

Characterizations Of Weakly Conformally Flat And Quasi Einstein Manifolds

Ramesh Sharma

University of New Haven, West Haven, CT 06516, USA, E-mail:
rsharma@newhaven.edu

Abstract

First, we show that a warped product of a line and a fiber manifold is weakly conformally flat and quasi Einstein if and only if the fiber is Einstein. Next, we characterize and classify contact (in particular, K -contact) Riemannian manifold satisfying weakly (and doubly weakly) conformally flat and quasi-Einstein (η -Einstein) conditions. Finally, we provide local classification and characterization of a semi-Riemannian (including the 4-dimensional spacetime) with harmonic Weyl tensor and a non-homothetic conformal (including closed) vector field, in terms of Petrov types and Bach tensor.

1 Introduction

In [6] and [7], Chen and Yano introduced the idea of a Riemannian manifold with quasi constant curvature and defined it as a Riemannian manifold (M, g) which is conformally flat and whose Ricci tensor Ric satisfies the condition

$$Ric = ag + bu \otimes u \tag{1.1}$$

where a and b are smooth functions and u is a 1-form metrically equivalent to a unit vector field U on M . Examples of quasi constant curvature manifolds are conformally flat hypersurfaces of a space of constant curvature and canal hypersurfaces (without singularities) of a Euclidean space. We let g be semi-Riemannian and $g(U, U) = \epsilon$, such that U is spacelike if $\epsilon = 1$ and timelike if $\epsilon = -1$. Another example of a quasi constant curvature semi-Riemannian manifold is the warped product $I \times_f F$ of a real open interval I and a Riemannian manifold (fiber) F of constant curvature, with warping function $f > 0$ on I . In case I is timelike with line-element $-dt^2$, $I \times_f F$ is the well known Friedman - Robertson - Walker (FRW) cosmological model (see, for example, Hawking and Ellis [13]).

Remark: The equation (1.1) defines a quasi Einstein manifold in the sense of Chaki and Maity [5], existence and examples of these manifolds were provided by De and Ghosh [9]. In the literature, there is another concept of a quasi Einstein manifold in terms of Bakry-Emery tensor, studied by Case, Shu and Wei [4].

In this paper, we follow the former definition [equation (1.1)]. Examples of a quasi Einstein manifold are η -Einstein Sasakian manifolds (in particular, Sasakian space forms and Heisenberg groups with left invariant metrics as expanding non-gradient Ricci solitons). For details, we refer to Blair [2], Chow et al. [8] and Ghosh and Sharma [12]. Another example with Lorentzian metric g and a unit timelike vector field U , is the perfect fluid solution of Einstein's field equations [13], described by

$$Ric = 4\pi(\rho - p)g + 8\pi(\rho - 3p)U \otimes U$$

on a 4-dimensional spacetime with energy density ρ and pressure p .

Henceforth we assume the dimension of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) to be > 3 and denote arbitrary vector fields on M by X, Y, Z . Let us weaken the conformal flatness and say that a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is weakly conformally flat if and only if

$$W(X, Y, V) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

for a non-zero vector field V on M , and where W denotes the Weyl tensor of type (1,3).

In dimension 4, a weakly conformally flat (M, g) with a non-null V (i.e. $g(V, V) \neq 0$) is conformally flat. This follows from the following result of Eardley et al. [10]. "If a 4-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold has its Weyl tensor annihilating a non-null (spacelike or timelike) vector field, then the Weyl tensor vanishes identically". Though this result has been proved for a spacetime, it holds in general, for any semi-Riemannian manifold. The proof is based on symmetry properties, tracelessness and combinatorial computations.

In this paper we provide characterizations and classifications of manifolds that are either weakly conformally flat or quasi Einstein, or both within the

frameworks of warped product, Lorentzian and contact geometries. First, we establish the following result.

Theorem 1.1 *The semi-Riemannian warped product $(M, g) = I \times_f F$ of dimension $n \geq 4$, with metric $g = \epsilon dt^2 + f^2(t)g_F$ (g_F being the metric on a Riemannian manifold F) is weakly conformally flat and quasi-Einstein if any one of the following conditions hold: (i) g_F is Einstein, (ii) $W(x, U, U, y) = 0$ for arbitrary vector fields x and y tangent to F and U the lift of ∂_t (t being the standard coordinate on I (spacelike or timelike)), (iii) Weyl tensor of g is harmonic. Under any one of these conditions, g is Bach flat.*

Remark: It follows from the above theorem that an example of a weakly conformally flat and quasi-Einstein is $I \times_f F$ with Einstein fiber F . In the 4-dimensional Lorentzian case ($\epsilon = -1$), it is the Friedman - Robertson - Walker (*FRW*) cosmological model, as mentioned earlier.

Next, we recall from Blair [2] that a conformally flat contact Riemannian manifold whose Reeb vector field ξ is everywhere an eigen vector of its Ricci tensor, is either of constant curvature 1, or flat (in which case the dimension is 3). Prompted by this result, we consider a K -contact manifold M (for which we know that ξ is Killing and is an eigen vector of the Ricci tensor) and check the impact of the doubly weakly conformally flat condition $W(X, \xi, \xi) = 0$ and weakly conformally flat condition $W(X, Y)\xi = 0$. More precisely, we prove the following result.

Proposition 1.1 *A K -contact manifold M satisfies the doubly weakly conformally flat condition $W(X, \xi, \xi) = 0$ if and only if M is η -Einstein. If a K -contact manifold satisfies the weakly conformally flat condition $W(X, Y)\xi = 0$, then it is Sasakian and η -Einstein (i.e. quasi Einstein with $U = \xi$).*

Now we provide a classification of a contact Riemannian manifold that is weakly conformally flat and quasi-Einstein with U as the Reeb vector field, by establishing the following result.

Theorem 1.2 *If a contact Riemannian manifold is weakly conformally flat and quasi-Einstein with U as the Reeb vector field ξ , then it is Sasakian, flat (3-dimensional), or locally isometric to $SU(2)$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with a left invariant metric.*

2 Preliminaries

Let us briefly review the basic formulas of the n -dimensional semi-Riemannian warped product $M = I \times_f F$ of an open real interval I and an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold F (fiber), with warping function $f > 0$ on I such that the metric g on M is given by

$$g = \pi^*(g_I) + (f \circ \pi)^2 \sigma^*(g_F)$$

where π and σ are projections from $I \times_f F$ onto I and F respectively, g_I and g_F are the metrics on I and F . Locally, we express g as

$$\epsilon dt^2 + f^2(t)(g_F)_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ or -1 according as $g(\partial_t, \partial_t) = 1$ or -1 , t is the standard coordinate on I and x^i are local coordinates on F . If U is the lift of ∂_t and x, y, z, w denote arbitrary vector fields tangent to F , then we have the following formulas

$$R(x, U)U = -\frac{\ddot{f}}{f}x, R(x, y)U = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

$$R(x, U)y = \frac{\epsilon \ddot{f}}{f}g(x, y)U \quad (2.2)$$

$$g(R(x, y, z), w) = g({}^F R(x, y, z), w) - \epsilon \left(\frac{\dot{f}}{f}\right)^2 [g(y, z)g(x, w) - g(x, z)g(y, w)] \quad (2.3)$$

$$Ric(U, U) = -\frac{n-1}{f}\ddot{f} \quad (2.4)$$

$$Ric(U, x) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

$$Ric(x, y) = {}^F Ric(x, y) - \left[\frac{\epsilon \ddot{f}}{f} + (n-2) \left(\frac{\dot{f}}{f}\right)^2 \right] g(x, y) \quad (2.6)$$

$$r = \frac{{}^F r}{f^2} - 2\epsilon(n-1)\frac{\ddot{f}}{f} - (n-1)(n-2) \left(\frac{\dot{f}}{f}\right)^2 \quad (2.7)$$

where an over-dot means derivative with respect to t , and R , Ric and r denote the curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of M , while the symbols with a superscript F denote the corresponding quantities of F . For

details we refer to O'Neill [15].

Secondly, we briefly review contact geometry. A $(2m+1)$ -dimensional smooth manifold M is said to be a contact manifold if it carries a smooth 1-form η such that $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^m \neq 0$ (where \wedge is the exterior wedge product and d is the exterior derivation). For a given contact form η , there exists a vector field (Reeb vector field) ξ such that $\eta(\xi) = 1$ and $(d\eta)(\xi, \cdot) = 0$. Polarizing $d\eta$ on the contact subbundle ($\eta = 0$) we obtain a Riemannian metric g and a $(1,1)$ -tensor field φ such that

$$(d\eta)(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y), \eta(X) = g(\xi, X), \varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi. \quad (2.8)$$

The Riemannian metric g is an associated metric of η and (φ, η, ξ, g) is a contact Riemannian structure on M . The $(1,1)$ -tensor field $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi$ (\mathcal{L} denoting the Lie-derivative operator) on a contact Riemannian manifold is self-adjoint, trace-free and anti-commutes with φ . The following formulas hold on a contact Riemannian manifold:

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\varphi X - \varphi hX, \quad (2.9)$$

$$Ric(\xi, \xi) = 2m - |h|^2. \quad (2.10)$$

A contact Riemannian metric is said to be K -contact if ξ is Killing, equivalently, $h = 0$, or

$$R(X, \xi)\xi = X - \eta(X)\xi \quad (2.11)$$

It follows from (2.10) that K -contact metrics are maxima for the Ricci curvature along ξ . A contact Riemannian metric on g on M is called Sasakian if the almost Kaehler structure induced on the cone $C(M)$ over M with metric $dr^2 + r^2g$, is integrable (i.e. Kaehler). This Sasakian condition on a contact Riemannian manifold is equivalent to

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y. \quad (2.12)$$

A Sasakian metric is K -contact, however, the converse holds in general, only in dimension 3. A generalization of a Sasakian manifold is the (k, μ) -contact manifold defined as a contact Riemannian manifold M satisfying the nullity condition:

$$R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY) \quad (2.13)$$

for real constants k, μ . We know that $k \leq 1$, with equality when M is Sasakian. For $k < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X, Y) = & (2m - 2 - m\mu)g(X, Y) + (2m - 2 + \mu)g(hX, Y) \\ & + (m(2k + \mu) - 2m + 2)\eta(X)\eta(Y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

$$r = [2m - 2 + k - m\mu]. \quad (2.15)$$

Finally, a contact Riemannian manifold M is said to be η -Einstein (Boyer, Galicki and Matzeu [3]) if there exist smooth functions a and b on M such that

$$Ric = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta. \quad (2.16)$$

For a K -contact manifold (in particular, Sasakian manifold) of dimension > 3 , we know that a and b are constants. An example of an η -Einstein manifold is the Sasakian space-form which is a Sasakian manifold of constant φ -sectional curvature. Sasakian geometry has been extensively studied since its recently perceived relevance in string theory. Sasakian Einstein metrics have received a lot of attention in physics, for example, p -brane solutions in superstring theory, Maldacena conjecture (AdS/CFS duality) [14].

3 Proofs of The Results

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The Weyl tensor W of type (1,3) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} W(X, Y, Z) = & R(X, Y)Z + \frac{1}{n-2}[Ric(X, Z)Y - Ric(Y, Z)X + g(X, Z)QY \\ & - g(Y, Z)QX] - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X] \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

on an n -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , where Q is the Ricci operator defined by $g(QX, Y) = Ric(X, Y)$. Using (3.1) and the formulas (2.1) through (2.7) and after a lengthy computation we obtain the following equations

$$g(W(x, U, U), y) = -\frac{\epsilon}{n-2}{}^F Ric^0(x, y) \quad (3.2)$$

$$g(W(x, y, z), U) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g(W(x, y, z), w) = & \quad g({}^F W(x, y, z), w) - \frac{1}{(n-2)(n-3)} [g(y, w) {}^F Ric^0(x, z) \\
& - g(x, w) {}^F Ric^0(y, z) + g(x, z) {}^F Ric^0(y, w) \\
& - g(y, z) {}^F Ric^0(x, w)] \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where x, y, z, w are tangent to F , ${}^F W$ the Weyl tensor of F and a superscript 0 means the traceless part. Parts (i) and (ii) of the theorem follows from (2.6), (3.2) and (3.3). Part (iii) follows from the following result of Gebarowski [11], "The Weyl tensor of the warped product $I \times_f F$ is harmonic (divergence - free) if and only if F is Einstein". Finally, under any one of the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), the components of W along U are zero. The Bach tensor B introduced by Bach [1] in the context of conformal relativity, is defined as a symmetric second order tensor with components

$$B_{ab} = \frac{1}{n-1} \nabla^c \nabla^d W_{cabd} + \frac{1}{n-2} R^{cd} W_{cabd} \tag{3.5}$$

where R^{cd} are the components of the Ricci tensor. Under any one of the conditions F is Einstein and hence by Gebarowski's result, W is harmonic, also g is quasi-Einstein (implied by g being Weakly conformally flat and quasi Einstein). Using all these facts in (3.5) shows that $B_{ab} = 0$, completing the proof.

Remark: Taking $x = \partial_i, y = \partial_j$ (∂_i is the coordinate basis $\mathfrak{X}(F)$), we can write $g(W(x, U, U), y)$ as W_{i00j} , because U is the lift of ∂_t and $t = x^0$ (the coordinate on I). The components W_{i00j} are known as the components of the electric part of the Weyl tensor (see [17]) of the spacetime manifold (in which case $\epsilon = -1$).

Proof of Proposition 1.1 Let (M, η, ξ, g) be a $(2m+1)$ -dimensional K -contact manifold. Using the definition (3.1) of the Weyl tensor and the K -contact property (2.11) we find that

$$W(X, \xi)\xi = \frac{r-2m}{2m(2m-1)} [X - \eta(X)\xi] - \frac{1}{2m-1} [QX - 2m\eta(X)\xi] \tag{3.6}$$

If $W(X, \xi)\xi = 0$, then the above equation implies

$$QX = \left(\frac{r}{2m} - 1 \right) X + \left(2m + 1 - \frac{r}{2m} \right) \eta(X)\xi$$

and hence g is η -Einstein. Conversely, let g be η -Einstein, i.e. (2.16) holds. Tracing it gives

$$r = (2m + 1)a + b \quad (3.7)$$

Writing (2.16) as $QX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi$, substituting ξ for X , and using the K -contact property: $Q\xi = 2m\xi$ [2], we get

$$a + b = 2m \quad (3.8)$$

Solving (3.7) and (3.8) for a and b and substituting them in (2.16) we get

$$QX = \left(\frac{r}{2m} - 1\right)X + \left(2m + 1 - \frac{r}{2m}\right)\eta(X)\xi. \quad (3.9)$$

Plugging this value of QX in (3.6) provides $W(X, \xi)\xi = 0$. This proves the first part. For the second part, we have the stronger hypothesis $W(X, Y)\xi = 0$ on a contact Riemannian manifold M . This implies $W(X, \xi, \xi) = 0$, and hence applying the first part, we conclude that g is η -Einstein, and hence weakly conformally flat and quasi Einstein. Now, a straight forward computation using (3.9) gives

$$W(X, Y)\xi = R(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y$$

By our hypothesis, the left hand side is zero, and thus the above equation implies equation (2.12) and hence g is Sasakian. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 By our hypothesis we have $W(X, Y, \xi) = 0$ and equation (2.16), i.e. $Ric = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$. Hence $r = (2m + 1)a + b$, by contraction. Using these data we find, after a straight forward computation, that

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \frac{a+b}{2m}[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \quad (3.10)$$

At this point, we recall the following Schur-type result (Sharma [16]), "If $R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$ for a smooth function f independent of the choice of the vector fields X, Y on a contact Riemannian manifold, then k is constant on M ". Applying this result, in view of equation (3.10), we conclude that $\frac{a+b}{2m}$ is constant, say k . Therefore M is a (k, μ) -contact manifold with $\mu = 0$. As $k \leq 1$, we have either $k = 1$, in which case M is Sasakian, or $k < 1$. For $k < 1$, comparing (2.16) with (2.14) and noting that $\mu = 0$ and $a + b = 2mk$, we have

$$(a - 2m + 2)g(X, Y) + (2m - 2 - a)\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 2(m - 1)g(hX, Y) \quad (3.11)$$

Contracting it at X and Y , and noting that $tr.h = 0$, we find $a = 2(m - 1)$. Consequently, equation (3.11) reduces to $(m - 1)h = 0$. So, $m = 1$, because in this case $h \neq 0$ (non-Sasakian). Thus, (2.14) reduces to $Ric = 2k\eta \otimes \eta$, i.e. Ricci tensor has rank 1. For $k = 0$, M is flat, and for $k \neq 0$, M is locally isometric to $SU(2)$ ($k > 0$) or $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ ($k < 0$) with a left invariant metric (see Blair [2]). This completes the proof.

4 Concluding Remark

We may extend the definition (1.1) of a quasi-Einstein manifold for a semi-Riemannian metric by allowing the non-zero vector field U to be null (light-like, i.e $g(U, U) = 0$). An example for the null case is the null dust solution of Einstein's field equations, in which the only mass-energy is due to some kind of massless radiation and whose stress-energy tensor is $T = \Phi k \otimes k$ where k is a null vector field specifying the direction of motion of the radiation and Φ the intensity. This includes plane fronted waves with parallel rays (pp -waves) which, in turn include gravitational plane waves. For details, we refer to Stephani et al. [17].

References

- [1] Bach, R., Zur Weylschen Relativitatstheorie und der Weylschen Erweiterung des Krümmungstensorbegriffs, Math. Z. 9 (1921), 110-135.
- [2] Blair, D. Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Springer, 2010.
- [3] Boyer, C., Galicki, K. and Matzeu, P. On eta-Einstein sasakian geometry. Commun. Math. Phys. **262** (2006), 177-208.
- [4] Case, J., Shu, Y. and Wei, G. Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics. Diff. Geom. Appls. **29** (2011), 93-100.
- [5] Chaki, M. and Maity, R.K. On quasi Einstein manifolds. Publ. Math. Debrecen. **57** (2000). 297-306.
- [6] Chen, B. Hypersurfaces of a conformally flat space. Tensor, NS **26**(1972), 315-321.

- [7] Chen, B. and Yano, K. Special conformally flat spaces and canal hypersurfaces. *Tohoku Math. J.* **25** (1973), 177-184.
- [8] Chow, B., Chu, S., Glickenstein, D., Guenther, C., Isenberg, J., Ivey, T., Knopf, D., Lu, P., Luo, F, and Ni, L., *The Ricci flow: Techniques and Applications, Part I: Geometric Aspects, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 135, American Math. Soc., 2004.
- [9] De, U. C. and Ghosh, G. On quasi Einstein manifolds. *Periodica Math. Hungarica.* **48** (2004), 223-231.
- [10] Eardley, D., Isenberg, J., Marsden, J. and Moncrief, V. Homothetic and conformal symmetries of solutions to Einstein's equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **106** (1986), 137-158.
- [11] Gebarowski, A. Nearly conformally symmetric warped product manifolds. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica.* **20** (1992), 359-377.
- [12] Ghosh, A. and Sharma, R. Sasakian metric as a Ricci soliton and related results. *J. Geom. Phys.* **75** (2014), 1-6.
- [13] Hawking, S. and Ellis, G. G.R., *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [14] Maldacena, J., The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998), 231-252.
- [15] O'Neill, B. *Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity*. Academic Press, 1983.
- [16] Sharma, R. On the curvature of contact metric manifolds. *J. Geom.* **53** (1995), 179-190.
- [17] Stephani, H., Kramer, D., MacCallum, M., Hoensselaers, C. and Herlt, E. *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [18] Yano, K., *Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.