

# Additive structure of non-monogenic simplest cubic fields

Daniel Gil-Muñoz<sup>1</sup> and Magdaléna Tinková<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Algebra, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Information Technology, Czech Technical University in Prague, Thákurova 9, 160 00 Praha 6, Czech Republic

December 2, 2022

## Abstract

We consider non-monogenic simplest cubic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  in the family introduced by Shanks, and among these, we focus in the fields whose generalized module index  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]$  is a prime number  $p$ . We prove that these fields arise exactly for  $p = 3$  or  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and we use the method in [16] to find the additive indecomposables of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We determine the whole structure of indecomposables for the family with  $p = 3$  and obtain that the behaviour is not uniform with respect to the indecomposables of  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . From the knowledge of the indecomposables we derive some arithmetical information on  $K$ , namely: the smallest and largest norms of indecomposables, the Pythagoras number of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and bounds for the minimal rank of universal quadratic forms over  $K$ .

## 1 Introduction

The main motivation for the study of the additive structure of a totally real number field  $K$  comes from the topic of universal quadratic forms. A quadratic form with coefficients in the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is said to be universal (or universal over  $K$ ) if it represents all totally positive elements in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  (that is, those whose image by any embedding of  $K$  is a positive real number). Some classical problems in arithmetic may be formulated in terms of these objects; for instance the well known Lagrange's four-square theorem asserts that the quadratic form  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$  is universal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Although the sum of three squares  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  is not universal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , Maaß [24] proved in 1941 that it is universal over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ . Shortly after, in 1945, Siegel [31] proved that these are the only fields under which sums of squares can be universal. In his proof, indecomposables, which we study in this paper, appear under the name of *extremal elements*.

All the examples of universal quadratic forms above are totally positive definite, that is, they only represent totally positive integers. In this paper we will restrict exclusively to such quadratic forms. From a result by Hsia, Kitaoka and Kneser [10] it follows that for every totally real number field there is some totally positive definite quadratic form which is universal over  $K$ . Let  $m(K)$  be the minimal integer among all ranks of universal quadratic forms over  $K$ . The number  $m(K)$  turns out to be rather unpredictable. In fact, Kitaoka conjectured that  $m(K) = 3$  only for finitely many totally real number fields  $K$ . Although a general solution is unknown, there are some partial results [6, 8, 22, 18].

The connection of  $m(K)$  with additive indecomposables of  $K$  came from the works by Blomer and Kala [1, 14], which is based on the suitability of these elements to construct quadratic lattices of large rank. For a totally real quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , Perron [27], Dress and Scharlau [7] found a complete list of indecomposable integers in  $K$  in terms of the continued fraction expansion of  $\frac{-1+\sqrt{D}}{2}$  if  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and of  $\sqrt{D}$  otherwise. Blomer and Kala used this description to show that for every positive integer  $n$  there are infinitely many totally real quadratic fields  $K$  such that  $m(K) \geq n$ . In other words, there are infinitely many quadratic fields without universal quadratic forms of rank  $n$  arbitrarily large. In the following years an analogous result was proved for the families of multiquadratic and cubic fields [15, 36]. In fact, Kala [13] proved that it holds for each

degree  $d$  divisible by 2 or 3. On the other hand, Blomer and Kala [2] found concrete bounds for the number  $m_{\text{diag}}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))$ , the minimal rank of diagonal universal quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ .

This substantial progress on quadratic fields motivated the search of indecomposables in families of totally real number fields with higher degree. In this direction, Kala and the second author [16] proved that all indecomposables in a totally real number field  $K$  are, up to multiplication by totally positive units, lattice points in a finite disjoint union of simplicial cones in the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ . In the case that  $d = 3$ , this description reduces to two specific parallelepipeds, whose nodes depend on a proper pair of totally positive units (according to the terminology in [32]). These considerations led to a geometric method consisting in finding all lattice points within those two parallelepipeds and identifying the indecomposables among them.

A tool to identify the indecomposables among lattice points relies on their traces. The minimal trace of an algebraic integer  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  is the minimum among all numbers of the form  $\text{Tr}(\delta\alpha)$ , for totally positive elements  $\delta$  in the codifferent

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\vee = \{\delta \in K \mid \text{Tr}(\delta\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K\}$$

of  $K$ . Its importance in the search of indecomposables relies in the fact that every totally positive algebraic integer of minimal trace 1 is indecomposable. While in the case of quadratic fields the converse holds, in the case of monogenic simplest cubic fields there is an indecomposable with minimal trace 2. In fact, the second author [34, Theorem 1.1] proved that the minimal trace of indecomposables in totally real cubic orders can be arbitrarily large.

The focus in [16] was in the family of simplest cubic fields. Those are the totally real cubic fields generated by a polynomial of the form

$$f(x) = x^3 - ax^2 - (a+3)x - 1, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1},$$

meaning that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  for a root  $\rho$  of  $f$ . These fields were originally studied in detail by Shanks [30], and among their nice arithmetic properties, there is the one that  $\text{disc}(f) = \Delta^2$ , where  $\Delta = a^2 + 3a + 9$ . Moreover, for a positive density of  $a$ , this is just the discriminant of  $K$ , and those are the cases in which  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . In [16, Theorem 1.2] the complete list of the indecomposables in  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  is provided, which led to some bounds on the minimal rank of universal quadratic forms (see [16, Theorem 1.1]). Full lists of indecomposables of an analogous order of fields in some other families are given in [16, Section 8] and [34]. For some partial results on indecomposable integers in real biquadratic fields, see also [5, 22].

In this paper we shall consider the whole ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of the simplest cubic fields  $K$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_K \neq \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . In Section 3 we shall review the characterization of the monogeneity of  $K$  found by Kashio and Sekigawa [19], and some consequences that will be needed later on. We have that  $K$  is monogenic if and only if the generalized module index  $\delta := [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]$  is the cube of some integer number, unless  $K$  is defined by more than one parameter  $a$ . In Section 4 we will focus on the simplest cubic fields  $K$  with integral basis

$$B_p(k, l) := \left\{ 1, \rho, \frac{k + l\rho + \rho^2}{p} \right\},$$

for a prime number  $p$  and integers  $1 \leq k, l \leq p-1$ . Our main results concerning these can be summarized in:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  be a simplest cubic field and call  $\delta = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]$ .*

1.  $\delta = 3$  if and only if  $K$  has integral basis  $B_3(1, 1)$ . These simplest cubic fields arise exactly when  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$ ,  $a > 12$  and  $\frac{\Delta}{27}$  is square-free.
2.  $\delta = p$ ,  $p > 3$  prime, if and only if  $K$  has integral basis  $B_p(k, l)$  for some  $1 \leq k, l \leq p-1$ . These simplest cubic fields arise exactly when  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . In that case, the parameter  $a$  may take two possible values  $a_1, a_2$  modulo  $p^2$ , and when  $a \equiv a_i \pmod{p^2}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , there are unique integers  $k_i, l_i$  modulo  $p$  such that  $k \equiv k_i \pmod{p}$  and  $l \equiv l_i \pmod{p}$ .

In order to find the elements of  $K$  that may possibly be indecomposable, we will essentially follow the aforementioned method introduced in [16]. It turns out that they are contained in the same two parallelepipeds found by Kala and the second author for the case that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . While the first parallelepiped can be fully described without difficulty (see Proposition 4.10), the second

one is much trickier. In Section 5, we will focus in the case that  $p = 3$ , that is, the simplest cubic fields with integral basis

$$g_1 = 1, \quad g_2 = \rho, \quad g_3 = \frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}.$$

This family had already been considered in a different (but equivalent) form in [4, Theorem 3]. For these, we describe the lattice points in the second parallelepiped. The main result in this paper is a complete list of indecomposables for fields in this family, which we prove in Section 6.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field with  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$ ,  $a > 12$  and  $\frac{a}{27}$  square-free. Up to multiplication by totally positive units, the indecomposable integers in  $K$  are 1,*

- (i)  $g_3$ ,
- (ii)  $-g_1 - (r+1)g_2 + 3g_3 = -r\rho + \rho^2$  where  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ ,
- (iii)  $-(2v+1)g_1 - (v(a+3)+2)g_2 + 3(v+1)g_3 = -v - (v(a+2)+1)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2$  where  $\frac{2a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq a$ ,
- (iv)  $-(2v+1)g_1 - (v+1)(a+2)g_2 + 3(v+1)g_3 = -v - (v(a+2) + a - v + 1)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2$  where  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ ,
- (v)  $-(2v+1)g_1 - (v(a+3) + r+1)g_2 + (3v+2)g_3 = -v - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ ,
- (vi)  $-(r+1)g_2 + g_3 = 1 - r\rho + \rho^2 - 2g_3$  where  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ ,
- (vii)  $-(2v+2)g_1 - (v(a+3) + \frac{2a}{3} + 3)g_2 + (3v+4)g_3 = -v - (v(a+2) + \frac{2a}{3} + 1)\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ ,
- (viii)  $-(2v+2)g_1 - (v(a+3) + \frac{4a}{3} - v + 3)g_2 + (3v+4)g_3 = -v - (v(a+2) + \frac{4a}{3} - v + 1)\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3$  where  $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ .

The points from (ii) to (iv) have minimal trace 2, while the remaining ones have minimal trace 1. The total number of indecomposables in  $K$  (up to multiplication by totally positive units) is  $\frac{a^2+3a}{18} + 2a + 2$ .

The ordering of the indecomposables in the statement above will follow from the classification of lattice points carried out in Section 5.

In Section 7, we shall study some applications of Theorem 1.2 for simplest cubic fields with integral basis  $B_3(1, 1)$ . In [23], Lemmermeyer and Pethö proved that every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  not associated with a rational integer, the norm  $N(\gamma)$  of  $\gamma$  satisfies  $|N(\gamma)| \geq 2a + 3$ . In Proposition 7.1 we will see that except for some small values of  $a$ , this is also true for our subfamily with  $p = 3$  and  $(k, l) = (1, 1)$ . On the other hand, we will also study the largest possible norm of the indecomposables, and concretely we will prove that  $|N(\alpha)| \leq \frac{(a^2+3a+9)^2}{729}$ , again with some exceptions (see Proposition 7.4). We will also show that the Pythagoras number of  $K$  is 6, just as in the monogenic case studied by Kala and the second author. We shall use these informations to find some bounds for  $m(K)$ , in the style of the stated ones in [16, Theorem 1.1]. For more details, see Section 7.

Our results are in the line of the existing works for the additive structure of the totally real fields, which show evidence of its unpredictability. However, this work is pioneer in determining the whole structure of indecomposables in the ring of integers in a family of non-monogenic totally real fields. Remarkably, we have found this absence of pattern even within the same family of simplest cubic fields, for which the value of generalized module index  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]$  turns out to be extremely influential.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $K$  be a totally real number field of degree  $d$  and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be its embeddings. By trace  $\text{Tr}(\alpha)$  and norm  $N(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha \in K$ , we will mean

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad N(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\alpha).$$

An element  $\alpha \in K$  is totally positive if  $\sigma_i(\alpha) > 0$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , and we will denote it by  $\alpha \succ 0$ . The subset of the totally positive integers in  $K$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_K^+$ . Moreover, by  $\alpha \succ \beta$ , we will mean  $\alpha - \beta \succ 0$ . We will also use symbol  $\succeq$  to include equality between our elements.

A totally positive integer  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  is said to be indecomposable if it cannot be written as the sum of other two totally positive integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . The codifferent of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\vee = \{\delta \in K \mid \text{Tr}(\delta\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K\}.$$

The subset of the totally positive integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K^\vee$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_K^{\vee,+}$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$ , the number

$$\min_{\delta \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\vee,+}} \text{Tr}(\delta\alpha)$$

will be referred to as the minimal trace of  $\alpha$ . It is immediate that the totally positive algebraic integers with minimal trace 1 are indecomposable.

We proceed to summarize the method introduced in [16] to find the indecomposables in  $K$ . Let us embed  $K$  on the Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by means of an integral basis of  $K$ . Following [32, Section 1, p. 2], we introduce the following terminology:

**Definition 2.1.** *A pair  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$  of units in  $K$  is proper if the following statements hold:*

- (1) *The subgroup of  $K^*$  generated by  $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$  has rank 2.*
- (2) *The set  $\{1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $K$ .*
- (3) *In the linear combination of  $\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2$  with respect to the above basis, the coefficient before 1 is negative.*

On the other hand, the parallelepiped with nodes  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_e \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  is

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_e) = [0, 1]\ell_1 + \dots + [0, 1]\ell_e.$$

The key result is the following refinement of [32, Theorem 1] (see [16, Section 4, p. 11-12]):

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $K$  be a totally real cubic field and let  $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$  be a proper pair of totally positive units of  $K$ . The indecomposables of  $K$  lie, up to multiplication by totally positive units in  $K$ , in either of the parallelepipeds*

$$\mathcal{D}(1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2), \quad \mathcal{D}(1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2^{-1}).$$

This method was applied successfully to the case that  $K$  is a simplest cubic field (see Section 3 below) with  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ , leading to a list of lattice points among which the indecomposables were identified.

**Theorem 2.3.** [16, Theorem 1.2] *Let  $\rho$  be a root of the polynomial  $x^3 - ax^2 - (a+3)x - 1$  where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ . Up to multiplication by totally positive units, the indecomposables in the order  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  are 1,*

- *the element  $1 + \rho + \rho^2$  with minimal trace 2,*
- *the elements in the set*

$$\blacktriangle = \{-v - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 \mid 0 \leq v \leq a, v(a+2) + 1 \leq w \leq (v+1)(a+1)\},$$

*all of which have minimal trace 1.*

### 3 Simplest cubic fields and their monogeneity

A simplest cubic field is a number field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is a root of the polynomial

$$f(x) = x^3 - ax^2 - (a+3)x - 1, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}.$$

It is a cyclic cubic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (and then totally real).

Let  $\rho'$  and  $\rho''$  be the conjugates of  $\rho$ , that is, the other roots of  $f$ . When  $a \geq 7$ , reordering these roots if necessary, we can assume that [23]

$$a + 1 < \rho < a + 1 + \frac{2}{a}, \quad -1 - \frac{1}{a} < \rho' < -1 - \frac{1}{2a}, \quad -\frac{1}{a+2} < \rho'' < -\frac{1}{a+3}.$$

We also have the explicit expressions

$$\rho' = -1 - \frac{1}{\rho} = a + 2 + a\rho - \rho^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho'' = -\frac{1}{1+\rho} = -2 - (a+1)\rho + \rho^2.$$

Let us denote  $\Delta := a^2 + 3a + 9$ . We know that  $\text{disc}(f) = \Delta^2$ . If  $\Delta$  is square-free, then  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . The converse does not hold in general.

We say that  $K$  is monogenic if there is an element  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ . In that case,  $\{1, \gamma, \gamma^2\}$  is a power integral basis of  $K$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{c}$  the conductor of  $K$ . The monogeneity of  $K$  is characterized in [19] in the following way:

**Theorem 3.1.** [19, Corollary 1.6] *The following are equivalent:*

1. *The field  $K$  is monogenic.*
2. *We have  $a \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 54, 66, 1259, 2389\}$  or  $\frac{\Delta}{\mathfrak{c}}$  is a cube.*
3. *We have  $a \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 54, 66, 1259, 2389\}$  or  $a \not\equiv 3, 21 \pmod{27}$  and  $v_p(\Delta) \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  for all  $p \neq 3$ .*

In such a case, a power integral basis of  $K$  is generated by  $\gamma = \frac{\rho-b}{n}$ , where  $n = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\Delta}{27}}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies  $b \equiv \frac{a}{3} \pmod{n}$  if  $3 \mid n$  and  $3a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  otherwise.

**Remark 3.2.** The list of possible values for  $a$  in the second and the third statement in Theorem 3.1 are the ones for which the corresponding simplest cubic field  $K$  is also defined by some other value  $a'$  in the same list. Hence, its presence is due to the fact that for such a simplest cubic field  $K$ , one of the values satisfies the conditions of monogeneity and the other one does not, resulting that  $K$  is monogenic.

We proceed to reinterpret the relevant number  $\frac{\Delta}{\mathfrak{c}}$  in the second statement of Theorem 3.1. Since  $K$  is an abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , from Kronecker-Weber theorem we know that it is contained in some cyclotomic field. Since in addition  $K$  is totally real,  $\mathfrak{c}$  is the smallest integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $K$  is contained in the  $n$ -th cyclotomic field. In particular,  $\mathfrak{c}$  is positive. Moreover, it is related to the discriminant by the so called conductor-discriminant formula,  $\mathfrak{c}^2 = \text{disc}(K)$ . Together with the well known formula  $\text{disc}(f) = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]^2 \text{disc}(K)$ , we deduce that  $\mathfrak{c}$  divides  $\Delta$  and

$$[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]] = \frac{\Delta}{\mathfrak{c}}.$$

**Remark 3.3.** Note that  $K$  may be monogenic even if  $\mathcal{O}_K \neq \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ , namely whenever  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]$  is a non-trivial cube. This means that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$  for some  $\gamma \neq \rho$ . However, we will usually refer to the case  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  as *the monogenic case*.

In order to compute effectively the generalized module index  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]$ , we denote  $\Delta = bc^3$  with  $b, c > 0$  coprime integers and  $b$  cube-free. Then:

**Proposition 3.4.** [19, Remark 1.5] *The conductor can be described as follows:*

$$\mathfrak{c} = \begin{cases} \prod_{p|b} p & \text{if } 3 \nmid a \text{ or } a \equiv 12 \pmod{27}, \\ 3^2 \prod_{p|b, p \neq 3} p & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.5.** If  $3 \nmid a$ , we have that  $\Delta = a^2 + 3a + 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , and if  $a \equiv 12 \pmod{27}$ , then  $\Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{27}$ . In both cases, we see that  $3 \nmid \mathfrak{c}$ . We deduce that the conductor of a simplest cubic field  $K$  satisfies either that  $3 \nmid \mathfrak{c}$  or  $v_3(\mathfrak{c}) = 2$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $K$  be the simplest cubic field with  $a = 21$ . Then  $\Delta = 3^3 \cdot 19$ . Therefore,  $c = 3$  and  $b = 19$ . Since 3 divides  $a$  and  $a \not\equiv 12 \pmod{27}$ , we find that  $\mathfrak{c} = 19 \cdot 3^2$ . Then  $\frac{\Delta}{\mathfrak{c}} = 3$ , which is not a cube, and then  $K$  is not monogenic. Actually, the integral basis of  $K$  is

$$\left\{ 1, \rho, \frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3} \right\}.$$

**Example 3.7.** Let  $K$  be the simplest cubic field with  $a = 41$ . Then  $\Delta = 7^2 \cdot 39$  and  $\mathfrak{c} = 7 \cdot 39$ , so  $\frac{\Delta}{\mathfrak{c}} = 7$  is not a cube and  $K$  is not monogenic. In this case, the integral basis of  $K$  is

$$\left\{1, \rho, \frac{4 + 3\rho + \rho^2}{7}\right\}.$$

### 3.1 The parallelepipeds of lattice points

We focus on the problem of finding the indecomposable integers in a simplest cubic field  $K$  for the case when  $\mathcal{O}_K \neq \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . That is, calling  $\delta = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]$ , we remove the restriction that  $\delta = 1$  and we aim to find all indecomposables in  $K$ . In order to find candidates, we will follow a slight variation of the method in [16], based on the well known fact that  $\delta\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ .

Let us fix the power basis  $\{1, \rho, \rho^2\}$ , which is not integral unless  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . We have that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  embeds in  $\frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{Z}^3$  through

$$\begin{aligned} \tau: \quad K &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\rho + \alpha_3\rho^2 &\longmapsto (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us call  $\varepsilon_1 = \rho^2$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = (\rho'')^{-2}$ . From [32, Corollary 2] we know that the pairs  $(1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$  and  $(1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2^{-1})$  are proper (note that conditions (1)-(3) in Definition 2.1 do not depend on whether we have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ ). Then, the problem now turns to find the intersection of  $\frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{Z}^3$  with the parallelepipeds

$$\mathcal{D}(1, \rho^2, 1 + 2\rho + \rho^2), \quad \mathcal{D}(1, \rho^2, -1 - a - (a^2 + 3a + 3)\rho + (a + 2)\rho^2). \quad (1)$$

From now on, these will be referred to as the first parallelepiped and the second parallelepiped, respectively.

**Remark 3.8.** There might be elements in  $\frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  that do not lie in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . In other words, there might be some lattice points that are not algebraic integers.

We shall find the lattice points in the first of the above parallelepipeds. The second one will be completely determined for a specific family of simplest cubic fields  $K$  in Section 5, by using an integral basis of  $K$ .

We look for  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in [0, 1]$  such that for some  $(m, n, o) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,

$$t_1 + t_2\rho^2 + t_3(1 + 2\rho + \rho^2) = \frac{1}{\delta}(m + n\rho + o\rho^2).$$

This gives rise to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 + t_3 &= \frac{1}{\delta}m, \\ 2t_3 &= \frac{1}{\delta}n, \\ t_2 + t_3 &= \frac{1}{\delta}o. \end{aligned}$$

The second equation gives that  $t_3 = \frac{n}{2\delta}$  with  $0 \leq n \leq 2\delta$ . Carrying this to the first equation,  $t_1 = \frac{2m-n}{2\delta}$ , with  $\frac{n}{2} \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2} + \delta$ . As for the third one,  $t_2 = \frac{2o-n}{2\delta}$ , with  $\frac{n}{2} \leq o \leq \frac{n}{2} + \delta$ . We plug these values in the parametric equation, obtaining the points

$$\frac{1}{\delta}(m + n\rho + o\rho^2), \quad 0 \leq n \leq 2\delta, \quad \frac{n}{2} \leq m, o \leq \frac{n}{2} + \delta,$$

which are candidates to be indecomposables of  $K$ . Note that choosing  $m = n = o = \delta$  yields the point  $1 + \rho + \rho^2$ , which was identified as indecomposable of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -order  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  in [16]. On the other hand, unlike in that case, the trivial choices  $n = 0$  or  $n = 2\delta$  give non-trivial candidates for  $\frac{n}{2} < m, o < \frac{n}{2} + \delta$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field and let  $\delta = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]]$ . The candidates (i.e, lattice points that are possibly indecomposables of  $K$ ) from the first parallelepiped in (1) are the points in the set*

$$\left\{\frac{m}{\delta} + \frac{n}{\delta}\rho + \frac{o}{\delta}\rho^2; 0 \leq n \leq 2\delta, \frac{n}{2} \leq m, o \leq \frac{n}{2} + \delta\right\} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^+.$$

## 4 Non-monogenic simplest cubic fields with prime index

In this section we introduce the simplest cubic fields  $K$  with an integral basis of the form

$$B_p(k, l) := \left\{ 1, \rho, \frac{k + l\rho + \rho^2}{p} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $p$  is an odd prime, and  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  are not divisible by  $p$ . Note that we may assume without loss of generality that  $1 \leq k, l \leq p-1$ . When  $(k, l)$  is implicit in the context, we just denote  $B_p = B_p(k, l)$ .

The simplest cubic fields in Examples 3.6 and 3.7 are of this form: in the former we have  $(k, l) = (1, 1)$  and  $p = 3$ , while in the latter it is  $(k, l) = (4, 3)$  and  $p = 7$ .

We begin with the following important lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_p(k, l)$  for  $1 \leq k, l \leq p-1$ . Then,  $K$  does not admit an integral basis of the same form for another pair  $(k', l') \neq (k, l)$ ,  $1 \leq k', l' \leq p-1$ .*

*Proof.* We start with the assumption that  $K$  has integral basis as in the statement, so that  $\frac{k+l\rho+\rho^2}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $(k', l')$  be a pair of integer numbers such that  $\frac{k'+l'\rho+\rho^2}{p}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_K$  as well. Then, their difference

$$\frac{k' - k + (l' - l)\rho}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Let us call  $c = k' - k$  and  $d = l' - l$ . Then there are unique  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\frac{c + d\rho}{p} = x_1 + x_2\rho + x_3 \frac{k + l\rho + \rho^2}{p}.$$

Using the uniqueness of coordinates with respect to the power basis, we obtain that  $x_1 = \frac{c}{p}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{d}{p}$  and  $x_3 = 0$ . Hence  $c$  and  $d$  are multiples of  $p$ , meaning that  $k \equiv k' \pmod{p}$  and  $l \equiv l' \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

In order to find the pair  $(k, l)$  for which  $\frac{k+l\rho+\rho^2}{p}$  is the third element of the integral basis, we follow this procedure. The minimal polynomial of  $\frac{k+l\rho+\rho^2}{p}$  is

$$x^3 - \frac{h_1}{p}x^2 + \frac{h_2}{p^2}x - \frac{h_3}{p^3},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= h_1(k, l) = a^2 + (l+2)a + 3k + 6, \\ h_2 &= h_2(k, l) = (2k - l + 1)a^2 + (-l^2 + 2kl + 4k - 3l + 4)a + 3k^2 - 3l^2 + 12k - 3l + 9, \\ h_3 &= h_3(k, l) = (k^2 - kl + k)a^2 + (k^2l - kl^2 + 2k^2 + l^2 - 3kl + 4k - l)a + k^3 + l^3 \\ &\quad - 3kl^2 + 6k^2 - 3kl + 9k - 3l + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we are just looking for the pair  $(k, l)$ ,  $1 \leq k, l \leq p-1$ , such that

$$h_i(k, l) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (3)$$

Eventually we will prove that such a pair actually exists.

Let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_p(k, l)$  and let us compute the module index  $\delta = \frac{\Delta}{\mathfrak{c}}$ . It may be checked, for instance using mathematical software, that

$$\text{disc}\left(1, \rho, \frac{k + l\rho + \rho^2}{p}\right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \frac{k+l\rho+\rho^2}{p} \\ 1 & \rho' & \frac{k+l\rho'+\rho'^2}{p} \\ 1 & \rho'' & \frac{k+l\rho''+\rho''^2}{p} \end{pmatrix}^2 = \left(\frac{a^2 + 3a + 9}{p}\right)^2,$$

and recall that the conductor  $\mathfrak{c}$  must be positive, so  $\mathfrak{c} = \frac{a^2 + 3a + 9}{p}$ . It follows that  $\delta = p$ , so that  $\Delta = p\mathfrak{c}$ . In particular,  $K$  is not monogenic.

Recall from Remark 3.2 that the simplest cubic fields defined by more than one value of  $a$  (i.e.  $a \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 54, 66, 1259, 2389\}$ ) are monogenic. Since  $K$  is not monogenic, this is not the case. Then, Theorem 3.1 (3) gives that  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$  or  $v_q(\Delta) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  for some prime  $q \neq 3$ . Keeping the assumption that  $K$  has integral basis  $B_p(k, l)$ , we explore each of these two possibilities.



**Case  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$**

Suppose that  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$ . A direct computation shows that  $\Delta = a^2 + 3a + 9$  is divisible by 27. But since  $K$  has integral basis  $B_p$ , we have that  $\Delta = p\mathfrak{c}$ , and from Proposition 3.4 we see that  $v_3(\mathfrak{c}) = 2$ , so it must be  $p = 3$ . That is,  $K$  has integral basis  $B_3(k, l)$  for some  $1 \leq k, l \leq 2$  (which are unique by Lemma 4.1). If we choose  $(k, l) = (1, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= a^2 + 3a + 9 = \Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ h_2 &= 2a^2 + 6a + 18 = 2\Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{9}, \\ h_3 &= a^2 + 3a + 9 = \Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{27}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the only pair  $(k, l)$  with  $1 \leq k, l \leq 2$  for which  $\frac{k+l\rho+\rho^2}{3}$  is the third element of  $B_3$  is  $(k, l) = (1, 1)$ .

In fact,  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$  only when  $K$  has integral basis  $B_3$ . In the next section, we will completely characterize the simplest cubic fields with integral basis of this form.

**Case  $v_q(\Delta) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $q \neq 3$  prime**

Next, assume that  $K$  satisfies that  $v_q(\Delta) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  for some prime  $q \neq 3$ , which is equivalent to  $q^2 \mid \Delta$ . Since  $\Delta = p\mathfrak{c}$  and  $q \neq 3$ , from Proposition 3.4 it follows that  $q = p$ . Hence we obtain that  $p \neq 3$  and  $p^2 \mid \Delta$ . In particular, if  $K$  has integral basis  $B_p$  for  $p > 3$ , then  $p^2 \mid \Delta$ . There is a partial converse for this implication.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  be a simplest cubic field such that  $p^2 \mid \Delta$  for a prime  $p > 3$ . Then there are integers  $1 \leq k, l \leq p - 1$  such that  $\frac{k+l\rho+\rho^2}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .*

*Proof.* We will check that under the hypothesis that  $p^2 \mid \Delta$ , there exist  $1 \leq k, l \leq p - 1$  such that  $h_i(k, l) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Since  $p > 3$ , 3 has a modular inverse  $3^{-1}$  modulo  $p$ . If the equations (3) have some solution  $(k, l)$  as stated, from the first one we obtain that

$$k = -3^{-1}(al + a^2 + 2a + 6) + Up,$$

where  $U \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$ . We will check that this is satisfied for some value of  $l$  modulo  $p$ .

Let us take  $U \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $p^2 \mid \Delta$ , we have that

$$3h_2(-3^{-1}(al + a^2 + 2a + 6) + Up, l) = -(a^2 + a + 1 + (2a + 1)l + l^2)\Delta + 9p^2U^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Thus, if  $p \mid h_1(k, l)$  for some  $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , then  $p^2 \mid h_2(k, l)$ . On the other hand,

$$27h_3(-3^{-1}(al + a^2 + 2a + 6) + Up, l) = g(l) - 9p\Delta U(a^2 + a + 1 + (2a + 1)l + l^2) + 27p^3U^3,$$

where

$$g(l) = \Delta((2a^2 - 3)\Delta + 8(2a + 3) + ((6a - 3)\Delta - 12a + 36)l + (6\Delta - 6a - 36)l^2 + (2a + 3)l^3).$$

Now, using again that  $p^2 \mid \Delta$  gives  $27h_3(-3^{-1}(al + a^2 + 2a + 6) + Up, l) \equiv g(l) \pmod{p^3}$ . The proof will be finished as soon as we check that  $g(l)$  has some root modulo  $p^3$ , and since  $\Delta \mid g(l)$  for every  $l$ , it is enough to check that  $\frac{g(l)}{\Delta}$  has a root modulo  $p$ . Let  $q(l) = \frac{g(l)}{\Delta} \in \mathbb{Z}[l]$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} q'(l) &= (6a - 3)\Delta - 12a + 36 + 2(6\Delta - 6a - 36)l + 3(2a + 3)l^2 \\ &= 3(2a^3 + 5a^2 + 11a + 3) + 6(2a^2 + 4a + 6)l + 3(2a + 3)l^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $2a + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $a \equiv -3 \cdot 2^{-1} \pmod{p}$ , and replacing in the congruence  $a^2 + 3a + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  gives that  $27 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , which is a contradiction because  $p > 3$ . Then  $2a + 3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ; let  $(2a + 3)^{-1}$  be its modular inverse modulo  $p$ . Since  $\text{disc}(q') = 2^2 3^3 \Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $q(l)$  and  $q'(l)$  share a root modulo  $p$ , which is  $l \equiv -(2a^2 + 4a + 6)(2a + 3)^{-1} \pmod{p}$ . In particular this is a root of  $q(l)$  modulo  $p$ , and since  $p^2 \mid \Delta$ , it is a root of  $g(l)$  modulo  $p^3$ .  $\square$

From the previous proof we can extract a simple relation between  $k$  and  $l$ .



**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  be a simplest cubic field such that  $p^2 \mid \Delta$ . The integers  $k, l$  in the proof of Proposition 4.2 satisfy  $2k - l \equiv -2 \pmod{p}$ . In particular, this holds if  $K$  has integral basis  $B_p(k, l)$  with  $p > 3$ .*

*Proof.* We have that  $l \equiv -(2a^2 + 4a + 6)(2a + 3)^{-1} \pmod{p}$  and  $k \equiv -3^{-1}(al + a^2 + 2a + 6) \pmod{p}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2k - l &\equiv -2 \cdot 3^{-1}(al + a^2 + 2a + 6) - l \\ &\equiv -3^{-1}((2a + 3)l + 2(a^2 + 2a + 6)) \\ &\equiv -3^{-1}(-2a^2 - 4a - 6 + 2a^2 + 4a + 12) \equiv -2 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

The last part of the statement holds because of the uniqueness of  $k$  and  $l$  given by Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime number and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  be a simplest cubic field. Then  $K$  has integral basis  $B_p$  if and only if  $p^2 \mid \Delta$  and  $\Delta = p^2d$  or  $\Delta = 9p^2d$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  square-free and coprime to 3.*

*Proof.* We already know that if  $K$  has integral basis  $B_p$ , then  $p^2 \mid \Delta$  and  $\Delta = p\mathfrak{c}$ . Looking at the description of the conductor in Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.5, we see that  $\Delta = p^2d$  or  $9p^2d$  for some square-free integer  $d$  coprime to 3, depending on which of the two possible description of  $\mathfrak{c}$  occurs. Conversely, if  $p^2 \mid \Delta$  and  $\Delta = p^2d$  or  $9p^2d$  with  $d$  square-free and coprime to 3, by Proposition 4.2 we have that  $\frac{k+l\rho+p^2}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$  for unique integers  $1 \leq k, l \leq p-1$ . Now,  $\text{disc}(1, \rho, \frac{k+l\rho+p^2}{p}) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)^2$ . Recall from Remark 3.5 that either  $3 \nmid \mathfrak{c}$  or  $v_3(\mathfrak{c}) = 2$ . Thus the hypothesis gives that  $\frac{\Delta}{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ , so the discriminant of  $B_p$  is just the discriminant of  $K$ . Then  $B_p$  is an integral basis of  $K$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** If  $K$  is a simplest cubic field with  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]] = p$  for  $p > 3$ , then  $\Delta = p\mathfrak{c}$ , so  $p^2 \mid \Delta$  and  $\Delta = p^2d$  or  $9p^2d$  for some square-free integer  $d$ . Then, we can argue as in the second part of the previous proof to conclude that  $B_p$  is an integral basis of  $K$ .

**Example 4.6.** The simplest cubic field  $K$  defined by  $a = 90$  has integral basis  $B_7(4, 3)$  and  $\Delta = 9 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19$ . In this case, we have that  $3 \mid a$  and  $a \equiv 41 \pmod{49}$ .

We may interpret the condition that  $p^2 \mid \Delta$  as an equation  $a^2 + 3a + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  in  $a$ . Then, in order to have a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_p$  we need this equation to be solvable. If we call  $h(x) = x^2 + 3x + 9$ , we know by Hensel's lemma that any factorization of  $h$  modulo  $p$  lifts to a factorization modulo  $p^2$ . Now, if the equation is solvable,  $h$  has two simple roots modulo  $p$ , so they lift to two simple roots modulo  $p^2$ . We conclude that for a prime  $p$  such that the equation above is solvable, there is a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_p$  for exactly two values of  $a$  modulo  $p^2$  (and each such an integer  $a$  produces a simplest cubic field of this type unless  $a \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 54, 66, 1259, 2389\}$ ). In the next result, we find a nice characterization of the condition that  $p^2 \mid \Delta$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime number. The equation  $a^2 + 3a + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  is solvable if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us call  $h(x) = x^2 + 3x + 9$ . We have that  $\text{disc}(h) = -27$ , so the equation in the statement is solvable only if  $-27$  is a square modulo  $p$ . If we consider the Legendre symbol, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{-27}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^3.$$

Then the result follows easily by determining the value of each factor in terms of  $p$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $a^2 + 3a + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ , the other solution of this equation in congruences is the class of  $a^2 + 2a + 6$  modulo  $p^2$ , that is,  $(a^2 + 2a + 6)^2 + 3(a^2 + 2a + 6) + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *For each prime number  $p > 3$ , there is a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_p$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ .*

$p$	Values of $a \bmod p^2$	$(k, l)$
7	5	(2, 6)
	41	(4, 3)
13	66	(3, 8)
	100	(9, 7)
19	154	(11, 5)
	204	(7, 16)
31	356	(25, 21)
	602	(5, 12)
37	374	(10, 22)
	992	(26, 17)
43	577	(36, 31)
	1269	(6, 14)

$p$	Values of $a \bmod p^2$	$(k, l)$
61	1259	(47, 35)
	2459	(13, 28)
67	2097	(37, 9)
	2389	(29, 60)
73	1265	(64, 57)
	4061	(8, 18)
79	1096	(55, 33)
	5142	(23, 48)
97	4451	(35, 72)
	4955	(61, 27)
103	271	(46, 94)
	10335	(56, 11)

Table 1: Families of simplest cubic fields with integral basis  $B_p$  for  $7 \leq p \leq 103$

*Proof.* Assume that  $K$  is a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_p$ . Then we know that  $p^2 \mid \Delta$ , so that the equation  $a^2 + 3a + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  is solvable. By Lemma 4.7, we deduce that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . Conversely, assume that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . Then the equation  $a^2 + 3a + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  has two solutions, namely it is satisfied for two values of  $a$  modulo  $p^2$ . Among the values of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying the equation above modulo  $p^2$ , we claim that there is some for which  $\frac{a^2 + 3a + 9}{p^2}$  is square-free and  $a \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 54, 66, 1259, 2389\}$ . Indeed, if for  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\Delta_0 := a_0^2 + 3a_0 + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ , the integers  $a_k = a_0 + kp^2$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , also satisfy this equation. Now, for  $\Delta_k := a_k^2 + 3a_k + 9$ , we have

$$\frac{\Delta_k}{p^2} = p^2 k^2 + (2a_0 + 3)k + \frac{\Delta_0}{p^2}.$$

This is a quadratic polynomial in  $k$ , so it is well known that it takes infinitely many square-free values, see [25]. By Corollary 4.4, the simplest cubic field  $K$  with some such a parameter  $a$  has integral basis  $B_p$ .  $\square$

Thus, given a prime  $p > 3$  with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , we can determine the family of simplest cubic fields with integral basis  $B_p$  as follows:

- We find the two values of  $a$  modulo  $p^2$  for which  $a^2 + 3a + 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ .
- For each of those values, we find the pair  $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$  so that  $\frac{k+l\rho+\rho^2}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

In Table 1, we show the two values of  $a$  for which there is a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_p$ , for some low values of  $p > 3$ ,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . It is implicitly assumed that  $a \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 54, 66, 1259, 2389\}$  and  $\Delta = p^2 d$  or  $9p^2 d$  for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  square-free.

#### 4.1 Lattice points in the first parallelepiped

Let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_p(k, l)$  for  $1 \leq k, l \leq p-1$ . We describe the lattice points in the first parallelepiped following the description obtained in the general case.

Namely, we have the points

$$\frac{1}{p}(m + n\rho + o\rho^2), \quad 0 \leq n \leq 2p, \quad \frac{n}{2} \leq m, o \leq \frac{n}{2} + p.$$

Let us assume that  $p$  divides  $n$ . Then  $n = n'p$ ,  $0 \leq n' \leq 2$ . Therefore, the lattice point above is

$$\frac{m}{p} + n'\rho + \frac{o}{p}\rho^2 = \frac{m - ko}{p} + \left(n' - \frac{lo}{p}\right)\rho + o\frac{k + l\rho + \rho^2}{p}.$$

Since  $k$  and  $l$  are not divisible by  $p$ , this belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if  $p \mid m$  and  $p \mid o$ . From this, we obtain the candidate  $1 + \rho + \rho^2$ ; the other choices give sums of totally positive elements.

Now assume that  $p$  does not divide  $n$ , so that  $n \in \{1, \dots, p-1\} \cup \{p+1, \dots, 2p-1\}$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{m}{p} + \frac{n}{p}\rho + \frac{o}{p}\rho^2 = \frac{m - ko}{p} + \frac{n - lo}{p}\rho + o\frac{k + l\rho + \rho^2}{p},$$

which belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if  $m \equiv ko \pmod{p}$  and  $n \equiv lo \pmod{p}$ .

Let  $n \in \{1, \dots, p-1\} \cup \{p+1, \dots, 2p-1\}$ . We claim that there are unique  $\frac{n}{2} \leq m, o \leq \frac{n}{2} + p$  such that the congruences above are satisfied. Since  $K$  has integral basis  $B_p(k, l)$ , Corollary 4.3 gives that  $2k - l \equiv -2 \pmod{p}$ . Now, since the interval depending on  $n$  has length  $p$ , the only exception to uniqueness would be that  $m$  or  $o \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{p}$ . If  $m \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{p}$ , since also  $n \equiv lo \pmod{p}$ , we obtain that  $2ko \equiv lo \pmod{p}$ . If it was  $o \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $p$  divides  $n$ , which is against the assumption on  $n$ . Then  $o \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $2k \equiv l \pmod{p}$ , which contradicts that  $2k - l \equiv -2 \pmod{p}$ . If  $o \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{p}$ , the congruence  $n \equiv lo \pmod{p}$  gives that  $l \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ . Now, since  $2k - l \equiv -2 \pmod{p}$ , we have that  $k$  is divisible by  $p$ , which is again a contradiction. This proves the claim. Therefore, we have  $2p - 2$  lattice points arising from these choices.

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field with integral basis of the form (2). Then the lattice points in the first parallelepiped which are algebraic integers are*

$$\frac{1}{p}(m + n\rho + o\rho^2),$$

where, for each  $1 \leq n \leq 2p - 1$ ,  $m$  and  $o$  are the unique integer numbers in the interval  $[\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + p]$  satisfying the congruences  $m \equiv ko \pmod{p}$  and  $n \equiv lo \pmod{p}$ .

## 5 The family with $p = 3$

From now on, we focus on the simplest cubic fields  $K$  with integral basis  $B_p$  for  $p = 3$  and  $(k, l) = (1, 1)$ , that is,

$$B_3 = \left\{1, \rho, \frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}\right\}.$$

We first describe them in terms of the parameter  $a$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *The simplest cubic fields  $K$  with integral basis  $\left\{1, \rho, \frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}\right\}$  are the ones for which  $a \equiv 3, 21 \pmod{27}$ ,  $a > 12$  and  $\frac{\Delta}{27}$  is square-free.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $K$  has an integral basis as in the statement. Then  $\text{disc}(K) = \text{disc}\left(1, \rho, \frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{3}\right)^2$ , so  $\Delta = 3\mathfrak{c}$  and  $K$  is not monogenic. In particular, 3 divides  $\Delta = a^2 + 3a + 9$ , so  $3 \mid a$  and  $9 \mid \Delta$ . Thus  $3 \mid \mathfrak{c}$ , so Remark 3.5 gives that  $9 \mid \mathfrak{c}$ , whence  $27 \mid \Delta$ . Since  $p^2$  does not divide  $\mathfrak{c}$  for every prime  $p \neq 3$ , we obtain that  $\frac{\Delta}{27}$  is square-free. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.1 (3), the non-monogeneity of  $K$  gives that  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$ . Now, since  $K$  is not monogenic,  $K$  is defined by a single value of  $a$ . That is,  $a \notin \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 54, 66, 1259, 2389\}$ . But in this list no element greater than 12 is congruent to 3 or 21 mod 27, so  $a > 12$ .

Conversely, let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field defined by  $a$  such that  $a \equiv 3, 21 \pmod{27}$  (so that  $\Delta$  is divisible by 27),  $a > 12$  and  $\frac{\Delta}{27}$  is square-free. Then, we can write  $\Delta = 27d$  with  $d$  square-free and from Proposition 3.4 we see that  $\mathfrak{c} = 9d$ , so  $\delta = 3$ . In particular,  $K$  is not monogenic. On the other hand,  $\frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}$  is an algebraic integer because the coefficients of its minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are integers. Now,  $\text{disc}\left(1, \rho, \frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{3}\right)^2 = \mathfrak{c}^2$ , so  $K$  has integral basis  $\left\{1, \rho, \frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}\right\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** In the first part of the previous proof, we only have used that  $\Delta = 3\mathfrak{c}$ . We deduce that the only simplest cubic fields with  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\rho]] = 3$  are the ones with integral basis  $B_3$ .

**Remark 5.3.** Not all simplest cubic fields with  $a \equiv 3, 21 \pmod{27}$  satisfy that  $\frac{\Delta}{27}$  is square-free. For instance, if  $a = 678 \equiv 3 \pmod{27}$ , then  $v_7(\Delta) = 2$ . In that case, the integral basis of  $K$  is

$$\left\{1, \rho, \frac{4 + 10\rho + \rho^2}{21}\right\}.$$

Let us write  $a = 27t + r$ , where  $r \in \{3, 21\}$ . Then,

$$\frac{\Delta}{27} = \begin{cases} 27t^2 + 9t + 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 3 \pmod{27}, \\ 27t^2 + 45t + 19 & \text{if } a \equiv 21 \pmod{27}. \end{cases}$$

These are quadratic polynomials in  $t$ , so they take infinitely many square-free values. Then, we have infinitely many simplest cubic fields within this family.

Our family of simplest cubic fields is just the family considered by Cánovas Orvay in [4, Theorem 3].

**Lemma 5.4.** *The simplest cubic fields  $K$  with integral basis  $B_3(1, 1)$  are just the fields of the form  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  with  $\theta^3 - p\theta + pq = 0$ , where  $p = 9d$  with  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  square-free and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $q > 2$ ,  $3 \nmid q$  and  $4p - 27q^2 = 9$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  be a simplest cubic field as in the statement. Setting  $\theta = -\rho + \frac{a}{3}$ , we have  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . Let  $p = \frac{a^2+3a+9}{3}$  and  $q = \frac{2a+3}{9}$ . Since  $\Delta = 27d$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^3 - p\theta + pq &= -\rho^3 + \rho^2a - \rho\frac{a^2}{3} + \frac{a^3}{27} + \left(\frac{a^2}{3} + a + 3\right)\rho - \frac{(a^2 + 3a + 9)(a - 3)}{27} \\ &= -\rho^3 + a\rho^2 + (a + 3)\rho + \frac{a^3}{27} - \frac{a^3 - 27}{27} \\ &= -\rho^3 + a\rho^2 + (a + 3)\rho + 1 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

proving that  $\theta$  has minimal polynomial  $x^3 - px + pq$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Moreover, we have that

$$4p - 27q^2 = 4\left(\frac{a^2}{3} + a + 3\right) - \frac{1}{3}(4a^2 + 12a + 9) = 9.$$

Conversely, let  $p = 9d$  with  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  square-free,  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $q > 2$  and  $3 \nmid q$  and  $4p - 27q^2 = 9$ . Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  with  $\theta^3 - p\theta + pq = 0$ , and define  $a = \frac{9q-3}{2}$ . From the equality with  $p$  and  $q$  we deduce that  $q \equiv 1$  or  $5 \pmod{6}$ , so  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$ . Let us call  $\rho = -\theta + \frac{a}{3}$ . Going backwards in the chain of equalities above, we find that  $\rho$  has irreducible polynomial  $x^3 - ax^2 - (a + 3)x - 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  be a simplest cubic field with integral basis  $B_3(1, 1)$ . Then  $\{\rho, \rho'\}$  is a system of fundamental units of  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta_1 = 4\frac{p}{3} - 3q\theta - 2\theta^2$ ,  $\sigma = \frac{\theta_1}{3}$ ,  $\tau = \frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{q-1}{2} + \frac{q-1}{2}\sigma + \sigma^2\right)$  and  $\mu = \sigma + \tau$ . In [4, Theorem 3 (iii)], it is stated that  $\{\mu, \mu'\}$  is a system of fundamental units of  $K$ . Now, we may check directly that  $\mu = \rho' + 1$ . Since Galois automorphisms preserve systems of fundamental units, we deduce that  $\{\rho + 1, \rho' + 1\}$  is a system of fundamental units. Finally, since  $\rho + 1 = -\rho\rho'$  and  $\rho' + 1 = -\frac{1}{\rho}$ ,  $\{\rho, \rho'\}$  is a system of fundamental units of  $K$ .  $\square$

## 5.1 Algebraic integers in parallelepipeds

Following Proposition 4.10, in the first parallelepiped we have the lattice points

$$\frac{1}{3}(m + n\rho + o\rho^2), \quad 1 \leq n \leq 5, \quad \frac{n}{2} \leq m, o \leq \frac{n}{2} + 3.$$

In this case, the congruences therein become  $m \equiv n \equiv o \pmod{3}$ , and since  $\frac{n}{2} \leq m, n, o \leq \frac{n}{2} + 3$ , we have  $m = n = o$ . Then we obtain the points  $n\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$  for  $1 \leq n \leq 5$ . The choices  $n > 1$  give sums of the choice for  $n = 1$ , so the former gives the unique candidate of this parallelepiped

$$\frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}.$$

For the second parallelepiped, we change the strategy and work with the integral basis of a simplest cubic field in this family

$$\left\{1, \rho, \frac{1 + \rho + \rho^2}{3}\right\}.$$

We just rewrite the nodes of the second parallelepiped with respect to this integral basis. Call  $g_1 = 1$ ,  $g_2 = \rho$ ,  $g_3 = \frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$ . Then, the second parallelepiped becomes

$$\mathcal{D}(g_1, -g_1 - g_2 + 3g_3, -(2a + 3)g_1 - (a^2 + 4a + 5)g_2 + 3(a + 2)g_3),$$

and we want to determine its lattice points. That is, we look for  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in [0, 1]$  and integer numbers  $m, n, o \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$t_1 g_1 + t_2(-g_1 - g_2 + 3g_3) + t_3(-(2a+3)g_1 - (a^2+4a+5)g_2 + 3(a+2)g_3) = m g_1 + n g_2 + o g_3.$$

This is equivalent to the following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 - t_2 - (2a+3)t_3 &= m, \\ -t_2 - (a^2+4a+5)t_3 &= n, \\ 3t_2 + 3(a+2)t_3 &= o. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

From the second and the third equation we obtain  $-3(a^2+3a+3)t_3 = o + 3n$ . As in the monogenic case, the choices  $t_3 = 0$  or  $t_3 = 3(a^2+3a+3)$  give either totally positive units or their sums. Therefore,

$$t_3 = \frac{u}{3(a^2+3a+3)}, \quad 1 \leq u \leq 3(a^2+3a+3) - 1. \tag{5}$$

From the first and the second equation we obtain that  $t_1 + (a^2+2a+2)t_3 = m - n$ , that is,

$$t_1 = m - n - u \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{a+1}{3(a^2+3a+3)} \right).$$

Write  $u = 3w + s$ , where  $0 \leq w \leq a^2+3a+2$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 2$  and  $s > 0$  if  $w = 0$ . Then

$$t_1 = m - n - w + \frac{w(a+1)}{a^2+3a+3} - s \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{a+1}{3(a^2+3a+3)} \right).$$

Write  $w = v(a+2) + r$ , where  $0 \leq v, r \leq a+1$  and  $r = 0$  if  $v = a+1$ . Then

$$t_1 = m - n - w + v + \frac{r(a+1) - v}{a^2+3a+3} - s \frac{a^2+2a+2}{3(a^2+3a+3)}.$$

Let us define

$$e_1 = \left\lceil - \left( \frac{r(a+1) - v}{a^2+3a+3} - s \frac{a^2+2a+2}{3(a^2+3a+3)} \right) \right\rceil.$$

Hence,  $t_1 \in [0, 1]$  if and only if  $m - n = w - v + e_1$ . We can easily write

$$e_1 = \left\lceil \frac{s(a^2+2a+2) - 3(r(a+1) - v)}{3(a^2+3a+3)} \right\rceil.$$

Then, we have that

- For  $s = 0$ ,  $e_1 = 1$  if  $r = 0$ , and  $e_1 = 0$  otherwise.
- For  $s = 1$ ,  $e_1 = 1$  if  $3(r(a+1) - v) \leq a^2 + 2a$ , and  $e_1 = 0$  otherwise.
- For  $s = 2$ ,  $e_1 = 1$  if  $3(r(a+1) - v) \leq 2a^2 + 4a + 3 = 2a(a+2) + 3$ , and  $e_1 = 0$  otherwise.

**Remark 5.6.** In the case  $s = 0$ ,  $e_1 = 0$  would be also possible if  $v = 0$  and  $r = 0$ , but this corresponds to the zero point, which is not totally positive.

On the other hand, replacing the expression (5) of  $t_3$  in the second equation of (4) gives

$$t_2 = -n - u \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{a+2}{3(a^2+3a+3)} \right).$$

Now, replacing  $u = 3w + s$ ,

$$t_2 = -n - w - \frac{w(a+2)}{a^2+3a+3} - s \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{a+2}{3(a^2+3a+3)} \right).$$

Write  $w = t(a+1) + l$ , with  $0 \leq t \leq a+2$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq a$  and  $l = 0$  if  $t = a+2$ . Now,

$$t_2 = -n - w - t + \frac{t - l(a+2)}{a^2+3a+3} - s \frac{a^2+4a+5}{3(a^2+3a+3)}.$$

Let us call

$$e_2 = \left\lceil - \left( \frac{t - l(a+2)}{a^2 + 3a + 3} - s \frac{a^2 + 4a + 5}{3(a^2 + 3a + 3)} \right) \right\rceil.$$

Then  $t_2 \in [0, 1]$  if and only if  $n = -w - t - e_2$ . An easy calculation shows that

$$e_2 = \left\lceil \frac{s(a^2 + 4a + 5) + 3(l(a+2) - t)}{3(a^2 + 3a + 3)} \right\rceil.$$

Thus, in this case:

- For  $s = 0$ ,  $e_2 = 0$  if  $l = 0$ , and  $e_2 = 1$  otherwise.
- For  $s = 1$ ,  $e_2 = 1$  if  $3(l(a+2) - t) \leq 2a^2 + 5a + 3 = (2a+3)(a+1)$ , and  $e_2 = 2$  otherwise.
- For  $s = 2$ ,  $e_2 = 1$  if  $3(l(a+2) - t) \leq a^2 + a - 3 = a(a+1) - 3$ , and  $e_2 = 2$  otherwise.

**Remark 5.7.** In the case  $s = 0$ ,  $e_2 = 0$  would be also possible if  $l = 1$  and  $t = a + 2$ , but we have excluded that combination by taking  $l = 0$  if  $t = a + 2$ .

Moreover, since  $-u = o + 3n$ , we have that  $o = -u + 3(w + t + e_2)$ .

This gives the lattice points

$$-(v + t - e_1 + e_2)g_1 - (w + t + e_2)g_2 + (-u + 3(w + t + e_2))g_3.$$

Since  $u = 3w + s$ , we may rewrite them as

$$-(v + t - e_1 + e_2)g_1 - (w + t + e_2)g_2 + (-s + 3(t + e_2))g_3.$$

With respect to the power (non-integral) basis, these become

$$-v - \frac{s}{3} + e_1 - \left(w + \frac{s}{3}\right)\rho + \left(t - \frac{s}{3} + e_2\right)\rho^2.$$

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field with  $a \equiv 3$  or  $21 \pmod{27}$ ,  $a > 12$  and  $\frac{a}{27}$  square-free. The lattice points of  $K$  (and therefore non-unit candidates to be indecomposables of  $K$ ; up to multiplication by totally positive units) are the point  $\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$  from the first parallelepiped, and the points*

$$\begin{aligned} & -(v + t - e_1 + e_2)g_1 - (w + t + e_2)g_2 + (-s + 3(t + e_2))g_3 \\ & = -(v - e_1) - w\rho + (t + e_2)\rho^2 - sg_3 \end{aligned}$$

from the second one, where:

- $0 \leq w \leq a^2 + 3a + 2$ ,
- $0 \leq s \leq 2$ , and  $s > 0$  if  $w = 0$ ,
- $v$  and  $r$  are defined from  $w = v(a+2) + r$ ,  $0 \leq v, r \leq a+1$  and  $r = 0$  if  $v = a+1$ ,
- $t$  and  $l$  are defined from  $w = t(a+1) + l$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq a+2$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq a$ , and  $l = 0$  if  $t = a+2$ ,
- $e_1 = \left\lceil \frac{s(a^2+2a+2)+3(v-r(a+1))}{3(a^2+3a+3)} \right\rceil$ ,
- $e_2 = \left\lceil \frac{s(a^2+4a+5)+3(l(a+2)-t)}{3(a^2+3a+3)} \right\rceil$ .

Note that each lattice point  $\alpha$  in the second parallelepiped is completely determined from  $s$  and the integers  $v$  and  $r$  as in Proposition 5.8 such that  $\alpha = -(v - e_1) - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (t + e_2)\rho^2 - sg_3$ , because such a choice determines completely the pair  $(t, l)$ . From now on, we will denote  $\alpha = \alpha_s(v, r)$  or, for  $w = v(a+2) + r$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_s(w)$ .

## 5.2 Codifferent

In order to decide whether a given candidate is indecomposable or not, the codifferent appears as a very useful tool. Recall that

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\vee = \{\delta \in K \mid \text{Tr}(\alpha\delta) \in \mathbb{Z} \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K\}.$$

To determine an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\vee$ , we use [26, Proposition 4.14]. Recall the notation  $g_1 = 1$ ,  $g_2 = \rho$ ,  $g_3 = \frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$ . Since  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^3$  is an integral basis of  $K$ , a collection  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^3$  of elements in  $K$  satisfying

$$\text{Tr}(g_i\varphi_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

is an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\vee$ . At the same time, we may write  $\varphi_j = \sum_{k=1}^3 a_{jk}g_k$ ,  $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$\delta_{ij} = \text{Tr}(g_i\varphi_j) = \sum_{k=1}^3 a_{jk} \text{Tr}(g_i g_k).$$

We can express these equalities as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}(g_1^2) & \text{Tr}(g_1g_2) & \text{Tr}(g_1g_3) \\ \text{Tr}(g_1g_2) & \text{Tr}(g_2^2) & \text{Tr}(g_2g_3) \\ \text{Tr}(g_1g_3) & \text{Tr}(g_2g_3) & \text{Tr}(g_3^2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $M = (\text{Tr}(g_i g_k))_{i,k=1}^3$ . Then the coordinates of  $\varphi_j$  with respect to the basis  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^3$  is given by the  $j$ -th column of the matrix  $M^{-1}$ . Carrying out the product traces, we have

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & a & \frac{a^2+3a+9}{3} \\ a & a^2+2a+6 & \frac{(a+1)(a^2+3a+9)}{3} \\ \frac{a^2+3a+9}{3} & \frac{(a+1)(a^2+3a+9)}{3} & \frac{(a^2+3a+5)(a^2+3a+9)}{9} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this, we find

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{a^2+3a+9} \begin{pmatrix} a^2+7a+21 & a^2+7a+9 & -3(a+6) \\ a^2+7a+9 & 2(a^2+3a+3) & -3(2a+3) \\ -3(a+6) & -3(2a+3) & 18 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 &= \frac{1}{a^2+3a+9} \left( a^2+7a+21 + (a^2+7a+9)\rho - 3(a+6)\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3} \right), \\ \varphi_2 &= \frac{1}{a^2+3a+9} \left( a^2+7a+9 + 2(a^2+3a+3)\rho - 3(2a+3)\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3} \right), \\ \varphi_3 &= \frac{1}{a^2+3a+9} \left( -3(a+6) - 3(2a+3)\rho + 18\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

When computing codifferent traces of specific elements, we have the following important remark: If  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i g_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j \varphi_j \in \mathcal{O}_K^\vee$ , then

$$\text{Tr}(\varphi\alpha) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_i b_j \text{Tr}(g_i \varphi_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_i b_j \delta_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i b_i.$$

## 6 Indecomposables

In this part we will prove Theorem 1.2. In the previous sections, we found all the lattice points in the two parallelepipeds, and now we determine which ones are indecomposable, either using the codifferent or other means.

We identify the lattice points for the case  $w = 0$ . In this case,  $s = 0$  would give the zero point, which is excluded. Otherwise, since we have  $v = t = r = l = 0$ , then  $e_1 = e_2 = 1$ . We obtain the points

$$\alpha_2(0, 0) = -g_2 + g_3, \quad \alpha_1(0, 0) = -g_2 + 2g_3. \quad (6)$$



The case  $w = (a+1)(a+2)$  is easy as well. This value of  $w$  corresponds to  $t = a+2$ ,  $v = a+1$  and  $r = l = 0$ . For  $s = 0$ , we see that  $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 0$ . We obtain the point

$$\alpha_0(a+1, 0) = -(2a+2) - (a+2)^2 g_2 + 3(a+2)g_3 = -a - (a+1)(a+2)\rho + (a+2)\rho^2.$$

For  $s \neq 0$ , we have  $e_1 = e_2 = 1$ , giving the point

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_s(a+1, 0) &= -(2a+3) - ((a+2)^2 + 1)g_2 + (-s + 3(a+3))g_3 \\ &= -a - (a+1)(a+2)\rho + (a+3)\rho^2 - sg_3. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Fixed a value of  $s$ , we will distribute the lattice points  $\alpha_s(v, r)$  in four cases depending on the value of  $w = v(a+2) + r$ .

- (a)  $x(a+2) < w < (x+1)(a+1)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq a-1$ , which corresponds to  $1 \leq r \leq a-v$ . In this case,  $v = t = x$  and  $l = r + v$ .
- (b)  $w = (x+1)(a+1)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq a$ . In this case,  $v = x$ ,  $t = v+1$ ,  $r = a-v+1$  and  $l = 0$ .
- (c)  $(x+1)(a+1) < w < (x+1)(a+2)$ ,  $1 \leq x \leq a$ , which corresponds to  $v = x$ ,  $a-v+2 \leq r \leq a+1$ ,  $t = v+1$  and  $l = r - (a-v+1)$ .
- (d)  $w = (x+1)(a+2)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq a-1$ . Then  $v = x+1$ ,  $r = 0$  and  $t = l = v$ .

It may be checked easily that these cases, together with  $\alpha_s(0, 0)$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $\alpha_s(a+1, 0)$ ,  $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , cover exactly once all points in Proposition 5.8.

## 6.1 The case $s = 0$

If in Proposition 5.8 we take  $s = 0$ , this corresponds to the intersection of the second parallelepiped with  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . Then, we are left just with the lattice points in  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ , which have been already determined in [16]. In other words, the points  $\alpha_s(v, r)$  with  $s = 0$  are exactly the ones that have integer coordinates with respect to the power basis  $\{1, \rho, \rho^2\}$ . With our notation, these are

$$\begin{aligned} -v - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2, & \quad \text{if } v(a+2) < w \leq (v+1)(a+1) \text{ and } 0 \leq v \leq a, \\ -v - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2, & \quad \text{if } (v+1)(a+1) < w < (v+1)(a+2) \text{ and } 0 \leq v \leq a, \\ -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2, & \quad \text{if } w = v(a+2) \text{ and } 0 \leq v \leq a+1. \end{aligned}$$

While the first line corresponds to situations (a) and (b), the second (resp. third) one corresponds to situation (c) (resp. (d)).

## 6.2 The case $s = 1$

Assume that  $s = 1$ . Recall that  $w = v(a+2) + r = t(a+1) + l$ . Now, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 3(r(a+1) - v) \leq a(a+2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ e_2 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 3(l(a+2) - t) \leq (2a+3)(a+1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

### Situation (a)

We first take  $x(a+2) < w < (x+1)(a+1)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq a-1$ . We know that  $x = v$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & 3(r(a+1) - v) \leq a(a+2) \\ \iff & r(a+1) \leq \frac{a}{3}(a+2) + v \\ \iff & r \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a(a+2)}{3(a+1)} + \frac{v}{a+1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{3} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a+1} \right) + \frac{v}{a+1} \right\rfloor = \frac{a}{3} + \left\lfloor \frac{3v+a}{3(a+1)} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $3v + a < 3(a + 1)$  if and only if  $v < \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ . We deduce that

$$e_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} \\ & \text{or } \frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1 \text{ and } \frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a - v. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 3(l(a + 2) - t) &= 3(v(a + 1) + r(a + 2)) \leq (2a + 3)(a + 1) \\ \iff r(a + 2) &\leq \left(\frac{2a}{3} + 1 - v\right)(a + 1) \\ \iff r &\leq \left\lfloor \left(\frac{2a}{3} + 1 - v\right) \frac{a + 1}{a + 2} \right\rfloor = \frac{2a}{3} + 1 - v + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{a + 2} \left(v - \left(\frac{2a}{3} + 1\right)\right) \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right-side member needs to be positive, i.e.  $v < \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ . Under this assumption, we have that  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$  (since  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 - v < a + 2$ ). We deduce that

$$\begin{cases} e_2 = 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v, \\ e_2 = 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} \text{ and } \frac{2a}{3} + 1 - v \leq r \leq a - v \\ & \text{or } \frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a. \end{cases}$$

Joining all the information together, we have that:

- $e_1 = e_2 = 1$  exactly in the cases:
  - $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ ,
  - $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ .

These correspond to the points

$$-2vg_1 - (w + v + 1)g_2 + (3v + 2)g_3 = -(v - 1) - w\rho + (v + 1)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ . These correspond to the points

$$-(2v + 1)g_1 - (w + v + 1)g_2 + (3v + 2)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v + 1)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 2$  exactly in the cases:
  - $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}$  and  $\frac{2a}{3} - v + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ ,
  - $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a - 1$ .

These correspond to the points

$$-(2v + 1)g_1 - (w + v + 2)g_2 + (3v + 5)g_3 = -(v - 1) - w\rho + (v + 2)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 2$  exactly in the cases:
  - $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}$  and  $\frac{2a}{3} - v + 1 \leq r \leq a - v$ ,
  - $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a - v$ .

These correspond to the points

$$-(2v + 2)g_1 - (w + v + 2)g_2 + (3v + 5)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v + 2)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

**Situation (b)**

Suppose that  $w = (x+1)(a+1)$  with  $0 \leq x \leq a$  and  $x = v$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3(r(a+1) - v) &= 3((a-v)(a+2) + 1) \leq a(a+2) \\ \iff (a-v)(a+2) + 1 &\leq \frac{a}{3}(a+2) \\ \iff a-v + \frac{1}{a+2} &\leq \frac{a}{3} \\ \iff a-v + 1 &\leq \frac{a}{3} \\ \iff v &\geq \frac{2a}{3} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $e_1 = 1$  if  $v \geq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ , and  $e_1 = 0$  otherwise. On the other hand, since  $l = 0$  we always have that  $e_2 = 1$ . Then:

- $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a$ . This corresponds to the points

$$-(2v+1)g_1 - (w+v+2)g_2 + (3v+5)g_3 = -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}$ . This corresponds to the points

$$-(2v+2)g_1 - (w+v+2)g_2 + (3v+5)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

**Situation (c)**

Next, assume that  $(x+1)(a+1) + 1 \leq w < (x+1)(a+2)$  with  $1 \leq x \leq a$  and  $x = v$ . Arguing as in the situation (a), we see that  $3(r(a+1) - v) \leq a(a+2)$  if and only if  $r \leq \frac{a}{3} + \left\lfloor \frac{3v+a}{3(a+1)} \right\rfloor$ . Since  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \geq a - v + 2$  if and only if  $v \geq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ , we deduce that

$$e_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } a - v + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } \frac{a}{3} + 2 \leq r \leq a + 1 \\ & \text{or } 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 3(l(a+2) - t) &= 3(l(a+2) - (v+1)) \leq (2a+3)(a+1) \\ \iff l(a+2) &\leq \left(\frac{2a}{3} + 1\right)(a+1) + v + 1 \\ \iff l &\leq \left(\frac{2a}{3} + 1\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{a+2}\right) + \frac{v+1}{a+2} = \frac{2a}{3} + 1 + \frac{v - \frac{2a}{3}}{a+2} \\ \iff r &\leq \frac{5a}{3} - v + 2 + \frac{v - \frac{2a}{3}}{a+2}, \end{aligned}$$

as  $l = r - (a - v + 1)$ . Now, we see that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{v - \frac{2a}{3}}{a+2} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } v < \frac{2a}{3}, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \geq \frac{2a}{3}. \end{cases}$$

In the first case, we have that  $e_2 = 1$  when  $a - v + 2 \leq r \leq \min(a+1, \frac{5a}{3} - v + 1) = a+1$ , so there are no further restrictions on  $r$ . As for the second one, we have that  $e_2 = 1$  when  $a - v + 2 \leq r \leq \min(a+1, \frac{5a}{3} - v + 2)$ . This equals  $\frac{5a}{3} - v + 2$  unless  $v = \frac{2a}{3}$ , in which case the minimum is  $a+1$ . We conclude that

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}, \\ & \text{or } \frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } a - v + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{5a}{3} - v + 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } \frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } \frac{5a}{3} - v + 3 \leq r \leq a + 1. \end{cases}$$

We note that  $e_1 = 1$  implies that  $e_2 = 1$ , and consequently the case that  $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 2$  is not possible.

- $e_1 = e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a$  and  $a - v + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} + 1$ . This corresponds to the points

$$-(2v+1)g_1 - (w+v+2)g_2 + (3v+5)g_3 = -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 1$  exactly in the cases:

- $1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}$ .
- $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{5a}{3} - v + 2$ .

This corresponds to the points

$$-(2v+2)g_1 - (w+v+2)g_2 + (3v+5)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 2$  if and only if  $\frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq v \leq a$  and  $\frac{5a}{3} - v + 3 \leq r \leq a + 1$ . This corresponds to the points

$$-(2v+3)g_1 - (w+v+3)g_2 + (3v+8)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v+3)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

### Situation (d)

Let us take  $w = (x+1)(a+2)$  with  $0 \leq x \leq a-1$ . Now, we have  $v = x+1$ . Since  $r = 0$ , we always have  $3(r(a+1) - v) < a(a+2)$ , so  $e_1 = 1$ . Moreover,  $3(l(a+2) - t) = 3v(a+1) \leq (2a+3)(a+1)$  if and only if  $v \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ . Therefore,  $e_2 = 1$  if  $v \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$  and  $e_2 = 2$  otherwise. Then:

- $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ . Together with the point  $-g_2 + 2g_3$  from (6) (so that  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ ), this corresponds to the points

$$-2vg_1 - (w+v+1)g_2 + (3v+2)g_3 = -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 2$  if and only if  $\frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq v \leq a$ . Together with the point from (7) with  $s = 1$  (so that  $\frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq v \leq a + 1$ ), this corresponds to the points

$$-(2v+1)g_1 - (w+v+2)g_2 + (3v+5)g_3 = -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3.$$

## 6.3 The case $s = 2$

Assume that  $s = 2$ . In this case,

$$e_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 3(r(a+1) - v) \leq 2a(a+2) + 3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 3(l(a+2) - t) \leq a(a+1) - 3, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### Situation (a)

We first take  $x(a+2) < w < (x+1)(a+1)$ , where  $x = v$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & 3(r(a+1) - v) \leq 2a(a+2) + 3 \\ \Leftrightarrow & r(a+1) \leq \frac{2a}{3}(a+2) + v + 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow & r \leq \frac{2a}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a+1}\right) + \frac{v+1}{a+1} = \frac{2a}{3} + \frac{v+1 + \frac{2a}{3}}{a+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$e_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} \\ & \text{or } \frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1 \text{ and } \frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a-v. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 3(l(a+2) - t) &= 3(v(a+1) + r(a+2)) \leq a(a+1) - 3 \\ \iff r(a+2) &\leq \frac{a}{3}(a+1) - 1 - v(a+1) = \left(\frac{a}{3} - v\right)(a+1) - 1 \\ \iff r &\leq \left(\frac{a}{3} - v\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{a+2}\right) - \frac{1}{a+2} = \frac{a}{3} - v + \frac{v - \frac{a}{3} - 1}{a+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - v - 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 2 \text{ and } \frac{a}{3} - v \leq r \leq a - v \\ & \text{or } \frac{a}{3} - 1 \leq v \leq a - 1. \end{cases}$$

- $e_1 = e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 2$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - v - 1$ . This corresponds to the points

$$-2vg_1 - (w + v + 1)g_2 + (3v + 1)g_3 = -(v - 1) - w\rho + (v + 1)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

- Since  $e_2 = 1$  implies that  $e_1 = 1$ , the case that  $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 1$  is not possible.
- $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 2$  exactly in the cases:

$$\begin{aligned} - & 0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1 \text{ and } \frac{a}{3} - v \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3}. \\ - & \frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a - 1. \end{aligned}$$

These correspond to the points

$$-(2v + 1)g_1 - (w + v + 2)g_2 + (3v + 4)g_3 = -(v - 1) - w\rho + (v + 2)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 2$  if and only if  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a - v$ . In this case, we have the points

$$-(2v + 2)g_1 - (w + v + 2)g_2 + (3v + 4)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v + 2)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

### Situation (b)

Suppose that  $w = (x + 1)(a + 1)$  with  $0 \leq x \leq a$  and  $x = v$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3(r(a+1) - v) &= 3((a-v)(a+2) + 1) \leq 2a(a+2) + 3 \\ \iff a(a+2) - v(a+2) + 1 &\leq \frac{2a}{3}(a+2) + 1 \\ \iff v(a+2) &\geq \frac{a}{3}(a+2) \\ \iff v &\geq \frac{a}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that  $e_1 = 1$  if  $v \geq \frac{a}{3}$  and  $e_1 = 0$  otherwise. On the other hand, we always have  $e_2 = 1$  because  $l = 0$ .

- $e_1 = e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a$ , giving the points

$$-(2v + 1)g_1 - (w + v + 2)g_2 + (3v + 4)g_3 = -(v - 1) - w\rho + (v + 2)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ , which corresponds to

$$-(2v + 2)g_1 - (w + v + 2)g_2 + (3v + 4)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v + 2)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

**Situation (c)**

Next, assume that  $(x+1)(a+1)+1 \leq w < (x+1)(a+2)$  with  $1 \leq x \leq a$  and  $x = v$ . Arguing as in the situation (a),  $3(r(a+1)-v) \leq 2a(a+2)+3$  if and only if  $r \leq \frac{2a}{3} + \frac{v+1+\frac{2a}{3}}{a+1}$ . Assume that  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}-1$ . If it was  $e_1 = 1$ , we would have that  $r \leq \frac{2a}{3}$  but  $a-v+2 > \frac{2a}{3}$ , which is a contradiction. For  $v = \frac{a}{3}$ , if it was  $e_1 = 1$  we would have that  $r \leq \frac{2a}{3}+1$ , but  $a-v+2 = \frac{2a}{3}+2$ , giving again a contradiction. Thus, when  $v \leq \frac{a}{3}$  we always have  $e_1 = 0$ . Now, suppose that  $v \geq \frac{a}{3}+1$ . Again,  $e_1 = 1$  if and only if  $r \leq \frac{2a}{3}+1$ , and in this case  $a-v+2 \geq \frac{2a}{3}+1$ . Thus,

$$e_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } a-v+2 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3}+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } \frac{2a}{3}+2 \leq r \leq a+1 \\ & \text{or } 1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 3(l(a+2)-t) &= 3(l(a+2)-(v+1)) \leq a(a+1)-3 \\ \iff l(a+2) &\leq \frac{a}{3}(a+1)+v \\ \iff l &\leq \frac{a}{3} + \frac{v}{a+2} \\ \iff l &\leq \frac{a}{3} + \frac{v-\frac{a}{3}}{a+2} \\ \iff r &\leq \frac{4a}{3} - v + 1 + \frac{v-\frac{a}{3}}{a+2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}-1$ , we have that  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $a-v+2 \leq r \leq \min(a+1, \frac{4a}{3}-v) = a+1$ , so there are no further restrictions on  $r$ . Otherwise, if  $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a$ ,  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $a-v+2 \leq r \leq \min(a+1, \frac{4a}{3}-v+1) = \frac{4a}{3}-v+1$ . We conclude that

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}-1 \\ & \text{or } \frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a \text{ and } a-v+2 \leq r \leq \frac{4a}{3}-v+1, \\ 2 & \text{if } \frac{a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } \frac{4a}{3}-v+2 \leq r \leq a+1. \end{cases}$$

- $e_1 = e_2 = 1$  exactly in the cases:

$$\begin{aligned} - & \frac{a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} \text{ and } a-v+2 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3}+1, \\ - & \frac{2a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } a-v+2 \leq r \leq \frac{4a}{3}-v+1. \end{aligned}$$

These correspond to the points

$$-(2v+1)g_1 - (w+v+2)g_2 + (3v+4)g_3 = -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 1$  exactly in the cases:

$$\begin{aligned} - & 1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}-1, \\ - & \frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}-1 \text{ and } \frac{2a}{3}+2 \leq r \leq \frac{4a}{3}-v+1. \end{aligned}$$

These correspond to the points

$$-(2v+2)g_1 - (w+v+2)g_2 + (3v+4)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 2$  if and only if  $\frac{2a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq a$  and  $\frac{4a}{3}-v+2 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3}+1$ . This corresponds to the points

$$-(2v+2)g_1 - (w+v+3)g_2 + (3v+7)g_3 = -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+3)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 0$  and  $e_2 = 2$  exactly in the cases:

$$\begin{aligned} - & \frac{a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} \text{ and } \frac{4a}{3}-v+2 \leq r \leq a+1, \\ - & \frac{2a}{3}+1 \leq v \leq a \text{ and } \frac{2a}{3}+2 \leq r \leq a+1. \end{aligned}$$

These correspond to the points

$$-(2v+3)g_1 - (w+v+3)g_2 + (3v+7)g_3 = -v - w\rho + (v+3)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

$P_i$	Point	Situation	Region
$P_1$	$-v - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2$	(a)	$0 \leq v \leq a-1, 1 \leq r \leq a-v$
$P_2$		(b)	$0 \leq v \leq a, r = a-v+1$
$P_3$	$-v - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2$	(c)	$0 \leq v \leq a, a-v+2 \leq r \leq a+1$
$P_4$	$-(v-1) - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2$	(d)	$0 \leq v \leq a+1, r = 0$

Table 2: Lattice points in the second parallelepiped with  $s = 0$

$R_i$	Point	Situation	Region
$R_1$	$-(v-1) - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - g_3$	(a)	$0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$
$R_2$		(a)	$\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$
$R_3$		(d)	$0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1, r = 0$
$R_4$	$-v - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - g_3$	(a)	$0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1, \frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$
$R_5$	$-(v-1) - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3$	(a)	$\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}, \frac{2a}{3} - v + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$
$R_6$		(a)	$\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a-1, 1 \leq r \leq a-v$
$R_7$		(b)	$\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a, r = a-v+1$
$R_8$		(c)	$\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a, a-v+2 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} + 1$
$R_9$		(d)	$\frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq v \leq a+1, r = 0$
$R_{10}$	$-v - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3$	(a)	$0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}, \frac{2a}{3} - v + 1 \leq r \leq a-v$
$R_{11}$		(a)	$\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1, \frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a-v$
$R_{12}$		(b)	$0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}, r = a-v+1$
$R_{13}$		(c)	$1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}, a-v+2 \leq r \leq a+1$
$R_{14}$		(c)	$\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a, \frac{a}{3} + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{5a}{3} - v + 2$
$R_{15}$	$-v - w\rho + (v+3)\rho^2 - g_3$	(c)	$\frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq v \leq a, \frac{5a}{3} - v + 3 \leq r \leq a+1$

Table 3: Lattice points in the second parallelepiped with  $s = 1$

### Situation (d)

Let us take  $w = (x+1)(a+2)$  with  $0 \leq x \leq a-1$ . In this case,  $x = v+1$ . First, we always have  $3(r(a+1) - v) < 2a(a+2) + 3$ , so  $e_1 = 1$ . Moreover,  $3(l(a+2) - t) = 3v(a+1) \leq a(a+1) - 3$  if and only if  $v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ . Therefore,  $e_2 = 1$  if  $v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $e_2 = 2$  otherwise. Then:

- $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 1$  if and only if  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ . Together with the point  $-g_2 + g_3$  from (6) (so that  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ ), this corresponds to the points

$$-2vg_1 - (w+v+1)g_2 + (3v+1)g_3 = -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

- $e_1 = 1$  and  $e_2 = 2$  if and only if  $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a$ . Together with the point in (7) with  $s = 2$  (so that  $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a+1$ ), this corresponds to the points

$$-(2v+1)g_1 - (w+v+2)g_2 + (3v+4)g_3 = -(v-1) - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

## 6.4 Representing the lattice points

We list all lattice points in the second parallelepiped in Tables 2, 3 and 4 for the cases  $s = 0$ ,  $s = 1$  and  $s = 2$ , respectively. We call  $P_i$  (resp.  $R_i$ , resp.  $S_i$ ) the set of points in the  $i$ -th row of Table 2 (resp. 3, resp. 4).

For a fixed value of  $s$ , we identify a lattice point  $\alpha_s(v, r)$  with a point  $(v, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . In Figures 1, 2 and 3, we represent the previous regions in two dimensional planes, where the X-axis (resp. Y-axis) corresponds to the values of  $v$  (resp.  $r$ ).

In the statement of Theorem 1.2, the element  $g_3$  in (i) is the only candidate from the first parallelepiped. The elements in (ii), (iii) and (iv) come from the case  $s = 0$ , the ones in (v) have  $s = 1$ , and the remaining ones correspond to  $s = 2$ . In the already mentioned figures, we highlight them in red colour.



$S_i$	Point	Situation	Region
$S_1$ $S_2$	$-(v-1) - w\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - 2g_3$	(a) (d)	$0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 2, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - v - 1$ $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1, r = 0$
$S_3$ $S_4$ $S_5$ $S_6$ $S_7$ $S_8$	$-(v-1) - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3$	(a) (a) (b) (c) (c) (d)	$0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1, \frac{a}{3} - v \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3}$ $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a - 1, 1 \leq r \leq a - v$ $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a, r = a - v + 1$ $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}, a - v + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a, a - v + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{4a}{3} - v + 1$ $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a + 1, r = 0$
$S_9$ $S_{10}$ $S_{11}$ $S_{12}$	$-v - w\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3$	(a) (b) (c) (c)	$0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1, \frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a - v$ $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1, r = a - v + 1$ $1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1, a - v + 2 \leq r \leq a + 1$ $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1, \frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{4a}{3} - v + 1$
$S_{13}$	$-(v-1) - w\rho + (v+3)\rho^2 - 2g_3$	(c)	$\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a, \frac{4a}{3} - v + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$
$S_{14}$ $S_{15}$	$-v - w\rho + (v+3)\rho^2 - 2g_3$	(c) (c)	$\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}, \frac{4a}{3} - v + 2 \leq r \leq a + 1$ $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a, \frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq r \leq a + 1$

Table 4: Lattice points in the second parallelepiped with  $s = 2$




Figure 1: Lattice points with  $s = 0$




Figure 2: Lattice points with  $s = 1$




Figure 3: Lattice points with  $s = 2$

## 6.5 Proof of the main theorem

We proceed to validate Theorem 1.2. We need to prove that the elements listed therein are indecomposable and that all the other lattice points in the parallelepipeds are decomposable.

### 6.5.1 Transformations of lattice points

For later use, we consider the transformations  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  introduced in [16, Section 5.1] and extend them to all elements of  $K$ . Namely, for  $\alpha \in K$ , we define

$$T_1(\alpha) = \alpha'(-1 - a - (a^2 + 3a + 3)\rho + (a + 2)\rho^2), \quad T_2(\alpha) = \alpha''\rho^2.$$

The choice  $s = 0$  corresponds to the ones studied in [16]. Our points  $\alpha_0(v, r)$  are represented therein as  $\alpha_0(v, W)$  with  $W = r - 1$ . With our notation, the definitions of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  in  $\blacktriangle$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(\alpha_0(v, r)) &= (\alpha_0(v, r))'(-1 - a - (a^2 + 3a + 3)\rho + (a + 2)\rho^2) = \alpha_0(r - 1, a + 2 - v - r), \\ T_2(\alpha_0(v, r)) &= (\alpha_0(v, r))''\rho^2 = \alpha_0(a + 1 - v - r, v + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let us study the behaviour of transformations  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  over lattice points with  $s \neq 0$ . It turns out that their behaviour over these points is far more unpredictable than in the case  $s = 0$ . For the sake of simplicity, we will just work with the transformation  $T_1$ .

Let us assume that  $s = 1$  and let us identify a lattice point  $\alpha_1(v, r)$  with a point  $(v, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Using some mathematical software, we can easily check the following:

- If  $(v, r) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^3 R_i$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{2a}{3} + 1, 0 \right) & \text{if } (v, r) = (0, 0), \\ \left( r + \frac{2a}{3}, a + 2 - v - r \right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- If  $(v, r) \in R_4$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \left( r - \frac{a}{3} - 1, a + 1 - v - r \right).$$

- If  $(v, r) \in \bigcup_{i=5}^9 R_i$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \begin{cases} \left( r + \frac{2a}{3}, a + 2 - v - r \right) & \text{if } v + r \leq a + 2, \\ \left( r + \frac{2a}{3} - 1, 2a + 4 - v - r \right) & \text{if } v + r > a + 2. \end{cases}$$

- If  $(v, r) \in \bigcup_{i=10}^{14} R_i$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \begin{cases} \left(r - \frac{a}{3} - 1, a + 1 - v - r\right) & \text{if } v + r \leq a + 1, \\ \left(r - \frac{a}{3} - 2, 2a + 3 - v - r\right) & \text{if } v + r > a + 1. \end{cases}$$

- If  $(v, r) \in R_{15}$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \left(r - \frac{a}{3} - 2, 2a + 3 - v - r\right).$$

We see that at each case  $T_1$  behaves as a composition of symmetries and translations. In the following, we list the images of some regions  $R_i$  by  $T_1$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Under the previous notations, we have:*

- $T_1(R_1 \cup R_2) = R_{14}$ ,
- $T_1(R_3 - \{(0, 0)\}) = \{v = \frac{2a}{3}, \frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a + 1\} \subset R_{12} \cup R_{13}$ ,
- $T_1(0, 0) = (\frac{2a}{3} + 1, 0)$ ,
- $T_1(R_{10} \cup R_{11}) = R_1 \cup R_2$ ,
- $T_1(R_{12}) = R_3 - \{(\frac{2a}{3} + 1, 0)\}$ ,
- $T_1(R_5) = R_8$ ,
- $T_1(R_9 \cup R_{15}) = R_5$ .

*Proof.* We may check directly each inequality. Let us do it explicitly for the first one. Given  $(v, r) \in R_1 \cup R_2$ , we know that  $T_1(v, r) = (v', r')$ , where

$$\begin{cases} v' = r + \frac{2a}{3}, \\ r' = a + 2 - v - r. \end{cases}$$

If  $(v, r) \in R_1$ , we have that  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ . We see directly that  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v' \leq a$ . On the other hand,  $\frac{2a}{3} + 2 - r \leq r' \leq a + 2 - r$ . Now,  $\frac{2a}{3} + 2 - r = \frac{4a}{3} + 2 - v'$  and  $a + 2 - r = \frac{5a}{3} - v' + 2$ , so  $\frac{4a}{3} - v' + 2 \leq r' \leq \frac{5a}{3} - v' + 2$ . If  $(v, r) \in R_2$ , we have that  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ . It is direct that  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v' \leq \frac{4a}{3} - v + 1 \leq a$ . On the other hand, since  $v + r \leq \frac{2a}{3}$ , we have that  $r' \geq \frac{2a}{3} + 2$ . Finally,  $v' + r' = \frac{2a}{3} + 2 - v \leq \frac{4a}{3} + 1$ , so  $r' \leq \frac{4a}{3} - v' + 1$ . Joining the two cases, we see that  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v' \leq a$  and  $\frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq r' \leq \frac{5a}{3} - v' + 2$ . Since all these steps are reversible, we conclude that  $T_1(R_1 \cup R_2) = R_{14}$ .  $\square$

Now, let us assume that  $s = 2$  and study the behaviour of  $T_1$  over the points  $\alpha_2(v, r)$ . As in the previous case, we identify a lattice point  $\alpha_2(v, r)$  with a point  $(v, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

- If  $(v, r) \in S_1 \cup S_2$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \left(r + \frac{a}{3}, a + 1 - r - v\right).$$

- If  $(v, r) \in S_3 \cup S_4 \cup S_5 \cup S_6 \cup S_7 \cup S_8$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \begin{cases} \left(r + \frac{a}{3}, a + 1 - v - r\right) & \text{if } v + r \leq a + 1, \\ \left(r + \frac{a}{3} - 1, 2a + 3 - v - r\right) & \text{if } v + r > a + 1. \end{cases}$$

- If  $(v, r) \in S_9 \cup S_{10} \cup S_{11} \cup S_{12}$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \begin{cases} \left(r - \frac{2a}{3} - 1, a - r - v\right) & \text{if } v + r \leq a, \\ \left(r - \frac{2a}{3} - 2, 2a - r - v + 2\right) & \text{if } v + r > a. \end{cases}$$

- If  $(v, r) \in S_{13}$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \left(r + \frac{a}{3} - 1, 2a + 3 - v - r\right).$$

- If  $(v, r) \in S_{14} \cup S_{15}$ ,

$$T_1(v, r) = \left( r - \frac{2a}{3} - 2, 2a + 2 - v - r \right).$$

Again, we can use these expressions to identify the images of regions  $S_i$  by  $T_1$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let us identify a lattice point  $\alpha_2(v, r)$  with a point  $(v, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . We have:*

- $T_1(S_1 \cup S_2) = S_{12}$ ,
- $T_1(S_3) = S_6 \cup S_7$ ,
- $T_1(S_6 \cup S_7) = S_{14} \cup S_{15}$ ,
- $T_1(S_{12}) = S_9$ .

### 6.5.2 Proving the indecomposability

First, we prove the indecomposability of the elements in the statement of Theorem 1.2. We begin with the elements of minimal trace 1.

**Proposition 6.3.** *The elements in Theorem 1.2 (i), (v), (vi), (vii) and (viii) have minimal trace 1, and consequently they are indecomposables.*

*Proof.* For (i), i.e. the point  $g_3$ , we just note that  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_3 \in \mathcal{O}_K^\vee$  is a root of the polynomial

$$x^3 - x^2 + \frac{a+3}{a^2+3a+9}x - \frac{2a+3}{(a^2+3a+9)^2},$$

so it is totally positive, and that

$$\text{Tr}((\varphi_1 + \varphi_3)g_3) = 1.$$

For (v), let  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ . The element  $3\varphi_1 + 2\varphi_3 \in \mathcal{O}_K^\vee$  is a root of the polynomial

$$x^3 - 3x^2 + \frac{18}{a^2+3a+9}x - \frac{27}{(a^2+3a+9)^2},$$

so it is totally positive. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}((3\varphi_1 + 2\varphi_3)(-(2v+1)g_1 - (v(a+3) + r+1)g_2 + (3v+2)g_3)) = \\ -6v - 3 + 6v + 4 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the elements in (vii) and (viii) are associated with conjugates of elements in (vi), it is enough to work with the last ones. Let  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ . We already know that  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_3 \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\vee,+}$ . Now, we have

$$\text{Tr}((\varphi_1 + \varphi_3)(-(r+1)g_2 + g_3)) = 1.$$

□

On the other hand, the remaining indecomposables have minimal trace 2.

**Proposition 6.4.** *The elements in Theorem 1.2 (ii), (iii) and (iv) have minimal trace 2.*

*Proof.* Let us recover the notation in Section 6.1. We have that  $T_1$  maps bijectively the points from (ii) to (iv), from (iv) to (iii), and from (iii) to (ii). This means that the elements in (iii) and (iv) are associated with conjugates of elements in (ii). Moreover, the points in (ii) lie in  $\blacktriangle_0(a)$ . Therefore, it is enough to prove the statement of the points in (ii).

Let  $\alpha = -g_1 - (r+1)g_2 + 3g_3$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ , be a lattice point as in (ii). Since  $\text{Tr}((\varphi_1 + \varphi_3)\alpha) = 2$ , the minimal trace of  $\alpha$  is upper bounded by 2. Let us assume that there exists a totally positive element of the codifferent  $\delta = u_1\varphi_1 + u_2\varphi_2 + u_3\varphi_3$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\alpha\delta) = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha\delta) = -u_1 - (r+1)u_2 + 3u_3 = 1.$$

It implies that  $u_1 = -(r+1)u_2 + 3u_3 - 1$ . Now we will consider several totally positive elements, whose product with  $\delta$  gives a totally positive element with a positive trace. We will show that these traces cannot be all positive at the same time.

First of all, let us consider the element  $-g_1 - rg_2 + 3g_3$ . This element is totally positive for  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ . Note that it is also true for  $r = 1$  as  $-g_1 - g_2 + 3g_3 = \rho^2$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(\delta(-g_1 - rg_2 + 3g_3)) = (r+1)u_2 - 3u_3 + 1 - ru_2 + 3u_3 = u_2 + 1.$$

This trace is positive only if  $u_2 \geq 0$ . Similarly, when we consider the totally positive element  $-g_1 - (r+2)g_2 + 3g_3$ , we get

$$\text{Tr}(\delta(-g_1 - (r+2)g_2 + 3g_3)) = (r+1)u_2 - 3u_3 + 1 - (r+2)u_2 + 3u_3 = -u_2 + 1.$$

This trace is positive only if  $u_2 \leq 0$ , which, together with the previous part, gives  $u_2 = 0$ .

When we consider the element  $g_3$ , we can conclude that

$$\text{Tr}(\delta g_3) = u_3,$$

which implies  $u_3 > 0$ . On the other hand, for  $-g_1 - (\frac{a}{3} + 2)g_2 + 2g_3$ , we see that

$$\text{Tr}\left(\delta\left(-g_1 - \left(\frac{a}{3} + 2\right)g_2 + 2g_3\right)\right) = -3u_3 + 1 + 2u_3 = -u_3 + 1,$$

which leads to  $u_3 \geq 0$ . Thus, there is no  $u_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $\delta$  would be totally positive.  $\square$

Next, we prove that these are indecomposable.

**Proposition 6.5.** *The elements in Theorem 1.2 (ii), (iii) and (iv) are indecomposable.*

*Proof.* As in Proposition 6.4, it is enough to prove the indecomposability for points in (ii).

Let  $\alpha = -g_1 - (r+1)g_2 + 3g_3$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ , be a lattice point as in (ii). Since it has minimal trace 2, its only possible decomposition is as a sum of elements whose trace after multiplication by  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_3$  is equal to 1, i.e.

$$\alpha = (-v_1g_1 - w_1g_2 + (v_1+1)g_3) + (-v_2g_1 - w_2g_2 + (v_2+1)g_3).$$

First of all, let us discuss the case when  $v_1 < 0$ , and firstly assume  $v_1 \leq -2$ . If  $w_1 > 0$ , then

$$-v_1g_1 - w_1g_2 + (v_1+1)g_3 < 0,$$

since  $a+1 < \rho < a+1 + \frac{2}{a}$  and  $g_3 \geq 3$  for  $a \geq 21$ . Thus, in this case we must have  $w_1 \leq 0$ . Moreover, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(-v_1g_1 - w_1g_2 + (v_1+1)g_3) &= -3v_1 - aw_1 + (v_1+1)\frac{a^2+3a+9}{3} \\ &= \frac{a^2+3a}{3}v_1 - aw_1 + \frac{a^2+3a+9}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

This trace is negative or zero if

$$\frac{(a+3)(v_1+1)}{3} + \frac{3}{a} \leq w_1,$$

in which case our element is not totally positive. Thus, let us assume that  $\frac{(a+3)(v_1+1)}{3} + \frac{3}{a} > w_1$ . We have

$$-v_1g_1 - w_1g_2 + (v_1+1)g_3 = \frac{-2v_1+1}{3} - \left(w_1 - \frac{v_1+1}{3}\right)\rho + \frac{v_1+1}{3}\rho^2.$$

Under our assumption on  $v_1$  and  $w_1$ , we see that the coefficient before  $\rho$  is positive, and the coefficient before  $\rho^2$  is negative. Since  $-1 - \frac{1}{a} < \rho' < -1 - \frac{1}{2a}$ , we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{-2v_1+1}{3} - \left(w_1 - \frac{v_1+1}{3}\right)\rho' + \frac{v_1+1}{3}\rho'^2 < \frac{-2v_1+1}{3} + \left(w_1 - \frac{v_1+1}{3}\right) + \frac{v_1+1}{3} \\ &\leq \frac{-2v_1+1}{3} + \frac{(a+3)(v_1+1)}{3} + \frac{3}{a} - \frac{v_1+1}{3} + \frac{v_1+1}{3} = \frac{(a+1)(v_1+1)}{3} + 1 + \frac{3}{a} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $a \geq 21$ . It implies that if  $v_1 \leq -2$ , then the element of this form is never totally positive.

If  $v_1 = -1$ , we obtain the element  $1 - w_1\rho$ . If  $w_1 > 0$ , then  $1 - w_1\rho < 0$ , and if  $w_1 < 0$ , then  $1 - w_1\rho' < 0$ . We obtain a totally positive element only if  $w_1 = 0$ , i.e., the element 1. However, we know that  $\alpha$  is smaller than 1 for some embedding, so 1 cannot appear in its decomposition.

Therefore, we must have  $v_1 \geq 0$ , and this is also true for  $v_2$ . Without loss of generality, we thus must have  $v_1 = 1$  and  $v_2 = 0$ . If  $w_2 < 0$ , we get

$$-w_2\rho' + \frac{1 + \rho' + \rho'^2}{3} = \frac{1}{3} - \left(w_2 - \frac{1}{3}\right)\rho' + \frac{1}{3}\rho'^2 < \frac{1}{3} + w_2 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)^2}{3} = w_2 + \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)^2}{3} < 0$$

as, clearly,  $\frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)^2}{3} < 1$  for  $a \geq 21$ . Therefore,  $w_2 \geq 0$ .

Similarly, if  $w_1 < 0$ , we obtain

$$-1 + \frac{2}{3} - \left(w_1 - \frac{2}{3}\right)\rho' + \frac{2}{3}\rho'^2 < -1 + \frac{2}{3} + w_1 - \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)^2 < 0.$$

Thus, we have  $0 \leq w_1, w_2 \leq r + 1 \leq \frac{a}{3} + 1$ .

Now, we will use the remaining root  $-\frac{1}{a+2} < \rho'' < -\frac{1}{a+3}$ . If  $w_1 = 0$ , we have

$$-1 + 2\frac{1 + \rho'' + \rho''^2}{3} < -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{a+3} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{(a+2)^2} < 0$$

for  $a \geq 21$ . Similarly, if  $w_1 > 0$ , we obtain

$$-1 - w_1\rho'' + 2\frac{1 + \rho'' + \rho''^2}{3} = -\frac{1}{3} - \left(w_1 - \frac{2}{3}\right)\rho'' + \frac{2}{3}\rho''^2 < -\frac{1}{3} + \left(w_1 - \frac{2}{3}\right)\frac{1}{a+2} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{(a+2)^2}$$

The expression on the right side is positive only if

$$w_1 > \frac{a}{3} + \frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{3(a+2)} > \frac{a}{3} + 1$$

for  $a \geq 21$ . This is impossible as we must have  $w_1 \leq \frac{a}{3} + 1$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  is indecomposable.  $\square$

### 6.5.3 Finding decompositions of lattice points

We have proved that the elements in Theorem 1.2 are indecomposable, and we have given their minimal traces. Now, we prove that all the other lattice points in the second parallelepiped are decomposable. Again, we have to distinguish different cases depending on the value of  $s$ .

Let us assume that  $s = 0$ . We already know that the points from  $P_3$  and  $P_4$  are decomposable (because they are indecomposables in  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ , see [16, Lemma 4.2]). Thus, we are left with the regions  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Their union correspond to the triangle  $\blacktriangle$  (note that since the points in situation (d) are discarded, we can denote  $v = x$ ).

Recall the definition of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  in Section 6.5.1. Since the factors multiplying the conjugate of  $\alpha_0(v, r)$  at each line are totally positive units, we have that for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $\alpha_0(v, r)$  is indecomposable if and only if so is  $T_i(\alpha_0(v, r))$ . Moreover, for each  $\alpha \in \blacktriangle$ , there is  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $T_i(\alpha)$  lies in the region

$$\blacktriangle_0(a) = \left\{ \alpha_0(v, r) \mid 0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1, v + 1 \leq r \leq a - 2v \right\} \cup \left\{ \alpha_0\left(\frac{a}{3}, \frac{a}{3} + 1\right) \right\}.$$

Therefore, it is enough to study the decomposability of the lattice points in  $\blacktriangle_0(a)$ .

**Proposition 6.6.** *The elements with  $s = 0$  other than the ones in Theorem 1.2 (ii), (iii) and (iv) are decomposable.*

*Proof.* We know that it is enough to look at the points in  $\blacktriangle_0(a)$ . Thus, let us consider  $\alpha \in \blacktriangle_0(a)$ , i.e. we have

$$\alpha = -v - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2, \tag{8}$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $v + 1 \leq r \leq a - 2v$ , or  $\alpha = \alpha_0\left(\frac{a}{3}, \frac{a}{3} + 1\right)$ . Among these, the points that are known to be indecomposable (from Proposition 6.5) are the ones in Theorem 1.2 (ii), i.e. for  $v = 0$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ .

Let us assume that  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ . Then  $\alpha - g_3$  is one of the points in Theorem 1.2 (v), so  $\alpha$  is decomposable.

If  $v = 0$  and  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \left[ -\frac{2a}{3}\rho + \rho^2 - g_3 \right] \\ &\quad + \left[ 1 - \left( r - \frac{2a}{3} - 1 \right) \rho + \rho^2 - 2g_3 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first summand is  $\alpha_1(0, \frac{2a}{3}) \in R_4$ . On the other hand,  $0 \leq r' \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  for  $r' = r - \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ , so the second summand is the point  $\alpha_2(0, r')$  that belongs to  $S_1$  if  $1 \leq r' \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and to  $S_2$  if  $r' = 0$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  is decomposable for this case.

Assume that  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $v + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \left[ -\left( \frac{a}{3} + 1 \right) \rho + \rho^2 - g_3 \right] \\ &\quad + \left[ -\left( v - 1 \right) - \left( v(a + 2) + r - \frac{a}{3} - 2 \right) \rho + (v + 1)\rho^2 - 2g_3 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first summand is  $\alpha_1(0, \frac{a}{3} + 1) \in R_4$ . The second one can be rewritten as

$$-\left( v - 1 \right) - \left( (v - 1)(a + 2) + r + \frac{2a}{3} \right) \rho + (v + 1)\rho^2 - 2g_3.$$

For  $v' = v - 1$  and  $r' = r + \frac{2a}{3}$ , this is of the form

$$-v' - \left( v'(a + 2) + r' \right) \rho + (v' + 2)\rho^2 - 2g_3,$$

with  $0 \leq v' \leq \frac{a}{3} - 2$ .

- If  $r \leq \frac{a}{3} - v + 1$ , then  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 + v \leq r' \leq a - v + 1 = a - v'$  and the point above is  $\alpha_2(v', r') \in S_9$ .
- If  $r = \frac{a}{3} - v + 2$ , then  $r' = a - v' + 1$  and we obtain  $\alpha_2(v', r') \in S_{10}$ .
- In the remaining cases,  $a - v' + 2 \leq r' \leq a$  and we obtain  $\alpha_2(v', r') \in S_{11}$ .

Now, consider the same decomposition for  $\alpha = \alpha_0(\frac{a}{3}, \frac{a}{3} + 1)$ , i.e.  $v = \frac{a}{3}$  and  $r = \frac{a}{3} + 1$ . Then  $v' = \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $r' = a + 1$ , so that  $\alpha_2(v', r') \in S_{11}$ .

Assume that  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{2a}{3} - v + 1 \leq r \leq a - 2v$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \left[ -\frac{2a}{3}\rho + \rho^2 - g_3 \right] \\ &\quad + \left[ -\left( v - 1 \right) - \left( v(a + 2) + r - \frac{2a}{3} - 1 \right) \rho + (v + 1)\rho^2 - 2g_3 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We already know that the first summand is totally positive. Let us assume that  $\frac{2a}{3} - v + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3}$  (for simplicity, even when  $a - 2v \leq \frac{2a}{3}$ , which happens if and only if  $v \geq \lceil \frac{a}{6} \rceil$  and in which case  $\alpha$  is as in (8)). We rewrite the second summand as

$$-\left( v - 1 \right) - \left( (v - 1)(a + 2) + r + \frac{a}{3} + 1 \right) \rho + (v + 1)\rho^2 - 2g_3,$$

which is of the form

$$-v' - \left( v'(a + 2) + r' \right) \rho + (v' + 2)\rho^2 - 2g_3$$

for  $v' = v - 1$  and  $r' = r + \frac{a}{3} + 1$ , so that  $a - v' + 1 \leq r' \leq a + 1$ . If  $v = 1$ , then  $v' = 0$  and  $r' = a + 1$ , and we obtain the lattice point  $\alpha_2(0, a + 1) \in S_{10}$ . Otherwise, if  $2 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ , then  $1 \leq v' \leq \frac{a}{3} - 2$ , and we obtain a lattice point  $\alpha_2(v', r')$  in  $S_{10}$  if  $r' = a - v' + 1$  and in  $S_{11}$  if  $a - v' + 2 \leq r' \leq a + 1$ . Finally, let us assume that  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq a - 2v$ , which in particular implies that  $1 \leq v \leq \lfloor \frac{a-3}{6} \rfloor < \frac{a}{3} - 1$ . Let  $r' = r - \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ . If  $r = \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ , then  $r' = 0$  and the second summand above equals  $\alpha_2(v, 0) \in S_2$ . Otherwise, if  $\frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq r \leq a - 2v$ , then  $1 \leq r' \leq \frac{a}{3} - 2v - 1$ , and we obtain  $\alpha_2(v, r') \in S_1$ .

We have seen that all points in  $\blacktriangle_0(a)$  other than the ones in Theorem 1.2 (ii) can be written as a sum of totally positive elements, so they are decomposable.  $\square$



In the cases with  $s \neq 0$ ,  $T_1$  also preserves decompositions in both directions. Hence, we can use it to reduce the list of lattice points for which we have to find a decomposition.

**Proposition 6.7.** *The elements with  $s = 1$  other than the ones in Theorem 1.2 (v) are decomposable.*

*Proof.* Note that the points in Theorem 1.2 (v) are just the ones in  $R_4$ . By Lemma 6.1, it is enough to prove the decomposability of points in  $R_1, R_2, R_3, R_5, R_6, R_7$  and  $R_{13} - \{v = \frac{2a}{3}, \frac{a}{3} + 2 \leq r \leq a + 1\}$ .

We first consider the points of the form

$$\alpha = -(v-1) - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - g_3,$$

which correspond to the regions  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$ . We have the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= [1 + \rho^2 - 2g_3] + [-(v-1) - (v(a+2) + r - 1)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - 2g_3] = \alpha_2(0, 0) + P, \\ \alpha &= \left[-\frac{2a}{3}\rho + \rho^2 - g_3\right] + \left[-(v-1) - \left((v-1)(a+2) + r + \frac{a}{3} + 2\right)\rho + v\rho^2\right] \\ &= \alpha_1\left(0, \frac{2a}{3}\right) + Q. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that either  $P$  or  $Q$  are totally positive depending on the values of  $v$  and  $r$ . Let us suppose that  $\alpha \in R_1 \cup R_2$ , so  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \min(\frac{a}{3}, \frac{2a}{3} - v)$ . If  $v = 0$  and we call  $r' = r - 1$ , then  $P = 1 - r'\rho + \rho^2 - 2g_3$  with  $0 \leq r' \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ . For  $r = 1$ , we obtain  $P = \alpha_2(0, 0) \in S_2$ , with  $(e_1, e_2) = (1, 1)$ , while for  $2 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ , we obtain  $P = \alpha_2(0, r') \in S_1$ . Next, suppose that  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ . Let us call  $v' = v - 1$  and  $r' = r + \frac{a}{3} + 2$ . Then  $Q = -v' - (v'(a+2) + r')\rho + (v'+1)\rho^2$ , with  $0 \leq v' \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 2$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 3 \leq r' \leq \min(\frac{2a}{3}, a - v' + 1)$ . Then  $Q = \alpha_0(v', r') \in \blacktriangle$  is totally positive. Finally, we assume that  $\alpha \in R_3$ , so that  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$  and  $r = 0$ . For  $v = 0$ , we have  $\alpha = 1 + \rho^2 - g_3 = \alpha_1(0, 0)$ , which we already know is decomposable, and  $T_1(\alpha_1(0, 0)) = \alpha_1(\frac{2a}{3} + 1, 0)$ , so we may assume that  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}$ . For  $v' = v - 1$  and  $r' = \frac{a}{3} + 2$ , we have  $Q = -v' - (v'(a+2) + r')\rho + (v'+1)\rho^2$ , with  $0 \leq v' \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$  and  $0 < r' < a - v' + 1$ . Then  $Q = \alpha_0(v', r') \in \blacktriangle$  is totally positive.

Next, let us take  $\alpha \in R_5 \cup R_6 \cup R_7$ , so that

$$\alpha = -(v-1) - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3$$

with  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3}$  and  $\frac{2a}{3} - v + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$  or  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a$  and  $1 \leq r \leq a - v + 1$ . Let us write

$$\alpha = \alpha_2(0, 0) + [-(v-1) - (v(a+2) + r - 1)\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3].$$

On the other hand, our hypotheses on  $v$  and  $r$  imply that in particular  $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a$  and  $1 \leq r \leq a - v + 1$ , so the second summand is just  $\alpha_2(v, r) \in S_4 \cup S_5$ , and hence it is totally positive. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is decomposable for this case.

It remains to study the points in the region  $R_{13} - \{v = \frac{2a}{3}, \frac{a}{3} + 2 \leq r \leq a + 1\}$ . That is, we consider

$$\alpha = -v - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - g_3,$$

with  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$  and  $a - v + 2 \leq r \leq a + 1$ . Let us write

$$\alpha = \left[-\left(\frac{a}{3} + 1\right)\rho + \rho^2 - g_3\right] + \left[-v - \left(v(a+2) + r - \frac{a}{3} - 1\right)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2\right]$$

The first summand is  $\alpha_1(0, \frac{a}{3} + 1)$ , which is totally positive. As for the second one, let  $r' = r - \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and assume that  $r \leq \frac{4a}{3} - v + 1$  (note that this does not impose any restriction if  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}$ ). Then  $\frac{2a}{3} - v + 1 \leq r' \leq \min(\frac{2a}{3}, a - v)$ , so we obtain  $\alpha_0(v, r') \in \blacktriangle$ , which is totally positive. Hence,  $\alpha$  is decomposable. The remaining case corresponds to the points for which  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{4a}{3} - v + 2 \leq r \leq a + 1$ . But those are the images by  $T_1$  of the points in  $R_{13}$  for which  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3}$ , so they are decomposable.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.8.** *The elements with  $s = 2$  other than the ones in Theorem 1.2 (vi), (vii) and (viii) are decomposable.*

*Proof.* Among these lattice points, the indecomposables correspond to:

- the line  $\{v = 0, 0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1\}$ , contained in  $S_1 \cup S_2$ ,
- the line  $\{0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1, r = \frac{2a}{3} + 1\}$ , contained in  $S_9$ ,
- the line  $\{\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1, r = \frac{4a}{3} - v + 1\}$ , contained in  $S_{12}$ .

and we know that they are indecomposable from Proposition 6.3. Moreover, by Lemma 6.2, it is enough to find decompositions for all the other points in the regions  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5, S_8, S_{10}, S_{11}$  and  $S_{13}$ .

We start with the regions  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Among their points, the line  $\{\alpha_2(0, r) \mid 0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1\}$  are the elements in Theorem 1.2 (vi), and we know that they are indecomposable from Proposition 6.3. The remaining points are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= -(v-1) - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - 2g_3 \\ &= \alpha_1\left(0, \frac{2a}{3}\right) + \left[-(v-1) - \left(v(a+2) + r - \frac{2a}{3}\right)\rho + v\rho^2 - g_3\right]\end{aligned}$$

with  $1 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - v - 1$ . We rewrite the second summand as

$$-v' - \left(v'(a+2) + r'\right)\rho + (v'+1)\rho^2 - g_3,$$

where  $v' = v - 1$  and  $r' = r + \frac{a}{3} + 2$ . Since  $0 \leq v' \leq \frac{a}{3} - 2$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 2 \leq r' \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v'$ , this is one of the indecomposables in Theorem 1.2 (v).

Next, we consider the points from the regions  $S_3, S_4, S_5$  and  $S_8$ , which are of the form

$$\alpha = -(v-1) - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3$$

with  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} - v \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3}$  or  $\frac{a}{3} \leq v \leq a+1$  and  $0 \leq r \leq a+1-v$ . First we assume that  $r > 0$ , so that  $v \leq a$ . Let us write

$$\alpha = [1 + \rho^2 - 2g_3] + [-v - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2].$$

Under these hypotheses we have  $1 \leq r \leq a - v + 1$ , so the second summand belongs to  $\blacktriangle$  and hence it is totally positive. Now, let us choose  $r = 0$  and write

$$\alpha = \left[-\left(\frac{a}{3} + 1\right)\rho + \rho^2 - g_3\right] + \left[-(v-1) - \left((v-1)(a+2) + \frac{2a}{3} + 1\right)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - g_3\right].$$

The first summand is  $\alpha_1(0, \frac{a}{3} + 1)$ . The second one can be rewritten as

$$-v' - \left(v'(a+2) + r'\right)\rho + (v'+2)\rho^2 - g_3,$$

where  $v' = v - 1$  and  $r' = \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ . This belongs to:

- $R_{10}$ , if  $v = \frac{a}{3}$ ;
- $R_{12}$ , if  $v = \frac{a}{3} + 1$ ;
- $R_{13}$ , if  $\frac{a}{3} + 2 \leq v \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ ;
- $R_{14}$ , if  $\frac{2a}{3} + 2 \leq v \leq a + 1$ .

Hence, the points in these regions are decomposable.

Now, we consider the points from the regions  $S_{10}$  and  $S_{11}$ , which are of the form

$$\alpha = -v - (v(a+2) + r)\rho + (v+2)\rho^2 - 2g_3$$

with  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $a - v + 1 \leq r \leq a + 1$ . Let us write

$$\alpha = \left[-\left(v+r - \frac{2a}{3}\right)\rho + \rho^2 - g_3\right] + \left[-v - \left(v(a+2) + \frac{2a}{3} - v\right)\rho + (v+1)\rho^2 - g_3\right].$$

The second summand is clearly the point  $\alpha_1(v, \frac{2a}{3} - v) \in R_4$ , and consequently totally positive. As for the first one, if we define  $r' = v + r - \frac{2a}{3}$ , from  $a - v + 1 \leq r \leq a + 1$  we obtain that

$p$	$a \bmod p^2$	$(k, l)$	Indecomposables in the first parallelepiped
7	5	(2, 6)	none
	41	(4, 3)	none
13	66	(3, 8)	$-g_2 + 2g_3, -g_1 - 3g_2 + 6g_3, -g_1 - 3g_2 + 5g_3$
	100	(9, 7)	$-g_1 - g_2 + 2g_3, -3g_1 - 2g_2 + 5g_3, -4g_1 - 3g_2 + 6g_3$
19	154	(11, 5)	$-2g_1 - g_2 + 4g_3, -3g_1 - g_2 + 6g_3, -5g_1 - 2g_2 + 9g_3$
	204	(7, 16)	$-g_1 - 3g_2 + 4g_3, -2g_1 - 5g_2 + 6g_3, -3g_1 - 7g_2 + 9g_3$
31	356	(25, 21)	$-2g_1 - 2g_2 + 3g_3, -10g_1 - 8g_2 + 13g_3, -12g_1 - 10g_2 + 15g_3,$ $-6g_1 - 5g_2 + 8g_3, -7g_1 - 6g_2 + 9g_3, -11g_1 - 9g_2 + 14g_3$
	602	(5, 12)	$-g_2 + 3g_3, -2g_1 - 5g_2 + 15g_3, -2g_1 - 5g_2 + 13g_3,$ $-g_1 - 3g_2 + 9g_3, -g_1 - 3g_2 + 8g_3, -2g_1 - 5g_2 + 14g_3$

Table 5: Indecomposables in the first parallelepiped for low values of  $p > 3$

$\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r' \leq \frac{a}{3} + 1 + v$ , and since  $v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  we have actually that  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r' \leq \frac{2a}{3}$ . Therefore the first summand is  $\alpha_1(0, r') \in R_4$ . Hence it is totally positive, and  $\alpha$  is decomposable.

Finally, we consider the points of the region  $S_{13}$ , which are of the form

$$\alpha = -(v-1) - (v(a+2)+r)\rho + (v+3)\rho^2 - 2g_3,$$

where  $\frac{2a}{3} + 1 \leq v \leq a$  and  $\frac{4a}{3} - v + 2 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} + 1$ . We have that

$$\alpha = [1 + \rho^2 - 2g_3] + [-v - (v(a+2)+r)\rho + (v+2)\rho^2].$$

Since  $r > a - v + 2$ , the second summand is a lattice point  $\alpha_0(v, r) \in P_3$ , so it is totally positive, and  $\alpha$  is decomposable.  $\square$

We conclude that Theorem 1.2 is established from Propositions 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7 and 6.8.

## 6.6 Other families

Now we will briefly discuss indecomposables for families for other primes  $p$ . It seems that the behaviour of indecomposables is different in comparison to  $p = 3$ , which can be seen even from some partial results. For example, in Table 5, we can find all indecomposable integers in the first parallelepiped formed by units 1,  $\rho^2$  and  $\rho^2\rho'^2$ . Recall that for  $p = 3$ , we have one indecomposable integer in this parallelepiped, namely  $g_3 = \frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$ . For  $p = 7$ , we do not obtain any indecomposable integer here. On the other hand, for families with  $p = 13, 19$ , we get three indecomposables, and for  $p = 31$ , we obtain six such elements. Note that each of these indecomposables has minimal trace 1. Moreover, similarly as in the second parallelepiped, these elements form triples  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  so that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha'\varepsilon_1$  and  $\alpha_3 = \alpha''\varepsilon_2$  where  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are concrete units in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . In the following, similarly as for  $p = 3$ , we use the notation  $g_1 = 1$ ,  $g_2 = \rho$  and  $g_3 = \frac{k+l\rho+\rho^2}{p}$ .

Moreover, the fact that the situation significantly differs for primes  $p > 3$  can also be seen in the following proposition, which gives the complete characterization of indecomposable integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  for  $a = 41$ .

**Proposition 6.9.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  where  $\rho$  is a root of the polynomial  $x^3 - 41x^2 - 44x - 1$ . Then, up to multiplication of totally positive units, all the indecomposable integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  are 1,*

1.  $-(5v+2)g_1 - wg_2 + (7v+3)g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq 5$  and  $46v+14 \leq w \leq 45v+19$ ,
2.  $-vg_2 + g_3$  where  $1 \leq v \leq 6$ ,
3.  $-g_1 - vg_2 + 2g_3$  where  $1 \leq v \leq 12$ ,
4.  $-(5v+125)g_1 - (45v+1132)g_2 + (7v+176)g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq 5$ ,
5.  $-(5v+31)g_1 - (45v+283)g_2 + (7v+44)g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq 11$ ,
6.  $-(5v+5)g_1 - (46v+22)g_2 + (7v+8)g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq 5$ ,
7.  $-(5v+6)g_1 - (46v+41)g_2 + (7v+9)g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq 11$ ,

which are of minimal trace 1,

8.  $-3g_1 - vg_2 + 5g_3$  where  $9 \leq v \leq 14$ ,
9.  $-(5v + 3)g_1 - (45v + 32)g_2 + (7v + 5)g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq 5$ ,
10.  $-(5v + 93)g_1 - (46v + 837)g_2 + (7v + 131)g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq 5$ ,
11.  $-4g_1 - 4g_2 + 7g_3$ ,  $-4g_1 - 45g_2 + 7g_3$  and  $-209g_1 - 1890g_2 + 294g_3$ ,

which are of minimal trace 2,

12.  $-4g_1 - vg_2 + 7g_3$  where  $5 \leq v \leq 9$ ,
13.  $-(5v + 4)g_1 - (45v + 45)g_2 + (7v + 7)g_3$  where  $1 \leq v \leq 5$ ,
14.  $-(5v + 184)g_1 - (46v + 1660)g_2 + (7v + 259)g_3$  where  $0 \leq v \leq 4$ ,

which are of minimal trace 3.

The distribution of elements in Proposition 6.9 is not random, and it is highly influenced by elements of the codifferent, which we use in the determination of the minimal trace. In particular, for almost all elements, we can use one of four concrete elements of the codifferent as follows: first one for the triangle of elements in (1), second one for (2), (3), (8) and (12), third one for (4), (5), (9) and (13), and fourth one for (6), (7), (10) and (14). Only elements from (11) need different elements of the codifferent to get the minimal trace 2 (and not 3, which we would get by applying one of four elements of the codifferent mentioned above). We can also highlight that in comparison to the family with  $p = 3$ , we obtain indecomposable integers with minimal trace 3. Note that these elements are also indecomposable in the order  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ .

## 7 Consequences

In this section, we will use our knowledge of indecomposable integers for  $p = 3$  to derive several other results for these fields. First, we will focus on the minimal norm of algebraic integers in  $K$  not associated with rational integers. Such a minimal norm for monogenic simplest cubic fields is  $2a + 3$  as was shown by Lemmermeyer and Pethö [23]. We will prove that except for a few cases of  $a$ ,  $2a + 3$  is still minimal for our family with  $p = 3$ .

Then, we will find an upper bound on the norm of indecomposable integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Recall that in any totally real number field, the norm of indecomposable integers is bounded [3, 18]. So far, this upper bound was intensively studied for real quadratic fields [7, 11, 12, 35] and several families of monogenic totally real cubic number fields [34]. Let us highlight that our bound differs from the bound given in [34] for the simplest cubic fields with  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ .

Moreover, we will use indecomposable integers to show that the Pythagoras number of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is always 6 in our subfamily. A similar result was derived by Tinková [33], who proved that the Pythagoras number of  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  is 6 whenever  $a \geq 3$ . Moreover, in the final subsection, we will find both upper and lower bounds on the minimal number of variables of universal quadratic forms over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . For that, we will follow the procedure developed in [16], which is based on the knowledge of indecomposable integers and their minimal traces after multiplication by elements of the codifferent.

### 7.1 The smallest norm

Now, we will find the smallest norm of elements which are not associated with rational integers. To do that, we use the knowledge of the structure of indecomposables stated in Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then either*

$$|N(\alpha)| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta}{27} & \text{if } a = 21, 30, 48, \\ 2a + 3 & \text{if } a > 48, \end{cases}$$

or  $\alpha$  is associated with a rational integer. Moreover, this lower bound is attained by some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

*Proof.* The smallest such norm can be attained either by an indecomposable integer or by a sum of two totally positive units. Let us first discuss the second case. Recall that even in this case, a system of fundamental units is formed by the pair  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$ , see Corollary 5.5. Every sum of two units is associated with an element of the form  $1 + \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon$  is a totally positive unit. Moreover, we have  $\varepsilon \neq 1$  since otherwise,  $1 + \varepsilon$  would be associated with the rational integer 2. Therefore, by [16, Lemma 6.2],  $\varepsilon$  is greater than  $a^2$  in some embedding. Thus,

$$N(1 + \varepsilon) = N(1) + N(\varepsilon) + \text{Tr}(\varepsilon) + \text{Tr}(\varepsilon\varepsilon') > a^2.$$

However,  $a^2 > 2a + 3$  for  $a \geq 21$ , and  $2a + 3$  is the norm of one of the indecomposable integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus, a sum of two units cannot have the smallest norm.

Since the elements in Theorem 1.2 (iii) and (iv) are associated with conjugates of elements in 1.2 (ii), and the same is true for (vi)–(viii), it is enough to consider elements in (i), (ii), (v) and (vi).

The norm of  $\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$  is equal to  $\frac{a^2+3a+9}{27}$ . The smallest norm among elements in (ii) is  $2a + 3$ . Regarding elements in (vi), we have

$$N(-(r+1)g_2 + g_3) = -r^3 - 3r^2 + \frac{a^2 + 3a - 18}{9}r + \frac{4a^2 + 12a + 9}{27}$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ . This norm is a cubic polynomial in  $r$  with a negative leading coefficient. Moreover, for  $r = -2$ , it is equal to  $-\frac{2a^2+6a-9}{27} < 0$  for  $a \geq 21$ . It means that in the interval  $[0, \frac{a}{3} - 1]$ , it attains its smallest value at 0 or at  $\frac{a}{3} - 1$ . For  $r = 0$ , we obtain  $\frac{4a^2+12a+9}{27} > 2a + 3$  for  $a \geq 21$ . Similarly, for  $r = \frac{a}{3} - 1$ , we again obtain the norm  $\frac{4a^2+12a+9}{27}$ . Thus, the smallest norm is not attained by elements in (vi).

Let us now focus on elements in (v). We can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} N(-(2v+1)g_1 - (v(a+3) + r+1)g_2 + (3v+2)g_3) \\ = -r^3 - (av + 3v - a)r^2 - \left( av^2 - a^2v - 3av - 3v + \frac{2a^2}{9} - \frac{a}{3} - 1 \right) r \\ + v^3 + \frac{a^2}{3}v^2 - \frac{2a^3 + 7a^2 + 12a + 9}{9}v - \frac{4a^2 + 12a + 9}{27} \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ . This is again a cubic polynomial in  $r$  with a negative leading coefficient. If  $r = \frac{a}{3}$ , we obtain

$$v^3 - \frac{a^3 + 3a + 9}{9}v - \frac{a^2 + 3a + 9}{27},$$

which is a cubic polynomial in  $v$  with a positive leading coefficient. Moreover, for  $v = -1$ , it is  $\frac{2a^2+6a-9}{27} > 0$ ; for  $v = 0$ , it is equal to  $-\frac{a^2+3a+9}{27} < 0$ , and for  $v = \frac{a}{3} - 1$ , it equals  $-\frac{10a^2-24a+9}{27} < 0$  for  $a \geq 21$ . It gives that whenever  $r = \frac{a}{3}$  and  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ , then our norm is negative. It implies that for a fixed  $v$ , the smallest norm is attained either for  $r = \frac{a}{3} + 1$ , or for  $r = \frac{2a}{3} - v$ .

Let us now discuss the case when  $r = \frac{a}{3} + 1$ . Here, we get the norm

$$v^3 - av^2 + \frac{2a^2 - 3a - 9}{9}v + \frac{2a^2 + 6a - 9}{27}.$$

For  $v = \frac{a}{3}$ , it is equal to  $-\frac{a^2+3a+9}{27} < 0$ . Thus, it again attains its smallest value in one of the border points of our interval. For both of them, it is equal to  $\frac{2a^2+6a-9}{27} > 2a + 3$  for  $a \geq 26$ . If  $a = 21$ , then  $\frac{2a^2+6a-9}{27} = 37 > 19 = \frac{a^2+3a+9}{27}$ , so we can exclude this norm even in this case.

Similarly, for  $r = \frac{2a}{3} - v$ , we obtain

$$-v^3 - 3v^2 + \frac{a^2 + 3a - 18}{9}v + \frac{2a^2 + 6a - 9}{27}.$$

For  $v = -1$ , it is equal to  $-\frac{a^2+3a+9}{27}$ ; thus, the smallest norm is attained in a border point. For both of them, we again obtain the value  $\frac{2a^2+6a-9}{27}$  which we have excluded before.

Thus, we are left with the norms  $\frac{a^2+3a+9}{27}$  and  $2a + 3$ , and  $\frac{a^2+3a+9}{27} > 2a + 3$  if  $a \geq 53$ . Between 21 and 52, only the cases  $a = 21, 30, 48$  belong to our family, and for them, the norm  $\frac{a^2+3a+9}{27}$  is the smallest one.  $\square$

## 7.2 The largest norm

In this part, we will find a sharp upper bound on the norm of indecomposable integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . As in the case of the smallest norm, it is enough to discuss elements in (i), (ii), (v) and (vi). We will start with elements in (ii) and (vi).

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  be an indecomposable integer. Then:*

1. *If  $\alpha$  is as in (ii), then  $N(\alpha) \leq \frac{2a^3+9a^2+27a+27}{27} = \frac{(2a+3)\Delta}{27}$ .*
2. *If  $\alpha$  is as in (vi), then  $N(\alpha) < \frac{(2a+3)\Delta}{27}$ .*

*Proof.* To prove (1), it is not difficult to see that the largest norm among these elements is attained when  $r = \frac{a}{3}$ , for which  $N(\alpha) = \frac{2a^3+9a^2+27a+27}{27}$ .

On the other hand, if  $\alpha = -(r+1)g_2 + g_3$ , we have

$$g(r) = \frac{2a^3 + 9a^2 + 27a + 27}{27} - N(\alpha) = r^3 + 3r^2 - \frac{a^2 + 3a - 18}{9}r + \frac{2a^3 + 5a^2 + 15a + 18}{27}.$$

This is a cubic polynomial in  $r$  with a positive leading coefficient. Moreover, the discriminant of  $g$  is negative, in which case  $g$  has only one real root. This together with  $g(0) = \frac{2a^3+5a^2+15a+18}{27} > 0$  implies that  $g(r) > 0$  for all  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

Note that

$$N\left(\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}\right) = \frac{a^2+3a+9}{27} < \frac{2a^3+9a^2+27a+27}{27}$$

for  $a \geq 21$ . Therefore, we can also exclude the element  $\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$  from the consideration.

It remains to discuss the elements in (v), which form the triangle  $R_4$  in Section 6.4. Let us denote these integers as

$$\alpha(v, r) = -(2v+1)g_1 - (v(a+3) + r+1)g_2 + (3v+2)g_3$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ . Furthermore, for the transformation  $T_1$  in Section 6.5.3 we have  $T_1(R_4) = \tilde{R}_4$ . In other words,  $R_4$  also contains  $\alpha'\varepsilon_1$  and  $\alpha''\varepsilon_2$  where  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are concrete totally positive units. Thus, it suffices to consider only one third of this triangle, in particular,

$$R_4^0 = \left\{ \alpha(v, r); 0 \leq v \leq \frac{a-3}{9} - 1, \frac{a}{3} + 1 + v \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 2v - 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ \alpha\left(\frac{a-3}{9}, \frac{a}{3} + 1 + \frac{a-3}{9}\right) \right\}.$$

The following lemma compares norms of elements contained in  $R_4^0$ . Note that the proof is almost the same as in [34, Lemma 3.2].

**Lemma 7.3.** *We have*

1.  $N(\alpha(v, r)) < N(\alpha(v+1, r))$  for all  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a-3}{9} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 2 + v \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 2v - 3$ ,
2.  $N(\alpha(v, \frac{a}{3} + 1 + v)) < N(\alpha(v+1, \frac{a}{3} + 1 + v + 1))$  for all  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a-3}{9} - 1$ ,
3.  $N(\alpha(v, \frac{2a}{3} - 2v - 1)) < N(\alpha(v+1, \frac{2a}{3} - 2(v+1) - 1))$  for all  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a-3}{9} - 2$ ,
4.  $N(\alpha(v, \frac{2a}{3} - 2v - 2)) < N(\alpha(v+1, \frac{2a}{3} - 2(v+1) - 2))$  for all  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a-3}{9} - 2$ .

Now we have everything we need to determine the upper bound on the norm of indecomposable integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

**Proposition 7.4.** *If  $\alpha$  is indecomposable in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then*

$$|N(\alpha)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2a^3+9a^2+27a+27}{27} & \text{if } a = 21, 30, 48, \\ \frac{(a^2+3a+9)^2}{729} & \text{if } a > 48, \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* As we have seen, the largest norm is either  $\frac{2a^3+9a^2+27a+27}{27}$  found in Proposition 7.2 or is attained by some elements from  $R_4^0$ . Moreover, applying Lemma 7.3, we are left only with two candidates from  $R_4^0$ :  $\alpha\left(\frac{a-3}{9}-1, \frac{a}{3}+2+\frac{a-3}{9}\right)$  and  $\alpha\left(\frac{a-3}{9}, \frac{a}{3}+1+\frac{a-3}{9}\right)$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\alpha\left(\frac{a-3}{9}-1, \frac{a}{3}+2+\frac{a-3}{9}\right)\right) &= \frac{a^4+6a^3-54a^2-189a+81}{729} \\ &< \frac{(a^2+3a+9)^2}{729} = N\left(\alpha\left(\frac{a-3}{9}, \frac{a}{3}+1+\frac{a-3}{9}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{2a^3+9a^2+27a+27}{27} < \frac{(a^2+3a+9)^2}{729}$$

for  $a \geq 53$ , which gives a few exceptional cases listed in the statement of the proposition.  $\square$

### 7.3 Pythagoras number

In this part, we will show that the Pythagoras number of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is 6. Before that, let us briefly overview basic facts and results on this number. Consider a commutative ring  $\mathcal{O}$ , for which we define the following two sets:

$$\sum \mathcal{O}_K^2 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2; \alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum^m \mathcal{O}_K^2 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2; \alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K \right\}.$$

Then by the Pythagoras number  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , we will mean the following infimum:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}) = \inf \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}; \sum \mathcal{O}_K^2 = \sum^m \mathcal{O}_K^2 \right\}.$$

For example,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$ . Although there are many results on the Pythagoras number of fields, we will only summarize results in the case when  $\mathcal{O}$  is an order of a totally real number field  $K$ .

For them, we know that the value of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})$  is finite but can attain arbitrarily large values [29]. Moreover, in this case, the Pythagoras number can be bounded by a function depending on the degree  $d$  of a field  $K$ , and, furthermore,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}) \leq d+3$  for  $2 \leq d \leq 5$  [17]. So far, the Pythagoras number was fully determined for orders in real quadratic fields [28], and we have some partial results for real biquadratic fields [21, 9] and totally real cubic fields [20, 33]. In particular, we closely follow [33], where the second author showed that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]) = 6$  for  $\rho$  being a root of the polynomial  $x^3 - ax^2 - (a+3)x - 1$  with  $a \geq 3$ , i.e., for a concrete order of the simplest cubic fields.

In cubic fields, we know that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_K) \leq 6$  by the result of Kala and Yatsyna [17], and we will prove that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_K) \geq 6$  for our subfamily of non-monogenic simplest cubic fields with the base  $B_3(1, 1)$ . For that, we will use the method developed in [33], and our determination of the structure of indecomposable integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . In particular, we will do the following:

1. We will choose a suitable element  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\gamma$  can be written as a sum of six non-zero squares of elements in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .
2. We will determine all elements  $\alpha$  from Theorem 1.2 and all units  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\gamma \succeq (\varepsilon\alpha)^2$ .
3. Using elements in (2), we will find all elements  $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\gamma \succeq \omega^2$ .
4. Considering elements in (3), we will discuss all possible decompositions of  $\gamma$  as a sum of squares and show that for every such decomposition, we need at least 6 squares.

Note that in fact, elements  $\varepsilon\alpha$  in (2) are indecomposable integers in other signatures. Since the simplest cubic fields have units of all signatures, they can be expressed as presented here, i.e., as  $\varepsilon\alpha$



where  $\varepsilon$  is a (not necessarily totally positive) unit and  $\alpha$  is one of totally positive indecomposables listed in Theorem 1.2. For more details, see, for example, [33].

In our proof, we will fix  $\gamma$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= 1 + 1 + 1 + 4 + \left(\frac{a+6}{3}g_1 + \frac{a}{3}g_2 - g_3\right)^2 + \left(\frac{5a+3}{9}g_1 + \frac{2a+3}{3}g_2 - 2g_3\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{34a^2 + 15a + 783}{81} + \frac{11a^2 - 29a - 39}{27}\rho - \frac{11a - 33}{27}\rho^2.\end{aligned}$$

Using estimates on  $\rho, \rho'$ , and  $\rho''$  (see Section 2; note that for  $\rho'$ , we use bounds  $-1 - \frac{1}{a+1} < \rho' < -1 - \frac{1}{a+2}$  found in [33]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &< \frac{13a^2}{81} + \frac{14a}{27} + \frac{197}{27} - \frac{26}{9a} < a^2, \\ \gamma' &< \frac{a^4 + 40a^3 + 1234a^2 + 4596a + 4725}{81(a+2)^2} < a^2, \\ \gamma'' &< \frac{34a^4 + 186a^3 + 1167a^2 + 5178a + 7497}{81(a+3)^2} < a^2\end{aligned}$$

for  $a \geq 21$ . We see that if  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $\gamma \succeq \omega^2$ , then  $|\omega| < a$ . In contrast with [33], we will not determine the precise set of elements  $\omega$  satisfying  $\gamma \succeq \omega^2$ , but we will significantly restrict the set of such elements. As we will see, this restriction will be enough to show that we need at least 6 squares to express  $\gamma$ .

First of all, in a series of lemmas, we will find all  $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\gamma \succeq \omega^2$ . We will start with units and the indecomposable integer  $g_3 = \frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$ . Recall that in the simplest cubic fields, all totally positive units are squares. Moreover, conjugates of  $g_3$  are associated with  $g_3$ .

**Lemma 7.5.** *We have*

1. if  $\gamma \succeq \varepsilon^2$  where  $\varepsilon$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ ,
2. if  $\gamma \succeq \left(\varepsilon \frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}\right)^2$  where  $\varepsilon$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\varepsilon^2 = \rho'^2 \rho''^2$ .

*Proof.* Recall from Corollary 5.5 that a system of fundamental units is formed by the pair  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$ . Thus, we can use [16, Lemma 6.2], which says that if  $\varepsilon^2 \neq 1$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\varepsilon^2$  has a conjugate greater than  $a^2$ . Since  $\gamma$  has all conjugates smaller than  $a^2$ , no totally positive unit except for 1 can be totally smaller than  $\gamma$ .

Considering the second part of the statement, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}\right)^2 &> \frac{(a^2+3a+3)^2}{9} > a^2, \\ \left(\frac{1+\rho'+\rho'^2}{3}\right)^2 &> \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{(a+3)^2}{(a+2)^2} - \frac{1}{a+1}\right)^2, \\ \left(\frac{1+\rho''+\rho''^2}{3}\right)^2 &> \frac{1}{9} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a+2} + \frac{1}{(a+3)^2}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can immediately exclude that  $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ . Moreover, it can be easily shown that

$$a^2 \left(\frac{1+\rho'+\rho'^2}{3}\right)^2 > \gamma' \quad \text{and} \quad a^4 \left(\frac{1+\rho''+\rho''^2}{3}\right)^2 > \gamma''.$$

Here, we use [16, Lemma 6.3]. It says that if  $\varepsilon > a^2$  is a totally positive unit such that  $\varepsilon \neq \rho^2, \rho^2 \rho'^2$ , then either  $\varepsilon > a^4$ , or one of  $\varepsilon'$  and  $\varepsilon''$  is greater than  $a^2$ . Together with previous estimates, it implies  $\varepsilon''^2 = \rho^2, \rho^2 \rho'^2$  in our case, from which we can exclude  $\varepsilon''^2 = \rho^2$ , which does not have  $\varepsilon^2 < 1$ .  $\square$

We will proceed with indecomposables from  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ .

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $\alpha$  be as in (ii), (iii) or (iv) of Theorem 1.2. Then there is no unit  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\gamma \succeq (\varepsilon\alpha)^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = -r\rho + \rho^2$  where  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha$  is one of elements in (ii). In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-r\rho + \rho^2)^2 &> ((a+1)^2 - r(a+2))^2 \geq \frac{(2a^2 + 4a + 3)^2}{9} > a^2, \\ (-r\rho' + \rho'^2)^2 &> (r+1)^2, \\ (-r\rho'' + \rho''^2)^2 &> \frac{r^2}{(a+3)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we must have  $\varepsilon^2 < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon'^2 < a^2$  and  $\varepsilon''^2 > a^2$ , which again follows from [16, Lemma 6.2]. Moreover, easily,  $\frac{r^2 a^4}{(a+3)^2} > \gamma, \gamma', \gamma''$ . Therefore, again by [16, Lemma 6.3], we can conclude that  $\varepsilon''^2 = \rho^2, \rho^2 \rho'^2$ . However, for both of these units, we have  $(\varepsilon\alpha)^2 > \gamma, \gamma', \gamma''$ .

Note that by this, we have also excluded Cases (iii) and (iv). It follows from the fact that elements in (iii) and (iv) are associated with conjugates in (ii), and, thus,  $(\varepsilon\alpha)^2 > \gamma, \gamma', \gamma''$  also covers elements originating from indecomposables in (iii) and (iv).  $\square$

Now we will discuss the other three lines of indecomposables.

**Lemma 7.7.** *Let  $a \geq 55$ . Let  $\alpha$  be as in (vi), (vii) or (viii) of Theorem 1.2, and let  $\gamma \succeq (\varepsilon\alpha)^2$  for some unit  $\varepsilon$ . Then  $\alpha$  is as in (vi) and  $\varepsilon^2 = \rho'^2 \rho''^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = -(r+1)g_2 + g_3$  where  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ , i.e.,  $\alpha$  is one of elements in (vi). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 &> \left( \frac{a^2}{3} - \frac{4}{3a} - r \left( a + 1 + \frac{2}{a} \right) \right)^2 > \left( \frac{2a}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3a} \right)^2 > \gamma, \gamma', \gamma'', \\ \alpha'^2 &> \left( \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{3(a+2)} + \frac{1}{3(a+2)^2} + r \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a+2} \right) \right)^2 > 1, \\ \alpha''^2 &> \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3(a+3)} + \frac{1}{3(a+3)^2} + \frac{r}{a+3} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $a^4 \alpha''^2 > \gamma, \gamma', \gamma''$ . It implies that again  $\varepsilon''^2 = \rho^2, \rho^2 \rho'^2$  by [16, Lemma 6.3]. We can exclude  $\varepsilon''^2 = \rho^2$  as  $\varepsilon^2 > 1$ .

Now we will discuss all three conjugates of  $(\rho'\rho''\alpha)^2$ . Since  $(\rho\rho'\alpha'')^2 > \gamma'$ , the conjugate  $(\rho''\rho\alpha')^2$  cannot be totally smaller than  $\gamma$ . If  $r \geq \frac{a}{6}$ , then  $(\rho\rho'\alpha'')^2 > \gamma$ , and if  $r < \frac{a}{6}$ , then  $(\rho'\rho''\alpha)^2 > \gamma'$  for  $a \geq 55$ . This excludes the conjugate  $(\rho\rho'\alpha'')^2$ . Thus, we are left only with  $(\rho'\rho''\alpha)^2$ , which states the lemma.

Note that similarly as in the proof of Lemma 7.6, conjugates of elements in (vi) are associated with elements in (vii) and (viii), and in this proof, we have discussed all conjugates of  $\alpha$  at the same time.  $\square$

In the end, we will look at the triangle of indecomposables in (v). For that, let us denote

$$\alpha(v, r) = -(2v+1)g_1 - (v(a+3) + r+1)g_2 + (3v+2)g_3$$

where  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ .

**Lemma 7.8.** *Let  $a \geq 63$ . Moreover, let  $\gamma \succeq (\varepsilon\alpha(v, r))^2$  for some unit  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - v$ . Then either*

1.  $(\varepsilon\alpha(v, r))^2 = \rho''^2 \rho^2 \alpha(0, r)^2$  for some  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r < \frac{5a-6}{12}$ , or
2.  $(\varepsilon\alpha(v, r))^2 = \rho^2 \rho'^2 \alpha(0, r)^2$  for some  $\frac{5(a-3)}{9} \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ .

*Proof.* As before, it suffices to restrict to a subset of the triangle of indecomposables in (v). In particular, we can discuss only elements in  $R_4^0$ . Thus, let  $\alpha(v, r) \in R_4^0$ , i.e.,  $0 \leq v \leq \frac{a-3}{9} - 1$  and

$\frac{a}{3} + 1 + v \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 2v - 1$ , or  $v = \frac{a-3}{9}$  and  $r = \frac{a}{3} + 1 + \frac{a-3}{9}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(v, r)^2 &> \left( \frac{2a^2}{3} + a - \frac{2}{3a} - v \left( a + 4 + \frac{4}{a} \right) - r \left( a + 1 + \frac{2}{a} \right) \right)^2 \geq \left( \frac{4a}{3} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{3a} \right)^2 > a^2, \\ \alpha(v, r)^{r^2} &> \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{3(a+2)} + \frac{2}{3(a+2)^2} + v \left( a + 2 + \frac{a+4}{a+2} + \frac{1}{(a+2)^2} \right) + r \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a+2} \right) \right)^2 \\ &\geq \left( \frac{a+5}{3} + \frac{a+8}{3(a+2)} + \frac{2}{3(a+2)^2} \right)^2 > 1, \\ \alpha(v, r)^{r^2} &> \left( -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3(a+3)} + \frac{2}{3(a+3)^2} + v \left( -1 + \frac{a+2}{a+3} + \frac{1}{(a+3)^2} \right) + \frac{r}{a+3} \right)^2 \geq \frac{(a+5)^2}{9(a+3)^4}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $a^6 \alpha(v, r)^{r^2} > \gamma, \gamma', \gamma''$ . That means that our unit has to satisfy  $\varepsilon^2 < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon'^2 < a^2$  and  $a^2 < \varepsilon''^2 < a^6$ . This is fulfilled by units  $\varepsilon'^2 = \rho^2 \rho'^2, \rho^2 \rho'^4, \rho^4 \rho'^2, \rho^4 \rho'^4, \rho^4 \rho'^6$ .

Now, we will use the fact that if  $\gamma \succeq \omega^2$  for some  $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then necessarily  $\text{Tr}(\gamma) \geq \text{Tr}(\omega^2)$ . It can be computed that  $\text{Tr}(\gamma) = \frac{16a^2 - 24a + 981}{27}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(\rho'^2 \rho''^4 \alpha(v, r)^2) &\geq \frac{a^4 + 12a^3 + 56a^2 + 132a + 153}{9} > \text{Tr}(\gamma), \\ \text{Tr}(\rho'^4 \rho''^6 \alpha(v, r)^2) &\geq \frac{a^4 + 10a^3 + 41a^2 + 96a + 126}{9} > \text{Tr}(\gamma),\end{aligned}$$

so we can exclude  $\varepsilon^2 = \rho'^2 \rho''^4, \rho'^4 \rho''^6$ . Moreover, if  $v \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(\rho'^2 \rho''^2 \alpha(v, r)^2) &\geq \frac{17a^2 + 96a + 369}{9} > \text{Tr}(\gamma), \\ \text{Tr}(\rho'^4 \rho''^2 \alpha(v, r)^2) &\geq \frac{26a^2 + 6a + 153}{9} > \text{Tr}(\gamma), \\ \text{Tr}(\rho'^4 \rho''^4 \alpha(v, r)^2) &\geq \frac{41a^2 + 150a + 234}{9} > \text{Tr}(\gamma),\end{aligned}$$

by which we have excluded all cases with  $v \geq 1$ .

Let us now focus on the case when  $v = 0$ . If  $r \geq \frac{a}{3} + 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(\rho'^4 \rho''^2 \alpha(0, r)^2) &\geq \frac{26a^2 + 42a + 126}{9} > \text{Tr}(\gamma), \\ \text{Tr}(\rho'^4 \rho''^4 \alpha(0, r)^2) &\geq \frac{26a^2 + 114a + 234}{9} > \text{Tr}(\gamma).\end{aligned}$$

If  $r = \frac{a}{3} + 1$ , we obtain

$$(\gamma - \beta)(\gamma' - \beta') + (\gamma - \beta)(\gamma'' - \beta'') + (\gamma' - \beta')(\gamma'' - \beta'') < 0 \quad (9)$$

where  $\beta$  is any conjugate of  $\rho'^4 \rho''^2 \alpha(0, \frac{a}{3} + 1)^2$  or  $\rho'^4 \rho''^4 \alpha(0, \frac{a}{3} + 1)^2$ . Note that (9) gives the second coefficient in the minimal polynomial of  $\gamma - \beta$ , which has to be nonnegative if  $\gamma - \beta \succeq 0$ . By this, we have excluded the units  $\varepsilon^2 = \rho'^4 \rho''^2, \rho'^4 \rho''^4$ .

Thus, it remains to discuss the unit  $\varepsilon^2 = \rho'^2 \rho''^2$ . We have  $\rho'^2 \rho''^2 \alpha(0, r)^2 > \gamma'$  for all  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ , which excludes the conjugate  $\rho'^2 \rho''^2 \alpha(0, r)^2$ .

Let us now focus on the conjugate  $\rho'^2 \rho''^2 \alpha(0, r)^2$ . If  $r \geq \frac{5a-6}{12}$ , we have

$$\rho'^2 \rho''^2 \alpha(0, r)^2 > \frac{1}{(a+3)^2} (a+1)^2 \frac{(5a^3 + 27a^2 + 52a + 44)^2}{144(a+2)^4} > \gamma'$$

for  $a \geq 63$ . Therefore, we must have  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r < \frac{5a-6}{12}$ , which gives the first case in our statement.

For the conjugate  $\rho^2 \rho'^2 \alpha(0, r)^2$ , we similarly see that for  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r \leq \frac{5(a-3)}{9} - 1$ , we obtain

$$\rho'^2 \rho''^2 \alpha(0, r)^2 > \left( 1 + \frac{1}{a+2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{(a+3)^2} \frac{(a^3 + 28a^2 + 14a + 42)^2}{81a^2} > \gamma'$$

for  $a \geq 34$ . This implies  $\frac{5(a-3)}{9} \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ , i.e., the second case in our statement.  $\square$

In Lemmas 7.5, 7.6, 7.7 and 7.8, we have found some of the squares totally smaller than  $\gamma$ . There can exist other squares  $\omega^2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$  satisfying  $\gamma \succeq \omega^2$ ; however, these squares can be determined from elements derived so far. In particular, every such  $\omega$  can be written as  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i$  where elements  $\beta_i$  are square roots of squares found in above lemmas. Moreover, we can assume that all  $\beta_i$  in this decomposition have the same signature. By the signature of an element  $\alpha \in K$ , we mean the triple  $(\text{sgn}(\alpha), \text{sgn}(\alpha'), \text{sgn}(\alpha''))$ , where  $\text{sgn}$  is the signum function. In the following, we will also replace 1 and  $-1$  in this triple by symbols  $+$  and  $-$ .

Therefore,

$$\gamma \succeq \omega^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \right)^2 \succeq (\beta_i + \beta_j)^2 \succeq \beta_i^2$$

for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Thus, to determine all the remaining squares totally smaller than  $\gamma$ , it is enough for each possible signature

- (1) to take all square roots of squares from Lemmas 7.5, 7.6, 7.7 and 7.8 with this signature,
- (2) to study the element  $(\beta_i + \beta_j)^2$  for all pairs of elements  $\beta_i$  and  $\beta_j$  from (1).

- If  $(\beta_i + \beta_j)^2 \succ \gamma$  for all such pairs, then we do not get any additional square totally smaller than  $\gamma$ . In the following proof, we will sometimes show that  $\beta_i^2, \beta_j^2 \succ \frac{1}{2}\gamma$ , from which  $(\beta_i + \beta_j)^2 \succ \gamma$  follows.
- Otherwise, we can get more squares. However, we will see that for  $\gamma$ , this can occur only in one trivial case.

Moreover,  $\alpha$  and  $-\alpha$  produce the same square, so it suffices to study only one of every pair of (mutually opposite) signatures; e.g., instead of studying both elements with signatures  $(+, +, +)$  and  $(-, -, -)$ , it is enough to examine those with the signature  $(+, +, +)$ .

This problem is related to the study of indecomposability of algebraic integers which are not totally positive. The above summary is not detailed, and the full description can be found in [33].

**Lemma 7.9.** *Let  $a \geq 63$  and let  $\gamma \succeq \omega^2$  where  $\omega^2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$  is not as in Lemmas 7.5, 7.6, 7.7 and 7.8. Then  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that the unit  $\rho$  has the signature  $(+, -, -)$ . Thus, the so far found elements, whose squares are totally smaller than  $\gamma$ , have the following signatures:

1. 1 has the signature  $(+, +, +)$ ,
2.  $\rho' \rho'' \frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$  has the signature  $(+, -, -)$ ,
3.  $\rho' \rho'' (-r+1)g_2 + g_3$  where  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$  have the signature  $(+, -, -)$ ,
4.  $\rho'' \rho \alpha(0, r)'$  where  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r < \frac{5a-6}{12}$  have the signature  $(-, -, +)$ ,
5.  $\rho \rho' \alpha(0, r)''$  where  $\frac{5(a-3)}{9} \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$  have the signature  $(-, +, -)$ .

From elements in (1), we can get only squares  $\omega^2$  such that  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which are included in the statement of the lemma.

We will proceed with elements in (2) and (3), which have the same signature. Let  $\alpha_1 = -(r_1 + 1)g_2 + g_3$  and  $\alpha_2 = -(r_2 + 1)g_2 + g_3$  where  $-1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ . Note for  $r_i = -1$ , we obtain the element  $\frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3}$  from (2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho \rho' \alpha_1'' + \rho \rho' \alpha_2'')^2 &= \rho^2 \rho'^2 (\alpha_1''^2 + 2\alpha_1'' \alpha_2'' + \alpha_2''^2) \\ &> 4(a+1)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{a+2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{9} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a+2} + \frac{1}{(a+3)^2}\right)^2 > \gamma''. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the square of a sum of elements in (2) and (3) cannot be totally smaller than  $\gamma$ .

Let us now focus on elements in (4). For them, we have

$$\rho''^2 \rho^2 \alpha(0, r)'^2 > \frac{1}{(a+3)^2} (a+1)^2 \left( \frac{a+5}{3} + \frac{a+8}{3(a+2)} + \frac{2}{3(a+2)^2} \right)^2 > \frac{1}{2}\gamma$$

for  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r < \frac{5a-6}{12}$ . That means that the square of a sum of two elements in (4) cannot be totally smaller than  $\gamma$ .

Likewise, if we consider elements in (5), we obtain

$$\rho''^2 \rho^2 \alpha(0, r)^2 > \frac{1}{(a+3)^2} (a+1)^2 \left( \frac{5a}{9} - 1 + \frac{5a}{9(a+2)} + \frac{2}{3(a+2)^2} \right)^2 > \frac{1}{2} \gamma''$$

for  $\frac{5(a-3)}{9} \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ . That completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we are able to prove that the Pythagoras number of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is 6 as for  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ .

**Proposition 7.10.** *We have  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 6$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a < 63$ , i.e., for  $a = 21, 30, 48, 57$ , we can use a computer program to check that we need at least 6 squares to express  $\gamma$ . Mathematica notebook with our code is available at <https://sites.google.com/view/tinkovamagdalenaresearch>. Thus, let us assume that  $a \geq 63$ . In Lemmas 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8 and 7.9, we have determined all squares which can be totally smaller than  $\gamma$ . We have obtained the following elements:

1.  $n^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $n^2 < a^2$ ,
2.  $\rho'^2 \rho''^2 \left( \frac{1+\rho+\rho^2}{3} \right)^2 = \frac{a^2+4a+6}{9} g_1 + \frac{a^2+2a+3}{9} g_2 - \frac{a}{3} g_3$ ,
3.  $\rho'^2 \rho''^2 (-(r+1)g_2 + g_3)^2 = \frac{a^2+10a+33+(6a+36)r+9r^2}{9} g_1 + \frac{a^2+8a+3+6ar}{9} g_2 - \frac{a+6+6r}{3} g_3$  where  $0 \leq r \leq \frac{a}{3} - 1$ ,
4.  $\rho''^2 \rho^2 (-g'_1 - (r+1)g'_2 + 2g'_3)^2 = \frac{4a^2+10a+6-(12a+18)r+9r^2}{9} g_1 + \frac{3a^2+2a+3-6ar}{9} g_2 - (a-2r)g_3$  where  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r < \frac{5a-6}{12}$ ,
5.  $\rho^2 \rho'^2 (-g''_1 - (r+1)g''_2 + 2g''_3)^2 = \frac{15-8a+36r+9r^2}{9} g_1 + \frac{3-4a-4a^2+(12a+18)r}{9} g_2 + \frac{4a-3-12r}{3} g_3$  where  $\frac{5(a-3)}{9} \leq r \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ .

Recall that only these elements can appear in a decomposition of  $\gamma$  to a sum of squares.

First of all, let us note that for all these elements, the coefficients before  $g_2$  are nonnegative, and the coefficients before  $g_3$  are negative or zero. This is clear for Cases (1), (2) and (3). For (4), we see that

$$\frac{3a^2 + 2a + 3 - 6ar}{9} > \frac{a^2 + 10a + 6}{18} > 0$$

and

$$-(a-2r) < -1 - \frac{a}{6} < 0.$$

Similarly, for (5), we obtain

$$\frac{3-4a-4a^2+(12a+18)r}{9} \geq \frac{8a^2-42a-81}{27} > 0$$

and

$$\frac{4a-3-12r}{3} \leq -\frac{8a-51}{9} < 0.$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \frac{34a^2 + 15a + 783}{81} + \frac{11a^2 - 29a - 39}{27} \rho - \frac{11a - 33}{27} \rho^2 \\ &= \frac{2(17a^2 + 24a + 342)}{81} g_1 + \frac{11a^2 - 18a - 72}{27} g_2 - \frac{11(a-3)}{9} g_3. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a$  is even, then  $\frac{11(a-3)}{9}$  is odd. If we consider the coefficient before  $g_3$  for elements in (1)–(5), we see that this coefficient is odd only for squares in (5) under the assumption that  $a$  is odd. Thus, at least one element from (5) must appear in every decomposition of  $\gamma$  to a sum of squares. Similarly, let  $a$  be odd. Then  $\frac{11a^2-18a-72}{27}$ , i.e., the coefficient before  $g_2$  of  $\gamma$ , is odd. If we again consider the squares in (1)–(5), only elements in (5) has an odd coefficient before  $g_2$  for odd  $a$ . That implies

that for all possible  $a \geq 63$ , at least one element from (5) appear in every decomposition of  $\gamma$  to a sum of squares.

Considering elements from (5), we can conclude that  $-\frac{11(a-3)}{9} = \frac{4a-3-12r}{3}$  only if  $r = \frac{23a-42}{36}$ . For this concrete  $r$ , the coefficient before  $g_2$  is

$$\frac{3 - 4a - 4a^2 + (12a + 18)r}{9} = \frac{11a^2}{27} - \frac{13a}{18} - 2.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{11a^2}{27} - \frac{13a}{18} - 2 = \frac{11a^2 - 18a - 72}{27}$$

only if  $a = 12$ , which does not give a simplest cubic field from our subfamily. Thus, if this concrete element  $r = \frac{23a-42}{36}$  were in the decomposition of  $\gamma$ , then some other summand would have to have

- a non-zero coefficient before  $g_2$ ,
- a zero coefficient before  $g_3$ .

However, these conditions are not both satisfied for any element from (1)–(5). Thus, the element from (5), which appears in the decomposition of  $\gamma$ , satisfies

$$-\frac{11(a-3)}{9} \neq \frac{4a-3-12r}{3}.$$

That means that we need at least one more element from (2)–(5) to express  $\gamma$ .

We know that for all elements from (5), the coefficient before  $g_3$  satisfies

$$\frac{4a-3-12r}{3} \leq -\frac{8a-51}{9}.$$

Thus, the other summand from (2)–(5) has this coefficient between zero and

$$-\frac{11(a-3)}{9} + \frac{8a-51}{9} = -\frac{a}{3} - 2.$$

That is true for

- (a) the element  $\frac{a^2+4a+6}{9}g_1 + \frac{a^2+2a+3}{9}g_2 - \frac{a}{3}g_3$  from (2),
- (b) the element with  $r = 0$  from (3),
- (c) elements from (4).

Let us now discuss the case when at least two elements from (a)–(c) appeared in the decomposition of  $\gamma$ . In this case, it suffices to realize that a sum of two elements from (a)–(c) never has the coefficient before  $g_3$  between  $-\frac{a}{3} - 2$  and 0, which we have derived to be a necessary condition in this part of the proof. This follows from the fact that the largest coefficient before  $g_3$  is achieved by the element in (c) with the largest  $r$ , where we have

$$-(a-2r) < -1 - \frac{a}{6}.$$

So its twice is

$$-2(a-2r) < -\frac{a}{3} - 2.$$

It implies that in every decomposition of  $\gamma$ , there must appear exactly one element from (5), and exactly one element from (a)–(c). The remaining summands belong to (1).

Let us now focus on elements from (c), i.e., elements from (4), and let us assume that in a decomposition of  $\gamma$ , there is one element from (4) and one from (5). If we consider coefficients before  $g_2$  and  $g_3$ , we can obtain the following system of equations:

$$\frac{11a^2 - 18a - 72}{27} = \frac{3a^2 + 2a + 3 - 6ar_1}{9} + \frac{3 - 4a - 4a^2 + (12a + 18)r_2}{9}$$

and

$$-\frac{11(a-3)}{9} = -(a-2r_1) + \frac{4a-3-12r_2}{3}$$

where  $\frac{a}{3} + 1 \leq r_1 < \frac{5a-6}{12}$  and  $\frac{5(a-3)}{9} \leq r_2 \leq \frac{2a}{3} - 1$ . This system has the solution  $r_1 = \frac{a}{3} - 1$  and  $r_2 = \frac{5(a-3)}{9}$ . However,  $r_1 = \frac{a}{3} - 1$  does not belong to our interval. Thus, the elements from (c) cannot appear in any decomposition of  $\gamma$  to a sum of squares.

We will proceed with (a). In this case, the coefficient before  $g_3$  leads to the equation

$$-\frac{11(a-3)}{9} = -\frac{a}{3} + \frac{4a-3-12r}{3}$$

with the solution  $r = \frac{10a-21}{18}$ . However, regarding the coefficient before  $g_2$ , we obtain

$$\frac{a^2+2a+3}{9} + \frac{3-4a-4a^2+(12a+18)r}{9} = \frac{11a^2-18a-45}{27} \neq \frac{11a^2-18a-72}{27}$$

for every  $a$ .

Thus, only the element in (b) can appear in every decomposition of  $\gamma$ . Moreover,  $r = \frac{5(a-3)}{9}$  for the element from (5) in this decomposition, and, thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = & \left( \frac{a^2+10a+33}{9}g_1 + \frac{a^2+8a+3}{9}g_2 - \frac{a+6}{3}g_3 \right) \\ & + \left( \frac{25a^2-42a-180}{81}g_1 + \frac{8a^2-42a-81}{27}g_2 - \frac{8a-51}{9}g_3 \right) + 7. \end{aligned}$$

To express 7, we need at least 4 squares. Therefore, we need at least 6 squares to express  $\gamma$ , which gives  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 6$  as desired.  $\square$

## 7.4 Universal quadratic forms

In this part, we will use the results on indecomposables in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  to get information on universal quadratic forms over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Before that, we will summarize several basic facts on quadratic forms over number fields.

Let us consider quadratic form of the form  $Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij}x_i x_j$  where  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . We say that  $Q$  is totally positive definite if  $Q(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$  for every  $n$ -tuple  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that elements  $\gamma_i$  are not all equal to zero. The quadratic form  $Q$  is classical if 2 divides  $a_{ij}$  for all  $i \neq j$ . The quadratic form  $Q$  is called diagonal if  $a_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . And finally,  $Q$  is universal if it represents all totally positive algebraic integers in  $K$ . In this part, we will assume that all quadratic forms are totally positive definite.

To bound the minimal number of variables of universal quadratic forms over our subfamily of the non-monogenic simplest cubic fields, we will use the method developed in [16, Section 7]. This approach is based on the knowledge of indecomposable integers in  $K$ . In this part, we will use the following notation. Let  $S$  denote the set of indecomposable integers in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  up to multiplication by squares of units in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Moreover, let us assume that there exist  $\delta \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\vee,+}$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}_K^+$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\alpha_i \delta) = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then, a more general result for totally real number fields derived in [16, Section 7] implies the following theorem for cubic fields:

**Theorem 7.11** ([16, Section 7]). *Let  $K$  be a totally real cubic field.*

1. *There exist a diagonal universal quadratic form over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  with  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_K) \# S$  variables.*
2. *Every classical universal quadratic form over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has at least  $\frac{n}{3}$  variables.*
3. *If  $n \geq 240$ , then every (non-classical) universal quadratic form over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has at least  $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{3}$  variables.*

Now we will use Theorem 7.11 and results on indecomposable integers to derive bounds on the minimal number of variables of universal quadratic forms over our nice subfamily of the simplest cubic fields.

**Proposition 7.12.** *Let  $K$  be a simplest cubic field such that  $a \equiv 3, 21 \pmod{27}$ ,  $a > 12$  and  $\frac{\Delta}{27}$  is square-free. Then:*

1. *There exists a diagonal universal quadratic form over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  with  $\frac{a^2+3a}{3} + 12a + 12$  variables.*

2. Every classical universal quadratic form over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has at least  $\frac{a^2+3a}{54}$  variables.
3. If  $a > 64$ , then every (non-classical) universal quadratic form over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has at least  $\frac{\sqrt{a^2+3a}}{9\sqrt{2}}$  variables.

*Proof.* Regarding the first part of the statement, we know that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_K) = 6$  by Proposition 7.10. Moreover, in  $K$ , every totally positive unit is a square. Thus  $\#S = \frac{a^2+3a}{18} + 2a + 2$ , which is the number of indecomposable integers up to multiplication by totally positive units.

To get the largest possible value in (2) and (3), it is convenient to consider the triangle of indecomposables  $\alpha$  in (v) of Theorem 1.2, for which we have found one totally positive element  $\delta$  of the codifferent satisfying  $\text{Tr}(\alpha\delta) = 1$ . In this case,  $n = \frac{a^2+3a}{18}$ , which gives parts (2) and (3) of the proposition.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank Vítězslav Kala and Giacomo Cherubini for enriching discussions and helpful suggestions on the contents of this work. The authors also wish to express their thanks to László Remete and Stéphane Louboutin for their quick answers. The first author was supported by Czech Science Foundation GAČR, grant 21-00420M, and by Charles University Research Centre program UNCE/SCI/022. The second author was supported by Czech Science Foundation GAČR, grant 22-11563O.

## References

- [1] V. Blomer and V. Kala. “Number fields without universal n-ary quadratic forms”. In: *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 159 [2015], pp. 239–252.
- [2] V. Blomer and V. Kala. “On the rank of universal quadratic forms over real quadratic fields”. In: *Doc. Math.* 23 [2018], pp. 15–34.
- [3] H. Brunotte. “Zur Zerlegung totalpositiver Zahlen in Ordnungen totalreeller algebraischer Zahlkörper”. In: *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 41 (6) [1983], pp. 502–503.
- [4] F. Cánovas Orvay. “On cyclic cubic fields.” eng. In: *Extracta Mathematicae* 6.1 [1991], pp. 28–30. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/39912>.
- [5] M. Čech et al. “Universal quadratic forms and indecomposables over biquadratic fields”. In: *Math. Nachr.* 292 [2019], pp. 540–555.
- [6] W. K. Chan, M.-H. Kim, and S. Raghavan. “Ternary universal integral quadratic forms over real quadratic fields”. In: *Japan. J. Math.* 22 [1996], pp. 263–273.
- [7] A. Dress and R. Scharlau. “Indecomposable Totally Positive Numbers in Real Quadratic Orders”. In: *J. Number Theory* 14 [1982], pp. 292–306.
- [8] A. G. Earnest and A. Khosravani. “Universal positive quaternary quadratic lattices over totally real number fields”. In: *Mathematika* 44 [1997], pp. 342–347.
- [9] Z. He and Y. Hu. “Pythagoras number of quartic orders containing  $\sqrt{2}$ ”. Preprint. 2022.
- [10] J. S. Hsia, Y. Kitaoka, and M. Kneser. “Representations of positive definite quadratic forms”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 301 [1978], pp. 132–141.
- [11] S. W. Jang and B. M. Kim. “A refinement of the Dress-Scharlau theorem”. In: *J. Number Theory* 158 [2016], pp. 234–243.
- [12] V. Kala. “Norms of indecomposable integers in real quadratic fields”. In: *J. Number Theory* 166 [2016], pp. 193–207.
- [13] V. Kala. “Number fields without universal quadratic forms of small rank exist in most degrees”. To appear in *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 2022.
- [14] V. Kala. “Universal quadratic forms and elements of small norm in real quadratic fields”. In: *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 94 [2016], pp. 7–14.
- [15] V. Kala and J. Svoboda. “Universal quadratic forms over multiquadratic fields”. In: *Ramanujan J.* 48 [2019], pp. 151–157.



- [16] V. Kala and M. Tinková. “Universal quadratic forms, small norms and traces in families of number fields”. To appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*. 2022.
- [17] V. Kala and P. Yatsyna. “Lifting problem for universal quadratic forms”. In: *Adv. Math.* 337 [2021], p. 107497.
- [18] V. Kala and P. Yatsyna. “On Kitaoka’s conjecture and lifting problem for universal quadratic forms”. To appear in *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 2022.
- [19] T. Kashio and R. Sekigawa. “The characterization of cyclic cubic fields with power integral bases”. In: *Kodai Mathematical Journal* 44 [2021], pp. 290–306.
- [20] J. Krásenský. “A cubic ring of integers with the smallest Pythagoras number”. In: *Arch. Math (Basel)* 118 [2022], pp. 39–48.
- [21] J. Krásenský, M. Raška, and E. Sgallová. “Pythagoras numbers of orders in biquadratic fields”. To appear in *Expo. Math.* 2022.
- [22] J. Krásenský, M. Tinková, and K. Zemková. “There are no universal ternary quadratic forms over biquadratic fields”. In: *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 63 (3) [2020], pp. 861–912.
- [23] F. Lemmermeyer and A. Pethö. “Simplest Cubic Fields”. In: *Manuscripta Math.* 88 [1995], pp. 53–58.
- [24] H. Maaß. “Über die Darstellung total positiver Zahlen des Körpers  $R(\sqrt{5})$  als Summe von drei Quadraten”. In: *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 14 [1941], pp. 185–191.
- [25] T. Nagell. “Zur Arithmetik der Polynome”. In: *Abhandl. Math. Sem. Hamburg* 1 [1922], pp. 178–193.
- [26] W. Narkiewicz. *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*. Warszawa. PWN/POLISH SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHE, 1974.
- [27] O. Perron. *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*. B. G. Teubner, 1913.
- [28] M. Peters. “Quadratische Formen über Zahlringen”. In: *Acta Arith.* 24 [1973], pp. 157–164.
- [29] R. Scharlau. “On the Pythagoras number of orders in totally real number fields”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 316 [1980], pp. 208–210.
- [30] D. Shanks. “The Simplest Cubic Fields”. In: *Math. Comput.* 28 [1974], pp. 1137–1152.
- [31] C. L. Siegel. “Sums of  $m$ -th powers of algebraic integers”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 46 [1945], pp. 313–339.
- [32] E. Thomas and A. T. Vasquez. “On the Resolution of Cusp Singularities and the Shintani Decomposition in Totally Real Cubic Number Fields”. In: *Math. Ann.* 247 [1980], pp. 1–20.
- [33] M. Tinková. “On the Pythagoras number of the simplest cubic fields”. Preprint. 2022.
- [34] M. Tinková. “Trace and norm of indecomposable integers in cubic orders”. To appear in *Ramanujan J.* 2022.
- [35] M. Tinková and P. Voutier. “Indecomposable integers in real quadratic fields”. In: *J. Number Theory* 212 [2020], pp. 458–482.
- [36] P. Yatsyna. “A lower bound for the rank of a universal quadratic form with integer coefficients in a totally real field”. In: *Comment. Math. Helvet.* 94 [2019], pp. 221–239.