

A Chebotarev Density Theorem over Local Fields

Asvin G., Yifan Wei, John Yin*

December 2, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we compute the p -adic densities of points with a given splitting type along a finite map, analogous to the classical Chebotarev theorem over number fields and function fields. Under certain niceness hypothesis, we prove that these densities satisfy a functional equation in the size of the residue field. As a consequence and building on previous work of one of us, we prove a conjecture of Gajovic, Cremona, Bhargava and Fisher in the tame case. The key tool is the notion of an *admissible pair* associated to a group, which we use as an invariant of the inertia and decomposition action of a local field on the fibers of the finite map. We compute the splitting densities by an inductive argument involving these invariants and p -adic integration.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Our Main Results	3
1.2	An overview of the proof	6
1.3	Relation to previous work	7
1.4	Organization of the paper	8
2	Preliminaries	9
2.1	Geometry over p -adic rings	9
2.2	p -adic integration.	9
2.3	Palindromic forms	10
2.4	The poset of admissible pairs	13
2.5	Generically finite, Galois maps	14
2.6	Galois twists	15
3	On the palindromicity of various natural densities	16

*All three authors at the University of Wisconsin-Madison

4	A conjecture on the density of polynomials with fixed splitting type	25
4.1	Splitting types of polynomials	25
4.2	A Tate-type Compactification of Configuration Space	28

1 Introduction

Let $h(z) = c_n z^n + c_{n-1} z^{n-1} \dots + c_0$ be a random polynomial having coefficients $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. In this paper, we develop a general method to compute the density of polynomials with a given splitting type over \mathbb{Z}_p , thus answering a conjecture of [16]. As we will see, our method is far more general than this special case and can be considered as a Chebotarev-type theorem for finite maps over local fields (as opposed to the classical versions which apply to varieties over finitely generated rings). For expository reasons, we first explain the case of a random polynomial.

We parametrize polynomials $h(z)$ as above by \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+1} with the Haar measure μ_{Haar} normalized so that the total measure is 1. If $h(z)$ is irreducible with Galois closure K/\mathbb{Q}_p , we define its *splitting type* to be $\sigma(h) = \{f^e\}$ where f is the size of the residue field of K and e its inertial degree over \mathbb{Q}_p . In general, if $h(z)$ is squarefree with a factorization $h = g_1 \dots g_R$ into irreducibles over \mathbb{Q}_p , we define its splitting type to be the multiset $\sigma(h) = \{\sigma(g_1), \dots, \sigma(g_r)\}$.

Fixing such a splitting type σ , let $U_\sigma(p) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+1}$ be the p -adic open subset of squarefree polynomials with splitting type σ and $\rho(n, \sigma; p) = \mu_{\text{Haar}}(U_\sigma(p))$. As a few sample examples, [16] computes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(2, (11); p) &= \frac{1}{2} & \rho(2, (2); p) &= \frac{p^2 - p + 1}{2(p^2 + p + 1)} \\ \rho(3, (111); p) &= \frac{p^4 + 2p^2 + 1}{6(p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)} & \rho(3, (12); p) &= \frac{p^4 + 1}{2(p^4 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Based on numerical evidence and some of their results, [16, Conjecture 1.2] states the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *The densities $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$ are rational functions in p and satisfy the following remarkable symmetry:*

$$\rho(n, \sigma; p^{-1}) = \rho(n, \sigma; p).$$

In very recent work, [28] proves the above conjecture for the splitting type $\{n^1\}$.

More generally, when the splitting fields of the polynomials are *tame* extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p , the last author proved in [30] that the resulting densities are rational functions in p using [8] as a key input. The argument computes a recurrence equation for the above densities in terms of certain other densities. The individual terms in this recurrence are rational but do not satisfy the above symmetry and therefore, we need a new idea in order to prove the functional equation.

In this paper, we define a refinement of splitting types and prove a version of the conjecture for this refined notion (Theorem 4.7). Briefly, the refinement comes from fixing the action of the Galois group of $h(z)$ on the n roots (up to conjugacy). As a consequence, we sketch an alternate proof of the rationality of the above splitting type densities (Remark 4.17) and prove the above symmetry under a tameness assumption (Theorem 4.10). Our general methods in fact suggest that the tameness assumption is necessary (Remark 4.9) and is related to the difficulty of resolving singularities of quotients of varieties by p -groups in characteristic p .

The key innovation of this paper is a reconceptualization of the above conjectures in the general setting of a generically finite map over a local field. This lets us carry out an inductive proof by Möbius inverting along a poset associated to a finite group. The rationality boils down to the fact that certain varieties D/Z related to the problem have point counts $p \rightarrow |D(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ that are polynomial functions of p and is special to the case of polynomial factorizations. On the other hand, the symmetry is more general and holds in the case of a generically finite map when formulated correctly.

Before stating more precise results, we briefly recall the classical Chebotarev theorem since our results can be considered as an analogue of that theorem for local fields. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an étale Galois map between varieties with constant Galois group G over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Given a closed point $y \in Y$ with residue field $\kappa(y)$ and a geometric lift $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, we have an induced map

$$\widehat{Z} \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\kappa(y)) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(y; x) \rightarrow G$$

by functoriality of the étale fundamental group. The image of $\sigma_{\kappa(x)} \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\kappa(x))$ is called the Frobenius of y with respect to the base point x and different choices of base points correspond to conjugation by G . Therefore, we can define a well defined conjugacy class $\sigma_y \subset G$. We then have the following classical theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Chebotarev). *Let $c \subset G$ be a conjugacy class. Then,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{y \in Y : [\kappa(y) : \mathbb{F}_q] \leq N, \sigma_y = c\}|}{|\{y \in Y : [\kappa(y) : \mathbb{F}_q] \leq N\}|} = \frac{|c|}{|G|}.$$

1.1 Our Main Results

We work in the context of an arbitrary local ring \mathcal{O}_K over \mathbb{Z}_p with fraction field K and residue field $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K = \mathbb{F}_q$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite, Galois map between smooth proper varieties defined over \mathcal{O}_K with Galois group G , a constant group scheme over \mathcal{O}_K . By this, we mean that there is a Zariski open subset $U_f \subset Y$ such that $f : f^{-1}(U_f) \rightarrow U_f$ is an étale Galois map with Galois group G and moreover, the natural map $\text{Aut}(f) \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism.

Let $P \in U_f(K)$ with $Q \in X(\overline{K})$ a geometric lift of P . By functoriality of the étale fundamental group, we have a map

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(P; Q) \rightarrow G.$$

We denote the image of the entire Galois group by D_Q , the image of the inertia group by I_Q and the image of the canonical Frobenius coset by σ_Q . The Galois group of a local field is significantly more complicated than that of a finite field and thus, we need a new definition to capture the data of (I_Q, σ_Q) . We call a pair $\tau = (H, gH)$ for a subgroup $H \subset G$ and an element $g \in G$ an *admissible pair* if $gH = Hg$. The pair (I_Q, σ_Q) as above is an admissible pair and we define

$$U_{f,\tau}(K) = \{P \in U_f(K) : (I_Q, \sigma_Q) = \tau \text{ for some } Q \text{ with } f(Q) = P\}.$$

We normalize the canonical measure μ_Y on $Y(K)$ so that $\mu_Y(Y(K)) = |Y(\mathbb{F}_q)|$.

In analogy with the splitting densities conjecture, one might hope that $\rho_{f,\tau}(K) := \mu_Y(U_{f,\tau}(K))$ is a rational function in q as we vary \mathcal{O}_K over extensions of \mathbb{Z}_p . The simplest examples (such as the degree 2 map from an elliptic curve $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$) very quickly disabuse us of this hope or any reasonable modification of it. Indeed, we will show that $\rho_{f,\tau}(K)$ is a linear combination of the point counts $|Z(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ weighted by $\eta_k(q)$

as Z ranges over smooth proper varieties of dimension at most $\dim Y$ where $\eta_k(q) = (q^{k+1} - q)/(1 - q^{k+1})$. The obstruction to rationality is precisely that the point counts $|Z(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ are generally not rational functions of q .

Despite this, the “remarkable symmetry” mentioned above continues to hold in this general context when formulated correctly. More precisely, we define the notion of a palindromic form of weight k over \mathcal{O}_K in Definition 2.4 and prove that the densities are palindromic forms. For the introduction, we will only need that palindromic forms of weight k are functions $\rho : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ of a special form that allow a natural extension to a function $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ with the property that

$$\rho(-m) = q^{-km} \rho(m).$$

With this definition, our main theorem is as follows. Note that it not even obvious a-priori that the densities $\rho_{f,\tau}(L) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.10). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y/\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ be a generically finite, Galois map with Galois group G between smooth, projective varieties and $\tau = (H, gH)$ an admissible pair as above. We denote its inverse by $\tau^{-1} = (H, g^{-1}H)$. Suppose that, for every $\tau' = (H', g'H')$ with $H' \subset H$ and $g' \in gH$, there exists a g' -equivariant resolution $\pi_{H'} : \widetilde{X}_{H'} \rightarrow X/H'$ such that the ramified locus in $\widetilde{X}_{H'}$ for the map $\widetilde{X}_{H'} \rightarrow Y$ is a simple normal crossings divisor over an unramified extension of \mathcal{O}_K .*

Then, for any finite extension of local rings $\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathcal{O}_L$ with corresponding residue field extensions $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, the densities $\rho_{f,\tau}(L) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and the function

$$m \rightarrow \rho_{f,\tau}(L) + \rho_{f,\tau^{-1}}(L)$$

depends on L only through the size of the residue field \mathbb{F}_{q^m} and is a palindromic form (in the variable m) of weight $\dim_K Y_K$.

Simple examples¹ show that it necessary to assume the existence of such a resolution. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is generically finite with Galois group G over (an open subset) of the ring of integers of a number field, then the resolution hypothesis is satisfied for all but finitely many completions of the number ring since we can equivariantly resolve singularities over characteristic 0 (for instance, see [1],[13] and [4] among many others) and *spread out* to all but finitely many primes as we show in Theorem 3.12.

Moreover, the densities of the $U_{f,\tau}(L)$ individually are not palindromic forms of any weight. The key here is Lemma 2.8 and Remark 2.9 shows that $\rho_{f,\tau}$ is not palindromic by itself in general. Similarly, the densities where we require that the splitting field is a fixed extension are also not palindromic. As Caruso explains immediately following [8, Theorem C], the expected number of roots in a fixed ramified quadratic extension of a random p -adic degree n polynomial (for $n \geq 3$) is not symmetric but the symmetry is regained when we consider instead the expected number of roots in any ramified quadratic extension.

Since admissible pairs determine the complete splitting behaviour of the point in the Galois cover, we derive as a consequence the following theorem on the “expected number of roots”.

Theorem 1.4 (Corollary 3.13). *Let M be a number field and $T = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_M$ a Zariski open. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ over T be a generically finite map with Y_M a smooth proper variety over M . We let L be an extension of*

¹such as the map $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1; t \rightarrow pt^2$

the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M,\mathfrak{p}}$. We define the random variable $\mathcal{R} : Y(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by letting $\mathcal{R}(P)$ be the number of L -rational preimage of P in Y .

For all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p} \in T$, the characteristic function

$$s \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}(e^{is\mathcal{R}})$$

is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$ and in particular, so are all the moments $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}(\mathcal{R}^k)$.

Remark 1.5. The methods of our proof adapt easily to the setting of motivic integration since several complications disappear in this setting.

- We have a satisfactory theory of resolutions of singularities and the main theorems are therefore hypothesis free.
- The base field \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed and thus, there is no issue with twisting. This makes Theorem 2.3 much simpler and reduces to Corollary 3.7.
- The absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is much simpler than that of \mathbb{Q}_p which obviates the need for the notion of *admissible pairs* and the associated induction of their poset.

Given these simplifications, exactly the analogous theorems hold where the transformation $m \rightarrow -m$ is replaced by Bittner duality [5, Corollary 3.4]. This duality is a manifestation of the duality in the conjectured abelian category of pure motives and is closely related to Poincaré duality. As such, our transformation $m \rightarrow -m$ can be seen as a p -adic shadow of this motivic duality.

In the context of a random polynomial over \mathbb{Z}_p , the relevant map is

$$f : X = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n/S_n = \mathbb{P}^n = Y; ([t_1, s_1], \dots, [t_n, s_n]) \rightarrow [a_0, \dots, a_n]$$

where $(s_1z - t_1) \dots (s_nz - t_n) = \sum a_i z^i$. We prove the following refinement of the conjecture about splitting type densities.

To almost every polynomial $h(z)$ associated to a point in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ as above, we can associate a “conjugacy class” of an admissible pair τ_h using the map $f : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. We prove that the corresponding densities are *quasi-rational* functions in the tame case.

We say that a function $r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow R$ is quasi-rational with coefficients in R if there exists some $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r(at + b)$ is a rational function in $R(t)$ for $1 \leq b \leq a$ an integer. Such functions can be written as linear combinations of polynomials weighted by $t \rightarrow \zeta^t$ where ζ is a root of unity of order a . As such, we can extend such functions to rational numbers where the denominator is co-prime to a in a natural way.

Theorem 1.6 (Theorem 4.7). *Let n be a positive integer and τ an admissible pair with respect to S_n such that H_τ is a cyclic group. There exists a quasi-rational function $r_\tau(t)$ (with rational coefficients) such that $r_\tau(p) = \rho_{f,\tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for all p co-prime to $|H_\tau|$. This quasi-rational function satisfies the functional equation*

$$r_\tau(t^{-1}) = t^{-n} r_\tau(t)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ with denominator coprime to a .

This theorem is in fact the best one can do (Remark 4.9). The rationality and functional equation for splitting type densities follows from this theorem.

Theorem 1.7 (Theorem 4.10). *Let $\sigma = (f_1^{e_1}, \dots, f_r^{e_r})$ be a splitting type. Then for all primes p co-prime to all the ramification indices e_i , $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$ is a rational function in p satisfying the symmetry*

$$\rho(n, \sigma; p) = \rho(n, \sigma; p^{-1}).$$

We sketch a proof of rationality in Remark 4.17 but do not give a complete proof, preferring instead to refer to [30]. The proof of the functional equation is new and follows easily as a corollary of our general results.

1.2 An overview of the proof

The first observation in proving Theorem 3.10 is that the *split points*, i.e., the points corresponding to the admissible pair (id, id) , correspond exactly to the image of the points $X(K)$ under f . This density can then be computed by an integral over the p -adic analytic set $X(K)$ of an appropriate function of the form

$$\eta_f(K) = \int_{X(K)} |\text{Jac}(f)|_K d\mu_X.$$

We have traded the measure of a possibly complicated space for the integral of a possibly complicated function over a nice space. However, we show that the function is not too bad if there exists a nice resolution of singularities and we explicitly compute the above integral in Theorem 3.8 which proves that the integrals are palindromic forms.

In the simplest case when the ramified locus is a simple normal crossings divisor, we have the following.

Corollary 1.8 (Corollary 3.7). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite, Galois map and suppose that there exists a resolution of singularities $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ over \mathcal{O}_K with $\pi^{-1}(Z_f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$ a simple normal crossings divisor over \mathcal{O}_K . Then*

$$\eta_f(K) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} \left| \left(\bigcap_{j \in J} D_j \right) (\mathbb{F}_q) \right| \prod_{j \in J} \frac{q - q^{e_j+1}}{q^{e_j+1} - 1}$$

for some positive integers e_j .

Similar formulas as in Corollary 3.7 are well known in slightly different forms in various *geometric* situations where Z_f is a simple normal crossings divisor ([29, Proposition 4.11], [10, Theorem 2.3.2], [23, Theorem 2.8.1]) and of most relevance to us [12, Theorem 3]. Indeed, the last reference proves a similar functional equation to the “remarkable symmetry” using similar methods to this paper. There are two major new complications that we need to deal with.

First, [12] only deals with the case of a hypersurface in projective space but the general case we consider of a finite map between varieties can be dealt with using similar ideas. More importantly, they assume that the irreducible divisors appearing in the complement Z_f are geometrically irreducible. We *need* to allow for geometrically reducible divisors since our inductive step deals with *unramified Galois twists* of our finite map. Even if the Z_f were initially composed of geometrically irreducible divisors, this will almost certainly not be true after twisting. This significantly complicates the local computations and involves new ideas. The key here is Lemma 3.5.

To compute the densities corresponding to an arbitrary admissible pair $\tau = (H, gH)$ as above, we compute the densities of ${}^\tau f({}^\tau X(K)) \subset Y(K)$. Here, ${}^\tau X := g^{-1} X/H$ is the quotient of an unramified g -twist

of X by H while $\tau f : \tau X \rightarrow Y$ is the quotient map. We show that $\tau f(\tau X(K)) + \tau^{-1}f(\tau^{-1}X(K))$ are palindromic forms of weight $\dim Y$ as we vary over $\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathcal{O}_L$ in Theorem 3.8. This is not an immediate consequence of our integral computation because we twist *after* base changing. The crucial input is Lemma 2.8.

We relate these densities to a weighted sum over the densities $\rho_{f,\tau'}$ for $\tau' \leq \tau$. Treating these relations as an incidence identity in the poset of admissible pairs lets us invert the identity to complete the proof.

Finally, we verify the hypothesis of our main theorem in order to prove the conjecture about splitting types of polynomials. We use the explicit Fulton-MacPherson resolution of configuration space found in [15] to show that the various relevant divisor intersections have polynomial point counts (Remark 4.17).

Remark 1.9. In [30, Conjecture 9.1], one of us conjectured a further functional equation for a generating function $\rho(\sigma, e, f; p, t)$, which specializes to $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$ after setting $t = p^{-e/2}$. The methods of this paper generalize without any difficulty to prove this conjecture by considering

$$\eta_f(K, t) = \int_{X(K)} |\text{Jac}(f)|_K t^{\nu_K(\text{Jac}(f))} d\mu_X$$

instead of

$$\eta_f(K) = \int_{X(K)} |\text{Jac}(f)|_K d\mu_X.$$

1.3 Relation to previous work

Our work draws from multiple strands of research as described below.

Densities of random polynomial with fixed splitting data

The question of splitting field densities in the archimedean case goes back at least to work of Bloch and Pölya [6] who proved asymptotic bounds on the number of expected roots of polynomials with coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, followed by a series of papers of which a highlight is the work of Kac [21], which provides an *exact formula* for the average number of roots of a random polynomial with Gaussian coefficients. For a survey and related results, [9] and [24]. The p -adic version was first considered by Evans [14], followed by a series of authors ([7],[22]) all of whom were concerned with the expected number of roots of a random p -adic polynomial for which the methods of Kac can be extended. Beyond the mean, the aforementioned paper [16] was among the first to consider the density of p -adic polynomials with a given number of \mathbb{Q}_p roots and they proved that these densities are rational in p and are symmetric under $p \rightarrow p^{-1}$. Another recent interesting paper is by Caruso [8], which is interested in the expected number of roots in an extension $\mathbb{Q}_p \subset F$ of a random p -adic polynomial.

Motivic and p -adic integration

Our proof owes the most debt to the literature on p -adic and motivic integration. The story begins with Batyrev's paper [2] proving that birational Calabi-Yau varieties have the same Betti numbers using ideas from p -adic integration. Following this, Kontsevich in a 1995 lecture in Orsay introduced the theory of Motivic integration to prove that birational Calabi-Yau varieties have the same Hodge numbers. In both

these contexts, the key tool is a change of variables formula relating (p -adic or motivic) integrals on the Y_i to corresponding integrals on a common resolution, where the integral is much simpler to compute. There has been much work since then in this area of which we would like to highlight the literature on McKay correspondences ([26] for a survey).

Given a finite group G with an action on a variety V , this correspondence seeks to relate invariants of V/G to invariants of G . The invariants involved are "stringy integrals" very similar to the ones appearing in our work (as in Corollary 3.7). Indeed, our general formula involves computing these stringy invariants for quotients X/H for $H \subset G$ a subgroup with respect to a *ramification divisor* on X . [29, Theorem 1.1] proves a result relating these stringy invariants on X/H to certain other stringy invariants on X . It is quite plausible that the methods of our final section could be replaced by using the McKay correspondence and thus generalized and made more explicit.

Another landmark result with thematic similarities to our paper is Serre's mass formula [27] and especially the first proof in that paper using p -adic integration. In fact, there have been many recent results along similar themes of which [8], [3] and [29] are especially relevant to our work. Some other recent highlights are [17], [18].

Finally, we would like to mention the work of [11]. They associate to every formula φ in the first order language of rings with coefficients in a field of characteristic 0 a virtual motive $\chi_c(\varphi)$ in the Grothendieck ring of an appropriate category of motives. The formula can be interpreted as defining certain subsets of varieties as in the following example.

For $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1; t \rightarrow t^n$, the subset of points in the image of this map corresponds to the formula $\varphi(x) = \exists y, x = y^n$. More generally, for a finite Galois map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the subset of points in Y with prescribed decomposition group correspond to some such formula.

In [11, Corollary 7.1.2]. they prove that $\chi_c(\varphi)$ belong to the subring of motives obtained by localizing at certain polynomials in the Lefschetz motive. Under étale realization, $\chi_c(\varphi)$ specializes to the canonical measure of the corresponding subsets. To emphasize the strength of this theorem, it is not even clear a priori that these densities should be rational!

In relation to this work, our theorem provides a different perspective to the uniformity phenomenon. While Denef and Loeser use quantifier elimination and motivic integration to prove the uniformity, our proof uses more geometric methods. As such, we obtain an explicit formula that can be used to prove the required functional equation.

1.4 Organization of the paper

In §2, we review some well known theorems that will be useful for us and standardize notations and normalizations. The most important novelty in this section is the notion of a *palindromic form of weight k* (Definition 2.4). The other novelty is in §2.4, where we define the notion of an admissible pair related to a group and a corresponding partial order on it. In §3, we prove our main Theorem 3.10 and derive some corollaries. This section contains the core arguments of our paper.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Colin Crowley, Jordan Ellenberg, Brian Lawrence, Daniel Litt, Ruofan Jiang, Andrew O'Desky, Connor Simpson, Ravi Vakil and Botong Wang for helpful discussions

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Geometry over p -adic rings

Unless mentioned otherwise, we fix a prime p throughout the paper and let \mathcal{O}_K be a local ring over \mathbb{Z}_p with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_K generated by a uniformizer π_K , fraction field K and residue field $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K = \mathbb{F}_K$ of size q , a power of p (and use similar notation for extensions $K \subset L$). We will think of \mathcal{O}_K as fixed and vary over extensions $\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathcal{O}_L$ with residue field extension of degree $m = [\mathbb{F}_L : \mathbb{F}_K]$. We define $|\cdot|_L$ to be the non-archimedean metric on L (so that $|\pi_L|_L = q^{-m}$) and σ_L to be the action of the Frobenius on the unramified closure of L . We denote the Frobenius element in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ by σ_q .

We fix $S = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ and define a variety $X \rightarrow S$ to be a finite type, geometrically reduced scheme that is flat over S . By $\dim X$, we mean the relative dimension over S . Given an extension of schemes $T \rightarrow S$, we denote the base change by X_T and similarly for morphisms between varieties. For a ring R , we let $X(R)$ denote the $\text{Spec } R$ valued points of X .

Given a scheme $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ and a point $x \in X(\mathcal{O}_L)$, we define $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ to be the localization at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$ corresponding to the reduction $\bar{x} \in X(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_L})$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ to be its completion at its maximal ideal. When X is smooth, a complete set of local co-ordinates $t_1, \dots, t_{\dim X}$ at x determines an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \cong \mathcal{O}_L[[t_1, \dots, t_{\dim X}]]$ and consequently,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}(L) = \mathfrak{m}_B^{\dim X}.$$

Here, $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ is taken to be the space of *continuous* ring homomorphisms to \mathcal{O}_L .

2.2 p -adic integration.

We will use techniques of p -adic integration throughout this paper. In this section, we give a brief introduction and define our normalizations. We fix an extension $K \subset L$ with residue field \mathbb{F}_{q^m} and $U \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ a smooth variety of dimension d in this section. The set $U(L)$ carries the natural structure of a L -analytic manifold [20, Section 2.4].

Definition 2.1 (p -adic integration with respect to a form). Let $\omega \in \Omega_U^d$ be a top form. For $V \subset U(L)$ a compact subset, one can define the integral

$$\mu_\omega(V) := \int_V |\omega| \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

If $\omega = f(x)dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ for a L analytic function f and local co-ordinates x_1, \dots, x_n on V , then

$$\int_V |\omega| = \int_{V'} |f(x)| d\mu_{L^d} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

where we identify V with an open subset V' of L^d and μ_{L^d} is the canonical Haar measure on L^d normalized so that $\mu_{L^d}(\mathcal{O}_L^d) = q^{md}$. Note that this normalization is slightly non-standard.

Definition 2.2 (Measures from compactifications). Given a open immersion $U \subset X$ over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ with X a smooth, proper variety, we can assign a canonical measure μ_X on the set $U(L)$ [29, §4.1]. We cover X by Zariski opens U_i such that $U_i(\mathcal{O}_L)$ covers $X(\mathcal{O}_L) = X(L)$ and moreover trivializes $\Omega_X^d|_{U_i}$. Let $\omega_i \in \Omega_X^d(U_i)$

be gauge forms, i.e., nowhere vanishing sections. While these ω_i might not glue together on intersections, the transition functions are nowhere vanishing on $(U_i \cap U_j)(\mathcal{O}_L)$ and hence have p -adic norm 1. Therefore, the measures μ_{ω_i} on the p -adic analytic sets $U_i(\mathcal{O}_L)$ glue together to give a unique measure μ_X .

We note that our normalization is such that $\mu_X(U(L)) = |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})|$ which is *not* the standard one found in the literature.

The following is easy but very useful.

Theorem 2.3. [17, Proposition 2.1] *Suppose we have a top form $\omega \in \Omega_V^d$ and $V \subset U(L)$ a compact subset so that $\mu_\omega(V)$ is well defined. Then*

1. *If $V = Z(L)$ for Z a Zariski-closed subscheme, $\mu_\omega(V) = 0$.*
2. *Suppose we have an étale map $f : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{U}/G = U$ where G is an étale group scheme over \mathcal{O}_L acting freely on \tilde{U} . Then*

$$\frac{1}{|G(L)|} \int_{f^{-1}(V)} |f^*\omega| = \int_V |\omega|.$$

Proof. The first part is well known while the second follows from the observation that the map f induces a covering space map of L -analytic manifolds

$$f : \tilde{U}(L) \rightarrow U(L)$$

of degree $|G(L)|$. The theorem is then immediate. □

2.3 Palindromic forms

Let $K_0(\text{var})$ be the Grothendieck ring of varieties over \mathbb{F}_q . We consider the extension given by

$$\widetilde{K_0(\text{var})} = (K_0(\text{var}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \left[\frac{\mathbb{L}^k - \mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L}^k - 1} \right]_{k \geq 2}$$

where $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$ is the Lefschetz motive. This ring has implicitly and explicitly appeared before in the literature on motivic integration ([12], [11, §7]).

Definition 2.4. We will be concerned with functions $\rho : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ which are of the form

$$m \rightarrow X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$$

for $X \in \widetilde{K_0(\text{var})}$. Explicitly, such functions are algebraic combinations of the functions

$$m \rightarrow \frac{q^{mk} - q^m}{q^{mk} - 1} \text{ and } m \rightarrow \sum_i \alpha_i^m$$

where the α_i are Weil numbers (relative to q).² Both types of functions can be extended to have domain \mathbb{Z} by allowing negative powers in the exponents. We say that such a function has weight k if the natural extension of the function to \mathbb{Z} given by the same formula satisfies

$$\rho(-m) = q^{-mk} \rho(m)$$

and we call such functions *palindromic forms of weight k* .

²A Weil number relative to q is an algebraic integer α such that under any complex embedding $\sigma : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $|\sigma(\alpha)| = q^w$ for some $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.5. Consider the class of the scheme $\mathcal{P}_k = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_{q^k} \in \widetilde{K_0(\text{var})}$. It gives rise to the function

$$m \rightarrow \text{gcd}(m, k) = \sum_{a=1}^k \zeta_k^{am}.$$

This is a palindromic form of weight 0.

Remark 2.6. It is immediate that the sum of two palindromic forms of weight k has weight k while the product of palindromic forms of weights k_1, \dots, k_r has weight $k_1 + \dots + k_r$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let X/\mathbb{F}_q be a smooth, proper variety. The function $m \rightarrow \rho_X(m) = |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})|$ is a palindromic form of weight $\dim X$.*

Proof. By the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula, one has Weil numbers α_{ij} for $0 \leq i \leq 2 \dim X$ and $1 \leq j \leq \dim H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ such that

$$\rho_X(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} \sum_j \alpha_{ij}^m.$$

Since X is smooth and proper, Poincaré duality guarantees us that for each i, j , there exists a j' (with $j \rightarrow j'$ a permutation) such that $\alpha_{2 \dim X - i, j'} = q^{\dim X} \alpha_{ij}^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\rho_X(-m) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} \sum_j \alpha_{ij}^{-m} = q^{-m \dim X} \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} \sum_j \alpha_{2 \dim X - i, j'}^m = q^{-m \dim X} \rho_X(m)$$

as required. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let X/\mathbb{F}_q be a smooth proper variety of dimension k and let ℓ be a prime coprime to q . For $g \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ of finite order d , the function*

$$m \rightarrow \rho_{X,g}(m) := \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^i \text{tr}((g + g^{-1})\sigma_q^m | H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

is a palindromic form of weight $\dim X$.

Proof. Since g is defined over \mathbb{F}_q , it commutes with the action of σ_q on étale cohomology. Since it is of finite order, it acts semisimply and we can find a common set of eigenvectors $v_{ij} \in H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ with

$$\sigma_q(v_{ij}) = \alpha_{ij} v_{ij}, g(v_{ij}) = \lambda_{ij} v_{ij}$$

with the α_{ij} as in the previous lemma and the λ_{ij} roots of unity of order dividing d . Also as in the previous lemma, Poincaré duality guarantees us that for each i, j , there exists a j' with a G -equivariant pairing

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}} v_{ij} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} v_{ij'} \rightarrow H^{2 \dim X}(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(-\dim X)$$

so that $\alpha_{2 \dim X - i, j'} = q^{\dim X} \alpha_{ij}^{-1}$ and $\lambda_{2 \dim X - i, j'} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ since G acts trivially on the top degree cohomology³. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{X,g}(m) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} \sum_j \alpha_{ij}^m (\lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{ij}^{-1}) \\ \implies \rho_{X,g}(-m) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} \sum_j \alpha_{ij}^{-m} (\lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{ij}^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} \sum_j q^{-m \dim X} \alpha_{2 \dim X - i, j'}^m (\lambda_{2 \dim X - i, j'}^{-1} + \lambda_{2 \dim X - i, j'}) \\ &= q^{-m \dim X} \rho_{X,g}(m) \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Remark 2.9. We note that the function

$$m \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr} (g \sigma_q^m | H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$$

is, perhaps surprisingly, not a palindromic form in general. Let E be the elliptic curve defined by the equation $y^2 = x^3 + x$ over \mathbb{Z}_p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and a fixed $i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We have an automorphism $g : E \rightarrow E$ defined over \mathbb{Z}_p given by $g(x, y) = (-x, iy)$. Since g has eigenvalues $\pm i$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{E}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^2 + b^2 = p$ and⁴

$$\nu(m) := \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr} (g \sigma_p^m | H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) = 1 + (i(a+ib)^m - i(a-ib)^m) + p^m.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(-m) &= 1 + (i(a+ib)^{-m} - i(a-ib)^{-m}) + p^{-m} \\ &= p^{-m} (p^m + (i(a-ib)^m - i(a+ib)^m) + 1) \neq p^{-m} \nu(m) \end{aligned}$$

which proves that ν is not a palindromic form.

Example 2.10. For any extension $\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathcal{O}_L$ where \mathcal{O}_L has residue field q^m , we define

$$\delta_k(m; q) := \int_{\mathfrak{m}_L} |t^k| dt = \frac{q^m - 1}{q^{m(k+1)} - 1}$$

and $\eta_k(m; q) = \delta_k(m; q) - 1$. The function $m \rightarrow \eta_k(m; q)$ is a palindromic form of weight 1:

$$\eta_k(-m; q) = \frac{q^{-m} - q^{-m(k+1)}}{q^{-m(k+1)} - 1} = q^{-m} \frac{q^{m(k+1)} - q^m}{1 - q^{m(k+1)}} = q^{-m} \eta_k(m; q).$$

Note that δ_k, η_k depend on \mathcal{O}_L only through the size of its residue field q^m . Indeed, $\eta_k(m; q)$ is a function purely of q^m but we write it this way to emphasize that we will think of q as fixed and m as varying.

³This can be seen, for instance, through the cycle class map since the class of a point generates the top degree cohomology and any two points are algebraically equivalent, therefore the g -action on a point is trivial.

⁴For instance, if $p = 5$ with $i \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, we have $a = 1, b = 2$.

Definition 2.11. We say that a function $\rho : \{\text{extensions } K \subset L\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a palindromic form of weight k if

1. The function depends on L only through the degree of residue field extensions m .
2. The function $m \rightarrow \rho(L)$ is a palindromic form of weight k .

2.4 The poset of admissible pairs

We discuss here a purely group theoretic notion that will become crucial in the proof of Theorem 3.10. Let G be a finite group.

We say that a tuple $\tau = (H_\tau, g_\tau H_\tau)$ consisting of a subgroup and a left coset is an *admissible pair* if g_τ normalizes H_τ , i.e., $g_\tau H_\tau = H_\tau g_\tau$. The data of an admissible pair τ is equivalent to the choice of a pair of subgroups $H_\tau \subset H_{1,\tau} \subset G$ such that H_τ is normal in $H_{1,\tau}$ and $C_\tau := H_{1,\tau}/H_\tau$ is cyclic along with the choice of a distinguished generator g_τ for this cyclic group. Here, $H_{1,\tau}$ corresponds to the subgroup generated by g_τ and H_τ .

We say that $\tau' \leq \tau$ for two admissible pairs if $H_{\tau'} \subset H_\tau$ and $g_{\tau'} \in g_\tau H_\tau$. This is easily checked to be a partial order. There is one maximal element (G, G) while the minimal elements correspond exactly to (e, g) for e the identity subgroup and $g \in G$ any element.

For $\lambda \in G$, we define the conjugate of $\tau = (H, gH)$ by λ by

$$\lambda\tau\lambda^{-1} := (\lambda H \lambda^{-1}, \lambda g H \lambda^{-1}).$$

We also define the inverse of an admissible pair by

$$(H, gH)^{-1} := (H, g^{-1}H).$$

Both conjugation and inversion are easily seen to be automorphisms of the poset of admissible pairs.

We will use the language of an incidence algebra on a poset. We briefly recall this notion for the convenience of the reader. Given a poset \mathcal{P} , an interval $[a, b]$ in it is the set of elements c such that $a \leq c \leq b$ (where we tacitly assume that $a \leq b$). Its incidence algebra $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (over \mathbb{C}) is the ring of functions from the set of intervals to \mathbb{C} . Addition in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is pointwise while multiplication is defined by convolution:

$$(\alpha * \beta)([a, b]) = \sum_{a \leq c \leq b} \alpha([a, c])\beta([c, b]).$$

This multiplication need not be commutative. An element $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ is invertible precisely when $\alpha([a, a]) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ for all $a \in \mathcal{P}$.

Definition 2.12. In particular, we will make use of the following elements in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$ for \mathcal{P} the poset of admissible pairs:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha([\tau', \tau]) &= |\{\lambda \in H_\tau \setminus G : \lambda\tau'\lambda^{-1} \leq \tau\}|, \\ \beta([\tau', \tau]) &= |\{\tau'' \in \mathcal{P} : \tau'' = \lambda\tau'\lambda^{-1} \leq \tau \text{ for some } \lambda \in G.\}|. \end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma([\tau', \tau]) = \alpha([\tau', \tau])/\beta([\tau', \tau])$. If $\tau' \leq \tau$, then $\alpha([\tau', \tau]), \beta([\tau', \tau])$ are both at least 1 since τ' is conjugate to itself. Therefore, $\gamma([\tau', \tau]) > 0$ when $\tau' \leq \tau$.

Note that the condition in the definition of α indeed only depends on the coset $H_\tau\lambda$. It is also clear that α and β are invariant under the conjugating the poset by an element of G .

2.5 Generically finite, Galois maps

Let X, Y be two geometrically connected, smooth and proper varieties relative to $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$.

Definition 2.13. [generically finite] A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be generically finite if there is some open $U \subset Y$ such that $f : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is étale and finite. There is in fact a maximal such open which we will denote by U_f and the preimage of the complement $Z_f = f^{-1}(Y - U_f)$ will be called the exceptional locus. This is always codimension 1 in X by the Jacobian criterion (see 2.21). We furthermore require that Z_f has no components supported purely over the special fiber of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ or equivalently, that there is some closed point on Y over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K/\pi\mathcal{O}_K$ over which the map f is étale.

Definition 2.14. [generically finite, Galois] Let G be a constant group scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$. A generically finite map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be Galois with Galois group G if the restriction of the map to U_f is Galois with Galois group G and every such automorphism extends to an automorphism of f . That is to say,

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(f : X \rightarrow Y) = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(f : f^{-1}(U_f) \rightarrow U_f) = G.$$

Example 2.15. An interesting family of generically finite, Galois maps are the following. Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let D be a degree g divisor on C . Then, the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} C^g &\rightarrow \text{Jac}(C); \\ (P_1, \dots, P_n) &\rightarrow [P_1] + \dots + [P_n] - D \end{aligned}$$

is an example of a generically finite map. It would be interesting to compute splitting densities for these maps although we don't pursue it in this paper.

This paper will be mainly concerned with such maps and to that end, we state a few preliminary definitions and properties of such maps here.

Definition 2.16. [Decomposition group] Let $P \in U_f(L)$ be a rational point away from the ramified locus. Then, $f^{-1}(P)$ is an étale L algebra and each geometric point $Q \in f^{-1}(P)$ over \bar{L} defines a homomorphism $\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L) \rightarrow G$. We denote the image (known as the decomposition group of Q over P) by $D_Q \subset G$. Correspondingly, the image of the inertia group $\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L^{\text{ur}})$ is denoted by $I_Q \subset G$. The image of the Frobenius element corresponds to a generating coset $\sigma_Q \in D_Q/I_Q$. We note that the pair $\tau_Q = (I_Q, \sigma_Q)$ is an *admissible pair* as in §2.4.

If $P \in U_f(L)$, then $f^{-1}(P)$ is an unramified extension of \mathcal{O}_L and a choice of Q thus determines a well-defined Frobenius element $\sigma_Q \in G$.

Remark 2.17. Different choices of Q over $P \in U(L)$ correspond to conjugating D_Q by elements in G . More precisely, let (Q_1, \dots, Q_n) be the geometric points of X mapping to P . This set has a natural action of G and is a G -torsor under this action.

If $Q_i = \lambda(Q_j)$ for some $\lambda \in G$, then $I_{Q_i} = \lambda I_{Q_j} \lambda^{-1}$ and similarly for the decomposition groups and Frobenius cosets. Therefore, we can define τ_P as the conjugacy class of τ_{Q_i} by picking any lift Q_i of P to X and similarly,

$$(I_P, D_P) = \{(\lambda I_{Q_i} \lambda^{-1}, \lambda D_{Q_i} \lambda^{-1}) : \lambda \in G\}.$$

The following definition stratifies $P \in U_f(L)$ based on τ_P , i.e., the data of the (conjugacy class) of the inertia group at P along with a Frobenius coset.

Definition 2.18. For τ an admissible pair for the group G , we define

$$U_{f,\tau}(L) = \{P \in U_f(L) : \tau \in \tau_P\}$$

with measure $\rho_{f,\tau}(L) = \mu_Y(U_{f,\tau}(L))$. This only depends on the conjugacy class of τ . The $U_{f,\tau}$ are in fact L -analytic open sets which proves that the measures $U_{f,\tau}$ are well defined as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 2.19. *For any admissible pair τ , the $U_{f,\tau}(L)$ are L -analytic open sets of $X(L)$.*

Proof. By [25, Proposition 3.5.74], the isomorphism type of the étale scheme $f^{-1}(y)$ is a locally constant function as y varies over $Y(L)$ in the analytic topology. Therefore, both the Galois group of the fiber and its action on the geometric points are locally constant which proves that the corresponding admissible pair is also locally constant. \square

We obtain a coarser stratification of $P \in U_f(L)$ by remembering only the (conjugacy class of the) inertia group and decomposition group associated to P , i.e., we forget the precise generating coset corresponding to the Frobenius coset.

Definition 2.20. For τ an admissible pair for the group G , we define

$$U_{f,H_\tau,H_{1,\tau}}(L) = \{P \in U_f(L) : (H_\tau, H_{1,\tau}) \in (I_P, D_P)\}$$

with measure $\rho_{f,H_\tau,H_{1,\tau}}(L) = \mu_Y(U_{f,H_\tau,H_{1,\tau}}(L))$. As before, this only depends on the conjugacy class of τ .

We also have a change of variables formula for generically finite maps. We begin by defining the Jacobian.

Definition 2.21. (Jacobian) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite map over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$. Let $V \subset X$ and $U \subset Y$ be affine opens such that $f(V) \subset U$ and there exist gauge forms ω_V, ω_U on V, U respectively (well-defined as usual up to a p -adic unit). We define the Jacobian of f on V by

$$\text{Jac}(f) = \frac{f^*(\omega_U)}{\omega_V}.$$

It is independent of the choice of local gauge forms upto a unit in \mathcal{O}_K and in particular, the norm $|\text{Jac}(f)|$ is a well defined function on $X(K)$.

Alternatively, one could view $\text{Jac}(f)$ as the canonical map between the canonical bundles $f^*\mathcal{O}(K_Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(K_X)$ or equivalently as a section of $f^*\mathcal{O}(K_Y)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(K_X)$. Specifying local basis $f^*(\omega_U), \omega_V$ for the two line bundles then determines the function $\text{Jac}(f)$ as defined above. It is clear from this description that the vanishing locus of $\text{Jac}(f)$ is precisely the exceptional locus $Z_f \subset X$.

2.6 Galois twists

We will use Galois twists of generically finite, Galois maps at multiple points in this paper. For convenience, we recall this definition state some basic properties. We fix $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be a generically finite, Galois map with Galois group G .

Definition 2.22 (Galois twists). We say that $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ is a twist of f if there exists an étale extension $K \subset K'$ such that the map $\tilde{f}_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} : \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$ is isomorphic to $f_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} : X_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$. In this paper, we will only consider twists where $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ can be taken to be an *unramified* extension of \mathcal{O}_K .

Given an element $g \in G$ of order r , we can define the twist of f with respect to g as follows.

Definition 2.23. [g -twist] Let $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ be the unique degree r unramified extension of \mathcal{O}_K with $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_{K'}/\mathcal{O}_K) = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ generated by σ_K . We define ${}^g X = X \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K} \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{K'} / \langle (g, \sigma_K) \rangle$ as a geometric quotient. As a scheme, it is defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ and admits a map ${}^g f : {}^g X \rightarrow Y$. It is a standard fact that this is a twist of f . One sees from the definition that “ ${}^g X$ ‘is’ X with the Frobenius acting by ${}^g \sigma_K := g \circ \sigma_K$ instead of σ_K ”. The cohomology of the twist with its Frobenius action can be identified with

$$(H_{\text{ét}}^i({}^g X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell), {}^g \sigma_K) \cong (H_{\text{ét}}^i(X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell), g^{-1} \sigma_K).$$

Remark 2.24. We note that the map ${}^g f : {}^g X \rightarrow Y$ does not have a constant automorphism group G , instead it has the étale automorphism group scheme ${}^g G$ which “is” G with the Frobenius acting by $h \rightarrow ghg^{-1}$.

3 On the palindromicity of various natural densities

This section contains the main theorems of this paper. We prove that various natural densities are palindromic. Before beginning the proofs, we establish some useful definitions and notations.

Definition 3.1. [Simple normal crossings divisor] Let $X/\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ be a variety. We say that a divisor $D \subset X$ is a simple normal crossings divisor (sncd) relative to $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ if X is smooth over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$ and

1. $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$ is a decomposition into irreducible components such that for any subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$, $D_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$ is smooth over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L$. We use the convention that $D_\emptyset = X$.
2. For every $x \in D_I(\mathcal{O}_L)$, there exists a regular system of parameters $t_i, i \in I$ over \mathcal{O}_L such that D_i is cut out by t_i in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.⁵

Definition 3.2. [Geometric sncd] Let $X/\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ be a variety. We say that a divisor $D \subset X$ is a geometric sncd if there exists an unramified extension $\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ and $D_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \subset X_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}/\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is a sncd relative to $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{K'}$. In this case, we write $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$ with the D_i irreducible over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ (but possibly not geometrically irreducible). For each $i \leq r$, we define K_i to be the smallest unramified extension over which $D_i = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} D_{ij}$ with the D_{ij} geometrically irreducible (and hence smooth). In this case, the Frobenius automorphism σ_K of K_i/K will transitively permute the D_{ij} and we define $\deg(\mathcal{O}_{K_i}/\mathcal{O}_K) = k_i$. We will consider the set $J_i = \{1, \dots, k_i\}$ to be equipped with the action of the Frobenius σ_K (corresponding to the action on the divisors D_{ij}) and denote by J_i/σ_K^ℓ the set of orbits for the action of σ_K^ℓ . At each closed point $x \in X$, we let $t_{i,x} \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ be a local function cutting out D_i and similarly, we define $t_{ij,x} \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ cutting out D_{ij} such that $\sigma_K(t_{ij}) = t_{i\sigma_K(j)}$.

We note that $D \subset X$ being a geometric sncd is invariant under taking unramified twists as in Definition 2.23 since this condition can be checked over the unramified closure.

⁵i.e., t_j is a non zero divisor in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/(t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_r})$ for $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subset I$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/(t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_r})$ is smooth over \mathcal{O}_L .

Hypothesis 3.3. A tuple $(g, X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K, Z \subset X)$ where $g \in \text{Aut}(X)$ and $Z \subset X$ is a g -equivariant closed subscheme has an equivariant resolution if there exists a smooth variety $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ with an automorphism $g : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and a proper, g -equivariant map $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi^{-1}(Z)$ is a geometric sncd.

This hypothesis need not be satisfied even if $g = \text{id}, X = \mathbb{P}^1$ as can be seen by considering $D = V(x^2 - p^2y^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^1$. The minimal resolution of singularities is given in this example by blowing up X at the closed point $V(t, p)$ and $\pi^{-1}(D)$ has a component purely supported in characteristic p and hence is not a relative normal crossings divisor. Nevertheless, it is generally not a restrictive hypothesis.

Remark 3.4. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is generically finite with Galois group G over (an open subset) of the ring of integers of a number field, then the above hypothesis for all the tuples (g, X, Z_f) where $g \in G$ is simultaneously satisfied for all but finitely many p since we can equivariantly resolve singularities over characteristic 0 (for instance, see [1],[13] or [4]) and *spread out* to all but finitely many primes.

Before proving the main theorems of this section, we first do a local computation. For any predicate \mathcal{P} (such as “ $\text{gcd}(k, m) = \ell$ ”), we define $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P})$ to be the indicator function that is 1 when the predicate is satisfied and zero otherwise.

Since our divisors are not geometrically irreducible, our formulas depend on the divisibility properties of the size of the residue field of L and we use the indicator functions to concisely express this.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $D \subset X$ be a geometric sncd as in Definition 3.2 (with the same notation). For any $e_1, \dots, e_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $M_1 \subset \{1, \dots, k_1\}, \dots, M_r \subset \{1, \dots, k_r\}$, define $D_{M_i} = \bigcap_{j \in M_i} D_{ij}$ and let $x \in D_{M_1, \dots, M_r} := \bigcap_{i=1}^r D_{M_i}$ be a point defined over an extension $\mathcal{O}_L \supset \mathcal{O}_K$. We have the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{x, J_1, \dots, J_r}(L) &:= \int_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, x}(\mathcal{O}_L)} |t_{1, x}|_L^{e_1} \dots |t_{r, x}|_L^{e_r} d\mu_{X_S} \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\text{gcd}(k_i, m) = \ell_i) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \delta_{e_i}^{|M_i / \sigma_K^{\ell_i}|} (m; q^{k_i / \ell_i}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where m is the degree of the residue field of extension of L/K and δ is as in Definition 2.10.

We make the preliminary observation that σ_L action preserves the subsets M_1, \dots, M_r since $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^r D_{M_i}$.

Proof. Recall Definition 3.2, i.e., we have minimal unramified extensions $\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathcal{O}_{K_i}$ of degree k_i over which the $D_i = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} D_{ij}$ decompose into smooth components for $J_i = \{1, \dots, k_i\}$. We let L_i be the compositum of L with K_i so that $L \subset L_i$ has degree $\ell_i = \text{gcd}(m, k_i)$ and take L' to be the compositum of all the L_i . As before, we define local parameters t_{ij} corresponding to the D_{ij} with $\sigma_L(t_{ij}) = t_{i\sigma_L(j)}$ so that in particular, we have $t_i := t_{i, x} = \prod_{j \in J_i} t_{ij}$.

Consider the composite map as \mathcal{O}_L modules with a σ_L action

$$\mathfrak{m}_{X, x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{X_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}, x}}.$$

The preimage of the span of the t_{ij} is a \mathcal{O}_L -submodule $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{X, x}$ with the property that its generic rank is equal to its rank modulo the uniformizer π_L precisely because the t_{ij} are a regular system of parameters

and $\mathcal{O}_L \subset \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ is a faithfully flat extension. Therefore, we can extend \mathcal{L} to a spanning lattice \mathcal{L}' by adding in generators s_1, \dots, s_v .

Consider now the Frobenius equivariant isomorphism of free \mathcal{O}_L modules

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}, x}}(\mathcal{O}_{L'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j \in J_i} \mathfrak{m}_{L'} \times (\mathfrak{m}_{L'})^v; P \rightarrow (t_{11}(P), t_{12}(P), \dots, t_{rk_r}(P), s'_1(P), \dots, s'_v(P)), \quad (3.1)$$

where the Frobenius σ_L acts on the right by

$$(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{rk_r}, y_1, \dots, y_v) \rightarrow (\sigma_L(x_{1\sigma_L^{-1}(1)}), \dots, \sigma_L(x_{r\sigma_L^{-1}(k_r)}), \sigma_L(y_1), \dots, \sigma_L(y_v)).$$

On taking σ_L invariants on both sides, we obtain

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, x}(\mathcal{O}_L) \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{\lambda \in J_i / \sigma_L} \mathfrak{m}_{L_i} \times (\mathfrak{m}_L)^v.$$

This isomorphism preserves the additive structure and is therefore measure preserving since the induced measure is the Haar measure with the correct normalization. All together, our integral thus reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, x}(\mathcal{O}_L)} |t_{1, x}|_L^{e_1} \dots |t_{r, x}|_L^{e_r} d\mu_{X_S} &= \int_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, x}(\mathcal{O}_L)} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^{k_j} |t_{ij}|_L^{e_i} d\mu_{X_S} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{\lambda \in M_i / \sigma_L} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{L_i}} \left(\prod_{j \in \lambda} |t_{ij}|_{L_i}^{e_i} \right)^{\ell_i / k_i} d\mu_{\mathfrak{m}_{L_i}} \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality, we use the above identification of our region of integration and the fact that $|t_{ij}| = 1$ over our region of integration unless $j \in M_i$. The functions $|t_{ij}|$ depend only on the σ_L orbit λ of j since $|\sigma_L t_{ij}(P)| = |t_{ij}(P)|$ and we denote this function by $|t_{i\lambda}|$. Moreover, the size of the orbit λ is exactly k_i / ℓ_i and orbits of σ_L are exactly the same as the orbits of $\sigma_K^{\ell_i}$ on the set J_i .

Therefore, the inner integrand simplifies to $|t_{i\lambda}|^{e_i}$ and the above integral is equal to

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{\lambda \in M_i / \sigma_K^{\ell_i}} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{L_i}} |t_{i\lambda}|_{L_i}^{e_i} d\mu_{\mathfrak{m}_{L_i}} = \prod_{i=1}^r \delta_{e_i}^{|M_i / \sigma_K^{\ell_i}|} (m; q^{k_i / \ell_i})$$

since the residue field of \mathcal{O}_{L_i} has size q^{k_i / ℓ_i} .

Since we assumed that $\gcd(k_i, m) = \ell_i$, the proof is completed by summing over all the possibilities for the ℓ_i the corresponding indicator functions weighted by the above product. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let X, Y be smooth proper varieties over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ with $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a generically finite map with (id, X, Z_f) satisfying Hypothesis 3.3. The function*

$$L \rightarrow \eta_f(L) = \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_L)} f_S^*(d\mu_{X_S})$$

is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$. In fact, if m is the degree of the residue field extension of L and we pick a resolution of singularities of $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ as in Hypothesis 3.3 with $\pi^{-1}(Z_f)$ playing the role of D in

Lemma 3.5 with the same notation as before, we have the identity

$$\eta_f(L) = \sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k_i) = \ell_i) \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}} \prod_{i=1}^r \eta_{e_i}^{|\Lambda_i|}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) |D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| \right)$$

where the e_i are positive integers and \mathcal{L} ranges over tuples of the form $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r)$ with $\Lambda_i \subset J_i/\sigma_K^{\ell_i}$ and $D_{\mathcal{L}} := \bigcap_{i=1}^r \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda_i} \bigcap_{j \in \lambda} D_{ij}$.

Proof. By Hypothesis 3.3 and the change of variables formula for birational maps, we can replace X by a resolution of singularities \tilde{X} and hence suppose that the exceptional locus $Z_f \subset X$ is a normal crossings divisor relative to $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point. Since $X/\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ is smooth, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \cong \mathcal{O}_K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ for $n = \dim X$ which is a unique factorization domain by the Weierstrass preparation theorem.

Note that $\text{Jac}(f)$ (as in Definition 2.21) is a local function that precisely cuts out Z_f geometrically, well defined upto a function that is invertible when evaluated on $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ points. If $Z_f = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$ as in the statement of Lemma 3.5, we have $\text{Jac}(f) = u \prod_{i=1}^r t_{i,x}^{e_i} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ for some $u, e_i \geq 0$ with the u coprime to the $t_{i,x}$. In fact, the e_i are exactly given by the order of vanishing of $\text{Jac}(f)$ at the D_i (computed on any local chart). This is well-defined precisely because $\text{Jac}(f)$ is well defined up to a unit on that chart. Due to this, we see that $u = \text{Jac}(f) \prod_i t_{i,x}^{-e_i}$ does not vanish away from Z_f and also does not vanish at the generic point of each D_i . Therefore, it is non-vanishing on an open with complement of codimension at least 2 and hence a unit in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$.

Finally, recall the notation from before that $D_i = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} D_{ij}$ over an unramified extension $\mathcal{O}_{L_i}/\mathcal{O}_K$ with the D_{ij} smooth (with \mathcal{O}_{L_i} the minimal such extension). Given $\mathcal{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_r)$ with the $M_i \subset J_i$, we define by $D_{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}$ the locally closed strata of $D_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigcap_{j \in J_i} D_{ij}$ not contained in $D_{\mathcal{M}'}$ for any other \mathcal{M}' corresponding to a smaller strata. We carry over other notation from Lemma 3.5 with Z_f playing the role of D .

In order to compute the integral, we pick a set of points $\mathcal{S} \subset X(\mathcal{O}_L)$ in bijection with the points of $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ under the reduction map. This gives rise to a decomposition of the p -adic analytic set $X(\mathcal{O}_L)$ into discs around each point $s \in \mathcal{S}$ isomorphic to $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$, giving rise to the equality

$$\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_L)} f_S^* d\mu_{X_S} = \sum_{\mathcal{M}} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S} \cap D_{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}} \int_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,s}(\mathcal{O}_L)} |t_1^{e_1} \dots t_r^{e_r}|_L d\mu_{X_S}.$$

By Lemma 3.5, the above is equal to

$$\sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k_i) = \ell_i) \left(\sum_{\mathcal{M}} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S} \cap D_{\mathcal{M}}^{\circ}} \prod_{i=1}^r (\eta_{e_i}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) + 1)^{|M_i/\sigma_K^{\ell_i}|} \right),$$

where we recall that $\delta_e(m; q) = \eta_e(m; q) + 1$. Since $s \in D_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{O}_L)$, the M_i are necessarily σ_L , and hence, $\sigma_K^{\ell_i}$ stable. Next, we pass to the orbits by defining $\mathcal{L} = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_r)$ with $\Lambda_i = M_i/\sigma_K^{\ell_i} \subset J_i/\sigma_K^{\ell_i}$. Summing over such \mathcal{L} and noting that $|\mathcal{S} \cap D_{\mathcal{L}}^{\circ}| = D_{\mathcal{L}}^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k_i) = \ell_i) \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L} \text{ as above}} |D_{\mathcal{L}}^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda_i} (\eta_{e_i}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) + 1) \right).$$

We now expand the inner product as

$$\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda_i} (\eta_{e_i}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) + 1) = \sum_{\Lambda_i' \subset \Lambda_i} \eta_{e_i}^{|\Lambda_i'|}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}).$$

We say that $\mathcal{L}' = (\Lambda'_1, \dots, \Lambda'_r) \leq \mathcal{L}$ when $\Lambda'_i \subset \Lambda_i$ for all i . Then, the above expansion lets us rewrite the integral as

$$\sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k_i) = \ell_i) \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L} \text{ as above}} \sum_{\mathcal{L}' \leq \mathcal{L}} \prod_{i=1}^r \eta_{e_i}^{|\Lambda'_i|}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) D_{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \right).$$

Switching the order of summation, we have

$$\sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k_i) = \ell_i) \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}'} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \left(\eta_{e_i}^{|\Lambda'_i|}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) \right) \sum_{\mathcal{L} \leq \mathcal{L}'} D_{\mathcal{L}}^\circ(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \right) \right).$$

The claim now follows from the observation that $\sum_{\mathcal{L}' \leq \mathcal{L}} D_{\mathcal{L}'}^\circ(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = |D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})|$ and is thus a palindromic form of weight $\dim D_{\mathcal{L}}$ while the $\eta_e(m; q^d)$ factors are palindromic forms of weight d . Together,

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \eta_{e_i}^{|\Lambda_i|}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) D_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

is a palindromic form of weight

$$\sum_{i=1}^r |\Lambda_i| \frac{k_i}{\ell_i} + \dim D_{\mathcal{L}} = \dim X$$

since the first term above is precisely the number of smooth components of Z_f cutting out $D_{\mathcal{L}}$ because each $\lambda \in \Lambda_i$ corresponds to an orbit of divisors of size k_i/ℓ_i .

The indicator functions $\mathcal{I}(\ell | \gcd(m, k))$ (for $\ell | k$) can be written as a sum of roots of unity ζ_k of order k as

$$\mathcal{I}(\ell | \gcd(m, k)) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{a=1}^k \zeta_k^{amk/\ell}.$$

By Example 2.5, they are palindromic forms of weight 0. Since

$$\mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k) = \ell) = \mathcal{I}(\ell | \gcd(m, k)) - \left(1 - \prod_{1 < d, d\ell | k} (1 - \mathcal{I}(d\ell | \gcd(m, k))) \right), \quad (3.2)$$

$\mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k) = \ell)$ is also a palindromic form of weight 0. All together, this shows that our integral is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y = \dim X$. \square

We record the following simplification when all components of the exceptional divisor are geometrically irreducible over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let m be the degree of the residue field extension of L/K . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be as in the previous theorem and suppose that there exists a resolution of singularities $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ over \mathcal{O}_K with $\pi^{-1}(Z_f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$ a sncd over \mathcal{O}_K . Then*

$$\eta_f(L) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} |D_J(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| \prod_{j \in J} \eta_{e_j}(m; q)$$

for some positive integers e_j .

Despite appearances, the function η_f does not depend on the resolution $\pi : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ by the birational change of variables formula. We prove a modification of the above theorem taking into consideration unramified twists, as in Definition 2.23.

Let $\tau = (H, gH)$ be an admissible pair, i.e., $gH = Hg$. As above, we take $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be a generically finite, Galois map with Galois group G over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$. Define $f_H : X \rightarrow X/H$ to be the natural quotient map. Since $gH = Hg$, g descends to an automorphism of X/H .

We suppose that $(g, X/H, f_H(Z_f))$ satisfies Hypothesis 3.3 and define $\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{H}$ to be a g -equivariant resolution

$$\pi_H : \widetilde{X}/\widetilde{H} \rightarrow X/H.$$

Since all the maps involved are g -equivariant and twisting by g is functorial, we obtain maps

$$\pi_\tau : {}^g(\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{H}_S) \rightarrow {}^g(X/H)_S$$

where we base change to S first and then twist by g . We denote ${}^g(\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{H}_S)$ by ${}^\tau X_S$ and the resulting map by ${}^\tau f_S : {}^\tau X_S \rightarrow Y$. By construction, ${}^\tau f_S$ is an étale map over the unramified open locus U_f .

Theorem 3.8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite, Galois map with group G between smooth, proper varieties with $(g, X/H, f_H(Z_f))$ satisfying Hypothesis 3.3 as above and define*

$$L \rightarrow \eta_\tau(L) := \int_{\tau^{-1}X_S(\mathcal{O}_L)} \text{Jac}(\tau^{-1}f_{\mathcal{O}_L}) d\mu_{\tau^{-1}X_S}.$$

Then, $\eta_\tau(L) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\eta_\tau(L) + \eta_{\tau^{-1}}(L)$ is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$.

Proof. We maintain the notation from the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.6 so that the exceptional locus for ${}^\tau f_S : {}^\tau X_S \rightarrow Y_S$ is $\bigcup_{i=1}^r {}^g D_{i,S}$ with the D_i defined over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ and irreducible, $D_i = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} D_{ij}$ and so on. By Theorem 3.6,

$$\eta_{\tau^{-1}}(L) = \sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k_i) = \ell_i) \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}} \prod_{i=1}^r \eta_{e_i}^{|\Lambda_i|}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) (|{}^g D_{\mathcal{L},S}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})|) \right) \in \mathbb{Q},$$

while $\eta_\tau(L) + \eta_{\tau^{-1}}(L)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k_i) = \ell_i) \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}} \prod_{i=1}^r \eta_{e_i}^{|\Lambda_i|}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) \left(|{}^g D_{\mathcal{L},S}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| + |{}^{g^{-1}} D_{\mathcal{L},S}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| \right) \right).$$

It is possible (and indeed likely!) that even though $D_{\mathcal{L},S}$ has \mathbb{F}_{q^m} points, the twist ${}^g D_{\mathcal{L},S}$ is not defined over \mathcal{O}_L and consequently has no \mathbb{F}_{q^m} points. We emphasize that the twists ${}^g D_{\mathcal{L},S}$ are obtained after base changing *first*. Therefore, it is not obvious a-priori that the above expression is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$. Nevertheless, we will prove that it is so using the Lefschetz trace formula.

To that end, fix an auxiliary prime ℓ and a smooth, projective variety D/\mathbb{F}_q . As is well known, the action of σ_L on $H_{\text{ét}}^*(g^{-1}D \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is equivalent to the action of $g\sigma_L$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^*(D \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. Thus, in conjunction with Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula, we obtain

$$|{}^{g^{-1}} D_{\mathcal{L},S}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim D} (-1)^i \text{tr} (g\sigma_q^m | H_{\text{ét}}^i(D_{\mathcal{L}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)),$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |{}^g D_{\mathcal{L},S}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| + |{}^{g^{-1}} D_{\mathcal{L},S}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| &= \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim D} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr} \left((g + g^{-1}) \sigma_q^m |H_{\text{ét}}^i(D_{\mathcal{L}} \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \right) \\ &= \rho_{D_{\mathcal{L}},g}(m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, our integral above reduces to

$$\eta_\tau(L) + \eta_{\tau^{-1}}(L) = \sum_{\ell_1 | k_1, \dots, \ell_r | k_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}(\gcd(m, k_i) = \ell_i) \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}} \prod_{i=1}^r \eta_{\ell_i}^{|\Lambda_i|}(m; q^{k_i/\ell_i}) \rho_{D_{\mathcal{L}},g}(m) \right)$$

where $\rho_{D_{\mathcal{L}},g}$ is as in Lemma 2.8. By lemma, $\rho_{X,g}$ is a palindromic form of weight $\dim D_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the proof is completed exactly as in Theorem 3.6. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite, Galois map with Galois group G . For an admissible pair $\tau = (H, gH)$, suppose that the tuple (g, X, Z_f) satisfies Hypothesis 3.3. Then, we have*

$$\eta_\tau(L) = \sum_{\tau' \leq \tau} \rho_{f,\tau'}(L) \gamma([\tau', \tau]), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\rho_{f,\tau}$ is as in Definition 2.18 and $\gamma([\tau', \tau])$ are as in §2.4.

Proof. Suppose $\tau = (H, gH)$ and for ease of notation, we let $k = g^{-1}$ with $\nu = \tau^{-1}$. Let $\tilde{U} = {}^k f_S^{-1}(U_f)$, $\tilde{U}_\nu = \tilde{U}/H$ with quotient map $f_\nu : \tilde{U}_\nu \rightarrow U_f$.

Suppose $P \in U_f(L)$ with geometric pre-images Q_1, \dots, Q_n in $X(\overline{L}) = {}^k X(\overline{L})$ (where the two sets are identified using the canonical identification with the only difference being the Frobenius action). For such a Q_i , we denote the corresponding inertia group and Frobenius coset in G by I_i and σ_i , respectively. We similarly define the group schemes ${}^k I_i$ and ${}^k \sigma_i$ in ${}^k G$. In fact, ${}^k I_i = I_i$ under the canonical identification of ${}^k G(\mathcal{O}_L^{\text{ur}}) \cong G(\mathcal{O}_L^{\text{ur}}) = G$ over the unramified closure of \mathcal{O}_L since such a base change does not affect inertia groups. Finally, we let $\tau_{Q_i} = (I_i, \sigma_i)$ be the corresponding admissible pair.

Let \overline{Q}_i be the image of Q_i in \tilde{U}_ν . It lands in $\tilde{U}_\nu(L)$ precisely when both the inertia group and Frobenius fix it. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Q}_i \in \tilde{U}_\nu &\iff I_i \overline{Q}_i = \overline{Q}_i \text{ and } {}^k \sigma_i(\overline{Q}_i) = \overline{Q}_i \\ &\iff I_i \subset H \text{ and } {}^k \sigma_i \in H \end{aligned}$$

where the final equivalence follows from the faithfulness of the action of G on $Q_1 \dots, Q_n$. Altogether, we see that the image of Q_i in ${}^\nu X_S$ is \mathcal{O}_L -rational precisely when $\tau_{Q_i} \leq \tau$, i.e., $I_i \subset H$ and $\sigma_i \in gH$. In other words, we have shown that f_ν is a covering space map with image

$$f_\nu(\tilde{U}_\nu(L)) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau' \leq \tau \\ \text{up to conjugacy}}} U_{f,\tau'}(L).$$

For every such P with some i such that $\tau_i \leq \tau$, the j such that $\tau_j \leq \tau$ correspond to $\lambda \in G$ such that $\lambda({}^k I_i, {}^k \sigma_i) \lambda^{-1} \leq \tau$. The number of distinct images of these Q_j in ${}^\nu X_S$ is precisely equal to $\alpha([\lambda({}^k I_i, {}^k \sigma_i), \tau])$ since the ones in the same H orbit get identified (recall the definitions of α, β in Definition 2.12).

Therefore, the degree of f_ν over $U_{f,\tau'}(L)$ is precisely $\alpha(\tau', \tau)$. If we pick a compact open $V \subset U_{f,\tau'}(L)$ and a differential form ω on it, we have

$$\alpha(\tau', \tau) \int_V |\omega| = \int_{f_\nu^{-1}(V)} |f_\nu^* \omega| = \int_{\nu f_S^{-1}(V)} |\nu f_S^* \omega|$$

by Theorem 2.3. We pick ω to be a gauge form with respect to the measure μ_Y and sum over a disjoint union cover V_i of $\bigsqcup_{\tau' \leq \tau} U_{f,\tau'}(L)$ to obtain the required identity

$$\sum_{\tau' \leq \tau} \rho_{f,\tau'}(L) \frac{\alpha(\tau', \tau)}{\beta(\tau', \tau)} = \int_{\tilde{U}_\nu(L)} |\text{Jac}(\nu f_S)| d\mu_{X_S}.$$

We divide by $\beta(\tau', \tau)$ in the first term because that is precisely the amount we over count by when we sum over all types $\tau' \leq \tau$ instead of up to conjugacy. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite, Galois map with Galois group G between smooth, proper varieties and τ an admissible pair (with respect to G). For every admissible pair $\tau' \leq \tau$, suppose that the tuples $(g_{\tau'}, X/H_{\tau'}, f_{H_{\tau'}}(Z_f))$ satisfy Hypothesis 3.3. Then, the densities $\rho_{f,\tau}(L) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and the function*

$$L \rightarrow \rho_{f,\tau}(L) + \rho_{f,\tau^{-1}}(L)$$

is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$, where $\rho_{f,\tau}$ is as in Definition 2.18. We note that the above function is the measure of the set $U_{f,\tau}(L) \sqcup U_{f,\tau^{-1}}(L)$ since these are disjoint subsets of $Y(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

Proof. We fix L and fix elements $\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\rho}$ in the incidence algebra for the poset of types satisfying (for all $g \in G$ and types $\tau' \leq \tau$)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}([(e, g), \tau]) &= \rho_{f,\tau}(L), \\ \tilde{\eta}([\tau', \tau]) &= \tilde{\rho} * \gamma([\tau', \tau]). \end{aligned}$$

By Equation 3.3, we see that $\tilde{\eta}([(e, g), \tau]) = \eta_\tau(L)$. Since $\gamma([\tau, \tau]) > 0$, γ is an invertible element of the incidence algebra so that

$$\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\eta} * \gamma^{-1}$$

and evaluating at $[(e, g), \tau]$ for some type $\tau \geq (e, g)$, we obtain

$$\rho_{f,\tau}(L) = \sum_{\tau' \leq \tau} \eta_{\tau'}(L) \gamma^{-1}([\tau', \tau]).$$

This is a rational number since $\eta_{\tau'}(L) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (Theorem 3.8) and $\gamma([\tau', \tau]) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (and hence also its inverse in the incidence algebra). By definition, we have $\alpha([\tau', \tau]) = \alpha([\tau'^{-1}, \tau^{-1}])$ and similarly for β and hence also for γ and γ^{-1} . Therefore, if we sum the above identity with the corresponding one for τ^{-1} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{f,\tau}(L) + \rho_{f,\tau^{-1}}(L) &= \sum_{\tau' \leq \tau} \eta_{\tau'}(L) \gamma^{-1}([\tau', \tau]) + \sum_{\tau'^{-1} \leq \tau^{-1}} \eta_{\tau'^{-1}}(L) \gamma^{-1}([\tau'^{-1}, \tau^{-1}]) \\ &= \sum_{\tau' \leq \tau} (\eta_{\tau'}(L) + \eta_{\tau'^{-1}}(L)) \gamma^{-1}([\tau', \tau]). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.8, we see that $\eta_{\tau'}(L) + \eta_{\tau'^{-1}}(L)$ is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$ and since the poset of admissible pairs and γ^{-1} depend only on the group G and not on L , the above identity shows that $\rho_{f,\tau}(L) + \rho_{f,\tau^{-1}}(L)$ is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$. \square

As an immediate corollary, we have that the measures in Definition 2.20 take rational values and are palindromic forms of the correct weight.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a generically finite, Galois map between smooth, proper varieties. For every admissible pair $\tau' \leq \tau$, suppose that the tuples $(g_{\tau'}, X/H_{\tau'}, f_{H_{\tau'}}(Z_f))$ satisfy Hypothesis 3.3.*

Then the measures $\rho_{f, H_{\tau'}, H_{1, \tau}}(L)$ are palindromic forms of weight $\dim Y$.

Proof. For a fixed normal inclusion $H \subset H_1$ with the quotient cyclic, we obtain an admissible pair $\tau_{\theta} = (H, \theta H)$ for any generator $\theta \in H_1/H$. The corresponding $U_{f, \tau_{\theta}}(L)$ are disjoint and moreover,

$$U_{f, H_{\tau'}, H_{1, \tau}}(L) = \bigsqcup_{\theta} U_{f, \tau_{\theta}}(L) \implies \rho_{f, H_{\tau'}, H_{1, \tau}}(L) = \sum_{\theta \text{ a generator}} \rho_{f, \tau_{\theta}}(L).$$

so that $2\rho_{f, H_{\tau'}, H_{1, \tau}}(L) = \sum_{\theta \text{ a generator}} (\rho_{f, \tau_{\theta}}(L) + \rho_{f, \tau_{\theta}^{-1}}(L))$ is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$ by the previous theorem and hence, so is $\rho_{f, H_{\tau'}, H_{1, \tau}}(L)$. \square

Moreover, the hypothesis are automatically satisfied at almost all primes.

Theorem 3.12. *Let M be a number field and $T = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_M$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ over T be a generically finite, Galois map with Y_M a smooth proper variety over M . We let L be an extension of the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M, \mathfrak{p}}$.*

Then, for almost all primes \mathfrak{p} and every admissible pair τ , the function

$$L \rightarrow \rho_{f, \tau}(L) + \rho_{f, \tau^{-1}}(L)$$

is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$.

Proof. We pick a characteristic zero G -equivariant resolution of varieties $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ (see [1],[13] or [4]). As in Remark 3.4, we can spread the resolution out to an open subset of T . The assumptions of Theorem 3.10 are thus satisfied for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p} \in T$ and the theorem follows. \square

Since admissible pairs determine the complete splitting type of a point, we also have the following corollary on the "expected number of rational preimages".

Corollary 3.13. *Let M be a number field and $T = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_M$. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ over T be a generically finite map with Y_M a smooth proper variety over M . We let L be an extension of the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{M, \mathfrak{p}}$. We define the random variable $\mathcal{R} : Y(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by letting $\mathcal{R}(P)$ be the number of L -rational preimage of P in Y .*

For all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p} \in T$, the characteristic function

$$s \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}(e^{is\mathcal{R}})$$

is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$ and in particular, so are all the moments $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}(\mathcal{R}^k)$.

Proof. As in the proof of the previous theorem, we assume that $\mathfrak{p} \gg 0$ so that the assumptions of Theorem 3.10 are satisfied for some Galois closure $f : X' \rightarrow Y$ of $X \rightarrow Y$. Let $H \subset G$ be the stabilizer of X' .

Following the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.9, a point $Q \in X(\overline{L})$ over $P \in U_f(L)$ has image in $X'(L)$ precisely when $\tau_Q = (I_Q, \sigma_Q) \leq (H, H)$ as admissible pairs. Moreover, if there exists one such Q , the

number of L -rational pre-images is equal to $\alpha([\tau_Q, (H, H)])$. Also as before we over count by $\beta([\tau_Q, (H, H)])$ if we sum over all types instead of conjugacy classes. All together, we obtain the identity

$$\mathcal{R}^k = \sum_{\tau \leq (H, H)} \frac{\alpha^k([\tau, (H, H)])}{\beta([\tau, (H, H)])} \mathbb{1}_{U_{f, \tau}}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{U_{f, \tau}}$ is the indicator random variable for $U_{f, \tau}$. Since $(I, gI) \leq (H, H)$ precisely when $I \subset H$ and $g \in H$, we see that $\tau \leq (H, H) \iff \tau^{-1} \leq (H, H)$. Similarly, one checks that

$$\alpha^k([\tau, (H, H)]) = \alpha^k([\tau^{-1}, (H, H)]), \beta^k([\tau, (H, H)]) = \beta^k([\tau^{-1}, (H, H)]).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}(\mathcal{R}^k) = \sum_{\tau \leq (H, H)} \frac{\alpha^k([\tau, (H, H)])}{\beta([\tau, (H, H)])} \rho_{f, \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau \leq (H, H)} \frac{\alpha^k([\tau, (H, H)])}{\beta([\tau, (H, H)])} (\rho_{f, \tau} + \rho_{f, \tau^{-1}}).$$

The final expression is a palindromic form of weight $\dim Y$ by Theorem 3.12, completing the proof. \square

4 A conjecture on the density of polynomials with fixed splitting type

We prove (refinements of) [16, Conjecture 1.2] as the first application of Theorem 3.10. We recall some notation from their paper first.

4.1 Splitting types of polynomials

A *splitting type of degree n* is a multiset $\{f_1^{e_1} f_2^{e_2} \dots f_t^{e_t}\}$ where the f_i, e_i are positive integers satisfying $\sum_i f_i e_i = n$. We allow repeats in the list of symbols $f_i^{e_i}$ but the order in which they appear does not matter. We will often omit exponents e_i if $e_i = 1$.

For an étale extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p of degree n , we define the symbol (K, p) to be the splitting type $(f_1^{e_1}, \dots, f_t^{e_t})$ if p factors in K as $P_1^{e_1} \dots P_t^{e_t}$ where the P_i are primes of K having residue degree f_i .

We consider non-zero polynomials $h(z)$ of degree n in $\mathcal{O}_K[z]$ as elements in \mathcal{O}_K^{n+1} through their coordinates with the associated Haar measure μ_{Haar} normalized so that the total measure is 1.

Conjecture 4.1 (Conjecture 1.2, [16]). *Let σ be any splitting type of degree n and set*

- $\rho(n, \sigma; p) :=$ the density of polynomials $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p[z]$ of degree n such that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p[z]/h(z)$ is étale over \mathbb{Q}_p and $(K, p) = \sigma$,
- $\alpha(n, \sigma; p) :=$ the density of monic polynomials $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p[z]$ of degree n such that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p[z]/h(z)$ is étale over \mathbb{Q}_p and $(K, p) = \sigma$,
- $\beta(n, \sigma; p) :=$ the density of polynomials $h(z) \equiv z^n \pmod{p}$ of degree n such that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p[z]/h(z)$ is étale over \mathbb{Q}_p and $(K, p) = \sigma$.

Then $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$, $\alpha(n, \sigma; p)$ and $\beta(n, \sigma; p)$ are rational functions of p and satisfy

$$\rho(n, \sigma; p^{-1}) = \rho(n, \sigma; p); \quad (4.1)$$

$$\alpha(n, \sigma; p^{-1}) = \beta(n, \sigma; p). \quad (4.2)$$

Remark 4.2. We currently only prove Equation 4.1 (under tameness assumptions) in this paper. In principle, it should be possible to also prove 4.2 using the methods here but we do not currently pursue it here.

We relate the above conjecture to the densities appearing in the rest of this paper first. To every squarefree polynomial $h(z)$ associated to a point in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ through its coefficients, we can associate a conjugacy class of an admissible pair τ_h (for S_n) using the map

$$f : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n / S_n = \mathbb{P}^n.$$

If we further fix an ordering of the roots of $h(z)$, that corresponds to fixing an element in the conjugacy class τ_h . For an admissible pair τ , recall that

$$U_{f,\tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{h(z) \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ squarefree with } \tau \in \tau_h\}$$

with density $\rho_{f,\tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mu_{\mathbb{P}^n}(U_{f,\tau})(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We first relate the Haar measure on \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+1} to the canonical measure on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Consider the canonical quotient map*

$$\pi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+1} - 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

For a measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, we have the equality of measures

$$\mu_{\text{Haar}}(\pi^{-1}(S)) = \frac{\mu_{\mathbb{P}^n}(S)}{|\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)|}.$$

Proof. Consider local coordinates where $v_p(a_0) \leq v_p(a_i)$ for all $0 < i \leq n$. On this chart, we can realize π as a projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^n; (a_0, \dots, a_n) \rightarrow (a_1/a_0, \dots, a_n/a_0)$$

onto the final n co-ordinates. From this description, one computes that the preimage of a set S has measure exactly

$$\mu_{\text{Haar}}(S) = \frac{\mu_{\mathbb{P}^n}(S)}{p^n} \left(\frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p^{n+2}} + \dots \right) = \frac{\mu_{\mathbb{P}^n}(S)(p-1)}{p^{n+1}-1}$$

as required. □

To each admissible pair τ as above (with respect to S_n), we can associate a splitting type $s(\tau)$ such that if $\tau \in \tau_h$ for some polynomial $h(z)$, then $s(\tau)$ is the splitting type for $h(z)$:

Recall that an admissible pair τ has associated to it a normal inclusion of subgroups of S_n : $H_\tau \subset H_{1,\tau}$ where the smaller group corresponds to the inertia group and the bigger group to the total Galois group. The action of $H_{1,\tau}$ on the set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ will partition $[n]$ into orbits π_1, \dots, π_t and we define $H_{1,\tau}^{(i)}$ to be the image of $H_{1,\tau}$ in S_{π_i} and similarly for $H_\tau^{(i)}$.

This partition into orbits corresponds precisely to the factorization of $h(z)$ over \mathbb{Q}_p into irreducible polynomials. We fix a $i \leq t$ now and define $S_1^{(i)}$ to be the stabilizer of $H_{1,\tau}^{(i)}$ on any element in π_i and similarly, $S^{(i)}$ to be the stabilizer of $H_\tau^{(i)}$ on the same element in π_i . The inclusion $S^{(i)} \subset S_1^{(i)}$ is well defined up to conjugacy. We finally define integers f_i, e_i by $f_i e_i = |H_{1,\tau}^{(i)}|/|S_1^{(i)}|$ and $e_i = |H_\tau^{(i)}|/|S^{(i)}|$.

Given this data, the splitting type $s(\tau)$ is defined to be the multiset with t elements $f_i^{e_i}$ corresponding to the parts π_1, \dots, π_t .

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\sigma = \{f_1^{e_1}, \dots, f_r^{e_r}\}$ be a splitting type. Then, we have the identity*

$$\rho(n, \sigma; p) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{\tau: s(\tau)=\sigma} \rho_{f,\tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Proof. Let $h(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_p(z)$ be a squarefree degree n polynomial. Upon fixing an ordering of its roots, we obtain an admissible pair τ_h . The corresponding decomposition of $[n]$ into parts π_1, \dots, π_t as above corresponds exactly to the decomposition of $h(z) = h_1(z) \dots h_t(z)$ into irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{Q}_p . Moreover, $H_{1,\tau}^{(i)}, H_\tau^{(i)}$ correspond respectively to the Galois group, inertia group of the splitting field L_i/\mathbb{Q}_p of $h_i(z)$. Finally, $S_1^{(i)}, S^{(i)}$ correspond respectively to the Galois group, inertia group of the extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(z)/h_i(z) \subset L_i$. It follows that f_i, e_i are the residue degree, inertia degree respectively of the extension $\mathbb{Q}_p \subset \mathbb{Q}_p(z)/h_i(z)$. □

Remark 4.5. One of us has proven previously that the densities $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$ are rational functions in p under tameness assumptions (that are satisfied in particular for $p > n$). Therefore, [Equation 4.1, Conjecture 4.1] for $p \gg 0$ follows immediately from the above lemma and Theorem 3.12.

In the rest of this section, we sketch a proof of a finer statement about admissible pairs and an alternative proof of the above polynomiality using an explicit compactification. The question reduces to certain geometric properties of this compactification.

Ideally, we would hope for the following:

Wish 4.6. For each admissible pair τ (with respect to S_n), we would like to find a g_τ -equivariant resolution of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/H_\tau \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n/H_\tau$ such that the exceptional divisors D for the composite map

$$(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/H_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

have cohomology satisfying the following properties for all primes p (with ℓ a prime distinct from p):

1. $H_{\text{ét}}^{2i+1}(D_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0$ for all i and,
2. the eigenvalues of the Frobenius σ_p acting on $H_{\text{ét}}^{2i}(D_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are all equal to p^i .

Unfortunately, any such resolution will fail to have the requisite properties for small p and we necessarily need to make tameness assumptions. We prove a refinement of [Equation 4.1, Conjecture 4.1] in the rest of this section under such assumptions.

4.2 A Tate-type Compactification of Configuration Space

We say that a function $r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow R$ is quasi-rational of period a with coefficients in R if $r(at + b)$ is a rational function in $R(t)$ for $1 \leq b \leq a$ an integer. Such functions can be written as linear combinations of polynomials weighted by $t \rightarrow \zeta^t$ where ζ is a root of unity of order a . As such, we can extend such functions to rational numbers with denominators co-prime to a in a canonical way.

Theorem 4.7. *Let n be a positive integer and τ an admissible pair with respect to S_n such that H_τ is a cyclic group. There exists a quasi-rational function $r_\tau(t)$ (of period $|H_\tau|$ with rational coefficients) such that $r_\tau(p) = \rho_{f,\tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for all p co-prime to $|H_\tau|$. This quasi-rational function satisfies the functional equation*

$$r_\tau(t^{-1}) = t^{-n} r_\tau(t)$$

for all rational numbers t with numerators and denominators co-prime to $|H_\tau|$.

Remark 4.8. Since tame inertia groups are always cyclic, the densities $\rho_{f,\tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for H_τ non-cyclic can only possibly be non-zero for $p \mid |H_\tau|$. Moreover, the decomposition group over a prime p can be uniquely written as the semi-direct of a cyclic group by a cyclic group where the quotient acts by the cyclotomic character. If we fix this group then, the density can be non-zero only along certain arithmetic progressions. Therefore, a result of the above kind is the strongest one can hope for.

Remark 4.9. Indeed, to apply our theorems in the wild case, we are required to find a geometric sned resolution of singularities such as A^p/C_p over \mathbb{Z}_p where $C_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ acts by cyclically permuting the coordinates. We suspect that such resolutions do not exist in general so that the integrals $\eta_\tau(p)$ of Theorem ?? are not a rational function in p for $p \mid |H_\tau|$. Since the splitting densities are a linear combination of these integrals, it is still possible that the splitting densities themselves are rational functions in p for all p (and thus satisfy the functional equation for all p) but this would require some miraculous cancellations.

We prove the above theorem in the rest of this section and deduce Equation 4.1 as a corollary in the tame case.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $\sigma = (f_1^{e_1}, \dots, f_r^{e_r})$ be a splitting type. Then for all primes p co-prime to all the ramification indices e_i , $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$ is a rational function in p satisfying the symmetry*

$$\rho(n, \sigma; p) = \rho(n, \sigma; p^{-1}).$$

We will prove that the relevant densities are quasi-rational functions in p by proving that the relevant varieties are of *Tate type*.

Definition 4.11. We say that a variety $X/\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is of *Tate type* of period a if for all $p \gg 0$ with $p \equiv b \pmod{a}$ and $i \geq 0$, the action of $\sigma_p/p^{i/2}$, where σ_p is the Frobenius, on $H_{c,\text{ét}}^i(\overline{X}_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is conjugate to a permutation matrix. The variety is of *strict Tate type* if the $\sigma_p/p^{i/2}$ acts as the identity for all i, b .

Remark 4.12. A variety being of Tate type is equivalent to the Hodge numbers $h^{p,q} = 0$ unless $p = q$ as shown in [19, Theorem 6.1.2, (3)] of the appendix using p -adic Hodge theory.

Remark 4.13. We say that a variety $X/\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ has a cellular stratification of period a if there is a locally closed flat stratification with each strata a Tate type variety of period a . By the excision long exact sequence for compactly supported cohomology, varieties of this form are automatically Tate type of period a too.

Lemma 4.14. *Let X/\mathbb{Z} be a Tate type variety of period a . Then, there exists a quasi-rational function r of period a with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} such that for $p \gg 0$, $r(p) = |X(\mathbb{F}_p)|$.*

Proof. This theorem is an immediate consequence of the Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem along with the observation that the traces of permutation representations are always integral (equalling the number of fixed points). \square

We use the explicit compactification of the configuration space $F(\mathbb{P}^1, m) \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ defined in [15] with the following properties. For each subset $S \subset [m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $\text{Bl}_{\Delta^S}((\mathbb{P}^1)^S)$ be the blow up of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^S$ along its small diagonal.

Theorem 4.15. *The scheme $\mathbb{P}^1[m] \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is smooth and can be defined as the closure of the configuration space*

$$F(\mathbb{P}^1, m) \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^m \times \prod_{|S| \geq 2} \text{Bl}_{\Delta^S}((\mathbb{P}^1)^S)$$

For every $S \subset [m]$ of size at least 2, there is a corresponding divisor $D(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^1[m]$ (relative to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$) such that

1. *The union of these divisors is precisely $\mathbb{P}^1[m] \setminus F(\mathbb{P}^1, m)$.*
2. *Any set of these divisors meets transversely. An intersection of divisors $D(S_1) \cap \dots \cap D(S_r)$ is nonempty exactly when the sets S_i are nested in the sense that each pair S_i, S_j are either distinct or one is contained in the other.*
3. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_r\}$ be a nested collection of subsets as above. Then the intersection $\mathbb{P}^1[\mathcal{S}] = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}} D(S)$ has a cellular stratification and is smooth and of strict Tate type.*

Proof. Parts 1 and 2 follow from [15, Theorem 3]. For part 3, the conclusion of being strict Tate type follows from [15, Corollary 7a, 7b]. The cellular stratification is described in Section 2 of loc. cit. \square

By Theorems 3.9 and 3.10, $\rho_{f, \tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a linear combination of the integrals $\eta_{\tau'}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for $\tau' \leq \tau$ (with coefficients the $\eta_k(m; p^r)$ of Definition 2.10 where f is the map

$$\mathbb{P}^1[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n.$$

The ramification divisors for this map correspond precisely to the $D(S)$ defined above.

By the proof of Theorem 3.8, the quasi-rationality of $\rho_{f, \tau}$ then follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.16. *We maintain the definitions before Theorem 3.8. Let τ be an admissible pair for S_n such that H_τ is cyclic. Over $\mathbb{Z}[1/|H_\tau|]$, there exists a g_τ equivariant resolution*

$$\pi_\tau : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^1[n]}_{H_\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1[n]/H_\tau$$

such that the divisor intersections $\pi_\tau^{-1}(f_{H_\tau}(\mathbb{P}^1[\mathcal{S}]))$ appearing in Theorem 3.8 are of Tate type of period $|H_\tau|$ for p co-prime to $|H_\tau|$.

Proof. It is known in general that if G is a group acting on a variety X such that the quotient X/G exists as an algebraic variety, then $H_{c,\acute{e}t}^i(\overline{X}/G, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is precisely the G -invariants of $H_{c,\acute{e}t}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. Therefore, the compactly supported cohomology of $f_{H_\tau}(D(\mathcal{S}))$ is of Tate type. In order to prove that the resolution $\pi_\tau^{-1}(f_{H_\tau}(D(\mathcal{S})))$ is of Tate-type, we will prove that the singularity can be resolved by blowing up the fixed point locus using toric methods which results in a Tate-type exceptional fiber over each singular strata. Moreover, we will construct a cellular stratification on this resolution with these exceptional fibers as one of the strata which suffices to complete the proof by Remark 4.13.

The singularities of the quotient map are contained in the locus of points where some element of H_τ acts trivially. Since H_τ is cyclic, these correspond to the fixed points of an element $h \in H_\tau \subset S_n$. Let $h = h_1 \dots h_r$ be a cycle-type decomposition where the h_i cyclically permute disjoint subsets π_i of $[n]$. Let x be such a fixed point in a strata $\mathbb{P}^1[\mathcal{S}]$. Each $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ must be a union of some of the parts π_j induced by h since h must preserve the corresponding divisor $D(S_i)$ and hence also the diagonal Δ^{S_i} .

Let us therefore fix a subset $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ which is a union of some of the parts π_j . The action of h on the exceptional divisor $D(S)$ is then induced by the action of h on the normal bundle of $\Delta^S \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. The normal bundle at a point of Δ^S can be identified with the free module $(\mathbb{Z}[1/|H_\tau|])^S/\mathcal{D}$ where \mathcal{D} is the diagonal where all co-ordinates are equal. The fixed points correspond to the eigenvalues of the action of h on this vector space (through permuting the co-ordinates) and these fixed points all lie in the codimension-one open strata where the co-ordinates are all distinct.

Therefore, the fixed point locus is completely contained in the codimension one open strata corresponding to the divisor $D(S)$. Locally, this singularity is a cyclic quotient singularity and therefore can be resolved by toric methods by blowing up at the singular locus. This blow up is g_τ equivariant (since we have made no choices!) and the resolution so obtained is a geometric sned.

The complement of these exceptional divisors have a cellular stratification by [Theorem 4.15, (3)] and the fact that the blow up locus itself is of Tate-type: it is isomorphic to a geometrically reducible variety that is isomorphic to multiple copies of Δ^S over the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{|H_\tau|}]$. The corresponding action of the Frobenius on the compactly supported cohomology is by a permutation representation, depending on the splitting behaviour of p in the above cyclotomic extension. Therefore, this is constant on arithmetic progressions with period $|H_\tau|$ as required.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.7. The above lemma along with Lemma 4.14 shows the existence of a quasi-rational function r_τ such that $\rho_{f,\tau}(K) = r_\tau(q)$ for any local field K with residue field \mathbb{F}_q . As we vary $q = p^r$ keeping fixed the prime p , Theorem 3.10 proves that $r_\tau(q^{-1}) = q^{-n}r_\tau(q)$. Since this is true for infinitely many q , this completes the proof of Theorem 4.7.

□

Remark 4.17. In order to show that splitting type densities are rational functions, we need a slightly finer analysis of the twists of the above resolution. Briefly, the idea is that once we fix a splitting type σ , the admissible pairs τ such that $s(\tau) = \sigma$ can be grouped together in pairs with fixed $H = H_\tau$ and varying g_τ such that the sum of the quasi-rational functions $\rho_{f,\tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ in such a group turns out to be rational. This happens because we sum over a "complete collection" of twists of the resolution ${}^\tau\mathbb{P}^1[n]$ and the splitting behaviour of p is then immaterial.

Since this finer analysis does not result in a finer theorem than in [30], we do not carry out this analysis and defer to loc. cit for the rationality of $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.10. By [30], the densities $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$ are rational functions in p for p co-prime to all the ramification indices e_i . It remains to prove the functional equation

$$\rho(n, \sigma; p^{-1}) = \rho(n, \sigma; p).$$

Note if K/\mathbb{Q}_p is a finite extension such that p ramified tamely, then p ramifies tamely also in the Galois closure of K/\mathbb{Q}_p . Therefore, for any splitting type σ with ramification indices e_1, \dots, e_t and any admissible pair τ such that $s(\tau) = \sigma$, the inertia group H_τ has an order whose prime factorization contains precisely those primes found in some e_i .

If $p \nmid e_i$ for all i , then $p \nmid |H_\tau|$ for τ as above. Therefore, the splitting fields of polynomials with splitting type σ over \mathbb{Q}_p with p as above are tame and thus the corresponding H_τ are cyclic. We have thus shown that

$$\rho(n, \sigma; p) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{\substack{\tau: s(\tau) = \sigma \\ H_\tau \text{ is cyclic}}} \rho_{f, \tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

as long as $p \nmid e_i$ for all i . By Theorem 4.7, we have $r_\tau(p) = \rho_{f, \tau}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for some quasi-rational functions $r_\tau(t)$ satisfying

$$r_\tau(t^{-1}) = t^{-n} r_\tau(t).$$

Since $|\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ satisfies the same function equation, this proves the invariance of $\rho(n, \sigma; p)$ under the transformation $p \rightarrow p^{-1}$. \square

References

- [1] Dan Abramovich and Jianhua Wang. “Equivariant resolution of singularities in characteristic 0”. In: *arXiv preprint alg-geom/9609013* (1996).
- [2] Victor V Batyrev. “Birational Calabi–Yau n-folds have equal Betti numbers”. In: *arXiv preprint alg-geom/9710020* (1997).
- [3] Manjul Bhargava. “Mass formulae for extensions of local fields, and conjectures on the density of number field discriminants”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2007.9 (2007), rnm052–rnm052.
- [4] Edward Bierstone. “Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 128.2 (1997), pp. 207–302.
- [5] Franziska Bittner. “The universal Euler characteristic for varieties of characteristic zero”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 140.4 (2004), pp. 1011–1032.
- [6] André Bloch and György Pólya. “On the roots of certain algebraic equations”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 2.1 (1932), pp. 102–114.
- [7] Joe Buhler et al. “The probability that a random monic p-adic polynomial splits”. In: *Experimental Mathematics* 15.1 (2006), pp. 21–32.

- [8] Xavier Caruso. “Where are the zeroes of a random p-adic polynomial?” In: *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*. Vol. 10. Cambridge University Press. 2022.
- [9] Amir Dembo et al. “Random polynomials having few or no real zeros”. In: *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 15.4 (2002), pp. 857–892.
- [10] Jan Denef and François Loeser. “Caractéristiques d’Euler-Poincaré, fonctions zêta locales et modifications analytiques”. In: *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* (1992), pp. 705–720.
- [11] Jan Denef and François Loeser. “Definable sets, motives and p-adic integrals”. In: *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 14.2 (2000), pp. 429–469. DOI: 10.1090/s0894-0347-00-00360-x.
- [12] Jan Denef and Diane Meuser. “A functional equation of Igusa’s local zeta function”. In: *American Journal of Mathematics* 113.6 (1991), pp. 1135–1152.
- [13] Santiago Encinas and Orlando Villamayor. “Good points and constructive resolution of singularities”. In: *Acta mathematica* 181.1 (1998), pp. 109–158.
- [14] Steven Evans. “The expected number of zeros of a random system of p-adic polynomials”. In: *Electronic Communications in Probability* 11 (2006), pp. 278–290.
- [15] William Fulton and Robert MacPherson. “A compactification of configuration spaces”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* (1994), pp. 183–225.
- [16] Stevan Gajovic et al. “The density of polynomials of degree n over \mathbb{Z}_p having exactly r roots in \mathbb{Q}_p ”. In: *ArXiv* (2021).
- [17] Michael Groechenig, Dimitri Wyss, and Paul Ziegler. “Geometric stabilisation via p-adic integration”. In: *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 33.3 (2020), pp. 807–873.
- [18] Michael Groechenig, Dimitri Wyss, and Paul Ziegler. “Mirror symmetry for moduli spaces of Higgs bundles via p-adic integration”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 221.2 (2020), pp. 505–596.
- [19] Tamás Hausel and Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas. “Mixed Hodge polynomials of character varieties”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 174.3 (2008), pp. 555–624.
- [20] Jun-ichi Igusa. “An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions, volume 14 of AMS”. In: *IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI* 6 (2000).
- [21] Mark Kac. “On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 49.4 (1943), pp. 314–320.
- [22] Avinash Kulkarni and Antonio Lerario. “p-adic Integral Geometry”. In: *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry* 5.1 (2021), pp. 28–59.
- [23] François Loeser. “Seattle lectures on motivic integration”. In: *Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005* 80 (2009), pp. 745–784.
- [24] Oanh Nguyen and Van Vu. “Random polynomials: central limit theorems for the real roots”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 170.17 (2021), pp. 3745–3813.
- [25] Bjorn Poonen. *Rational points on varieties*. Vol. 186. American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
- [26] Miles Reid. “La correspondance de McKay”. In: *Asterisque-Societe Mathematique de France* 276 (2002), pp. 53–72.
- [27] Jean-Pierre Serre. “Une formule de masse pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de degrés divisibles d’un corps local.” In: *CR Acad. Sc. Paris A* 286 (1978), pp. 1031–1036.

- [28] Roy Shmueli. *The probability that a p -adic random étale algebra is an unramified field*. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2211.12995. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.12995>.
- [29] Takehiko Yasuda. “The wild McKay correspondence and p -adic measures”. In: *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* 19.12 (2017), pp. 3709–3743.
- [30] John Yin. *Density of p -adic polynomials generating extensions with fixed splitting type*. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2211.10425. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.10425>.