

On weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals of commutative rings

Mohammad Hamoda¹ and Mohammed Issoual²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Science, Al-Aqsa University, Gaza, Palestine.

P.O. Box 4051, Gaza, Palestine

e-mail: ma.hmodeh@alaqsa.edu.ps

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5452-9220>

²Department of Mathematics, Crmef Rabat-Sale-Kenitra, Annex Khmisset, Rabat, Morocco.

Lycee Abdellah Gennon, R404, Khemisset, Morocco

e-mail: issoual2@yahoo.fr

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9872-8221>

Abstract

Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. In this article, we introduce the concept of weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals of R and explore its basic properties. We show that $I \bowtie^f J$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ that is not (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary if and only if I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary and for every δ - (m, n) -unbreakable-zero element a of I we have $(f(a) + j)^m = 0$ for every $j \in J$, where $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of rings and J is an ideal of B . Furthermore, we provide examples to demonstrate the validity and applicability of our results.

”2020 Mathematics Subject Classification”: 13C05; 13C13; 13F05.

Keywords: δ -primary ideal, weakly 2-absorbing ideal, weakly n -absorbing ideal.

1 Introduction

Throughout this article all rings are commutative with nonzero identity, all modules are unitary and all ring homomorphisms preserve the identity. Recently, ring theorists have been interested in the class of prime ideals and modules and its generalizations. The notion of weakly prime ideals was introduced by Anderson and Smith in [2] and further studied by several authors, (see for example [1, 12]). Badawi in [6] generalized the notion of prime ideals in a different way, called 2-absorbing ideal. Many authors studied on this issue, (see for example [4, 7, 9, 15, 17, 20]). The notion of δ -primary ideals in commutative rings was introduced by Zhao in [21]. This concept is considered to unify prime and primary ideals. Many results of prime and primary ideals are extended to these structures, (see for example [13], [19]). Our aim of this article is to introduce a new class of ideals that is closely to the class of weakly n -absorbing ideals, called weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals. The motivation of this article is to complete what has been studied in [14] and to develop related results. The remains of this article is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some basic concepts and results that are indispensable in the sequel of this article. Section 3, is devoted to the main results concerning weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals. Section 4 concerns weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals in amalgamated algebra. Section 5, concerns the conclusion.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we state some basic concepts and results related to weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals. We hope that this will improve the readability and understanding of this article. Let R be a commutative ring and I be an ideal of R . An ideal I is called proper if $I \neq R$. Let I be a proper ideal of R . Then, the radical of I is defined by

$\{x \in R \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, x^n \in I\}$, denoted by \sqrt{I} (note that $\sqrt{R} = R$ and $\sqrt{0}$ is the ideal of all nilpotent elements of R). For the ring R , we shall use $Nil(R)$, $J(R)$ and $char(R)$ to denote the set of all nilpotent elements of R , the set of all maximal ideals of R and the characteristic of R , respectively.

Definition 2.1. ([6], [8]) A proper ideal I of R is called a 2-absorbing ideal (respectively, 2-absorbing primary ideal) of R if whenever $abc \in I$ (respectively, $0 \neq abc \in I$) for some $a, b, c \in R$, implies $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$ (respectively, $ab \in I$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$).

Definition 2.2. [4] Let n be a positive integer. A proper ideal I of R is called an n -absorbing ideal (respectively, strongly n -absorbing ideal) of R if whenever $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ (respectively, $I_1 \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$ for some ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} of R), then there are n of the x_i 's (respectively, n of the I_i 's) whose product is in I .

Thus, a 1-absorbing ideal is just a prime ideal.

Definition 2.3. [17] Let n be a positive integer. A proper ideal I of R is called a weakly n -absorbing ideal (respectively, strongly weakly n -absorbing ideal) of R if whenever $0 \neq x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ (respectively, $0 \neq I_1 \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$ for some ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} of R), then there are n of the x_i 's (respectively, n of the I_i 's) whose product is in I .

Thus, a weakly 1-absorbing ideal is just a weakly prime ideal.

Definition 2.4. [3] Let m and n be positive integers. A proper ideal I of R is called a semi- n -absorbing ideal of R if whenever $x^{n+1} \in I$ for some $x \in R$ implies $x^n \in I$. More generally, a proper ideal I of R is called an (m, n) -closed ideal of R if whenever $x^m \in I$ for some $x \in I$ implies $x^n \in I$.

Definition 2.5. [5] Let m and n be positive integers. A proper ideal I of R is called a weakly semi- n -absorbing ideal of R if whenever $0 \neq x^{n+1} \in I$ for some $x \in R$ implies $x^n \in I$. More generally, a proper ideal I of R is called a weakly (m, n) -closed ideal of R if whenever $0 \neq x^m \in I$ for some $x \in I$ implies $x^n \in I$.

Definition 2.6. [21] Let $Id(R)$ be the set of all ideals of R . A function $\delta : Id(R) \longrightarrow Id(R)$ is called an expansion function of $Id(R)$ if it has the following two properties: $I \subseteq \delta(I)$ and if $I \subseteq J$ for some ideals, I, J of R , then $\delta(I) \subseteq \delta(J)$. A proper ideal I of R is called a δ -primary ideal of R if whenever $xy \in I$ for some $x, y \in R$ implies $x \in I$ or $y \in \delta(I)$.

Definition 2.7. [13] A proper ideal I of R is called a 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if whenever $xyz \in I$ for some $x, y, z \in R$ implies $xy \in I$ or $yz \in \delta(I)$ or $xz \in \delta(I)$. A proper ideal I of R is called a strongly 2-absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if whenever I_1, I_2, I_3 are ideals of R , $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$, $I_1I_3 \not\subseteq I$ and $I_2I_3 \not\subseteq \delta(I)$, then $I_1I_2 \subseteq \delta(I)$.

The notions of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals and weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideals are generalizations of the notions of n -absorbing primary ideals and weakly n -absorbing primary ideals respectively. Recall the following definition.

Definition 2.8. [19] A proper ideal I of R is called an n -absorbing δ -primary ideal (respectively, weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal) of R if whenever $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ (respectively, $0 \neq x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$) for some $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ implies $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ or there exists $1 \leq k < n$ such that $x_1 \dots \hat{x}_k \dots x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$, where $x_1 \dots \hat{x}_k \dots x_{n+1}$ denotes the product of $x_1 \dots x_{k-1} x_{k+1} \dots x_{n+1}$.

The notion of (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals is a generalization of the notion of n -absorbing δ -primary ideals. Recall the following definition.

Definition 2.9. [14] Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$, and m and n be positive integers. A proper ideal I of R is called a semi- n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if whenever $a^{n+1} \in I$ for some $a \in R$, then $a^n \in \delta(I)$. More generally, a proper ideal I of R is called an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R if whenever $a^m \in I$ for some $a \in R$, then $a^n \in \delta(I)$.

3 Properties of weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals

We start by the following definitions.

Definition 3.1. *Let R be a commutative ring, I be a proper ideal of R , δ be an expansion function of $\text{Id}(R)$, and m and n be positive integers.*

(1) *I is called a weakly semi- n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if whenever $0 \neq a^{n+1} \in I$ for some $a \in R$, then $a^n \in \delta(I)$.*

(2) *I is called a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R if whenever $0 \neq a^m \in I$ for some $a \in R$, then $a^n \in \delta(I)$.*

Clearly, a proper ideal is weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary for $1 \leq m \leq n$; so we usually assume that $1 \leq n < m$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a commutative ring, I be a proper ideal of R , δ be an expansion function of $\text{Id}(R)$, and m and n be positive integers. Then*

(1) *I is a weakly semi- n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if and only if I is a weakly $(n+1, n)$ -closed δ -primary ideal of R .*

(2) *If I is a weakly n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R , then I is a weakly semi- n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R .*

(3) *If I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , then I is a weakly (m, k) -closed δ -primary ideal of R for every positive integer $k \geq n$.*

(4) *A weakly n -absorbing ideal of R is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R for every positive integer m .*

Proof. Follows directly from the definitions. □

In the following example, we give some expansion functions of ideals of a ring R .

Example 3.3. (1) *The identity function δ_I , where $\delta_I(I) = I$ for every $I \in Id(R)$, is an expansion function of ideals of R .*

(2) *For each ideal I , define $\delta_{\sqrt{I}}(I) = \sqrt{I}$. Then $\delta_{\sqrt{I}}$ is an expansion function of ideals of R .*

Remark 3.4. (1) *Let R be a commutative ring, δ_I be an expansion function of $Id(R)$ and m and n positive be integers, then a proper ideal I of R is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_I -primary ideal of R if and only if I is a weakly (m, n) -closed ideal of R .*

(2) *It is clear that any (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R is weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal. The converse need not hold.*

(3) *A weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal does not be weakly (m', n) -closed δ -primary for $m' < m$.*

Example 3.5. *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_8$. Then $I = (0)$, the zero ideal is clearly a weakly $(3, 1)$ -closed $\delta_{\sqrt{I}}$ -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z}_8 . However, I is not $(3, 1)$ -closed $\delta_{\sqrt{I}}$ -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z}_8 since $2^3 = 0 \in I$ and $2 \notin I$. More generally, $I = (0)$ is a weakly $(n+1, n)$ -closed $\delta_{\sqrt{I}}$ -primary ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n+1}}$, by definition, but it is easy to see that I is not an $(n+1, n)$ -closed $\delta_{\sqrt{I}}$ -primary ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n+1}}$.*

Example 3.6. *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_8$ and δ an expansion function of $Id(R)$ and $I = \{0, 4\}$. Then I is weakly $(3, 1)$ -closed δ -primary ideal of \mathbb{Z}_8 , since $r^3 = 0$ for every nonunit $r \in R$. However, I is not weakly $(2, 1)$ -closed δ -primary ideal since $0 \neq 2^2 = 4 \in I$ and $2 \notin I$.*

In the following example, we have a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , that is neither a weakly (m, n) -closed ideal of R nor an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

Example 3.7. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}[\{X_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}]$ and $I = (X_{m-1}^m A)$ be an ideal of A . Let $R = A/I$ and define $\delta : Id(R) \rightarrow Id(R)$ such that $\delta(K) = K + (xA + I)/I$. It is clear that δ is an expansion function of $Id(R)$. Let $J = (X_m^m A)/I$. We show that J is not a weakly (m, n) -closed ideal of R . Now, in the ring R , we have $0 \neq X_m^m + I \in J$, but $X_m^n + I \notin J$ for every positive integers $1 \leq n < m$. Thus, J is not a weakly (m, n) -closed ideal of R . We show that J is not an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . Let $x = 2 + I \in R$. Then $x^m = 0 + I \in J$, but $x^n \notin \delta(J)$ for every positive integers $1 \leq n < m$. Thus, J is not an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . By the construction of δ , one can easily see that J is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

Theorem 3.8. Let R be a commutative ring, δ and γ be expansion functions of $Id(R)$ with $\delta(I) \subseteq \gamma(I)$, m and n be integers with $1 \leq n < m$, and I be a proper ideal of R .

- (1) If I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , then I is a weakly (m, n) -closed γ -primary ideal of R .
- (2) If $\delta(I)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed ideal of R , then I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

Proof. (1) Since $\delta(I) \subseteq \gamma(I)$ and I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , then the claim follows.

- (2) Let $0 \neq a^m \in I \subseteq \delta(I)$ for some $a \in R$. Since $\delta(I)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed ideal of R , then $a^n \in \delta(I)$. Therefore, I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

□

Let R be a commutative ring, δ_1 and δ_2 are expansion functions of $Id(R)$. Let $\delta : Id(R) \rightarrow Id(R)$ such that $\delta(I) = (\delta_1 \circ \delta_2)(I) = \delta_1(\delta_2(I))$. Then, δ is an expansion function of ideals of R , such a function is denoted by δ_\circ .

Theorem 3.9. Let R be a commutative ring, δ and γ be expansion functions of $Id(R)$, m and n positive be integers, I be a proper ideal of R , and $\delta(I)$ be an (m, n) -closed γ -primary ideal of R . Then, I is a weakly (m, n) -closed $\gamma \circ \delta$ -primary ideal of R if and only if I is an (m, n) -closed $\gamma \circ \delta$ -primary ideal of R

Proof. Assume that I is a weakly (m, n) -closed $\gamma \circ \delta$ -primary ideal of R . Assume that $a^m \in I$ for some $a \in R$. If $0 \neq a^m \in I$, then $a^n \in \gamma(\delta(I))$. Hence, assume that $a^m = 0$. Since $\delta(0)$ is an (m, n) -closed γ -primary ideal of R , we conclude that $a^n \in \gamma(\delta(0))$. Since $\gamma(\delta(0)) \subseteq \gamma(\delta(I))$, we conclude that $a^n \in \gamma(\delta(0)) \subseteq \gamma(\delta(I))$. Therefore, I is an (m, n) -closed $\gamma \circ \delta$ -primary ideal of R . The converse is clear. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let R be a commutative ring, δ and γ be expansion functions of $Id(R)$, m and n be positive integers, I be a proper ideal of R , and $\gamma(I)$ be a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . Then, I is a weakly (m, n) -closed $\delta \circ \gamma$ -primary ideal of R .*

Proof. Assume that $0 \neq a^m \in I \subseteq \gamma(I)$ for some $a \in R$. Since $\gamma(I)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . Then, $a^n \in \delta(\gamma(I))$. Therefore, I is a weakly (m, n) -closed $\delta \circ \gamma$ -primary ideal of R . \square

Definition 3.11. [19] *Let $f : R \rightarrow A$ be a ring homomorphism and δ, γ expansion functions of $Id(R)$ and $Id(A)$ respectively. Then, f is called a $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism if $\delta(f^{-1}(I)) = f^{-1}(\gamma(I))$ for all ideals I of A .*

Remark 3.12. (1) *If γ_r is a radical operation on a commutative ring A and δ_r is a radical operation on a commutative ring R , then any homomorphism from R to A is an example of $\delta_r\gamma_r$ -homomorphism. Also, if f is a $\delta\gamma$ -epimorphism and I is an ideal of R containing $Ker(f)$, then $\gamma(f(I)) = f(\delta(I))$. In particular, if f is a $\delta\gamma$ -ring-isomorphism, then $f(\delta(I)) = \gamma(f(I))$ for every ideal I of R .*

(2) *Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$ and I be a proper ideal of R . The function $\delta_q : R/I \rightarrow R/I$ defined by $\delta_q(J/I) = \delta(J)/I$ for ideals $I \subseteq J$, becomes an expansion function of R/I .*

Theorem 3.13. *Let R and A be commutative rings, m and n be positive integers, and $f : R \rightarrow A$ be a $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism, where δ is an expansion function of $Id(R)$ and γ is an expansion function of $Id(A)$.*

(1) If f is injective and J is a weakly (m, n) -closed γ -primary ideal of A , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

(2) If f is surjective and I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R containing $\text{Ker}(f)$, then $f(I)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed γ -primary ideal of A .

Proof. (1) Assume that J is a weakly (m, n) -closed γ -primary ideal of A and $0 \neq a^m \in f^{-1}(J)$ for some $a \in R$. Since, $\text{Ker}(f) \neq 0$, we get $0 \neq f(a^m) = [f(a)]^m \in J$. By our assumption, we conclude that $[f(a)]^n \in \gamma(J)$. Thus, $a^n \in f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$. Since $\delta(f^{-1}(J)) = f^{-1}(\gamma(J))$, we get $a^n \in \delta(f^{-1}(J))$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(J)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

(2) Assume that I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R and $0 \neq b^m \in f(I)$ for some $b \in A$. Since f is epimorphism, we have $f(a^m) = b^m$ for some $a \in R$ and $0 \neq f(a^m) = b^m \in f(I)$. Since $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$, we have $0 \neq a^m \in I$. As I is an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , we have $a^n \in \delta(I)$. Then, we have $b^n \in f(\delta(I)) \subseteq \gamma(f(I))$. Therefore, $f(I)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed γ -primary ideal of A .

□

Theorem 3.14. Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $\text{Id}(R)$, m and n be positive integers, and $I \subseteq J$ be proper ideals of R .

(1) If J is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , then J/I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_q -primary ideal of R/I .

(2) If I is an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R and J/I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_q -primary ideal of R/I , then J is an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

(3) If I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R and J/I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_q -primary ideal of R/I , then J is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

Proof. (1) Follows directly from Theorem 3.13 (2).

(2) Let $a^m \in J$ for $a \in R$. If $a^m \in I$, then $a^n \in \delta(I) \subseteq \delta(J)$. Assume that $a^m \notin I$. Then, we have $I \neq (a+I)^m \in J/I$. Since J/I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_q -primary ideal of R/I . Then, $(a+I)^n = a^n + I \in \delta_q(J/I)$. Thus, $a^n \in \delta(J)$. Therefore, J is an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

(3) Let $0 \neq a^m \in J$ for $a \in R$. Then by a similar argument as in part (2), one can show that J is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

□

Example 3.15. Let D be an integral domain, I be a proper ideal of D , m, n be positive integers with $1 \leq n < m$, and δ be an expansion function of $\text{Id}(D)$. Then $\langle I, X \rangle$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of $R[x]$ if and only if I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . This is hold by Theorem 3.14 (1), (2), since $\langle I, X \rangle / \langle x \rangle \approx I$ in $R[x] / \langle x \rangle \approx R$.

Theorem 3.16. Let R be a commutative ring, I be a proper ideal of R , m and n be positive integers, $S \subseteq R \setminus \{0\}$ be a multiplicative set with $I \cap S = \phi$, and δ_S be an expansion function of $\text{Id}(R_S)$ such that $\delta_S(I_S) = (\delta(I))_S$ where δ is an expansion function of $\text{Id}(R)$. If I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , then I_S is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_S -primary ideal of R_S .

Proof. Let $0 \neq a^m \in I_S$ for $a \in R_S$. Then, $a = \frac{r}{s}$ for some $r \in R$ and $s \in S$, and thus $0 \neq a^m = \frac{r^m}{s^m} = \frac{i}{g}$ for some $i \in I$ and $g \in S$. Hence, $0 \neq r^m g z = s^m i z \in I$ for some $z \in S$, and thus $0 \neq (r g z)^m \in I$. Hence, $(r g z)^n \in \delta(I)$ since I is weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , and thus $a^n = \frac{r^n}{s^n} = \frac{r^n g^n z^n}{s^n g^n z^n} \in I_S \subseteq (\delta(I))_S = \delta_S(I_S)$. Therefore, I_S is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_S -primary ideal of R_S . □

Corollary 3.17. Let R be a commutative ring, I be a proper ideal of R , m and n be positive integers, $P \subseteq R \setminus \{0\}$ be a multiplicative set with $I \cap P = \phi$, and δ_P be an

expansion function of $Id(R_P)$ such that $\delta_P(I_P) = (\delta(I))_P$ where δ is an expansion function of $Id(R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1) I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .

(2) I_P is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_P -primary ideal of R_P for every prime (or maximal) ideal P of R .

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Follows directly from Theorem 4.1.

(2) \implies (1) Let $0 \neq a^m \in I$ for $a \in R$, consider the ideal $J = \{r \in R : ra^n \in \delta(I)\}$ of R and P be a prime ideal of R with $I \subseteq P$. Then, $0 \neq (\frac{a}{1})^m \in I_P$. Thus, $(\frac{a}{1})^n \in \delta_P(I_P)$ since I_P is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_P -primary ideal of R_P . Thus, $xa^n \in \delta(I)$ for some $x \in R \setminus P$. Thus, $J \not\subseteq P$. In fact $J \not\subseteq L$ for every prime ideal L of R with $I \not\subseteq L$. Hence, $J = R$ and thus $a^n \in \delta(I)$. Therefore, I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . \square

Recall from [19] that an expansion function δ of $Id(R)$ satisfies the finite intersection property if $\delta(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n) = \delta(I_1) \cap \dots \cap \delta(I_n)$ for some ideals I_1, \dots, I_n of the commutative ring R .

Note that the radical operation on ideals of a commutative ring is an example of an expansion function satisfying the finite intersection property.

Theorem 3.18. *Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$ satisfying the finite intersection property, m and n be positive integers, and I_1, \dots, I_k be proper ideals of R . If I_1, \dots, I_k are weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , and $P = \delta(I_j)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R .*

Proof. It is clear. \square

Definition 3.19. Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$, m and n be integers with $1 \leq n < m$, and I be a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . Then $a \in R$ is called a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element of I if $a^m = 0$ and $a^n \notin \delta(I)$. (Thus, I has a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element if and only if I is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R).

Theorem 3.20. Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$, m and n be integers with $1 \leq n < m$, and I be a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . If x is a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element of I , then $(x + i)^m = 0$ for every $i \in I$.

Proof. Assume that $(x + i)^m \neq 0$ for some $i \in I$. Then by Binomial Theorem, we have $(x + i)^m = x^m + mx^{m-1}i + \dots + i^m = 0 + mx^{m-1}i + \dots + i^m \in I$. Since I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R , then $(x + i)^n \in \delta(I)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $(x + i)^m = 0$ for every $i \in I$. \square

Theorem 3.21. Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$, m and n be positive integers, and I be a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary. Then $I \subseteq Nil(R)$. Furthermore, if $char(R) = m$ is prime, then $x^m = 0$ for every $x \in I$.

Proof. Since I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary, then I has a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element say a . Let $x \in I$. Then, $a^m = 0$ and $(a + x)^m = 0$ by Theorem 3.20. Thus, $a, a + x \in Nil(R)$. Thus, $x = (a + x) - a \in Nil(R)$. Therefore, $I \subseteq Nil(R)$. Now, assume that $char(R) = m$ is prime. Then, it is clear that $0 = (a + x)^m = a^m + x^m = x^m$. Therefore, $x^m = 0$ for every $x \in I$. \square

Lemma 3.22. Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$, n be a positive integer and $a \in J(R)$. Then the ideal $I = a^{n+1}R$ is a weakly semi- n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R if and only if $a^{n+1} = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $a^{n+1} = 0$. Then, $I = (0)$ and thus I is a weakly semi- n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R by definition.

Conversely; assume that I is a weakly semi- n -absorbing δ -primary ideal of R and $a^{n+1} \neq 0$. Since $a^{n+1} \in I \setminus \{0\}$, then $a^n \in \delta(I)$. Set $x = a^n \in I$. Then, $x = xar$ for some $r \in R$. Thus $x(1 - ar) = 0$. Since $ar \in J(R)$, then $1 - ar$ is a unit of R . Thus, $x = 0$ and then $a^{n+1} = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $a^{n+1} = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.23. *Let D be an integral domain, δ be an expansion function of $Id(D)$, $I = p^k D$ be a principal ideal of D , where p is a prime element of D and k a positive integer. Let m be a positive integer such that $m < k$, and write $k = ma + b$ for some integers a, b , where $a \geq 1$ and $0 \leq b < m$. If $I/p^c D$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of $D/p^c D$ that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal for positive integers $n < m$ and $c \geq k + 1$, then $b \neq 0$, $k + 1 \leq c \leq m(a + 1)$ and $n(a + 1) < k$.*

Proof. Assume that $I/p^c D$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of $D/p^c D$ that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary for positive integers $n < m$ and $c \geq k + 1$. It is clear that $b \neq 0$, for if $b = 0$, then $0 \neq (p^a)^m + p^c D \in I/p^c D$, but $(p^a)^n + p^c D \notin I/p^c D$. Since $a + 1$ is the smallest positive integer such that $(p^{(a+1)})^m + p^c D \in I/p^c D$ and $I/p^c D$ is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of $D/p^c D$, we have $0 = (p^{(a+1)})^m + p^c D \in I/p^c D$ and $(p^{(a+1)})^n + p^c D \notin \delta(I/p^c D)$. Thus, $(p^{(a+1)})^n + p^c D \notin I/p^c D$ and therefore, $n(a + 1) < k$ and $k + 1 \leq c \leq m(a + 1)$. \square

Recall that an ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$ has the form $I_1 \times I_2$ for some ideals I_1 of R_1 and I_2 of R_2 , where R_1 and R_2 are commutative rings. Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_k$, where R_i is a commutative ring with nonzero identity and δ_i be an expansion function of $Id(R_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Let δ_\times be a function of $Id(R)$, which is defined by $\delta_\times(I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_k) = \delta_1(I_1) \times \delta_2(I_2) \times \dots \times \delta_k(I_k)$ for each ideal I_i of R_i where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then δ_\times is an expansion function of $Id(R)$. Note that every ideal of R is of the form $I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_k$, where each ideal I_i is an ideal of R_i , $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. In the next results, we characterize weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals of $R_1 \times \dots \times R_k$.

Theorem 3.24. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are commutative rings, δ_i be an expansion function of $Id(R_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, I_1 be a proper ideal of R_1 , I_2 be a proper*

ideal of R_2 , and m and n be positive integers with $1 \leq n < m$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1) $I_1 \times R_2$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

(2) I_1 is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 .

(3) $I_1 \times R_2$ is an (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary ideal of R .

Proof. (1) \implies (2) I_1 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 by Theorem 3.13 (2). If I_1 is not an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 , then I_1 has a δ_1 - (m, n) -unbreakable-zero element x . Thus, $(0, 0) \neq (x, 1)^m \in I_1 \times R_2$, but $(x, 1)^n \notin \delta_\times(I_1 \times R_2)$, a contradiction. Hence, I_1 is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 .

(2) \implies (3) It is clear. (Analogue to the proof of [3], Theorem 2.12).

(3) \implies (1) It is clear by definition. □

Theorem 3.25. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are commutative rings, δ_i be an expansion function of $\text{Id}(R_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, I be a proper ideal of R , and m and n be positive integers with $1 \leq n < m$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1) I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary ideal of R that is not (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary.

(2) $I = J_1 \times J_2$ for some proper ideals J_1 of R_1 and J_2 of R_2 such that either

(a) J_1 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 that is not (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary, $y^m = 0$ whenever $y^m \in J_2$ for some $y \in R_2$ (in particular, $j^m = 0 \forall j \in J_2$), and if $0 \neq x^m \in J_1$ for some $x \in R_1$, then J_2 is an (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary ideal of R_2 , or

(b) J_2 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary ideal of R_2 that is not (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary, $y^m = 0$ whenever $y^m \in J_1$ for some $y \in R_1$ (in particular, $j^m = 0 \forall j \in J_1$), and if $0 \neq x^m \in J_2$ for some $x \in R_2$, then J_1 is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 .

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Since I is not an (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary ideal of R . Then, by Theorem 3.24, we have $I = J_1 \times J_2$, where J_1 is a proper ideal of R_1 and J_2 is a proper ideal of R_2 . Since I is not an (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary ideal of R , we have either J_1 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 that is not (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary or J_2 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary ideal of R_2 that is not (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary. Suppose that J_1 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 that is not (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary. Thus, J_1 has a $\delta_1 - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element a . Suppose that $y^m \in J_2$ for some $y \in R_2$. Since a is a $\delta_1 - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element of J_1 and $(a, y)^m \in I$, we have $(a, y)^m = (0, 0)$. Thus, $y^m = 0$ (in particular, $j^m = 0 \forall j \in J_2$). Now, suppose that $0 \neq x^m \in J_1$ for some $x \in R_1$. Let $y \in R_2$ be such that $y^m \in J_2$. Then, $(0, 0) \neq (x, y)^m \in I$. Thus, $y^n \in \delta_2(J_2)$, and hence J_2 is an (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary ideal of R_2 . Similarly, if J_2 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary ideal of R_2 that is not (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary, then $y^m = 0$ whenever $y^m \in J_1$ for some $y \in R_1$ (in particular, $j^m = 0 \forall j \in J_1$), and if $0 \neq x^m \in J_2$ for some $x \in R_2$, then J_1 is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 .

(2) \implies (1) Assume that J_1 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 that is not (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary, $y^m = 0$ whenever $y^m \in J_2$ for some $y \in R_2$ (in particular, $j^m = 0 \forall j \in J_2$), and if $0 \neq x^m \in J_1$ for some $x \in R_1$, then J_2 is an (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary ideal of R_2 . Let a be a $\delta_1 - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element of J_1 . Then, $(a, 0)$ is a $\delta_\times - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element of I . Thus, I is not an (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary ideal of R . Now, suppose that $(0, 0) \neq (x, y)^m = (x^m, y^m) \in I$ for some $x \in R_1$ and some $y \in R_2$. Then, $(0, 0) \neq (x, y)^m = (x^m, 0) \in I$ and $0 \neq x^m \in J_1$. Since J_1 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 and J_2 is an (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary ideal of R_2 , we have $(x, y)^n \in \delta_\times(I)$. Similarly, suppose that J_2 is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary ideal of R_2 that is not (m, n) -closed δ_2 -primary, $y^m = 0$ whenever $y^m \in J_1$ for some $y \in R_1$ (in particular, $j^m = 0 \forall j \in J_1$), and if $0 \neq x^m \in J_2$ for some $x \in R_2$, then J_1 is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of R_1 . Then again, I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary ideal of R that is not (m, n) -closed δ_\times -primary. \square

Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$ and M be an R -module. As in [16], the trivial ring extension of R by M (or the idealization of M over R) is defined by $R(+M)$ (other notation is $R \times M$) = $\{(a, b) : a \in R, b \in M\}$ is a commutative ring with identity $(1, 0)$ under addition defined by $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a+c, b+d)$ and multiplication defined by $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, ad + bc)$ for each $a, c \in R$ and $b, d \in M$. Note that $(\{0\}(+)M)^2 = \{0\}$, so $\{0\}(+)M \subseteq Nil(R(+M))$.

We define a function $\delta_{(+)} : Id(R(+M)) \longrightarrow Id(R(+M))$ such that $\delta_{(+)}(I(+N)) = \delta(I)(+)M$ for every ideal I of R and every submodule N of M . Then $\delta_{(+)}$ is an expansion function of ideals of $R(+M)$.

Theorem 3.26. *Let R be a commutative ring, δ be an expansion function of $Id(R)$, m and n be integers with $1 \leq n < m$, I be a proper ideal of R , and M be an R -module. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $I(+M)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary ideal of $R(+M)$ that is not (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary.

(2) I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary and $m(x^{m-1}M) = 0$ for every (m, n) -unbrekable-zero element x of I .

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Assume that $0 \neq r^m \in I$ for some $r \in R$. Then, $(0, 0) \neq (r, 0)^m = (r^m, 0) \in I(+M)$. Thus, $(r, 0)^n = (r^n, 0) \in I(+M)$; so $r^n \in \delta(I)$. Thus, I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . Since $I(+M)$ is not (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary ideal of $R(+M)$, we have $I(+M)$ and hence I , has a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbrekable-zero element; so I is not an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R . Let x be a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbrekable-zero element of I and $a \in M$. Then, $(x, a)^m = (x^m, m(x^{m-1}a)) \in I(+M)$. Since $a^n \notin \delta(I)$, we have $(x, a)^m = (x^m, m(x^{m-1}a)) = (0, 0)$. Thus $m(x^{m-1}M) = 0$.

(2) \implies (1) Since I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary, we have I has a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbrekable-zero element x . Thus, $(x, 0)$ is a

$\delta_{(+)} - (m, n)$ -unbrekable-zero element of $I(+M)$. Thus, $I(+M)$ is not an (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary ideal of $R(+M)$. Assume that $(0, 0) \neq (r, y)^m = (r^m, m(r^{m-1}y)) \in I(+M)$. Then, r is not a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbrekable-zero element of I by hypothesis. Hence, $(r^n, n(r^{n-1}y)) = (r, y)^n \in \delta(I(+M))$. Therefore, $I(+M)$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary ideal of $R(+M)$ that is not (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary. \square

4 weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals in amalgamated algebra

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism and J be an ideal of B . We define the following subring of $A \times B$:

$$A \bowtie^f J = \{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in A, j \in J\}$$

called *the amalgamation of A with B along J with respect to f* . This construction is a generalization of the amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal introduced and studied in [10, 11]. If A is a commutative ring with unity, and I be a ideal of A , the amalgamated duplication of A along the ideal I , coincides with $A \bowtie^{id} I$, where id is the identity map $id := id_A : A \rightarrow A$, we have

$$A \bowtie I = \{(a, a + i) \mid a \in A, i \in I\}.$$

The interest of amalgamation resides, partly, in its ability to cover serval constructions in commutative rings, including specially trivial extension(also called Nagat's idealizations [18]). Moreover, other constructions ($A + XB[X]$, $A + XB[[X]]$, and the $D + M$ construction) can be studied as particular cases amalgamation ([11], Examples 2.5 and 2.6).

Let $\delta : Id(A) \rightarrow Id(A)$ and $\delta_1 : Id(f(A) + J) \rightarrow Id(f(A) + J)$ are expansion functions of $Id(A)$ and $Id(f(A) + J)$ respectively. We define a function $\delta_{\bowtie^f} : Id(A \bowtie^f J) \rightarrow Id(A \bowtie^f J)$ such that $\delta_{\bowtie^f}(I \bowtie^f J) = \delta(I) \bowtie^f J$ for every ideal I of A and

$\delta_{\bowtie^f}(\overline{K}^f) = \{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in A, j \in J, f(a) + j \in \delta_1(K)\}$ for every ideal K of $f(A) + J$. Then, δ_{\bowtie^f} is an expansion function of ideals of $A \bowtie^f J$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings and J be an ideal of B . Let I be a proper ideal of A . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) I is an (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A .
- (2) $I \bowtie^f J$ is an (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that I is (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A and let $(a, f(a) + j)^m \in I \bowtie^f J$ for some $(a, f(a) + j) \in A \bowtie^f J$. Then, $a^m \in I$. The fact that I is (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A , gives $a^n \in \delta(I)$. Which implies $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \delta(I) \bowtie^f J = \delta_{\bowtie^f}(I \bowtie^f J)$. Hence, $I \bowtie^f J$ is (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. (2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that $I \bowtie^f J$ is (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. Let $a^m \in I$ for some $a \in A$. Then, $(a, f(a))^m \in I \bowtie^f J$ which implies $(a, f(a))^n \in \delta_{\bowtie^f}(I \bowtie^f J) = \delta(I) \bowtie^f J$. Then, $a^n \in \delta(I)$. Hence, I is (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A . As desired. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings and J be an ideal of B . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $I \bowtie^f J$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ that is not (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary.
- (2) I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary and for every δ - (m, n) -unbreakable-zero element a of I we have $(f(a) + j)^m = 0$ for every $j \in J$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume that $I \bowtie^f J$ is weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. Let $0 \neq a^m \in I$. Then, $0 \neq (a, f(a))^m \in I \bowtie^f J$ which implies $(a, f(a))^n \in \delta_{\bowtie^f}(I \bowtie^f J) = \delta(I) \bowtie^f J$. Thus, $a^n \in \delta(I)$ and consequently I is weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A . On the other hand by the Theorem, we have I is not (m, n) -closed

δ -primary ideal of A . So there exists an $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element a of I . We will show that $(f(a) + j)^m = 0$ for every j in J . By the way of contradiction, suppose that $0 \neq (f(a) + j)^m$ for some $j \in J$. Then $0 \neq (a, f(a) + j)^m \in I \bowtie^f J$. As $I \bowtie^f J$ is weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary, we get $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \delta_{\bowtie^f}(I \bowtie^f J)$, which implies $a^n \in \delta(I)$. As desired contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $0 \neq (a, f(a) + j)^m \in I \bowtie^f J$ for some $a \in A$ and $j \in J$. Then, $a^m \in I$. If $0 \neq a^m$, as I is weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal, we get $a^n \in \delta(I)$. Hence, $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \delta_{\bowtie^f}(I \bowtie^f J)$. Now assume that $a^m = 0$, necessarily $a^n \in \delta(I)$, if not. We have a is a $\delta - (m, n)$ -unbreakable-zero element of I . So by assumption we have $(f(a) + j)^m = 0$. Then, $(a, f(a) + j)^m = 0$, a contradiction. Hence, $I \bowtie^f J$ is weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings and J be an ideal of B . If $\text{char}(f(A) + J) = m$ and $J^m = 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $I \bowtie^f J$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary.

(2) I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary.

Proof. For every $j \in J$, we have

$$(f(a) + j)^m = f(a^m) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k} f(a^{m-k} j^k + j^m).$$

As $J^m = 0$ we gate $j^m = 0$ for every $j \in J$ on the other hand we have $\binom{m}{k} = 0$ since

$\text{ch}(f(A) + j) = m$ and m divides $\binom{m}{k}$. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let A be a commutative ring, and I be a proper ideal of A . Let K be a proper ideal of A . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $K \bowtie I$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie I$ which is not (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie} -primary.

- (2) K is weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A which is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary and $(a + i)^m = 0$ for every an (m, n) -unbreakable element a of K and every element $i \in I$.

Proof. Take $A = B$ and $f = id_A$ in Theorem 4.2, where id_A is the identity map $id_A : A \rightarrow A$. □

Now, we give another proof to Theorem 3.26 by using amalgamated algebra. Recall Theorem 3.26:

Corollary 4.5. *Let A be a commutative ring, M be an A -module. Let I be a proper ideal of A . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $I(+)M$ is a weakly (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary ideal of $A(+)M$ that is not (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary.
- (2) I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary and $m(a^{m-1})M = 0$ for every (m, n) -unbreakable element a of I .

Proof. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be the canonical homomorphism defined by, for every $a \in A$, $f(a) = (a, 0)$ and $J := 0 \times M$. It not difficult to check that $A \times E$ is naturally isomorphic to $A \bowtie^f J$, and the ideal $I \bowtie^f J$ is canonically isomorphic to $I \times M$. By Theorem 4.2, we have $I(+)M$ is weakly (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary ideal of $A(+)M$ which is not (m, n) -closed $\delta_{(+)}$ -primary if and only if I is weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A which is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary and for ever (m, n) -unbreakable element a of I , we have $(f(a) + j)^m = 0$ for every $j \in J = (0)(+)M$. Now, if $x \in M$, then $((a, 0) + (0, x))^m = (a^m, ma^{m-1}x) = 0$, thus $m(a^{m-1}x) = 0$. Hence, $m(a^{m-1}M) = 0$. □

Remark 4.6. *If I is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of A , then $I \bowtie^f B$ need not to be a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. Let $A = Z_8$. Then, $I = \{0\}$ is clearly weakly $(3, 1)$ -closed $\delta_{\sqrt{1}}$ -primary ideal that not $(3, 1)$ -closed $\delta_{\sqrt{1}}$ -primary, since $2^3 \in \{0\}$ but $2^2 \notin \{0\}$. Let M be an A -module and set $J := 0(+)M$. Let $f : A \hookrightarrow A(+)M$ the canonical homomorphism defined by $f(a) = (a, 0)$ for every $a \in A$. It is clear to see*

that $0 \bowtie^f J$ is isomorphic to $0(+)M$. Since $3(2^2)(0(+)M) \neq 0$ by the Corollary 4.5, we conclude that $0 \bowtie^f J$ is not a weakly $(3, 1)$ -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$.

Lemma 4.7. \overline{K}^f is (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ if and only if K is (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$.

Proof. Suppose that \overline{K}^f is an (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. We claim that K is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$. Indeed, let $(f(a) + j)^m \in K$ with $f(a) + j \in f(A) + J$. Thus, $(a, f(a) + j)^m \in \overline{K}^f$. Since \overline{K}^f is an (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal, $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \delta_{\bowtie^f}(\overline{K}^f) = \{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in A, j \in J, f(a) + j \in \delta_1(K)\}$. Therefore, $(f(a) + j)^n \in \delta_1(K)$. Hence, K is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$. Conversely, assume that K is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$. Let $(a, f(a) + j)^m \in \overline{K}^f$ with $(a, f(a) + j) \in A \bowtie^f J$. Obviously, $f(a) + j \in f(A) + J$ and $(f(a) + j)^m \in K$ which is an (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal. So $(f(a) + j)^n \in \delta_1(K)$. Which implies $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \delta_{\bowtie^f}(\overline{K}^f)$. Hence, \overline{K}^f is an (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$, as desired. \square

Theorem 4.8. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) \overline{K}^f is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ which is not (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary.
- (2) K is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$ which is not (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary and for every (m, n) -unbreakable-zero $f(a) + j$ of K we have $a^m = 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose \overline{K}^f is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. We claim that K is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$. Indeed, let $0 \neq (f(a) + j)^m \in K$ with $f(A) + j \in f(A) + J$. Then, $0 \neq (a, f(a) + j)^m \in \overline{K}^f$. Since \overline{K}^f is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$, we have $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \overline{K}^f$. Therefore, $f((a) + j)^n \in K$. Hence, K is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$. By Lemma 4.7, K is not (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$. Now, let $f(a) + j \in f(A) + J$ be an (m, n) -unbreakable-zero element of K . We claim that $a^m = 0$.

Indeed if $0 \neq a^m$, we get $(a, f(a) + j)^m \in \overline{K}^f$, then $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \overline{K}^f$, which implies $(f(a) + j)^n \in K$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $a^m = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that K is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$. Let $0 \neq (a, f(a) + j)^m \in \overline{K}^f$ for some $(a, f(a) + j) \in A \bowtie^f J$. Then, obviously, $f(a) + j \in f(A) + J$ and $(f(a) + j)^m \in K$. If $0 \neq (f(a) + j)^m$, as K is weakly (m, n) -closed δ_1 -primary ideal of $f(A) + J$, we get $(f(a) + j)^n \in K$, which implies $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \overline{K}^f$. Now, if $(f(a) + j)^m = 0$. We claim that $(f(a) + j)^n \in K$, if not, we get $f(a) + j$ is an (m, n) -unbreakable-zero. Then by assumption, we have $a^m = 0$ and thus $(a, f(a) + j)^m = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $(f(a) + j)^n \in K$. Therefore, $(a, f(a) + j)^n \in \overline{K}^f$. Hence, \overline{K}^f is a weakly (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. By Lemma 4.7, we have \overline{K}^f is not (m, n) -closed δ_{\bowtie^f} -primary ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. \square

5 Conclusion

This article included the structure of weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals of a commutative ring R . We have discussed and proved important results in this class of ideals. We proved that if R is a commutative ring, δ an expansion function of $Id(R)$, m and n positive integers, and I a weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal of R that is not (m, n) -closed δ -primary, then $I \subseteq Nil(R)$. Moreover, if $char(R) = m$ is prime, then $x^m = 0$ for every $x \in I$. Also, we have studied the weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideal I of the trivial ring extension of R by an R -module M . We transferred the notion of weakly (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals to the amalgamated algebras along an ideal.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions aimed at improving this paper.

References

- [1] D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, Generalizations of prime ideals, *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 686-696, 2008.
- [2] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 831-840, 2003.
- [3] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, On (m, n) -closed ideals of commutative rings, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 16, no. 1, 1750013, 21 pp., 2017.
- [4] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1646-1672, 2011.
- [5] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi and B. Fahid, Weakly (m, n) -closed ideals and (m, n) -Von Neumann Regular Rings, *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 1031-1043, 2018.
- [6] A. Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 417-429, 2007.
- [7] A. Badawi, M. Issoual and N. Mahdou, On n -absorbing ideals and (m, n) -closed ideals in trivial ring extensions of commutative rings, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 18, no. 7, 1950123, 19 pp., 2019.
- [8] A. Badawi, Ü. Tekir and E. Yetkin Çelikel, On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 51, no.4, pp. 1163-1173, 2014.
- [9] A. Badawi, Ü. Tekir and E. Yetkin Çelikel, On weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings., *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 97-111, 2015.

- [10] M. D'Anna and M. Fontana, An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: the basic properties, *J. Algebra Appl.*, vol. 6, no.3, pp. 443-459, 2007.
- [11] M. D'Anna, C. Finocchiaro and M. Fontana, Amalgamated algebras along an ideal, *Commutative algebra and its applications*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, pp. 155-172, 2009.
- [12] S. Ebrahimi Atani, On weakly primary ideals, *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 423-429, 2005.
- [13] B. Fahid and D. Zhao, 2-absorbing δ -primary ideals in commutative rings, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 193-198, 2017.
- [14] M. Hamoda, On (m, n) -closed δ -primary ideals of commutative rings, submitted to *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*.
- [15] M. Hamoda M and A. Ashour, On graded n -absorbing submodules, *Le Matematiche*, vol.70, no. 2, pp. 243-254, 2015.
- [16] J. A. Huckaba, *Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors*, Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied mathematics, vol. 117, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [17] H. Mostafanasab, F. Soheilnia and A. Yousefian Darani, On weakly n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings, *Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Ovidius Cnstanta Seria Matematica*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2016.
- [18] M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [19] G. Ulucak, Ü. Tekir and S. Koç, On n -absorbing δ -primary ideals, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 1833-1844, 2018.
- [20] A. Yousefian Darani and F. Soheilnia, 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 577-584, 2011.

- [21] D. Zhao, δ -primary ideals of commutative rings, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 17-22, 2001.