

# EXPONENTIAL RIESZ BASES IN $L^2$ ON TWO INTERVALS

YURII BELOV, MIKHAIL MIRONOV

ABSTRACT. We give sufficient conditions for the exponential system to be a Riesz basis in  $L^2(E)$ , where  $E$  is a union of two intervals. We show that these conditions are close to be necessary. In addition, we demonstrate “extra point effect” for such systems, i.e. it may happen that the Riesz basis in  $L^2(E)$  differs by one point from the Riesz basis on an interval.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Exponential systems.** <sup>1</sup> Let  $S$  be a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We would like to present an arbitrary function  $f \in L^2(S)$  in the form of a series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda e^{i\lambda t},$$

where  $\Lambda$  is some given discrete set. For example, if  $S = [-\pi, \pi]$ ,  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ , then we get the classical orthogonal Fourier series on an interval, where the coefficients  $c_\lambda$  are unique and defined by  $c_n = (f, e^{int})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

However, orthogonal in  $L^2(S)$  exponential bases are rare. On the other hand, we can omit the requirement of orthogonality and require only the uniqueness of the expansion (1).

**Definition 1.** We will say that the system  $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is an unconditional basis if the expansion (1) converges in the norm for any order of terms, and the coefficients  $c_\lambda$  are unique.

It is well known that a system of vectors  $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is an unconditional basis if and only if it is complete and there exist  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$(2) \quad A \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda \frac{e^{i\lambda t}}{\|e^{i\lambda t}\|} \right\|^2 \leq B \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^2,$$

for any finite sequence  $\{c_\lambda\}$ . A system of vectors that satisfies the inequality (2) is called a *Riesz sequence*. A complete Riesz sequence is called a *Riesz basis*.

If  $S$  is an interval, then a complete description of the Riesz exponential bases is known. The key step was taken by B.S. Pavlov in 1979 [13]. He managed to describe all the Riesz bases lying in some strip  $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| < \infty$ . Later N.K. Nikol'skii [4] generalized this result to sequences lying in the half-plane. In 1992 A.M. Minkin [12] got rid of any restrictions.

<sup>1</sup>The work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 19-11-00058P

If  $S$  is the union of several intervals, then the task becomes much more difficult. Even the existence of some Riesz basis is a highly involved question. A. Kohlenberg [6] constructed the first example of a Riesz basis of exponentials for two intervals of equal length, L. Bezuglaya and V. Katsnel'son [2] constructed an exponential basis for two intervals with integer ends, K. Seip [14] has constructed a Riesz basis for an arbitrary union of two intervals and some special cases of union of a finite number of intervals. Y. Lyubarskii and I. Spitkovsky [10] constructed a basis for any number of intervals, but with not necessarily real  $\lambda$ . Finally, G. Kozma and S. Nitzan [7] constructed a *real* Riesz basis for an arbitrary union of a finite number of intervals. Note that G. Kozma, S. Nitzan, and A. Olevskii [8] recently proved that there exists a bounded set  $S$  for which there is no real Riesz basis of exponents.

At the moment, all results for disconnected sets  $S$  are of a particular nature — they are concrete examples of Riesz bases. We are able to find some sufficient basis conditions, see Theorem 1, for two intervals that are close to necessary, see Theorems 3, 4, 5. In particular, our conditions allow us to demonstrate the “extra point” effect when gluing intervals, see Example 1, and Theorem 2.

**1.2. Paley-Wiener spaces.** Often, instead of the space  $L^2(S)$ , it is convenient to study its Fourier image (Paley-Wiener space). It is well known that Riesz bases of exponents  $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  correspond one-to-one to complete interpolating sequences for Paley-Wiener space. Therefore, we will study complete interpolating sequences for Paley-Wiener spaces on two intervals  $PW_E$ ,

$$E = [-\pi, a] \cup [b, \pi].$$

The Paley-Wiener space  $PW_E$  is the space of finite energy signals whose frequency spectrum is contained in the set  $E$ .

**Definition 2.** *The Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  of the function  $f$  is defined as*

$$\mathcal{F}f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-ixt} dx.$$

**Definition 3.** *Let  $S$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The Paley-Wiener space on a set  $S$  is  $PW_S = \mathcal{F}^{-1}L^2(S)$ .*

In what follows, instead of  $PW_{[-a,a]}$  we will write  $PW_a$ .


The space  $PW_S$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. The reproducing kernel  $\kappa_\lambda^S$  is given by

$$\kappa_\lambda^S(z) = \int_S e^{i(z-\bar{\lambda})t} dt.$$

**Definition 4.** A sequence  $\Lambda$  is called a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_S$  if for any  $l^2$  data  $\{a_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  there is a unique solution of the interpolation problem

$$f \in PW_S, \frac{f(\lambda)}{\|\kappa_\lambda^S\|} = a_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

It is well known that  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_S$  if and only if the system of reproducing kernels  $\{\kappa_\lambda^S\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a Riesz basis in  $PW_S$ .



Let  $-\pi < a < b < \pi$ ,  $I = [a, b]$ ,  $E^- = [-\pi, a]$ ,  $E^+ = [b, \pi]$ ,  $E = E^- \cup E^+$ , and  $E^g = E^- + E^+ = [-\pi + b, a + \pi]$  — glued  $E^-$  and  $E^+$ .

To study complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_E$ , we will need to associate each sequence  $\Lambda$  with some class of entire functions.

**Definition 5.** A generating function for a sequence  $\Lambda$  in  $PW_E$  is a function  $F$  such that  $F(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and

$$F \in PW_E + zPW_E.$$

In particular, such  $F$  can be decomposed into a sum

$$F(z) = F^-(z) + F^+(z),$$

where

$$F^\pm \in PW_{E^\pm} + zPW_{E^\pm}.$$

It is clear that if  $F$  is generating for a sequence  $\Lambda$  in  $PW_E$ , then in addition to  $\Lambda$   $F$  may have some other zeros. In what follows, we will denote the set of these zeros by  $T$ . Throughout the paper we will assume that all points in  $T$  are simple. This assumption is solely for the reader's convenience. The results obtained in this paper remain valid even in the presence of points with multiplicities in  $T$ .

For the case of one interval, there exists a unique up to a constant multiple generating function, and this function has no other zeros.

As we will see later, for any complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$  there exists at least one generating function in  $PW_E$ ,  $E = E^- \cup E^+$ .

**1.3. Main results.** We start with sufficient conditions for a sequence  $\Lambda$  to be complete interpolating for  $PW_E$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $F$  be a generating function for  $\Lambda$  in  $PW_E$ , and  $T$  be the other zeros of  $F$ . Suppose that:*

- (i)  $\Lambda \cup T$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_\pi$ ,
- (ii)  $T$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_I$ ,
- (iii)

$$(3) \quad \inf_{t \in T} \left| \frac{F^+(t)}{F'(t)} \right| \|\kappa_t^\pi\|_2 \|\kappa_t^I\|_2 > 0.$$

Then  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ .

**Remark 1.** *If  $T$  lies in a horizontal strip ( $\sup_{t \in T} |\operatorname{Im} t| < \infty$ ), then (3) comes down to*

$$\inf_{t \in T} \left| \frac{F^+(t)}{F'(t)} \right| > 0.$$

We will show that the technical condition (iii) is necessary (see Theorem 5). Without condition (iii) Theorem 1 can be simply stated as follows

*If the complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_I$  is removed from the complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_\pi$ , then what remains is the complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ .*

Though, condition (iii) cannot be omitted, see Remark 2.

Using Theorem 1, we construct a wide class of examples of complete interpolating sequences for Paley-Wiener spaces on two intervals.

Moreover, Theorem 1 allows us to construct examples of complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_E$ , which differ by one point from the complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_{E^g}$ .

**Example 1.** *Let  $E = [-\pi, -\pi/2] \cup [\pi/2, \pi]$  and*

$$\Lambda^- = \pm(4\mathbb{N} + 1/2) \cup \pm(4\mathbb{N} + 2/3) \cup \{-2/3, 0\},$$

$$\Lambda^+ = \pm(4\mathbb{N} - 1/2) \cup \pm(4\mathbb{N} - 2/3) \cup \{-2/3, 0\},$$

$$\Lambda^0 = \pm(4\mathbb{N} + 1/3) \cup \pm(4\mathbb{N} + 2/3) \cup \{-2/3, 0\}.$$

Then  $\Lambda^-$ ,  $\Lambda^+$  and  $\Lambda^0$  are complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_E$ , but at the same time

- (1) One point must be added to the sequence  $\Lambda^-$  to make it complete interpolating for  $PW_{E^g}$ ,
- (2) One point must be removed from the sequence  $\Lambda^+$  to make it complete interpolating for  $PW_{E^g}$ ,
- (3) Neither adding nor removing a point from the sequence  $\Lambda^0$  makes it complete interpolating for  $PW_{E^g}$ .

There can be nothing more than a single point difference. So, the first two sequences from Example 1 give the accuracy of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ . Then*

- (1)  $\Lambda \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}\}$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_{E^g}$  for any  $\tilde{\lambda} \notin \Lambda$ ,
- (2)  $\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$  is minimal for  $PW_{E^g}$  for any  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ .

Now let us move on to the properties of complete interpolating sequences for Paley-Wiener spaces on two intervals. If  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ , then, as we have seen, a generating function  $F$  can be associated with it. As a result, there is a set of extra zeros —  $T$ .

The set  $T$  turns out to be rather closely related to the Paley-Wiener space  $PW_I$  on the complementary interval. It turns out that the set  $T$  is close in its properties to complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_I$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $F$  be a generating function for  $\Lambda$  in  $PW_E$ , which has a complete indicator diagram. Let  $T$  be the other zeros of  $F$ . Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ . Then a system  $\{\kappa_t^I\}_{t \in T}$  is complete and minimal in  $PW_I$ .*

Thus, Theorem 3 gives a necessary condition for the set  $T$ , which corresponds to condition (ii) in Theorem 1. In addition, it is used in the proof of Theorem 2.

We will show (see Lemma 5) that for any complete interpolating sequence there exists a generating function with complete indicator diagram.

Similarly, we will show that the set  $\Lambda \cup T$  is close in its properties to complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_\pi$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $F$  be a generating function for  $\Lambda$  in  $PW_E$ , which has a complete indicator diagram. Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ . Then a system*

$$\{\kappa_t^\pi\}_{t \in T} \cup \{\kappa_\lambda^\pi\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

*is complete and minimal in  $PW_\pi$ .*

Thus, we also have a necessary condition which corresponds to condition (i) in Theorem 1.

Finally, we will show that condition (iii) in Theorem 1 is necessary for  $\Lambda$  to be complete interpolating for  $PW_E$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $F$  be a generating function for  $\Lambda$  in  $PW_E$ . Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ . Then*

$$\inf_{t \in T} \left| \frac{F^+(t)}{F'(t)} \right| \|\kappa_t^\pi\|_2 \|\kappa_t^I\|_2 > 0.$$

**Organization of the paper and notations.** In Section 2 we prove some preliminary lemmas. In Section 3 we study the properties of complete interpolating sequences on two intervals and prove Theorem 3, Theorem 4, Theorem 5 and Theorem 2. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1. In Section 5 we construct examples of complete interpolating sequences on two intervals.

The notation  $U(z) \lesssim V(z)$  (or equivalently  $V(z) \gtrsim U(z)$ ) means that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $U(z) \leq CV(z)$  holds for all  $z$  in the set in question, which may be a Hilbert space, a set of complex numbers, or a suitable index set. We write  $U(z) \asymp V(z)$  if both  $U(z) \lesssim V(z)$  and  $U(z) \gtrsim V(z)$ . We use a notation  $\mathcal{Z}_F$  for a set of zeros of the function  $F$ . By  $\text{span}\{v_k\}$  and  $\text{Clspan}\{v_k\}$  we denote the linear span and the closed linear span of vectors  $\{v_k\}$  in the corresponding Hilbert space.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

If  $F \in PW_\pi$  and  $F(\lambda) = 0$ , then  $\frac{F(z)}{z-\lambda}$  also belongs to the space  $PW_\pi$ . This *division property* is used in the study of complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_\pi$ . In particular, this property implies that the generating function of the complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_\pi$  is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

In the case of the space  $PW_E$ , dividing a function by its zero no longer always gives a result that lies in the same space.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $F$  be a function from  $PW_E + zPW_E$ ,  $\lambda$  be a zero of  $F$ . Then*

$$(4) \quad \frac{F(z)}{z-\lambda} = \varphi_\lambda(z) + iF^+(\lambda)\kappa_\lambda^I(z),$$

where  $\varphi_\lambda \in PW_E$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(z)}{z-\lambda} &= \frac{F^+(z)}{z-\lambda} + \frac{F^-(z)}{z-\lambda} = \\ &= \frac{F^+(z) - F^+(\lambda)e^{ib(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda} + \frac{F^-(z) - F^-(\lambda)e^{ia(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda} + \frac{F^+(\lambda)e^{ib(z-\lambda)} + F^-(\lambda)e^{ia(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{F^+(z) - F^+(\lambda)e^{ib(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda} \in PW_{E^+}, \quad \frac{F^-(z) - F^-(\lambda)e^{ia(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda} \in PW_{E^-}.$$

Since  $F(\lambda) = 0$ , then  $F^-(\lambda) = -F^+(\lambda)$ , so that

$$\frac{F^+(\lambda)e^{ib(z-\lambda)} + F^-(\lambda)e^{ia(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda} = F^+(\lambda) \frac{e^{ib(z-\lambda)} - e^{ia(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda} = iF^+(\lambda) \int_a^b e^{i(z-\lambda)t} dt = iF^+(\lambda)\kappa_\lambda^I(z).$$

Thus, if we put

$$\varphi_\lambda = \frac{F^+(z) - F^+(\lambda)e^{ib(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda} + \frac{F^-(z) - F^-(\lambda)e^{ia(z-\lambda)}}{z-\lambda} \in PW_E,$$

we get the desirable identity (4). ■

For the proof of Theorem 3, we will need the linear independence of the reproducing kernels.

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $T \subset \mathbb{C}$  and for some  $\lambda \notin T$   $\kappa_\lambda^I \in \text{Clspan}\{\kappa_t^I, t \in T\}$  in  $PW_I$ .*

*Then  $\text{Clspan}\{\kappa_t^I, t \in T\} = PW_I$ , i.e.  $T$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_I$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the opposite, then there is a function

$$h \in \text{span}\{\kappa_t^I, t \in T\}^\perp, \quad h \neq 0.$$

In other words,  $h(t) = 0, t \in T$ . Since the function  $h$  is entire and nonzero, then, dividing by  $(z - \lambda)$  to the necessary power, we can assume that  $h(\lambda) \neq 0$ . But  $\kappa_\lambda^I \in \text{Clspan}\{\kappa_t^I, t \in T\}$ , so  $h \perp \kappa_\lambda^I$ . That is,  $h(\lambda) = (h, \kappa_\lambda^I) = 0$ . ■

In addition, for Theorem 3, we will need a sufficient condition for the completeness of the mixed system in terms of the completeness radius of the set.

**Definition 6** ([3][p. 398]). *The radius of completeness of a set  $\Lambda$  is*

$$R(\Lambda) = \sup\{a > 0 : \Lambda \text{ is a uniqueness set for } PW_{[0,a]}\}.$$

**Lemma 3** ([1][Proposition 2.1, Remark 5.2]). *Suppose  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval,  $G \in PW_J$  has simple zeros, and  $G \notin PW_{J'}$  for any proper subinterval  $J' \subset J$ . If  $\mathcal{Z}_G = \Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}_G$  are the zeros of  $G$ , and  $R(\Lambda_2) < |J|$ , then the system*

$$\{\kappa_\lambda^J\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} \cup \left\{ \frac{G(z)}{z - \lambda} \right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1}$$

*is complete in  $PW_J$ .*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $g(z)$  be a function in  $PW_\pi$ ,  $F(z)$  be a function in  $PW_E + zPW_E$  and*

$$g(z) = F(z)u(z),$$

*where  $u(z)$  is of exponential type 0. Then  $g \in PW_E$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the function  $u$  belongs to the Cartwright class  $C$  [9][p. 115] as a ratio of functions from the class  $C$ . By the multiplier theorem [5][p. 397] there exists a function  $\varphi$  of arbitrarily small type and bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $u(x)\varphi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Multiplying  $\varphi$  by  $\sin(ax)e^{icz}$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\varphi$  has an indicator diagram  $iI$ , so that the system

$$(5) \quad \left\{ \frac{\varphi(x)}{x - z_0} \right\}_{z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_\varphi}$$

is complete in  $PW_I$ .

Thus, it suffices to show that  $g$  is orthogonal to the system (5). Let  $z_0$  be an arbitrary zero of the function  $\varphi$ . Put  $u^*(z) = \overline{u(\bar{z})}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( g(z), \frac{\varphi(z)}{z - z_0} \right) = \left( F(z)u(z), \frac{\varphi(z)}{z - z_0} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x)u(x) \overline{\frac{\varphi(x)}{x - z_0}} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) \overline{\frac{u^*(x)\varphi(x)}{x - z_0}} dx \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x - \lambda) f_\lambda(x) \overline{\frac{u^*(x)\varphi(x)}{x - z_0}} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\lambda(x) \overline{\frac{(x - \bar{\lambda})u^*(x)\varphi(x)}{x - z_0}} dx \\
& = \left( f_\lambda(z), \frac{(z - \bar{\lambda})u^*(z)\varphi(z)}{z - z_0} \right) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $u^*\varphi \in PW_I$  and  $f_\lambda \in PW_E$ . ■

### 3. COMPLETE INTERPOLATING SEQUENCES ON TWO INTERVALS

**3.1. Basic properties.** First, let us study the properties of complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_E$ . It is clear that if  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ , then there exists a system  $\{f_\lambda\}$  biorthogonal to  $\{\kappa_\lambda^E\}$  in  $PW_E$ . That is

$$f_\lambda(\lambda') = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda.$$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ , and  $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be its biorthogonal system. Then at most two biorthogonal elements have an indicator diagram of length less than  $2\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Since all  $f_\lambda$  lie in  $PW_E$ , we will show that at most one of them can have spectrum in  $[-\pi, c]$ ,  $c < \pi$ . Similarly, at most one of  $f_\lambda$  can have spectrum in  $[d, \pi]$ ,  $d > -\pi$ . In total, at most two biorthogonal functions can have an indicator diagram of length less than  $2\pi$ .

We will prove by contradiction. Let the spectrum of  $f_{\lambda_0}$  and  $f_{\lambda_1}$  be contained in  $[-\pi, c]$ ,  $c < \pi$ . Consider an arbitrary  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Let us take two complex numbers  $A_\lambda$  and  $B_\lambda$  not equal to zero at the same time such that

$$A_\lambda(\lambda - \lambda_0)f_{\lambda_0}^+(\lambda) + B_\lambda(\lambda - \lambda_1)f_{\lambda_1}^+(\lambda) = 0.$$

Let

$$g_\lambda(z) = C_\lambda \frac{A_\lambda(z - \lambda_0)f_{\lambda_0}(z) + B_\lambda(z - \lambda_1)f_{\lambda_1}(z)}{z - \lambda}.$$

Then by Lemma 1 the function  $g_\lambda$  belongs to  $PW_E$ . Now let us choose  $C_\lambda$  so that  $g_\lambda(\lambda) = 1$ , i.e.

$$C_\lambda = \frac{1}{A_\lambda(\lambda - \lambda_0)f_{\lambda_0}'(\lambda) + B_\lambda(\lambda - \lambda_1)f_{\lambda_1}'(\lambda)}.$$

Thus,  $g_\lambda(\lambda') = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$ ,  $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ , and hence  $g_\lambda = f_\lambda$ . But then the spectrum of  $f_\lambda$  also lies in  $[-\pi, c]$ . And since the spectrum of any  $f_\lambda$  lies in  $[-\pi, c]$ , the system  $\{f_\lambda\}$  cannot be a Riesz basis in  $PW_E$ . ■



Denote  $F_\lambda(z) = (z - \lambda)f_\lambda(z)$ . Such functions will be generating for  $\Lambda$  in  $PW_E$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$  and  $F$  be a generating function for  $\Lambda$  in  $PW_E$ . Then there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $F^+(\lambda) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that this is not the case, i.e.  $F^+(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . We fix some  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ . By Lemma 1

$$f_{\lambda_0}(z) = \frac{F(z)}{z - \lambda_0} \in PW_E.$$

Thus,

$$F(z) = (z - \lambda_0)f_{\lambda_0}(z),$$

and, therefore,

$$F^\pm(z) = (z - \lambda_0)f_{\lambda_0}^\pm(z).$$

By our assumption  $F^\pm(\lambda) = F(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Thus,

$$f_{\lambda_0}^\pm(\lambda) = f_{\lambda_0}(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}.$$

Since  $f_{\lambda_0}^\pm, f_{\lambda_0} \in PW_E$  and  $\Lambda$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_E$ , then

$$f_{\lambda_0}^\pm(z) = c^\pm f_{\lambda_0}(z).$$

We know that  $f_{\lambda_0} \neq 0$ . Hence, at least one of the numbers  $c^+$  and  $c^-$  is not equal to 0. Without loss of generality, we will assume that  $c^+ \neq 0$ . But then we get that  $f_{\lambda_0} = \frac{1}{c^+}f_{\lambda_0}^+ \in PW_{E^+}$ . And thus for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$f_\lambda(z) = \frac{F(z)}{z - \lambda} = \frac{(z - \lambda_0)f_{\lambda_0}(z)}{z - \lambda} \in PW_{E^+}.$$

Thus,  $\text{Clspan}\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset PW_{E^+}$ . On the other hand,  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ , hence the system  $\{f_\lambda\}$  is a Riesz basis in  $PW_E$ . We have come to a contradiction.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ , then there are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$  such that the function*

$$S(z) = F_{\lambda_1}^+(z)F_{\lambda_2}^-(z) - F_{\lambda_1}^-(z)F_{\lambda_2}^+(z)$$

*is not identically equal to 0. Moreover, in this case  $\mathcal{Z}_S = \Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* First, note that for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$   $F_{\lambda_{1,2}}^+(\lambda) = -F_{\lambda_{1,2}}^-(\lambda)$ , so  $S(\lambda) = 0$ . In other words,  $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{Z}_S$ .

Now suppose that  $S(z_0) = 0$  for some  $z_0 \notin \Lambda$ , or  $z_0 \in \Lambda$  and  $S'(z_0) = 0$ . Then by Lemma 1

$$\frac{F_{\lambda_1}(z)F_{\lambda_2}^-(z_0) - F_{\lambda_1}^-(z_0)F_{\lambda_2}(z)}{z - z_0} \in PW_E.$$

But on the other hand, this function vanishes on  $\Lambda$ , which means that it is identically equal to 0. Similarly,

$$\frac{F_{\lambda_1}(z)F_{\lambda_2}^+(z_0) - F_{\lambda_1}^+(z_0)F_{\lambda_2}(z)}{z - z_0} \in PW_E$$

vanishes on  $\Lambda$  and, therefore, is also identically equal to 0. Since  $\Lambda$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_E$ , by Lemma 1,  $F_{\lambda_2}^+(z_0)$  and  $F_{\lambda_2}^-(z_0)$  cannot be equal to 0 at the same time. And, therefore, we can conclude that

$$F_{\lambda_1}(z) = cF_{\lambda_2}(z), \quad c \neq 0.$$

Now suppose that the assertion of the lemma is not true. Then, fixing some  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ , we obtain for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$F_\lambda(z) = c_\lambda F_{\lambda_0}(z), \quad c_\lambda \neq 0.$$

Then, since  $F_\lambda^+(\lambda) = 0$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then

$$F_{\lambda_0}^+(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

This contradicts Lemma 6. ■

We are ready to prove Theorems 3, 4, 5 and 2.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.** We will prove the completeness and minimality of the conjugate system  $\{\kappa_t^I\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ . We first prove the minimality.

*Minimality.* Suppose that the conjugate system is not minimal. Then there is a  $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\kappa_{t_0}^I \in \text{Cl span}\{\kappa_t^I, t \in \mathbb{T}^-\},$$

where  $\mathbb{T}^- = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{t_0\}$ . Hence, for any  $\delta > 0$  there are  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{T}^-$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\left\| \kappa_{t_0}^I + \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \kappa_{t_k}^I \right\|_2 < \delta.$$

Let us denote

$$g_\delta(z) = \kappa_{t_0}^I(z) + \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \kappa_{t_k}^I(z) \in PW_I,$$

and

$$f_\delta(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{t_k} \frac{F(z)}{F'(t_k)(z - t_k)},$$

$$b_{t_k} = \frac{F'(t_k)}{iF^+(t_k)} c_k, \quad b_{t_0} = \frac{F'(t_0)}{iF^+(t_0)}.$$

Note that the definition is correct since  $F^+(t) \neq 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Indeed, if  $F^+(t) = 0$ , then by Lemma 1 the function  $\frac{F(z)}{z-t} \in PW_E$ . This function also vanishes on  $\Lambda$ . But  $\Lambda$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_E$ .

The projection of  $f_\delta$  onto  $PW_I$  by Lemma 1 is equal to

$$\sum_{k=0}^n b_{t_k} i \frac{F^+(t_k)}{F'(t_k)} \kappa_{t_k}^I(z) = g_\delta(z).$$

Hence the projection of  $f_\delta$  onto  $PW_E$  is  $f_\delta - g_\delta \in PW_E$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ , then

$$\|f_\delta - g_\delta\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|f_\delta(\lambda) - g_\delta(\lambda)|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^E\|^2} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|g_\delta(\lambda)|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^E\|^2} \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|g_\delta(\lambda)|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|^2}.$$

Since  $g_\delta \in PW_\pi$  and  $\Lambda$  is interpolating for  $PW_\pi$ ,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|g_\delta(\lambda)|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|^2} \lesssim \|g_\delta\|_2^2 < \delta^2.$$

In total, we get the estimate

$$\|f_\delta\|_2 \leq \|f_\delta - g_\delta\|_2 + \|g_\delta\|_2 \lesssim \delta.$$

But on the other hand

$$\|f_\delta\|_2 \|\kappa_{t_0}^\pi\|_2 \geq |f_\delta(t_0)| = |b_{t_0}| \gtrsim 1,$$

since  $b_{t_0}$  is fixed and does not depend on  $\delta$ . Letting  $\delta$  tend to zero, we get a contradiction.

*Completeness.* Let us show that  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_I$ .

By Lemma 6, there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $F^+(\lambda_0) \neq 0$ . Function  $F(z)$  has a complete indicator diagram. Radius of completeness  $R(\Lambda) \leq |E| < 2\pi$  since the indicator diagram of  $S(z)$  lies in  $iE^g$  and  $|E^g| = |E|$ . Hence, by Lemma 3

$$PW_\pi = \text{Cl span}\{\kappa_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \oplus \text{Cl span}\left\{\frac{F(z)}{z-t}\right\}_{t \in \Gamma}.$$

Let  $f = F'(\lambda_0)f_{\lambda_0}$ , and

$$(6) \quad g(z) = f(z) - \frac{F(z)}{z - \lambda_0}.$$

It is clear that  $g \in PW_\pi$  and  $g(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . This is equivalent to  $(g, \kappa_\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , hence

$$g \in \text{Cl span}\left\{\frac{F(z)}{z-t}\right\}_{t \in \Gamma}.$$

Let us project (6) onto  $PW_I$  and use Lemma 1. Since  $f \in PW_E$ , we get

$$F^+(\lambda_0)\kappa_{\lambda_0}^I \in \text{Cl span}\{\kappa_t^I\}_{t \in \Gamma}.$$

We know that  $F^+(\lambda_0) \neq 0$ . Thus, from Lemma 2 we get that  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_I$ .

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 4.** First of all, the system

$$\{\kappa_t^\pi\}_{t \in \Gamma} \cup \{\kappa_\lambda^\pi\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

is minimal in  $PW_\pi$ , since it has the biorthogonal system

$$\left\{\frac{F(z)}{F'(t)(z-t)}\right\}_{t \in \Gamma} \cup \left\{\frac{F(z)}{F'(\lambda)(z-\lambda)}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

Thus, it remains to show that the set  $\Lambda \cup \mathbb{T}$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_\pi$ . Suppose that this is not true. That means that there is a function  $g(z) \in PW_\pi$ , such that

$$g(\lambda) = 0, \lambda \in \Lambda \quad g(t) = 0, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Hence, we can factorize

$$g(z) = F(z)u(z).$$

Note that  $u(z)$  is of exponential type 0, since  $g(z)$  is of type  $\pi$  and  $F(z)$  has a complete indicator diagram. Therefore, by Lemma 4,  $g(z) \in PW_E$ , which means that  $\Lambda$  is not a uniqueness set for  $PW_E$ . We have arrived at a contradiction.

**3.4. Proof of Theorem 5.** Suppose that the opposite is true. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $t_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\left| \frac{F^+(t_\varepsilon)}{F'(t_\varepsilon)} \right| < \varepsilon \|\kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^\pi\|_2^{-1} \|\kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^I\|_2^{-1}.$$

Consider a function

$$f_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{F(z)}{F'(t_\varepsilon)(z - t_\varepsilon)}.$$

By Lemma 1 its projection on  $PW_I$  is

$$P_I f_\varepsilon(z) = i \frac{F^+(t_\varepsilon)}{F'(t_\varepsilon)} \kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^I.$$

Hence,

$$(7) \quad \|P_I f_\varepsilon\|_2 < \varepsilon \|\kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^\pi\|_2^{-1}.$$

Thus, since  $\Lambda$  is interpolating for  $PW_E$  and consequently for  $PW_\pi$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{P_I f_\varepsilon(\lambda)}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|} \right\|_{l^2(\Lambda)} \lesssim \|P_I f_\varepsilon\|_2 \lesssim \varepsilon \|\kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^\pi\|_2^{-1}.$$

Note that  $f_\varepsilon(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Hence,

$$\left\| \frac{(f_\varepsilon - P_I f_\varepsilon)(\lambda)}{\|\kappa_\lambda^E\|} \right\|_{l^2(\Lambda)} \lesssim \left\| \frac{(f_\varepsilon - P_I f_\varepsilon)(\lambda)}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|} \right\|_{l^2(\Lambda)} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^\pi\|_2^{-1},$$

which implies

$$(8) \quad \|f_\varepsilon - P_I f_\varepsilon\|_2 \lesssim \varepsilon \|\kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^\pi\|_2^{-1},$$

since  $f_\varepsilon - P_I f_\varepsilon \in PW_E$  and  $\Lambda$  is complete interpolating for  $PW_E$ . Thus, from (7) and (8), by the triangle inequality, we conclude that

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_2 \lesssim \varepsilon \|\kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^\pi\|_2^{-1}.$$

But at the same time,  $f_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon) = 1$ . Thus,

$$1 = |f_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon)| = |(f_\varepsilon, \kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^\pi)| \leq \|f_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\kappa_{t_\varepsilon}^\pi\|_2 \lesssim \varepsilon,$$

so, choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , we arrive at the contradiction.

**3.5. Proof of Theorem 2.** By Lemma 5, take  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $F(z) = (z - \lambda)f_\lambda(z)$  has a complete indicator diagram.

Let us start with (1).

Suppose that  $\Lambda \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}\}$  is not a uniqueness set for  $PW_{Eg}$  for some  $\tilde{\lambda} \notin \Lambda$ . Then there exists an entire function  $H(z)$  in  $PW_{Eg}$  such that  $H(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}\}$ .

By Theorem 3  $T$  is minimal for  $PW_I$ , so for any  $t \in T$ , there exists a function  $f_t \in PW_I$  such that  $f_t(t') = \delta_{tt'}$ ,  $t' \in T$ . Then we can conclude that

$$(9) \quad \frac{f_t(z)(z-t)H(z)}{z-\tilde{\lambda}} = F(z)U(z).$$

The indicator diagram of the function on the left has a length not exceeding  $|E| + |I| = 2\pi$ . Hence, due to the completeness of the diagram of  $F$ , the indicator diagram of  $U$  has zero length. That is,  $U(z) = e^{izc}u(z)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u(z)$  is an entire function of type 0. Multiplying (9) by the exponent, we get

$$\frac{f_t(z)(z-t)H(z)}{z-\tilde{\lambda}}e^{-izc} = F(z)u(z).$$

Let us denote

$$g(z) = \frac{f_t(z)(z-t)H(z)}{z-\tilde{\lambda}}e^{-izc} = F(z)u(z).$$

Note that  $f_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $\frac{(x-t)H(x)}{x-\tilde{\lambda}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $g(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . But since  $g$  also has a finite type,  $g$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence,  $g(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . In total, we conclude that  $g \in PW_\pi$ . Thus, by Lemma 4,  $g \in PW_E$ .

Note that  $g(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . So we came to a contradiction with the fact that  $\Lambda$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_E$ .

Let us proceed to (2).

Let  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ . By Lemma 2  $\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$  is minimal for  $PW_{Eg}$  if and only if for any  $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$  the set  $\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1\}$  is not a uniqueness set for  $PW_{Eg}$ .

By definition

$$S(z) = F_{\lambda_3}^+(z)F_{\lambda_4}^-(z) - F_{\lambda_4}^+(z)F_{\lambda_3}^-(z), \quad \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \in \Lambda.$$

Let us show that the function

$$g(z) = \frac{S(z)}{(z-\lambda_0)(z-\lambda_1)} = \frac{F_{\lambda_3}^+(z)F_{\lambda_4}^-(z) - F_{\lambda_4}^+(z)F_{\lambda_3}^-(z)}{(z-\lambda_0)(z-\lambda_1)}$$

lies in  $PW_{Eg}$ , and then we will get that  $\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1\}$  is not a uniqueness set for  $PW_{Eg}$ , since  $g(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1\}$ .

It is clear that the indicator diagram of  $S$  is a subset of  $iE^g$ . Hence, the indicator diagram of  $g$  also lies  $iE^g$ , so it suffices to show that  $g(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . And this is true, since

$$g(z) = \frac{(z - \lambda_3)(z - \lambda_4)}{(z - \lambda_0)(z - \lambda_1)} (f_{\lambda_3}^+(z)f_{\lambda_4}^-(z) - f_{\lambda_4}^+(z)f_{\lambda_3}^-(z)),$$

and  $f_{\lambda_3}^\pm, f_{\lambda_4}^\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### 4. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR COMPLETENESS AND INTERPOLATION ON TWO INTERVALS

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.** First we will prove that  $\Lambda$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_E$ . Suppose  $f \in PW_E$  is a function such that  $f(\lambda) = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then  $f \in PW_\pi$ , hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{F(z)}{F'(\lambda)(z - \lambda)} f(\lambda) + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{F(z)}{F'(t)(z - t)} f(t) \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{F(z)}{F'(t)(z - t)} f(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 1

$$f(z) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} (f_t(z) + iF^+(t)\kappa_t^I(z)) \frac{f(t)}{F'(t)}.$$

Since  $f \in PW_E$ , then projecting it onto  $PW_I$ , we get 0, i.e.

$$(10) \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} F^+(t) \frac{f(t)}{F'(t)} \kappa_t^I(z) = 0.$$

Since  $\mathbb{T}$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_I$ , the system  $\left\{ \frac{\kappa_t^I}{\|\kappa_t^I\|_2} \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  is a Riesz basis in  $PW_I$ . Hence, the conjugate system  $\left\{ \frac{\kappa_t^I}{\|\kappa_t^I\|_2} \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  is also a Riesz basis in  $PW_I$ . Thus, from (10) it follows that

$$F^+(t) \frac{f(t)}{F'(t)} = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

From (3) it follows that  $F^+(t) \neq 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , so that

$$f(t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Thus,  $f = 0$ .

Now let  $\{a_\lambda\}$ , such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|a_\lambda|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^E\|_2^2} < \infty,$$

be given. We want to find a function  $f \in PW_E$  such that  $f(\lambda) = a_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and

$$(11) \quad \|f\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|a_\lambda|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^E\|_2^2}.$$

Using

$$\|\kappa_\lambda^E\|_2^2 \asymp e^{2\pi|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} (1 + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)^{-1} \asymp \|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|_2^2$$

we get that (11) is equivalent to

$$(12) \quad \|f\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|a_\lambda|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|_2^2}.$$

Let us look for  $f$  in the form  $f = g + h$ , where

$$g(z) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{F(z)}{F'(\lambda)(z - \lambda)} a_\lambda, \quad h(z) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{F(z)}{F'(t)(z - t)} b_t,$$

with

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{|b_t|^2}{\|\kappa_t^\pi\|_2^2} < \infty.$$

Note that for any such  $\{b_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  we already have  $f(\lambda) = a_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $f \in PW_\pi$ , since  $\Lambda \cup \mathbb{T}$  is complete interpolating for  $PW_\pi$ . So that we only need to guarantee that projection of  $f$  on  $PW_I$  is 0, i.e.,

$$(13) \quad P_I f = P_I g + P_I h = 0.$$

By Lemma 1 we can expand the equation (13) as

$$P_I g + i \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{F^+(t)}{F'(t)} b_t \kappa_t^I = 0,$$

or, alternatively,

$$(14) \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{F^+(t)}{F'(t)} b_t \kappa_t^I = i P_I g.$$

As we already mentioned,  $\left\{ \frac{\kappa_t^I}{\|\kappa_t^I\|_2} \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  is a Riesz basis in  $PW_I$ , hence, the equation (14) has a unique solution  $\{b_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ . Moreover

$$\|P_I g\|_2^2 = \left\| \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{F^+(t)}{F'(t)} b_t \kappa_t^I \right\|_2^2 \asymp \sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{F^+(t)}{F'(t)} \right|^2 |b_t|^2 \|\kappa_t^I\|_2^2.$$

Thus, by using (3), we arrive at

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{|b_t|^2}{\|\kappa_t^\pi\|_2^2} \lesssim \|P_I g\|_2^2.$$

It remains to notice that

$$\|P_I g\|_2^2 \leq \|g\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|a_\lambda|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|_2^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \frac{|b_t|^2}{\|\kappa_t^\pi\|_2^2} \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|a_\lambda|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|_2^2}.$$

So that,

$$\|h\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|a_\lambda|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|_2^2}.$$

Finally,

$$\|g\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|a_\lambda|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|_2^2}.$$

By triangle inequality, we arrive at

$$\|f\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|a_\lambda|^2}{\|\kappa_\lambda^\pi\|_2^2}.$$

## 5. EXAMPLES

### 5.1. Two intervals of equal length.

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose  $E = [-\pi, -\pi/2] \cup [\pi/2, \pi]$ , and  $H$  is a generating function for  $\mathcal{Z}_H$ , which is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi/4}$ . Suppose that the points of  $\mathcal{Z}_H$  are uniformly separated from the set  $\frac{4}{3}\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\Lambda = \mathcal{Z}_H \cup 4\mathbb{Z}$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a function

$$F(z) = H(z) \sin\left(\frac{3}{4}\pi z\right).$$

By [11][Theorem 1], zeros of  $F(z)$  form a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_\pi$ . Note that the set of zeros is  $\mathcal{Z}_F = \mathcal{Z}_H \cup \frac{4}{3}\mathbb{Z}$ . It is clear that  $F \in PW_E + zPW_E$  and

$$F^\pm(z) = \frac{H(z)}{2} e^{\pm i\frac{3}{4}\pi z}.$$

Consider a factorization  $F(z) = S(z)G(z)$ , where

$$S(z) = H(z) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}z\right), \quad G(z) = 1 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}z\right).$$

The zeros of  $G(z)$  form a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi/2}$ . Put  $\mathbb{T} = \mathcal{Z}_G = \pm\frac{4}{3} + 4\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $|F^+(t)| = |H(t)|/2 \asymp |F'(t)|$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Thus, from Theorem 1,  $\Lambda = \mathcal{Z}_S$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ . ■

**Remark 2.** *If we exchange a point  $\lambda_0$  from  $\mathcal{Z}_H$  with a point  $t_0$  from  $\mathbb{T}$ , then conditions (i), (ii) of Theorem 1 will be still satisfied. But since  $F^+(\lambda_0) = 0$ , then, by Lemma 1,  $\frac{F(z)}{z-\lambda_0}$  belongs to  $PW_E$  while vanishing on  $\Lambda' = \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{t_0\}$ . Thus,  $\Lambda'$  is not a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ .*

### 5.2. “Extra point” effect.

**Theorem 7.** *Suppose we are in the conditions of Theorem 1. In addition, let*

$$(15) \quad \left| \frac{F(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \Lambda \cup \mathbb{T})} \right|^2 \asymp (1 + |x|)^\alpha, \quad \left| \frac{G(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \mathbb{T})} \right|^2 \asymp (1 + |x|)^\beta,$$

where  $G$  is an entire function with zeros  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $\alpha, \beta \in (-1, 1)$  and there are 4 cases:



- (1)  $\alpha - \beta \in (-1, 1)$ , then  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ ;
- (2)  $\alpha - \beta \in (-2, -1)$ , then for any  $\tilde{\lambda} \notin \Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}\}$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ ;
- (3)  $\alpha - \beta \in (1, 2)$ , then for any  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ ;
- (4)  $|\alpha - \beta| = 1$ , then neither removing nor adding a point to the set  $\Lambda$  makes it a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ .

At the same time,  $\Lambda$  is in any case a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$ .

*Proof.* We already know that  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_E$  from Theorem 1.

First, note that the weight  $v(x) = (1 + |x|)^c$  lies in the Muckenhoupt class  $A_2$  if and only if  $c \in (-1, 1)$ .

Since  $T$  and  $\Lambda \cup T$  are complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_T$  and  $PW_\pi$ , respectively, then by [11][Theorem 1]

$$\left| \frac{G(x)}{\text{dist}(x, T)} \right|^2 \in A_2, \quad \left| \frac{F(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \Lambda \cup T)} \right|^2 \in A_2,$$

Hence, taking into account (15), we get that  $\alpha, \beta \in (-1, 1)$ .

Now let us show that

$$\left| \frac{S(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \Lambda)} \right|^2 \asymp (1 + |x|)^{\alpha - \beta}.$$

Indeed, since  $\Lambda \cup T$  is separated and  $T$  is relatively dense, then

$$\frac{\text{dist}(x, \Lambda \cup T)}{\text{dist}(x, T)} \asymp \text{dist}(x, \Lambda).$$

Hence, taking into account that  $F(x) = S(x)G(x)$ , we get

$$\left| \frac{S(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \Lambda)} \right|^2 \asymp \left| \frac{F(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \Lambda \cup T)} \frac{\text{dist}(x, T)}{G(x)} \right|^2 \asymp (1 + |x|)^{\alpha - \beta}.$$

Thus, it remains to consider the cases (1)–(4) and apply [11][Theorem 1].

1) If  $\alpha - \beta \in (-1, 1)$ , then

$$\left| \frac{S(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \Lambda)} \right|^2 \in A_2,$$

and hence  $\Lambda$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ .

2) If  $\alpha - \beta \in (-2, -1)$ , then it is clear that for any  $\tilde{\lambda} \notin \Lambda$  we have

$$\left| \frac{S(x)(x - \tilde{\lambda})}{\text{dist}(x, \Lambda \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}\})} \right|^2 \asymp (1 + |x|)^{\alpha - \beta + 2},$$

and since  $\alpha - \beta + 2 \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\Lambda \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}\}$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ .

3) If  $\alpha - \beta \in (1, 2)$ , then for any  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  we have

$$\left| \frac{S(x)}{(x - \lambda_0) \operatorname{dist}(x, \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\})} \right|^2 \asymp (1 + |x|)^{\alpha - \beta - 2},$$

and since  $\alpha - \beta - 2 \in (-1, 0)$ , then  $\Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ .

4) If  $|\alpha - \beta| = 1$ , then  $\alpha - \beta$  and  $\alpha - \beta \pm 2$  do not lie in  $(-1, 1)$ . Hence, neither adding nor removing a point makes the set  $\Lambda$  a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ . ■

Thus, using Theorem 7, one can obtain interesting examples of sets  $\Lambda$ . Namely, such sets  $\Lambda$  that are complete interpolating sequences for  $PW_E$ , but at the same time for  $PW_{E^g}$  the set  $\Lambda$  either lacks one more point to become complete interpolating, or vice versa one point from the set  $\Lambda$  must be removed for it to become complete interpolating.

To build examples, we will need a function whose zeros are shifted points of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 7.** Let  $|\delta| < 1/4$ . Denote

$$f_\delta(z) = z \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{(k + \delta)^2} \right).$$

It is well known that  $f_\delta(z)$  is an entire function of type  $\pi$  satisfying an asymptotic relation

$$(16) \quad \left| \frac{f_\delta(x)}{\operatorname{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}_{f_\delta})} \right| \asymp (1 + |x|)^{-2\delta}.$$

Let  $E = [-\pi, -\pi/2] \cup [\pi/2, \pi]$ . Denote  $g_\delta(z) = f_\delta(\frac{z}{4})$ , and

$$(17) \quad F(z) = g_\delta(z) \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}z\right).$$

**Proposition 1.** If  $\delta > 1/12$  and  $\delta \neq 1/6$ , then there exists a factorization  $F(z) = S(z)G(z)$  that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7 case (2), and thus the set  $\Lambda$  lacks one point to become a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ .

**Proposition 2.** If  $\delta < -1/12$  and  $\delta \neq -1/6$ , then there exists a factorization  $F(z) = S(z)G(z)$  that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7 case (3), and thus one point must be removed from the set  $\Lambda$  for it to become a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{E^g}$ .

*Proof.* We will simultaneously prove both propositions. We know that

$$g_\delta(z) = \frac{z}{4} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{(4k + 4\delta)^2} \right)$$

and

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}z\right) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3}k\right)^2} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{\left(\frac{2}{3} + 4k\right)^2} \right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{(2 + 4k)^2} \right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{\left(-\frac{2}{3} + 4k\right)^2} \right).$$

Note that the zeros  $g_\delta$  and  $\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}z\right)$  are separated for  $|\delta| \neq 1/6$ . Thus,

$$(18) \quad \left| \frac{F(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}_F)} \right|^2 \asymp (1 + |x|)^{-4\delta}.$$

Since  $|4\delta| < 1$ , we get that  $\left| \frac{F(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}_F)} \right|^2 \in A_2$ . The function  $g_\delta$  is of type  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ , so  $F$  is of type  $\pi$ .

Thus [11][Theorem 1],  $\mathcal{Z}_F$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_\pi$ .

Now we will be proving only Proposition 1. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &= \left( z - \frac{2}{3} \right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{(2 + 4k)^2} \right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{\left(-\frac{2}{3} + 4k\right)^2} \right) = \\ &= 4 \frac{z - \frac{2}{3}}{z} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}z\right) \frac{z}{4} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 1 - \frac{\left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^2}{\left(-\frac{1}{6} + k\right)^2} \right) = 4 \frac{z - \frac{2}{3}}{z} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}z\right) f_{-1/6}\left(\frac{z}{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $G(z)$  is of type  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  and

$$(19) \quad \left| \frac{G(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \mathbb{T})} \right| \asymp (1 + |x|)^{2\tilde{\delta}}, \quad \tilde{\delta} = 1/6.$$

Thus, [11][Theorem 1],  $\mathbb{T} = \mathcal{Z}_G$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi/2}$ .

If  $\delta > 1/12$ , then  $4\delta + 4\tilde{\delta} > 1$ . Hence, by Theorem 7 we get that the sequence  $\Lambda$  lacks one more point to become complete interpolating for  $PW_{Eg}$ . For Proposition 1, it only remains to prove that the Hilbert operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda, \mathbb{T}}$  is bounded.

Let us move on to the proof of Proposition 2. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &= \left( z - \frac{2}{3} \right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{(2 + 4k)^2} \right) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{\left(\frac{2}{3} + 4k\right)^2} \right) \\ &= 4 \frac{z - \frac{2}{3}}{z} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}z\right) f_{1/6}\left(\frac{z}{4}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$G(z)$  is of type  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  and

$$(20) \quad \left| \frac{G(x)}{\text{dist}(x, \mathbb{T})} \right| \asymp (1 + |x|)^{2\tilde{\delta}}, \quad \tilde{\delta} = -1/6.$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{T} = \mathcal{Z}_G$  is a complete interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi/2}$ . If  $\delta < -1/12$ , then  $4\delta + 4\tilde{\delta} < -1$ . Hence, by Theorem 7, any point must be removed from the sequence  $\Lambda$  in order for it to become complete interpolating for  $PW_{Eg}$ . For Proposition 2, it remains only to prove that the Hilbert operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda, \mathbb{T}}$  is bounded.

To complete the proof of Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 it remains to show that

$$|F^+(t)| \gtrsim |F'(t)|, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

From (17) we get that

$$F^+(z) = \frac{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}z}}{2} g_\delta(z).$$

Hence,

$$|F^+(t)|^2 \asymp |g_\delta(t)|^2 \asymp (1 + |t|)^{-4\delta}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Next, from (18) we get that

$$|F'(t)|^2 \asymp (1 + |t|)^{-4\delta}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Therefore,

$$|F^+(t)| \gtrsim |F'(t)|, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

■

Considering  $\delta = 1/8$ ,  $\delta = -1/8$  and  $\delta = 1/12$ , we get the sets  $\Lambda^-$ ,  $\Lambda^+$  and  $\Lambda^0$  from Example 1. Hence, the part of Example 1 regarding  $\Lambda^\pm$  is a direct consequence of Proposition 1 and Proposition 2. For  $\Lambda^0$ ,  $\delta = 1/12$ , it suffices to note that the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 1, by Theorem 7, give the required property for  $\Lambda^0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleman, A. Baranov, and Y. Belov, *Subspaces of  $C^\infty$  invariant under the differentiation*. Journal of Functional Analysis 268.8 (2015), 2421–2439.
- [2] L. Bezuglaya and V. E. Katsnel'son, *The sampling theorem for functions with limited multi-band spectrum*. Z. Anal. Anwendungen 12:3 (1993), 511–534.
- [3] V. Havin and B. Jöricke *The Uncertainty Principle in Harmonic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1994).
- [4] S. V. Hruščëv, N. K. Nikol'skii, and B. S. Pavlov, *Unconditional bases of exponentials and of reproducing kernels*. Complex analysis and spectral theory. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1981), 214–335.
- [5] P. Koosis *The logarithmic integral II*. Cambridge University Press (1992).
- [6] A. Kohlenberg, *Exact interpolation of band-limited functions*. J. Appl. Phys. 24:12 (1953), 1432–1436.
- [7] G. Kozma and S. Nitzan, *Combining Riesz bases*. Invent. Math. 199:1 (2015), 267–285.
- [8] G. Kozma, S. Nitzan, and A. Olevskii, *A set with no Riesz basis of exponentials.*, arXiv preprint [arXiv:2110.02090](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.02090) (2021).
- [9] B. Levin *Lectures on Entire Functions*. American Mathematical Society (1996).
- [10] Y. Lyubarskii and I. Spitkovsky, *Sampling and interpolation for a lacunary spectrum*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics 126.1 (1996), 77–87.
- [11] Y. Lyubarskii and K. Seip, *Complete interpolating sequences for Paley-Wiener spaces and Muckenhoupt's  $(A_p)$  condition*. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 13, no. 2, (1997), 361–376.
- [12] A. M. Minkin *Reflection of exponents and unconditional bases of exponentials*. St Petersburg Math. J. 3 (1992), 1043–1068.
- [13] B. S. Pavlov *Basicity of an exponential system and Muckenhoupt's condition*. Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR 247 (1979), 37–40.
- [14] K. Seip, *A simple construction of exponential bases in  $L^2$  of the union of several intervals*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 38:1 (1995), 171–177.

YURII BELOV,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA,  
j\_b\_juri\_belov@mail.ru

MIKHAIL MIRONOV,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA,  
mironovv3@yandex.ru