

Optimal list decoding from noisy entropy inequality

Jan Hązła*

Abstract

A noisy entropy inequality for boolean functions by Samorodnitsky is applied to binary codes. It is shown that a binary code that achieves capacity on the binary erasure channel admits optimal list size for list decoding on some binary symmetric channels (in a regime where this optimal list size is exponentially large).

In this note we restate the inequality by Samorodnitsky [Sam16] in terms of transmitting random binary vectors over BSC and BEC channels (see also Section 3):

Theorem 1. *Let $X \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a random variable. Let η, ε be such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $4\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon) \geq \eta$. Denote by Y_{BSC} and Y_{BEC} the outputs of transmitting X over $\text{BSC}(\varepsilon)$ and $\text{BEC}(\eta)$, respectively. Then,*

$$H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) \leq (h(\varepsilon) - \eta)n + H(X|Y_{\text{BEC}}).$$

We then point out an application to list decoding of binary codes over the BSC. Consider a family of binary codes with increasing blocklength n and rate R that achieve capacity on the BEC (in the sense that $H(X|Y_{\text{BEC}}) = o(n)$, which implies more standard conditions like vanishing bit-MAP or block-MAP error probability¹). Then, for ε such that $4\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon) > 1 - R$, these codes can be list-decoded over $\text{BSC}(\varepsilon)$ with vanishing error probability for a list of size $\exp_2\left(\left(R - (1 - h(\varepsilon))\right)n + o(n)\right)$. This list size is optimal up to the $2^{o(n)}$ factor, as shown in [RS22]. Accordingly, our result gives optimal list decoding in the regime where the optimal list size is exponential, as recently studied by Rao and Sprumont [RS22]².

Let $\{C_n\}$ be a family of binary codes indexed by increasing blocklengths n with rates $R_n := \log |C_n|$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = R$. We then say that $\{C_n\}$ has rate R .

Definition 2 (Achieving capacity in the sense of entropy). For the purposes of this note, we say that $\{C_n\}$ achieves capacity on the BEC if there exists a sequence $\{\eta_n\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = 1 - R$ such that $H(X|Y_{\text{BEC}}) = o(n)$, where $X \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is uniform over C_n , and Y_{BEC} is the output of transmitting X over the $\text{BEC}(\eta_n)$. \diamond

Theorem 3. *Let $\{C_n\}$ be a family of binary codes of rate R that achieves capacity on the BEC. Let ε be such that $4\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon) > 1 - R$. Suppose that $X \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is uniform over C_n and Y_{BSC} is the output of transmitting X over $\text{BSC}(\varepsilon)$.*

Then, there exists a function $f(n) = o(n)$ such that for $k = k_n = \exp_2\left(\left(R - (1 - h(\varepsilon))\right)n + f(n)\right)$ there exists a list decoder $D : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow C_n^k$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[X \notin D(Y_{\text{BSC}})] = 0.$$

Theorem 3 applies to general codes, in contrast to the result for linear codes from [HSS21]. The list decoding error probability goes to zero only in expectation over a random codeword X . By a standard argument, for linear codes that implies vanishing error probability also for every codeword individually.

*AIMS Rwanda. Email: jan.hazla@gmail.com.

The author was supported by the AIMS Rwanda research chair funding from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, as well as the DAAD grant in cooperation with Goethe University in Frankfurt.

¹In fact, in case of the BEC, achieving capacity in the sense of entropy is equivalent to achieving capacity for bit-MAP error probability [Sam22, Sam].

²In their list decoding results, [RS22] focus on transitive codes (which in general do not achieve capacity on the BEC, as evidenced by the repetition code) and on the specific case of Reed–Muller codes.

By Theorem 20 in [KKM⁺17], the conclusion of Theorem 3 holds for all linear codes with doubly transitive symmetry group. This includes Reed–Muller codes, however for those a better (applicable for all $R > 1-h(\varepsilon)$) bound on $H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}})$ and optimal list decoding on the BSC follow from the recent bit-MAP decoding result by Reeves and Pfister [RP21].

1 Preliminaries

All our logarithms are binary. Let $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $q \geq 1$. We define the norms of f as $\|f\|_q := (\mathbb{E}_x f(x)^q)^{1/q} = \left(2^{-n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} f(x)^q\right)^{1/q}$, as well as the *entropy* of f as

$$\text{Ent}[f] := \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \log f(x) - \left(\mathbb{E}_x f(x)\right) \cdot \log \mathbb{E}_x f(x).$$

Furthermore, let X be a random variable over \mathbb{F}_2^n . We let the distribution function of X to be $f_X(x) := 2^n \Pr[X = x]$. Observe that f_X is nonnegative and that $\|f_X\|_1 = 1$.

Recall the definition of Rényi entropy:

Definition 4 (Rényi entropy). Let $q > 1$ and X a discrete random variable. The q -th Rényi entropy of X is given by

$$H_q(X) := -\frac{1}{q-1} \log \sum_x \Pr[X = x]^q.$$

We will also write $H_1(X) := H(X)$ for the Shannon entropy and $H_\infty(X) := -\log \max_x \Pr[X = x]$ for the min-entropy. \diamond

We also let $h_q(\varepsilon) := -\frac{1}{q-1} \log(\varepsilon^q + (1-\varepsilon)^q)$ for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$. This is the q -th Rényi entropy of the one-bit Bernoulli(ε) distribution. Similarly, we let $h(\varepsilon) := h_1(\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon \log \varepsilon - (1-\varepsilon) \log(1-\varepsilon)$ and $h_\infty(\varepsilon) := -\log \max(\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon)$.

The following facts hold by simple calculations:

Fact 5. For every $1 \leq q \leq \infty$:

1. $H_q(X) = 0$ if and only if X is deterministic.
2. If $X \in \mathcal{X}$, then $H_q(X) = \log |\mathcal{X}|$ if and only if X is uniform over \mathcal{X} .

It is a known fact that Rényi entropies decrease with q :

Proposition 6. If X is a random variable and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, then $H_p(X) \geq H_q(X)$.

Claim 7. Let f_X be a distribution function of a random variable X over \mathbb{F}_2^n . Then,

$$\text{Ent}[f_X] = n - H(X). \tag{1}$$

Similarly, for $1 < q \leq \infty$,

$$\log \|f_X\|_q = \frac{q-1}{q} (n - H_q(X)). \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let us start with $q > 1$. Indeed, we have

$$\log \|f_X\|_q = \frac{1}{q} \log \left[2^{-n} \sum_x (2^n \Pr[X = x])^q \right] = \frac{q-1}{q} n + \frac{1}{q} \log \sum_x \Pr[X = x]^q = \frac{q-1}{q} (n - H_q(X)).$$

For the Shannon entropy, we see in a similar way,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}[f_X] &= \mathbb{E}_x f_X(x) \log f_X(x) - \left(\mathbb{E}_x f_X(x)\right) \cdot \log \mathbb{E}_x f_X(x) = \mathbb{E}_x f_X(x) \log f_X(x) \\ &= 2^{-n} \sum_x 2^n \Pr[X = x] \log(2^n \Pr[X = x]) = n - H(X). \end{aligned}$$

\square

2 Inequalities by Samorodnitsky

We introduce more notation to discuss the inequalities by Samorodnitsky. First, for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, we define the operator acting on functions $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$T_\varepsilon f(x) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \varepsilon^{|y|} (1 - \varepsilon)^{n - |y|} f(x + y),$$

where the addition $x + y$ is in the vector space \mathbb{F}_2^n , and $|y|$ denotes the number of nonzero coordinates in y .

Furthermore, for $S \subseteq [n]$ we define $\mathbb{E}(f|S) : \mathbb{F}_2^S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the conditional expectation of f with respect to S , i.e., $\mathbb{E}(f|S)(x_S) = \mathbb{E}_{y: y_S = x_S} f(y)$, where $y_S \in \mathbb{F}_2^S$ denotes vector y restricted to the coordinates in S and the distribution over $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is uniform.

The inequality of Samorodnitsky for q -norms reads:

Theorem 8 (Theorem 1.1 in [Sam20]). *Let $q \geq 2$ be integer and $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Then,*

$$\log \|T_\varepsilon f\|_q \leq \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} \log \|\mathbb{E}(f|S)\|_q, \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda := 1 - h_q(\varepsilon)$, and $S \sim \lambda$ denotes a random subset in $[n]$ where each element is put in S independently with probability λ .

This inequality comes from a line of work [Sam16, Sam19] where, among others, an analogous inequality was proved for entropies:

Theorem 9 (Corollary 1.9 in [Sam16]). *Let $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Then,*

$$\text{Ent}[T_\varepsilon f] \leq \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} \text{Ent}[\mathbb{E}(f|S)], \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda := (1 - 2\varepsilon)^2$.

3 Entropic interpretation of the inequalities

In this section we restate Theorems 8 and 9 in terms of respective entropies. While similar statements and some of their consequences have been given before, see [Sam16, Ord16, PW17, Sam19, HSS21, Sam22], we find it instructive to put them together for comparison. In the proofs of Theorems 1 and 3 we will only need Corollary 13.

Claim 10. *Let $X \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a random variable and $S \subseteq [n]$. Then, $\mathbb{E}(f_X|S) = f_{X_S}$, where $X_S \in \mathbb{F}_2^S$ is X restricted to the coordinates in S .*

Proof. $\mathbb{E}(f_X|S)(x_S) = 2^{|S| - n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n: y_S = x_S} 2^n \Pr[X = y] = 2^{|S|} \Pr[X_S = x_S] = f_{X_S}(x_S). \quad \square$

Claim 11. *Let $X \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a random variable and $Z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be iid $\text{Ber}(\varepsilon)$ and independent of X . Then, $T_\varepsilon f_X = f_{X+Z}$.*

Proof. $T_\varepsilon f_X(y) = \sum_z \varepsilon^{|z|} (1 - \varepsilon)^{n - |z|} f_X(y + z) = 2^n \sum_z \Pr[Z = z, X = y + z] = 2^n \Pr[X + Z = y] = f_{X+Z}(y). \quad \square$

We can now state two corollaries (in fact, equivalent formulations) of Samorodnitsky's inequalities.

Corollary 12. *Let $X \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a random variable and let $Z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be iid $\text{Ber}(\varepsilon)$ independent of X . Then, for every integer $q \geq 2$ it holds*

$$H_q(X + Z) \geq (1 - \lambda)n + \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} H_q(X_S),$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(q) = 1 - h_q(\varepsilon)$.

Proof. Let f_X be the distribution function of X . By Theorem 8, we have $\log \|T_\varepsilon f_X\|_q \leq \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} \log \|\mathbb{E}(f_X|S)\|_q$. But by Claims 10 and 11, $T_\varepsilon f_X = f_{X+Z}$ and $\mathbb{E}(f_X|S) = f_{X_S}$. Therefore, $\log \|f_{X+Z}\|_q \leq \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} \log \|f_{X_S}\|_q$. Applying (2) to both sides and rearranging concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 13. *Let $X \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a random variable and let $Z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be iid $\text{Ber}(\varepsilon)$ independent of X . Then,*

$$H(X+Z) \geq (1-\lambda)n + \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} H(X_S),$$

where $\lambda = (1-2\varepsilon)^2$.

Proof. The same as for Corollary 12, using Theorem 9 and (1). \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Claim 14. *Let $X \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a random variable. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} H(X_S) = H(X) - H(X|Y_{\text{BEC}}),$$

where Y_{BEC} is the output of transmitting X over $\text{BEC}(1-\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $S \subseteq [n]$. By the chain rule, $H(X_S) = H(X) - H(X|X_S)$. But $H(X|X_S)$ is the conditional entropy of X given that its coordinates outside of S have been erased. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} H(X|X_S)$ is the conditional entropy of X given the output of its transmission over $\text{BEC}(1-\lambda)$. The conclusion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $Y_{\text{BSC}} = X + Z$, where Z is iid $\text{Ber}(\varepsilon)$ and independent of X . By the chain rule, $H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) = H(X, Y_{\text{BSC}}) - H(Y_{\text{BSC}}) = H(X, Z) - H(Y_{\text{BSC}}) = H(X) + h(\varepsilon)n - H(X+Z)$.

Let ε_0 be such that $\eta = 4\varepsilon_0(1-\varepsilon_0)$ and let $\lambda := 1-\eta$. Note that $\lambda = (1-2\varepsilon_0)^2$. Let Z' be iid $\text{Ber}(\varepsilon_0)$ and independent of X . Since $4\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) \geq \eta = 4\varepsilon_0(1-\varepsilon_0)$, the distribution of Z' can be degraded to the distribution of Z and $H(X+Z) \geq H(X+Z')$, and, by Corollary 13, $H(X+Z') \geq (1-\lambda)n + \mathbb{E}_{S \sim \lambda} H(X_S)$.

Chaining it together and applying Claim 14,

$$\begin{aligned} H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) &= H(X) + h(\varepsilon)n - H(X+Z) \leq H(X) + h(\varepsilon)n - \eta n - \mathbb{E}_{S \sim 1-\eta} H(X_S) \\ &= (h(\varepsilon) - \eta)n + H(X|Y_{\text{BEC}}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $\{C_n\}$ be a family of binary codes of rate R that achieves capacity on the BEC. Accordingly, let $\{\eta_n\}$ be such that $\eta_n \rightarrow 1-R$ and $H(X|Y_{\text{BEC}}) = o(n)$, where X is uniform over C_n and Y_{BEC} is the output of transmitting X over $\text{BEC}(\eta_n)$. As before, let $Y_{\text{BSC}} = X + Z$ be the output of transmitting X over $\text{BSC}(\varepsilon)$, so that Z is iid $\text{Ber}(\varepsilon)$ and independent of X .

Claim 15. $H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) \leq (h(\varepsilon) - (1-R))n + o(n)$ and consequently $H(Y_{\text{BSC}}) = n - o(n)$.

Proof. Since $\eta_n \rightarrow 1-R$, for large enough n we have $4\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) \geq \eta_n$. Applying Theorem 1,

$$H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) \leq (h(\varepsilon) - \eta_n)n + H(X|Y_{\text{BEC}}) = (h(\varepsilon) - (1-R))n + o(n).$$

By chain rule, also $H(Y_{\text{BSC}}) = H(X, Y_{\text{BSC}}) - H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) = H(X, Z) - H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) = Rn + h(\varepsilon)n - H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) \geq n - o(n)$. \square

Remark 16. Conversely, $H(X|Y_{\text{BSC}}) = H(X, Z) - H(Y_{\text{BSC}}) \geq Rn + h(\varepsilon)n - n$, so the bounds in Claim 15 are tight up to the $o(n)$ terms. \diamond

Claim 17. *Let $0 \leq p_1, \dots, p_k$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i =: p \leq 1$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \log \frac{1}{p_i} \leq p \log k + 1$.*

Proof. $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \log \frac{1}{p_i} = p \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i}{p} \left(\log \frac{p}{p_i} + \log \frac{1}{p} \right) = p \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i}{p} \log \frac{p}{p_i} \right) + p \log \frac{1}{p} \leq p \log k + 1$, where in the end we use the fact that the remaining sum is the Shannon entropy of a probability distribution over k elements. \square

By Claim 15, Theorem 3 follows from the following lemma:

Lemma 18. *Let $\{C_n\}$ be a family of binary codes of rate R such that $H(Y_{\text{BSC}}) = n - o(n)$ for some channel $\text{BSC}(\varepsilon)$.*

Then, there exists a function $f(n) = o(n)$ such that for $k = k_n = \exp_2 \left((R - (1 - h(\varepsilon)))n + f(n) \right)$ there exists a list decoder $D : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow C_n^k$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[X \notin D(Y_{\text{BSC}})] = 0.$$

Proof. For the rest of the proof let us write $Y := Y_{\text{BSC}} = X + Z$. We shall assume that $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$, since the case $\varepsilon > 1/2$ follows by relabeling ones and zeros. Let $\mathcal{L} := \{(x, z) : x \in C_n, z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \text{wt}(z) < \varepsilon n + n^{3/4}\}$, that is \mathcal{L} contains the (somewhat) more likely values for (X, Z) . For $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, let $\mathcal{B}_y := \{(x, z) : x \in C_n, z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, x + z = y\}$.

Fix $\delta > 0$. Let us say that $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is δ -likely if the size of $\mathcal{B}_y \cap \mathcal{L}$ is more than $\exp_2 \left((R_n - (1 - h(\varepsilon)) + \delta)n \right)$. Let $p_n(\delta)$ denote the probability that the random string $Y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is δ -likely.

If $(x, z) \in \mathcal{L}$, it follows that

$$\Pr[X = x, Z = z] > 2^{-R_n n} \cdot \varepsilon^{\varepsilon n + n^{3/4}} \cdot (1 - \varepsilon)^{(1 - \varepsilon)n - n^{3/4}} = \exp_2 \left(- (R_n + h(\varepsilon))n \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \right)^{n^{3/4}}.$$

Hence, if y is δ -likely, then $\Pr[Y = y]$ is more than $\exp_2 \left(- (1 - \delta)n \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \right)^{n^{3/4}}$. This implies a bound on the entropy of Y

$$H(Y) \leq p_n(\delta) \left((1 - \delta)n + n^{3/4} \log \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) + \sum_{y: y \text{ is not } \delta\text{-likely}} \Pr[Y = y] \cdot \log \frac{1}{\Pr[Y = y]}.$$

By Claim 17, the contribution to $H(Y)$ from strings y which are not δ -likely is at most $(1 - p_n(\delta))n + 1$ (since there are trivially at most 2^n such strings), and hence

$$H(Y) \leq n - p_n(\delta) \cdot \delta n + 1 + n^{3/4} \log \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}. \quad (5)$$

If $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(\delta) > 0$, then (5) implies that $n - H(Y) = \Omega(n)$ infinitely often, which is a contradiction with the assumption $H(Y) = n - o(n)$. Therefore, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(\delta) = 0$ for every fixed $\delta > 0$. It follows that there is a sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(\delta_n) = 0$.

Let k be equal to the (ceiling of) $\exp_2 \left((R_n - (1 - h(\varepsilon)) + \delta_n)n \right)$. By the assumption $R_n \rightarrow R$, indeed we have $k = \exp_2 \left((R - (1 - h(\varepsilon)))n + o(n) \right)$. Let D be any decoder that, for each $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ which is *not* δ_n -likely, outputs a list that contains all codewords $x \in C_n$ such that $(x, x + y) \in \mathcal{B}_y \cap \mathcal{L}$. Note that we defined k to be large enough for this to be possible.

By construction, if Y is not δ_n -likely and $(X, Z) \in \mathcal{L}$, then the decoder is successful and $X \in D(Y)$. Therefore, the error probability is bounded by

$$\Pr[X \notin D(Y)] \leq \Pr[Y \text{ is } \delta_n\text{-likely}] + \Pr[(X, Z) \in \mathcal{L}] = p_n(\delta_n) + \Pr[\text{wt}(Z) < \varepsilon n + n^{3/4}] = o(1),$$

since $p_n(\delta_n)$ vanishes by construction and $\Pr[\text{wt}(Z) < \varepsilon n + n^{3/4}] = \exp(-\Omega(\sqrt{n}))$ by the Hoeffding's inequality. \square

Remark 19. As mentioned, the list decoding size $k = \exp_2 \left((R - (1 - h(\varepsilon)))n \right)$ is optimal for a code of rate R transmitted over $\text{BSC}(\varepsilon)$, up to $2^{o(n)}$ factor. This follows immediately from the claim in [RS22] that we restate below. \diamond

Claim 20 (Claim 30 in [RS22]). Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ and $n > 10/\varepsilon^2$. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a code of rate R , X be uniform over C and Y_{BSC} the result of transmitting X over $\text{BSC}(\varepsilon)$.

Suppose a decoder $D : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow C^k$ satisfies $\Pr[X \in D(Y_{\text{BSC}})] \geq 3/4$. Then, we must have

$$k \geq \exp_2 \left((R - (1 - h(\varepsilon)))n - h(\varepsilon)n^{3/4} - 3 \right).$$

Acknowledgements I am grateful to Emmanuel Abbe and Alex Samorodnitsky for helpful conversations.

References

- [HSS21] Jan Hązła, Alex Samorodnitsky, and Ori Sberlo. On codes decoding a constant fraction of errors on the BSC. In *Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 1479–1488, 2021.
- [KKM⁺17] Shrinivas Kudekar, Santhosh Kumar, Marco Mondelli, Henry D Pfister, Eren Şaşıoğlu, and Rüdiger Urbanke. Reed–Muller codes achieve capacity on erasure channels. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 63(7):4298–4316, 2017.
- [Ord16] Or Ordentlich. Novel lower bounds on the entropy rate of binary hidden Markov processes. In *International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 690–694, 2016.
- [PW17] Yury Polyanskiy and Yihong Wu. Strong data-processing inequalities for channels and Bayesian networks. In *Convexity and Concentration*, pages 211–249. Springer, 2017.
- [RP21] Galen Reeves and Henry D Pfister. Reed–Muller codes achieve capacity on BMS channels. arXiv:2110.14631v2, 2021.
- [RS22] Anup Rao and Oscar Sprumont. A criterion for decoding on the BSC. arXiv:2202.00240v5, 2022.
- [Sam] Alex Samorodnitsky. Personal communication.
- [Sam16] Alex Samorodnitsky. On the entropy of a noisy function. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 62(10):5446–5464, 2016.
- [Sam19] Alex Samorodnitsky. An upper bound on ℓ_q norms of noisy functions. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 66(2):742–748, 2019.
- [Sam20] Alex Samorodnitsky. An improved bound on ℓ_q norms of noisy functions. arXiv:2010.02721v1, 2020.
- [Sam22] Alex Samorodnitsky. On some properties of random and pseudorandom codes. arXiv:2206.05135v1, 2022.