

**QUANTITATIVE KOROVKIN THEOREMS FOR SUBLINEAR,  
MONOTONE AND STRONGLY TRANSLATABLE OPERATORS  
IN  $L_p([0, 1])$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$**

SORIN G. GAL AND CONSTANTIN P. NICULESCU

ABSTRACT. By extending the classical quantitative approximation results for positive and linear operators in  $L^p([0, 1])$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$  of Berens and DeVore in 1978 and of Swetits and Wood in 1983 to the more general case of sublinear, monotone and strongly translatable operators, in this paper we obtain quantitative estimates in terms of the second order and third order moduli of smoothness, in Korovkin type theorems. Applications to concrete examples are included and an open question concerning interpolation theory for sublinear, monotone and strongly translatable operators is raised.

1. INTRODUCTION

Korovkin's theorem [15], [16] provides a very simple test of convergence to the identity for any sequence  $(T_n)_n$  of positive linear operators that map  $C([0, 1])$  into itself: the occurrence of this convergence for the functions  $e_0(x) = 1$ ,  $e_1(x) = x$  and  $e_2(x) = x^2$ . In other words, the fact that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(f) = f \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, 1]$$

for every  $f \in C([0, 1])$  reduces to the status of the three aforementioned functions. Due to its simplicity and usefulness, this result has attracted a great deal of attention leading to numerous generalizations. Part of them are included in the authoritative monograph of Altomare and Campiti [6] and the excellent survey of Altomare [2]. See [3], [4], [5], for some very recent contributions.

In the case of positive and linear operators, quantitative estimates in terms of the uniform norm and modulus of continuity for the classical Korovkin's theorems were obtained by Shisha and Mond in [17], and for the case of  $L_p$  spaces with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  were obtained by Berens and DeVore in [7] and by Swetits and Wood in [18].

Korovkin's theorem was extended to the framework of sublinear and monotone operators acting on function spaces defined on appropriate subsets  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  in Gal and Niculescu [8], [10], [11] and [12]. Also, a quantitative estimate in terms of the uniform norm and uniform modulus of continuity in the operator version of Korovkin type theorems for the case of monotone and sublinear operators, was obtained in Gal and Niculescu [13].

---

*Date:* September 28, 2022.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A35, 41A36, 41A63.

*Key words and phrases.* Korovkin type theorems, monotone operator, sublinear operator, weakly nonlinear operator,  $C([0, 1])$ -space,  $L_p([0, 1])$ -space,  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , second order modulus of smoothness,  $L_p$ -modulus of smoothness of orders 1 and 2, quantitative estimates.

The goal of the present paper is to obtain quantitative estimates in Korovkin's theorems in terms of uniform norm and the second order modulus of smoothness in  $C([0, 1])$  and in terms of  $L_p$  norm and second and third  $L_p$  moduli of smoothness, with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Our results are based on the extensions of some classical results for positive and linear operators of Berens and DeVore in [7] and of Swetits and Wood in [18], to the more general frame of sublinear, monotone and strongly translatable operators.

Section 2 contains some preliminaries on weakly nonlinear and monotone operators. The main results for  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$  are proved in Section 3 by adapting the results for positive and linear operators in Berens and DeVore [7] to sublinear monotone and strongly translatable operators. The case  $1 < p < \infty$  of Theorem 3 in Berens-DeVore [7] is based on an interpolation technique for linear continuous operators. Therefore an extension of Theorem 3 in Berens-DeVore [7] in our new frame, would require an extension of this technique to sublinear monotone and strongly translatable operators. But because for the moment it seems that such a theory is not known, the quantitative approximation results for  $1 < p < \infty$  are obtained in Section 4 by adapting the results for positive and linear operators in Swetits and Wood [18], to sublinear monotone and strongly translatable operators. Section 5 presents some applications of the main results obtained to some concrete examples. Section 6 raises as open question the extension of the interpolation technique to sublinear monotone and strongly translatable operators.

## 2. WEAKLY NONLINEAR OPERATORS ACTING ON ORDERED BANACH SPACES

The goal of this section is to describe a class of nonlinear operators which provides a convenient framework for the extension of Berens and DeVore's results in [7].

Given a metric space  $X$ , we attach to it the vector lattice  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  of all real-valued functions defined on  $X$ , endowed with the metric  $d$  and the pointwise ordering.

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are two metric spaces and  $E$  and  $F$  are respectively ordered vector subspaces (or subcones of the positive cones) of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(Y)$  and that  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  contains the unity. An operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is said to be a *weakly nonlinear* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(SL) (*Sublinearity*)  $T$  is subadditive and positively homogeneous, that is,

$$T(f + g) \leq T(f) + T(g) \quad \text{and} \quad T(\alpha f) = \alpha T(f)$$

for all  $f, g$  in  $E$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ ;

(TR) (*Translatability*)  $T(f + \alpha \cdot 1) = T(f) + \alpha T(1)$  for all functions  $f \in E$  and all numbers  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

In the case when  $T$  is *unital* (that is,  $T(1) = 1$ ) the condition of translatability takes the form

$$T(f + \alpha \cdot 1) = T(f) + \alpha 1,$$

for all  $f \in E$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

A stronger condition than translatability is

(TR\*) (*Strong translatability*)  $T(f + \alpha \cdot 1) = T(f) + \alpha T(1)$  for all functions  $f \in E$  and all numbers  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The last condition occurs naturally in the context of Choquet's integral, being a consequence of what is called there the property of *comonotonic additivity*, that is,

(CA)  $T(f + g) = T(f) + T(g)$  whenever the functions  $f, g \in E$  are comonotone in the sense that

$$(f(s) - f(t)) \cdot (g(s) - g(t)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } s, t \in X.$$

See [10] and [9], as well as the references therein.

In this paper we are interested in those called weakly nonlinear, which verify the following condition:

(M) (*Monotonicity*)  $f \leq g$  in  $E$  implies  $T(f) \leq T(g)$  for all  $f, g$  in  $E$ .

**Remark 1.** *If  $T$  is a weakly nonlinear and monotone operator, then*

$$T(\alpha \cdot 1) = \alpha \cdot T(1) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Indeed, for  $\alpha \geq 0$  the property follows from positive homogeneity. Suppose now that  $\alpha < 0$ . Since  $T(0) = 0$  and  $-\alpha > 0$ , by translatability it follows that  $0 = T(0) = T(\alpha \cdot 1 + (-\alpha \cdot 1)) = T(\alpha \cdot 1) + (-\alpha)T(1)$ , which implies  $T(\alpha) = \alpha T(1)$ .*

Ergodic theory, harmonic analysis, probability theory and Choquet's theory of integration offer numerous examples of monotone sublinear and strongly translatable operators, see, e.g., Gal and Niculescu [10], [9], [11].

Suppose that  $E$  and  $F$  are two ordered Banach spaces and  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is an operator (not necessarily linear or continuous).

If  $T$  is positively homogeneous, then

$$T(0) = 0.$$

As a consequence,

$$-T(-f) \leq T(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in E$$

and every positively homogeneous and monotone operator  $T$  maps positive elements into positive elements, that is,

$$(2.1) \quad Tf \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } f \geq 0.$$

Therefore, for linear operators the property (2.1) is equivalent to monotonicity.

Every sublinear operator is convex and a convex operator is sublinear if and only if it is positively homogeneous.

The *norm* of a continuous sublinear operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  can be defined via the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \|T(f)\| \leq \lambda \|f\| \text{ for all } f \in E \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|T(f)\| : f \in E, \|f\| \leq 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

A sublinear operator may be discontinuous, but when it is continuous, it is Lipschitz continuous. More precisely, if  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is a continuous sublinear operator, then

$$\|T(f) - T(g)\| \leq 2\|T\| \|f - g\| \quad \text{for all } f, g \in E.$$

All sublinear and monotone operators are Lipschitz continuous, as stated by the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Every sublinear and monotone operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  verifies the inequality*

$$|T(f) - T(g)| \leq T(|f - g|) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in E$$

*and thus it is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant equals to  $\|T\|$ , that is,*

$$\|T(f) - T(g)\| \leq \|T\| \|f - g\| \quad \text{for all } f, g \in E.$$

See [12] for details. Theorem 1 is a generalization of a classical result of M. G. Krein concerning the continuity of positive linear functionals. See [1].

**Remark 2.** *The above weakly nonlinear operators were also called in [10] as Choquet operators. Notice that the classical integral representation of such operators were generalized by using the Choquet-Bochner integral of a real-valued function with respect to a vector capacity in Gal and Niculescu [9].*

### 3. MAIN RESULTS FOR $p = \infty$ AND $p = 1$

For  $r \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , let us denote by  $W_p^r([0, 1])$  the Sobolev space of all functions  $f \in L_p([0, 1])$  such that the derivatives  $f^{(\alpha)}$  exist (in the Sobolev sense) and are in  $L_p([0, 1])$ , for all  $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ , endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{r,p} = \max_{j=0,1,\dots,r} \|f^{(j)}\|_p.$$

Also, if  $T : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$  is a monotone sublinear operator, denote

$$\lambda_p = \max\{\|T(e_0) - e_0\|_p, \|T(e_1) - e_1\|_p, \|T(-e_1) + e_1\|_p, \|T(e_2) - e_2\|_p\}.$$

The first main result is the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$  and  $T : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$  be a sublinear monotone and strongly translatable operator. If  $f \in W_2^\infty$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \|f - T(f)\|_p \leq C \cdot \|f\|_{2,\infty} \cdot \lambda_p,$$

with  $C > 0$  an absolute constant.

*Proof.* We will adapt the considerations for the positive linear operator in the proof of Theorem 1 in [7], to the properties of the monotone sublinear and strongly translatable operators.

Firstly, let us consider that  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ .

Choose  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and write  $(0, 1)$  as an union of  $k$  subintervals  $I_i$ , pairwise disjoint, of lengths  $\leq \frac{1}{k}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  let  $\xi_i$  be the center of  $I_i$  and define

$$l_i(x) = f(\xi_i) + f'(\xi_i)(x - \xi_i).$$

Reasoning exactly as for the relation (2.3) in the paper of Berens-DeVore, we arrive at the estimate

$$(3.2) \quad |f(x) - l_i(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{2,\infty} |x - \xi_i|^2.$$

Then, since for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and almost all  $x \in I_i$  we have

$$T(f)(x) - f(x) = T(f)(x) - T(l_i)(x) + T(l_i)(x) - l_i(x) + l_i(x) - f(x)$$

and taking into account the property of  $T$  in Theorem 1 too, it follows

$$|T(f)(x) - f(x)| \leq T(|f - l_i|)(x) + |T(l_i)(x) - l_i(x)| + |l_i(x) - f(x)|$$

and consequently for almost everywhere  $x \in [0, 1]$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |T(f)(x) - f(x)| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k T(|f - l_i|)(x) \chi_{I_i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^k |T(l_i)(x) - l_i(x)| \chi_{I_i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^k |l_i(x) - f(x)| \chi_{I_i}(x) \\ & := S_1(x) + S_2(x) + S_3(x). \end{aligned}$$

By inequality (3.2), for almost everywhere  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$S_1(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{2,\infty} T(|t - \xi_i|^2)(x).$$

But by the sublinearity of  $T$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(|t - \xi_i|^2)(x) &= T[e_2(t) + 2(-e_1)(t)\xi_i + \xi_i^2 e_0(t)](x) \\ &\leq T(e_2)(x) + 2\xi_i T(-e_1)(x) + \xi_i^2 T(e_0)(x) \\ &= T(e_2)(x) - e_2(x) + 2\xi_i [T(-e_1)(x) + e_1(x)] + \xi_i^2 [T(e_0)(x) - e_0(x)] + (x - \xi_i)^2 \\ &\leq |T(e_2)(x) - e_2(x)| + 2|T(-e_1)(x) + e_1(x)| + |T(e_0)(x) - e_0(x)| + (x - \xi_i)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which immediately implies (as in the proof for the estimate (2.6) in Berens and DeVore [7])

$$\|S_1\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq \|f\|_{2,\infty} (4\lambda_p + \varepsilon^2).$$

For the estimate of  $S_2(x)$ , for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and almost all  $x \in I_i$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |T(l_i)(x) - l_i(x)| &= |T[f(\xi_i) - f'(\xi_i)\xi_i + f'(\xi_i)e_1(t)](x) - f(\xi_i) + f'(\xi_i)\xi_i - xf'(\xi_i)| \\ &= |(f(\xi_i) - f'(\xi_i)\xi_i)[T(e_0)(x) - 1] + T(f'(\xi_i)e_1)(x) - xf'(\xi_i)| \\ &\leq (\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty)|T(e_0)(x) - 1| + |T(f'(\xi_i)e_1)(x) - xf'(\xi_i)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $f'(\xi_i) \geq 0$ , then

$$|T(f'(\xi_i)e_1)(x) - xf'(\xi_i)| = f'(\xi_i)|T(e_1)(x) - x| \leq \|f'\|_\infty |T(e_1)(x) - x|$$

and if  $f'(\xi_i) < 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &|T(f'(\xi_i)e_1)(x) - xf'(\xi_i)| \\ &= |T(-f'(\xi_i)(-e_1))(x) + x(-f'(\xi_i))| = -f'(\xi_i)|T(-e_1)(x) + x| \\ &\leq \|f'\|_\infty |T(-e_1)(x) + x|. \end{aligned}$$

From these estimates it immediately follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|T(l_i)(x) - l_i(x)| \\ &\leq (\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty)[|T(e_0)(x) - 1| + |T(e_1)(x) - x| + |T(-e_1)(x) + x|] \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_{1,\infty}[|T(e_0)(x) - 1| + |T(e_1)(x) - x| + |T(-e_1)(x) + x| + |T(e_2)(x) - x^2|], \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\|S_2\|_p \leq 2\|f\|_{1,p}\lambda_p.$$

Finally, from (3.2) it easily follows that

$$\|S_3\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{2,\infty} \varepsilon^2.$$

Collecting now all the estimates for  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  and taking into account that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary small, we arrive at the estimate in the statement.

The case  $p = +\infty$  easily follows by using the above lines of proof in the case when  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ .  $\square$

If we define the  $r$ th order modulus of smoothness in  $L_p([0, 1])$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , by

$$\omega_{r,p}(f; \delta) = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|\Delta_h^r\|_p,$$

where  $\Delta_h^r(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} f(x + (r-j)h)$ , we can state the following result which is an analogue of Theorem 2 in Berens and DeVore [7].

**Theorem 3.** (i) If  $T : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$  is a sublinear monotone and strongly translatable operator, then for all  $f \in C([0, 1])$  we have

$$\|f - T(f)\|_\infty \leq C\{\|f\|_\infty \lambda_\infty + \omega_{2,\infty}(f; \lambda_\infty^{1/2})\},$$

where  $C$  depends only on the norm of  $T$ .

(ii) Let  $p = 1$  and  $T : L_1([0, 1]) \rightarrow L_1([0, 1])$  be a monotone sublinear and strongly translatable operator. Then for all  $f \in L_1([0, 1])$  we have

$$\|f - T(f)\|_1 \leq C\{\|f\|_1 \lambda_1 + \omega_{3,1}(f; \lambda_1^{1/3})\},$$

where  $C$  depends only on the norm of  $T$ .

*Proof.* The proof is based on the above Theorem 2 and clearly that it is identical with the proof of Theorem 2 in Berens and DeVore [7], based also on Lemma 1 in the same paper.

For the reader's convenience, we sketch below the proof. For  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us consider the  $K$  functional

$$K_{r,p}(f; t) = \inf\{\|f - g\|_p + t\|g\|_p; g \in W_p^r([0, 1])\}, t > 0.$$

It is well-known the fact (see, e.g., relation (3.1) in [7]) that we have

$$(3.3) \quad K_{r,p}(t; t) \leq C(t^r \|f\|_p + \omega_{r,p}(f; t)),$$

with  $C > 0$  depending only on  $r$ .

(i) For  $p = \infty$ , from the estimate in Theorem 2 in this paper combined with (3.3), we easily get

$$\|f - T(f)\|_\infty \leq C_T \cdot K_{2,\infty}(f; \lambda_\infty) \leq C_T\{\lambda_\infty \|f\|_\infty + \omega_{2,\infty}(f; \lambda_\infty^{1/2})\}.$$

(ii) For  $p = 1$ , Lemma 1 in [7] states that for all  $f \in W_1^1$  we have  $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{1,1}$ . From here, from the estimate in Theorem 2 and from (3.3), we obtain

$$\|g - T(g)\|_1 \leq C\|g\|_{2,\infty} \lambda_1 \leq \|g\|_{3,1} \lambda_1, \text{ for each } g \in W_1^3$$

and consequently

$$\|f - T(f)\|_1 \leq C_T \cdot K_{3,1}(f; \lambda_1) \leq C_T(\lambda_1 \|f\|_1 + \omega_{3,\infty}(f; \lambda_1^{1/3})).$$

□

#### 4. MAIN RESULTS FOR $1 < p < \infty$

Due to the reason mentioned at the end of Introduction, in this section we adapt the proofs in Swetits-Wood [18], to the case of sublinear monotone and strongly translatable operators.

For the proof of the main result we need the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(L_n)_n$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of sublinear, strongly translatable and monotone operators from  $L_p([0, 1])$  into  $L_p([0, 1])$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $L_p^{(2)}([0, 1])$  be the space of those functions  $f \in L_p([0, 1])$  with  $f'$  absolutely continuous and  $f'' \in L_p([0, 1])$ . Let us denote  $\mu_n = \|T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)\|_\infty$  and

$$t_{n,p} = (\max\{\|L(e_0) - e_0\|_p, \|T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)\|_p, \mu_n\})^{1/2}.$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n,p} = 0$ , then for any  $f \in L_p^{(2)}([0, 1])$ , we have

$$\|T_n(f) - f\|_p \leq M'_p(\|f\|_p + \|f''\|_p)t_{n,p}^2,$$

where  $M'_p > 0$  is independent of  $f$  and  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L_p^{(2)}([0, 1])$  and assume that  $f$  has been extended outside of  $[0, 1]$  so that  $f''(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin [0, 1]$ .

By

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(f)(x) - f(x) &= T_n(f)(x) - f(x)T_n(e_0)(x) + f(x)T_n(e_0)(x) - f(x) \\ &= T_n(f)(x) - f(x)T_n(e_0)(x) + f(x)(T_n(e_0)(x) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|T_n(f)(x) - f(x)\|_p \\ &\leq \|T_n(f)(x) - f(x)T_n(e_0)(x)\|_p + \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|T_n(e_0)(x) - e_0(x)\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t, x \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$f(t) - f(x) = f(t) - f(x)e_0(t) = f'(x)(t - x) + \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du$$

which by applying to the both members  $T_n$ , implies

$$T_n(f)(x) - f(x)T_n(e_0)(x) = T_n \left[ f'(x)(e_1 - x) + \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du \right].$$

Taking the absolute value, by Theorem 1 and by the sublinearity of  $T_n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n(f)(x) - f(x)T_n(e_0)(x)| &= \left| T_n \left[ f'(x)(e_1 - x) + \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du \right] \right| \\ &\leq T_n \left[ |f'(x)| \cdot |e_1 - x| + \left| \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du \right| \right] \\ &\leq \|f'\|_\infty T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x) + T_n \left[ \left| \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du \right| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|T_n(f)(x) - f(x)T_n(e_0)(x)\|_p \\ &\leq \|f'\|_\infty \|T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)\|_p + \left\| T_n \left[ \left| \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du \right| \right] (x) \right\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Reasoning exactly as in Swetits-Wood [18], proof of Lemma 2, page 87 (that is by using the Hardy-Littlewood majorant), we get

$$\left\| T_n \left[ \left| \int_x^t (t - u)f''(u)du \right| \right] (x) \right\|_p \leq K_p \cdot \|T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)\|_\infty \cdot \|f''\|_p.$$

Therefore, (4.2) becomes

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|T_n(f)(x) - f(x)T_n(e_0)(x)\|_p \\ &\leq \|f'\|_\infty \cdot \|T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)\|_p + K_p \cdot \|T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)\|_\infty \cdot \|f''\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.1), it immediately follows

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_n(f)(x) - f(x)\|_p &\leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|T_n(e_0)(x) - e_0(x)\|_p \\ &\quad + \|f'\|_\infty \cdot \|T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)\|_p + K_p \cdot \|T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)\|_\infty \cdot \|f''\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Using now Theorem 3.1 in [14], from (4.4) we immediately arrive to

$$(4.5) \quad \|T_n(f)(x) - f(x)\|_p \leq C'_p (\|f\|_p + \|f''\|_p) t_{n,p}^2.$$

□

The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $(T_n)_n$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of strongly translatable sublinear and monotone operators from  $L_p([0, 1])$  into  $L_p([0, 1])$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ , and denote  $\mu_n = \|T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)\|_\infty$ ,*

$$t_{n,p} = (\max\{\|T_n(e_0) - e_0\|_p, \|T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)\|_p, \mu_n\})^{1/2},$$

*Supposing that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n,p} = 0$ , for any  $f \in L_p([0, 1])$ , we have*

$$\|T_n(f) - f\|_p \leq M_p [t_{n,p}^2 \|f\|_p + \omega_{2,p}(f; t_{n,p})],$$

*where  $M_p > 0$  is independent of  $f$  and  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L_p([0, 1])$  and  $g \in L_p^{(2)}([0, 1])$ . By Theorem 1, for each  $T_n$  we have

$$\|T_n(f) - T_n(g)\|_p \leq \|T_n\| \cdot \|f - g\|_p, \text{ for all } f, g \in L_p([0, 1]) \leq R_p \cdot \|f - g\|_p,$$

where  $\|T_n\| \leq R_p$  (with  $R_p$  independent of  $n$ ) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from the uniform boundedness of the sequence  $(T_n)_n$ .

Then, by

$$L_n(f) - f = L_n(f) - L_n(g) + L_n(g) - g + g - f,$$

passing to absolute value and to  $\|\cdot\|_p$ , we immediately get (by Lemma 1 too)

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n(f) - f\|_p &\leq \|L_n(f) - L_n(g)\|_p + \|L_n(g) - g\|_p + \|g - f\|_p \\ &\leq (1 + R_p)\|f - g\|_p + M'_p \cdot t_{n,p}^2 (\|g\|_p + \|g''\|_p). \end{aligned}$$

Taking here the infimum after  $g \in L_p^{(2)}([0, 1])$  and taking into account relations (2.1) and (2.3) on the page 88 in Swetits-Wood [18], we arrive at the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** *Since the calculation of  $L_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)$  is difficult, we may estimate it by a simpler quantity in calculation, by using the Hölder's inequality in Theorem 3 of Gal and Niculescu [10]. It follows*

$$T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x) \leq (T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)T_n(e_0)(x))^{1/2} \leq C^{1/2}(T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x))^{1/2},$$

*where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $n$  which comes from the uniform boundedness of the sequence  $(T_n)_n$ .*

*This implies*

$$\|T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)\|_p \leq C^{1/2} \| [T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)]^{1/2} \|_p.$$

By this remark, we immediately arrive at the following result.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(T_n)_n$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of strongly translatable sublinear and monotone operators from  $L_p([0, 1])$  into  $L_p([0, 1])$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ , and denote  $\mu_n = \|T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)\|_\infty$ ,*

$$s_{n,p} = (\max\{\|T_n(e_0) - e_0\|_p, \| [T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)]^{1/2} \|_p, \mu_n\})^{1/2},$$

*Supposing that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n,p} = 0$ , for any  $f \in L_p([0, 1])$ , we have*

$$\|T_n(f) - f\|_p \leq M_p [s_{n,p}^2 \|f\|_p + \omega_{2,p}(f; s_{n,p})],$$

*where  $M_p > 0$  is independent of  $f$  and  $n$ .*

**Remark 4.** If  $(T_n)_n$  is a sequence of strongly translatable sublinear and monotone operators from  $L_p([0, 1])$  into  $L_p([0, 1])$  which satisfies the conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(e_0) - e_0\|_\infty = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(-e_1) + e_1\|_\infty = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(e_2) - e_2\|_\infty = 0,$$

then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n,p} = 0$ .

Indeed, this is immediate from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x) = T_n[e_2 - 2xe_1 + x^2e_0](x) \\ &\leq T_n(e_2)(x) + 2xT_n(-e_1)(x) + x^2T_n(e_0)(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|[T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)]^{1/2}\|_p \leq \|[T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)]^{1/2}\|_\infty.$$

## 5. APPLICATIONS

In this section we apply the previous results to some concrete cases.

**Example 1.** Let us consider the sequence of Bernstein operators  $B_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ , defined by the formulas

$$B_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x)f(k/n).$$

The operators  $T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$  given by

$$T_n(f) = \max\{B_n(f), B_{n+1}(f)\}$$

are sublinear, monotone and strongly translatable. Known computations imply that

$$B_n(e_0)(x) = 1, B_n(-e_1)(x) = -e_1(x), B_n(e_1) = e_1(x), B_n(e_2)(x) = e_2(x) + \frac{x(1-x)}{n}.$$

These imply  $T_n(e_0) = e_0$ ,  $T_n(-e_1) = -e_1$ ,  $T_n(e_1) = e_1$  and

$$T_n(e_2)(x) = \max\{B_n(e_2)(x), B_{n+1}(e_2)(x)\} = e_2(x) + \frac{x(1-x)}{n}.$$

Since for  $p = \infty$  we immediately get

$$\lambda_{n,p} = \|x(1-x)/n\|_p \leq \frac{1}{4n},$$

by Theorem 3, (i), it follows the estimate

$$\|f - T_n(f)\|_\infty \leq C \left[ \|f\|_\infty \cdot \frac{1}{4n} + \omega_{2,\infty} \left( f; \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \right) \right],$$

which is completely different and essentially better than the estimate based on the Shisha and Mond's idea [17] in the paper Gal and Niculescu [13], namely

$$\|f - T_n(f)\|_\infty \leq 2\omega_{1,\infty}(f; 1/(2\sqrt{n})).$$

For  $p = 1$ , by Theorem 3, (ii), we get

$$\|f - T_n(f)\|_1 \leq C \left[ \|f\|_1 \cdot \frac{1}{4n} + \omega_{3,1} \left( f; \frac{1}{(4n)^{1/3}} \right) \right].$$

For  $1 < p < \infty$ , since  $T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{n}$ ,  $\|T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{4n}$  and  $\|[T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x)]^{1/2}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}$ , by Corollary 1 and by Remark 4 we obtain

$$\|T_n(f) - f\|_p \leq M_p \left[ \|f\|_p \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} + \omega_{2,p} \left( f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \cdot n^{1/4}} \right) \right].$$

**Example 2.** Now, let us define the sequence of nonlinear operators  $T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$  defined by the formulas

$$T_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \sup_{[k/(n+1) \leq t \leq (k+1)/(n+1)]} f(t),$$

which are monotone sublinear and strongly translatable. We have  $T_n(e_0)(x) = 1$ ,  $T_n(e_1)(x) = \frac{n}{n+1}e_1(x)$ ,  $T_n(-e_1)(x) = -\frac{n}{n+1}e_1(x)$ ,

$$\|T_n(e_1) - e_1\|_p \leq \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad \|T_n(-e_1) + e_1\|_p \leq \frac{1}{n+1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(e_2)(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \cdot \frac{(k+1)^2}{(n+1)^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \left(x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)}{n}\right) + \frac{2n}{(n+1)^2}x + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|T_n(e_2) - e_2\|_p = \left\| e_2 \frac{-3n-1}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{3nx+1}{(n+1)^2} \right\|_p \leq \frac{6n+2}{(n+1)^2} \leq \frac{6}{n+1},$$

and then

$$\lambda_{n,p} \leq \frac{6}{n+1}.$$

By Theorem 3, (i), we get

$$\|f - T_n(f)\|_\infty \leq C \left[ \|f\|_\infty \cdot \frac{6}{n+1} + \omega_{2,\infty} \left( f; \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{n+1}} \right) \right],$$

and by Theorem 3, (ii), it follows

$$\|f - T_n(f)\|_1 \leq C \left[ \|f\|_1 \cdot \frac{6}{n+1} + \omega_{3,1} \left( f; \frac{6}{(n+1)^{1/3}} \right) \right].$$

For  $1 < p < \infty$ , since by Remark 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x) \leq T_n(e_2)(x) + 2xT_n(-e_1)(x) + x^2T_n(e_0)(x) \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \left(x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)}{n}\right) + \frac{2n}{(n+1)^2}x + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} + 2x^2 \left(-\frac{n}{n+1}\right) + x^2 \end{aligned}$$

which by simple calculation finally leads to

$$0 \leq T_n((e_1 - x)^2)(x) \leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{9}{4},$$

by Corollary 1 we obtain

$$\|T_n(f) - f\|_p \leq M_p \left[ \|f\|_p \cdot \frac{3}{2\sqrt{n}} + \omega_{2,p} \left( f; \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2} \cdot n^{1/4}} \right) \right].$$

**Example 3.** Another example can be the Bernstein-Kantorovich-Choquet operators, given by the formula

$$K_{n,\mu}(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \cdot \frac{(C) \int_{k/(m+1)}^{(k+1)/(n+1)} f(t) d\mu(t)}{\mu([k/(n+1), (k+1)/(n+1)])},$$

where  $(C) \int d\mu$  means the Choquet integral with respect to  $\mu = \sqrt{m}$ , with  $m$  the Lebesgue measure. We omit here the calculations. For details concerning the properties of the Choquet integral and of Bernstein-Kantorovich-Choquet operators, see, e.g., Gal-Niculescu [8], [10].

## 6. FINAL REMARKS

**Remark 5.** *The estimate in Theorem 4 is worse than that for linear and positive operators in Theorem 2, (i) in [18], where the quantity  $\|T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)\|_p$  is replaced by the smaller one  $\|T_n(e_1 - x)(x)\|_p$ , since obviously  $\|T_n(e_1 - x)(x)\|_p \leq \|T_n(|e_1 - x|)(x)\|_p$ . This seems to be the price paid due to the more general hypothesis on the operators  $L_n$  in the above Theorem 4.*

However, in the case of Example 1, since it is easy to show that

$$\max\{B_n(f), B_{n+1}(f)\} - f = \max\{B_n(f) - f, B_{n+1}(f) - f\},$$

and

$$|\max\{B_n(f) - f, B_{n+1}(f) - f\}| \leq \max\{|B_n(f) - f|, |B_{n+1}(f) - f|\},$$

we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\max\{B_n(f), B_{n+1}(f)\} - f\|_p &\leq \max\{\|B_n(f) - f\|_p, \|B_{n+1}(f) - f\|_p\} \\ &\leq \|B_n(f) - f\|_p + \|B_{n+1}(f) - f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, applying here the estimate in Swetits-Wood for  $1 < p < \infty$  and for the linear and positive operators  $B_n$ , clearly that we get essentially better estimate than that in Theorem 4 and Corollary 1, calculated in the above Example 1.

In the case of Example 2, we can write

$$T_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) f(\xi_{n,k}),$$

with  $k/(n+1) \leq \xi_{n,k} \leq (k+1)/(n+1)$ , which immediately leads to

$$\|T_n(f)(x) - B_n(f)(x)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) |f(\xi_{n,k}) - f(k/n)| \leq \omega_1(f; 1/(n+1))$$

and

$$|T_n(f)(x) - f(x)| \leq |T_n(f)(x) - B_n(f)(x)| + |B_n(f)(x) - f(x)|.$$

By the result in Swetits-Wood applied to the positive linear operators  $B_n(f)(x)$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$ , it immediately follows

$$\|T_n(f) - f\|_p \leq M_p \left( \frac{1}{n} \|f\|_p + \omega_{2,p}(f; 1/\sqrt{n})_p \right) + \omega(f; 1/(n+1)),$$

which clearly it is essentially better than the estimate in the previous section.

These considerations suggest that possibly the shortcoming in Remark 5 is due to the method of proof in Swetits-Wood [18] and raise the following.

**Open Question.** Extend the classical interpolation technique from linear continuous operators to sublinear, monotone and strongly translatable operators.

A positive answer would allow to essentially improve the estimate in Theorem 4 by following now the method of proof in Theorem 3 of Berens-DeVore [7].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abramovich, Y.A., Aliprantis, C.D.: Positive Operators. Handbook of the Geometry of Banach spaces, I, pp. 85–122. North-Holland, Amsterdam (2001)
- [2] Altomare, F.: Korovkin-type theorems and positive operators. Surveys in Approximation Theory. **6**, 92-164 (2010)
- [3] Altomare, F.: On positive linear functionals and operators associated with generalized means. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **502**, paper no. 125278 (2021)
- [4] Altomare, F.: On the convergence of sequences of positive linear operators and functionals on bounded function spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **149**, 3837–3848 (2021)
- [5] Altomare, F.: Korovkin-type theorems and local approximation problems. Expositiones Mathematicae (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exmath.2022.06.001>.
- [6] Altomare, F., Campiti, M.: Korovkin-Type Approximation Theory and Its Applications. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **17**, Berlin (1994, reprinted 2011)
- [7] Berens, H., DeVore R.: Quantitative Korovkin theorems for positive linear operators on  $L_p$ -spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245**, 349–361 (1978)
- [8] Gal, S.G., Niculescu, C.P.: A nonlinear extension of Korovkin’s theorem. Mediterr. J. Math. **17**, Article no. 145 (2020).
- [9] Gal, S.G., Niculescu, C.P.: Choquet operators associated to vector capacities. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **500**, article no. 125153 (2021)
- [10] Gal, S.G., Niculescu, C.P.: A note on the Choquet type operators. Aequationes Math. **95**, 433–447 (2021)
- [11] Gal, S.G., Niculescu, C.P.: Nonlinear versions of Korovkin’s abstract theorems. Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matematicas. **116**, Article number 68 (2022)
- [12] Gal, S.G., Niculescu, C.P.: Korovkin type theorems for weakly nonlinear and monotone operators. Accepted at Mediterr. J. Math. Available on arXiv:2206.14102
- [13] Gal, S.G., Niculescu, C.P.: Quantitative estimates in nonlinear operator extensions of Korovkin’s theorems. submitted.
- [14] Goldberg, S., Meir, A.: Minimum moduli of ordinary differential operators. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **23**, 1–15 (1971)
- [15] Korovkin, P.P.: On convergence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions. (Russian). Doklady Akad. Nauk. SSSR. (NS) **90**, 961–964 (1953).
- [16] Korovkin, P.P.: Linear Operators and Approximation Theory. Fitzmatgiz, Moscow (1959) (in Russian) [English translation, Hindustan Publ. Corp., Delhi (1960)]
- [17] Shisha, O., Mond, B.: The degree of convergence of sequences of linear positive operators. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **60**, 1196–1200 (1968)
- [18] Swetits, J.J., Wood, B.: Quantitative estimates for  $L_p$  approximation with positive linear operators. J. Approx. Theory **38**, 81–89 (1983)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA, UNIVERSITY STREET NO. 1, ORADEA, 410087, ROMANIA, AND ACADEMY OF ROMANIAN SCIENTISTS, SPLAIUL INDEPENDENTEI NR. 54, 050094, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

*Email address:* [galso@uoradea.ro](mailto:galso@uoradea.ro), [galsorin23@gmail.com](mailto:galsorin23@gmail.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA, CRAIOVA 200585, ROMANIA AND THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

*Email address:* [constantin.p.niculescu@gmail.com](mailto:constantin.p.niculescu@gmail.com)