frac{1}{4s_0} \left((2n+3)t_0 + (2(m-n)-3) \frac{q_{n+2,m}}{q_{n+1,m}}\right) \quad (n \geq 0),
\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for all $m \geq 0$.

We will need the following asymptotic values. In [17, p. 305] it is shown that for fixed η_0 and m ,

$$Q_n^m(\cosh \eta_0) \sim (-1)^m \frac{\Gamma[n+m+1]}{\Gamma[n+1]} \left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)^{1/2} \frac{e^{-(n+1/2)\eta_0}}{(2 \sinh \eta_0)^{1/2}} \quad (17)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where \sim means that the ratio of the two expressions tends to 1. From this it is seen that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_{n,m}}{q_{n-1,m}} = e^{-\eta_0} \quad (18)$$

independently of the value of m . Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{n,m}}{n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{n,m}}{n} = -t_0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_{n,m}}{n} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (19)$$

We will also use the following facts about Fourier coefficients [15, Corollary 3.3.10, Proposition 3.3.12]:

Proposition 3.3. *If $f(\theta, \varphi)$ is of class C^r , then*

$$|a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}| \leq \frac{C}{(m+n+1)^r} \quad (20)$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Conversely, if (20) holds and $r \geq 2$, then f is of class C^{r-2} .

Theorem 3.4. *For a fixed η_0 , let $f: \partial\Omega_{\eta_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by (12) and suppose that the Fourier coefficients satisfy (20) where $r \geq 4$. for some constant C . Define, for $\mu = \pm 1$ and all $m \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} b_{0,m}^{+,\mu} &= \sigma_{0,m} a_{0,m}^{+,\mu} + \tau_{0,m} a_{1,m}^{+,\mu}, \\ b_{n,m}^{+,\mu} &= \rho_{n,m} a_{n-1,m}^{+,\mu} + \sigma_{n,m} a_{n,m}^{+,\mu} + \tau_{n,m} a_{n+1,m}^{+,\mu} \quad (n \geq 1), \\ b_{1,m}^{-,\mu} &= \sigma_{1,m} a_{1,m}^{-,\mu} + \tau_{1,m} a_{2,m}^{-,\mu}, \\ b_{n,m}^{-,\mu} &= \rho_{n,m} a_{n-1,m}^{-,\mu} + \sigma_{n,m} a_{n,m}^{-,\mu} + \tau_{n,m} a_{n+1,m}^{-,\mu} \quad (n \geq 2). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Then the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping $h = \Lambda f$ is given by the formula

$$h(\theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\cosh \eta_0 - \cos \theta} \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} b_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \Phi_n^\nu(\theta) \Phi_m^\mu(\varphi), \quad (22)$$

which converges absolutely and h is of class $r - 3$.

Proof. From (6) it follows that

$$|I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}(\eta_0, \theta, \varphi)| \leq \sqrt{t_0 + 1}$$

so the expansion (12) converges absolutely. Similarly, one verifies from Lemma 3.1 and (18) that

$$|\text{nor } I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}| \leq C_1(n+m+1)$$

for some constant C_1 (which depends on η_0), and hence by (20)

$$|a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \text{ nor } I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}| \leq \frac{CC_1}{(n+m+1)^{r-1}}.$$

This is enough to guarantee that (14), a double series in θ and φ , also converges absolutely. (Recall however that $\sum 1/(m+n+1)^2 = \infty$.) This in turn permits us to substitute the formula for $\text{nor } I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ into (14) and then reindex $\Phi_{n-1}^\nu(\theta)$ and $\Phi_{n+1}^\nu(\theta)$ into $\Phi_n^\nu(\theta)$ to obtain after some calculation that

$$h(\theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{t_0 - \cos \theta} \sum_{m,n,\nu,\mu} \Phi_n^\nu(\theta) \Phi_m^\mu(\varphi) (\rho_{n,m} a_{n-1,m}^{\nu,\mu} + \sigma_{n,m} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} + \tau_{n,m} a_{n+1,m}^{\nu,\mu}), \quad (23)$$

which is (22). By (19), the Fourier coefficients $b_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ defining h are of order no greater than $1/((m+n+1)^{r-1})$, so by Proposition 3.3 we are done. \square

Since we are mainly interested in the numerical relationships, we will not go deeper into relaxing the condition on the coefficients.

4 Neumann problem

The Dirichlet problem, that is, to find a harmonic function u with boundary values f , is conceptually simple when expressed in terms of a basis of harmonic functions and was, in fact, implicitly solved for the torus in the course of construction of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping which we gave above. However, the Neumann problem, which consists of finding a boundary function f with a prescribed normal derivative, presents special challenges since it is of the nature of an inverse operation.

4.1 Algebraic solutions for the Neumann coefficients

The solution of the Neumann problem, in general, is guaranteed by the following result [10, 26, 13], valid for domains Ω with sufficiently smooth boundary.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $h \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy the compatibility condition*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} h dS = 0. \quad (24)$$

Then there exists an $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that $\Lambda f = h$. This solution is unique up to an additive constant. If $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0})$, then $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega_{\eta_0})$. If h is continuous, then f is continuous.

The solution f can be made unique by applying the *normalization condition*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}} f dS = c. \quad (25)$$

for a chosen constant c .

Lemma 4.2. (a) *The compatibility condition (22) applied to h of the form (14) is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n^2 Q_{n-1/2}^1(\cosh \eta_0) b_{n,0}^{+,+} = 0. \quad (26)$$

(b) *The normalization condition (25) applied to f of the form (12) is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n^2 Q_{n-1/2}^1(\cosh \eta_0) a_{n,0}^{+,+} = -\frac{c}{4\pi\sqrt{2}}. \quad (27)$$

Proof. (a) Since $\int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_n^-(\theta) d\theta = 0$, while $\int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_0^+(\varphi) d\varphi = 2\pi$, $\int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_m^+(\varphi) d\varphi = 0$ for $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}} h(\theta, \varphi) dS &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta, \varphi) \frac{s_0}{(t_0 - \cos \theta)^2} d\theta d\varphi \\ &= s_0 \sum_m \sum_n b_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \int_0^{2\pi} (t_0 - \cos \theta)^{-3/2} \Phi_n^\nu(\theta) d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_m^\mu(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &= -4\sqrt{2} \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n^2 b_{n,0}^{+,+} Q_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^1(\cosh \eta_0), \end{aligned}$$

with the last equality following from (2.2) with $\alpha = 3/2$. The proof of (b) follows the same lines as (a). \square

Definition 4.3. Consider a Neumann problem defined by a function $h: \partial\Omega_{\eta_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with an expansion (22). We will say that a collection of real numbers $\{a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}\}$ is an *algebraic solution* of the Neumann problem when all of the equations (21) are satisfied.

In order to generate a solution to the Neumann problem, the algebraic solution must, in fact, provide a convergent series in (12). It is clear that the subcollection of equations (21) determined by fixed values of m , ν , and μ are independent of the equations determined by other values of these parameters.

Lemma 4.4. *The values $\tau_{n,m}$ are never zero.*

Proof. In [17, p. 195] it is shown that

$$Q_n^m(t) = \frac{(-1)^m (n+m)!}{2^{n+1} n!} (t^2 - 1)^{m/2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-s^2)^n}{(t-s)^{n+m+1}} ds$$

(in fact, this rather than (5) is taken as the definition of $Q_n^m(t)$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{C}$). From this it follows that

$$(-1)^m Q_n^m(\cosh \eta) > 0 \quad (28)$$

for all $n, m, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. From (16), we need to show that the value

$$16s_0 q_{n+1,m} \tau_{n,m} = 4((2n+3)t_0 q_{n+1,m} + (2(n-m)-3)q_{n+2,m}) \quad (29)$$

does not vanish. Consider the recursion formulas from [4, pp. 161–162] and [17, p. 108]

$$\begin{aligned} (n-m+1)Q_{n+1}^m(t) &= (2n+1)tQ_n^m(t) - (n+m)Q_{n-1}^m(t), \\ (t^2-1)^{1/2}Q_{n+1}^m(t) &= \frac{1}{2n+3}(Q_{n+2}^{m+1}(t) - Q_n^{m+1}(t)); \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$2(n+1)s_0 q_{n+1,m-1} = q_{n,m} - q_{n+2,m}, \quad (30)$$

$$2(n+1)t_0 q_{n+1,m} = (n-m + \frac{3}{2})q_{n+2,m} + (n+m + \frac{1}{2})q_{n,m}. \quad (31)$$

Applying (30) to (29), we find

$$16(n+1)s_0 q_{n+1,m} \tau_{n,m} = (2n+3)(2(m+n)+1)q_{n,m} - (1+2n)(2n-2m+3)q_{n+2,m}.$$

Now add and subtract $(2n+3)(2(m+n)+1)q_{n+2,m}$ and use (31), yielding

$$16s_0 q_{n+1,m} \tau_{n,m} = -2(2n+3)(2n+2m+1)q_{n+1,m-1} + 8mq_{n+2,m},$$

which by (28) is never zero. \square

By Lemma 4.4, when μ and m are specified, using arbitrary values of $a_{0,m}^{+,\mu}$ or $a_{1,m}^{-,\mu}$, one may solve the first equations of (21) to find

$$a_{1,m}^{+,\mu} = \frac{1}{\tau_{0,m}}(b_{0,m}^{+,\mu} - \sigma_{0,m} a_{0,m}^{+,\mu}),$$

or

$$a_{2,m}^{-,\mu} = \frac{1}{\tau_{1,m}}(b_{1,m}^{-,\mu} - \sigma_{1,m} a_{1,m}^{-,\mu})$$

respectively. Then the remaining equations may be solved successively. If this is done for all admissible combinations of (m, ν, μ) , an algebraic solution for (21) is obtained, uniquely determined by the collection of initial values $\{a_{0,m}^{+,\mu}, a_{1,m}^{-,\mu}\}$.

Remark 4.5. When one applies the strategy given above to the Neumann problem on the sphere $|x| < 1$ in spherical coordinates $y_0 = \rho \cos \theta$, $y_1 = \rho \sin \theta \cos \phi$, $y_2 = \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi$, and uses the standard solid spherical harmonics $Y_{n,m}^\pm = \rho^n P_n^m(\cos \theta) \Phi_m^\pm(\varphi)$, $0 \leq m \leq n$ as the basis for the harmonic functions, it is natural to represent the Dirichlet and Neumann functions $f(\theta, \varphi)$ and $h(\theta, \varphi)$ with the well-known basis $\{Y_{n,m}^\pm(1, \theta, \varphi)\}$ for L^2 functions on the sphere [29]. This yields certain coefficients $a_{n,m}^\pm$ and $b_{n,m}^\pm$, respectively. Since the normal derivative in this situation is equal to the radial derivative, $\text{nor } u = (\partial u / \partial \rho)|_{\rho=1}$, one sees immediately that $b_{n,m}^\pm = n a_{n,m}^\pm$, so the analogue of the system (21) is rather trivial. We have not seen this type of solution presented in the literature. (In [23, p. 218], this approach is suggested in a remark after expressing the solution to the Dirichlet problem for a spheroid, but only for functions constant with respect to the angular coordinate, i.e., involving P_n but not general P_n^m .)

4.2 When does an algebraic solution give a convergent series?

Now we investigate how to obtain algebraic solutions which, in fact, give solutions to the Neumann problem. It is not difficult to verify that when h in Proposition 4.1 is real analytic, the solution f to the Neumann problem is also real analytic.

We have the following. Write $f_{m_0}^{\nu_0, \mu_0}$ for the sum over n of those terms of the series (12) for which $(m, \nu, \mu) = (m_0, \nu_0, \mu_0)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let the coefficients $\{b_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}\}$ be such that the series (22) converges absolutely, defining $h: \partial\Omega_{\eta_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $b_{n,0}^{+,+}$ satisfy (26), so h satisfies the compatibility condition (24). Suppose further that the continuous solution $f: \partial\Omega_{\eta_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $\Lambda f = h$ specified in Proposition 4.1 has a double Fourier series which converges absolutely. Then (i) for every value of $a_{0,0}^{+,+} \in \mathbb{R}$, the resulting algebraic solution for the sequence $\{a_{n,0}^{+,+}\}$ produces an absolutely convergent series $\sum_n a_{n,0}^{+,+} I_{n,0}^{+,+}(\eta_0, \theta, \phi)$ whose value is $f_0^{+,+}$ plus a constant. Further, (ii) for (m, ν, μ) different from $(0, +1, +1)$, there exists a unique value of $a_{0,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ (when $\nu = 1$) or $a_{1,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ (when $\nu = -1$) for which the resulting algebraic solution gives a convergent series $\sum_n a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}(\eta_0, \theta, \phi)$. The sum of this series is $f_m^{\nu,\mu}$.*

Given the convergence criteria of Theorem 3.4, almost all of Proposition 4.6 follows immediately from Proposition 4.1 (together with the observation that the solutions of the subsystem for each combination of (m, ν, μ) are essentially independent).

4.3 Convergence for the indices $(m, \nu, \mu) = (0, +1, +1)$

The only assertion of Proposition 4.6 which remains to be verified is that the value of the coefficient $a_{0,0}^{+,+}$ referred to in part (i) is arbitrary. First, we observe that for the particular indices $(m, \nu, \mu) = (0, +1, +1)$, the Neumann constants satisfy some special relations.

Lemma 4.7. $\sigma_{0,0} q_{0,0} + 2\tau_{0,0} q_{1,0} = 0$; $\rho_{1,0} q_{0,0} + 2(\sigma_{1,0} q_{1,0} + \tau_{1,0} q_{2,0}) = 0$; and for $n \geq 2$,

$$\rho_{n,0} q_{n-1,0} + \sigma_{n,0} q_{n,0} + \tau_{n,0} q_{n+1,0} = 0.$$

Proof. Via the recursion formula (31) as well as the following [4, pp. 161–162],

$$(n-m)tQ_n^m(t) = (t^2-1)^{1/2}Q_n^{m+1}(t) + (n+m)Q_{n-1}^m(t),$$

i.e.,

$$(n-m-\frac{1}{2})t_0q_{n,m} = s_0q_{n,m+1} + (n+m-\frac{1}{2})q_{n-1,m} \quad (32)$$

direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{0,0} q_{0,0} + 2\tau_{0,0} q_{1,0} &= \frac{-1}{2s_0}(q_{0,0} - t_0q_{1,0}) + \frac{3}{2s_0}(t_0q_{1,0} - q_{2,0}) \\ &= \frac{3}{8s_0}(q_{2,0} - q_{0,0}) - \frac{3}{8s_0}(q_{2,0} - q_{0,0}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_{1,0} q_{0,0} + 2(\sigma_{1,0} q_{1,0} + \tau_{1,0} q_{2,0}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4s_0}(t_0 q_{0,0} - q_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{s_0}(- (2t_0^2 + 1) q_{1,0} + \frac{11}{2} t_0 q_{2,0} - \frac{5}{2} q_{3,0}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} q_{0,0} + \frac{1}{s_0}(\frac{1}{2}q_{1,0} + \frac{1}{2}s_0q_{0,1} - \frac{1}{2}q_{-1,0}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{n,0} q_{n-1,0} + \sigma_{n,0} q_{n,0} + \tau_{n,0} q_{n+1,0} &= (2n-1)t_0q_{n-1,0} + (-2n+1-4nt_0^2-2)q_{n,0} \\ &\quad + (6n+5)t_0q_{n+1,0} - (2n+3)q_{n+2,0} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

When $b_{n,0}^{+,+} = 0$ for all n , the corresponding equations (21) are linear homogeneous, and Lemma 4.7 implies that

$$\frac{a_{n,0}^{+,+}}{q_{n,0}} = 2\frac{a_{0,0}^{+,+}}{q_{0,0}} \quad (n \geq 1); \quad (33)$$

i.e., $a_{n,0}^{+,+} = \varepsilon_n a_{0,0}^{+,+}$ for all n . On comparing the formula of Proposition 2.2 with the exponent determined by $\alpha = 1/2$, one sees that the solution

$$f_0^{+,+}(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{a_{0,0}^{+,+}}{q_{0,0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n q_{n,0} \cos n\theta \sqrt{t_0 - \cos \theta}$$

is indeed equal to the constant function on $\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}$ with value $(\pi/\sqrt{2})(a_{0,0}^{+,+}/q_{0,0})$. The solution to the Dirichlet problem in Ω_{η_0} is the same constant. Thus, given any $a_{0,0}^{+,+} \in \mathbb{R}$, the algebraic solution gives a convergent series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,0}^{+,+} I_{n,0}^{+,+}(\eta_0, \theta, \varphi)$, and the initial value $a_{0,0}^{+,+} + c$ in the system (21) will generate the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{n,0}^{+,+} + c\varepsilon_n) I_{n,0}^{+,+}(\eta_0, \theta, \varphi)$ which also converges. This confirms the nonuniqueness statement we made immediately after Proposition 4.1.

Now suppose for a moment that h is identically zero, so always $b_{n,m}^{\pm,\pm} = 0$. For indices with $(m, \nu, \mu) \neq (0, 1, 1)$, the unique value of $a_{0,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ provided by Proposition 4.6 for generating a convergent series is clearly $a_{0,m}^{\nu,\mu} = 0$ (with more work, one could also see this by solving the linear homogeneous system explicitly for a nonzero starting value and verifying via properties of Legendre functions that the result does not converge). Returning to arbitrary h satisfying the compatibility condition, we see that starting from any solution f given by Proposition 4.6, we may add any multiple of the sequence ε_n to the coefficients $a_{0,0}^{+,+}$, leaving the remaining $a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ unchanged, and obtain another algebraic solution which, in fact, converges. This verifies the above statement that arbitrarily chosen $a_{0,0}^{+,+}$ will produce an algebraic solution which defines a convergent series. These considerations also lead to the following.

Proposition 4.8. *The area of $\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}$ is equal to*

$$\alpha(\eta_0) = -8 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n^3 q_{n,0} q_{n,1}.$$

Proof. Take $a_{0,0}^{+,+} = (\sqrt{2}/\pi)q_{0,0}$, which gives $f_0^{+,+} = 1$ identically. Then apply (27) to evaluate $\alpha(\eta_0) = \int_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}} f_0^{+,+} dS$. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Let f be a particular solution of $\Lambda f = h$ and set $c_1 = \int_{\partial\Omega_{\eta_0}} f dS$. Let \hat{f} be obtained by replacing the coefficients $a_{n,0}^{+,+}$ for f with*

$$\hat{a}_{n,0}^{+,+} = a_{n,0}^{+,+} + \varepsilon_n \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{q_{n,1}}{\alpha(\eta_0)} (c - c_1).$$

Then \hat{f} is the unique solution of the Neumann problem which satisfies the normalization condition (25).

4.4 Determination of parameter for convergence for other values of (m, ν, μ)

We assume now that $(m, \nu, \mu) \neq (0, +1, +1)$. The essence of the matter is that the linear system (21) will only have a unique solution after one of the variables is arbitrarily chosen,

let us say $a_{0,m}^{+,\mu}$ (or $a_{1,m}^{-,\mu}$). For simplicity of notation, we will write a_n and I_n in place of $a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ and $I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$. We will assume that $\mu = 1$ since the case $\mu = -1$ is analogous, the only difference being the start of the indexing from $n = 1$ instead of $n = 0$.

Given $a \in \mathbb{R}$, write $A_n(a)$ for the value of a_n in the solution of the corresponding equations (21) determined by setting the arbitrary parameter $a_0 = a_{0,m}^{+,\mu}$ (or $a_{1,m}^{-,\mu}$) equal to a . Thus $A_0(a) = a$, and by a simple induction, we have recursively defined linear expressions

$$A_n(a) = C_n a + D_n \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= 1, & D_0 &= 0, \\ C_1 &= \frac{-\sigma_0}{\tau_0}, & D_1 &= \frac{b_0}{\tau_0}, \\ C_{n+1} &= \frac{-1}{\tau_n}(\rho_n C_{n-1} + \sigma_n C_n), & D_{n+1} &= \frac{-1}{\tau_n}(\rho_n D_{n-1} + \sigma_n D_n - b_n) \quad (n \geq 1). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

By construction, the collection $\{A_n(a)\}$ is an algebraic solution of the system (21), whatever the value of a may be. According to (12) and Theorem 3.4, we want to find the unique value a_{opt} provided by Proposition 4.6 for which

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(a_{\text{opt}}) \Phi_n^{\nu}(\theta) \Phi_m^{\mu}(\varphi) \quad (36)$$

converges absolutely and thus gives $f_m^{\nu,\mu}(\theta, \varphi)$. In particular, it is necessary that $A_n(a_{\text{opt}}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (34), this says $C_n a_{\text{opt}} + D_n \rightarrow 0$.

Note that the C_n do not depend on the data $\{b_n\}$. It is clear that two consecutive terms C_n, C_{n+1} can never vanish. Under the assumption that $C_n > \epsilon > 0$ for infinitely many n , we have

$$-\frac{D_n}{C_n} \rightarrow a_{\text{opt}} \quad (37)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on that subsequence. We will look further into this question in the next section.

4.5 Numerical results

We illustrate the solution of the Neumann problem with numerical examples.

Vanishing normal derivative. Consider $m = 0, \nu = \mu = +1$. Recall that for this particular combination of (m, ν, μ) , the corresponding algebraic solution gives a solution to the Neumann problem for every choice of $a_0 = a_{0,0}^{+,+}$. To calculate this, one simply takes $b_n = 0$ for all n (i.e., the coefficients of the vanishing normal derivative described in Subsection 4.2). The formulas (35) give $D_n = 0$ always, and by (34), we have $A_n(a) = C_n a$. Choosing $a_0 = 1$ without any loss of generality and fixing η_0 , one obtains the values C_n by (35) and then the initial coefficients a_n by (34). This amounts to calculating values of the associated Legendre

functions of the second kind via the recursion formulas, and the only numerical error is that which accumulates due to roundoff.

Example 1. *Numerical behavior of C_n .* We observed that a_{opt} is given by (37) unless $C_n \rightarrow 0$. (Recall that the C_n do not depend on the Neumann data.) For small values of n , we have little control over even the sign of the coefficients defined in (16). However, from (19), $\rho_{n,m}/\tau_{n,m} \rightarrow 1$ and $\sigma_{n,m}/\tau_{n,m} \rightarrow -2t_0$. Therefore if for a single large n we have

$$C_n \approx e^{\eta_0} C_{n-1},$$

then by (35) it would follow that

$$C_{n+1} \approx -C_{n-1} + 2t_0 C_n = -e^{-\eta_0} C_n + 2t_0 C_n = e^{\eta_0} C_n;$$

i.e. the sequence $\{C_n\}$ grows exponentially. Table 1 lists calculated values of C_n corresponding to $m = 1$ and a range of values of η_0 . Other values of m are shown in Table 2. Even though the initial values can decrease, in all cases that we have examined it appears that $C_n \rightarrow \infty$ exponentially as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

	$\eta = 0.1$	$\eta = 0.3$	$\eta = 0.5$	$\eta = 1.$	$\eta = 1.5$	$\eta = 2.$
C_0	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.
C_1	1.943	1.697	1.420	0.866	0.523	0.316
C_2	1.852	1.418	1.098	0.720	0.599	0.557
C_3	1.752	1.229	0.985	0.996	1.444	2.294
C_4	1.654	1.120	1.016	1.761	4.302	11.303
C_5	1.562	1.076	1.171	3.476	14.040	60.780
C_6	1.48	1.085	1.458	7.286	48.463	345.631
C_7	1.407	1.144	1.911	15.885	173.925	2043.827
C_8	1.344	1.249	2.595	35.635	642.402	12440.253
C_9	1.290	1.404	3.611	81.710	2425.889	77424.156
C_{10}	1.246	1.616	5.120	190.646	9323.354	490447.458
C_{15}	1.139	4.005	34.827	15650.902	9.298×10^6	5.953×10^9
C_{20}	1.189	11.881	279.376	1.521×10^6	1.099×10^{10}	8.571×10^{13}
C_{30}	1.722	132.742	22884.183	1.839×10^{10}	1.969×10^{16}	2.278×10^{22}
C_{40}	3.068	1751.093	2.221×10^6	2.641×10^{14}	4.196×10^{22}	7.201×10^{30}
C_{50}	6.053	25335.250	2.369×10^8	4.173×10^{18}	9.835×10^{28}	2.505×10^{39}

Table 1: Sample values of C_n for $m = 1$, $(\nu, \mu) = (+, +)$. 200-digit precision was used to avoid underflow in the calculations.

Example 2. Let

$$u = \left(\frac{\sinh \eta}{\cosh \eta - \cos \theta} \right)^m \cos m\varphi. \quad (38)$$

It is readily checked that u is harmonic and

$$\text{nor } u = m \left(\frac{\sinh \eta_0}{\cosh \eta_0 - \cos \theta} \right)^m ((\cosh \eta_0 - \cos \theta) \coth \eta_0 + \sinh \eta_0) \cos m\varphi. \quad (39)$$

	$m = 2$	$m = 3$	$m = 4$	$m = 5$
C_0	1.	1.	1.	1.
C_1	1.333	2.112	2.711	3.119
C_2	2.125	4.111	6.103	7.835
C_3	5.013	10.308	16.235	22.035
C_4	13.765	28.988	46.956	65.655
C_{10}	16716.741	36306.992	61217.891	89729.231
C_{15}	1.014×10^7	2.211×10^7	3.749×10^7	5.533×10^7
C_{20}	7.279×10^9	1.590×10^{10}	2.701×10^{10}	3.996×10^{10}
C_{30}	4.801×10^{15}	1.050×10^{16}	1.786×10^{16}	2.648×10^{16}
C_{40}	3.764×10^{21}	8.233×10^{21}	1.402×10^{22}	2.080×10^{22}
C_{50}	3.246×10^{27}	7.102×10^{27}	1.209×10^{28}	1.795×10^{28}

Table 2: Sample values of C_n for $\eta = 0.4$, $(\nu, \mu) = (+, +)$.

(One also would obtain a harmonic function with $\sin m\varphi$ in place of $\cos m\varphi$ in (38).) By Proposition 2.2, the coefficients in the series for u are equal to

$$a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} = (-1)^m \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{\Gamma(m+1/2)} \varepsilon_n q_{n,m}. \quad (40)$$

We substitute these coefficients into (21) to obtain numerical values for the $b_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$. Then we compare truncations of the series (23) with the true values of $h = \text{nor } u$ according to (39). Figure 1 displays the base-10 logarithm of the absolute error for different combinations of m and η_0 . As is expected, the error is reduced when the number of terms in the series increases. It is also seen that the error increases steadily when larger values of m and η_0 are used.




Figure 1: Base-10 logarithm indicating number of significant figures of approximation of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping given by equations (21) truncating the series (23) to $0 \leq n \leq N$ for varying values of N . Accuracy is lost as m or η_0 increases. 100-digit precision was used.

Example 3. We now illustrate our algorithm for solving the Neumann problem. We will use the same function u as in the previous example. The Fourier coefficients $b_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ are obtained

by numerical integration. Then the auxiliary coefficients C_n, D_n are obtained recursively by (35), and then a_{opt} is approximated by the last value of $-C_n/D_n$ according to (37). One would expect that the values $a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} = A_n(a_{\text{opt}})$ of (37) provide a convergent series, while for $a \neq a_{\text{opt}}$, $\{A_n(a)\}$ would not. This is confirmed by Figure 2, which shows the values of $A_n(a + \epsilon)$ for small values of ϵ . The error in a particular series solution h of the Neumann problem compared to (39) is shown in Figure 3. Maximum errors for combinations of η_0, m are shown in Table 3.




Figure 2: Rapid growth of the first 50 coefficients in nonconvergent algebraic solutions generated by to $a_{\text{opt}} + \epsilon$, illustrated for $\eta_0 = 0.4$ and $m = 2$, with a_{opt} approximated by $-D_{50}/C_{50}$. (The graphic is truncated: for $\epsilon = .1$, the coefficients reach approximately 10^7 . Even at this scale, the coefficients for $\epsilon = 0$ are virtually indistinguishable from the horizontal axis.)




Figure 3: Error in solution for Neumann problem for $\eta_0 = 0.4$, $m = 2$ and 50 terms, distributed over the range $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, with $\varphi = 0$.)

5 Exterior toroidal domain and toroidal shells

5.1 Exterior domain

The formula for the normal derivative of an exterior harmonic function $u \in \text{Har}(\Omega_{\eta_0}^*)$ and the solution of the corresponding Neumann problem are quite analogous to that of the interior domain Ω_{η_0} . The exterior harmonics $E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ defined by (8) are obtained from the interior harmonics (6) by writing $P_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta)$ in place of $Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta)$ and are orthogonal with the same weight function (10) but applied in $\Omega_{\eta_0}^*$.

These Legendre functions of the first and second kinds satisfy identical recurrence relationships [17]. For this reason one finds that nor $E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}$ is obtained from the formula of Lemma 3.1 by replacing similarly $Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta)$ with $P_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta)$. Since the solution to the Dirichlet problem in $\Omega_{\eta_0}^*$ with boundary condition f given by (12) is

$$u = \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} a_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}(\eta, \theta, \varphi), \quad (41)$$

one finds that the normal derivative of f will be given by equations (21) when $q_{n,m}$ is replaced in (16) with

$$p_{n,m} = P_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_0). \quad (42)$$

The method we have described is then applicable with no essential changes for solving the Dirichlet-to-Neumann problem in $\Omega_{\eta_0}^*$. It is worth noting that parallel to (18) we have [17, p. 305] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n-1,m}}{p_{n,m}} = e^{\eta_0}. \quad (43)$$

5.2 Toroidal shell

The results for interior and exterior domains may be combined to solve the Neumann problem for a toroidal shell. Let $\eta_{\text{int}} < \eta_{\text{ext}}$. Common to an interior and an exterior domain, one has the toroidal shell

$$\Omega = \Omega_{\eta_{\text{int}}, \eta_{\text{ext}}} = \Omega_{\eta_{\text{ext}}} \cap \Omega_{\eta_{\text{int}}}^*.$$

A general harmonic function u in $\Omega_{\eta_{\text{ext}}, \eta_{\text{int}}}$ and continuous in the closure can be expressed via an integral of its boundary values over $\partial\Omega_{\eta_{\text{ext}}, \eta_{\text{int}}}$ using the Poisson kernel for the torus

N	$m = 1$	$m = 2$	$m = 3$	$m = 4$
15	4.7	3.6	2.8	1.0
20	6.7	5.5	4.5	3.7
25	8.4	7.2	6.3	5.4

Table 3: Significant figures in the numerical solution of the Neumann problem on the torus showing the increase in accuracy with the number of terms.

[16, Ch. 1]. This integral is the difference of the integrals over $\partial\Omega_{\eta_{\text{ext}}}$ and $\partial\Omega_{\eta_{\text{int}}}$, which give a decomposition $u = u_0 + u_1$ with $u_0 \in \text{Har } \Omega_{\eta_{\text{int}}}$ and $u_1 \in \text{Har } \Omega_{\eta_{\text{ext}}}^*$. Consequently, we may express u as the sum of two series

$$u = \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} c_{n,m}^{\text{int } \nu,\mu} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} + \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} c_{n,m}^{\text{ext } \nu,\mu} E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}, \quad (44)$$

analogous to the Laurent series for holomorphic functions in an annular domain in the complex plane, converging uniformly in proper closed subdomains. (Note, however, that the inner and outer harmonics together do not form an orthogonal system in $\Omega_{\eta_{\text{ext}},\eta_{\text{int}}}$.)

A boundary function $f: \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given collectively by its values for $\eta = \eta_{\text{int}}$ and $\eta = \eta_{\text{ext}}$ collectively, let us say

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{int}}(\theta, \varphi) &= f(\eta_{\text{int}}, \theta, \varphi) = \sum a_{n,m}^{\text{int } \nu,\mu} I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}[\eta_1], \\ f_{\text{ext}}(\theta, \varphi) &= f(\eta_{\text{ext}}, \theta, \varphi) = \sum a_{n,m}^{\text{ext } \nu,\mu} E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}[\eta_0]. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

For u to be the solution of the Dirichlet problem for f , we combine (44) with (45) to find

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n,m}^{\text{int}} c_{n,m}^{\text{int } \nu,\mu} + p_{n,m}^{\text{int}} c_{n,m}^{\text{ext } \nu,\mu} &= a_{n,m}^{\text{int } \nu,\mu}, \\ q_{n,m}^{\text{ext}} c_{n,m}^{\text{int } \nu,\mu} + p_{n,m}^{\text{ext}} c_{n,m}^{\text{ext } \nu,\mu} &= a_{n,m}^{\text{ext } \nu,\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n,m}^{\text{int}} &= Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_{\text{int}}), & q_{n,m}^{\text{ext}} &= Q_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_{\text{ext}}), \\ p_{n,m}^{\text{int}} &= P_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_{\text{int}}), & p_{n,m}^{\text{ext}} &= P_{n-1/2}^m(\cosh \eta_{\text{ext}}). \end{aligned}$$

This might be written symbolically as

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^{\text{int}} & p^{\text{int}} \\ q^{\text{ext}} & p^{\text{ext}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c^{\text{int}} \\ c^{\text{ext}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{\text{int}} \\ a^{\text{ext}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To solve this system, one needs to verify that it is nonsingular. Instead of a direct verification as in Lemma 4.4, we simply note that if for even one combination of (n, m, ν, μ) there were more than one solution, one could easily construct a Dirichlet problem in the shell Ω with more than one solution.

We see that $\text{nor } I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{int}}}$ is obtained from the formula of Lemma 3.1 with η_0 replaced with η_{int} , while $\text{nor } I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{ext}}}$ is obtained by using η_{ext} instead. The boundary values $\text{nor } E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{int}}}$ and $\text{nor } E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu}|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{ext}}}$ are then obtained by replacing $Q_{n-1/2}^m$ with $P_{n-1/2}^m$. Once we have the harmonic function u as in (44), we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nor } u \Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{int}}} &= \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} c_{n,m}^{\text{int } \nu,\mu} \text{nor } I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{int}}} + \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} c_{n,m}^{\text{ext } \nu,\mu} \text{nor } E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{int}}}, \\ \text{nor } u \Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{ext}}} &= \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} c_{n,m}^{\text{int } \nu,\mu} \text{nor } I_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{ext}}} + \sum_{n,m,\nu,\mu} c_{n,m}^{\text{ext } \nu,\mu} \text{nor } E_{n,m}^{\nu,\mu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega_{\text{ext}}}. \end{aligned}$$

When the convergence of the series is absolute, one may apply the same rearranging and reindexing as described in the proof of Theorem 3.4 to obtain the coefficients in the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping $h = \Lambda f$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\eta_{\text{int}}, \theta, \varphi) &= \sqrt{\cosh \eta_0 - \cos \theta} \sum b_{n,m}^{\text{int } \nu, \mu} \Phi_n^\nu(\theta) \Phi_m^\mu(\varphi), \\ h(\eta_{\text{ext}}, \theta, \varphi) &= \sqrt{\cosh \eta_0 - \cos \theta} \sum b_{n,m}^{\text{ext } \nu, \mu} \Phi_n^\nu(\theta) \Phi_m^\mu(\varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

As in the solution of the Neumann problem for the interior domain, the equations for a fixed value of (m, ν, μ) are independent of those for another value of these indices. They can be solved recursively. The only difference will be that one must solve a pair of equations at each step.

6 Conclusions

We have presented an approach for studying the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping and solving the Neumann problem for the Laplace operator on a torus. It is shown how the Dirichlet-to-Neumann mapping may be expressed by means of certain infinite series based on toroidal harmonics. We express the well-known necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of the Neumann problem (compatibility condition), as well as the normalization condition in terms of the Fourier coefficients. These results show that the Neumann problem involves an infinite system of linear equations. The solution to the problem involves a special twist in that the unique value of the free parameter in this underdetermined linear system which truly gives a solution cannot be found algebraically. Therefore we express it as a limit of easily calculated algebraic expressions. Numerical results are displayed for the accuracy of the algorithm. The paper concludes showing how the results for interior and exterior domains apply to solve the Neumann problem for a toroidal shell. The issue of relaxing the convergence rate requirement on the expansion coefficients is a thorny problem for the future.

7 Acknowledgments

Z. Ashtab was supported by CONACyT (Mexico).

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series 55 (1964)
- [2] G. B. Arfken, H. J. Weber, F. E. Harris, Mathematical methods for physicists: a comprehensive guide, 7th ed., Elsevier Inc., New York (2013)

- [3] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, and W. Ramey, Harmonic function theory, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag New York (2001).
- [4] H. Bateman, Partial differential equations of mathematical physics, Dover Publications, New York (1944)
- [5] A. P. Calderón, On an inverse boundary value problem. Seminar on numerical analysis and its applications to continuum physics, Soc. Brasileira de Matemática, Rio de Janeiro 467 (1980) pp. 65–73.
- [6] J. Caldwell, The vector potential for a thick solenoid in the presence of iron. J. Phys. D.: Appl. Phys. 17 (1984) pp. 1589–1595.
- [7] H. S. Cohl, D. E. Dominici, Generalized Heine’s identity for complex Fourier series of binomials. Proc. R. Soc. A 467 (2011) pp. 333–345.
- [8] R. Courant, D. Hilbert, Methods of mathematical physics, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1953)
- [9] W. Craig, C. Sulem, Numerical simulation of gravity waves. J. Comput. Phys. 108(1) (1993) pp. 73–83.
- [10] B. E. J. Dahlberg, C. E. Kenig, Hardy spaces and the Neumann problem in L^p for Laplace’s equation in Lipschitz domains. Ann. Math. 125 (1987) pp. 437–465.
- [11] T. Demeester, D. de Zutter, Construction and applications of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator in transmission line modeling. Turk. J. Elec. & Comp. Sci. 17:3 (2009) pp. 205–216.
- [12] A. Erdélyi, Higher transcendental functions, vol. 1, New York (1953).
- [13] G. B Folland, Introduction to partial differential equations 2nd edition, Princeton University Press (1995).
- [14] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, Table of integrals, series, and products, 7th. ed. (Translated from Russian), Elsevier Inc., New York (2007)
- [15] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier theory 3rd edition, Springer (2014).
- [16] D. D. Haroske, H. Triebel, Distributions, Sobolev spaces, elliptic equations, European Mathematical Society (2008).
- [17] E. W. Hobson, The theory of spherical and ellipsoidal harmonics, Cambridge University Press (1931).
- [18] A. Jeffrey, H.-H. Dai, Mathematical formulas and integrals, 4th. ed., Elsevier Inc. (2008)
- [19] D. Isaacson, J. L. Mueller, J. C. Newell, s. Siltanen, Imaging cardiac activity by the D-bar method for electrical impedance tomography . Physiol. Meas. 27:5 (2006) pp. S43–S50.

- [20] V. Isakov, Inverse problems for partial differential equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol 127, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998).
- [21] R. V. Kohn, M. Vogelius, Determining conductivity by boundary measurements II. Interior results, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* 38:5 (1985) pp. 643–667.
- [22] D. Lannes, The water waves problem: mathematical analysis and asymptotics, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 188 (2013).
- [23] N. N. Lebedev, Special functions and their applications, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey (1965).
- [24] J. Lions, E. Magenes, Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications, Vol. 1, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [25] W. McLean, Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations, Cambridge University Press (2000).
- [26] V. P. Mikhailov, Partial differential equations, Mir Moscow Publishers (1978).
- [27] P. Moon, D. E. Spencer, Field theory handbook, Springer, Berlin (1988).
- [28] I. G. Petrovsky. Lectures on partial differential equations. Translated from the Russian by A. Shenitzer. Interscience Publishers, New York (1954).
- [29] G. E. Raynor, The Dirichlet-Neumann problem for the sphere, *Ann. Math.*, 35(1) (1934) pp. 74–99.
- [30] M. Salo, The Calderón problem on Riemannian manifolds. *Inside Out II*, MSRI Publications 60 (2012) pp. 167–247.
- [31] C. Snow, Hypergeometric and Legendre functions with applications to integral equations of potential theory, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series 19 (1952)
- [32] J. Sylvester, G. Uhlmann, The Dirichlet to Neumann map and applications (Arcata, CA, 1989), SIAM (1990) pp. 101–139.
- [33] E. T. Whittaker, G. N. Watson, A course of modern analysis Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1927)