

Quantum perturbative solutions of extended Snyder and Yang models with spontaneous symmetry breaking

Jerzy Lukierski

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Wrocław University,
pl. Maxa Borna 9, 50-205 Wrocław, Poland*

Stjepan Meljanac

*Division of Theoretical Physics, Rudjer Bošković Institute,
Bijenička c.54, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia*

Salvatore Mignemi

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Cagliari via Ospedale 72,
09124 Cagliari, Italy and INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, 09042 Monserrato, Italy*

Anna Pachoł

*Department of Microsystems, University of South-Eastern Norway,
Campus Vestfold, Raveien 215, 3184 Borre, Norway*

We propose \hbar -expansion as a perturbative solution of quantum extended Snyder and Yang models, with \hbar -independent classical zero-th order terms responsible for the spontaneous breaking of $D = 4$ and $D = 5$ de Sitter symmetries. For such models with algebraic basis spanned by $\hat{o}(D, 1)$ Lie algebra generators, we relate the vacuum expectation values (VEV) of the spontaneously broken generators with an Abelian set of ten (Snyder, $D = 4$) or fifteen (Yang, $D = 5$) tensorial generalized space-time coordinates, which can be used for obtaining the perturbative solutions of extended Snyder and Yang models. In such a way we provide the physical meaning for these generalized space-time coordinates, which recently were postulated, in Snyder case, without providing any physical interpretation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The main aim of this paper is to present a new look at extended Snyder and Yang models describing quantum noncommutative (NC) space-time and quantum-deformed phase spaces. We will construct their operator-valued perturbative solutions expressed as \hbar -power series, where \hbar is the Planck constant. We will expose the role of classical, commutative parts of such solutions which are related with the spontaneous symmetry breaking. The use of perturbative \hbar -expansions of the solutions (see e.g. [1, 2]) permits to provide good insight into the description of quantum effects with the classical commuting terms obtained in the limit $\hbar = 0$, and the remaining \hbar -dependent quantum parts. In the case when the quantum model is formulated in terms of Lie algebra symmetry generators, the presence of their classical parts leads to spontaneous symmetry breaking effects (see e.g. [3]-[9]). In particular, $D = 4$ extended Snyder models [10]-[16], with their algebraic formulation described by ten independent $\hat{O}(4, 1)$ symmetry generators ($D = 4$ de Sitter algebra) fit well in the above framework.

The algebraic formulation of $D = 4$ Snyder model is determined by the quantum NC space-time

position generators \hat{x}_μ and Lorentz-algebra generators $M_{\mu\nu}$ satisfying the following relation ¹

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i \frac{l^2}{\hbar} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where l is an elementary length, $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and

$$[\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{M}_{\rho\tau}] = i\hbar(\eta_{\mu\rho}\hat{M}_{\nu\tau} - \eta_{\mu\tau}\hat{M}_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\tau}\hat{M}_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}\hat{M}_{\mu\tau}), \quad (2)$$

$$[\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\rho] = i\hbar(\eta_{\mu\rho}\hat{x}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho}\hat{x}_\mu). \quad (3)$$

Relations (3) show that Snyder quantum space-time coordinates \hat{x}_μ describe a Lorentz-covariant four-vector. Using the Compton length formula, $l = \frac{\hbar}{Mc}$, after setting $c = 1$ in relation (1), it leads to the following form²

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i \frac{\hbar}{M^2} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where M is an elementary mass. If we introduce

$$\hat{M}_{4\mu} = M \hat{x}_\mu, \quad (5)$$

one can describe the relations (2-4) as providing $D = 4$ de Sitter algebra

$$[\hat{M}_{AB}, \hat{M}_{CD}] = i\hbar(\eta_{AC}\hat{M}_{BD} - \eta_{AD}\hat{M}_{BC} + \eta_{BD}\hat{M}_{AC} - \eta_{BC}\hat{M}_{AD}), \quad (6)$$

with $A, B, = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Originally, the Snyder model (2-4) was solved by adding to the Snyder quantum space-time \hat{x}_μ the commuting four-momenta p_μ , what leads to the description of Snyder quantum phase space [17], [18]. In such a case the generators $\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}$ can be expressed in terms of the quantum phase space coordinates (\hat{x}_μ, p_μ) , which after the use of relations (2-4) and Jacobi identities lead to the set of quantum-deformed Lorentz-covariant Heisenberg algebras [18–20].

In this paper we will investigate an alternative class of models, with generators $\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}$ satisfying eqs. (2-4), which define³ ten-dimensional independent algebraic basis for the Snyder models. Such models were studied in the last twenty years and were named alternative [10] or extended [11–16] Snyder models. They were solved perturbatively in terms of tensorial canonical quantum phase space coordinates $(x_{AB}; p_{AB}) \equiv (x_\mu, x_{\mu\nu}; p_\mu, p_{\mu\nu})$, where ⁴

$$[x_{AB}, x_{CD}] = [p_{AB}, p_{CD}] = 0, \quad [x_{AB}, p_{CD}] = i\hbar(\eta_{AC}\eta_{BD} - \eta_{AD}\eta_{BC}). \quad (7)$$

The novelty of this paper is to use, as perturbative solutions, the algebraic \hbar -power series and provide the interpretation of zero-th order terms x_{AB} as representing Nambu-Goldstone (NG) modes which

¹ For simplicity we put $c = 1$, because our paper is not aimed at the consideration of relativistic corrections, characterized by inverse powers of c . We stress, however, that the quantum nature of the model considered here is underlined by taking into consideration the explicit dependence on the Planck constant \hbar , in agreement with the historic formulation of the Snyder model [17].

² If $c = 1$, the length l and the mass M are related by the "quantum" mass-length relation $l = \hbar/M$, see e.g. [2].

³ We will consider the most physical $D = 4$ case, but the results can be extended in a straightforward way to $D \geq 2$, with the Snyder algebras spanned by generators of $\hat{o}(D, 1)$.

⁴ In our earlier papers (see e.g. [12], [14], [21]) we used the tensorial canonical algebra, but we did not expose its explicit \hbar -dependence. In our earlier papers [11–16] we considered the Heisenberg algebra relations (7) with $\hbar = 1$.

describe the appearance of spontaneous symmetry breaking of $D = 4$ de Sitter symmetry. One can study the following two particular choices:

i) $x_\mu \neq 0, x_{\mu\nu} = 0$. In such a case the Lorentz symmetry is not broken, but the NG modes are present in the coset $\hat{O}(4, 1)/\hat{O}(3, 1)$ and describe the curved de Sitter translations [22].

ii) $x_\mu = 0, x_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$. This case corresponds to spontaneously broken Lorentz symmetries, see e.g. [4, 8, 9, 23]. The canonically conjugated generalized momenta p_{AB} can be introduced by the Lorentz-covariant differential realizations of the tensorial Heisenberg algebra (7)

$$p_{AB} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{AB}} \iff p_\mu = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad p_{\mu\nu} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (8)$$

The plan of this paper is the following:

In sect. 2 we introduce the \hbar -expansion of the quantum Snyder algebra generators. The canonical generator coordinates $x_{AB} = (x_\mu, x_{\mu\nu})$ are determined by the classical \hbar -independent part of the \hbar -expansion,

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu^{(cl)} + \hat{x}_\mu^{(q)}, \quad \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} = x_{\mu\nu}^{(cl)} + \hat{x}_{\mu\nu}^{(q)}, \quad (9)$$

where $x_\mu^{(cl)} = x_\mu$ and $x_{\mu\nu}^{(cl)} = x_{\mu\nu}$ describe the zero-th order in the \hbar -power expansion. In the quantum formulation of the model, if we introduce the normalized vacuum state $|0\rangle$ ($\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$), satisfying

$$\hat{x}_\mu^{(q)}|0\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{x}_{\mu\nu}^{(q)}|0\rangle = 0 \quad (10)$$

we get the following order parameters, which break spontaneously the $D = 4$ de Sitter symmetry

$$x_\mu = \langle 0|\hat{x}_\mu|0\rangle, \quad x_{\mu\nu} = \langle 0|\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}|0\rangle. \quad (11)$$

In sect. 3 we apply our method to $D = 4$ Yang model (see e.g. [24]-[27]), described by fifteen generators of $D = 5$ dS algebra $\hat{o}(5, 1)$ ($K, L = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$)

$$\hat{M}_{KL} = \left(\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{M}_{4\mu} = M\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{M}_{\mu 5} = R\hat{q}_\mu, \hat{M}_{45} = MR\hat{r} \right) \quad (12)$$

which provide $D = 4$ Lorentz-covariant quantum-deformed relativistic Heisenberg algebra with two deformation parameters (M, R) (of length dimensions $[M] = L^{-1}, [R] = L$) and dimensionless scalar Abelian $\hat{o}(2)$ generator \hat{r} . In general case one can introduce in Yang model the following fifteen Abelian NG modes, which break spontaneously $\hat{o}(5, 1)$ symmetry ($x_{KL} = -x_{LK}$)

$$x_{KL} = (x_{\mu\nu}, x_\mu, q_\mu, r). \quad (13)$$

In order to solve the Yang model by using perturbative \hbar -expansion one should introduce fifteen canonically conjugated NG momenta

$$p_{KL} = (p_{\mu\nu}, p_\mu, k_\mu, s) \quad (14)$$

satisfying the canonical commutation relations (7), with the additional relations

$$[q_\mu, k_\nu] = i\hbar\eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad [r, s] = i\hbar. \quad (15)$$

Using the variables (13, 14) we will present the lowest order perturbative solution of Yang model in the first order of \hbar .

In the last sect. 4 we will present briefly an outlook and final remarks.

II. QUANTUM PERTURBATIVE SOLUTIONS OF EXTENDED SNYDER MODEL WITH SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING

We firstly apply the scheme of perturbative \hbar -expansions to the extended Snyder model (see, e.g. (1-5)), with the algebra described by $\hat{o}(4, 1)$ generators $\hat{M}_{AB} = (\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{M}_{4\mu} = M\hat{x}_\mu)$. We expand the generators \hat{M}_{AB} in the following \hbar -power series:

$$\hat{M}_{AB} = M_{AB}^{(0)} + \hbar\hat{M}_{AB}^{(1)} + \hbar^2\hat{M}_{AB}^{(2)} + \dots \quad (16)$$

where

$$M_{AB}^{(0)} \equiv M_{AB}^{(cl)} = \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \hat{M}_{AB} = \langle 0 | \hat{M}_{AB} | 0 \rangle \quad (17)$$

or equivalently (see (10))

$$M_{AB}^{(0)} \equiv x_{AB} = (x_{\mu\nu}, Mx_\mu) \quad (18)$$

where x_{AB} are the Abelian order parameters parametrizing the set of degenerate, spontaneously broken vacuum states.

One can deduce from the relations (1-5) the iterated set of algebraic equations determining the perturbative quantum terms $\hat{M}_{AB}^{(n)}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) as functions of x_{AB} , describing Nambu-Goldstone (NG) degrees of freedom and dual momenta p_{AB} , which satisfy the generalized canonical quantum phase space relations (7).

α) perturbative \hbar -expansion: first order in \hbar

From relation (6) one gets:

$$\left[x_{AB}, \hat{M}_{CD}^{(1)} \right] + \left[\hat{M}_{AB}^{(1)}, x_{CD} \right] = i(\eta_{AC}x_{BD} - \eta_{AD}x_{BC} - \eta_{BC}x_{AD} + \eta_{BD}x_{AC}) \quad (19)$$

where $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ and relations (3,4) lead to

$$\left[x_{\mu\nu}, \hat{M}_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \right] - \left[x_{\rho\sigma}, \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right] = i(\eta_{\mu\rho}x_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}x_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}x_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma}x_{\mu\rho}), \quad (20)$$

$$\left[x_\mu, \hat{M}_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \right] - \left[x_{\rho\sigma}, \hat{x}_\mu^{(1)} \right] = i(\eta_{\mu\sigma}x_\rho - \eta_{\mu\rho}x_\sigma), \quad (21)$$

$$\left[x_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu^{(1)} \right] - \left[x_\nu, \hat{x}_\mu^{(1)} \right] = \frac{i}{M^2}x_{\mu\nu}. \quad (22)$$

In order to solve the relations (19-22) we employ the generalized momenta $p_{AB} = (p_\mu, p_{\mu\nu})$ (see (7)). From (20) and (21) one can obtain a particular solution, given by⁵

$$\hbar\hat{M}_{\mu\nu;S}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(x_\mu{}^\rho p_{\nu\rho} - x_\nu{}^\rho p_{\mu\rho}) + x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu \quad (23)$$

⁵ Subindex S denotes the Snyder case. In (23,24) the factor \hbar on the left hand side reflects the proportionality of quantum-mechanical momenta to \hbar (see (8,9), (15)).

and in consistency with (22)

$$\hbar \hat{x}_{\mu;S}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2M^2} x_{\mu\rho} p^\rho. \quad (24)$$

The general first order solution depends on one free parameter [12] and can be obtained by a suitable choice of similarity transformations of the particular solutions (23,24).

β) perturbative \hbar -expansion: second order in \hbar

The second order counterpart of relation (19) looks as follows:

$$\left[x_{AB}, \hat{M}_{CD}^{(2)} \right] - \left[x_{CD}, \hat{M}_{AB}^{(2)} \right] + \left[\hat{M}_{AB}^{(1)}, \hat{M}_{CD}^{(1)} \right] = i(\eta_{AC} \hat{M}_{BD}^{(1)} + \eta_{BD} \hat{M}_{AC}^{(1)} - \eta_{BC} \hat{M}_{AD}^{(1)} - \eta_{AD} \hat{M}_{BC}^{(1)}) \quad (25)$$

which leads to:

$$\left[x_{\mu\nu}, \hat{M}_{\rho\sigma}^{(2)} \right] - \left[x_{\rho\sigma}, \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} \right] = i(\eta_{\mu\rho} \hat{M}_{\nu\sigma}^{(1)} + \eta_{\nu\sigma} \hat{M}_{\mu\rho}^{(1)} - \eta_{\nu\rho} \hat{M}_{\mu\sigma}^{(1)} - \eta_{\mu\sigma} \hat{M}_{\nu\rho}^{(1)}) - \left[\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}, \hat{M}_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \right], \quad (26)$$

$$\left[x_\mu, \hat{M}_{\rho\sigma}^{(2)} \right] - \left[x_{\rho\sigma}, \hat{x}_\mu^{(2)} \right] = i(\eta_{\mu\sigma} \hat{x}_\rho^{(1)} - \eta_{\mu\rho} \hat{x}_\sigma^{(1)}) - \left[\hat{x}_\mu^{(1)}, \hat{M}_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \right], \quad (27)$$

$$\left[x_\mu, \hat{x}_\sigma^{(2)} \right] - \left[x_\sigma, \hat{x}_\mu^{(2)} \right] = \frac{i}{M^2} \hat{M}_{\mu\sigma}^{(1)} - \left[\hat{x}_\mu^{(1)}, \hat{x}_\sigma^{(1)} \right]. \quad (28)$$

Substituting in (26-28) the solutions (23,24) one gets the particular solution, in the second order of \hbar :

$$\hbar^2 \hat{M}_{\mu\nu;S}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{12} (x_{\mu\rho} p^{\rho\sigma} p_{\nu\sigma} - x_{\nu\rho} p^{\rho\sigma} p_{\mu\sigma} - 2x^{\rho\sigma} p_{\mu\rho} p_{\nu\sigma}), \quad (29)$$

$$\hbar^2 \hat{x}_{\mu;S}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{M^2} \left(x_\rho p^\rho p_\mu + \frac{1}{4} (x_{\mu\rho} p_{\rho\sigma} p_\sigma + x^{\rho\sigma} p_\rho p_{\mu\sigma}) \right). \quad (30)$$

General solutions in the second \hbar -order can be obtained from the formulae (29,30) by performing suitable similarity transformations. One can also show that, in the perturbative n -th order of \hbar , the solutions $(\hat{x}_{\mu;S}^{(n)}, \hat{M}_{\mu\nu;S}^{(n)})$ are n -linear in momenta $p_\mu, p_{\mu\nu}$ (see also [12], [14]).

III. QUANTUM PERTURBATIVE SOLUTIONS OF YANG MODEL AND SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING OF $\hat{o}(5,1)$ ($D=5$ DS ALGEBRA)

Yang model was obtained [24] as a group-theoretic extension of the extended Snyder model. By adding to $\hat{o}(4,1)$ a fifth space coordinate one gets the generators (12) where the generators $(\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{q}_\mu, \hat{r})$ describe the quantum deformed $D=4$ Heisenberg algebra.

In Yang model we extend the relations (2-4) by the following set of algebraic equations⁶

$$[\hat{q}_\mu, \hat{q}_\nu] = i \frac{\hbar}{R^2} \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (31)$$

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{q}_\nu] = i \frac{\hbar}{MR} \eta_{\mu\nu} \hat{M}_{45}, \quad \hat{M}_{45} = MR \cdot \hat{r}, \quad (32)$$

$$[\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{q}_\rho] = i \hbar (\eta_{\nu\rho} \hat{q}_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} \hat{q}_\nu), \quad (33)$$

$$[\hat{r}, \hat{x}_\mu] = \frac{i\hbar}{M^2} \hat{q}_\mu, \quad (34)$$

$$[\hat{r}, \hat{q}_\mu] = -\frac{i\hbar}{R^2} \hat{x}_\mu \quad (35)$$

where $\hat{q}_\mu = q_\mu^{(cl)} + \hat{q}_\mu^{(q)}$, $\hat{r} = r^{(cl)} + \hat{r}^{(q)}$. We obtain the first order \hbar -approximation of the algebraic solutions of Yang model if in the \hbar -expansions of the solutions (2-4) and (31-35) we consider the linear \hbar -terms. Besides (20-22) one gets

$$[q_\mu, \hat{q}_\nu^{(1)}] - [q_\nu, \hat{q}_\mu^{(1)}] = \frac{i}{R^2} x_{\mu\nu}, \quad (36)$$

$$[x_\mu, \hat{q}_\nu^{(1)}] - [q_\nu, \hat{x}_\mu^{(1)}] = ir \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (r \equiv \hat{r}^{(0)}), \quad (37)$$

$$[x_{\mu\nu}, \hat{q}_\rho^{(1)}] + [\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}, q_\rho] = i (\eta_{\nu\rho} q_\mu - \eta_{\mu\rho} q_\nu), \quad (38)$$

$$[r, \hat{x}_\mu^{(1)}] + [\hat{r}^{(1)}, x_\mu] = \frac{i}{M^2} q_\mu, \quad (39)$$

$$[r, \hat{q}_\mu^{(1)}] + [\hat{r}^{(1)}, q_\mu] = -\frac{i}{R^2} x_\mu. \quad (40)$$

For the extended Snyder model, in the first order, we obtained the formulas (23,24). In Yang model, due to the presence of additional coordinates (q_μ, r) and momenta (k_μ, s) (see (13,14)) one should extend the formulae (23,24) by terms which are linear in momenta (k_μ, s) (see (14)) as follows:

$$\hbar \hat{M}_{\mu\nu;Y}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} (x_\mu{}^\rho p_{\nu\rho} - x_\nu{}^\rho p_{\mu\rho}) + x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu - q_\mu k_\nu + q_\nu k_\mu, \quad (41)$$

$$\hbar \hat{x}_{\mu;Y}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2M^2} x_{\mu\rho} p^\rho + a x_{\mu\rho} k^\rho + b r k_\mu + c q_\mu s, \quad (42)$$

where a, b, c are numerical constants, and add further formulae:

$$\hbar \hat{q}_\mu^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2R^2} x_{\mu\rho} k^\rho + \tilde{a} x_{\mu\rho} p^\rho + \tilde{b} r p_\mu + \tilde{c} x_\mu s, \quad (43)$$

$$\hbar \hat{r}^{(1)} = d q^\rho p_\rho + f x^\rho k_\rho, \quad (44)$$

⁶ In Yang model we denote curved noncommutative momenta by \hat{q}_μ , where $\hat{q}_\mu^{(0)} = q_\mu$ describes their classical commutative limit. The canonically dual coordinates are k_μ (see (15)) which are different from x_μ .

introducing additional five numerical constants $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, d$ and f . The equations (36-40) impose the following constraints on eight parameters in (42-44):

$$a + \tilde{a} = 0, \quad \tilde{b} = b + 1, \quad c - d = \frac{1}{M^2}, \quad \tilde{c} - f = -\frac{1}{R^2} \quad (45)$$

and imply absence in formulae (42-44) of terms proportional to $p_{\mu\nu}$. We see therefore that the solutions of equations (36-40) linear in \hbar contain four unconstrained numerical parameters a, b, c, f .

The above calculation can be extended to higher orders in \hbar , what will be presented in subsequent publications.

IV. OUTLOOK AND FINAL REMARKS

In this paper we underlined the quantum nature of Snyder and Yang models by taking into consideration their explicit dependence on Planck constant \hbar , in agreement with the first historical formulations of both models [17], [24]. By considering \hbar as an expansion parameter in the perturbative formulations we were able, by considering zero order \hbar -independent terms, to interpret the generalized tensorial coordinates, introduced recently in our papers (see e.g. [12]-[16], [21]) as providing the effect of spontaneous symmetry breaking of $D = 4$ and $D = 5$ de Sitter algebras.

Our considerations can be applied also to the generalizations and modifications of the models considered here, in particular:

- i) to κ -deformed extended Snyder models [11], [14], [28] obtained by adding to the deformation parameter M the second parameter κ with mass-like dimension in a way which leads in the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ to the well-known κ -deformed quantum Minkowski space-time (see e.g. [29], [30]).
- ii) Snyder and Yang models are obtained by quantum group-theoretic constructions, exploiting the $D = 4$ and $D = 5$ de Sitter algebras. However, Yang-like models, describing quantum deformed Lorentz covariant phase spaces were introduced as well by other algebraic methods, based, e.g. on the use of Jacobi identities (see e.g. [31], [32]), [33]).
- iii) In the Hopf-algebraic framework of quantum groups the generalized quantum phase spaces can be obtained by the Heisenberg double algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{H} \times \tilde{\mathbb{H}}$, where \mathbb{H} describes quantum-deformed Hopf symmetry algebra, $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}$ is the dual quantum Hopf group, and \times represents the so-called smash product (see e.g. [34]). In such a scheme the Planck constant \hbar is introduced in the dualization procedure⁷.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J. Lukierski and A. Pachoł were supported by Polish NCN grant 2017/27/B/ST2/01902. A. Pachoł thanks the Institute of Theoretical Physics University of Wrocław for the hospitality during the scientific visit. S. Mignemi and A. Pachoł acknowledge the support of the European Cooperation in Science and Technology COST Action CA18108. S. Mignemi acknowledges support of Gruppo

⁷ The Heisenberg double algebra for extended $D = 4$ Snyder model has been explicitly calculated in [13].

Nazionale di Fisica Matematica.

- [1] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, “Quantum Field Theory,” McGraw-Hill, 1980,
- [2] S. J. Brodsky and P. Hoyer, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 045026 (2011)
- [3] J. Goldstone, A. Salam and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **127**, 965-970 (1962)
- [4] D. V. Volkov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **4**, 1 (1973)
- [5] E. A. Ivanov and V. I. Ogievetsky, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **25**, 164-177 (1975)
- [6] I. Low and A. V. Manohar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 101602 (2002)
- [7] Y. Nambu, *J. Statist. Phys.* **115**, no.1/2, 7-17 (2004)
- [8] R. Bluhm and V. A. Kostelecky, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 065008 (2005)
- [9] T. Brauner and H. Watanabe, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, no.8, 085004 (2014)
- [10] F. Girelli and E. R. Livine, *JHEP* **03**, 132 (2011)
- [11] S. Meljanac and S. Mignemi, *Phys. Lett. B* **814**, 136117 (2021)
- [12] S. Meljanac and S. Mignemi, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 126011 (2020)
- [13] S. Meljanac and A. Pachol, *Symmetry* **13**, no.6, 1055 (2021)
- [14] S. Meljanac and S. Mignemi, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.8, 086006 (2021)
- [15] J. Lukierski, S. Meljanac, S. Mignemi and A. Pachol, arXiv:2208.06712 [hep-th].
- [16] S. Meljanac and S. Mignemi, arXiv:2208.10242 [quant-ph].
- [17] H. S. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 38-41 (1947)
- [18] M. V. Battisti and S. Meljanac, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 024028 (2010)
- [19] M. V. Battisti and S. Meljanac, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 067505 (2009)
- [20] S. Mignemi, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **24**, no.6, 1550043 (2015)
- [21] S. Meljanac, T. Martinić–Bilać and S. Krešić–Jurić, *J. Math. Phys.* **61**, no.5, 051705 (2020)
- [22] B. Zumino, *Nucl. Phys. B* **127**, 189-201 (1977)
- [23] V. A. Kostelecky and R. Potting, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 065018 (2009)
- [24] C.N. Yang, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1947), 874
- [25] H.Y. Guo, C. G. Huang, H.T. Wu, *Phys. Lett. B* **663** (2008), 270
- [26] J.J. Heckman, H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys. B* **894** (2015), 58
- [27] G. Manolakos, P. Manousseks, G. Zoupanos, *JHEP* **08** (2020), 001
- [28] S. Meljanac, D. Meljanac, A. Samsarov and M. Stojic, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011), 065009
- [29] S. Majid, H. Ruegg, *Phys. Lett.* **B334** (1994), 348
- [30] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg, W.J. Zakrzewski, *Ann. of Physics* **243** (1995), 90
- [31] J. Kowalski-Glikman and L. Smolin, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004), 065020
- [32] S. Meljanac and S. Mignemi, arXiv:2206.04772 [quant-ph].
- [33] S. Meljanac and S. Mignemi, arXiv:2211.11755 [gr-qc].
- [34] A. Borowiec and A. Pachol, *SIGMA* **6** (2010), 086