

AROUND A QUESTION OF BAKER

NEELAM KANDHIL AND PURUSOTTAM RATH

ABSTRACT. For any positive integer q , it is a question of Baker whether the numbers $L(1, \chi)$, where χ runs over the non-trivial characters mod q , are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . The question is answered in affirmative for primes, but is unknown for an arbitrary modulus. In this expository note, we give an overview of the origin and history of this question as well as the state-of-the-art. We also give an account of the mathematical ideas that enter into this theme as well as elucidate the obstructions that preclude us from answering the question for arbitrary modulus. We also describe a number of generalisations and extensions of this question.

1. INTRODUCTION

The central characters of this article are the Dirichlet characters. These are one dimensional Galois representations of Cyclotomic extensions. More concretely, for an integer $n > 1$, a Dirichlet character χ is simply a homomorphism from the group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of co-prime residue classes mod n to the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times . By assigning the value zero at the other classes mod n , we can extend χ to a function from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{C} which is completely multiplicative and periodic with period n .

Each such character χ gives rise to a Dirichlet series

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s},$$

where the series is absolutely convergent in the region $\Re(s) > 1$. Furthermore, since χ is completely multiplicative, one has the Euler product representation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

which is a consequence of prime factorisation of integers. When χ is a non-trivial character, we know that $L(s, \chi)$ extends to an entire function and we have

$$L(1, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11J72, 11J86, 11R18.

Key words and phrases. Dirichlet L functions, Linear Independence over Number fields.

and it is these complex numbers which are the centre of our focus. From now on, the Dirichlet characters are assumed to be non-trivial, unless stated otherwise.

A celebrated result of Dirichlet asserts that $L(1, \chi)$ is non-zero. This work of Dirichlet laid the foundation of Analytic Number theory. This also ushered in the application of Character theory into the realms of Number theory, a theme which has now spectacularly morphed into the enigmatic interplay between Harmonic Analysis and Arithmetic of Galois representations. Furthermore, these special values have deep arithmetic content. For instance, for any quadratic number field K , one has a quadratic Dirichlet character χ associated to K and $L(1, \chi)$ subsumes deep arithmetic data like the class number and Regulator of K .

Let us now come to the focal point of this note. Since the $L(1, \chi)$'s are non-zero, it is natural to ask about the algebraic nature of these complex numbers. While the non-vanishing was established in 1837, it took about 130 years more to settle the nature of these numbers. The seminal work of Baker in mid 1960's established that these numbers are transcendental.

For a positive integer q , each of the $\varphi(q) - 1$ non-trivial Dirichlet characters give rise to seemingly unrelated transcendental numbers. But these numbers do not quite live in complete isolation from each other. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$ be the q -th Cyclotomic field and $\zeta_K(s)$ be its Dedekind zeta function. The product of these $L(1, \chi)$ values gives the residue of $\zeta_K(s)$ at $s = 1$. So it is natural to wonder about any relation, linear or algebraic, existing between these mysterious numbers.

In 1973, Baker, Birch and Wirsing [2] in a beautiful work proved that for a prime p , the numbers $L(1, \chi)$ where χ runs over the non-trivial characters mod p , are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Baker, in his book *Transcendental number Theory* ([1], p.48), stated that it would be of interest to know if this is true for an arbitrary modulus q . This remains unanswered till now and is the *raison d'être* of our note.

We attempt to give a broad account of the history and the state-of-the-art of this question of Baker. We highlight the mathematical tools and techniques that enter into this circle of questions. We also describe a number of generalisations and extensions of this question, namely extensions to Number fields, to class group L-functions as well as specialisations at larger integers. There are some essential ingredients common to each of the above themes, viz, Galois action on linear forms of certain periods, Transcendence theory of linear forms in logarithms, non-vanishing of L-values from Arithmetic and finally Dedekind-Frobenius determinants from Linear algebra. We shall try to illustrate the commonality in the above circle of questions as well as the issues intrinsic to each of these separate themes.

Let us end this section by noting that the complex numbers $L(1, \chi)$'s are examples of *Periods*. A period as defined by Kontsevich and Zagier [13] is a complex number whose real and imaginary parts are values of absolutely convergent integrals of rational functions with rational coefficients over domains in \mathbb{R}^n given by polynomial inequalities with rational coefficients.

Clearly, all algebraic numbers are periods. An important class of periods is supplied by the special values of the Riemann zeta function. For example, we have for $k \geq 2$

$$\zeta(k) = \int_{1 > t_1 > \dots > t_k > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \dots \frac{dt_{k-1}}{t_{k-1}} \frac{dt_k}{1-t_k},$$

as is easily verified by direct integration. Also π is a period, it is expected that e is not a period.

The set of periods is countable and a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -algebra of infinite dimension. Since logarithms of algebraic numbers are periods, we shall see that each $L(1, \chi)$ is indeed a period. We recommend the original delightful article of Kontsevich and Zagier [13] as well as the account of Waldschmidt [29] for further details. We also heartily recommend the comprehensive book by Huber and Müller-Stach [12].

2. A QUESTION OF CHOWLA AND THE REMARKABLE RESULT OF BAKER, BIRCH AND WIRSING

In a lecture at the Stony Brook conference on number theory in 1969, Sarvadaman Chowla posed the question whether there exists a non-zero rational-valued arithmetic function f , periodic with prime period p such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = 0$.

In 1973, Baker, Birch and Wirsing [2] answered this question in the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *If f is a non-zero function defined on the integers with algebraic values and period q such that $f(n) = 0$ whenever $1 < (n, q) < q$ and the q -th cyclotomic polynomial is irreducible over the field $F = \mathbb{Q}(f(1), \dots, f(q))$, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} \neq 0.$$

In particular, if f is rational valued, the second condition holds trivially. If q is prime, then the first condition is vacuous. Thus, the theorem resolves Chowla's question.

The above theorem of Baker, Birch and Wirsing is remarkable as it brought out a new aspect of Transcendence theory hitherto undiscovered. More often than not, non-vanishing is a major obstacle in Transcendence and typically non-vanishing follows from Arithmetic considerations. For instance, transcendence of $L(1, \chi)$ follows only when its non-vanishing is ensured. But the ideas in the proof of Baker-Birch-Wirsing indicated that the tables can be turned and transcendence can ensure non-vanishing. This perspective has been exploited quite fruitfully in recent times. For instance, Kumar Murty and Ram Murty [22] have used Transcendence theory to prove the non-vanishing of $L(1, \chi)$ ($\chi \neq 1$) for even characters, an illustrative instance of Transcendence theory returning the favours to Arithmetic. Recall that a character χ is even or odd according as $\chi(-1)$ is 1 or -1 .

Let us now highlight the main ingredients in the proof of Baker-Birch-Wirsing Theorem.

1. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n}$ converges if and only if $\sum_{a=1}^q f(a) = 0$. Once this is ensured, one derives that

$$L(1, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n} = \frac{-1}{q} \sum_{(a,q)=1} f(a)(\psi(a/q) + \gamma).$$

Here ψ is the digamma function which shows up since it is the constant term of the Hurwitz zeta function around $s = 1$. We will need to come back to the Hurwitz zeta function in the last section. But the digamma function regrettably is a rather difficult function to handle, for instance, $-\psi(1)$ is the enigmatic Euler's constant γ .

2. Since f is periodic, we can Fourier analyse and go to the dual set up. Let

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m=1}^q f(m) e^{-2\pi i m n / q}$$

be the Fourier transform of f . By inverse Fourier transform and some functional manipulation, one is led to the following new identity

$$L(1, f) = - \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \hat{f}(a) \log(1 - \zeta_q^a).$$

While we no longer have the digamma function, the caveat now is the added complexity of the sequence of Fourier coefficients $\{\hat{f}(n)\}$ as they need not lie in the field generated by the original sequence $\{f(n)\}$. But the redeeming feature is that the digamma function is replaced by logarithms of algebraic numbers, setting the tone for the entry of Baker's seminal theory. Without further ado, let us state Baker's theorem (see [1], for instance) which is a pivotal ingredient in our context.

Theorem 2. (Baker) *If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are non-zero algebraic numbers such that $\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_m$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , then $1, \log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_m$ are linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

3. Baker's theorem allows one to show that vanishing of $L(1, f)$ ensures the vanishing of the "conjugate" L-values $L(1, f^\sigma)$ for σ in the Galois group G of the extension $F(\zeta_q)/F$. Let us be more concrete. We note that G is isomorphic to the group $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$. For $(h, q) = 1$, let $\sigma_h \in G$ be such that $\sigma_h(\zeta_q) = \zeta_q^h$. Define $f^{\sigma_h} = f_h(n) := f(nh^{-1})$ for $(h, q) = 1$. Then, Baker, Birch and Wirsing beautifully exploited Baker's theorem to show that the vanishing of $L(1, f)$ results in

$$L(1, f_h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_h(n)}{n} = 0$$

for all $(h, q) = 1$.

4. We then reverse the Fourier process and come back to the original set up

$$L(1, f_h) = \frac{-1}{q} \sum_{(a,q)=1} f_h(a)(\psi(a/q) + \gamma) = 0$$

leading to the following system of identities (for each h co-prime to q)

$$\sum_{(a,q)=1} f(a)(\psi(ah/q) + \gamma) = 0.$$

5. We now notice that the matrix $A := (\psi(ah/q) + \gamma)_{(ah,q)=1}$ associated to the system of identities obtained in the previous step is a Dedekind-Frobenius matrix on the group $H = (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and its determinant (up to a sign) is given by

$$\prod_{\chi \in \hat{H}} \left(\sum_{h \in H} \chi(h)(\psi(h/q) + \gamma) \right).$$

If we show that the matrix A is invertible, then f vanishes everywhere and we are done.

6. This is where "Arithmetic" enters the picture. The non-vanishing of determinant of A is ensured by the non-vanishing of $L(1, \chi)$ for non-trivial χ while the monotonicity of ψ takes care of the trivial character. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We mention in passing that in [10], a generalization of the above theorem has been derived.

Remark 2.1. *In 1966, Lang [16] proved a multi-dimensional generalisation of the classical theorem of Schneider, motivated by a question of Cartier about the analogue of transcendence of e^α , $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ for arbitrary group varieties. Lang did answer this question in affirmative. In retrospect, as evinced by a later work of Bertrand and Masser, we see that Baker's Theorem in the form stated above could have been proved by Lang in 1966 building on the Galois conjugate idea in Baker-Birch-Wirsing Theorem and his generalisation of Schneider's theorem. But perhaps it is fortuitous that Lang did not prove this theorem. It is because the approach of Baker is different who not only proved the above theorem, but also obtained lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers. These lower bounds are of seminal importance in the study of diophantine equations and form a subject of its own. For instance, these lower bounds allowed Baker to classify all imaginary quadratic fields with class number one, a venerable theme in Number theory set in motion by Gauss.*

As indicated above, Bertrand and Masser [3] gave a new proof of Baker's theorem by Galois action on linear forms. They also exploited these ideas to prove an elliptic analog of Baker's theorem. Let us state this result. For a Weierstrass \wp -function with algebraic invariants g_2 and g_3 and field of endomorphisms k , the set $\mathcal{L} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \wp(\alpha) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cup \{\infty\}\}$ is the two-dimensional analogue of logarithms of algebraic numbers. Bertrand and Masser proved that if $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{L}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , then $1, u_1, \dots, u_n$ are linearly independent over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

3. SETTLING FOR PRIME MODULUS AND OVER $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$

Let us begin by noting that the above theorem of Baker, Birch and Wirsing settles the question of Baker for prime modulus. It is because the values taken by the Dirichlet characters

mod p lie in the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{(p-1)})$ which is linearly disjoint with the p -th cyclotomic field. So all the hypotheses of Baker-Birch-Wirsing theorem are satisfied and hence for an odd prime p , the numbers $L(1, \chi)$ where χ runs over the non-trivial characters mod p , are indeed linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . In a recent work [9], the above result has been extended to any arbitrary family of moduli.

Let us now consider the possible $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear relations between these values of $L(1, \chi)$ as χ ranges over all non-trivial Dirichlet characters mod q with $q > 2$. It is remarkable that over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have a complete answer for all moduli q . This follows from a natural extension of the works of Ram and Kumar Murty [22]. Let us give a summary of their work. One of the crucial results in their work is the following:

Theorem 3. *For any integer $q > 2$, the numbers $L(1, \chi)$ as χ ranges over non-trivial even characters mod q are linearly independent over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Remark 3.1. *This in particular furnishes a new proof of non vanishing of $L(1, \chi)$ for even non-trivial characters by transcendental means. The possibility of such an approach could not have been envisaged, but for the work of Baker-Birch-Wirsing. Furthermore this result shows that the dimension of space generated by $L(1, \chi)$ for even characters remains the same over any number field. As we shall see a little later, this is a luxury which is not at all afforded to us for $L(k, \chi)$ with $k > 1$.*

Let us briefly indicate the main points in the proof of this result. The new ingredient in the proof of the above theorem is the properties of a set of real multiplicatively independent units in the cyclotomic field discovered by K. Ramachandra (see Theorem 8.3 on page 147 of [30] as well as [27]). These marvellous units allowed Ram and Kumar Murty to work with new expressions of $L(1, \chi)$ for even characters in terms the logarithms of positive real numbers. Thereafter, they appeal to Baker's theorem and its variants leading to a system of equations involving characters of finite groups. Finally, they prove a variant of Artin's theorem on linear independence of irreducible characters which establishes the desired linear independence over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let us state this elegant group theoretic result for the sake of completion. Let G be a finite group. Suppose that $\sum_{\chi \neq 1} \chi(g)u_\chi = 0$ for all $g \neq 1$ and all irreducible characters $\chi \neq 1$ of G . Then $u_\chi = 0$ for all $\chi \neq 1$.

So the space generated by the even characters is now well and truly done. Let us now consider the odd $L(1, \chi)$ values. We note that for any odd Dirichlet character χ , $L(1, \chi)$ is a non-zero algebraic multiple of π . This follows from the expressions we indicated in the previous section for $L(1, f)$ after applying Fourier transform of f . Therefore, the space generated by the odd $L(1, \chi)$ values is one dimensional over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

But do these subspaces intersect? Here we come to the following pretty application of Baker's theory which has been proved in [24] and has a large number of applications in various different set ups.

Lemma 4. *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be positive algebraic numbers. If c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n are algebraic numbers with $c_0 \neq 0$, then*

$$c_0\pi + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \log \alpha_j$$

is a transcendental number and hence non-zero.

The above leads to the following result since for an even character $\chi \neq 1$, the number $L(1, \chi)$ is a linear form in logarithms of positive real algebraic numbers.

Theorem 5. *The $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -space generated by the (non-trivial) even $L(1, \chi)$ values is linearly disjoint from the space generated by the odd $L(1, \chi)$ values.*

Consequently, one has the following satisfying result.

Theorem 6. *For any integer $q > 2$, the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -vector space generated by the values $L(1, \chi)$ as χ ranges over the non-trivial Dirichlet characters (mod q) has dimension $\varphi(q)/2$.*

Let us end this section with specifying what exactly is the issue which hinders us from settling Baker's question for an arbitrary modulus. As we noted in the proof of Baker-Birch-Wirsing theorem, the central part of the proof was to show that the vanishing of $L(1, f)$ ensues the vanishing of the Galois conjugates $L(1, f^\sigma)$. A careful look in the proof of this part reveals that it was important that the Galois elements σ kept the coefficients $\{f(n)\}$ unchanged. Let us give some more indication why and when this comes up. In the course of the proof, Baker's theory eventually leads to a family of expressions (indexed by b) of the form

$$\sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \widehat{f}(a) r_{ab} = 0,$$

where the numbers r_{ab} lie in the field of definition F of f . Then for any automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_q)/F)$, we need to act σ on each of this identities. The condition of irreducibility of the q -th cyclotomic polynomial over F ensures that

$$\sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \sigma(\widehat{f}(a)) r_{ab} = 0,$$

which then leads to

$$\sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \sigma(\widehat{f}(a)) \log(1 - \zeta_q^a) = 0$$

which is what we desire. In general, if the automorphisms do act nontrivially on the sequence $\{f(n)\}$, the issue becomes involved, some instances of which we shall see in the next section.

On the other hand, the space generated by the even $L(1, \chi)$ values present no problem, thanks to the result of Ram and Kumar Murty described in this section. So it is only the linear independence of odd $L(1, \chi)$ values which remain unresolved for an arbitrary modulus. But

since these are algebraic multiples of π , perhaps transcendence theory has no more role to play. This parity conundrum will show up again a bit later when we work with $L(k, \chi)$ with $k > 1$.

4. EXTENSION TO NUMBER FIELDS

In this section, we consider the extension of Baker's question to arbitrary number fields. Since the question is open for arbitrary modulus over \mathbb{Q} , it is prudent to consider the number field extension only for prime modulus for now. This constitutes the ethos of a recent work [4].

Let K be a number field and p be an odd prime. Let us consider the K -vector space in \mathbb{C} generated by the $L(1, \chi)$ values for non-trivial characters χ modulo p . Let $d(K, p)$ denote its dimension. In view of the discussions in the previous section, we have the following bounds:

$$\frac{p-1}{2} \leq d(K, p) \leq p-2.$$

When $K = \mathbb{Q}$, the upper bound is attained. On the other hand, when $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p, \zeta_{p-1})$, the lower bound is attained. Therefore, one can ask the following question: Which numbers in the interval

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, p-2 \right)$$

can be equal to $d(K, p)$ as K runs over all number fields? This is not known. From now onwards all primes are at least 7.

The next question is to ask whether for any prime $p > 5$, there is a number field such that

$$\frac{p-1}{2} < d(K, p) < p-2.$$

This question is answered in the affirmative in [4]. The initial strategy in [4] is to look for primes of specific type which may be more amenable to work with. The family of primes which seem more tractable in this context are the Sophie Germain Primes. A prime p is called a *Sophie Germain prime* if $2p + 1$ is also a prime. It is a folklore conjecture that there are infinitely many Sophie Germain primes. Following theorem is proved in [4].

Theorem 7. *Let $p > 5$ be an odd prime. Then there exists a number field K such that*

$$\frac{p-1}{2} < d(K, p) < p-2.$$

This theorem is proved in two steps. In the first step, one considers primes that are not Sophie Germain where one investigates arithmetic of number fields K with $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1}) \subset K \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1}, \zeta_p)$. In the second step, one proves the result for Sophie Germain primes by working with fields K such that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \subset K \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1}, \zeta_p)$.

Consequently for any $p > 7$, at least one number in the interval

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, p-2 \right)$$

is realised as the dimension of space of $L(1, \chi)$ values over some number field. Let $b(p)$ count the numbers in the above interval that can be realised as this. More precisely, for a prime $p > 5$, let

$$b(p) := \left| \left\{ n \mid \frac{p-1}{2} < n < p-2 \text{ and } d(K, p) = n \text{ for some number field } K \right\} \right|.$$

The above theorem implies that $b(p) > 0$ for every prime $p > 5$ and hence we have,

$$1 \leq b(p) \leq \frac{p-3}{2}.$$

Then in [4], the following is proved.

Theorem 8. *The sequence $\{b(p)\}$ satisfies*

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} b(p) = \infty.$$

Thus the sequence $\{b(p)\}$ is unbounded. One can ask about its growth. In [4], the following Omega result is established.

Theorem 9. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$b(p) > \exp\left(\frac{c \log p}{\log \log p}\right)$$

for infinitely many primes p .

For the proof of these results, one has to work with families of number fields for which the methods and approaches of the earlier sections no longer work and therefore we shall not dwell further. We shall just indicate one of the ingredients, a folklore result of Linnik ([18], [19]) which constitutes a celebrated theme in Analytic number theory with far-reaching implications.

Theorem 10. *Let a, n be two positive integers with $(a, n) = 1, n \geq 2$. Let $p(a, n)$ denote the least prime p such that $p \equiv a \pmod{n}$. There exists absolute positive constants C and L such that*

$$p(a, n) < Cn^L.$$

The constant L is known as "Linnik's constant". It is conjectured that $p(a, n) < n^2$. The best known value for L is due to Xylouris [31] who proves that L can be taken to be 5.18. On the other hand, Lamzouri, Li and Soundararajan [14] have shown, under Generalised Riemann Hypothesis, that $p(a, n) \leq \varphi(n)^2 \log^2 n$ for all $n > 3$.

5. ANALOGOUS QUESTION FOR CLASS GROUP L-FUNCTIONS

In this section, we consider the analog of the question of Baker for class group L -functions. This has been carried out by Ram and Kumar Murty [21].

Let K be a number field. Let \mathcal{O}_K be its ring of integers and \mathcal{H}_K be its ideal class group. It is this finite group on which our functions will act.

Let f be a complex-valued function of the ideal class group \mathcal{H}_K of K . For such an f , we consider the Dirichlet series for $\Re(s) > 1$

$$L(s, f) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{f(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})^s},$$

where the summation is over non-zero ideals \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{O}_K . If $f \equiv 1$, $L(s, f)$ is simply the Dedekind zeta function of K . We hope to study the numbers $L(1, f)$ as and when they exist. A necessary and sufficient condition of existence is given by the following (see [23] as well as [15]):

Theorem 11. $L(s, f)$ extends analytically for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ except possibly at $s = 1$ where it may have a simple pole with residue a non-zero multiple of

$$\rho_f := \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{H}_K} f(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Consequently, the series $\sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{f(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})}$ converges and equal to $L(1, f)$ if and only if $\rho_f = 0$.

We want to investigate the values $L(1, f)$ when K is imaginary quadratic and when f takes algebraic values, in particular the values $L(1, \chi)$ when χ runs over ideal class characters. We note that complex conjugation acts on the group of ideal class characters and $L(1, \chi) = L(1, \bar{\chi})$ for any ideal class character χ . Let \mathcal{H}_K^* denote a set of orbit representatives under this action. Here is a pretty result proved in [21].

Theorem 12. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and \mathcal{H}_K its ideal class group. The values $L(1, \chi)$ as χ ranges over the non-trivial characters of \mathcal{H}_K^* and π are linearly independent over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

We add that unlike the case of Dirichler characters, the above does not prove the transcendence of $L(1, \chi)$. However it does prove that at most one of the values $L(1, \chi)$, as χ ranges over the non-trivial characters of \mathcal{H}_K^* , can be algebraic.

Recall that Baker's question for primes is a consequence of the Baker-Birch-Wirsing Theorem. Here is the analogue of Baker-Birch-Wirsing of which the above theorem is an immediate consequence.

Theorem 13. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and $f : \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be not identically zero. Suppose that $\rho_f = 0$. Then, $L(1, f) \neq 0$ unless $f(\mathfrak{C}) + f(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}) = 0$ for every ideal class $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{H}_K$.

So we need to prove the above theorem. Let us indicate the salient features in the proof the above, indicating the commonality with that of Baker-Birch-Wirsing as well as the new ingredients intrinsic to this set up. One needs to use Kronecker's limit formula as discussed in the works of Siegel [28], Ramachandra [26] and Lang [17]. We shall need Baker's theorem from Transcendence theory as well as Chebotarev density theorem from Algebraic number theory. Finally, we shall need a few results from Theory of Complex Multiplication. However, we

can only take a cursory glance into this delightful realm and shall enthusiastically direct the interested reader to the original work [21] (and to [7] and [17]).

We begin with the celebrated discriminant functions $\Delta(z)$:

$$\Delta(z) = (2\pi)^{12} q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = (2\pi)^{12} \eta(z)^{24}, \quad q = e^{2\pi iz}$$

where η^{24} is the ubiquitous Ramanujan cusp form. As before, let K be an imaginary quadratic field and \mathfrak{b} be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_K . If $[\beta_1, \beta_2]$ is an integral basis of \mathfrak{b} with $\Im(\beta_2/\beta_1) > 0$, we define

$$g(\mathfrak{b}) = (2\pi)^{-12} (\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{b}))^6 |\Delta(\beta_1, \beta_2)|,$$

where

$$\Delta(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \omega_1^{-12} \Delta\left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}\right).$$

One can verify that $g(\mathfrak{b})$ is well-defined and furthermore depends only on the ideal class $[\mathfrak{b}]$ belonging to in the ideal class group (see [26] and [17]). Let us now describe the main steps in the proof of the above theorem.

1. In the first step, we need to get an expression for $L(1, f)$. For this we need Kronecker's limit formula. For an ideal class \mathfrak{C} , by Kronecker's limit formula we have

$$\zeta(s, \mathfrak{C}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})^s} = \frac{2\pi}{w\sqrt{|d_K|}} \left(\frac{1}{s-1} + 2\gamma - \log |d_K| - \frac{1}{6} \log |g(\mathfrak{C}^{-1})| \right) + O(s-1),$$

as $s \rightarrow 1^+$. Here d_K is the discriminant of K and w is the number of roots of unity in \mathcal{O}_K

2. In the above expression apart from γ , one has the mysterious number $|g(\mathfrak{C}^{-1})|$. But by CM theory, we know that if \mathfrak{C}_1 and \mathfrak{C}_2 are ideal classes, then $g(\mathfrak{C}_1)/g(\mathfrak{C}_2)$ is an algebraic number lying in the Hilbert class field of K .

3. Kronecker's limit formula gives rise to the following expression for $L(1, f)$

$$\frac{L(1, f)}{\pi} = \frac{-1}{3w\sqrt{|d_K|}} \sum_{\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{H}_K} f(\mathfrak{C}) \log |g(\mathfrak{C}^{-1})|.$$

Since $g(\mathfrak{C}^{-1})/g(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ is algebraic for the identity class \mathfrak{C}_0 and $\rho_f = 0$, we rewrite the above as

$$\frac{L(1, f)}{\pi} = \frac{-1}{3w\sqrt{|d_K|}} \sum_{\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{H}_K} f(\mathfrak{C}) \log |g(\mathfrak{C}^{-1})/g(\mathfrak{C}_0)|,$$

and hence $L(1, f)/\pi$ is a linear form in logarithms of algebraic numbers.

4. Now we come to the Galois conjugation step. In particular, one shows that $L(1, f) = 0$ implies that $L(1, f^\sigma) = 0$ for any Galois automorphism σ of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. This is using Baker's theory similar to the approach adopted in the Baker-Birch-Wirsing Theorem.

5. In the next step, one uses the above lemma to reduce it to the case when f is actually rational valued. More precisely, one proves the following: Let M be the number field of degree r

generated by the values of f . Then for any basis β_1, \dots, β_r of M over \mathbb{Q} and $f(\mathfrak{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(\mathfrak{C})\beta_i$ with $f_i(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have $L(1, f) = 0$ if and only if $L(1, f_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that our function f is actually rational valued.

6. In the penultimate step, it is shown that if f is a rational-valued function and $L(1, f) = 0$, then $f(\mathfrak{C}) + f(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}) = 0$ for every ideal class \mathfrak{C} . For this one needs to appeal to the Chebotarev density theorem.

7. Finally as before, one views these equations as a matrix equation $DV = 0$ where V is the transpose of the row vector $(f(\mathfrak{C}) + f(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}))_{\mathfrak{C} \in H_K}$ and D is the ‘‘Dedekind-Frobenius’’ matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is given by $\log g(\mathfrak{C}_i^{-1}\mathfrak{C}_j)/g(\mathfrak{C}_i^{-1})$ with $\mathfrak{C}_i, \mathfrak{C}_j$ running over the elements of the ideal class group. The non-vanishing of this determinant is a consequence of non-vanishing of each $L(1, \chi)$, $\chi \neq 1$ and consequently $f(\mathfrak{C}) + f(\mathfrak{C}^{-1}) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{C} \neq \mathfrak{C}_0$. However since the sum $\sum f(\mathfrak{C}) = 0$, we have $f(\mathfrak{C}_0) = 0$ as well, completing the proof of Theorem 13.

6. LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF $L(k, \chi)$ VALUES WITH $k > 1$

In this final section, let us consider the analogous question for $L(k, \chi)$, when $k > 1$. Here we can also include the principal character in our list.

As we saw earlier, the parity of χ plays a crucial role in the context of $L(1, \chi)$, a phenomenon which continues for $k > 1$. For values in the domain of absolute convergence, it is worthwhile to introduce Hurwitz zeta values as these form a natural generating set for the study of special values of Dirichlet series associated to periodic arithmetic functions.

For a real number x with $0 < x \leq 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > 1$, the Hurwitz zeta function is defined by

$$\zeta(s, x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^s}.$$

This can be analytically extended to the entire complex plane except at $s = 1$ where it has a simple pole with residue one. Note that $\zeta(s, 1) = \zeta(s)$. For any Dirichlet character mod q , running over arithmetic progressions mod q , one immediately deduces that

$$L(k, \chi) = q^{-k} \sum_{a=1}^q \chi(a) \zeta(k, a/q).$$

For $q > 1$, let K_q be the $\varphi(q)$ -th cyclotomic field. Suppose that k and χ have the same parity, that is $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. Then the above identity yields that $L(k, \chi)$ in this case is a K_q -linear combination of elements of the following set

$$X := \{\lambda_a : 1 \leq a \leq q/2, (a, q) = 1\} \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_a := \zeta(k, a/q) + (-1)^k \zeta(k, 1 - a/q).$$

Now differentiating the series expansion of $\pi \cot \pi z$ for $z \notin \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$\lambda_a = \zeta(k, a/q) + (-1)^k \zeta(k, 1 - a/q) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{dz^{k-1}} (\pi \cot \pi z)|_{(z=a/q)}.$$

On the other hand for $z \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\frac{d^{k-1}}{dz^{k-1}} (\pi \cot \pi z) = \pi^k \sum_{\substack{r, s \geq 0 \\ r+2s=k}} \beta_{r,s}^{(k)} \cot^r \pi z (1 + \cot^2 \pi z)^s,$$

where $\beta_{r,s}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $i \cot \frac{\pi a}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$, we see that

$$\zeta(k, a/q) + (-1)^k \zeta(k, 1 - a/q) = (i\pi)^k \alpha_{a,q},$$

where $\alpha_{a,q} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$. Thus when k and χ have the same parity, we deduce that $L(k, \chi)$ is an algebraic multiple of π^k reminiscent of the fact that $L(1, \chi)$ is an algebraic multiple of π . This also generalises Euler's classical result that $\zeta(2n)$ is a rational multiple of π^{2n} .

The following theorem now allows us to settle the dimension of the *same-parity* space for prime modulus, namely that its dimension over \mathbb{Q} is $\frac{p-1}{2}$. Let $\cot^{(k-1)}(z_0)$ denote the $(k-1)$ -th derivative $\frac{d^{k-1}}{dz^{k-1}} (\cot z)|_{z=z_0}$.

Theorem 14. *Let $k > 1$ and $q > 2$ be positive integers and K be a number field such that $K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q) = \mathbb{Q}$. Then the set of real numbers*

$$\cot^{(k-1)}(\pi a/q), \quad 1 \leq a \leq q/2, (a, q) = 1$$

is linearly independent over K .

The above result does seem to be in the spirit of the Baker-Birch-Wirsing theorem and was proved by Okada [25]. But as noted by Girstmair [8], it is a much simpler result and we indicate his proof which is short and elegant. The first point to note is that the numbers

$$i^k \frac{d^{k-1}}{dz^{k-1}} (\cot z)|_{(z=\pi a/q)}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq q/2, (a, q) = 1$$

are Galois conjugates. Now consider any non-trivial \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of the form

$$\sum_a r_a \cot^{(k-1)}(\pi a/q) = \sum_a r_a \left((-1)^{(k-1)} (k-1)! \frac{q^k}{\pi^k} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} n^{-k} \right).$$

The Galois conjugacy observation allows us to assume that the first coefficient r_1 has the largest modulus. Thus modulus of the sum in the right hand side of the above identity is at least

$$\frac{(k-1)! q^k}{\pi^k} |r_1| \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-k} \right) > 0$$

and we are done. Note that when $k = 1$, the matter is indeed more delicate as it is linked to the non-vanishing of $L(1, \chi)$.

What about the case when k and χ have opposite parity? Recall for $s = 1$, the $L(1, \chi)$ values for even characters χ are linearly independent over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ for all moduli. However for larger integers, the situation is rather bleak with almost no information. Let us indicate the issue here. When $s = 1$, the Fourier transform approach allowed us to express $L(1, \chi)$ as a linear form in logarithms of algebraic numbers and thereafter Baker's theory took over. An analogous course of action for larger k leads us to linear forms in polylogarithms.

For an integer $k \geq 2$ and complex numbers $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \leq 1$, the polylogarithm function $Li_k(z)$ is defined as

$$Li_k(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^k}.$$

Then we can deduce the following:

$$L(k, \chi) = \sum_{a=1}^p \hat{\chi}(a) Li_k(\zeta_p^a).$$

However we do not have an analogue of Baker's theorem for polylogarithms and hence have no information when k and χ have different parity. We also do not know whether the space generated by the even and odd $L(k, \chi)$ values intersect trivially. Presumably, we need deeper results in transcendence to make any further progress. Finally, there is a conjecture of P. Chowla and S. Chowla [6] on non-vanishing of certain $L(k, f)$ which was later generalised by Milnor [20]. These are deep conjectures which are linked to the irrationality of numbers of the form $\zeta(2n+1)/\pi^{2n+1}$ as well as to a folklore conjecture of Zagier on linear independence of Multiple zeta values (see [11], [5] for more details, generalisations and partial results in this direction).

Acknowledgments. It is our pleasure to thank Sanoli Gun for helpful discussions. The second author is a recipient of the MATRICS grant (MTR/2018/000202) from SERB and is thankful to SERB for the support.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baker, *Transcendental number theory*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1975.
- [2] A. Baker, B.J. Birch and E. A. Wirsing, *On a problem of Chowla*, J. Number Theory, **5** (1973), 224–236.
- [3] D. Bertrand and D. Masser, *Linear forms in Elliptic Integrals*, Invent. Math, **58** (1980), 283–288.
- [4] A. Bharadwaj and P. Rath, *On a question of Alan Baker over number fields*, Mathematika, **66**, Issue 1, 103–111.
- [5] T. Chatterjee, S. Gun and P. Rath, *A number field extension of a question of Milnor*, Contemporary Math, **655**, Amer. Math. Soc. 2015, 15–26.
- [6] P. Chowla and S. Chowla, *On irrational numbers*, Skr. K. Nor. Vidensk. Selsk(Trondheim), **3**, (1982), 1–5 (See also S. Chowla, Collected Papers, **3**, 1383–1387, CRM, Montreal, 1999).
- [7] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$* , Willey.
- [8] K. Girstmair, *Letter to the editor*, J. Number Theory, **23**, 1986, p. 405.
- [9] S. Gun and N. Kandhil, *On an extension of a question of Baker*, preprint.

- [10] S. Gun, M. Ram Murty and P. Rath, *Linear independence of Hurwitz zeta values and a theorem of Baker-Birch-Wirsing over number fields*, Acta Arithmetica, **155**, (2012), no. 3, 297–309.
- [11] S. Gun, M. Ram Murty and P. Rath, *On a conjecture of Chowla and Milnor*, Canad. J. Math, **63**, (2011), no. 6, 1328–1344.
- [12] A. Huber and S. Müller-Stach, *Periods and Nori Motives*, Springer.
- [13] M. Kontsevich and D. Zagier, *Periods*, Mathematics Unlimited-2001 and Beyond, Springer, (2001), 771–808.
- [14] Y. Lamzouri, X. Li and K. Soundararajan, *Conditional bounds for the least quadratic non-residue and related problems*, Math. Comp, **84**, (2015), no. 295, 2391–2412.
- [15] S. Lang, *Algebraic number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **110**, Springer.
- [16] S. Lang, *Algebraic values of Meromorphic functions I*, Topology, **3**, (1965), 183–191.
- [17] S. Lang, *Elliptic functions*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **112**, Springer.
- [18] Ju. V. Linnik, *On the least prime in an arithmetic progression. I. The basic theorem*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S., **15**, (57), (1944), 139–178.
- [19] Ju. V. Linnik, *On the least prime in an arithmetic progression. II. The Deuring-Heilbronn phenomenon*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik], N.S., **15**, (57), (1944), 347–368.
- [20] J. Milnor, *On polylogarithms, Hurwitz zeta functions, and their Kubert identities*, Enseignement Math., (2), **29**, (1983), no. 3-4, 281–322.
- [21] M. Ram Murty and V. Kumar Murty, *Transcendental values of class group L-functions*, Math. Ann., **351**, (2011), no. 4, 835–855.
- [22] M. Ram Murty and V. Kumar Murty, *A problem of Chowla revisited*, J. Number Theory, **131**, (2011), no. 9, 1723–1733.
- [23] M. Ram Murty and P. Rath, *Transcendental numbers*, Springer.
- [24] M. Ram Murty and N. Saradha, *Euler-Lehmer constants and a conjecture of Erdős*, J. Number Theory, **130**, (2010), no. 12, 2671–2682.
- [25] T. Okada, *On an extension of a theorem of S. Chowla*, Acta Arith., **38**, (1980/81), 341–345.
- [26] K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker’s limit formulas*, Ann. of Math **80** (1964), no. 2, 104–148.
- [27] K. Ramachandra, *On the units of cyclotomic fields*, Acta Arith., **12**, (1966/67), 165–173.
- [28] C. L. Siegel, *Advanced analytic number theory*, Second edition, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, 9, 1980.
- [29] M. Waldschmidt, *Transcendence of periods: the state of the art*, Pure Appl. Math. Q., **2**, (2006), no. 2, 435–463.
- [30] L.C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [31] T. Xylouris, *On the least prime in an arithmetic progression and estimates for the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions*, Acta Arith., **150**, (2011), no. 1, 65–91.

NEELAM KANDHIL,

THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, A CI OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, CIT CAMPUS,
TARAMANI, CHENNAI 600 113, INDIA.

Email address: neelam@imsc.res.in

PURUSOTTAM RATH,

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM 603103, INDIA.

Email address: rath@cmi.ac.in