

SET-THEORETICAL SOLUTIONS TO THE HOM-YANG-BAXTER EQUATION AND HOM-CYCLE SETS

KAIQIANG ZHANG AND XIANKUN DU

ABSTRACT. Set-theoretic solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation have been studied extensively by means of related algebraic systems such as cycle sets and braces, dynamical versions of which have also been developed. No work focuses on set-theoretic solutions to the Hom-Yang-Baxter equation (HYBE for short). This paper investigates set-theoretic solutions to HYBE and associated algebraic system, called Hom-cycle sets. We characterize left non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solutions to HYBE and Hom-cycle sets, and establish their relations. We discuss connections among Hom-cycle sets, cycle sets, left non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solutions to HYBE and the Yang-Baxter equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let V be a vector space. A solution to the Yang-Baxter equation (YBE shortly) is a linear map $R : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ such that

$$(R \otimes \text{id}_V)(\text{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \text{id}_V) = (\text{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \text{id}_V)(\text{id}_V \otimes R).$$

YBE first appeared in the work of Yang [39] and Baxter [1]. This equation is fundamental to quantum groups. It is a central task to determine all solutions to YBE. However, this is difficult to accomplish. In order to find new solutions to YBE, Drinfeld [12] in 1992 suggested considering set-theoretic solutions to YBE, that is, a map $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, where X is a nonempty set, satisfying

$$(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X) = (\text{id}_X \times r)(r \times \text{id}_X)(\text{id}_X \times r). \quad (1.1)$$

Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev [16], Gateva-Ivanova and Van den Bergh [20], and Lu, Yan and Zhu [26] initially conducted a systematic study on this subject. They studied set-theoretic solutions with invertibility, nondegeneracy and involutivity by using group theory. Gateva-Ivanova

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T25, 20N02, 20N05.

Key words and phrases. cycle set, Hom-cycle set, Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, left quasigroup, set-theoretic solution.

Corresponding author: X Du.

in [17] introduced a combinatorial approach to discuss set-theoretic solutions and she conjectured that every square-free, non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solution (X, r) is decomposable whenever X is finite. This has been proved by Rump in [30].

Left cycle sets were introduced by Rump [30] to study left non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solutions to YBE. Rump showed that there is a bijective correspondence between left non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solutions to YBE and left cycle sets, and non-degenerate solutions correspond to non-degenerate left cycle sets. He also prove that all finite left cycle sets are non-degenerate. The theory of cycle sets has been proved to be very useful in understanding the structure of solutions to YBE (see for example [2–7, 10, 25]). This theory has been greatly developed, and inspires theory of braces [8, 18, 21, 31, 36].

Another version of YBE, dynamical quantum Yang-Baxter equation, has been studied [13, 14], which is closely related to dynamical quantum groups [15]. Their set-theoretic solution, called DYB maps, were proposed by Shibukawa in [34] and received a lot of attention (see for example [24, 28, 35, 37]). Dynamical braces and dynamical cycle sets were introduced and related to right non-degenerate unitary DYB maps [27, 32].

The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation (HYBE shortly) was introduced by Yau [40] motivated by Hom-Lie algebras, which is related to Hom-quantum groups [42]. Many researchers have devoted considerable attention to HYBE (see for example [9, 23, 29, 38, 41, 43]). However, to our knowledge, no work concentrates on set-theoretical solutions to GYBE.

The aim of this paper is to investigate left non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solutions to HYBE, corresponding algebraic systems, called Hom-cycle sets, and their relationship.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic definitions and results, and provide a general categorical framework for the following discussion. In Section 3, we characterize left non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solutions to HYBE. In Section 4, we introduce the notion of a Hom-cycle set, and prove that there exists a one to one correspondence between left Hom-cycle sets and left non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solutions to HYBE. Section 5 is devoted to relationship among Hom-cycle sets, cycle sets, left non-degenerate involutive solutions to HYBE and YBE.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a nonempty set and let $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ be a map. We will write $r(x, y) = (\lambda_x(y), \rho_y(x))$, where λ_x and ρ_y are maps from X to itself for all $x, y \in X$. The pair (X, r) is referred to a quadratic set in [17].

A quadratic set (X, r) (or a map r) is called

- (1) left (respectively, right) non-degenerate if the map λ_x (respectively, ρ_x) is bijective for all $x \in X$;
- (2) non-degenerate if r is both left and right non-degenerate;
- (3) involutive if $r^2 = \text{id}$, the identify map;
- (4) a set-theoretic solution to YBE if r satisfies (1.1).

The following lemma comes from [16, Proposition 1.6] (see also [19, Lemma 2.4]).

Lemma 2.1. (1) *A quadratic set (X, r) is involutive if and only if*

$$\lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}\rho_y(x) = x, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\rho_{\rho_x(y)}\lambda_y(x) = x, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

- (2) *A quadratic set (X, r) is left non-degenerate and involutive if and only if λ_x is bijective for all $x \in X$ and*

$$\rho_y(x) = \lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x), \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 2.2. [16, Proposition 1.6] *A quadratic set (X, r) is a set-theoretic solution to YBE if and only if*

- (1) $\lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}\lambda_{\rho_y(x)}(z) = \lambda_x\lambda_y(z)$,
- (2) $\rho_{\lambda_{\rho_y(x)}(z)}\lambda_x(y) = \lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)}(x)}\rho_z(y)$,
- (3) $\rho_z\rho_y(x) = \rho_{\rho_z(y)}\rho_{\lambda_y(z)}(x)$,

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The following Theorem comes from [8, Proposition 2] (see also [22, Theorem 9.3.10]).

Theorem 2.3. *A quadratic set (X, r) is a left non-degenerate involutive set-theoretic solution to YBE if and only if the following hold.*

- (1) λ_x is bijective for all $x \in X$;
- (2) $r^2 = \text{id}$;
- (3) $\lambda_x\lambda_{\lambda_x^{-1}(y)} = \lambda_y\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}(x)}$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Let (X, r) and (X', r') be quadratic sets. By a morphism from (X, r) to (X', r') we mean a map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ satisfying $(f \times f)r = r'(f \times f)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Given two quadratic sets (X, r) and (X', r') , and a map $f : X \rightarrow X'$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) f is a morphism of quadratic sets;
- (2) $f\lambda_x = \lambda'_{f(x)}f$ and $f\rho_x = \rho'_{f(x)}f$ for all $x \in X$.

If r and r' are both left non-degenerate and involutive, then both conditions above are equivalent to one of the following conditions:

- (3) $f\lambda_x = \lambda'_{f(x)}f$ for all $x \in X$;
- (4) $f\lambda_x^{-1} = \lambda'^{-1}_{f(x)}f$ for all $x \in X$.

By a Hom-quadratic set we mean a triple (X, r, α) of a nonempty set X with two maps $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ such that $r(\alpha \times \alpha) = (\alpha \times \alpha)r$. Thus a Hom-quadratic set is exactly a quadratic set with an endomorphism.

We will identify the quadratic set (X, r) with the Hom-quadratic set (X, r, id) .

Given two Hom-quadratic sets (X, r, α) and (X', r', α') , a map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is called a morphism of Hom-quadratic sets if

$$(f \times f)r = r'(f \times f) \text{ and } f\alpha = \alpha'f.$$

Thus a morphism of Hom-quadratic sets is exactly a morphism of quadratic sets satisfying $f\alpha = \alpha'f$.

Corollary 2.5. *Given a quadratic set (X, r) and a map $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$, the triple (X, r, α) is a Hom-quadratic set if and only if*

$$\alpha\lambda_x = \lambda_{\alpha(x)}\alpha \text{ and } \alpha\rho_x = \rho_{\alpha(x)}\alpha \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

A Hom-quadratic set (X, r, α) is called left non-degenerate, non-degenerate, and involutive, respectively, if r has the same properties.

Denote by **QS** and **HQS** the categories of left non-degenerate involutive quadratic sets and left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic sets, respectively. Then **QS** is a full subcategory of **HQS** by identifying a quadratic set (X, r) with a Hom-quadratic set (X, r, id) .

By a groupoid we mean a set with a binary operation. For a groupoid X , denote by σ_x the left multiplication map by $x \in X$ defined by

$$\sigma_x : X \rightarrow X, \quad y \mapsto xy.$$

By a left quasigroup we mean a groupoid X such that the left multiplication maps σ_x are bijective for all $x \in X$ (see [33, Page 9]).

It should be pointed out that the image of an endomorphism of a left quasigroup is a left quasigroup, though the image of a homomorphism from a left quasigroup to a groupoid need not to be a left quasigroup (see [33, Page 15] and [33, Corollary 1.298]).

By a left Hom-quasigroup we mean a pair (X, α) of a left quasigroup X with an endomorphism α .

We also write a left Hom-quasigroup (X, α) as (X, \cdot, α) to indicate the operation \cdot of left quasigroup X .

We can identify a left quasigroup X with the left Hom-quasigroup (X, id) .

Let (X, α) and (X', α') be two left Hom-quasigroups. A map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is called a morphism of left Hom-quasigroups if $\alpha f = f \alpha'$ and $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

From Lemma 2.4, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let (X, r, α) and (X', r', α') be left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic sets, and let (X, \cdot, α) and $(X', *, \alpha')$ be left Hom-quasigroups such that $x \cdot y = \lambda_x^{-1}(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $x' * y' = \lambda_{x'}^{-1}(y')$ for all $x', y' \in X'$. Then a map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a morphism of Hom-quadratic sets if and only if it is a morphism of left Hom-quasigroups.*

Denote by **HQG** and **QG** the categories of left quasigroups and left Hom-quasigroups, respectively. Then **HQG** is a full subcategory of **QG** by identifying a left quasigroup X with a left Hom-quasigroup (X, id) .

Given a left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic set (X, r, α) , we get a left Hom-quasigroups (X, \cdot, α) , denoted by $G(X, r, \alpha)$, with the operation defined by $x \cdot y = \lambda_x^{-1}(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we have a functor $G : \text{HQS} \rightarrow \text{HQG}$ by associating (X, r, α) with $G(X, r, \alpha)$, and a morphism f in **HQS** with f .

Conversely, given a left Hom-quasigroup (X, \cdot, α) , we get a Hom-quadratic set (X, r, α) , denoted by $S(X, \cdot, \alpha)$, with $\lambda_x(y) = \sigma_x^{-1}(y)$ and $\rho_y(x) = \sigma_x^{-1}(y) \cdot x$ for all $x, y \in X$. It is routine to verify that (X, r, α) is left non-degenerate and involutive. Then we have a functor $S : \text{HQG} \rightarrow \text{HQS}$ by associating (X, \cdot, α) with $S(X, \cdot, \alpha)$ and a morphism f in **HQG** with f .

Theorem 2.7. *The functors G and S are mutually inverse, and so the categories **HQS** and **HQG** are isomorphic.*

Proof. It is straightforward. □

The functor G induces a functor from **QS** to **QG** and S induces a functor from **QG** to **QS**. We still denote the induced functors by G and S , respectively. By Theorem 2.7, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *The functors $G : \text{QS} \rightarrow \text{QG}$ and $S : \text{QG} \rightarrow \text{QS}$ are mutually inverse, and so the categories **QS** and **QG** are isomorphic.*

Denote by S_{ybe} the category of left non-degenerate involutive solutions to YBE. Then S_{ybe} is a full subcategory of **QS**.

A left quasigroup X is called a left cycle set if $(xy)(xz) = (yx)(yz)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ [30].

Denote by \mathbf{CS} the category of left cycle sets. Then \mathbf{CS} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{QG} .

By [30, Proposition 1], the functor G induces a functor from \mathbf{S}_{ybe} to \mathbf{CS} and S induces a functor from \mathbf{CS} to \mathbf{S}_{ybe} . We still denote the induced functors by G and S , respectively. By Corollary 2.8, [30, Proposition 1] can be restated as follows.

Theorem 2.9. *The functors $G : \mathbf{S}_{\text{ybe}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CS}$ and $S : \mathbf{CS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\text{ybe}}$ are mutually inverse, and so the categories \mathbf{S}_{ybe} and \mathbf{CS} are isomorphic.*

A groupoid is called Δ -bijective if the map Δ is bijective, where $\Delta : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$, $(x, y) \mapsto (xy, yx)$ [2].

The following lemma comes from [2, Lemma 2.10] (see also Lemma 1.28 in Chapter XIII of [11]).

Lemma 2.10. *A groupoid X is Δ -bijective if and only if there exists an operation \circ on X (called the dual operation) such that*

$$(x \cdot y) \circ (y \cdot x) = x, \quad (2.4)$$

$$(x \circ y) \cdot (y \circ x) = x, \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Furthermore, if conditions hold, then the operation \circ is unique and the inverse of Δ is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x \circ y, y \circ x)$.

Lemma 2.11. *(see [2, Lemma 2.11]) If a groupoid X is Δ -bijective, then the square map $q : X \rightarrow X$, $x \mapsto x^2$ is invertible.*

We will say that a groupoid with extra structure is non-degenerate if the underlying groupoid is Δ -bijective.

Denote by \mathbf{ndCS} the category of non-degenerate left cycle sets. Then \mathbf{ndCS} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{QG} .

Denote by $\mathbf{ndiS}_{\text{ybe}}$ the category of non-degenerate involutive solution to YBE. Then $\mathbf{ndiS}_{\text{ybe}}$ is a full subcategory of \mathbf{QS} .

By Corollary 2.8 and Theorem 2.9, Proposition 2 in [30] can be restated as follows.

Theorem 2.12. *The functors $G : \mathbf{ndiS}_{\text{ybe}} \rightarrow \mathbf{ndCS}$ and $S : \mathbf{ndCS} \rightarrow \mathbf{ndiS}_{\text{ybe}}$ are mutually inverse, and so the categories $\mathbf{ndiS}_{\text{ybe}}$ and \mathbf{ndCS} are isomorphic.*

3. SET-THEORETIC SOLUTIONS TO THE HOM-YANG-BAXTER EQUATION

The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation was proposed by Yau [40] motivated by Hom-Lie algebras.

Definition 3.1. Given a vector space V and two linear maps $R : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ and $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$, the triple (V, R, α) is called a solution to the Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, if

- (1) $R(\alpha \otimes \alpha) = (\alpha \otimes \alpha)R$, and
- (2) $(\alpha \otimes R)(R \otimes \alpha)(\alpha \otimes R) = (R \otimes \alpha)(\alpha \otimes R)(R \otimes \alpha)$.

By analogy with set-theoretic solutions to YBE, we introduce set-theoretic solutions to HYBE.

Definition 3.2. Given a nonempty set X and two maps $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$, the triple (X, r, α) is called a set-theoretic solution to HYBE, if

- (1) $r \circ (\alpha \times \alpha) = (\alpha \times \alpha) \circ r$, and
- (2) $(\alpha \times r)(r \times \alpha)(\alpha \times r) = (r \times \alpha)(\alpha \times r)(r \times \alpha)$.

Clearly, (X, r) is a set-theoretic solution to YBE if and only if (X, r, id) is a set-theoretic solution to HYBE.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and Y a subset of X . Denote by $f|_Y$ the restriction of f to Y . When there is no ambiguity, we will write f for the restriction $f|_Y$.

By analogy with the relation between set-theoretic solutions to YBE and solutions to YBE, we have the following theorem, and the proof is immediate.

Theorem 3.3. Let V be a vector space with a basis X .

- (1) If (V, R, α) is a solution to HYBE such that $R(X \otimes X) \subseteq X \otimes X$ and $\alpha(X) \subseteq X$, then $(X, R|_{X \otimes X}, \alpha|_X)$ is a set-theoretic solution to HYBE.
- (2) Conversely, if (X, r, α) is a set-theoretic solution to HYBE, and $R : V \rightarrow V$ and $\bar{\alpha} : V \rightarrow V$ are linear extensions of r and α , respectively, then $(V, R, \bar{\alpha})$ is a solution to HYBE.

In what follows, a set-theoretic solution is simply called a solution.

Lemma 3.4. A triple (X, r, α) with $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ is a solution to HYBE if and only if the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (1) $\alpha\lambda_x = \lambda_{\alpha(x)}\alpha$, and $\alpha\rho_x = \rho_{\alpha(x)}\alpha$;
- (2) $\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y = \lambda_{\alpha\lambda_x(y)}\lambda_{\rho_y(x)}\alpha$;
- (3) $\rho_{\lambda_{\rho_y(x)}\alpha(z)}\alpha\lambda_x(y) = \lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)}\alpha(x)}\alpha\rho_z(y)$;
- (4) $\alpha\rho_{\alpha(y)}\rho_x = \rho_{\alpha\rho_y(x)}\rho_{\lambda_x(y)}\alpha$.

Proof. It is straightforward. □

Theorem 2.2 is a special case of Lemma 3.4.

Example 3.5. A triple $(X, \text{id}_{X \times X}, \alpha)$ is a solution to HYBE if and only if $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. In this case, it is involutive, but neither left nor right non-degenerate.

Example 3.6. Let (X, r, α) be Hom-quadratic set. If (X, r) is a solution to YBE, then $(X, (\alpha \times \alpha)r, \alpha)$ is a solution to HYBE, and the converse holds if additionally α is injective or surjective.

Example 3.7. A triple (X, τ, α) with $\tau(x, y) = (y, x)$ and arbitrary map $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ is a non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE, called a trivial solution.

Example 3.8. A triple (X, r, α) with $r(x, y) = (f(y), g(x))$, where f, g are maps from X to itself, is a solution to HYBE if and only if α, f, g commute. Furthermore, the solution (X, r, α) is left non-degenerate and involutive if and only if f is bijective, and $g = f^{-1}$.

We are now in a position to characterize left non-degenerate involutive solutions to HYBE.

Theorem 3.9. A triple (X, r, α) with $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ is a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE if and only if the following conditions hold for all $x, y \in X$,

- (1) λ_x is bijective;
- (2) $\rho_y(x) = \lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x)$;
- (3) $\alpha\lambda_x = \lambda_{\alpha(x)}\alpha$;
- (4) $\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_x^{-1}(y)} = \lambda_{\alpha(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}(x)}\alpha$;
- (5) $\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}(y) = \lambda_{\alpha(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}\alpha(x)}\alpha$;
- (6) $\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha(y) = \lambda_y\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}\alpha(x)}\alpha$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) (1) and (2) follows from Lemma 2.1(2). (3) follows from Lemma 3.4(1).

To prove (4), replacing y by $\lambda_x^{-1}(y)$ in (2) and Lemma 3.4(2), we get

$$\rho_{\lambda_x^{-1}(y)}(x) = \lambda_y^{-1}(x) \text{ and } \alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_x^{-1}(y)} = \lambda_{\alpha(y)}\lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_x^{-1}(y)}(x)}\alpha.$$

Then (4) follows.

To prove (5), using (2) we can write Lemma 3.4(3) as

$$\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_x(y)\lambda_{\rho_y(x)}\alpha(z)}^{-1}\alpha\lambda_x(y) = \lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)}\alpha(x)}\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_y(z)}^{-1}(y).$$

It follows by Lemma 3.4(2) that

$$\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}^{-1}\alpha\lambda_x(y) = \lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)}\alpha(x)}\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_y(z)}^{-1}(y),$$

which implies that $\alpha\lambda_x(y) = \lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}\lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)}\alpha(x)}\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_y(z)}^{-1}(y)$. Since λ_y is bijective and z is arbitrary, we can replace $\lambda_y(z)$ by z in the last

equation to obtain $\alpha\lambda_x(y) = \lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}(z)}\lambda_{\rho_z\alpha(x)}\alpha\lambda_z^{-1}(y)$. Thus

$$\alpha\lambda_x = \lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}(z)}\lambda_{\rho_z\alpha(x)}\alpha\lambda_z^{-1},$$

and so $\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_z = \lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}(z)}\lambda_{\rho_z\alpha(x)}\alpha$. By (2) we have

$$\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_z = \lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}(z)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}(z)}\alpha(x)\alpha,$$

in which replacing z by $\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}(y)$ gives (5).

Now we prove (6). We first claim that the conditions (1) through (5) imply that

$$\alpha\lambda_x = \alpha\lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Indeed, replacing x by $\alpha(x)$ in (4), we have

$$\alpha\lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}(y)} = \lambda_{\alpha(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}\alpha(x)}\alpha,$$

which together with (5) gives $\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}(y)} = \alpha\lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}(y)}$. By (1) we get $\alpha\lambda_x = \alpha\lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}$.

Note that Lemma 3.4(2) implies that

$$\lambda_{\rho_x(z)}\alpha = \lambda_{\alpha\lambda_z(x)}^{-1}\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_x. \quad (3.2)$$

By (2), we can write 3.4(4) as

$$\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_{\rho_x(z)}\alpha(y)}\rho_x(z) = \lambda_{\lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_x(y)}\alpha(z)}\alpha\rho_y(x)}^{-1}\rho_{\lambda_x(y)}\alpha(z),$$

for any $z \in X$. It follows by (2) that

$$\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_{\rho_x(z)}\alpha(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_z(x)}^{-1}(z) = \lambda_{\lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_x(y)}\alpha(z)}\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\alpha(z).$$

By using (3.2) and substituting $\lambda_{\rho_x(z)}\alpha$ and $\lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_x(y)}\alpha(z)}\alpha$ into the last equation, we obtain

$$\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_z(x)}^{-1}\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_z(x)}^{-1}(z) = \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\alpha\lambda_{\alpha^2(z)}(x)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\alpha(z).$$

Thus by (3.1), we have

$$\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_z(x)}^{-1}\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_z(x)}^{-1}(z) = \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\alpha\lambda_z(x)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\alpha(z).$$

Replacing x by $\lambda_z^{-1}(x)$ in the previous equation, we have

$$\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_{\lambda_z^{-1}(x)}(y)}^{-1}\lambda_x^{-1}(z) = \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_{\lambda_z^{-1}(x)}(y)}^{-1}\alpha(x)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_{\lambda_z^{-1}(x)}(y)}^{-1}\alpha(z).$$

Noting that $\lambda_{\alpha(z)}\lambda_{\lambda_z^{-1}(x)}(y)$ is an arbitrary element of X , we may simply denote it by y . Then the last equation can be written as

$$\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha(y)}^{-1}\lambda_x^{-1}(z) = \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}^{-1}\alpha(x)}^{-1}\lambda_y^{-1}\alpha(z),$$

that is, $\alpha \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1} \alpha(y)}^{-1} \lambda_x^{-1} = \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}^{-1} \alpha(x)}^{-1} \lambda_y^{-1} \alpha$, which implies

$$\alpha \lambda_x \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1} \alpha(y)} = \lambda_y \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}^{-1} \alpha(x)} \alpha.$$

This proves (6).

(\Leftarrow) The nondegeneracy and involutivity of (X, r, α) follows from (1) and (2) by Lemma 2.1(2). We now prove that (X, r, α) satisfies the four conditions in Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.4(1) follows from Lemma 2.4.

By (2) and (4), we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha \lambda_x(y)} \lambda_{\rho_y(x)} \alpha = \lambda_{\alpha \lambda_x(y)} \lambda_{\lambda_{x(y)}^{-1} \alpha} \alpha = \alpha \lambda_{\alpha(x)} \lambda_{\lambda_x^{-1} \lambda_x(y)} = \alpha \lambda_{\alpha(x)} \lambda_y,$$

which proves Lemma 3.4(2).

To prove Lemma 3.4(3), replacing x by $\alpha(x)$ and y by $\lambda_y(z)$ in Lemma 3.4(2) and using (3.1) we get

$$\lambda_{\alpha \lambda_{\alpha(x)} \lambda_y(z)} \lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)} \alpha(x)} \alpha = \alpha \lambda_{\alpha^2(x)} \lambda_{\lambda_y(z)} = \alpha \lambda_x \lambda_{\lambda_y(z)}.$$

It follows that

$$\lambda_{\alpha \lambda_{\alpha(x)} \lambda_y(z)}^{-1} \alpha \lambda_x = \lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)} \alpha(x)} \alpha \lambda_{\lambda_y(z)}^{-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus by Lemma 3.4(2), (3.3) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\lambda_{\rho_y(x)} \alpha(z)} \alpha \lambda_x(y) &= \lambda_{\alpha \lambda_x(y) \lambda_{\rho_y(x)} \alpha(z)}^{-1} \alpha \lambda_x(y) \\ &= \lambda_{\alpha \lambda_{\alpha(x)} \lambda_y(z)}^{-1} \alpha \lambda_x(y) \\ &= \lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)} \alpha(x)} \alpha \lambda_{\lambda_y(z)}^{-1}(y) \\ &= \lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)}(\alpha(x))} \alpha \rho_z(y), \end{aligned}$$

which proves Lemma 3.4(3).

We now prove Lemma 3.4(4). By Lemma 3.4(2), we have

$$\lambda_{\rho_y(x)} \alpha = \lambda_{\alpha \lambda_x(y)}^{-1} \alpha \lambda_{\alpha(x)} \lambda_y. \quad (3.4)$$

And by (3.3), we have

$$\lambda_{\rho_{\lambda_y(z)} \alpha(x)} \alpha = \lambda_{\alpha \lambda_{\alpha(x)} \lambda_y(z)}^{-1} \alpha \lambda_x \lambda_{\lambda_y(z)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Then using (2) and (3.4) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \rho_{\alpha(z)} \rho_y(x) &= \alpha \lambda_{\lambda_{\rho_y(x)} \alpha(z)}^{-1} \rho_y(x) \\ &= \alpha \lambda_{\alpha \lambda_x(y)}^{-1} \alpha \lambda_{\alpha(x)} \lambda_y \lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By (2) and (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_{\alpha\rho z(y)}\rho_{\lambda y(z)}\alpha(x) &= \lambda_{\lambda_{\rho_{\lambda y(z)}\alpha(x)}\alpha\lambda_{\lambda y(z)}^{-1}(y)}^{-1}\rho_{\lambda y(z)}\alpha(x) \\
 &= \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda y(z)}\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_{\lambda y(z)}\lambda_{\lambda y(z)}^{-1}(y)}^{-1}\rho_{\lambda y(z)}\alpha(x) \\
 &= \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda y(z)}\alpha\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda y(z)}^{-1}\alpha(x). \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting y for $\alpha(y)$ in (5), we have $\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\alpha(y)}^{-1} = \lambda_{\alpha^2(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha$, which together with (6) yields

$$\lambda_{\alpha^2(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha = \lambda_y\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha. \tag{3.8}$$

Replacing x by $\alpha\lambda_x(y)$ and y by $\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)$ in (4), respectively, we have

$$\alpha\lambda_{\alpha^2\lambda_x(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_x(y)}\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}^{-1} = \lambda_{\alpha^2\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}\alpha\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\alpha.$$

By (3.1) and (3.8), we get that

$$\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_x(y)}\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}^{-1} = \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}\alpha\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\alpha,$$

whence

$$\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_x(y)}\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1} = \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}\alpha\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_y(z)}^{-1}\alpha. \tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.4(4) follows from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9). \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let (X, r, α) be a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE. Then*

- (1) $\lambda_x\alpha = \lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\alpha$ for all $x \in X$;
- (2) $\lambda_x\alpha^2 = \alpha^2\lambda_x$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. (1) Replacing y by $\alpha(y)$ in Theorem 3.9(5) we have

$$\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\alpha(y)}^{-1} = \lambda_{\alpha^2(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha,$$

which together with Theorem 3.9(6) yields

$$\lambda_{\alpha^2(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha = \lambda_y\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha.$$

By Theorem 3.9(3), $\lambda_{\alpha^2(y)}\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}(x)} = \lambda_y\alpha\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}(x)}$. Thus $\lambda_{\alpha^2(y)}\alpha = \lambda_y\alpha$.

(2) By Theorem 3.9(3) and Corollary 3.10(1) we have

$$\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)} = \lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\alpha = \lambda_x\alpha.$$

By Theorem 3.9(3), we have $\alpha^2\lambda_x = \alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\alpha = \lambda_x\alpha^2$. \square

Theorem 3.11. *A triple (X, r, α) with $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ is a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE if and only if the following statements are true for all $x, y \in X$,*

- (1) λ_x is bijective;
- (2) $\rho_y(x) = \lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x)$;
- (3) $\alpha\lambda_x = \lambda_{\alpha(x)}\alpha$;
- (4) $\lambda_x\alpha = \alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}$;
- (5) $\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}(y)} = \lambda_{\alpha(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}\alpha(x)}\alpha$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By Theorem 3.9 we need only prove (4). By (3) and Corollary 3.10, $\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)} = \lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\alpha = \lambda_x\alpha$, as desired.

(\Leftarrow) It suffices to prove (4) and (6) of Theorem 3.9. Replacing y by $\alpha(y)$ in (5) and using (3) and (4) we get

$$\alpha\lambda_x\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha(y)} = \lambda_{\alpha^2(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}^{-1}\alpha(x)}\alpha = \lambda_y\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(y)}^{-1}\alpha(x)}\alpha,$$

which is Theorem 3.9(6).

Replacing x by $\alpha(x)$ in (5) we get $\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}^{-1}(y)} = \lambda_{\alpha(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}\alpha^2(x)}\alpha$.

It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha(y)}\lambda_{\lambda_y^{-1}\alpha^2(x)}\alpha &= \alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}^{-1}(y)} = \lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha^3(x)}^{-1}\alpha(y)}\alpha \\ &= \lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha(x)}^{-1}\alpha(y)}\alpha = \alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}\lambda_{\lambda_x^{-1}(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 3.9(4). \square

When $\alpha = \text{id}$, Theorem 3.11 gives Theorem 2.3.

Example 3.12. *A triple (X, r, α) such that $\alpha(X) = \{\theta\}$ is a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE if and only if λ_x is bijective, $\lambda_x(\theta) = \theta$ and $\rho_y(x) = \lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

4. HOM-CYCLE SETS

In this section, we introduce a Hom-version of cycle sets and relate them to left non-degenerate involutive solutions to HYBE.

Definition 4.1. *A left Hom-quasigroup (X, α) is called a left Hom-cycle set if the following conditions are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in X$,*

$$\alpha((xy)(\alpha(x)z)) = (yx)(\alpha(y)\alpha(z)), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\alpha((\alpha(x)y)(xz)) = (y\alpha(x))(\alpha(y)\alpha(z)), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\alpha((\alpha(x)\alpha(y))(xz)) = (\alpha(y)\alpha(x))(y\alpha(z)). \quad (4.3)$$

In what follows, left cycle sets and left Hom-cycle sets are referred to cycle sets and Hom-cycle sets.

Clearly, X is a cycle set if and only if (X, id_X) is a Hom-cycle set. Hence we will identify the cycle set X with the Hom-cycle set (X, id_X) .

Denote by HCS the category of Hom-cycle sets, which is a full subcategory of HQG .

Denote by \mathbf{S}_{hybe} the category of left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE, which is a full subcategory of HQS .

Theorem 4.2. *The functors $G : \mathbf{S}_{\text{hybe}} \rightarrow \text{HCS}$ and $S : \text{HCS} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\text{hybe}}$ are mutually inverse, and so the categories \mathbf{S}_{hybe} and HCS are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let (X, r, α) be a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE. $G(X, r, \alpha)$ is a left Hom-quasigroup. Furthermore, since $x \cdot y = \lambda_x^{-1}(y)$, Theorem 3.9(4)–(6) imply the conditions (4.1)–(4.3). Thus $G(X, r, \alpha)$ is a Hom-cycle set.

Conversely, let (X, α) be a Hom-cycle set and $S(X, \alpha) = (X, r, \alpha)$. Then (X, r, α) is a left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic set. By Lemma 2.1(2) and Corollary 2.5, (X, r, α) satisfies Theorem 3.9(1)–(3). Furthermore, the conditions (4.1)–(4.3) imply Theorem 3.9(4)–(6). Thus $S(X, \alpha)$ is a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE. \square

Theorem 4.2 generalizes [30, Proposition 1].

Theorem 4.3. *A left Hom-quasigroup (X, α) is a Hom-cycle set if and only if the following conditions hold for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$\alpha^2(x)\alpha(y) = x\alpha(y), \quad (4.4)$$

$$(x\alpha(y))(\alpha(x)\alpha(z)) = (y\alpha(x))(\alpha(y)\alpha(z)). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Suppose $S(X, \alpha) = (X, r, \alpha)$.

(\Rightarrow) By Theorem 4.2, (X, r, α) is a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE. Thus (4.4) follows from Theorem 3.11(4), and (4.5) follows from (4.2).

(\Leftarrow) By Theorem 4.2 it suffices to prove that (X, r, α) is a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE. We need to verify that (X, r, α) satisfies (1)–(5) of Theorem 3.11. In fact, Lemma 2.1(2) and Corollary 2.5 imply that (X, r, α) satisfies (1)–(3) in Theorem 3.11, and the conditions (4.4) and (4.5) imply that (X, r, α) satisfies (4)–(5) in Theorem 3.11, as desired. \square

Example 4.4. *Let X be a nonempty set with a map $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a bijection such that $f\alpha = \alpha f$. Define an operation on X by $xy = f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, α) is a Hom-cycle set, which corresponds to the left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE defined in Example 3.8.*

Example 4.5. Let (X, α) be a left Hom-quasigroup with $\alpha(X) = \{\theta\}$. Then (X, α) is a Hom-cycle set if and only if θ is a right zero, i.e., $x\theta = \theta$ for all $x \in X$. In this case, (X, α) corresponds to the solution defined in Example 3.12.

Example 4.6. A trivial solution to HYBE corresponds to a right zero groupoid with an endomorphism.

Example 4.7. Let $(X, +)$ be an Abelian group with endomorphisms φ, ψ, α and define $x \cdot y = \varphi(x) + \psi(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, \cdot, α) is a Hom-cycle set if and only if the following hold:

- (1) ψ is bijective;
- (2) $\varphi\alpha = \alpha\varphi$ and $\psi\alpha = \alpha\psi$;
- (3) $\varphi\alpha^2 = \varphi$;
- (4) $\varphi^2 = \varphi\psi\alpha - \psi\varphi\alpha$.

In fact, (1) is equivalent to saying that (X, \cdot) is a left quasigroup by [2, Section 4.1]; (2) is equivalent to the assertion that α is an endomorphism of (X, \cdot) ; (3) and (4) are equivalent to (4.4) and (4.5), respectively.

Lemma 4.8. If (X, α) is a left Hom-quasigroup satisfying (4.4), then

$$(x\alpha^2(y))\alpha(z) = (xy)\alpha(z), \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Proof. By using (4.4) we have

$$(xy)\alpha(z) = \alpha^2(xy)\alpha(z) = (\alpha^2(x)\alpha^2(y))\alpha(z) = (x\alpha^2(y))\alpha(z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. □

Lemma 4.9. If (X, α) is a left Hom-quasigroup satisfying (4.4), then (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) are equivalent.

Proof. Replacing x by $\alpha(x)$ in (4.1) gives (4.2).

Replacing y by $\alpha(y)$ in (4.2) gives (4.3).

Suppose (4.3) holds. Replacing x by $\alpha(x)$ and y by $\alpha(y)$ in (4.3) gives $\alpha((\alpha^2(x)\alpha^2(y))(\alpha(x)z)) = (\alpha^2(y)\alpha^2(x))(\alpha(y)\alpha(z))$. It follows that

$$\alpha((xy)(\alpha(x)z)) = (y\alpha^2(x))(\alpha(y)\alpha(z)),$$

which together with (4.6) gives (4.1). □

Theorem 4.10. Let (X, α) be a left Hom-quasigroup. Then (X, α) is a Hom-cycle set if and only if it satisfies (4.4) and one of (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3).

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.3. □

Theorem 4.11. *A Hom-cycle set is non-degenerate if and only if the corresponding solution to HYBE is non-degenerate.*

Proof. Let (X, α) be a Hom-cycle set and (X, r, α) the corresponding solution to HYBE.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose (X, α) is non-degenerate and \circ is the dual operation defined in Lemma 2.10. Then (2.5) can be rewritten as $\lambda_{x \circ y}^{-1}(y \circ x) = x$ for all $x, y \in X$. Thus $y \circ x = \lambda_{x \circ y}(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. It follows by interchanging x and y that $x \circ y = \lambda_{y \circ x}(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Substituting the last equation into (2.5), we get

$$\lambda_{y \circ x}(y) \cdot (y \circ x) = x.$$

Denote by τ_y the left multiplication by y with respect to the operation \circ . Then $\rho_y \tau_y(x) = \rho_y(y \circ x) = \lambda_{y \circ x}(y) \cdot (y \circ x) = x$. Noting that $x \lambda_x(y) = y$, by (2.4) we have

$$\tau_y \rho_y(x) = (x \lambda_x(y)) \circ (\lambda_x(y)x) = x.$$

Thus ρ_y is bijective, and so (X, r, α) is non-degenerate.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose (X, r, α) is non-degenerate. Define $x \circ y = \rho_x^{-1}(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing y by $\lambda_x^{-1}(y)$ in (2.1) we obtain $\rho_{\lambda_x^{-1}(y)}(x) = \lambda_y^{-1}(x)$, whence $\rho_{\lambda_x^{-1}(y)}^{-1} \lambda_y^{-1}(x) = x$, which gives (2.4). Similarly, replacing y by $\rho_x^{-1}(y)$ in (2.2) we can get (2.5). Thus (X, α) is non-degenerate by Lemma 2.10. \square

Denote by ndHCS the category of non-degenerate left Hom-cycle sets. Then ndHCS is a full subcategory of HCS .

Denote by $\text{ndiS}_{\text{hybe}}$ the category of non-degenerate involutive solutions to HYBE. Then $\text{ndiS}_{\text{hybe}}$ is a full subcategory of S_{hybe} .

Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.11 have the following corollary, which generalize [30, Proposition 2].

Corollary 4.12. *The functors $G : \text{ndiS}_{\text{hybe}} \rightarrow \text{ndHCS}$ and $S : \text{ndHCS} \rightarrow \text{ndiS}_{\text{hybe}}$ are mutually inverse, and so the categories $\text{ndiS}_{\text{hybe}}$ and ndHCS are isomorphic.*

Theorem 4.13. *Let (X, α) be a non-degenerate Hom-cycle set with the dual operation \circ . Then (X, \circ, α) is a non-degenerate Hom-cycle set.*

Proof. Suppose $S(X, \alpha) = (X, r, \alpha)$. By Theorem 4.11, (X, r, α) is a non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE. Replacing y by $\lambda_x(y)$ in (2.4), we have $(x \lambda_x(y)) \circ (\lambda_x(y)x) = x$, whence $y \circ \rho_y(x) = x$. Replacing x by $\rho_y^{-1}(x)$ in the last equation gives $y \circ x = \rho_y^{-1}(x)$, and so $\rho_y(y \circ x) = x$. Thus (X, \circ) is a left quasigroup, and we have

$$\alpha(x) = \alpha \rho_y(y \circ x) = \rho_{\alpha(y)} \alpha(y \circ x),$$

whence $\alpha(y \circ x) = \rho_{\alpha(y)}^{-1} \alpha(x) = \alpha(y) \circ \alpha(x)$. Hence (X, \circ, α) is a left Hom-quasigroup. Suppose $S(X, \circ, \alpha) = (X, r^\circ, \alpha)$. By Corollary 4.12, it suffices to prove that (X, r°, α) is a non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE. Since $\lambda_y^{\circ-1}(x) = y \circ x = \rho_y^{-1}(x)$, we have $\lambda_y^\circ = \rho_y$. Replacing y by $\rho_x(y)$ in (2.5), we have $(x \circ \rho_x(y))(\rho_x(y) \circ x) = x$. Thus

$$y(\rho_x(y) \circ x) = x = y\lambda_y(x),$$

and so we have $\lambda_y(x) = \rho_x(y) \circ x = \lambda_x^\circ(y) \circ x = \rho_y^\circ(x)$, whence $\rho_y^\circ = \lambda_y$. Thus $r^\circ(x, y) = (\rho_x(y), \lambda_y(x))$. Clearly $r^\circ = \tau r \tau$, where $\tau(x, y) = (y, x)$. Since (X, r, α) is a non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE, so is (X, r°, α) , as desired. \square

Finite cycle sets and cycle sets with bijective square maps, especially square-free cycle sets, are non-degenerate [30], but Hom-cycle sets are not the case.

Example 4.14. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with a map $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha(X) = \{1\}$. Define an operation on X by the following multiplication table:

\cdot	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
2	1	2	3	4
3	1	4	3	2
4	1	3	2	4

Then (X, \cdot, α) is a square-free Hom-cycle set, but not non-degenerate since $\Delta(3, 4) = (2, 2) = \Delta(2, 2)$.

5. TWISTS

Let (X, α) be a left Hom-quasigroup. Define an operation on X by $x \cdot' y = \alpha(x)y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, \cdot') is a left quasigroup, and

$$\alpha(x \cdot' y) = \alpha(\alpha(x)y) = \alpha^2(x)\alpha(y) = \alpha(x) \cdot' \alpha(y).$$

Thus α is an endomorphism of (X, \cdot') . Hence (X, \cdot', α) is a left Hom-quasigroup. We call (X, \cdot', α) the twist of (X, α) , denoted by $T(X, \alpha)$.

Using twist we can define a functor $T : \text{HQG} \rightarrow \text{HQG}$ in a natural way.

A left Hom-quasigroup (X, α) is called an im-cycle set if the following are satisfied:

$$\alpha^3(x)\alpha(y) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y), \tag{5.1}$$

$$(\alpha(x)\alpha(y))(\alpha(x)\alpha(z)) = (\alpha(y)\alpha(x))(\alpha(y)\alpha(z)), \tag{5.2}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

It is clear that (X, α) is an im-cycle set if and only if $\alpha(X)$ is a cycle set and (5.1) holds.

Theorem 5.1. *A left Hom-quasigroup is an im-cycle set if and only if its twist is a Hom-cycle set.*

Proof. Let (X, \cdot, α) be a left Hom-quasigroup. Then its twist (X, \cdot', α) is also a left Hom-quasigroup.

(\Rightarrow) By (5.1) we have $\alpha^2(x) \cdot' \alpha(y) = x \cdot' \alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x by $\alpha(x)$ and y by $\alpha(y)$ in (5.2), we obtain

$$(\alpha^2(x)\alpha^2(y))(\alpha^2(x)\alpha(z)) = (\alpha^2(y)\alpha^2(x))(\alpha^2(y)\alpha(z)),$$

which implies that $(x \cdot' \alpha(y)) \cdot' (\alpha(x) \cdot' \alpha(z)) = (y \cdot' \alpha(x)) \cdot' (\alpha(y) \cdot' \alpha(z))$. By Theorem 4.3, (X, \cdot', α) is a Hom-cycle set.

(\Leftarrow) Since (X, \cdot', α) is a Hom-cycle set, applying Theorem 4.3 to (X, \cdot', α) yields (5.1) and

$$\alpha(\alpha(x)\alpha(y))(\alpha^2(x)\alpha(z)) = \alpha(\alpha(y)\alpha(x))(\alpha^2(y)\alpha(z)).$$

Replacing x by $\alpha(x)$ and y by $\alpha(y)$ in the last equation, we have

$$(\alpha^3(x)\alpha^3(y))(\alpha^3(x)\alpha(z)) = (\alpha^3(y)\alpha^3(x))(\alpha^3(y)\alpha(z)).$$

By (5.1), we see that $(\alpha(X), \cdot)$ is a cycle set. Thus (X, α) is an im-cycle set. \square

Corollary 5.2. *The twist of an im-cycle set is a Hom-cycle set.*

Corollary 5.3. *Let X be a cycle set with an endomorphism α such that (5.1) holds. Then the twist of (X, α) is a Hom-cycle set.*

Theorem 5.4. *The twist of a Hom-cycle set is an im-cycle set.*

Proof. Let (X, \cdot, α) be a Hom-cycle set with the twist (X, \cdot', α) . By (4.4), we can rewrite (4.5) as

$$\alpha^2(x\alpha(y))(\alpha(x)\alpha(z)) = \alpha^2(y\alpha(x))(\alpha(y)\alpha(z)),$$

that is,

$$(\alpha^2(x)\alpha^3(y))(\alpha(x)\alpha(z)) = (\alpha^2(y)\alpha^3(x))(\alpha(y)\alpha(z)),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Thus by (4.6), we have

$$(\alpha^2(x)\alpha(y))(\alpha(x)\alpha(z)) = (\alpha^2(y)\alpha(x))(\alpha(y)\alpha(z)),$$

which implies $(x \cdot' y) \cdot' (x \cdot' \alpha(z)) = (y \cdot' x) \cdot' (y \cdot' \alpha(z))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Hence $(\alpha(X), \cdot')$ is a cycle set. By (4.4), we have

$$\alpha^4(x) \cdot \alpha(y) = \alpha^2(x) \cdot \alpha(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, whence $\alpha^3(x) \cdot' \alpha(y) = \alpha(x) \cdot' \alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Thus (X, \cdot', α) is an im-cycle set. \square

Theorem 5.5. *If (X, α) be a non-degenerate Hom-cycle set, then its twist is non-degenerate.*

Proof. Let $T(X, \alpha) = (X, \cdot, \alpha)$. By Theorem 4.13, (X, \circ, α) is a Hom-cycle set. Applying Theorem 4.3 to (X, \circ, α) we obtain

$$\alpha^2(x) \circ \alpha(y) = x \circ \alpha(y), \quad (5.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Define $x \circ' y = \alpha(x) \circ y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then by (4.4), (5.3) and Lemma 2.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot' y) \circ' (y \cdot' x) &= \alpha(\alpha(x)y) \circ (\alpha(y)x) = (x\alpha(y)) \circ (\alpha(y)x) = x, \\ (x \circ' y) \cdot' (y \circ' x) &= \alpha(\alpha(x) \circ y)(\alpha(y) \circ x) = (x \circ \alpha(y))(\alpha(y) \circ x) = x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (X, \cdot, α) is non-degenerate by Lemma 2.10. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let (X, α) be a left Hom-quasigroup with $\alpha(X)$ singleton. Then its twist is a non-degenerate left Hom-quasigroup.*

Proof. Let $\alpha(X) = \{\theta\}$. Then θ is a right zero of the left quasigroup X . Let $T(X, \alpha) = (X, \cdot, \alpha)$. Thus

$$\Delta(x, y) = (x \cdot' y, y \cdot' x) = (\alpha(x)y, \alpha(y)x) = (\theta y, \theta x)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It follows $\Delta = \sigma_\theta \times \sigma_\theta$. Since σ_θ is bijective, so is Δ . Hence (X, \cdot, α) is non-degenerate. \square

Remark 5.7. *A Hom-cycle set is not necessarily the twist of an im-cycle set, and vice versa. For example, let (X, α) be as in Example 4.14. It is degenerate and both a Hom-cycle set and an im-cycle set, but it is isomorphic to neither the twist of a Hom-cycle set nor the twist of an im-cycle set by Lemma 5.6.*

The following example shows that twist of a Hom-cycle set is not necessarily a Hom-cycle set.

Example 5.8. *Let F be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$, X the vector space F^3 and φ, ψ, α the linear endomorphisms of X defined under the natural basis by the following matrices, respectively,*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to check that ψ is bijective and

$$\varphi\alpha = \alpha\varphi, \quad \psi\alpha = \alpha\psi, \quad \varphi\alpha^2 = \varphi, \quad \varphi^2 = \varphi\psi - \psi\varphi, \quad \varphi^2\alpha \neq \varphi^2.$$

Define $x \cdot y = \varphi(x) + \psi(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, \cdot) is a cycle set by [2, Section 4.1] and α is an endomorphism of (X, \cdot) satisfying $\alpha^2(x)y = xy$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The twist $T(X, \cdot, \alpha)$ of (X, \cdot, α) is a Hom-cycle set By Corollary 5.3. Clearly, the twist of $T(X, \cdot, \alpha)$ equals (X, \cdot, α) , which is not a Hom-cycle set since (4) in Example 4.7 does not hold.

Corollary 5.9. *If (X, α) is a non-degenerate Hom-cycle set, then the map $q' : X \rightarrow X$, $x \mapsto \alpha(x)x$ is bijective.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.5 the twist (X, \cdot, α) is non-degenerate. Since q' is the square map of (X, \cdot) , q' is bijective by Lemma 2.11. \square

Remark 5.10. *The converses of Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 5.9 do not hold. Example 4.14 provides a counterexample to the both cases.*

We now apply Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 to solutions to HYBE.

Let (X, r, α) be a left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic set. We call $STG(X, r, \alpha)$ the twist of (X, r, α) . The twist of (X, r, α) is also a left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic set.

Theorem 5.11. *Let (X, r, α) be a left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic set with the twist (X, r', α) . Then*

$$r'(x, y) = (\lambda_{\alpha(x)}(y), \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\alpha(y)}^{-1}(x)) \quad (5.4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If (X, r, α) is additionally a solution to HYBE, then

$$r'(x, y) = (\lambda_{\alpha(x)}(y), \rho_{\alpha(y)}(x)) \quad (5.5)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Since $STG(X, r, \alpha) = (X, r', \alpha)$, by Theorem 2.7 we have

$$TG(X, r, \alpha) = G(X, r', \alpha).$$

Thus $G(X, r', \alpha)$ is the twist of $G(X, r, \alpha)$. Let $G(X, r, \alpha) = (X, \cdot, \alpha)$. Then $G(X, r', \alpha) = (X, \cdot', \alpha)$, where $x \cdot' y = \alpha(x)y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Thus $\lambda'_x = \lambda_{\alpha(x)}$, and so

$$\rho'_y(x) = \lambda'^{-1}_{\lambda'_x(y)}(x) = \lambda^{-1}_{\alpha\lambda_{\alpha(x)}(y)}(x) = \lambda^{-1}_{\lambda_{\alpha^2(x)}\alpha(y)}(x).$$

Therefore (5.4) holds.

Furthermore, if (X, r, α) is a solution to HYBE, then (5.5) follows from (5.4), Corollary 3.10(1) and (2.3). \square

Remark 5.12. *By Example 5.8 and Theorem 4.2, the twist of a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE is not necessarily a solution to HYBE.*

Lemma 5.13. *Let (X, r, α) be a left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic set and i, j be nonnegative integers such that $0 \leq j - i \leq 2$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $r(\alpha^{i+2} \times \alpha^j) = (1 \times \alpha^2)r(\alpha^i \times \alpha^j)$;
- (2) $\lambda_{\alpha^{i+2}(x)}\alpha^j = \lambda_{\alpha^i(x)}\alpha^j$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. We first note that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} r(\alpha^{i+2} \times \alpha^j)(x, y) &= (\lambda_{\alpha^{i+2}(x)}\alpha^j(y), \rho_{\alpha^j(y)}\alpha^{i+2}(x)), \\ (1 \times \alpha^2)r(\alpha^i \times \alpha^j)(x, y) &= (\lambda_{\alpha^i(x)}\alpha^j(y), \alpha^2\rho_{\alpha^j(y)}\alpha^i(x)). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove that (2) implies $\rho_{\alpha^j(y)}\alpha^{i+2}(x) = \alpha^2\rho_{\alpha^j(y)}\alpha^i(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Since $0 \leq j - i \leq 2$, we have $\lambda_{\alpha^i(x)}\alpha^j = \alpha^j\lambda_{\alpha^{i+2-j}(x)}$, and so $\alpha^j\lambda_{\alpha^{i+2-j}(x)}^{-1} = \lambda_{\alpha^i(x)}^{-1}\alpha^j$. Thus $\lambda_{\alpha^{i+2}(x)}\alpha^j = \lambda_{\alpha^i(x)}^{-1}\alpha^j$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2\rho_{\alpha^j(y)}\alpha^i(x) &= \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha^{i+2}(x)}\alpha^{j+2}(y)}^{-1}\alpha^{i+2}(x) = \lambda_{\alpha^{i+2}(\lambda_x\alpha^{j-i}(y))}^{-1}\alpha^{i+2}(x) \\ &= \lambda_{\alpha^i(\lambda_x\alpha^{j-i}(y))}^{-1}\alpha^{i+2}(x) = \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha^i(x)}\alpha^j(y)}^{-1}\alpha^{i+2}(x) \\ &= \lambda_{\lambda_{\alpha^{i+2}(x)}\alpha^j(y)}^{-1}\alpha^{i+2}(x) = \rho_{\alpha^j(y)}\alpha^{i+2}(x), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Corollary 5.14. *Let (X, r, α) be a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE. Then $r(\alpha^2 \times \text{id}) = (\text{id} \times \alpha^2)r(\text{id} \times \alpha)$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3.10 and Lemma 5.13. \square

Theorem 5.15. *Let (X, r, α) be left non-degenerate involutive Hom-quadratic set with the twist (X, r', α) .*

- (1) *If (X, r, α) is a solution to HYBE, then $(\alpha(X), r')$ is a solution to YBE and $r'(\alpha^2 \times \text{id}) = (\text{id} \times \alpha^2)r'$ on $\alpha(X)$.*
- (2) *The twist (X, r', α) is a solution to HYBE if and only if $(\alpha(X), r)$ is a solution to YBE and $r(\alpha^2 \times \text{id}) = (\text{id} \times \alpha^2)r$ on $\alpha(X)$.*
- (3) *If (X, r) is a solution to YBE and $r(\alpha^3 \times \alpha) = (\alpha \times \alpha^3)r$, then (X, r', α) is a solution to HYBE.*

Proof. Let $S(X, r, \alpha) = (X, \cdot, \alpha)$. Then $S(X, r', \alpha) = (X, \cdot', \alpha)$, the twist of (X, \cdot, α) .

(1) Theorem 4.2 implies that (X, \cdot, α) is a Hom-cycle set. By Theorem 5.4, $(\alpha(X), \cdot')$ is a cycle set and (5.1) holds with respect to the operation \cdot' . It follows that $\alpha^2(x) \cdot' y = x \cdot' y$ for all $x, y \in \alpha(X)$, which implies $r'(\alpha^2 \times \text{id}) = (\text{id} \times \alpha^2)r'$ on $\alpha(X)$ by Lemma 5.13. By Theorem 2.9, $(\alpha(X), r')$ is a solution to YBE.

(2) By Theorem 4.2, (X, r', α) is a left non-degenerate involutive solution to HYBE if and only if (X, \cdot', α) is a Hom-cycle set. Equivalently, by Theorem 5.1 $(\alpha(X), \cdot)$ is a left cycle set satisfying (5.1). This is equivalent to that $(\alpha(X), r)$ is a solution to YBE satisfying $r(\alpha^2 \times \text{id}) = (\text{id} \times \alpha^2)r$ on $\alpha(X)$ by Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 5.13.

(3) follows from the sufficiency in (2). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by NSF of China (No.12171194, No.11971289).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Baxter. Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model. *Ann. Physics*, 70(1):193–228, 1972. URL [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916\(72\)90335-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(72)90335-1).
- [2] M. Bonatto, M. Kinyon, D. Stanovský, and P. Vojtěchovský. Involutive latin solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Algebra*, 565:128–159, 2021. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2020.09.001>.
- [3] M. Castelli, F. Catino, and G. Pinto. A new family of set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *Comm. Algebra*, 46(4):1622–1629, 2018. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2017.1350700>.
- [4] M. Castelli, F. Catino, and G. Pinto. Indecomposable involutive set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 223(10):4477–4493, 2019. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2019.01.017>.
- [5] M. Castelli, F. Catino, and G. Pinto. About a question of Gateva-Ivanova and Cameron on square-free set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *Comm. Algebra*, 48(6):2369–2381, 2020. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2020.1713328>.
- [6] M. Castelli, G. Pinto, and W. Rump. On the indecomposable involutive set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation of prime-power size. *Comm. Algebra*, 48(5):1941–1955, 2020. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2019.1710163>.
- [7] M. Castelli, F. Catino, and P. Stefanelli. Indecomposable involutive set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and orthogonal dynamical extensions of cycle sets. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 18(6):Paper No. 246, 27pp, 2021. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-021-01912-4>.
- [8] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, and J. Okniński. Braces and the Yang-Baxter equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 327(1):101–116, 2014. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-014-1935-y>.
- [9] Y. Chen and L. Zhang. The category of Yetter-Drinfel’d Hom-modules and the quantum Hom-Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Math. Phys.*, 55(3):031702, 18pp, 2014. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4868964>.

- [10] P. Dehornoy. Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, RC-calculus, and Garside germs. *Adv. Math.*, 282:93–127, 2015. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2015.05.008>.
- [11] P. Dehornoy, F. Digne, E. Godelle, D. Krammer, and J. Michel. *Foundations of Garside theory*, volume 22 of *EMS Tracts in Mathematics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2015. URL <https://doi.org/10.4171/139>.
- [12] V. G. Drinfeld. On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory. In *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, volume 1510 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–8. Springer, Berlin, 1992. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0101175>.
- [13] P. Etingof and F. Latour. *The dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, representation theory, and quantum integrable systems*, volume 29 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.
- [14] P. Etingof and O. Schiffmann. Lectures on the dynamical Yang-Baxter equations. In *Quantum groups and Lie theory (Durham), 1999*, volume 290 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 89–129. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [15] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko. Solutions of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation and dynamical quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 196(3):591–640, 1998. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050437>.
- [16] P. Etingof, T. Schedler, and A. Soloviev. Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. *Duke Math. J.*, 100(2):169–209, 1999. URL <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-99-10007-X>.
- [17] T. Gateva-Ivanova. A combinatorial approach to the set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Math. Phys.*, 45(10):3828–3858, 2004. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1788848>.
- [18] T. Gateva-Ivanova. Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, braces and symmetric groups. *Adv. Math.*, 338:649–701, 2018. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2018.09.005>.
- [19] T. Gateva-Ivanova and S. Majid. Matched pairs approach to set theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Algebra*, 319(4):1462–1529, 2008. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.10.035>.
- [20] T. Gateva-Ivanova and M. Van den Bergh. Semigroups of I -type. *J. Algebra*, 206(1):97–112, 1998. URL <https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1997.7399>.
- [21] L. Guarnieri and L. Vendramin. Skew braces and the Yang-Baxter equation. *Math. Comp.*, 86(307):2519–2534, 2017. URL

- <https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3161>.
- [22] E. Jespers and J. Okniński. *Noetherian semigroup algebras*, volume 7 of *Algebra and Applications*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2007. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-5810-1>.
- [23] Z. Jiao and G. Huang. Solutions of Hom-Yang-Baxter equation from monoidal Hom-(co)algebra structures. *Math Notes*, 104:121–134, 2018. URL <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434618070131>.
- [24] N. Kamiya and Y. Shibukawa. Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps associated with homogeneous pre-systems. *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.*, 5:Art. ID G110106, 9pp, 2011. URL <https://doi.org/10.4303/jglta/G110106>.
- [25] V. Lebed and L. Vendramin. Homology of left non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation. *Adv. Math.*, 304:1219–1261, 2017. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2016.09.024>.
- [26] J.-H. Lu, M. Yan, and Y.-C. Zhu. On the set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation. *Duke Math. J.*, 104(1):1–18, 2000. URL <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-00-10411-5>.
- [27] D. K. Matsumoto. Dynamical braces and dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 217(2):195–206, 2013. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.06.012>.
- [28] D. K. Matsumoto and K. Shimizu. Quiver-theoretical approach to dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. *J. Algebra*, 507:47–80, 2018. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2018.04.003>.
- [29] F. Panaite, P. T. Schrader, and M. D. Staic. Hom-tensor categories and the Hom-Yang-Baxter equation. *Appl. Categ. Structures*, 27(4):323–363, 2019. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-019-09556-y>.
- [30] W. Rump. A decomposition theorem for square-free unitary solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. *Adv. Math.*, 193(1):40–55, 2005. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2004.03.019>.
- [31] W. Rump. Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Algebra*, 307(1):153–170, 2007. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.03.040>.
- [32] W. Rump. Dynamical groups and braces. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 15(07):1650135, 31pp, 2016. URL <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498816501358>.
- [33] V. Shcherbacov. *Elements of quasigroup theory and applications*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2017. URL

- <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315120058>.
- [34] Y. Shibukawa. Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2005(36):2199–2221, 2005. URL <https://doi.org/10.1155/IMRN.2005.2199>.
- [35] Y. Shibukawa. Hopf algebroids and rigid tensor categories associated with dynamical Yang-Baxter maps. *J. Algebra*, 449:408–445, 2016. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.11.007>.
- [36] A. Smoktunowicz. On Engel groups, nilpotent groups, rings, braces and the Yang-Baxter equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(9):6535–6564, 2018. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/7179>.
- [37] A. Veselov. Yang-Baxter maps: dynamical point of view. In *Combinatorial aspect of integrable systems*, volume 17 of *MSJ Mem.*, pages 145–167. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2007. URL <https://doi.org/10.2969/msjmemoirs/01701C060>.
- [38] S. Wang, X. Zhang, and S. Guo. Hom-Yang-Baxter equations and Hom-Yang-Baxter systems. *Comm. Algebra*, 2022. URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2022.2137518>.
- [39] C. N. Yang. Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 19(23):1312–1315, 1967. URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.19.1312>.
- [40] D. Yau. The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras. *J. Phys. A*, 42(16):165202, 12pp, 2009. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/16/165202>.
- [41] D. Yau. The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 52(5):053502, 19pp, 2011. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3571970>.
- [42] D. Yau. Hom-quantum groups: I. Quasi-triangular Hom-bialgebras. *J. Phys. A*, 45(6):065203, 23pp, 2012. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/45/6/065203>.
- [43] D. Yau. The classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie bialgebras. *Int. Electron. J. Algebra*, 17(17):11–45, 2015. URL <https://doi.org/10.24330/ieja.266210>.

K. ZHANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, CHINA
Email address: zkaiqiang@163.com

X. DU: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, CHINA
Email address: duxk@jlu.edu.cn