

A localized criterion for the regularity of solutions to Navier-Stokes equations

Congming Li^a, Chenkai Liu^a, and Ran Zhuo^b

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences and CMA-Shanghai, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

^bDepartment of Mathematical and Statistics, Huanghuai University, China

Abstract

The Serrin-Prodi-Ladyzhenskaya type $L^{p,q}$ criteria for the regularity of solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are fundamental in the study of the millennium problem posted by the Clay Mathematical Institute about the incompressible N-S equations. In this article, we establish some localized $L^{p,q}$ criteria for the regularity of solutions to the equations. In fact, we obtain some a priori estimates of solutions to the equations depend only on some local $L^{p,q}$ type norms. These local $L^{p,q}$ type norms, are small for reasonable initial value and shall remain to be small for global regular solutions. Thus, deriving the smallness or even the boundedness of the local $L^{p,q}$ type norms is necessary and sufficient to affirmatively answer the millennium problem. Our work provides an interesting and plausible approach to study the millennium problem.

Keywords: Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations | Regularity | A priori estimates | Millennium problem

Significance

The Serrin-Prodi-Ladyzhenskaya type regularity criteria are fundamental in the study of the millennium problem posted by the Clay Mathematical Institute about the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. These criteria depend on some norms of the solutions in the whole space. These norms in the whole space can be very large and are very hard if not impossible to control. We derive some criteria based on the same type but localized norms. Hopefully one can derive a priori estimates of these localized norms and establish the global regularity of the solutions with compact supported smooth initial value. We think this is a plausible approach to solve the millennium problem about the Navier-Stokes equations.

1 Introduction

Consider the Cauchy problem for the 3-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T] \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

We naturally assume u_0 to be a solenoidal field. The existence of smooth solution to (1.1) is one of the famous millennium problems, posted by the Clay Mathematical Institute (C. L. Fefferman [4]).

Leray [12] established the existence of weak solutions to (1.1). Hopf [5] continued on this and the weak solution today is known as the Leray-Hopf weak solution (see also [10]):

Theorem 1.1 (Leray-Hopf). *Suppose $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is solenoidal, then there exists a weak solution $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of (1.1).*

To solve the millennium problems, it suffices to establish a suitable integrability for the Leray-Hopf solution. Indeed, one has the following smooth-uniqueness criterion.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and u is a Leray-Hopf solution of (1.1). Moreover, suppose u satisfies the following condition: for some $T_0 > 0$,*

$$u \in L^r(0, T_0; L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{3}{s} + \frac{2}{r} = 1, \quad 3 \leq s \leq +\infty. \quad (1.2)$$

Then u is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T_0]$ and any Leray-Hopf weak solutions of (1.1) must coincide with u in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T_0]$.

Condition (1.2) is now called the Serrin-Prodi-Ladyzhenskaya condition. For $3 < s \leq +\infty$, the uniqueness was established by Serrin [20] and Prodi [15] and the smoothness was proved by Ladyzhenskaya [9]. L. Escauriaza, G. Seregin and V. Šverák [2] considered the limiting case $s = 3$, they proved that any $L^\infty(0, T_0; L^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$ solution must be regular and can be extended further (see also the quantitative version by Terence Tao [21]).

On the other hands, one can check that the Leray-Hopf weak solution must satisfies:

$$u \in L^r(0, T_0; L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{3}{s} + \frac{2}{r} = \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \leq r \leq +\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

There is a integrability gap between (1.2) and (1.3). As a step forward, Scheffer [16–19] and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg [1], established the partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to (1.1). Lin [14] simplified the proof (See also [11]). Indeed, the following result is obtained.

Proposition 1.3. *There exists universal constants $\epsilon_0, C_0 > 0$, with the following property. Suppose (u, p) is a suitable weak solution of (1.1)*

$$u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad K_R(z_0, t_0) := (t_0 - R^2, t_0) \times B_{R_0}(x_0). \quad (1.4)$$

Let

$$C(z_0, t_0, R) := R^{-2} \int_{K_R(z_0, t_0)} |u|^3 \, dx \, dt \quad \text{and} \quad D(z_0, t_0, R) := R^{-2} \int_{K_R(z_0, t_0)} |p - \bar{p}|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dx \, dt. \quad (1.5)$$

Assume $C(z_0, t_0, R)$ and $D(z_0, t_0, R)$ satisfy

$$C(z_0, t_0, R) + D(z_0, t_0, R) \leq \epsilon_0. \quad (1.6)$$

Then

$$R|u| \leq C_0 \quad \text{in} \quad K_{R/2}(z_0, t_0), \quad (1.7)$$

and $|\nabla^k u|$ is Hölder continuous in $K_{R/2}(z_0, t_0)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In 1972, Fabes, Jones and Riviere [3] established the short time existence of mild solution to (1.1) satisfying condition (1.2) for initial data $u_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $q > 3$. Where the existing time T_0 depends on the initial data u_0 . Moreover they obtained that if $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then the mild solution is a Leray-Hopf weak solution, hence by Theorem 1.2 a smooth solution.

In 1989, Kato [8] considered the limiting case. For $u_0 \in L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the short time existence of mild solution. In his work, the existing time T_0 depends only on the L^3 -norm of u_0 , and that for sufficiently small $\|u_0\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}$, it holds that $T_0 = +\infty$. The following is a simple case that the small initial data implies the global existence:

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose u_0 satisfies:*

$$\|u_0\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_* \nu. \quad (1.8)$$

then problem (1.1) has a unique smooth solution,

By the well known energy inequality, one have the following:

Proposition 1.5. *For sufficiently large T , for example $T = C\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4 \nu^{-4}$, there exists $t_0 < T$ such that*

$$\|u(t_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \nu^2. \quad (1.9)$$

As a corollary of Proposition 1.4, solution of (1.1) is smooth for $t \geq t_0$.

In order to solve the millennium problem, we need to show global existence of smooth solution for large initial data. In [7], T. Hou and C. Li established the global regularity of solutions to the incompressible axis-symmetric Navier-Stokes equations in 3-d for some large initial data of special types. Inspired by the existence of global smooth solutions for globally small initial data, we aim at utilizing the local smallness and develop the global existence for general compact supported smooth initial data. An interesting counter part about the millennium problem can be found in [6] by Thomas Hou and references there in. They are seeking possible finite time blow-up of Leray-Hopf solutions with certain regular initial data. In this article, we derive the regularity of solutions to (1.1) under local smallness assumption (1.11).

Indeed, we define a localized L^p -norm of u as:

$$\|u\|_{L^p_R} = \sup\{\|u\|_{L^p(B_R(x))} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \quad (1.10)$$

Our result states as follow:

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose u is a solution of (1.1) satisfying*

$$u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{L^s_{R(t)}}^r dt < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{3}{s} + \frac{2}{r} = 1 \quad r < +\infty, \quad (1.11)$$

where $R(t)$ is a positive function satisfying:

$$\int_0^T (R(t))^{-2} dt < \infty. \quad (1.12)$$

Then $u \in L^\infty[0, T; C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]$ with

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|\nabla u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \exp \left\{ \frac{C_1}{\nu^{q-1}} \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L^p_{R(\tau)}}^q d\tau + C_2 \nu \int_0^t R(\tau)^{-2} d\tau \right\}. \quad (1.13)$$

Remark 1. Combining our result with Proposition 1.5, we know that for given u_0 , it suffices to require (1.11) and (1.12) for $T = C_*^{-2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4 \nu^{-4}$ to derive the global smoothness: $u \in L^\infty[0, T; C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]$.

Remark 2. Our estimate appears to be similar to Theorem 1.2, but only require a local integrability of u .

The following is a special case of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities on bounded domains (see [13] by C. Li and K. Zhang).

Lemma 1.7. *If $w \in H^2(Q)$ then*

$$\int_Q |\nabla w - \overline{\nabla w}|^3 dx \leq C \|w\|_{L^3(Q)} \|\nabla^2 w\|_{L^2(Q)}^2. \quad (1.14)$$

Here $\overline{\nabla w}$ is the average of ∇w over Q .

Next is the main estimate of this article. It is interesting in its own and the method of derivation might be used to deal with other nonlinear problems.

Lemma 1.8 (Main estimate). *There is an universal constant C , such that for any $r > 0$:*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} dx \right| \leq C_0 \|u\|_{L^r} \left(r^{-\frac{3}{p}-1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + r^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right). \quad (1.15)$$

Proof of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Without loss of generality, let $\nu = 1$. Define

$$H(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx = \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,$$

then we have the basic estimate:

$$\int_0^t H(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u(x, \tau)|^2 dx d\tau = \frac{1}{2} (\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2). \quad (1.16)$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^T H(t)dt = \int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (1.17)$$

We can assume that $u(x, t) \in C^k((0, T], H^k(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with the help of classical regularity or approximation (approximate u_0 with $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ functions) theory as long as we have a priori bounds on $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$, see [12] and [20].

Next, we take the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ to equation (1.1), take inner product with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, integral over \mathbb{R}^3 and then sum-up i from 1 to 3 to get:

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx = -2\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j,k=1,2,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)_{(x,t)} dx. \quad (1.18)$$

We apply the estimate in Lemma 1.8 to derive:

$$H'(t) \leq -2\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + 2C_0\|u(t)\|_{L_r^p} \left(r^{-\frac{3}{p}-1}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + r^{1-\frac{3}{p}}\|\nabla^2 u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right). \quad (1.19)$$

Take

$$r = \min \left\{ R(t), (C_0\|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p})^{-\frac{p}{p-3}} \right\}. \quad (1.20)$$

Then

$$\|u(t)\|_{L_r^p} \leq \|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p}, \quad \text{since} \quad r \leq R(t). \quad (1.21)$$

And therefore,

$$2C_0r^{1-\frac{3}{p}}\|u(t)\|_{L_r^p} \leq 2C_0r^{1-\frac{3}{p}}\|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p} \leq 2. \quad (1.22)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t) &\leq 2C_0r^{-1-\frac{3}{p}}\|u(t)\|_{L_r^p}H(t) \\ &\leq 2C_0 \max \left\{ (C_0\|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p})^{\frac{p+3}{p-3}}, R(t)^{-1-\frac{3}{p}} \right\} \|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p} H(t) \\ &\leq \left(2C_0^{\frac{2p}{p-3}}\|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} + 2C_0\|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p} R(t)^{-1-\frac{3}{p}} \right) H(t) \\ &\leq \left(2C_0^{\frac{2p}{p-3}}\|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} + \frac{p-3}{2p}(2C_0)^{\frac{2p}{p-3}}\|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} + \frac{p+3}{2p}R(t)^{-2} \right) H(t) \\ &= \left(2C_1\|u(t)\|_{L_{R(t)}^p}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} + 2C_2R(t)^{-2} \right) H(t). \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, one obtains:

$$H(t) \leq H(0) \exp \left\{ 2C_1 \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{L_{R(\tau)}^p}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} d\tau + 2C_2 \int_0^t R(\tau)^{-2} d\tau \right\}.$$

(1.13) then follows. \square

Now, we come back to prove the main estimate (1.15).

Proof of Lemma 1.8. We present our proof with the case $i = k = j$ and $u_i = u_j = u_k = w$, all other cases can be proved similarly. We demonstrate with the following:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Dw)^3 dx \right| \leq C \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \|w\|_{L^p(Q_r(x))} \left(r^{-\frac{3}{p}-1}\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + r^{1-\frac{3}{p}}\|\nabla^2 w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right). \quad (1.24)$$

Here D denotes a first order directional derivative. We decompose \mathbb{R}^3 into non-overlapping standard cubes $Q_{r/2}(x_i)$ of side length r . By a little shifting of each edge of cubes $Q_{r/2}(x_i)$, one can make a re-decomposition as

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_i \tilde{Q}^i, \quad (1.25)$$

such that for each \tilde{Q}^i coming from $Q_{r/2}(x_i)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\partial\tilde{Q}^i} |w| d\sigma_x \leq \frac{C}{r^3} \int_{Q_r(x_i)} |w| dx. \quad (1.26)$$

Now, let

$$\overline{Dw}|_i = \frac{1}{r^3} \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} Dw dx$$

be the average of Dw on \tilde{Q}^i . We derive:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Dw)^3 dx &= \sum_i \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} (Dw)^3 dx \\ &= \sum_i \left[\int_{\tilde{Q}^i} (Dw - \overline{Dw}|_i)^3 dx + 3\overline{Dw}|_i \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} (Dw - \overline{Dw}|_i)^2 dx + r^3 \overline{Dw}|_i^3 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.27)$$

We apply Lemma 1.7 to estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} |\nabla w - \overline{\nabla w}|_i|^3 dx &\leq C \|w\|_{L^3(\tilde{Q}^i)} \|\nabla^2 w\|_{L^2(\tilde{Q}^i)}^2 \\ &\leq Cr^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|w\|_{L^p(\tilde{Q}^i)} \|\nabla^2 w\|_{L^2(\tilde{Q}^i)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_i \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} (Dw - \overline{Dw}|_i)^3 dx \right| &\leq \sum_i \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} |\nabla w - \overline{\nabla w}|_i|^3 dx \\ &\leq Cr^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \sup_i \|w\|_{L^p(\tilde{Q}^i)} \sum_i \|\nabla^2 w\|_{L^2(\tilde{Q}^i)}^2 \\ &= Cr^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \sup_i \|w\|_{L^p(\tilde{Q}^i)} \|\nabla^2 w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 3\overline{Dw} \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} (Dw - \overline{Dw}|_i)^2 dx + r^3 \overline{Dw}|_i^3 \right| &\leq Cr^{-3} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\tilde{Q}^i)}^2 \left| \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} Dw dx \right| \\ &\leq Cr^{-3} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\tilde{Q}^i)}^2 \int_{\partial\tilde{Q}^i} |w| d\sigma_x \\ &\leq Cr^{-4} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\tilde{Q}^i)}^2 \int_{Q_r(x_i)} |w| dx \\ &\leq Cr^{-\frac{3}{p}-1} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(Q_r(x_i))}^2 \|w\|_{L^p(Q_r(x_i))}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.30)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \left| 3\overline{Dw} \int_{\tilde{Q}^i} (Dw - \overline{Dw}|_i)^2 dx + r^3 \overline{Dw}|_i^3 \right| &\leq Cr^{-\frac{3}{p}-1} \sup_i \|w\|_{L^p(Q_r(x_i))} \sum_i \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(Q_r(x_i))}^2 \\ &= Cr^{-\frac{3}{p}-1} \sup_i \|w\|_{L^p(Q_r(x_i))} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.31)$$

Substituting (1.29) and (1.31) into (1.27), note that

$$\sup_i \|w\|_{L^p(\tilde{Q}^i)} \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \|w\|_{L^p(Q_r(x))} = \|w\|_{L^p}, \quad (1.32)$$

then we finish the proof. \square

2 Acknowledgement

The authors are partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 12031012 and NSFC 11831003).

References

- [1] L. CAFFARELLI, R. KOHN, AND L. NIRENBERG, *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 35 (1982), pp. 771–831.
- [2] L. ESCAURIAZA, G. SEREGIN, AND V. ŠVERÁK, *$L_{3,\infty}$ -solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 58 (2003), pp. 3–44.
- [3] E. B. FABES, B. F. JONES, AND N. M. RIVIÈRE, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in L^p* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 45 (1972), pp. 222–240.
- [4] C. L. FEFFERMAN, *Existence and smoothness of the Navier-Stokes equation*, in The millennium prize problems, Clay Math. Inst., Cambridge, MA, 2006, pp. 57–67.
- [5] E. HOPF, *Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen*, Math. Nachr., 4 (1951), pp. 213–231.
- [6] T. Y. HOU, *Potentially singular behavior of the 3d navier-stokes equations*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics, (2022), pp. 1–49.
- [7] T. Y. HOU AND C. LI, *Dynamic stability of the three-dimensional axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations with swirl*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 61 (2008), pp. 661–697.
- [8] T. KATO, *Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in \mathbf{R}^m , with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Z., 187 (1984), pp. 471–480.
- [9] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA, *Uniqueness and smoothness of generalized solutions of Navier-Stokes equations*, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI), 5 (1967), pp. 169–185.
- [10] ———, *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, 1969. Second English edition, revised and enlarged, Translated from the Russian by Richard A. Silverman and John Chu.
- [11] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA AND G. A. SEREGIN, *On partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 1 (1999), pp. 356–387.
- [12] J. LERAY, *Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace*, Acta Math., 63 (1934), pp. 193–248.
- [13] C. LI AND K. ZHANG, *A note on the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality in a bounded domain*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 21 (2022), pp. 4013–4017.
- [14] F. LIN, *A new proof of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theorem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 51 (1998), pp. 241–257.
- [15] G. PRODI, *Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 48 (1959), pp. 173–182.
- [16] V. SCHEFFER, *Partial regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Pacific J. Math., 66 (1976), pp. 535–552.
- [17] ———, *Hausdorff measure and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., 55 (1977), pp. 97–112.
- [18] ———, *The Navier-Stokes equations on a bounded domain*, Comm. Math. Phys., 73 (1980), pp. 1–42.
- [19] ———, *Boundary regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., 85 (1982), pp. 275–299.
- [20] J. SERRIN, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, in Nonlinear Problems (Proc. Sympos., Madison, Wis., 1962), Univ. Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wis., 1963, pp. 69–98.
- [21] T. TAO, *Quantitative bounds for critically bounded solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, in Nine mathematical challenges—an elucidation, vol. 104 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, [2021] ©2021, pp. 149–193.