

MULTILINEAR SINGULAR INTEGRALS WITH HOMOGENEOUS KERNELS NEAR L^1

GEORGIOS DOSIDIS AND LENKA SLAVÍKOVÁ

ABSTRACT. We obtain the optimal open range of $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounds for multilinear singular integral operators with homogeneous kernels of the form $\Omega(\frac{x}{|x|})|x|^{-mn}$, where Ω is a function in $L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})$ with vanishing integral and $q > 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Singular integral operators of the form

$$(1) \quad Tf(x) := pv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)K(x-y)dy$$

have been extensively studied ever since their introduction by Calderón and Zygmund in [1]. In the special case of homogeneous kernels $K(x) = \frac{\Omega(x/|x|)}{|x|^n}$, which includes the Hilbert and the Riesz transforms, boundedness of the operator T from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself can be obtained for all $1 < p < \infty$ without any smoothness assumption on Ω . This was first proven in [2] under the assumption that Ω has vanishing integral on the unit sphere and belongs to the Orlicz space $L \log L(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, and subsequently improved in [8, 9] by making use of Hardy spaces. Endpoint bounds were obtained in [5, 6, 20, 24].

In this paper, we investigate the multilinear variant of the operator (1). Namely, given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a function Ω with zero mean on the unit sphere which, in addition, belongs to $L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})$ for some $q > 1$, we set $K(x) = \frac{\Omega(x')}{|x|^{mn}}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{mn} \setminus \{0\}$, where $x' = \frac{x}{|x|}$, and consider the multilinear singular integral operator

$$(2) \quad T_{\Omega}^m(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)(x) := pv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} K(x-y_1, x-y_2, \dots, x-y_m) \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i)dy.$$

Throughout the paper, we assume that exponents p_1, \dots, p_m, p satisfy the Hölder scaling $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i}$, and we study the question of $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of T_{Ω}^m .

The $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of the bilinear operator T_{Ω}^2 was proven by Coifman and Meyer in [7] under the assumption that Ω is a function of bounded variation and $1 < p_1, p_2, p < \infty$. This result led, in particular, to an alternative proof of L^p estimates for Calderón's first commutator, an operator introduced by Calderón in [4] in connection with the study of elliptic partial differential equations. A yet another proof of the boundedness of Calderón's commutator relevant for our present approach was given by Muscalu in [22]. An extension of the Coifman–Meyer theorem [7] to arbitrary exponents satisfying $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$, with p being possibly less than 1, was obtained by Kenig and Stein in [21] and by Grafakos and Torres in [18].

The authors were supported by the Primus research programme PRIMUS/21/SCI/002 of Charles University.

The first result concerning bounds for T_Ω^2 in the case when Ω does not possess any smoothness was obtained in [13], where boundedness in the full range $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ was proven under the assumption that $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$, and boundedness in the “local L^2 case”, that is $2 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq p \leq 2$, was proven for $\Omega \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$. The local L^2 case bound was later improved in [17], weakening the L^2 assumption on Ω to the requirement that $\Omega \in L^q$ with $q > 4/3$. Boundedness in the extended range of exponents $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < 2$, when $q > 4/3$, was proven recently in [19].

In this work, we extend the boundedness results for T_Ω^2 to the case $1 < q \leq 4/3$. Let $d\sigma$ denote the surface measure on the sphere.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, and suppose that $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$, $q > 1$, with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}} \Omega(\theta) d\sigma(\theta) = 0$. If*

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < 2,$$

then there exists a constant $C = C(n, p_1, p_2, q)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \|T_\Omega^2(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on estimates obtained in [13, 19] combined with a new estimate of minimal blow-up when $\Omega \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$.

Remark. *The questions of weak-type boundedness when $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 2$ as well as any bounds when $\Omega \in L \log L(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$ remain open.*

We graph the range of boundedness of T_Ω^2 . The dotted line corresponds to $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 2$. Here and in what follows, q' stands for the exponent satisfying $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$.




FIGURE 1. Optimal range of $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of T_Ω^2 when $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$, $q > 1$.

The boundedness of the multilinear operator T_Ω^m with a rough kernel was first treated in [14], where initial $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{2/m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounds were established. In order to discuss the boundedness region for this operator, we introduce some notation. For any multiindex $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^m$, we write $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$. If $\vec{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$, we set $\frac{1}{p_\alpha} = \alpha \cdot \vec{\frac{1}{p}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{p_i}$. Then $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_{(1, \dots, 1)}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i}$. For any $q > 1$, we write $\vec{\frac{1}{p}} \in \mathcal{H}^m(q)$ if for all $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^m$,

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{p_\alpha} + \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{q} < |\alpha|.$$

When $|\alpha| = 0$ or 1 , Equation (5) is satisfied for all $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, while the condition for $|\alpha| = m$ is

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{m-1}{q} < m.$$

We graph $\mathcal{H}^3(q)$ in the Figure 2 below. The equation for $|\alpha| = 3$ corresponds to the red triangle, while the 3 equations for $|\alpha| = 2$, which have the form

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q} < 2, \quad \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{q} < 2, \quad \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{q} < 2,$$

correspond to the three red rectangles.

Recently in [15], the bounds for T_Ω^m were extended from the middle point $(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$ to the whole region $\mathcal{H}^m(q)$, when $q \geq 2$. Similar to the bilinear case, we extend these boundedness results to the case $1 < q < 2$.

Theorem 2. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i}$ be such that $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_m}) \in \mathcal{H}^m(q)$ and suppose that $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})$, $q > 1$, with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{mn-1}} \Omega(\theta) d\sigma(\theta) = 0$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, q)$ such that*

$$(6) \quad \|T_\Omega^m(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In particular, if $1 < p, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m < \infty$, then Equation (6) holds for all $q > 1$. Note that for $m = 2$, the range $\mathcal{H}^2(q)$ corresponds to the condition $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} < 2$, so Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 2. Moreover, for $m = 1$, the condition in Equation (5) becomes $p > 1$, which is the range of boundedness for the linear operator when $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ for some $q > 1$.




FIGURE 2. Optimal range of $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of T_Ω^3 when $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{3n-1})$, $q > 1$.

Theorem 2 provides the optimal range of exponents such that the strong-type boundedness holds. Building on a counterexample introduced in [10] and refined in [16], it was proven in [15] that $\mathcal{H}^m(q)$ is the largest open set of exponents in which T_Ω^m is bounded. The counterexample in the next proposition also deals with the endpoints in $\mathcal{H}^m(q)$ for which we have equality in one or more of the equations in (5).

Proposition 3. *If $q > 1$, $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i}$ and $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_m}) \notin \mathcal{H}^m(q)$, then there exist an odd function $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})$ and $f_i \in L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, such that $T_\Omega^m(f_1, \dots, f_m) \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

In Section 2, we use the bootstrap argument introduced in [15] to reduce Theorem 2 to proving an estimate of minimal blow-up in the Banach range of exponents when $\Omega \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})$. This estimate is stated in Proposition 4 below and will be proved in Section 3. Section 4 contains the proof of Proposition 3.

2. REDUCTIONS

We fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 1$ and $\Omega \in L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})$ with mean value zero and in the following we simplify notation writing T instead of T_Ω^m . Let η be a Schwartz function in \mathbb{R}^{mn} such that $\widehat{\eta}(\xi) = 1$ when $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\widehat{\eta}(\xi) = 0$ when $|\xi| \geq 2$, and let $\widehat{\beta} = \widehat{\eta} - \widehat{\eta}(2\cdot)$. We decompose the kernel K by setting $K^i(y) = K(y)\widehat{\beta}(2^{-i}y)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$K_j^i(y) = \left(\widehat{K^i}(\cdot) \widehat{\beta}(2^{-j+i}\cdot) \right)^\vee(y), \quad K_j = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} K_j^i, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We decompose $T = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} T_j^i = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} T_j$ accordingly, where K_j^i is the kernel of T_j^i and K_j the kernel of T_j . We note that

$$\widehat{K}_j = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{K}_j^0(2^i \cdot),$$

by the homogeneity of K .

Let $1 < p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m < \infty$ and let p satisfy $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i}$. We obtain Theorem 2 by proving bounds of the form

$$(7) \quad \|T_j\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim Q(j) \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})}$$

that are summable in j . Here and in the following we are using the usual notation $A \lesssim B$ to denote that there exists a constant c such that $A \leq cB$ and we use $A \lesssim_\gamma B$ to imply that c depends on the parameter γ .

We first recall two estimates of the type (7) which are available in the literature. The following proposition follows from the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory [18]. Its specific version stated below was proved in [13, Proposition 3] in the bilinear case, and the same argument translates also to the multilinear setting, as observed in [15, Equation (3.3)].

Proposition A ([13, 15]). *Let $q > 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1/q'$. Then inequality (7) holds with $Q(j) = 2^{(mn-\delta)j}$ if $j \geq 0$ and $Q(j) = 2^{-|j|(1-\delta)}$ if $j < 0$.*

Let $\mathcal{C}^m(q) = (0, \frac{1}{q}]^m$. The following proposition follows from the results in [15].

Proposition B ([15]). *Let $q \geq 2$ and $\vec{\frac{1}{p}} \in \mathcal{C}^m(q)$. Then there exist $r = r(\vec{\frac{1}{p}}, n) > 0$ and $j_0 = j_0(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that inequality (7) holds with $Q(j) = 2^{-rj}$ if $j \geq j_0$.*

In what follows, we fix j_0 from Proposition B and we only consider $j \geq j_0$ in light of Proposition A. The following proposition will be proved in Section 3.

Proposition 4. *Let $j \geq j_0$, $p \geq 1$, $q = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then inequality (7) holds with $Q(j) \lesssim_\varepsilon 2^{j\varepsilon}$.*

We assume Proposition 4 for now and we state and prove several claims that will gradually improve the dependence of the bound in estimate (7) on j . Theorem 2 will then be a direct consequence of Proposition A and Claim 7 below.

Claim 5. *Let $j \geq j_0$, $q > 1$ and $\vec{\frac{1}{p}} \in \mathcal{C}^m(q)$. Then there exists an $r = r(\vec{\frac{1}{p}}, q, n) > 0$ such that inequality (7) holds with $Q(j) = 2^{-rj}$.*

Proof. If $q \geq 2$ then the claim follows from Proposition B. To prove the claim in the case $1 < q < 2$, we will use complex interpolation between the estimates in Proposition B and Proposition 4. To be able to proceed with the interpolation argument, we view T_j as an $(m+1)$ -linear operator. More precisely, let

$$\tilde{T}(\Omega, f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) := pv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} (\Omega - \text{Avg}(\Omega))(y') |y|^{-mn} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x - y_i) dy,$$

where $\text{Avg}(\Omega) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{mn-1}} \Omega d\sigma$ is the mean value of Ω . Note that \tilde{T} is $(m+1)$ -linear and for every Ω with mean zero and for any Schwartz functions f_1, \dots, f_m , it agrees with the singular integral defined in (2). Therefore, it is given by an m -linear convolution with a distribution W_Ω and $W_{\Omega - \text{Avg}(\Omega)} = W_\Omega$, with equality holding in the sense of distributions.

Similar to T_j , we define \tilde{T}_j by setting $\tilde{K}_\Omega = \frac{\Omega(y') - \text{Avg}(\Omega)}{|y|^{mn}}$, $\tilde{K}_\Omega^i(y) = \tilde{K}_\Omega(y) \hat{\beta}(2^{-i}y)$,

$$\left(\tilde{K}_\Omega\right)_j^i(y) = \left(\widehat{\tilde{K}_\Omega^i(\cdot) \hat{\beta}(2^{-j+i}\cdot)}\right)^\vee(y), \quad \left(\tilde{K}_\Omega\right)_j = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{K}_\Omega\right)_j^i,$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_j(\Omega, f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = pv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} \left(\tilde{K}_\Omega\right)_j(y) \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x - y_i) dy.$$

It is immediate that the bounds described above for T_j also hold for \tilde{T}_j and we can use $(m+1)$ -linear interpolation (see [3, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2] for the multilinear interpolation result used here) between Banach space $(m+1)$ -tuplets of the form $L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1}), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n), \dots, L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Fix a $1 < q < 2$ and a $\vec{\frac{1}{p}} \in \mathcal{C}^m(q)$ and assume that $p_1 = \min\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ (without a loss of generality, since the other cases are symmetric) and note that $p_1 > 2$ since $q < 2$. Then $\frac{p_1}{2} \vec{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_1}{p_m}\right) \in \mathcal{C}^m(2)$ and Proposition B yields

$$(8) \quad \|\tilde{T}_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{\frac{2p_2}{p_1}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^{\frac{2p_m}{p_1}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{\frac{2p}{p_1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-r_0 j} \|\Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})}$$

for some positive exponent r_0 .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small, to be determined momentarily. If $\delta \in (0, 1)$ satisfies $\delta < p$, then Proposition 4 and the embedding $L^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1}) \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})$ imply that there is a constant C_ε such that

$$(9) \quad \|\tilde{T}_j\|_{L^{\frac{p_1}{\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{\frac{p_2}{\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^{\frac{p_m}{\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{\frac{p}{\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim C_\varepsilon 2^{\varepsilon j} \|\Omega\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})}.$$

Interpolating between the estimates (8) and (9) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \|\tilde{T}_j\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim C_\varepsilon^{\frac{p_1-2}{p_1-2\delta}} (2j)^\varepsilon \frac{p_1-2}{p_1-2\delta} - r_0 \frac{2-2\delta}{p_1-2\delta} \|\Omega\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})}.$$

Finally, choosing $\varepsilon < r_0 \frac{2-2\delta}{p_1-2}$ completes the proof of the claim. \square

Claim 6. Let $j \geq j_0$, $q > 1$, $\frac{\vec{1}}{p} \in \mathcal{H}^m(q)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then inequality (7) holds with $Q(j) \lesssim_\varepsilon 2^{j\varepsilon}$.

Proof. Claim 5 yields that (7) holds with $Q(j) \lesssim_\varepsilon 2^{j\varepsilon}$ whenever $\frac{\vec{1}}{p} \in \mathcal{C}^m(q)$. The proof then follows from the extension result of [15, Proposition 6.2]. \square

Claim 7. Let $j \geq j_0$, $\frac{\vec{1}}{p} \in \mathcal{H}^m(q)$ and $q > 1$. Then there exists an $r = r(\frac{\vec{1}}{p}, q, n) > 0$ such that inequality (7) holds with $Q(j) \lesssim 2^{-rj}$.

Proof. We observe that the point $(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{q}, \dots, \frac{1}{q})$ is in the interior of $\mathcal{H}^m(q)$ and interpolate between Claim 5 and Claim 6 using [12, Theorem 7.2.2]. \square

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4

A critical tool for the proof of Proposition 4 is a bound for the shifted maximal and square functions, which is an extension to higher dimensions of a result due to Muscalu [22].

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let φ^i and ψ^i be Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R} with compact Fourier supports such that $\widehat{\psi^i}$ is supported away from the origin. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v_i \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $(\varphi^i)_r^{v_i}(x) = 2^r \varphi^i(2^r x - v_i)$ and similarly for $(\psi^i)_r^{v_i}(x)$. For a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the tensor-product-type shifted bump functions

$$(11) \quad (\varphi)_r^v(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\varphi^i)_r^{v_i}(x_i),$$

$$(12) \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi)_r^v(x) = (\psi^k)_r^{v_k}(x_k) \prod_{i \neq k} (\varphi^i)_r^{v_i}(x_i) \quad \text{for some } k \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

If $v = 0$, we simply write φ_r and ψ_r . With this notation, we have

Proposition 8. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\left\| \sup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |f * (\varphi)_r^v| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (\log(2 + |v|))^n \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |f * (\psi)_r^v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (\log(2 + |v|))^{2n} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proposition 8 is proven in [22, Theorems 4.1, 5.1] in one dimension although the first of the two inequalities is also contained in [25, Chapter II, 5.10]. The extension to higher dimensions is immediate using the theorems in [22, 23], but we nonetheless include it for completeness.

Proof of Proposition 8. For $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ let $(\varphi)_{\vec{r}}^v(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\varphi^i)_{r_i}^{v_i}(x_i)$ and denote

$$M^{v_i} f = \sup_{r_i \in \mathbb{Z}} |f * (\varphi^i)_{r_i}^{v_i}|,$$

with the convolution being only on the i -th variable. Then

$$\sup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |f * (\varphi)_r^v(x)| \leq \sup_{\vec{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |f * (\varphi)_{\vec{r}}^v(x)| \leq M^{v_n} (M^{v_{n-1}} (\dots (M^{v_1} f))) (x)$$

pointwise, and the L^p bound follows from successive applications of the one dimensional result [22, Theorem 4.1].

To prove the bound for the higher-dimensional shifted square function, we use the Fefferman–Stein inequality for the shifted maximal function [23, Theorem 5.1] and the result for the one-dimensional shifted square function [22, Theorem 5.1]. Without a loss of generality, we can assume that

$$(\psi)_r^v(x) = (\psi^1)_r^{v_1}(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^n (\varphi^i)_r^{v_i}(x_i).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |f * (\psi)_r^v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |M^{v_n}(\dots(M^{v_2}(f *_1 (\psi^1)_r^{v_1})))|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim (\log(2 + |v_n|))^2 \left\| \left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |M^{v_{n-1}}(\dots(M^{v_2}(f *_1 (\psi^1)_r^{v_1})))|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \dots \lesssim (\log(2 + |v|))^{2(n-1)} \left\| \left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |f *_1 (\psi^1)_r^{v_1}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim (\log(2 + |v|))^{2n-1} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 4. Given $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let Λ_j be the $(m+1)$ -linear form given by

$$\Lambda_j(f_1, \dots, f_{m+1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_j(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) f_{m+1}(x) dx.$$

Since $p \geq 1$, we observe that Proposition 4 will follow if we prove that

$$|\Lambda_j(f_1, \dots, f_{m+1})| \leq C j^{2mn} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})} \|f_{m+1}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for $j \geq j_0$. To simplify notation, we write $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ for $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We rewrite the form Λ_j as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_j(f_1, \dots, f_{m+1}) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} \widehat{K}_j^0(2^k \vec{\xi}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \widehat{f}_i(\xi_i) \right) f_{m+1}(x) e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_m)} d\vec{\xi} dx \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} \widehat{K}_j^0(2^k \vec{\xi}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \widehat{f}_i(\xi_i) \right) \widehat{f_{m+1}}(-\xi_1 - \dots - \xi_m) d\vec{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\widehat{K}_j^0(2^k \cdot)$ is supported in the mn -dimensional annulus where $|\vec{\xi}| \sim 2^{j-k}$. Therefore, at least two of the vectors $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, (-\xi_1 - \dots - \xi_m)$ have to belong to an n -dimensional annulus of radius about 2^{j-k} . By a smooth partition of unity, it suffices to consider pieces of the form

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} \widehat{K}_j^0(2^k \vec{\xi}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \widehat{f}_i(\xi_i) \widehat{\phi}_i(2^{k-j} \xi_i) \right) \widehat{f_{m+1}}(-\xi_1 - \dots - \xi_m) \widehat{\phi_{m+1}}(2^{k-j}(-\xi_1 - \dots - \xi_m)) d\vec{\xi},$$

where $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, m+1$, are smooth, tensor-product type functions such that at least two of them are of the form (12) (we denote them by ϕ_l and $\phi_{l'}$) and the remaining

ones have the form (11) (with $v = 0$ and $r = 0$). Using Fourier inversion, the form can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} 2^{-kmn} K_j^0(2^{-k} \vec{y}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m f_i * (\phi_i)_{2^{j-k}}(x - y_i) \right) f_{m+1} * (\phi_{m+1})_{2^{j-k}}(x) d\vec{y} dx \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} K_j^0(\vec{y}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m f_i * (\phi_i)_{2^{j-k}}(x - 2^k y_i) \right) f_{m+1} * (\phi_{m+1})_{2^{j-k}}(x) d\vec{y} dx \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} K_j^0(\vec{y}) \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} f_i * (\phi_i)_{2^{j-k}}^{2^j y_i}(x) d\vec{y} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $y_{m+1} = 0$. We also formally set $p_{m+1} = p'$. For a fixed $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} f_i * (\phi_i)_{2^{j-k}}^{2^j y_i}(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i \neq l, l'} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_i * (\phi_i)_{2^{j-k}}^{2^j y_i}(x)| \prod_{r \in \{l, l'\}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_r * (\phi_r)_{2^{j-k}}^{2^j y_r}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} dx \\ & \lesssim \prod_{i \neq l, l'} \left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_i * (\phi_i)_{2^{j-k}}^{2^j y_i}| \right\|_{L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \prod_{r \in \{l, l'\}} \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_r * (\phi_r)_{2^{j-k}}^{2^j y_r}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{p_r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (\log(2 + 2^j |y_i|))^{2n} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|f_{m+1}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim j^{2mn} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (\log(2 + |y_i|))^{2n} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|f_{m+1}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

using Proposition 8. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} |K_j^0(\vec{y})| \prod_{i=1}^m (\log(2 + |y_i|))^{2n} d\vec{y} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})}.$$

We recall that $K_j^0 = K^0 * \beta_{2^j}$. Since β is a Schwartz function, we may estimate it as

$$|\beta(x)| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2mnk} \chi_{\mathbb{B}^{mn}(0, 2^k)}(x).$$

We further notice that the function $|K^0| * \chi_{\mathbb{B}^{mn}(0, 2^{k-j})}$ is supported in the set $\mathbb{B}^{mn}(0, 2^{k+1})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} |K_j^0(\vec{y})| \prod_{i=1}^m (\log(2 + |y_i|))^{2n} d\vec{y} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{mnj-2mnk} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{mn}} |K^0| * \chi_{\mathbb{B}^{mn}(0, 2^{k-j})}(\vec{y}) \prod_{i=1}^m (\log(2 + |y_i|))^{2n} d\vec{y} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2mn} 2^{mnj-2mnk} \| |K^0| * \chi_{\mathbb{B}^{mn}(0, 2^{k-j})} \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{mn})} \lesssim \|K^0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{mn})} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2mn} 2^{-mnk} \\ & \lesssim \|K^0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{mn})} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

4. COUNTEREXAMPLE

Proof of Proposition 3. Since $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_m}) \notin \mathcal{H}^m(q)$, there is a multiindex α such that (5) is not satisfied. Without a loss of generality, we can assume that $\alpha = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, with $|\alpha| = \kappa \geq 2$, since the cases $|\alpha| = 0$ and $|\alpha| = 1$ are trivial, and the operator is unaffected by permutations of the functions f_i .

For $i = 1, \dots, m$, let

$$\begin{cases} f_i(x) &= |x|^{-n/p_i} |\log|x||^{-\gamma/p_i} \chi_{|x| < \frac{1}{2}}(x), & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \kappa, \\ f_i(x) &= |x|^{-n/p_i} |\log|x||^{-\gamma/p_i} \chi_{|x| > 2}(x), & \text{for } i = \kappa + 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

for some $\gamma > 1$ to be determined, and note that $f_i \in L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \in \mathbb{S}^{mn-1}$, we define

$$\Omega(\theta) = \text{sgn}(\theta_1^1) \chi_{\mathbb{B}^{\kappa n}}(0, \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\kappa}})(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_\kappa) \left(\sum_{i=2}^{\kappa} |\theta_1 - \theta_i|^2 \right)^{-\frac{(\kappa-1)n}{2q}} \left| \log \sum_{i=2}^{\kappa} |\theta_1 - \theta_i|^2 \right|^{-\frac{2}{q}},$$

where θ_1^1 denotes the first coordinate of θ_1 . Then Ω is an odd function in $L^q(\mathbb{S}^{mn-1})$. Indeed, using the co-area formula, [11, Appendix D], and setting $r_{\theta, \kappa} = \sqrt{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |\theta_i|^2}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{mn-1}} |\Omega(\theta)|^q d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{\kappa n}} \int_{r_{\theta, \kappa} \mathbb{S}^{(m-\kappa)n-1}} |\Omega(\theta)|^q \frac{d\sigma(\theta_{\kappa+1}, \dots, \theta_m)}{r_{\theta, \kappa}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_\kappa.$$

Since $1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |\theta_i|^2 \approx 1$ when θ belongs to the support of Ω , the previous expression is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{B}^{\kappa n}(0, \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\kappa}})} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{\kappa} |\theta_1 - \theta_i|^2 \right)^{-\frac{(\kappa-1)n}{2}} \left| \log \sum_{i=2}^{\kappa} |\theta_1 - \theta_i|^2 \right|^{-\gamma} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_\kappa \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}^{(\kappa-1)n}(0, \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\kappa}})} |u|^{-(\kappa-1)n} |\log|u||^{-\gamma} du_2 \cdots du_\kappa < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows by the change of coordinates to $u_i = \theta_1 - \theta_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, \kappa$.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector such that $x^1 > 10$. We denote $\bar{x} = (x, x, \dots, x) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^m$. Then

$$T_{\Omega}^m(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_{|y_1|, \dots, |y_\kappa| < \frac{1}{2}} \cdots \int_{|y_{\kappa+1}|, \dots, |y_m| > 2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m |y_i|^{-n/p_i} |\log|y_i||^{-\gamma/p_i} \right) \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{\bar{x}-y}{|\bar{x}-y|}\right)}{|\bar{x}-y|^{mn}} dy.$$

Note that, since $|y_1| < \frac{1}{2}$, the first coordinate of $(\bar{x} - y)_1$ is positive, and thus the integrand in the above integral is positive. Therefore, we can estimate it from below by the integral over the smaller region $A \times B$, where

$$A = \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_\kappa) \in \mathbb{B}^{\kappa n} \left(0, \frac{1}{|x|}\right) : |y_1| \approx \cdots \approx |y_\kappa| \approx |y_1 - y_2| \approx \cdots \approx |y_1 - y_\kappa| \right\}$$

and

$$B = \mathbb{B}^{n(m-\kappa)} \left(\underbrace{(x, \dots, x)}_{m-\kappa}, \frac{|x|}{2} \right).$$

We write $y = (z, z')$, where $z = (y_1, \dots, y_\kappa)$ and $z' = (y_{\kappa+1}, \dots, y_m)$. In $A \times B$, we have for $i = 2, \dots, \kappa$,

$$|y_1 - y_i| \approx |z| < \frac{1}{|x|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{x} - y| \approx |z'| \approx |x|,$$

and therefore, for $y \in A \times B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega\left(\frac{\bar{x} - y}{|\bar{x} - y|}\right) &= \left(\sum_{i=2}^{\kappa} \left|\frac{y_1 - y_i}{|\bar{x} - y|}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{(\kappa-1)n}{2q}} \left|\log \sum_{i=2}^{\kappa} \left|\frac{y_1 - y_i}{|\bar{x} - y|}\right|^2\right|^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}} \\ &\approx \left(\frac{|z|}{|x|}\right)^{-\frac{(\kappa-1)n}{q}} \left|\log \frac{|z|}{|x|}\right|^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}} \\ &\gtrsim |x|^{\frac{(\kappa-1)n}{q}} |z|^{-\frac{(\kappa-1)n}{q}} |\log |z||^{-\frac{\gamma}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\frac{1}{p_\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{p_i}$ and $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}_\alpha} = \sum_{i=\kappa+1}^m \frac{1}{p_i}$, we estimate the absolute value of $T_\Omega^m(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)$ from below by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_B \int_A \left(\prod_{i=1}^m |y_i|^{-n/p_i} |\log |y_i||^{-\gamma/p_i}\right) \frac{|\Omega\left(\frac{\bar{x}-y}{|\bar{x}-y|}\right)|}{|\bar{x}-y|^{mn}} dy \\ &\gtrsim |x|^{-mn + \frac{(\kappa-1)n}{q}} \int_B |z'|^{-\frac{n}{\tilde{p}_\alpha}} (\log |z'|)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{p}_\alpha}} dz' \int_A |z|^{-n\left(\frac{1}{p_\alpha} + \frac{\kappa-1}{q}\right)} |\log |z||^{-\frac{\gamma}{p_\alpha} - \frac{\gamma}{q}} dz \\ &\gtrsim (\log |x|)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{p}_\alpha}} |x|^{-mn + \frac{(\kappa-1)n}{q} - \frac{n}{\tilde{p}_\alpha} + (m-\kappa)n} \int_A |z|^{-n\left(\frac{1}{p_\alpha} + \frac{\kappa-1}{q}\right)} |\log |z||^{-\frac{\gamma}{p_\alpha} - \frac{\gamma}{q}} dz, \end{aligned}$$

which diverges when $\frac{1}{p_\alpha} + \frac{\kappa-1}{q} > \kappa = |\alpha|$.

When $\frac{1}{p_\alpha} + \frac{\kappa-1}{q} = \kappa$, the exponents in the previous expression simplify to

$$(\log |x|)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{p}_\alpha}} |x|^{-\frac{n}{\tilde{p}_\alpha}} \int_A |z|^{-\kappa n} |\log |z||^{-\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{p}_\alpha} - \frac{\gamma}{q}} dz,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\log |x|)^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{p}_\alpha} - \frac{\gamma}{q}} |x|^{-\frac{n}{\tilde{p}_\alpha}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_\Omega^m(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)|^p dx \gtrsim \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: x^1 > 10\}} |x|^{-n} (\log |x|)^{p - \gamma - \frac{2p}{q}} dx,$$

which is finite if and only if $p - \gamma - \frac{2p}{q} < -1 \Leftrightarrow \gamma > \frac{p+1}{q}$. Since $q > 1$, we can choose

$1 < \gamma < \frac{p+1}{q}$ in the definitions of f_1, \dots, f_m, Ω to obtain the counterexample. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Calderón, A. Zygmund. *On the existence of certain singular integrals*, Acta Math. **88** (1952), no. 1, 85–139.
- [2] A. P. Calderón, A. Zygmund. *On singular integrals*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 289–309.
- [3] J.-K. Bak, D. M. Oberlin, A. Seeger. *Restriction of Fourier transforms to curves and related oscillatory integrals*, American Journal of Mathematics **131**(2) (2009), 277–311.
- [4] A. P. Calderón. *Commutators of singular integral operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **53** (1965), 1092–1099.
- [5] M. Christ. *Weak type (1, 1) bounds for rough operators I*, Ann. Math. **128** (1988), 19–42.
- [6] M. Christ, J. L. Rubio de Francia. *Weak type (1, 1) bounds for rough operators II*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 225–237.

- [7] R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer. *On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 315–331.
- [8] R. R. Coifman, G. Weiss. *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 569–645.
- [9] W. C. Connett. *Singular integrals near L^1 , in Harmonic analysis in Euclidean spaces, Part 1*, (Williamstown 1978), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1979), 163–165.
- [10] G. Diestel, L. Grafakos, P. Honzík, Z. Si, M. E. Terwilleger. *Method of rotations for bilinear singular integrals*, Commun. Math. Anal. 2011, Conference 3, 99–107.
- [11] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis*. 3rd Ed., GTM **249**, Springer NY, 2014.
- [12] L. Grafakos. *Modern Fourier Analysis*. 3rd Ed., GTM **250**, Springer NY, 2014.
- [13] L. Grafakos, D. He, P. Honzík. *Rough bilinear singular integrals*, Adv. Math. **326** (2018), 54–78.
- [14] L. Grafakos, D. He, P. Honzík, B. J. Park. *Initial $L^2 \times \dots \times L^2$ bounds for multilinear operators*, Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.15312>
- [15] L. Grafakos, D. He, P. Honzík, B. J. Park. *Multilinear rough singular integrals operators*, Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.00764>
- [16] L. Grafakos, D. He, L. Slavíková. *Failure of the Hörmander kernel condition for multilinear Calderón–Zygmund operators*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **357** (2019), no. 4, 382388.
- [17] L. Grafakos, D. He, L. Slavíková. *$L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow L^1$ boundedness criteria*, Math. Ann. **376** (2020), no. 1–2, 431–455.
- [18] L. Grafakos, R. H. Torres. *Multilinear Calderón–Zygmund Theory*, Adv. Math. **165** (2002), 124–164.
- [19] D. He, B. J. Park. *Improved estimates for bilinear rough singular integrals*, Math. Ann., to appear.
- [20] S. Hofmann. *Weak type $(1, 1)$ boundedness of singular integrals with nonsmooth kernels*, Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 260–264.
- [21] C. Kenig and E. M. Stein. *Multilinear estimates and fractional integration*, Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), no. 1, 1–15.
- [22] C. Muscalu. *Calderón commutators and the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz curves revisited: I. First commutator and generalizations*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **30** (2014), no. 2, 727–750.
- [23] C. Muscalu. *Calderón commutators and the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz curves revisited: III. Polydisc extensions*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **30** (2014), no. 4, 1413–1437.
- [24] A. Seeger. *Singular integral operators with rough convolution kernels*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 9–105.
- [25] Stein, E. M., *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton Mathematical Series, **43**, Springer, New Jersey, 1993.

GEORGIOS DOSIDIS. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: Dosidis@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

LENKA SLAVÍKOVÁ. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: slavikova@karlin.mff.cuni.cz