

AN EXPONENTIAL RAREFICATION RESULT FOR SUB-GAUSSIAN REAL ALGEBRAIC MAXIMAL CURVES

TURGAY BAYRAKTAR¹ AND EMEL KARACA²

ABSTRACT. We prove that maximal real algebraic curves associated with sub-gaussian random real holomorphic sections of a smoothly curved ample line bundle are exponentially rare. This generalizes the result of Gayet and Welschinger [GW] proved in the Gaussian case for positively curved real holomorphic line bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a projective manifold with non-empty real locus $\mathbf{R}X$ and $\pi : (L, c_L) \rightarrow (X, c_X)$ be a real holomorphic line bundle. The latter means that $\pi : L \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic line bundle and the anti-holomorphic involutions c_X and c_L satisfy $c_X \circ \pi = \pi \circ c_L$. We denote the vector space of global holomorphic sections of the tensor power $L^{\otimes n}$ by $H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$ which is of finite complex dimension d_n . We also let

$$\mathbf{R}H^0(X, L^{\otimes n}) := \{s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes n}) : c_L \circ s = s \circ c_X\}$$

be the space of real sections whose real dimension is d_n . The discriminant locus (respectively, its real part) will be denoted by $\Delta_n \subset H^0(X, L^n)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{R}\Delta_n$) that are global holomorphic sections with singular zero locus. For $s \in H^0(X, L^n)$ we let $Z_s = s^{-1}(0)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{R}Z_s$) be its complex (respectively real) zero locus of s . Note that for $s \in H^0(X, L^n) \setminus \Delta_n$ the hypersurface Z_s is a complex manifold. For $s \in \mathbf{R}H^0(X, L^{\otimes n}) \setminus \mathbf{R}\Delta_n$ we denote the number of connected components of the real zero locus by $b_0(\mathbf{R}Z_s)$.

Let $h = e^{-\varphi}$ be a C^2 Hermitian metric on L that is compatible with the real structure. In this geometric setting, one can define (see [Ber]) the *global extremal weight* φ_e by

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_e := \sup\{\psi \text{ is a psh weight} : \psi \leq \varphi \text{ on } X\}.$$

It follows that φ_e induces a singular Hermitian metric $h_e := e^{-\varphi_e}$ on L . Moreover, the metric h_e is of class $C^{1,1}$ that is the local weights are $C^{1,1}$ psh functions. We denote its curvature current by $dd^c\varphi_e$ that is a globally well-defined positive closed $(1, 1)$ current on X . Moreover, by [Ber] the *equilibrium measure*

$$\mu_{\varphi_e} := (dd^c\varphi_e)^m / m!$$

is supported on the compact set

$$S_\varphi := \overline{X_\varphi(0)} \cap D$$

Date: December 6, 2022.

T. Bayraktar is partially supported by Turkish Academy of Sciences, GEBIP grant.

T. Bayraktar and E. Karaca are partially supported by TÜBİTAK grant ARDEB-1001/119F184.

where $D := \{x \in X : \varphi(x) = \varphi_e(x)\}$ and the set

$$X_\varphi(0) := \{x \in X : dd^c\varphi(x) > 0\}.$$

The interior of the set $X_\varphi(0) \cap D$ is called the *bulk* of φ_e .

The geometric data given above allow us to define a Hermitian inner product on the vector space of *global holomorphic sections* $H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$ via

$$(1.2) \quad \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle := \int_X \langle s_1(x), s_2(x) \rangle_{h^{\otimes n}} dV$$

where dV is a fixed volume form on X . The restriction of (1.2) to $\mathbf{RH}^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$ induces an Euclidean inner product. We also denote the induced norm by $\|\cdot\|_n$.

Let $\{S_j^n\}_{j=1}^{d_n}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbf{RH}^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$ with respect to the inner product (1.2). We consider *sub-gaussian random holomorphic sections* that are of the form

$$S_n := \sum_{j=1}^{d_n} c_j^n S_j^n$$

where c_j^n are i.i.d. real sub-gaussian random variables of mean zero and unit variance on some fixed probability space (see [Bay20, §3.3] for more details). We remark that unlike Gaussian case, the probability structure in this setting depends on the choice of the orthonormal basis. Throughout this note, we assume that probability distribution of c_j is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure and hence, with probability one the real zero loci \mathbf{RZ}_s is non-singular. This definition induces a d_n -fold product probability measure $Prob_n$ on the vector space $\mathbf{RH}^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$. We also consider the product probability space

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{RH}^0(X, L^{\otimes n}), Prob_n)$$

whose elements are sequences of random real holomorphic sections. We refer the reader to the manuscripts [Bay18, Bay20] for examples of local probabilistic models.

When X is a projective surface, it follows from Harnack-Klein inequality that the number of real connected components of a non-singular real algebraic curve $b_0(\mathbf{RZ}_s)$ is bounded by $g(Z_s) + 1$. Here, $g(Z_s)$ denotes the genus of the Riemann surface Z_s and it is given by the adjunction formula $g(Z_s) = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 L^2 - nc_1(X) + 2)$, where $c_1(X)$ is first Chern class of X . For $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $a > 0$ we consider

$$(1.3) \quad M_n^a := \{s \in \mathbf{RH}^0(X, L^n) \setminus \mathbf{R}\Delta_n : b_0(\mathbf{RZ}_s) \geq g(Z_s) + 1 - an\}.$$

The set M_n^a is always non-empty for sufficiently large n (see e.g. [GW]). Gayet and Welschinger [GW, Theorem 1] proved that when (L, h) is positively curved and the random coefficients c_j^n are i.i.d. standard Gaussians, the probability of the set M_n^a decreases exponentially fast. More recently, Diatta and Lerario [DL] (see also [An]) generalized this result to higher dimensions by approximating the real zero locus of a Gaussian random real algebraic section with a lower degree one without changing its topology.

In this note, we generalize [GW, Theorem 1] in two directions: we allow merely smooth Hermitian metric on the holomorphic line bundle L and let the random coefficients c_j^n be i.i.d. continuous sub-gaussian random variables with mean zero and unit variance. More precisely, we prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a real projective surface and $L \rightarrow X$ be a real holomorphic line bundle endowed with a real C^2 metric $e^{-\varphi}$. Assume that $X_\varphi(0)$ is non-empty. Then for each $a > 0$ there exists constants $C > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Prob}_n(M_n^a) \leq C d_n e^{-d_n}$$

for $n \geq n_0$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we rely on the observation by Gayet and Welschinger [GW] that for real holomorphic sections contained in M_n^a if the corresponding normalized (complex) current of integrations along Z_{s_n} converge to a positive closed $(1,1)$ current T then it is weakly laminar outside the real locus $\mathbf{R}X$ (Proposition 4.1). In the current geometric setting, almost surely distribution of complex zeros is determined by the extremal current $dd^c \varphi_e$ (Theorem 3.3). Moreover, $dd^c \varphi_e$ is nowhere weakly laminar in the bulk (Proposition 2.5). In order to estimate the probability of the exceptional set M_n^a we use mass asymptotics together with Hanson-Wright Inequality [RV] which leads to a sharper exponential decay rate than the one obtained in [GW, Theorem 1].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Laminar currents. We begin with some definitions and basic results on laminar currents that will be useful in the sequel. Since the definitions are local we fix a domain Ω in \mathbb{C}^2 and let $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(\Omega)$ be the set of (smooth) test forms on Ω . The dual space $\mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega)$ is the set of (p, q) currents. A $(1, 1)$ current T is called *closed* if $\langle T, d\phi \rangle = 0$ for every test 1-form. We say that T is *positive* if $\langle T, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for every positive $(1, 1)$ test form. We refer the reader to the manuscript [Dem] for a detailed account of positive closed currents.

For a positive closed $(1, 1)$ current T we denote its (closed) support by $\text{supp}(T)$ and let $\|T\|$ be its trace measure and $M(T)$ be its mass norm. For example, if C is a (possibly singular) holomorphic curve in Ω then the pairing

$$(2.1) \quad \langle [C], \phi \rangle := \int_{C_{\text{reg}}} \phi \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,1}(\Omega)$$

defines a positive closed $(1, 1)$ current in Ω and called *current of integration* along C .

Definition 2.1. *A $(1, 1)$ current T is called *uniformly laminar* if for each $x \in \text{supp}(T)$ there exists an open set U biholomorphic to the unit polydisc \mathbb{D}^2 and a measurable family of holomorphic functions $f_a : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ together with a measure $d\lambda$ on the set of parameters $\mathcal{A} := \{f_a(0)\}$ such that the graphs $\Gamma_a = \{(x, f_a(x)) : x \in \mathbb{D}\}$ are pairwise disjoint and we have*

$$\langle T, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\int_{\Gamma_a} \phi \right) d\lambda(a)$$

for each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,1}(U)$.

A uniformly laminar current is necessarily positive and closed. Clearly, a current of integration of the form (2.1) is uniformly laminar. Demailly [Dem82] proved that every positive closed current supported on a \mathcal{C}^1 Levi-flat hypersurface in Ω is uniformly laminar.

For two positive closed currents T_1, T_2 we may write $T_j = dd^c u_j$ for some psh functions on Ω . We say that the exterior product $T_1 \wedge T_2$ is *admissible* if $u_1 \in L^1_{loc}(\|T_2\|)$. Note that this condition is independent of the choice of the potential u_1 for T_1 . In this case, the current $u_1 T_2$ has locally bounded mass and the exterior product

$$T_1 \wedge T_2 := dd^c(u_1 T_2)$$

is a positive measure with finite mass. We also remark that the exterior product is symmetric that is $u_1 \in L^1_{loc}(\|T_2\|)$ if and only if $u_2 \in L^1_{loc}(\|T_1\|)$ and

$$T_1 \wedge T_2 = T_2 \wedge T_1.$$

Let S_j be positive closed currents satisfying $S_j \leq T_j$ (that is $T_j - S_j$ is positive) for $j = 1, 2$. It is well-know that if $T_1 \wedge T_2$ is admissible then $S_1 \wedge S_2$ is also admissible and we have

$$S_1 \wedge S_2 \leq T_1 \wedge T_2.$$

We will need the the following result in the sequel (see [Du05, §6]):

Proposition 2.2. *Let $T = dd^c u$ for some psh u in Ω for some $u \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$. Assume that T is uniformly laminar. Then $T \wedge T = 0$ on Ω .*

Weakly laminar currents were introduced by Bedford-Lyubich-Smillie [BLS] as a natural generalization of uniformly laminar currents. They arise naturally in pluri-potential theory and complex dynamics. We refer the reader to the papers [BLS, Du] and references therein for more details.

Definition 2.3. *A positive closed $(1, 1)$ current T in Ω is called weakly laminar if for each ϵ there exists a uniformly laminar current T_ϵ on a subdomains $\Omega_\epsilon \subset \Omega$ such that $T_\epsilon \leq T$ and $\|T_\epsilon\|(\partial\Omega_\epsilon) = 0$ satisfying $M((T - T_\epsilon)|_{\Omega_\epsilon}) < \epsilon$.*

Equivalently (see [BLS]), T is weakly laminar if there exists a measurable family (\mathcal{A}, λ) of embeded holomorphic discs $D_a \subset \Omega$ such that for every pair (a, b) the overlap $D_a \cap D_b$ is either empty or an open set in the disc topology and we have

$$T = \int_{\mathcal{A}} [D_a] d\lambda(a).$$

The following are examples illustrate the difference between weakly laminar currents and uniformly laminar ones (see [Dem82] and [BLS, §5] for more details):

Example 2.4. *Let (x, y) denote coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 and*

$$u_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \log^+(|x|^2 + |y|^2)$$

$$u_2(x, y) = \log^+(\max\{|x|, |y|\})$$

where $\log^+ = \max(\log, 0)$. *It follows that the extremal currents $dd^c u_1$ and $dd^c u_2$ are weakly laminar but they are not uniformly laminar.*

Next, we observe the extremal current associated with a smooth metric does not have laminar structure in the bulk:

Proposition 2.5. *Let φ_e be the extremal metric defined by (1.1). Then $dd^c\varphi_e$ is nowhere weakly laminar on the bulk $\text{Int}(D_\varphi \cap X_\varphi(0))$.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that $T := dd^c\varphi_e$ is weakly laminar near some point $z \in \Omega := \text{Int}(D_\varphi \cap X_\varphi(0))$. Then we can find uniformly laminar currents $T_n \leq T$ on an increasing sequence of subdomains $z \in \Omega_n \subset \Omega$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad M((T - T_n)|_{\Omega_n}) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since $T_n \rightarrow T$ weakly, we may find negative psh potentials u_n (resp. u) for T_n (resp. T) such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in L^1_{loc} . Since $T_n \leq T$ the function $u - u_n$ is psh and we may assume that $u \leq u_n \leq 0$. Thus, $u_n T_n$ has locally bounded mass. Moreover, by [FS, Proposition 3.2] we have

$$T_n \wedge T_n \rightarrow T \wedge T = \mu_{\varphi_e}$$

weakly. On the other hand, by [Ber, Theorem 3.4] there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mu_{\varphi_e}(\Omega_n) = \int_{\Omega_n} \det(dd^c\varphi) dV > \delta$$

which contradicts Proposition 2.2. □

The following result give sufficient conditions for weak laminarity:

Theorem 2.6. *[de Thélin] Let $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of smooth holomorphic curves in the unit polydisc in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $g(C_n) = O(A(C_n))$, where $g(C_n)$ stands for the genus of C_n and $A(C_n)$ for its area. If $\frac{1}{A(C_n)}[C_n]$ converges to a positive closed $(1, 1)$ current T as n grows to infinity then T is weakly laminar.*

3. QUANTUM ERGODICITY FOR RANDOM HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONS

3.1. Bergman Kernel Asymptotics. Let $X, L, e^{-\varphi}$ be as in the introduction and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = m$. Recall that Bergman kernel for the Hilbert space $(H^0(X, L^{\otimes n}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is the integral kernel of the orthogonal projection from L^2 space of global sections with values in $L^{\otimes n}$ onto $H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$. Note that the Bergman kernel satisfy the reproducing property

$$s(x) = \int_X \langle s(x), K_n(x, y) \rangle_{h^{\otimes n}} dV(y)$$

for $s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$. For any orthonormal basis $\{S_j^n\}$ for $H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$ the Bergman kernel can be obtained as a smooth section

$$K_n(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_n} S_j^n(x) \otimes \overline{S_j^n(y)}$$

of the line bundle $L^{\otimes n} \otimes (L^{\otimes n})^*$ over $X \times X$. Note that this representation is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis S_j^n . The point-wise norm of the restriction of $K_n(x, y)$

to the diagonal is called the *Bergman function* given by

$$|K_n(x, x)| = \sum_{j=1}^{d_n} |S_j^n|_{h^{\otimes n}}^2.$$

We remark that $|K_n(x, x)|$ has the dimensional density property:

$$\int_X |K_n(x, x)| dV = \dim(H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})) = d_n$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.1. [Ber, Theorem 1.3] *Let K_n be the Bergman function of the Hilbert space $H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$. Then the following weak convergence of measures holds:*

$$n^{-m} K_n dV \rightarrow \mu_{\varphi_e},$$

Moreover,

$$n^{-m} K_n(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{D \cap X_\varphi(0)} \det(dd^c \varphi)(x)$$

for almost any x in X , where $X_\varphi(0)$ is the set where $dd^c \varphi > 0$.

The following result is about the weak convergence of the squared point-wise norm of the $K_n(x, y)$ (see [Ber, Theorem 1.7]):

Theorem 3.2. *Let K_n be the Bergman kernel of the Hilbert space $H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})$. Then*

$$n^{-m} |K_n(x, y)|_{k_\varphi}^2 w_n(x) \wedge w_n(y) \rightarrow \Delta \wedge \mu_\varphi,$$

as measures on $X \times X$ in the weak-star topology.

3.2. Mass Asymptotics. Let $X, L, e^{-\varphi}$ be as in the introduction. The next result indicates that for typical (in the sense of probability) of sub-gaussian holomorphic sections, the masses (respectively, normalized zero currents) are asymptotic to the equilibrium measure (respectively, the extremal current):

Theorem 3.3. [Bay20] *Let X be a projective complex manifold and $L \rightarrow X$ be a real holomorphic line bundle endowed with a real \mathcal{C}^2 metric h . Then for almost every sequence in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{RH}^0(X, L^{\otimes n}), Prob_n)$ the masses*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{d_n} |s_n(z)|_{h^{\otimes n}}^2 dV \rightarrow d\mu_{\varphi_e}$$

in the weak-star sense on S_φ . Moreover, almost surely in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{RH}^0(M, L^{\otimes n}), Prob_n)$ the normalized currents of integration

$$\frac{1}{n} [Z_{s_n}] \rightarrow dd^c \varphi_e$$

in the sense of currents.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The following result was obtained in [GW]. We provide a proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 4.1. *Let C_n be a sequence of smooth algebraic curves in X satisfying*

$$g(C_n) + 1 - b_0(\mathbf{R}C_n) = O(n).$$

Assume that the normalized currents of integration $\frac{1}{n}[C_n]$ converge weakly to a positive closed $(1,1)$ current T on X . Then T is weakly laminar on $X \setminus \mathbf{R}X$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.6, it is enough to prove that for each ball $B \subset X \setminus \mathbf{R}X$ we have

$$g(C_n \cap B) = O(A_n)$$

where $g(C_n \cap B)$ is the genus of the closed surface obtained by capping a disc at each boundary component of $C_n \cap B$ and $A_n = \text{Area}(C_n \cap B)$. To this end, first we observe that $g(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) = O(n)$. Indeed, its Euler characteristic satisfies

$$\chi(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) = \chi(C_n) - \chi(\mathbf{R}C_n) = 2 - 2g(C_n).$$

The genus of the Riemann surface $g(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n)$ is given by the formula

$$g(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) = 2b_0(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) - 2g(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) - r(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n)$$

where $r(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) = 2b_0(\mathbf{R}C_n)$ is the number of ends of Riemann surface $C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n$. By the argument on [W, page 66] we have $b_0(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) \leq 2$ which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} g(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) &= b_0(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) - \frac{1}{2}\chi(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) - \frac{1}{2}r(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) \\ &\leq 1 + g(C_n) - b_0(\mathbf{R}C_n) \\ &= O(n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the Euler characteristic

$$\chi(C_n \cap B) = 2b_0(C_n \cap B) - 2g(C_n \cap B) - r(C_n \cap B).$$

Since the genus of the orientable surface can only increase under connected sums, we see that $g(C_n \cap B) \leq g(C_n \setminus \mathbf{R}C_n) = O(n)$. Finally, since $\frac{1}{n}[C_n] \rightarrow T$ we see that for sufficiently large n we have $A(C_n \cap B) \geq cn$ for some $c > 0$ depending on T and B . Thus, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.6. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.5 the extremal current $dd^c\phi_e$ is nowhere weakly laminar in the bulk $\text{Int}(D_\varphi \cap X_\varphi(0))$. Moreover, by Theorem 4.1, if $s_n \in M_n^a$ and $\frac{1}{n}[Z_{s_n}]$ converges to T , then T is a weakly laminar on $X \setminus \mathbf{R}X$. Hence, by Theorem 3.3, for every sequence $s_n \in M_n^a$ the masses do not converge to the equilibrium measure in the weak-star topology of measures on X .

Next, observe that

$$\text{Prob}_n[s_n \in \mathbf{R}H^0(X, L^{\otimes n}) : \|s_n\|_h > d_n] \leq d_n \exp(-d_n).$$

Indeed, for $s_n := \sum_{j=1}^{d_n} c_j^n S_j^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad \text{Prob}_n[||s_n||_h > d_n] &= \text{Prob}_n\left[\sum_{j=1}^{d_n} |c_j^n|^2 > d_n^2\right] \\
&\leq \text{Prob}_n[|c_j^n|^2 > d_n \text{ for some } j] \\
&\leq 2d_n \exp(-d_n) = O(d_n \exp(-d_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $R_n := M_n^a \cap \{||s_n||_h \leq d_n\}$ and

$$\Omega_n := \{\nu_{s_n} : \text{for some } s_n \in R_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$$

where $\nu_{s_n} := \frac{1}{d_n} |s_n|_{h_n}^2 dV$ denotes the mass. By (4.1) it is enough to show that

$$\text{Prob}_n(R_n) = O(\exp(-d_n)).$$

To this end, let us consider the compact set $\Omega := \overline{\Omega_n} \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ where $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is the set of Borel measures of total mass at most one. Note that by Proposition 2.5 we have $\mu_{\phi_e} \notin \Omega$. As a consequence, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists finitely many test functions ϕ_j on $S_\phi \setminus \mathbf{R}X$ such that for each $\nu_{s_n} \in \Omega$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad \left| \frac{1}{d_n} X_n^{\phi_j}(s_n) - \int_X \phi_j d\mu_{\phi_e} \right| > \epsilon$$

where

$$X_n^{\phi_j}(s_n) = \int_X \phi_j |s_n(z)|_{h^{\otimes n}}^2 dV.$$

By identifying $s_n \in \mathbf{R}H^0(X, L^n)$ with a vector in \mathbb{R}^{d_n} and using linear algebra there exists $d_n \times d_n$ symmetric matrix $A_n^{\phi_j}$ such that

$$X_n^{\phi_j}(s_n) = \langle A_n^{\phi_j} s_n, s_n \rangle.$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^{d_n} . By [Bay20, Proposition 3.8] we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n^{\phi_j}] = \int_X \phi_j K_n(z, z) dV = \text{Tr}(T_n^{\phi_j}).$$

Then by Hanson Wright Inequality [RV, Theorem 1.1], there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad \text{Prob}_n[|X_n^{\phi_j}(S_n) - \mathbb{E}[X_n^{\phi_j}]| > t] &= \mathbb{P}_n[|S_n^T A_n^{\phi_j} S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n^T A_n^{\phi_j} S_n]| > t] \\
&\leq 2 \exp\left(-C \min\left\{\frac{t^2}{K^4 \|A_n^{\phi_j}\|_{HS}^2}, \frac{t}{K^2 \|A_n^{\phi_j}\|}\right\}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $K := \|c_j^n\|_{\psi_2} \geq 1$. Note that $\|A_n^{\phi_j}\| \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^m} |\phi_j(z)|$. Moreover, by [Bay20, Proposition 3.3] we have

$$\|A_n^{\phi_j}\|_{HS}^2 = \text{Tr}((T_n^{\phi_j})^2) = O(d_n).$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\left| \int_X \phi_j d\mu_{\phi_e} - \frac{1}{d_n} \mathbb{E}[X_n^{\phi_j}] \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for all j and sufficiently large n . Then by applying triangle inequality first and letting $t = \epsilon d_n$ in (4.3)

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Prob}_n\left[\left|\frac{1}{d_n}X_n^{\phi_j}(s_n) - \int_X \phi_j d\mu_{\varphi_\epsilon}\right| > \epsilon\right] &\leq \text{Prob}_n\left[\left|\frac{1}{d_n}X_n^{\phi_j}(s_n) - \frac{1}{d_n}\mathbb{E}[X_n^{\phi_j}]\right| > \epsilon/2\right] \\ &= O(\exp(-d_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining (4.1) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\text{Prob}_n[M_n^a] = O(d_n \exp(-d_n)).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [An] M. Ancona, Exponential rarefaction of maximal real algebraic hypersurfaces, (2020), arXiv: 2009.11951v1.
- [Bay18] T. Bayraktar, Expected number of real roots for random linear combinations of orthogonal polynomials associated with radial weights, *Potential Anal.*, 48 (2018), 459–471.
- [Bay20] T. Bayraktar. Mass equidistribution for random polynomials. *Potential Anal.*, 53 (2020), 1403–1421.
- [BLS] E. Bedford, M. Lyubich, and J. Smillie, Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . IV. The measure of maximal entropy and laminar currents, *Invent. Math.*, 112 (1993), 77–125.
- [Ber] R. J. Berman, Bergman kernels and equilibrium measures for line bundles over projective manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.*, 131(5) (2009), 1485–1524.
- [Dem82] J.-P. Demailly, Courants positifs extrêmes et conjecture de Hodge, *Invent. Math.*, 69(3) (1982), 347–374.
- [Dem] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*.
- [Th] H. de Thelin, Sur la laminarité de certains courants, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Super.*, 37 (2004), 304–311.
- [Du] R. Dujardin, Laminar currents in P^2 , *Math. Ann.*, 325 (2003), 745–765.
- [Du05] R. Dujardin, Structure properties of laminar currents on P^2 , *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 15(1) (2005), 25–47.
- [DL] D. N. Diatta and A. Lerario, Low degree approximation of random polynomials, *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 22 (2022), 77–97.
- [FS] J. E. Fornæss and N. Sibony, Oka’s inequality for currents and applications, *Math. Ann.*, 301 (1995), no. 3, 399–419.
- [GW] D. Gayet and J.-Y. Welschinger, Exponential rarefaction of real curves with many components, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 113 (2011), 69–96.
- [RV] M. Rudelson and R. Vershynin, Hanson-Wright inequality and sub-Gaussian concentration, *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 82(9) (2013).
- [Su] D. Sullivan, Cycles for the dynamical study of foliated manifolds and complex manifolds, *Invent. Math.*, 36 (1976), 225–255.
- [W] G. Wilson, Hilbert’s sixteenth problem, *Topology*, 17 (1978), 53–73.

¹FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND NATURAL SCIENCES, SABANCI UNIVERSITY, İSTANBUL, 34956 TURKEY
Email address: tbayraktar@sabanciuniv.edu.tr

²POLATLI FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, ANKARA HACI BAYRAM VELI UNIVERSITY, ANKARA, 06900 TURKEY
Email address: emel.karaca@hbv.edu.tr