

Classification of Minimal Immersions of Conformally Flat 3-tori and 4-tori into Spheres by the First Eigenfunctions

Ying Lü Peng Wang Zhenxiao Xie

Abstract

This paper is devoted to the study of minimal immersions of flat n -tori into spheres, especially those immersed by the first eigenfunctions (such immersion is called λ_1 -minimal immersion), which also play important roles in spectral geometry. It is known that there are only two non-congruent λ_1 -minimal 2-tori in spheres, which are both flat. For higher dimensional case, the Clifford n -torus in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} might be the only known example in the literature. In this paper, by discussing the general construction of homogeneous minimal flat n -tori in spheres, we construct many new examples of λ_1 -minimal flat 3-tori and 4-tori. In contrast to the rigidity in the case of 2-tori, we show that there exists a 2-parameter family of non-congruent λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori. It turns out that the examples we constructed exhaust all λ_1 -minimal immersions of conformally flat 3-tori and 4-tori in spheres. The classification involves some detailed investigations of shortest vectors in lattices, which can also be used to solve the Berger's problem on flat 3-tori and 4-tori. The dilation-invariant functional $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ about the first eigenvalue is proved to have maximal value among all flat 3-tori and 4-tori.

Keywords: Minimal immersions; First eigenvalue; Conformally flat tori; Lattices; Berger's problem
MSC(2000): 53A30, 53B25, 53B30

1 Introduction

The study of minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds into spheres is an interesting topic in differential geometry. It builds a deep link between the spectral theory and minimal submanifold theory. The famous theorem of Takahashi [28] states that the isometric immersion $x : (M^n, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^m$ is minimal, if and only if the coordinate functions of x are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian with respect to the eigenvalue n .

Given a closed Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , we denote the volume and the first eigenvalues of (M^n, g) respectively by $V(g)$ and $\lambda_1(g)$. The dilation-invariant functional

$$\mathcal{L}_1(g) \triangleq \lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

on the set of all smooth Riemannian metrics is a basic functional considered in spectral theory. It shows in [9] that the critical metric of $\mathcal{L}_1(g)$ among all smooth Riemannian metrics on M^n (called λ_1 -critical metric) admits an isometric minimal immersion of M^n in spheres by the first eigenfunctions (called λ_1 -minimal immersion).

Moreover, it was proved by Hersch [12] that on the topological 2-sphere, among all smooth Riemannian metrics, 8π is the maximal value of $\mathcal{L}_1(g)$, which can only be attained by the standard metric. In 1973, Berger [1] obtained the maximal value of $\mathcal{L}_1(g)$ on the topological 2-torus among all flat metrics. This

value is attained by the λ_1 -minimal immersion of the equilateral 2-torus in \mathbb{S}^5 . Since then, finding the uniform upper bound of $\mathcal{L}_1(g)$ among all smooth metrics is referred as *Berger's problem*. By introducing the conformal volume, Li and Yau [18] solved the case of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ in 1982, whose upper bound is attained by the λ_1 -minimal immersion of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ in \mathbb{S}^4 (i.e., Veronese surface). Due to also the work of El Soufi and Ilias [9], on any closed manifold of dimension n , Li-Yau's conformal volume can be used to provide an upper bound for $\mathcal{L}_1(g)$ in the conformal class $[g]$. In the mean time, they also show that for the conformal manifold $(M^n, [g])$ admitting a λ_1 -minimal immersion in spheres, the volume of such λ_1 -minimal immersion is exactly equal to the conformal volume. Therefore it realizes the supremum for $\mathcal{L}_1(g)$ in $[g]$. Furthermore, for a given conformal manifold, Montiel-Ros [21] and El Soufi-Ilias [9] proved there exists at most one λ_1 -minimal metric in the conformal class. Combining this with the existence of λ_1 -maximal metric, Nadirashvili finally solved the Berger's problem completely for the topological 2-torus in [22]. We point out that for the case of Klein bottle, the Berger's problem has also been solved due to the work of Nadirashvili [22], Jakobson-Nadirashvili-Polterovich [14] and El Soufi-Giacomini-Jazar [7], where one λ_1 -minimal immersion of the Klein bottle is presented (see [13], [14]). For surfaces of higher genus, we refer to [15, 19, 24] and references therein. In contrast to the dimension 2, Colbois and Dodziuk proved in [4] that there is no uniform bound for the functional $\mathcal{L}_1(g)$ on any closed manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. This implies that one might consider the Berger's problem restricting to a given conformal class (see [10, 16, 25] and reference therein), for which the investigation of λ_1 -minimal immersions of higher dimensional manifolds into spheres plays an important role.

In the literature, there have been several known classes of λ_1 -minimal submanifolds in spheres. A famous conjecture of Yau states that any closed embedded minimal hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} is λ_1 -minimal. Due to the work of Muto-Onita-Urakawa [20] and Tang-Yan [29] on this conjecture, we know all isoparametric hypersurfaces and some ones of their focal submanifolds form a class of λ_1 -minimal submanifolds in spheres. Another class of examples is due to Takahashi [28], who proved that for any positive integer k , up to a dilation of the metric, any compact irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifold can be immersed minimally into a certain sphere by the k -th eigenfunctions (we call it λ_k -minimal immersion for short). Later, the case of sphere equipped with the constantly curved metric was investigated in detail by Do Carmo and Wallach [6]. It was proved that when $n \geq 3$, the linearly full λ_k -minimal immersion of n -sphere has rigidity if and only if $k \leq 3$. Moreover, they also proved that the immersion will span the full k -eigenspace when $k \leq 3$. In this paper, we will show that these two properties do not hold for minimal flat tori of dimension 4, even for the case of λ_1 -minimal immersion. To be precise, we construct a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{11} , which has rigidity but does not span the whole eigenspace (see Example 4.9). Furthermore, a 2-parameter family of non-congruent λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{23} is also constructed, among which there is a 1-parameter family living in \mathbb{S}^{15} , neither rigid nor fully-spanning the eigenspace (see Example 1.1, Proposition 6.3 and Remark 6.4).

Due to the work of Kenmotsu [17] and Bryant [2], we know all minimal flat 2-tori in spheres are homogeneous. Let Λ_n denote a lattice of rank n . In [2], Bryant proved that a flat torus $T^2 = \mathbb{R}/\Lambda_2$ admits minimal immersions in spheres, if and only if the Gram matrix of Λ_2 is rational (i.e., all entries are rational numbers) up to some dilation. This implies there are infinite non-congruent minimal flat 2-tori in spheres. But among them, there are only two λ_1 -minimal ones: the Clifford 2-torus in \mathbb{S}^3 , and the equilateral 2-torus in \mathbb{S}^5 . This classification is due to the work of Montiel-Ros [21] and El Soufi-Ilias [9].

In contrast to the plentiful results on dimension 2 in the literature, minimal flat tori of higher dimension haven't been investigated so much, especially for those λ_1 -minimal ones. As far as we know, the Clifford n -torus in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} is the only known λ_1 -minimal example (see [23]). In this paper, we construct five non-congruent λ_1 -minimal flat 3-tori, a 2-parameter family and another sixteen non-congruent λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori in spheres. It turns out that these examples exhaust all non-congruent λ_1 -minimal immersions of

conformally flat 3-tori and 4-tori into spheres, see Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.5. The classifications are listed in the following two tables (for the definition of irreducible and reducible see Section 4).

\mathbb{S}^n	Total Numbers	Reducible	Irreducible	Examples	$\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$
\mathbb{S}^5	1	1	0	E.g. 4.2	$4\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^7	2	1	1	E.g. 4.2, 4.3	$\frac{4\sqrt[3]{4}}{\sqrt[3]{3}}\pi^2, 3\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^9	1	0	1	E.g. 4.4	$\frac{8\sqrt[3]{2}}{\sqrt[3]{9}}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^{11}	1	0	1	E.g. 4.5	$4\sqrt[3]{2}\pi^2$

Table 1: The classification of λ_1 -minimal immersions of conformally flat 3-tori

\mathbb{S}^n	Total Numbers	Reducible	Irreducible	Examples	$\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$
\mathbb{S}^7	2	1	1	E.g. 4.6, E.g. 1.1	$4\pi^2, 4\sqrt{2}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^9	3	2	1	E.g. 4.6, 4.7	$\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{3}}\pi^2, 2\sqrt[4]{27}\pi^2, \frac{16}{\sqrt[4]{125}}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^{11}	4	2	2	E.g. 4.6, 4.8, 4.9	$\frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}\pi^2, \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}\pi^2, 2\sqrt{6}\pi^2, \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{27}}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^{13}	3	1	2	E.g. 4.6, 4.10, 4.11	$4\sqrt[4]{2}\pi^2, \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{27}}\pi^2, \frac{4\sqrt[4]{26\sqrt{13}-70}}{\sqrt{3}}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^{15}	1-family & 2	0	1-family & 2	E.g. 1.1, 4.12, 4.13	$4\sqrt{2}\pi^2, \frac{4\sqrt[4]{8}}{\sqrt[4]{3}}\pi^2, \frac{4\sqrt[4]{8\sqrt{3}+12}}{\sqrt{3}}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^{17}	2	0	2	E.g. 4.14, 4.15	$\frac{16}{3}\pi^2, 4\sqrt[4]{3}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^{19}	1	0	1	E.g. 4.16	$\frac{8}{\sqrt[4]{5}}\pi^2$
\mathbb{S}^{23}	2-family	0	2-family	E.g. 1.1	$4\sqrt{2}\pi^2$

Table 2: The classification of λ_1 -minimal immersions of conformally flat 4-tori

In the above table, only Example 1.1 describes a continuous family of non-rigid λ_1 -minimal 4-tori as mentioned before.

Example 1.1. Denote by $\{e_i\}$ the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^4 . The flat 4-torus

$$T^4 = \mathbb{R}^4 / \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e_1 - e_4, e_2 - e_4, e_3 - e_4, 2e_4\}$$

admits a 2-parameter family of non-congruent λ_1 -minimal immersions in \mathbb{S}^{23} given as follows:

$$\left(a_1 e^{i\pi(u_1+u_2+u_3+u_4)}, a_1 e^{i\pi(u_1+u_2-u_3-u_4)}, a_1 e^{i\pi(u_1-u_2+u_3-u_4)}, a_1 e^{i\pi(-u_1+u_2+u_3-u_4)}, \right. \\ \left. a_2 e^{i\pi(u_1+u_2+u_3-u_4)}, a_2 e^{i\pi(u_1+u_2-u_3+u_4)}, a_2 e^{i\pi(u_1-u_2+u_3+u_4)}, a_2 e^{i\pi(u_1-u_2-u_3-u_4)}, \right. \\ \left. a_3 e^{2i\pi u_1}, a_3 e^{2i\pi u_2}, a_3 e^{2i\pi u_3}, a_3 e^{2i\pi u_4} \right),$$

where $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$ and $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = \frac{1}{4}$. See Section 6 for more details.

Our construction depends on the variational characterizations (see Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.6) we obtained for homogeneous minimal flat tori in spheres. Roughly speaking, the construction of a homogeneous minimal flat n -torus in some sphere is equivalent to finding a 2-tuple $\{Y, Q\}$ (we call it *matrix data*) satisfying some constraints, where Q^{-1} is a Gram matrix of the lattice corresponding to this torus, and Y is a set of finite integer vectors in \mathbb{Z}^n describing the linear relations between lattice vectors involved in the minimal immersion. Theoretically, all homogeneous minimal flat tori in spheres can be constructed by the approaches we provided (see subsection 3.1). To construct λ_1 -minimal flat n -tori, we also need to deal with the problem of finding all shortest vectors in a lattice, which is important but difficult in the theory of lattice (or geometry of numbers). Fortunately, a result of Ryshkov [26] proved in Minkowski's reduction theory can be used in our construction to overcome this obstacle.

To classify all λ_1 -minimal immersions of conformally flat 3-tori and 4-tori in spheres, it follows from the work of El Soufi and Ilias [9] that we only need to classify all λ_1 -minimal immersions of flat 3-tori and 4-tori in spheres. It turns out that they are all homogeneous (in fact, a sufficient condition is given in Proposition 2.7 for general minimal flat tori in spheres to be homogeneous). Note that the moduli space of flat tori (modulo isometry) is $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash GL(n, \mathbb{R}) / O(n)$ (see [32], or Section 2). The action of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ makes the classification highly nontrivial. However, our variational characterization suggests that all we need to do is to find out all the possible integer sets Y , where Q is uniquely determined if it exists. To do this, a coarse classification to lattices of rank no more than 4 is given at first (see Theorem 5.8), from which some necessary constraints on Y can be obtained. Then after introducing some invariants to the set of shortest lattice vectors, we can determine all the possibilities of Y up to the action of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$.

The volume of these λ_1 -minimal flat tori we construct are also calculated (see Section 4). It follows from the theory of conformal volume that these λ_1 -minimal metrics maximize the functional $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ in their respective conformal classes. Among all λ_1 -minimal flat 3-tori, the maximal value of $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ is $4\sqrt[3]{2}\pi^2$, and it is $4\sqrt[2]{2}\pi^2$ among all λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori (see Table 1 and Table 2). In fact, using the investigation about lattices given in Section 5 and Section 6, we can prove the following theorem, which can be seen as a generalization of Berger's result from flat 2-tori to flat 3 and 4-tori.

Theorem 1. *Consider the dilation-invariant functional $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ on the topological n -torus.*

(1) *When $n = 3$, among all flat metrics,*

$$\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq 4\sqrt[3]{2}\pi^2,$$

and the equality is attained by the λ_1 -minimal flat 3-torus given in Example 4.5.

(2) *When $n = 4$, among all flat metrics,*

$$\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq 4\sqrt{2}\pi^2,$$

and the equality is attained by those λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori given in Example 1.1.

Inspired by this theorem, it is natural to consider the Berger's problem on conformally flat 3-tori and 4-tori: whether $4\sqrt[3]{2}\pi^2$ and $4\sqrt{2}\pi^2$ are respectively the upper bounds of $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ on the topological 3-torus and 4-torus among all smooth conformally flat metrics.

In [10], El Soufi and Ilias exhibited a class of flat n -tori for which the endowed flat metric maximizes $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ on its conformal class (see Corollary 3.1 in their paper). Combining their result with our work (Theorem 1 and Theorem 5.8), we can partially solve the above problem.

Theorem 2. *Suppose g is a smooth Riemannian metric on the topological n -torus. If g is conformal equivalent to a flat metric whose first eigenspace is of dimension no less than $2n$, then $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq 4\sqrt[3]{2}\pi^2$ when $n = 3$, and $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq 4\sqrt{\pi^2}$ when $n = 4$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we firstly recall the basic spectral theory of flat tori, and then discuss the homogeneity of minimal flat tori in spheres. Section 3 is devoted to presenting our basic setup on homogeneous minimal flat tori, as well as the variational characterizations obtained for them. New examples of λ_1 -minimal flat 3-tori and 4-tori are constructed in Section 4. We devote Section 5 to investigate the shortest vectors of lattices, where a coarse classification to lattices of rank no more than 4 is given. The classification of λ_1 -minimal immersions of conformally flat 3-tori and 4-tori are obtained in Section 6. A class of λ_1 -minimal flat n -tori is presented in Section 7 as an application of our construction method in higher dimensions. Finally, Section 8 is devoted to discuss Berger's problem on conformally flat 3-tori and 4-tori, where Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are proved.

2 On isometric minimal immersions of flat tori

In this section, we will firstly recall the basic theory of flat tori. Then a sufficient condition for minimal flat tori in spheres to be homogeneous will be given.

2.1 Flat tori and lattices

It is well known that a flat torus T^n of dimension n can be described as

$$T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n,$$

where Λ_n is a lattice of rank n on \mathbb{R}^n . Set L_n to be the generator matrix of Λ_n , which means Λ_n can be generated by row vectors of L_n . Two tori $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n$ and $\tilde{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \tilde{\Lambda}_n$ are isometric if and only if Λ_n and $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$ are isometric, i.e., there exists an orthogonal matrix O and an unimodular matrix $U \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, such that $L_n = U \tilde{L}_n O$, where L_n (w.r.t. \tilde{L}_n) is a generator matrix of lattice Λ_n (w.r.t. $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$). It follows that the moduli space of flat n -tori is

$$SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash GL(n, \mathbb{R}) / O(n).$$

The dual lattice of Λ_n is defined to be a lattice Λ_n^* , whose generator matrix L_n^* satisfies $L_n(L_n^*)^t = I_n$. The spectrum of $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n$ is

$$\text{Spec}(T^n) = \left\{ 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 \mid \xi \in \Lambda_n^* \right\},$$

and $e^{2\pi \langle \xi, u \rangle i}$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $4\pi^2 |\xi|^2$, where $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ is the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^n , such that the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^n (T^n) can be written as $du_1^2 + du_2^2 + \dots + du_n^2$.

2.2 Minimal homogeneous flat tori in spheres

Let $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n$ be a flat torus. Assume n is an eigenvalue of this torus, whose eigenspace is of dimension $2N$. It follows that there are exactly N distinct lattice vectors (up to ± 1) having the length $\frac{n}{4\pi^2}$ in the dual lattice Λ_n^* , which are denoted by

$$\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N.$$

By the theorem of Takahashi, any minimal isometric immersion of T^n in spheres can be expressed as follows:

$$x = (\Theta_1 \ \Theta_2 \ \cdots \ \Theta_N) A : T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Theta_r = (\cos \theta_r \ \sin \theta_r)$, $\theta_r = 2\pi \langle \xi_r, u \rangle$ for $1 \leq r \leq N$, and A is a $2N \times 2N$ matrix. Write

$$AA^t = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1N} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{N1} & A_{N2} & \cdots & A_{NN} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{rs} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{rs}^{11} & A_{rs}^{12} \\ A_{rs}^{21} & A_{rs}^{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have the following conclusions.

Lemma 2.1. $\{\theta_r \pm \theta_s \mid 1 \leq r \neq s \leq N\} \cap \{0, \pm 2\theta_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq N\} = \emptyset$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $0 < |\xi_r \pm \xi_s| < |2\xi_j|$ for $r \neq s$. \square

Lemma 2.2. For every r , $1 \leq r \leq N$, we have

$$A_{rr} = \begin{pmatrix} a_r & \\ & a_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By definition, we have $A_{rr}^{12} = A_{rr}^{21}$. From $|x| = 1$, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} = & \sum_q [(A_{qq}^{11} - A_{qq}^{22}) \cos(2\theta_q) + (A_{qq}^{11} + A_{qq}^{22}) + (A_{qq}^{12} + A_{qq}^{21}) \sin(2\theta_q) + \\ & \sum_{r \neq s} [(A_{rs}^{11} - A_{rs}^{22}) \cos(\theta_r + \theta_s) + (A_{rs}^{11} + A_{rs}^{22}) \cos(\theta_r - \theta_s) + \\ & (A_{rs}^{12} + A_{rs}^{21}) \sin(\theta_r + \theta_s) + (A_{rs}^{21} - A_{rs}^{12}) \sin(\theta_r - \theta_s)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $1 \leq r \leq N$, $\theta_r \neq 0$. So it follows from the Lemma 2.1 that $A_{rr}^{11} - A_{rr}^{22} = 0$, $A_{rr}^{12} + A_{rr}^{21} = 0$, which complete the proof. \square

Since x is an isometric immersion, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_k}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_l} \right\rangle = \delta_{kl}, \quad 1 \leq k, l \leq n, \quad (2)$$

where $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ is the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^n . Using the expression (1) of x , (2) can be rewritten as below:

$$\sum_r \xi_{rk} \xi_{rl} \Theta_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{rr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Theta_r^t + \sum_{r < s} (\xi_{rk} \xi_{sl} + \xi_{sk} \xi_{rl}) \Theta_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{rs} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Theta_s^t = \frac{\delta_{kl}}{4\pi^2},$$

where ξ_{rk} is the k -th coordinates of ξ_r , and we have used the fact that

$$\Theta_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{rs} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Theta_s^t = \Theta_s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{sr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Theta_r^t,$$

which can be verified easily. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that the first term in the left hand side of the above equation is constant. Hence for all $1 \leq k, l \leq n$, we have

$$0 = \sum_{r < s} (\xi_{rk} \xi_{sl} + \xi_{sk} \xi_{rl}) [(A_{rs}^{11} - A_{rs}^{22}) \cos(\theta_r + \theta_s) + (A_{rs}^{11} + A_{rs}^{22}) \cos(\theta_r - \theta_s) + (A_{rs}^{12} + A_{rs}^{21}) \sin(\theta_r + \theta_s) + (A_{rs}^{21} - A_{rs}^{12}) \sin(\theta_r - \theta_s)]. \quad (3)$$

Define $\mathcal{E} = \{\xi_r \pm \xi_s, 1 \leq r < s \leq n\}$, we call the set of pairs

$$\{(\xi_{r_1}, \xi_{s_1}), \dots, (\xi_{r_p}, \xi_{s_p}), (\xi_{r_{p+1}}, -\xi_{s_{p+1}}), \dots, (\xi_{r_q}, -\xi_{s_q})\}$$

η -set if

$$\xi_{r_1} + \xi_{s_1} = \dots = \xi_{r_p} + \xi_{s_p} = \xi_{r_{p+1}} - \xi_{s_{p+1}} = \dots = \xi_{r_q} - \xi_{s_q} = \eta \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Using $|\xi_{r_j}| = |\xi_{s_j}|$ we have

$$\langle \eta, \eta \rangle = |\xi_{r_j}|^2 + |\xi_{s_j}|^2 \pm 2\langle \xi_{r_j}, \xi_{s_j} \rangle = 2\langle \xi_{r_j}, \eta \rangle > 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q.$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\pm \xi_{r_1}, \dots, \pm \xi_{r_q}, \pm \xi_{s_1}, \dots, \pm \xi_{s_q}$ are distinct with each other.

Denote by $\xi_{r_j} \odot \xi_{s_j}$ the symmetric product of ξ_{r_j} and ξ_{s_j} , we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $x : T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^m$ be a linearly full minimal flat torus. If for any $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$, the η -set forms a linearly independent set of symmetric products $\{\xi_{r_1} \odot \xi_{s_1}, \xi_{r_2} \odot \xi_{s_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_q} \odot \xi_{s_q}\}$, then m is odd and x is homogeneous.*

Proof. Set

$$t_{r_a s_a} \triangleq A_{r_a s_a}^{11} - A_{r_a s_a}^{22}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p, \quad t_{r_b s_b} \triangleq A_{r_b s_b}^{11} + A_{r_b s_b}^{22}, \quad p+1 \leq b \leq q.$$

By the linear independence of trigonometric functions, it follows from (3) that $\{t_{r_1 s_1}, \dots, t_{r_q s_q}\}$ satisfy the following linear equations:

$$(\xi_{r_1 j} \xi_{s_1 k} + \xi_{r_1 k} \xi_{s_1 j}) t_{r_1 s_1} + (\xi_{r_2 j} \xi_{s_2 k} + \xi_{r_2 k} \xi_{s_2 j}) t_{r_2 s_2} + \dots + (\xi_{r_q j} \xi_{s_q k} + \xi_{r_q k} \xi_{s_q j}) t_{r_q s_q} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n. \quad (4)$$

Note that (4) is equivalent to

$$t_{r_1 s_1} \xi_{r_1} \odot \xi_{s_1} + t_{r_2 s_2} \xi_{r_2} \odot \xi_{s_2} + \dots + t_{r_q s_q} \xi_{r_q} \odot \xi_{s_q} = 0.$$

It implies $t_{r_j s_j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, since these symmetric products are linearly independent. Similarly, the other coefficients also vanish in (3) and we have $A_{rs} = 0$ ($r \neq s$).

By embedding \mathbb{S}^m into \mathbb{S}^{2N-1} , we can assume the immersion x has the form as given in (1). From Lemma 2.2 and $A_{rs} = 0$ ($r \neq s$), the matrix A in the expression (1) satisfies

$$AA^t = \text{diag}\{a_1, a_1, a_2, a_2, \dots, a_N, a_N\},$$

with

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_N = 1, \quad a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_N \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Consider the QR decomposition of A^t , there exists an upper triangular matrix L such that

$$L^t L = \text{diag}\{a_1, a_1, a_2, a_2, \dots, a_N, a_N\},$$

which implies L must be diagonal, i.e.,

$$L = \text{diag}\left\{\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_N}, \sqrt{a_N}\right\}.$$

Hence, up to an orthogonal transformation, x can be expressed as below:

$$x = (\Theta_1 \ \Theta_2 \ \dots \ \Theta_N) \text{diag}\left\{\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_N}, \sqrt{a_N}\right\}.$$

Since x is linearly full, we can obtain that m is odd, and x is the orbit of a torus group acting at

$$(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_{\frac{m+1}{2}}}, \sqrt{a_{\frac{m+1}{2}}}).$$

□

Definition 2.4. A finite set V of rank k in \mathbb{R}^n is called to satisfy the unimodular condition, if all the k -dimensional parallelepipeds spanned in V have a same volume.

Remark 2.5. Suppose V is a finite set of rank k and satisfies the unimodular condition, then for $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_l\}$, which are two arbitrary collections of l vectors in V with $l \leq k$, there must have

$$v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_l = \pm w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge \dots \wedge w_l$$

when $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l\} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_l\}$.

Lemma 2.6. If $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N\}$ satisfies the unimodular condition, then for any $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$, the η -set forms a linearly independent set $\{\xi_{r_1} \odot \xi_{s_1}, \xi_{r_2} \odot \xi_{s_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_q} \odot \xi_{s_q}\}$.

Proof. We claim that $\eta, \xi_{r_1}, \xi_{r_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_q}$ are linearly independent. Then by extending $\{\eta, \xi_{r_1}, \xi_{r_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_q}\}$ to a basis of \mathbb{R}^n , it is easy to see that

$$\xi_{r_1} \odot \xi_{r_1}, \ \xi_{r_2} \odot \xi_{r_2}, \ \dots, \ \xi_{r_q} \odot \xi_{r_q}, \ \xi_{r_1} \odot \eta, \ \xi_{r_2} \odot \eta, \ \dots, \ \xi_{r_q} \odot \eta$$

are linearly independent. Combining this with $\xi_{s_j} = \pm(\eta - \xi_{r_j})$, we can derive that

$$\xi_{r_1} \odot \xi_{s_1}, \ \xi_{r_2} \odot \xi_{s_2}, \ \dots, \ \xi_{r_q} \odot \xi_{s_q}$$

are linearly independent.

Now it suffices to prove the above claim. We prove it by contradictions. First we extend η to a maximal linearly independent subset in $\{\eta, \xi_{r_1}, \xi_{r_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_q}\}$, which can be assumed $\{\eta, \xi_{r_1}, \xi_{r_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_{t-1}}\}$ with $t < q + 1$. Then $\eta, \xi_{r_1}, \xi_{r_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_{t-1}}, \xi_{r_t}$ must be linearly dependent. Now it is left to discuss two cases.

The first case is that $\xi_{r_1}, \xi_{r_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_{t-1}}, \xi_{r_t}$ are linearly dependent. Let

$$\xi_{r_t} = c_1 \xi_{r_1} + c_2 \xi_{r_2} + \dots + c_{t-1} \xi_{r_{t-1}}. \quad (5)$$

It follows from the unimodular condition that these coefficients all take values in $\{0, \pm 1\}$. Taking inner product of (5) with η , we can obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} c_j = 1. \quad (6)$$

Note that

$$\xi_{s_t} \wedge \xi_{r_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{r_{t-1}} = \pm \eta \wedge \xi_{r_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{r_{t-1}} \neq 0.$$

For any $1 \leq j \leq t-1$, using $\xi_{s_j} = \pm(\eta - \xi_{r_j})$, we have

$$\xi_{s_t} \wedge \xi_{r_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{r_{j-1}} \wedge \xi_{s_j} \wedge \xi_{r_{j+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{r_{t-1}} = \pm(c_j - 1)\xi_{s_t} \wedge \xi_{r_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{r_{t-1}}.$$

From the unimodular condition again we get

$$c_j - 1 \in \{0, \pm 1\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t-1,$$

which implies $c_j \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t-1$. Combining this with (6), we derive that only one c_j is nonzero and equals 1. It follows that $\xi_{r_t} = \xi_{r_j}$, contradicting with the fact that $\pm\xi_{r_1}, \dots, \pm\xi_{r_q}, \pm\xi_{s_1}, \dots, \pm\xi_{s_q}$ are distinct with each other.

The second case is that $\xi_{r_1}, \xi_{r_2}, \dots, \xi_{r_t}$ are linearly independent. Then we can assume

$$\eta = c_1\xi_{r_1} + c_2\xi_{r_2} + \cdots + c_t\xi_{r_t}. \quad (7)$$

Taking inner product of (7) with η , we can obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^t c_j = 2. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, using $\xi_{s_j} = \pm(\eta - \xi_{r_j})$, we have

$$\xi_{s_j} = \pm(c_1\xi_{r_1} + \cdots + c_{j-1}\xi_{r_{j-1}} + (c_j - 1)\xi_{r_j} + c_j\xi_{r_{j+1}} + \cdots + c_t\xi_{r_t}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq t.$$

It follows from the unimodular condition that

$$c_j, c_j - 1 \in \{0, \pm 1\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t,$$

which implies $c_j \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$. Combining this with (8), we derive that only two c_j are nonzero and equal 1, which can be assumed to be c_1 and c_2 . It follows that $\eta = \xi_{r_1} + \xi_{r_2}$. So we have $\xi_{r_2} = \pm\xi_{s_2}$ also contradicting with the fact that $\pm\xi_{r_1}, \dots, \pm\xi_{r_q}, \pm\xi_{s_1}, \dots, \pm\xi_{s_q}$ are distinct. \square

By Lemma 2.3 and 2.6 we immediately obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $x : T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^m$ be a linearly full minimal flat torus. If $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N\}$ satisfies the unimodular condition, then m is odd and x is homogeneous.*

Remark 2.8. *In Section 6, we will show that all λ_1 -minimal flat tori of dimension no more than 4 are homogeneous. In fact all of them satisfy the unimodular condition with only one exception.*

3 Variational characterizations of homogeneous minimal flat tori

In this section, we give two variational characterizations for homogeneous minimal flat n -tori in spheres, from which two construction approaches can be derived. The problems appeared in these two approaches, as well as the further construction to λ_1 -minimal immersions are also discussed.

Let $x : T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^m$ be a homogeneous minimal isometric immersions, with the metric given by

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{n}(du_1^2 + du_2^2 + \cdots + du_n^2).$$

Suppose $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ are all lattice vectors (up to ± 1) in Λ_n^* of length 1. From the homogeneity of x , we can assume $m = 2N - 1$ and write x as follows:

$$x = (c_1 e^{i\theta_1}, c_2 e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, c_N e^{i\theta_N}), \quad (9)$$

where $\theta_j = 2\pi\langle \xi_j, u \rangle$, $1 \leq j \leq N$. Then we have

$$(\xi_1^t \ \xi_2^t \ \cdots \ \xi_N^t) \begin{pmatrix} c_1^2 & & & \\ & c_2^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & c_N^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_N \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} I_n. \quad (10)$$

Assume $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n\}$ is a generator of Λ_n^* . Then there exist integers a_{jk} such that

$$\xi_j = a_{j1}\eta_1 + a_{j2}\eta_2 + \cdots + a_{jn}\eta_n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

We denote

$$A_j = (a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \dots, a_{jn}), \quad Y^t = (A_1^t, \dots, A_N^t), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N,$$

and use Y also representing the set of its row vectors if no confusion caused.

Moreover, we set

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_n \end{pmatrix} (\eta_1^t \ \eta_2^t \ \cdots \ \eta_n^t),$$

which is a positive-definite matrix, called Gram matrix of Λ_n^* . It is well-known that the volume of x equals $\frac{2^n \pi^n}{\sqrt{n^n \det(Q)}}$.

Remark 3.1. *The minimal immersion x given in (9) is uniquely determined by the following data set*

$$\{Y, Q, (c_1^2, c_2^2, \dots, c_N^2)\},$$

*which is called the **matrix data** of x , and will be used to present examples in sec 4.*

It is obvious that the condition $|\xi_j| = 1$ is equivalent to

$$A_j Q A_j^t = 1, \quad (11)$$

i.e., A_j lies on the hyper-ellipsoid \mathcal{Q} determined by

$$(x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n) Q \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

Furthermore, it is straightforward to verify that the flat condition (10) is equivalent to

$$c_1^2 A_1^t A_1 + c_2^2 A_2^t A_2 + \cdots + c_N^2 A_N^t A_N = \frac{1}{n} Q^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

3.1 Two variational characterizations

Consider the space $S(n)$ of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices over \mathbb{R} , which is a $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ -dimensional Euclidean space endowed with inner product:

$$\langle S_1, S_2 \rangle = \text{tr}(S_1 S_2), \quad S_1, S_2 \in S(n).$$

For any given vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Pi_a(v) := \{M \mid \langle v^t v, M \rangle = v M v^t = a, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is an affine hyperplane dividing $S(n)$ into two half spaces:

$$S_a^+(v) = \{M \mid v M v^t \geq a\}, \quad S_a^-(v) = \{M \mid v M v^t \leq a\}.$$

Let Σ be the set of semi-positive definite matrices. Then it is well known that $\Sigma = \bigcap_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} S_0^+(v)$ is a convex cone in $S(n)$ with the set of positive definite matrices as its interior, which is denoted by Σ_+ . By our definition, we have $Q^{-1} \in \Sigma_+$, and $A_j^t A_j \in \Sigma, 1 \leq j \leq N$.

Given a subset $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, let C_X be the convex hull spanned by $A^t A$ for all $A \in X$, and V_X be the affine subspace $\bigcap_{A \in X} \Pi_1(A) \subset S(n)$. Moreover, we also consider the smooth linear submanifold $W_X = V_X \cap \Sigma_+$ (see Figure 1), whose geometric meaning is the set of all hyper-ellipsoids passing through X .




Figure 1: W_X in Σ_+

With respect to these notations, the condition (11) is equivalent to $Q \in W_Y$, and (12) is equivalent to $\frac{Q^{-1}}{n} \in C_Y$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $x : T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ be an isometric homogeneous immersion. If x is minimal, then Q is a critical point of the determinant function restricted on W_Y , where Y is the set of integer vectors determined by x , and Q the Gram matrix of Λ_n^* under some chosen generator.*

Conversely, given a set X of integer vectors, if Q is a critical point of the determinant function restricted on W_X and $\frac{Q^{-1}}{n}$ lies in the convex hull C_X , then the torus \mathbb{R}^n / Λ_n determined by Q^{-1} (as the Gram matrix of Λ_n) admits an isometric minimal immersion in \mathbb{S}^{2N-1} .

Proof. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a smooth curve in W_Y passing through Q at $t = 0$. Set $f(t) \triangleq \det \gamma(t)$, it is easy to verify that

$$f'(0) = f(0) \langle Q^{-1}, \gamma'(0) \rangle.$$

As an isometric homogeneous immersion, x is minimal if and only if $Q \in W_Y, Q^{-1} \in C_Y$. The conclusion follows from that $\text{Span}\{A^t A \mid A \in Y\}$ is the normal space of W_Y at $\gamma(0) = Q$. \square

Remark 3.3. We point out that if Q is a critical point of the determinant function restricted on W_X , then $\frac{Q^{-1}}{n}$ lies in $\text{Span}\{A^t A \mid A \in X\}$ automatically. In fact let $Q + tS$ be an arbitrary segment in W_X , then it follows from $\langle Q^{-1}, S \rangle = 0$ that

$$\left\langle \frac{Q^{-1}}{n}, Q + tS \right\rangle = 1,$$

which implies $\frac{Q^{-1}}{n} \in \text{Span}\{A^t A \mid A \in X\}$.

As a result, if all the vectors A_i in X can be arranged as row vectors to form a block diagonal matrix, then the critical point Q is also block diagonal.

Although the following conclusion is well known, we give a proof here for completeness.

Lemma 3.4. The function $\ln \circ \det$ restricted on Σ_+ is strictly concave.

Proof. Given a positive definite matrix $P \in \Sigma_+$, Let $\gamma(t)$ be a smooth curve in Σ_+ with $\gamma'(0) = S \in S(n) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $f(t) = \ln \circ \det(\gamma(t))$, then we have $f'(t) = \text{tr}(\gamma(t)^{-1}S)$ and

$$f''(0) = -\text{tr}(P^{-1}SP^{-1}S) = -\text{tr}(HSHHS) = -|HSH|^2 < 0,$$

where H is a square root of the positive definite matrix P^{-1} (i.e., $H^2 = P^{-1}$), and we have used the fact that $S \in S(n)$. \square

Therefore, for any given subset $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, if $W_X \neq \emptyset$, the determinant function \det has only one critical point in W_X , which is the maximal point. This implies the following result about uniqueness.

Corollary 3.5. Let $x : T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ and $\tilde{x} : \tilde{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\tilde{\Lambda}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ be two isometric homogeneous minimal immersions, if after choosing suitable generator respectively, x and \tilde{x} share the same subset $Y \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, then T^n and \tilde{T}^n are isometric. Moreover, x is congruent to \tilde{x} if $\{A^t A \mid A \in Y\}$ are linearly independent.

It seems that we can use the following approach to construct a homogeneous minimal immersion of flat torus. Choose a subset $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, determine whether W_X is not empty, and then discriminate whether the maximal point Q of \det restricted on W_X lies in the the convex hull C_X .

Note that in general the dimension of V_X is $n(n+1)/2 - \sharp(X)$, which implies W_X could be empty if X involves too many vectors. Even if V_X exists, it also could have no intersection with Σ_+ . For example, we will get a degenerated matrix when

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & -1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It seems to be a challenge to obtain a general method by which one can construct the desirable integer set X efficiently.

Next we give an alternative method to determine homogeneous minimal immersions of flat tori, by use of a variational characterization of Q^{-1} (Compare Theorem 3.2).

Theorem 3.6. Let $x : T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ be an isometric homogeneous immersion, where N is the half dimension of the eigenspace of T^n corresponding to n . If x is minimal and linearly full, then Q^{-1} lies in the interior of C_Y , and is a critical point of the determinant function restricted on C_Y .

Conversely, given a finite set X of integer vectors such that $C_X \cap \Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$, if $P \in \overset{\circ}{C}_X$ is a critical point of the determinant function restricted on C_X , then the torus \mathbb{R}^n/Λ_n determined by nP (as the Gram matrix of Λ_n) admits an isometric minimal immersion in some \mathbb{S}^{2N-1} .

Proof. Suppose $X = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$, and $P = \sum_{j=1}^k y_j A_j^t A_j$. It follows from $P \in \overset{\circ}{C}_X$ that $y_j > 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. We only need to prove that $\frac{P^{-1}}{n} \in W_X$, i.e.,

$$\left\langle \frac{P^{-1}}{n}, A_j^t A_j \right\rangle = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k. \quad (13)$$

For any given $2 \leq j \leq k$, consider the line segment

$$\gamma_j(t) = P + t(A_j^t A_j - A_1^t A_1).$$

It is obvious that for sufficient small t , $\gamma_j(t)$ lies in C_X . So P is a critical point of $\det(\gamma_j(t))$, which implies that $\langle P^{-1}, \gamma_j'(0) \rangle = 0$. Therefore we have

$$\langle P^{-1}, A_j^t A_j \rangle = \langle P^{-1}, A_1^t A_1 \rangle, \quad 2 \leq j \leq k.$$

Note that $\sum_{j=1}^k y_j = 1$, it follows that

$$n = \langle P^{-1}, P \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k y_j \langle P^{-1}, A_j^t A_j \rangle = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k y_j \right) \langle P^{-1}, A_1^t A_1 \rangle = \langle P^{-1}, A_1^t A_1 \rangle,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.7. *The above theorem provides another approach to construct minimal flat tori. Choose a finite set X of integer vectors such that $C_X \cap \Sigma_+ \neq \emptyset$ (see Figure 2). Calculate the the maximal point P of \det on C_X . If $P \in \overset{\circ}{C}_X$, then the matrix data $\{\frac{P^{-1}}{n}, X\}$ provides a minimal flat n -torus. Otherwise, we choose $C_{X'}$ to be the face of C_X so that $P \in \overset{\circ}{C}_{X'}$ (such face could have high codimension and the existance is due to the compactness of C_X), then $\{\frac{P^{-1}}{n}, X'\}$ provides a minimal flat n -torus.*

This remark can be seen as a generalization of Bryant's characterization to minimal flat 2-tori in spheres, see Proposition 3.3 in [2].




Figure 2: C_X and its faces

3.2 Homogeneous λ_1 -minimal flat tori

To determine homogeneous λ_1 -minimal immersions of flat tori, one has to solve the following problem.

Problem. *Firstly choose a subset $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, such that in W_X there is a maximal point Q of \det , and $Q^{-1}/n \in \overset{\circ}{C}_X$. Then discriminate in the interior of the hyper-ellipsoid determined by Q , whether there exist other nonzero integer vectors, i.e., discriminate whether $vQv^t \geq 1$ holds for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$.*

In Σ_+ , the infinite constraints

$$vMv^t \geq 1 \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad (14)$$

define a non-compact convex domain Ω . So X can determine a homogeneous λ_1 -minimal immersion of some flat torus if and only if $Q \in \partial\Omega$. Given a positive definite matrix Q , it seems that to verify whether $Q \in \partial\Omega$, i.e., satisfies (14), infers an infinite process. However, due to Minkowski's reduction theory of lattice (see [27] for reference), only finite inequalities need to be checked. This can also be seen from the following simple theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *For a given $n \times n$ positive definite matrix Q with diagonal entries 1, there exist n integers $a_k(Q) > 0$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) such that $vQv^t \geq 1$ for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if it holds for all integer vectors in*

$$S \triangleq \{v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid |v_k| < a_k(Q), 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

Proof. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{R}^n with Gram matrix Q , Π_k the $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace spanned by $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n$. So the distance of ξ_k to Π_k is a fixed value $d_k > 0$, which can be determined from the entries a_{ij} of Q by using the least square method, such as

$$d_n^2 = |\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_n^\top|^2 = 1 - |\xi_n^\top|^2 = 1 - (a_{1n}, \dots, a_{(n-1)n})(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}^{-1} (a_{1n}, \dots, a_{(n-1)n})^t, \quad (15)$$

where ξ_n^\top is the orthogonal projection of ξ_n into Π_n .

Suppose $a_k(Q)$ is the integer such that $a_k(Q) - 1 \leq d_k^{-1} < a_k(Q)$, then we will get $|\alpha| \geq |c_k|d_k > 1$ for any $\alpha = c_1\xi_1 + \dots + c_n\xi_n$ when some $|c_k| \geq a_k(Q)$. \square

Although the criterion given in the above theorem only infers finite steps, it still requests a lot of computations. For $n \leq 6$, we give an alternative criterion which comes from Minkowski's reduction theory (see [26]). For the case $n > 6$, we do not find such an explicit description in the literature so far. Applied to our case, the criterion is stated as below.

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose Q is a $n \times n$ positive definite matrix with diagonal entries 1, and $n \leq 6$. Then $vQv^t \geq 1$ holds for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$, if and only if, it holds for those integer vectors v , whose entries take values from the first n integers in each rows below with arbitrary sign (“+” or “-”):*

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3. \end{array}$$

4 Examples of λ_1 -minimal flat tori of dimension 3 and 4

In this section, we present a series of λ_1 -minimal immersions for flat tori $T^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\Lambda_3$ and $T^4 = \mathbb{R}^4/\Lambda_4$, which are all homogeneous. Instead of giving the explicit petty immersions, we use the matrix data

$$\{Y, Q, (c_1^2, c_2^2, \dots, c_N^2)\}$$

introduced in the last section (see Remark 3.1). Recall that Q is a Gram matrix of the lattice Λ_n^* under some chosen generator, which can determine a flat torus $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda_n$; N denotes the number of distinct shortest lattice vectors of Λ_n^* up to ± 1 , i.e., the half dimension of the first eigenspace of flat torus $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda_n$; Y is a $n \times N$ matrix taking these vectors as row vectors; c_i^2 involves the information of immersion.

First of all, given two λ_1 -minimal tori $f_i : T^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m_i} (i = 1, 2)$, one can construct a new λ_1 -minimal $(n_1 + n_2)$ -torus by the following direct product (see [3], [30] and [31])

$$f = \left(\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2}} f_1, \sqrt{\frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2}} f_2 \right) : T^{n_1} \times T^{n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m_1 + m_2 + 1}. \quad (16)$$

In the sequel, λ_1 -minimal torus constructed in such way is called *reducible*, and those non-product ones are called *irreducible*.

Remark 4.1. *A reducible λ_1 -minimal flat torus constructed from two homogeneous and λ_1 -minimal tori is homogeneous too. For such torus, by definition, suitable generator of the corresponding dual lattice can be chosen such that the matrix Y is block diagonal. Then it follows from Remark 3.3 that the Gram matrix Q is also block diagonal. Conversely, a λ_1 -minimal flat torus given by diagonal data set is a reducible λ_1 -minimal flat torus.*

Example 4.2. *As stated in the introduction, the Clifford torus and equilateral torus are two (only two) λ_1 -minimal flat 2-torus, whose matrix data are*

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_1^2, c_2^2) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right).$$

Taking one from these two torus, considering the direct product (as in (16)) of it with the unite circle in \mathbb{R}^2 , we can obtain two examples of λ_1 -minimal flat 3-torus in \mathbb{S}^5 and \mathbb{S}^7 , whose volume is respectively $\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{9}\pi^3$ and $\frac{16}{9}\pi^3$. Their matrix data can be stated as follows:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right),$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2) = \left(\frac{2}{9}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{3} \right).$$

Next we give some data, in which neither Q nor Y is block diagonal. These data were obtained originally by applying our variational characterization, where some tedious but routine computation is involved, and we omit it here. Alternatively, one can verify directly that all these data fit (11) and (12), and satisfy the criterion given in Theorem 3.9, hence provide irreducible examples of λ_1 -minimal flat tori.

Example 4.3. $N=4$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2) = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 3-torus in \mathbb{S}^7 , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $2\pi^3$.

Example 4.4. $N=5$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2) = \left(\frac{2}{9}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{2}{9}\right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 3-torus in \mathbb{S}^9 , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{32\sqrt{3}}{27}\pi^3$.

Example 4.5. $N=6$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2) = \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right).$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 3-torus in \mathbb{S}^{11} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{8\sqrt{6}}{9}\pi^3$.

We will prove in the next section that Example 4.2 \sim Example 4.5 enumerate all examples of λ_1 -minimal flat 3-tori in spheres.

Example 4.6. Taking the direct products (as in (16)) of two λ_1 -minimal flat 2-tori, one can obtain three reducible λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori in \mathbb{S}^7 , \mathbb{S}^9 and \mathbb{S}^{11} , they are all linearly full with volumes π^4 , $\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\pi^4$ and $\frac{4}{3}\pi^4$, respectively.

Taking the direct products (as in (16)) of one λ_1 -minimal flat 3-tori given in Example 4.3 \sim Example 4.5 with the unite circle in \mathbb{R}^2 , we can obtain another three reducible λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori in \mathbb{S}^9 , \mathbb{S}^{11} and \mathbb{S}^{13} , they are all linearly full with volumes $\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}\pi^4$, $\frac{4}{3}\pi^4$ and $\sqrt{2}\pi^4$, respectively.

For brevity, we omit the data set of these 6 reducible λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori.

Example 4.7. $N = 5$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2) = \left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^9 , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{16\sqrt{5}}{25}\pi^4$.

Example 4.8. $N = 6$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2) = \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{3}{16}, \frac{5}{48}, \frac{3}{16}, \frac{3}{16} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{11} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{3}{2}\pi^4$.

Example 4.9. $N = 7$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2, c_7^2) = \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{13} , which is irreducible, and has volume $\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{9}\pi^4$. Note that it is not linearly full in \mathbb{S}^{13} but in \mathbb{S}^{11} . As far as we know, this is the first example of λ_1 -minimal immersion in the literature that does not span the whole first eigenspace.

Example 4.10. $N = 7$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2, c_7^2) = \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{13} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{9}\pi^4$.

Example 4.11. $N = 7$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\sqrt{13}-7}{12} & \frac{\sqrt{13}-7}{12} & \frac{\sqrt{13}-4}{6} \\ \frac{\sqrt{13}-7}{12} & 1 & \frac{1-\sqrt{13}}{6} & \frac{\sqrt{13}-7}{12} \\ \frac{\sqrt{13}-7}{12} & \frac{1-\sqrt{13}}{6} & 1 & \frac{\sqrt{13}-7}{12} \\ \frac{\sqrt{13}-4}{6} & \frac{\sqrt{13}-7}{12} & \frac{\sqrt{13}-7}{12} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2, c_7^2) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{13}-2}{12}, \frac{5-\sqrt{13}}{8}, \frac{5-\sqrt{13}}{8}, \frac{\sqrt{13}-2}{12}, \frac{\sqrt{13}-2}{12}, \frac{\sqrt{13}-2}{12}, \frac{5-\sqrt{13}}{12} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{13} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{\sqrt{26\sqrt{13}-70}}{3}\pi^4$.

As far as we know, this is the first example of λ_1 -minimal immersion in the literature whose Gram matrix are not rational, comparing to that minimal tori always have rational Gram matrices up to a rescaling(see [2]).

Example 4.12. $N = 8$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2, c_7^2, c_8^2) = \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{24}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{15} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3}\pi^4$.

Example 4.13. $N = 8$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - 1 & 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \sqrt{3} - 2 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - 1 & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \sqrt{3} - 2 & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2, c_7^2, c_8^2) = \left(\frac{3 - \sqrt{3}}{12}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12}, \frac{3 - \sqrt{3}}{12}, \frac{3 - \sqrt{3}}{12}, \frac{3 - \sqrt{3}}{12}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{15} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{\sqrt{12+8\sqrt{3}}}{3}\pi^4$. This is another example of λ_1 -minimal immersion whose Gram matrix are not rational.

Example 4.14. $N = 9$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2, c_7^2, c_8^2, c_9^2) = \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{17} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{16}{9}\pi^4$.

Example 4.15. $N = 9$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2, c_7^2, c_8^2, c_9^2) = \left(\frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{9} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{17} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\sqrt{3}\pi^4$.

Example 4.16. $N = 10$. The following matrix data

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_4^2, c_5^2, c_6^2, c_7^2, c_8^2, c_9^2, c_{10}^2) = \left(\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10} \right)$$

gives a λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{19} , which is irreducible, linearly full and has volume $\frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}\pi^4$.

We will prove in the next section that Example 4.6 \sim Example 4.16, and Example 1.1 enumerate all examples of λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori in spheres.

5 Shortest vectors in lattices

As mentioned in the introduction, the classification of λ_1 -minimal tori of dimension 3 and 4 in spheres relies on deep investigation of shortest lattice vectors for lattices of rank 3 and 4. This section is devoted to the discussion of some related properties of lattices, which are of independent interest.

Let Λ_n^* be a lattice of rank n , N be the number of distinct shortest lattice vectors of Λ_n^* up to ± 1 , and Ξ be the set of these N shortest vectors, which are denoted by

$$\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N.$$

For simplicity, in the sequel, these shortest vectors are assumed to be of unit length.

Definition 5.1. A set of $k+1$ vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is called a **generic** $(k+1)$ -**tuple** if it is of rank k and any k vectors in it are linearly independent.

Definition 5.2. A lattice Λ_n^* with $N \geq n$ is called **s-reducible** if there is a non-trivial decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = V_1 \oplus V_2$ such that

$$\Xi = (\Xi \cap V_1) \cup (\Xi \cap V_2).$$

It will be called **s-irreducible** otherwise.

It is easy to see that if $N = n$, or $N = n+1$ and Ξ is not a generic $(n+1)$ -tuple, then Λ_n^* is s-reducible.

Lemma 5.3. For an s-irreducible lattice Λ_n^* of rank $n \geq 3$, there always exists a generic k -tuple in Ξ for some $k \geq 4$.

Proof. Let $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \subset \Xi$ be a generator of Λ_n^* . Suppose the opposite that there are only generic 3-tuples in Ξ . Then for any $n+1 \leq i \leq N$, when we write ξ_i as a linear combination of these generator vectors, there are exactly two non-zero coefficients. From the s-irreducible assumption we know $N \geq n+2$. We can assume $\xi_{n+1} = a_1\xi_1 + a_2\xi_2$ with $a_1a_2 \neq 0$. Consider two subspaces $V_1 \triangleq \text{Span}\{\xi_3, \dots, \xi_n\}$ and $V_2 \triangleq \text{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$, it follows that there exists at least one vector, say ξ_{n+2} , belongs to neither V_1 nor V_2 . We assume $\xi_{n+2} = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_3$ with $b_1b_2 \neq 0$. Then it is straightforward to verify that $\{\xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_{n+1}, \xi_{n+2}\}$ forms a generic 4-tuple, which gives us a contradiction. \square

Definition 5.4. A lattice Λ_n^* is called **prime** if this lattice can be generated by any n linearly independent vectors in Ξ .

Remark 5.5. By definition, for a prime lattice, Ξ always satisfies the unimodular condition defined in Definition 2.4.

The following conclusion is easy to obtain.

Lemma 5.6. Let Λ_n^* be a prime lattice generated by $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Then for any $\xi \in \Xi$, we have

$$\xi = a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n, \quad a_i \in \{0, \pm 1\}.$$

For lattices of lower rank (≤ 4), we obtain a sufficient condition to discriminate whether it is prime. The covering radius in lattice theory will be used, which is defined for a lattice Λ_n^* as follows:

$$\mu(\Lambda_n^*) \triangleq \inf \left\{ r \mid \mathbb{R}^n = \cup_{p \in \Lambda_n^*} B^n(p, r) \right\},$$

where $B^n(p, r)$ is the ball centered at p with radius r . Any ball with radius larger than $\mu(\Lambda_n^*)$ must contain a point of Λ_n^* . The following well-known estimate (see [11]) will be used to prove our main theorem in this section.

Lemma 5.7. Let Λ_n^* be a lattice of rank n , if $\text{rank}(\Xi) = n$ and the shortest vectors of Λ_n^* are of unit length, then $\mu(\Lambda_n^*) \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}$.

Theorem 5.8. Suppose Λ_n^* is a lattice of rank n with $\text{rank}(\Xi) = n$. If $n \leq 4$, then either Λ_n^* is prime, or it can be generated by the row vectors of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. It is obviously true for $n = 1$. Suppose it is true for $n = k - 1$ (≤ 3), we will show that in the case of $n = k$ the conclusion is also true. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k\}$ be any given linearly independent shortest vectors in Λ_k^* . Consider the sublattice Λ_{k-1}^* of rank $k - 1$ containing $\{\xi_2, \dots, \xi_k\}$. Then it follows from the inductive hypothesis that there exists a generator $\{\eta_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k\}$ of Λ_k^* such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_k \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can assume they are all non-negative and $a_1 > 0$ by changing directions of these vectors. Since $\eta_1 + c\xi_2, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k$ ($c \in \mathbb{Z}$) is still a generator of Λ_k^* , we get

$$\xi_1 = a_1(\eta_1 + c\xi_2) + (a_2 - ca_1)\xi_2 + \dots + a_k\xi_k.$$

So suitable value c will make $a_1 > a_2 - ca_1 \geq 0$. Therefore, we may assume $a_1 > a_i \geq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$.

If $a_1 = 1$ then $a_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$ and we derive that $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k$ is a generator of Λ_k^* . If $a_1 \geq 2$, let $\eta_1 = \eta_1^\perp + \eta_1^\top$, where \top (w.r.t. \perp) denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\Pi_0 \triangleq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_2, \dots, \xi_k\}$ (w.r.t. the normal space of Π_0). So $\xi_1 = a_1\eta_1^\perp + \xi_1^\top$ and

$$0 < |\eta_1^\perp|^2 = \frac{|\xi_1|^2 - |\xi_1^\top|^2}{a_1^2} = \frac{1 - |\xi_1^\top|^2}{a_1^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

It follows that the intersection of k -ball $B^k(0, 1)$ with the hyperplane $\Pi \triangleq \eta_1^\perp + \Pi_0$ is a $(k - 1)$ -ball $B^{k-1}(\eta_1^\perp, r)$ with

$$r^2 = \frac{a_1^2 - 1}{a_1^2} + \frac{|\xi_1^\top|^2}{a_1^2} \geq \frac{3}{4}.$$

When $k < 4$, we can see r is larger than the covering radius of Λ_{k-1}^* . Therefore, in $B^{k-1}(\eta_1^\perp, r) \subset B^k(0, 1)$, there exists at least one point of $\Lambda_k^* \cap \Pi$. This contradicts with our assumption that 1 is the shortest length of Λ_k^* . Similarly, in the case of $k = 4$, if $r^2 > \frac{3}{4}$, we can also obtain a contradiction.

Therefore, when $k = 4$, we have $r^2 = \frac{3}{4}$. This yields $a_1 = 2$ and $\xi_1^\top = 0$. Moreover, $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$. Otherwise, say $a_4 = 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies in the sublattice $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$, the shortest vectors ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 can not form a generator, which contradicts with the induction hypothesis.

Note that $\xi_1^\top = 0$ means ξ_1 is orthogonal to other ξ_i . It follows from $2\eta_1 = \xi_1 - \xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_4$ and $|\eta_1| \geq 1$ that $|\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4| \geq \sqrt{3}$. Moreover, using

$$\left| \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_4}{2} \right| = |\eta_1 + \xi_2| \geq 1,$$

we have $|\xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_4| \geq \sqrt{3}$. Similarly, $|\xi_2 - \xi_3 + \xi_4| \geq \sqrt{3}$ and $|\xi_2 + \xi_3 - \xi_4| \geq \sqrt{3}$. Combining these with the following identities,

$$|\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4|^2 + |\xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_4|^2 + |\xi_2 - \xi_3 + \xi_4|^2 + |\xi_2 + \xi_3 - \xi_4|^2 = 4(|\xi_2|^2 + |\xi_3|^2 + |\xi_4|^2) = 12,$$

we can derive that

$$|\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4|^2 = |\xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_4|^2 = |\xi_2 - \xi_3 + \xi_4|^2 = |\xi_2 + \xi_3 - \xi_4|^2 = 3$$

which implies $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^4 and we complete the proof of this theorem. \square

Given a lattice Λ_n^* of rank n , to investigate the set Ξ constituted by all shortest lattice vectors up to ± 1 , we can consider the intersections of it with all sublattices of rank $n - 1$. Let

$$m(\Xi) \triangleq \max \left\{ \#(\Xi \cap \tilde{\Lambda}) \mid \tilde{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda_n^* \text{ is a sublattice of rank } n - 1 \right\}, \quad (18)$$

and Ξ' be one of the intersection attaining $m(\Xi)$. Note that there may be more than one such intersections.

In the rest part of this section, we always assume Λ_n^* is a prime lattice of rank n . For such lattice, we have $m(\Xi) \geq n - 1$. Let Ξ' be a chosen intersection attaining $m(\Xi)$, we assume that

$$\Xi' = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{m(\Xi)}\},$$

and Λ_n^* is generated by $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \xi_{m(\Xi)+1}\}$. Then there exist N integer vectors

$$\{A_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in})\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{n-1} \\ \xi_{m(\Xi)+1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Obviously, $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}, A_{m(\Xi)+1}\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . It follows from the prime assumption and Lemma 5.6 that

$$a_{ji} \in \{0, \pm 1\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Moreover, by changing the direction of some vectors in Ξ if necessary, we can assume the last coordinate of A_i equals 0 for $1 \leq i \leq m(\Xi)$, and 1 for $m(\Xi) + 1 \leq i \leq N$.

We will still abuse the notation Y to denote either the set constituted by A_i , or the matrix constructed by A_i as in (19). Note that the prime assumption on $\Xi \subset \Lambda_n^*$ is equivalent to say that any n linearly independent vectors in Y form a generator of \mathbb{Z}^n . For applications in the next section, we conclude some further properties of prime lattices in the following three lemmas.

Lemma 5.9. *For a prime lattice, in terms of matrix, all minors of Y can only take values in $\{0, \pm 1\}$.*

Proof. Suppose the opposite that there is a nonzero minor involving the i_1 -th, i_2 -th, \dots , i_k -th rows and j_1 -th, j_2 -th, \dots , j_k -th columns that is not equal to ± 1 , then \mathbb{Z}^n can not be generated by $\{A_{j_1}, A_{j_2}, \dots, A_{j_k}\}$ and $\{A_{i_{k+1}}, A_{i_{k+2}}, \dots, A_{i_n}\}$, since

$$|A_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge A_{j_k} \wedge A_{i_{k+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge A_{i_n}| > 1,$$

where $\{i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n\}$ is the complementary set of $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ in $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1, m(\Xi) + 1\}$. This gives a contradiction with our prime assumption. \square

Lemma 5.10. *For any given $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $m(\Xi) + 1 \leq j, k \leq N$, we have $a_{ji}a_{ki} \geq 0$, where a_{ji} is the i -th coordinate of A_j .*

Proof. Assume $a_{ji}a_{ki} < 0$. Then from $a_{jn} = a_{kn} = 1$ we get the minor $\begin{vmatrix} a_{ji} & a_{ki} \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \pm 2$, which is a contradiction with our prime assumption. \square

For a subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we say a subset $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ has a *partial order according to I* , if for any given two vectors $\xi, \eta \in X$, their i -th coordinates ξ_i, η_i and j -th coordinates ξ_j, η_j satisfy

$$(\xi_i - \eta_i)(\xi_j - \eta_j) \geq 0, \quad i, j \in I.$$

Lemma 5.11. *If there is a vector $A_j \in Y$ such that three coordinates $\{a_{ji_1}, a_{ji_2}, a_{ji_3}\}$ of A_j satisfy*

$$a_{ji_1}a_{ji_2} = 1, \quad a_{ji_3} = 0,$$

then for any $1 \leq r \leq N$, we have

$$a_{ri_1}a_{ri_2} \geq 0,$$

and the subset $Y_{i_3} \triangleq \{A_k \in Y \mid a_{ki_3} = 1\}$ has a partial order according to $\{i_1, i_2\}$, so does the subset $\widehat{Y}_{i_3} \triangleq \{A_k \in Y \mid a_{ki_3} = -1\}$.

Proof. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 5.10, by considering the minor $\begin{vmatrix} a_{ji_1} & a_{ri_1} \\ a_{ji_2} & a_{ri_2} \end{vmatrix}$, we can derive the first conclusion.

Given two arbitrary vectors $A_k, A_l \in Y_{i_3}$, we have $a_{ki_3} = a_{li_3} = 1$, which implies $a_{ki_1}a_{li_1} \geq 0$ and $a_{ki_2}a_{li_2} \geq 0$ by considering the minors $\begin{vmatrix} a_{ki_1} & a_{li_1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ and $\begin{vmatrix} a_{ki_2} & a_{li_2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$, respectively. Therefore, $a_{ki_1} - a_{li_1}$ and $a_{ki_2} - a_{li_2}$ all take values in $\{0, \pm 1\}$. Consider the minor

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{ji_1} & a_{ki_1} & a_{li_1} \\ a_{ji_2} & a_{ki_2} & a_{li_2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \pm[(a_{ki_1} - a_{li_1}) - (a_{ki_2} - a_{li_2})].$$

It follows from the prime assumption that $(a_{ki_1} - a_{li_1})(a_{ki_2} - a_{li_2}) \geq 0$. Similar discussion applies to \widehat{Y}_{i_3} . \square

Lemma 5.12. *If $A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_{n-1} + A_{m(\Xi)+1}$ belongs to Y , then all the coordinates of any $A_j \in Y$ must be either ≥ 0 or ≤ 0 . Especially, after changing the directions of some vectors, all entries of Y take values in $\{0, 1\}$.*

Proof. Let $A_0 = A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_{n-1} + A_{m(\Xi)+1}$. If there is a vector $A_j \in Y$ which doesn't satisfy the conclusion, then one can find a 2-minor in A_0 and A_j taking values other than $\{0, \pm 1\}$. This gives a contradiction with our prime assumption. \square

The following definition will also be used in the next section.

Definition 5.13. *A vector in Z^n is called k -null, if it has exactly $n - k$ nonzero coordinates. Under fixed generator of Λ_n^* , a lattice vector in Λ_n^* is called k -null if its coordinate vector is k -null.*

6 Classification of conformally flat and λ_1 -minimal 3-tori and 4-tori

It follows from the works of Montiel-Ros [21] and El Soufi-Ilias [9] that for each conformal structure on compact manifold $(M^n, [g_0])$, there exists at most one metric $g \in [g_0]$ so that (M^n, g) can be minimally immersed into a sphere by the first eigenfunctions. Moreover, if (M^n, g_0) is homogeneous, then such λ_1 -minimal metric must be g_0 itself (up to a constant dilation). Note that the flat torus T^n is homogeneous, so we only need to classify all non-congruent λ_1 -minimal immersions of flat 3-tori and 4-tori in spheres.

Let $x : T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ be a λ_1 -minimal flat torus. Here we do not assume it is linearly full, and denote by N the number of distinct shortest lattice vectors of Λ_n^* up to ± 1 , i.e., the half dimension of the first eigenspace of flat torus $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n$. Without loss of generality, we assume this shortest length is 1. It is well known that $N \geq n$ (see Corollary 3.4 in [5]). Let Ξ be the set of these N shortest vectors, which are denoted by $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N$. Then according to Remark 4.1, x is reducible if and only if Λ_n^* is s-reducible defined as in Section 5.

6.1 Classification of conformally flat 3-tori

Theorem 6.1. *Up to congruence, there are five distinct λ_1 -minimal immersions of conformally flat 3-tori in spheres. Two of them are reducible ones given in Example 4.2, others are irreducible ones given in Example 4.3 ~ 4.5. They are all listed in the Table 1.*

Proof. For λ_1 -minimal flat 3-torus, it follows from Theorem 5.8 that the dual lattice Λ_3^* is always prime. Hence by Remark 5.5 and Proposition 2.7, the λ_1 -minimal immersion x is homogeneous. Then it follows from Corollary 3.5 that we only need to prove the corresponding integer set Y of x is exactly that given in Example 4.3 \sim 4.5, for which $\{A^t A \mid A \in Y\}$ is of rank N can be easily checked.

Suppose x is irreducible. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that there exists a generic 4-tuple in Ξ , which is assumed to be $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4\}$. Moreover, we choose $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ to be a generator of Λ_3^* so that $\xi_4 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$. Then Lemma 5.12 implies that all coordinates of lattice vectors in Ξ can be assumed to take values in $\{0, 1\}$. Therefore $\Xi \setminus \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4\}$ is constituted by 1-null lattice vectors, the number of which is no more than 3. On the other hand, from

$$(\xi_1 + \xi_2) \wedge (\xi_2 + \xi_3) \wedge (\xi_1 + \xi_3) = 2\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \xi_3,$$

we know this number can not be 3, which implies $N \leq 6$. If $N = 4$, we obtain Example 4.3. If $N = 5$, we obtain Example 4.4 by making a permutation to $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ such that the only 1-null lattice vector in Ξ is $\xi_1 + \xi_2$. Similarly, we arrive at Example 4.5 for $N = 6$. \square

6.2 Classification of conformally flat 4-tori

For λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus, it follows from Theorem 5.8 that the dual lattice Λ_4^* is prime with only one exception, which is generated by the row vectors of (17). We will firstly discuss the λ_1 -minimal immersion of such exceptional torus.

Lemma 6.2. *The λ_1 -minimal isometric immersion of such exceptional torus is homogeneous.*

Proof. It follows from (17) that the shortest vectors of Λ_4^* are composed of the following column vectors ξ_i and $-\xi_i$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

which can be divided into three blocks: I_4 , S , S^t . It is obvious that S is an orthogonal matrix and $S^3 = I_4$.

It suffices to show that the symmetric products from any η -set are linearly independent, where the conclusion arises according to Lemma 2.7.

Suppose $\eta = \xi_{r_1} \pm \xi_{s_1}$. Either $\{\xi_{r_1}, \xi_{s_1}\}$ comes from the same block which can be assumed I_4 and thus $|\eta|^2 = 2$, or from different blocks which can be assumed I_4 and S so that $|\eta|^2 = 1$ or 3 . Here we have used the symmetry induced by S .

When $|\eta|^2 = 3$, we may assume the first coordinate of η being $3/2$. Then it is easy to verify that there is no other possibilities for $\{\pm\xi_{r_i}, \pm\xi_{s_j}\}$ (note that these vectors have to be distinct). Clearly, $\xi_{r_1} \odot \xi_{s_1}$ is linearly independent.

When $|\eta|^2 = 2$, the other pairs $\{\xi_{r_i}, \xi_{s_i}\}$ must also come from a same block, for ξ_{r_i} and ξ_{s_i} have to be orthogonal. We may assume $\eta = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ such that all pairs $\{\xi_{r_i}, \xi_{s_i}\}$ are given as follows,

$$\eta = \xi_1 + \xi_2 = \xi_5 + \xi_6 = \xi_9 + \xi_{10}.$$

Then by direct computation, we obtain that $\xi_1 \odot \xi_2$, $\xi_5 \odot \xi_6$ and $\xi_9 \odot \xi_{10}$ are linearly independent.

When $|\eta|^2 = 1$, η is one of the shortest vectors. We may assume $\eta = \xi_5$ such that all pairs $\{\xi_{r_i}, \xi_{s_i}\}$ are given as follows,

$$\eta = \xi_1 - \xi_{12} = \xi_2 + \xi_{11} = \xi_3 + \xi_{10} = \xi_4 + \xi_9.$$

Then it is straightforward to verify that $\xi_1 \odot \xi_{12}$, $\xi_2 \odot \xi_{11}$, $\xi_3 \odot \xi_{10}$ and $\xi_4 \odot \xi_9$ are linearly independent. \square

Proposition 6.3. *For such exceptional torus, there is altogether a 2-parameter family of λ_1 -minimal isometric immersions in \mathbb{S}^{23} up to congruence, given as follows (see also Example 1.1):*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(a_1 e^{i\pi(u_1+u_2+u_3+u_4)}, a_1 e^{i\pi(u_1+u_2-u_3-u_4)}, a_1 e^{i\pi(u_1-u_2+u_3-u_4)}, a_1 e^{i\pi(-u_1+u_2+u_3-u_4)}, \right. \\ & \quad a_2 e^{i\pi(u_1+u_2+u_3-u_4)}, a_2 e^{i\pi(u_1+u_2-u_3+u_4)}, a_2 e^{i\pi(u_1-u_2+u_3+u_4)}, a_2 e^{i\pi(u_1-u_2-u_3-u_4)}, \quad (21) \\ & \quad \left. a_3 e^{2i\pi u_1}, a_3 e^{2i\pi u_2}, a_3 e^{2i\pi u_3}, a_3 e^{2i\pi u_4} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$ and $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = \frac{1}{4}$.

Proof. From (20) one can see that Λ_4^* can be generated by $-\xi_1, -\xi_2, -\xi_3, \xi_5$ whose Gram matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

By a straightforward computation, we can derive that the matrix Y characterizing all shortest lattice vectors up to ± 1 is given by

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^t.$$

We point out that the order A_i in Y does not coincide with that of ξ in (20). Moreover, for any $c_1^2 + c_2^2 \leq 1/4$,

$$\left(c_2^2, c_2^2, c_2^2, c_2^2, \frac{1}{4} - c_1^2 - c_2^2, \frac{1}{4} - c_1^2 - c_2^2, \frac{1}{4} - c_1^2 - c_2^2, \frac{1}{4} - c_1^2 - c_2^2, c_1^2 c_1^2, c_1^2, c_1^2 \right) \quad (23)$$

defines a λ_1 -minimal isometric immersion. In fact, these enumerate all possibilities of the λ_1 -minimal isometric immersion, since the rank of $\{A^t A \mid A \in Y\}$ is 10, which can be verified directly. Define $a_1 = \sqrt{c_2^2}$, $a_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - c_1^2 - c_2^2}$, $a_3 = \sqrt{c_1^2}$, then these immersions can be written down explicitly as (21).

Next, we discuss the congruence of these immersions. Note that an ambient congruence induces an isometry on the flat torus $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n$. It is well known that there are two kinds of isometries on T^n . One is produced by the translations on \mathbb{R}^n . It is obvious that the ambient congruence corresponding to such isometry can not transform one immersion of the form (21) to another. The other is induced from the orthogonal transformations on \mathbb{R}^n which preserve the lattice Λ_n , and then Λ_n^* . Since such orthogonal transformations preserve the lengths and angles of lattice vectors, they induce perturbations between the sets $\{\pm I_4\}$, $\{\pm S\}$ and $\{\pm S^2\}$, which further induce perturbations on $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. \square

Remark 6.4. *The immersion given in (20) can be seen as a twist product:*

$$x(u) \triangleq \left(a_1 f(uS), a_2 f(uS^2), a_3 f(u) \right) \in \mathbb{S}^7(2a_1) \times \mathbb{S}^7(2a_2) \times \mathbb{S}^7(2a_3) \subset \mathbb{S}^{23},$$

where $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$, $f(u) = (e^{2i\pi u_1}, e^{2i\pi u_2}, e^{2i\pi u_3}, e^{2i\pi u_4})$, and S is the following orthogonal matrix of order 3:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The flat torus involved in $x(u)$ is

$$\mathbb{R}^4 / \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e_1 - e_4, e_2 - e_4, e_3 - e_4, 2e_4\},$$

with the volume $2\pi^4$. Note that when $a_3 = \frac{1}{2}$, $x(u)$ reduces to the double covering of the Clifford 4-torus with the underlying flat torus

$$\mathbb{R}^4 / \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}.$$

Theorem 6.5. *Up to congruence, Example 4.6 \sim Example 4.16, and Proposition 6.3 exhaust all λ_1 -minimal immersions of conformally flat 4-tori in spheres. They are all listed in the Table 2.*

Proof. The exceptional case has been discussed in Proposition 6.3. Next, we assume Λ_4^* is prime. It follows from Remark 5.5 and Proposition 2.7 that the λ_1 -minimal immersion x is homogeneous. Combining this with Corollary 3.5, we only need to prove the corresponding integer set Y of x is exactly that given in Example 4.6 \sim Example 4.16, for which $\{A^t A \mid A \in Y\}$ is of rank N can be easily checked.

Note that all reducible ones have been given in Example 4.6. We will complete the classification of irreducible ones through the following lemmas and propositions involving prime lattices of rank 4. \square

In the rest discussion, when some generator of Λ_4^* is chosen, we will identify the lattice vectors with their coordinates with respect to the given generator such that all vectors belong to \mathbb{Z}^4 .

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose Λ_4^* is an s -irreducible prime lattice of rank 4, if there is no generic 5-tuple in Ξ , then $N \leq 7$ and Y takes the form as given in Example 4.9.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that there always exists a generic 4-tuple in Ξ . Set $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ to be a generator of Λ_4^* such that the generic 4-tuple is given by

$$\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_5 \triangleq \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3\}.$$

Using Lemma 5.12, we can assume that all the coordinates of lattice vectors in $\Xi \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ take values in $\{0, 1\}$.

Since Λ_4^* is s -irreducible, there is at least one vector in $\Xi \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ other than η_4 . Let η be such vector which can not be 2-null. Otherwise, $\eta = \eta_j \pm \eta_4$ for some $1 \leq j \leq 3$ and then $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5, \eta\} \setminus \{\eta_j\}$ constitute a generic 5-tuple. So we can assume η is $(a_1, a_2, 0, 1)$ by the symmetry of η_1, η_2, η_3 . It follows from Lemma 5.11 that $a_1 a_2 > 0$. Therefore, after changing the direction of η and η_4 if necessary we can assume $a_1 = a_2 = 1$. Moreover, using Lemma 5.10 and the partial order according to $\{1, 2, 3\}$ (see Lemma 5.11), we know there exists no other 1-null vectors in $\Xi \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$, which means $\Xi \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\} = \{\eta_4, \eta\}$.

If $\eta_1 + \eta_3$ (resp. $\eta_2 + \eta_3$) belongs to Ξ , then $\{\eta_4, \eta, \eta_5\}$ together with $\{\eta_1 + \eta_3, \eta_1\}$ (resp. $\{\eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_2\}$) constitute a generic 5-tuple. Therefore, besides $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_5\}$, $\eta_1 + \eta_2$ is the only vector may allowed in Ξ from $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$, which completes the proof of this lemma. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Suppose Ξ contains a generic 5-tuple X . Then we can choose Ξ' such that $\sharp(\Xi' \cap X) = 3$.*

Proof. We assume $X = \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5\}$ and $\eta_5 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$. Choose $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ to be a generator of Λ_4^* , then by Lemma 5.12 we have all coordinates of vectors in Ξ taking values in $\{0, 1\}$.

Since $\text{rank}(\Xi') = 3$, it suffices to prove that we can choose Ξ' such that $\sharp(\Xi' \cap X) \geq 3$.

If Ξ' contains only 0-null or 3-null vectors (i.e. η_i) then $\Xi = \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5\}$ and $m(\Xi) = 3$. For $m(\Xi) > 3$, Ξ' contains at least a 1-null or 2-null vector. Note that by rechoosing a generator of Λ_4^* in X , a given 2-null vector (such as $\eta_1 + \eta_2$) can be transformed to a 1-null vector (such as $\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$ by choosing $\{\eta_5, -\eta_3, -\eta_4, -\eta_1\}$ as a new generator). Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume there is a 1-null vector $\eta \triangleq \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 \in \Xi'$.

It is easy to see that if $\sharp(\Xi' \cap \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}) = 2$ then $\Xi' = \Xi \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$.

If $\sharp(\Xi' \cap \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}) = 1$, we can assume it is η_1 . Since $\sharp(\Xi \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}) \geq 4$ and $\eta_1, \eta \in \Xi'$, we know $\sharp(\Xi' \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}) \geq 2$ in which all the vectors have coordinates 1 with respect to η_4 . It follows from Lemma 5.11 that the existence of $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$ implies the vectors in $\Xi' \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ obey the partial order according to $\{1, 2, 3\}$, which means there are at most one k -null vector in $\Xi' \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ for every $0 \leq k \leq 3$. When $\Xi' \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ is composed of exactly two vectors, we get $\Xi \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ also attaining $m(\Xi)$ so that it can be chosen as the Ξ' we desired. Or else, Ξ' must contain η_4 or η_5 . Since $\eta_5 - \eta_4 = \eta \in \Xi'$, we have Ξ' must contain both η_4 and η_5 simultaneously, which implies $\eta_1, \eta_4, \eta_5 \in \Xi'$. The third one in $\Xi' \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ must be $\eta_1 + \eta_4$ if it's 2-null or $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$ if it's 1-null. Otherwise, η_2 or η_3 will be contained in Ξ' . Moreover, only one of these two vectors could be allowed in Ξ' for they violate the partial order according to $\{1, 2, 3\}$. This is obviously a case of $N = 7$.

If $\Xi' \cap \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\} = \emptyset$ then $\Xi' \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\} = \{\eta\}$. Similarly, we have $\sharp(\Xi' \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}) \geq 3$ and all the vectors in $\Xi' \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ admit at most one k -null vector for every $0 \leq k \leq 3$. Therefore, $\Xi' \cap \{\eta_4, \eta_5\} \neq \emptyset$ and thus $\eta_4, \eta_5 \in \Xi'$. Note that there are still others left in $\Xi' \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$. However, any 1-null (resp. 2-null) minus η_5 (resp. η_4) will yield $\Xi' \cap \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\} \neq \emptyset$. Hence we finish the proof by this contradiction. \square

Remark 6.8. *From the proof of above two lemmas one can see that for $N \geq 8$, we can always choose a generic 5-tuple and a generator of Λ_4^* as in Lemma 6.7 such that $\Xi' = \Xi \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ and $\eta \in \Xi'$.*

Proposition 6.9. *If $N \leq 7$ and there exists a generic 5-tuple in Ξ , then we can find a generator of Λ_4^* such that Y takes the form as given in Example 4.7, Example 4.8, Example 4.10 and Example 4.11.*

Proof. Suppose $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5\}$ is a generic 5-tuple in Ξ , with $\eta_5 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$. When $N = 5$, it is easy to see that after choosing $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ to be a generator of Λ_4^* , we obtain Y as given in Example 4.7.

When $N \geq 6$, similarly as discussed in the proof of Lemma 6.7, we can assume

$$\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5 \in \Xi.$$

For the case of $N = 6$, by choosing $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ to be a generator of Λ_4^* , we arrive at Example 4.8. For the case of $N = 7$, if the remainder lattice vector lies on $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$, then we obtain Y as given in Example 4.10 after a permutation in $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$. Now we assume the remainder lies on $\Xi \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$. Either it is 1-null, which can be assumed $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$ without loss of generality, so that we arrive at Example 4.11. Or it is 2-null, which can be assumed $\eta_3 + \eta_4$ without loss of generality. Then choosing $\{-(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3), \eta_5, -(\eta_3 + \eta_4), -\eta_2\}$ as a generator of Λ_4^* , we also obtain Y as in Example 4.11. \square

Proposition 6.10. *If $N \geq 8$, then there exist a generator of Λ_4^* such that Y takes the form as given in Example 4.12~Example 4.16.*

Proof. It follows from Remark 6.8 that there exist a generic 5-tuple $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5\}$ and Ξ' such that

$$\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta \triangleq \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3\} \in \Xi', \quad \eta_5 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4.$$

By choosing $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ as a generator of Λ_4^* , it follows from Lemma 5.12 that all coordinates of vectors in Y can only take values in $\{0, 1\}$.

If $m(\Xi) = 4$, the vectors in Ξ other than $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta, \eta_4, \eta_5$ don't lie on $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$. As in the proof of Lemma 6.7, they can be assumed $\eta_3 + \eta_4$ and $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$ due to the partial order according to $\{1, 2, 3\}$ (see Lemma 5.11). It means $\sharp(\Xi \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}) = 5$ against $m(\Xi) = 4$. So $m(\Xi) \geq 5$.

When $m(\Xi) = 5$, we can assume $\eta_1 + \eta_2 \in \Xi'$ after making a permutation to $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$. Note that $\eta, \eta_5 \in \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1 + \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$, neither $\eta_3 + \eta_4$ nor $\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_4$ is allowed in Ξ which will make $\sharp(\Xi \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1 + \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}) \geq 6 > m(\Xi)$. Due to the partial order according to $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and the symmetry of η_1 and η_2 , there is at most one 1-null vector in $\Xi \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ which can be assumed $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$, and at most one 2-null vector in $\Xi \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ which can be assumed $\eta_2 + \eta_4$. So $N \leq 9$. If $N = 9$, both $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$ and $\eta_2 + \eta_4$ exist and Y takes the form as given in Example 4.15. If $N = 8$ and only $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$ exists, then we arrive at Example 4.13. If $N = 8$ and only $\eta_2 + \eta_4$ exists, then after choosing $\{-\eta_2, -\eta_1, -\eta_3, \eta_5\}$ as a new generator, we can also obtain Y as given in Example 4.13.

When $m(\Xi) = 6$, up to a permutation of $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$, we can assume $\eta_1 + \eta_2, \eta_2 + \eta_3 \in \Xi'$. From

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_1 + \eta_3) \wedge (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \wedge (\eta_2 + \eta_3) \wedge \eta_4 &= (\eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) \wedge (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \wedge (\eta_2 + \eta_3) \wedge \eta_4 \\ &= (\eta_2 + \eta_4) \wedge (\eta_2 + \eta_3) \wedge (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \wedge \eta_5 = 2\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \wedge \eta_4, \end{aligned}$$

we know none of $\eta_1 + \eta_3$, $\eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$ or $\eta_2 + \eta_4$ can be contained in Ξ . Combining this with the partial order according to $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and the symmetry of η_1 and η_3 , there is at most one 1-null vector in $\Xi \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ which can be assumed $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$, and at most one 2-null vector in $\Xi \setminus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ which can be assumed $\eta_3 + \eta_4$. So $N \leq 10$. If $N = 8$ then Y takes the form as given in Example 4.12. If $N = 10$, both $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$ and $\eta_3 + \eta_4$ exist, which leads to Example 4.16. If $N = 9$ and only $\eta_3 + \eta_4$ exists, then we obtain Y as given in Example 4.14. If $N = 9$ and only $\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$ exists, then after choosing $\{-\eta_3, -\eta_2, -\eta_1, \eta_5\}$ as a new generator, we have also Y taking the form as given in Example 4.14.

The non-existence of $\eta_1 + \eta_3$ implies $m(\Xi) < 7$ and we finish the proof. \square

Remark 6.11. *Through the discussion in this section, we can obtain that in a prime lattice of rank $n \leq 4$, there exists at most $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ distinct lattice vectors of shortest length up to ± 1 .*

7 On λ_1 -minimal flat tori of higher dimension

Similarly as in section 4, we can construct many examples of higher dimensional λ_1 -minimal flat tori in spheres. For simplicity, we choose a certain class to introduce in this section.

Consider the set $X_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ given by the column vectors of

$$X_n^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & 1 & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & 1 \cdots 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times \frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$

We call X_n the *ladder set*. It is straightforward to verify that W_{X_n} is a singleton set, only contains

$$Q_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & & & & & \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & & & & \\ & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ & & & & & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By direct computation, we can obtain that

$$Q_n^{-1} = \frac{2}{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} n & n-1 & n-2 & \cdots & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ n-1 & (n-1)2 & (n-2)2 & \cdots & 3 \cdot 2 & 2 \cdot 2 & 2 \\ n-2 & (n-2)2 & (n-3)3 & \cdots & 3 \cdot 3 & 3 \cdot 2 & 3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 3 & 3 \cdot 2 & 3 \cdot 3 & \cdots & (n-3)3 & (n-3)2 & n-2 \\ 2 & 2 \cdot 2 & 3 \cdot 2 & \cdots & (n-2)2 & (n-1)2 & n-1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-2 & n-1 & n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

and

$$Q_n^{-1} = \frac{2}{n+1} \left(A_1^t A_1 + A_2^t A_2 + \cdots + A_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}^t A_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \right). \quad (25)$$

Moreover, for any $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus 0$, we have

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) Q_n (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^t = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i a_{i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(a_i - a_{i+1})^2}{2} + \frac{a_1^2 + a_n^2}{2} \geq 1, \quad (26)$$

with the equality holds if and only if (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) comes from X_n .

Proposition 7.1. *The matrix data set $\{X_n, Q_n, \frac{2}{n(n+1)}(1, 1, \dots, 1)\}$ provides a λ_1 -minimal flat torus in $\mathbb{S}^{n(n+1)-1}$, which is linearly full and has volume $\frac{(2\sqrt{2})^n}{\sqrt{n+1}(\sqrt{n})^n} \pi^n$.*

One can see that when we take $n = 2, 3, 4$, we obtain the equilateral torus, Example 4.5, and Example 4.16 respectively.

Next, for a given $1 \leq k \leq n$, we consider the integer set $X_{n,k}$ obtained by removing the last $n - k$ rows from the ladder set X_n , i.e.,

$$X_{n,k}^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & 1 \cdots 1 \\ & & & & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 1 \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times (\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + k)}. \quad (27)$$

Remark 7.2. *Note that when $k = n$, $X_{n,k} = X_n$, which can automatically determine a λ_1 -minimal flat n -torus as discussed above. When $k = 1$, $X_{n,k}$ is a block diagonal matrix, from which a reducible λ_1 -minimal flat n -torus can be obtained.*

Next let us consider the case of $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Such kind of $X_{n,k}$ is called the *faulted ladder set*.

Lemma 7.3. *Define*

$$Q_{n,k} \triangleq Q_n + \frac{1}{2k}(E_{n,n-k} + E_{n-k,n}),$$

where $E_{i,j} = e_i^t e_j$ and e_i is the i -th row of I_n , then $Q_{n,k} \in W_{X_{n,k}}$, and

$$Q_{n,k}^{-1} = R_n + S_k - T_k,$$

with

$$R_n = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1}^{-1} & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_k = \begin{pmatrix} O & \\ & Q_k^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_k = \begin{pmatrix} O & \\ & Q_{k-1}^{-1} \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The first conclusion is easy to be verified, we only prove the second one.

Write

$$Q_n^{-1} = \frac{2}{n+1} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} i(n+1-j)(E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} i(n+1-i)E_{i,i} \right),$$

It follows that

$$R_n = \frac{2}{n} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} i(n-j)(E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} i(n-i)E_{i,i} \right), \quad (28)$$

$$S_k = \frac{2}{k+1} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} i(k+1-i)(E_{n-k+i,n-k+j} + E_{n-k+j,n-k+i}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} i(k+1-i)E_{n-k+i,n-k+i} \right), \quad (29)$$

$$T_k = \frac{2}{k} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1} i(k-i)(E_{n-k+i,n-k+j} + E_{n-k+j,n-k+i}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} i(k-i)E_{n-k+i,n-k+i} \right). \quad (30)$$

Note that we can also express $Q_{n,k}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,k} &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}(E_{n,n-1} + E_{n-1,n}) + \frac{1}{2k}(E_{n,n-k} + E_{n-k,n}), \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-k} & \\ & Q_k \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}(E_{n-k+1,n-k} + E_{n-k,n-k+1}) + \frac{1}{2k}(E_{n,n-k} + E_{n-k,n}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n-k} & & \\ & Q_{k-1} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}(E_{n,n-1} + E_{n-1,n}) - \frac{1}{2}(E_{n-k+1,n-k} + E_{n-k,n-k+1}) + \frac{1}{2k}(E_{n,n-k} + E_{n-k,n}). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Combining the fact that

$$E_{i,j}E_{k,l} = \delta_{j,k}E_{i,l}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$$

with (28) \sim (31), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,k}R_n &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n-k < i \leq n-1} \frac{n-k-i}{k} E_{n,i}, \\ Q_{n,k}S_k &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & I_k \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{k-i}{k} E_{n-k, n-k+i}, \\ Q_{n,k}T_k &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & I_{k-1} & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} \frac{i}{k} E_{n, n-k+i} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} \frac{k-i}{k} E_{n-k, n-k+i}, \end{aligned}$$

from which the conclusion follows. \square

It follows from (25) that

$$\begin{aligned} R_n &= \frac{2}{n} \left(A_1^t A_1 + \cdots + A_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}^t A_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^j A_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}^t A_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}, \\ S_k &= \frac{2}{k+1} \sum_{j=n-k}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j+1+k-n} A_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}^t A_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}, \quad T_k = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{j=n-k}^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{j+1+k-n} A_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}^t A_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}, \end{aligned}$$

where A_i^t is the i -th column vector of $X_{n,k}^t$. Note that in $Q_{n,k}^{-1}$, the coefficient of $A_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}^t A_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}$ is

$$\frac{2}{n} + \frac{2}{k+1} - \frac{2}{k} = \frac{2(k^2 + k - n)}{nk(k+1)}$$

for $n-k \leq j \leq n-2$ and $1 \leq i \leq j+1+k-n$; the other coefficients are $\frac{2}{n} > 0$ or $\frac{2}{k+1} > 0$.

Proposition 7.4. *Suppose $2 \leq k \leq n-1$.*

(1) *When $k^2 + k > n$, the faulted ladder set $X_{n,k}^t$ given in (27) can determine a linearly full and λ_1 -minimal flat n -torus in $\mathbb{S}^{n(n-1)+2k-1}$.*

(2) *When $k^2 + k = n$, the faulted ladder set $X_{n,k}^t$ given in (27) can determine a linearly full and λ_1 -minimal flat torus in a sphere of dimension $n(n-1)-k^2+3k-1$, with $k(k-1)$ dimensional eigenfunctions redundant.*

Proof. Assume Λ_n^* is the lattice determined by $Q_{n,k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$), and the corresponding generator is $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$. Denote by Λ_n the dual lattice. Define $(c_1^2, c_2^2, \dots, c_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+k}^2)$ as follows:

$$c_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}+i}^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{2(k^2 + k - n)}{nk(k+1)}, & n-k \leq j \leq n-2 \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq j+1+k-n; \\ \frac{2}{n}, & j < n-k \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq j; \\ \frac{2}{k+1}, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

Since the matrix data set $\left\{ X_{n,k}, Q_{n,k}, (c_1^2, c_2^2, \dots, c_{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+k}^2) \right\}$ satisfy (11) and (12), they can determine an isometric minimal immersion of $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda_n$ in $\mathbb{S}^{n(n-1)+2k-1}$.

We are left to show 1 is the shortest length in Λ_n^* , and all the lattice vectors of this length having coordinates vectors as given in $X_{n,k}$ up to the direction.

Consider the sublattice generated by $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$. It is obvious that it takes Q_{n-1} as the Gram matrix. Using (26), we know 1 is the shortest length in this sublattice. Next, we will use Theorem 3.8 to show this is also true for Λ_n^* .

Firstly, we calculate the distance from ξ_n to the hyperplane $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$. Define $v \triangleq (v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$, where $v_{n-k} = 1/(2k)$, $v_{n-1} = -1/2$ and the others are 0. By (15) we get

$$d_n^2 = 1 - vQ_{n-1}^{-1}v^t = 1 - \frac{k-1}{2k} = \frac{k+1}{2k} > \frac{1}{4},$$

which implies for any vector $\xi = a_1\xi_1 + \dots + a_n\xi_n \in \Lambda_n^*$, if $|a_n| > 1$ then $\|\xi\| > 1$. When $a_n = 0$, as a lattice vector in the sublattice, it is obvious that $\|\xi\| \geq 1$, with equality holds if and only if $\pm\xi$ belongs to X_{n-1} , which can be embedded into $X_{n,k}$. So we only need to discuss the case of $a_n = \pm 1$. By considering $-\xi$ if necessary, we can assume $a_n = 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi|^2 - 1 &= a_1^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}^2 - a_1a_2 - \dots - a_{n-2}a_{n-1} - a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{k}a_{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}(a_i - a_{i+1})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a_1^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{k}a_{n-k}, \end{aligned}$$

which is larger than 0 when $a_{n-k} > 0$. For the case of $a_{n-k} = 0$, it is obvious that $|\xi|^2 - 1 \geq 0$, and the equality holds if and only if $a_1 = \dots = a_{p-1} = 0$ and $a_p = \dots = a_n = 1$ for some $p > n - k$, which exactly corresponds to a certain row of $X_{n,k}$. When $a_{n-k} < 0$, using the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\sum_{i=n-k}^{n-1} (a_i - a_{i+1})^2 \geq \frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{i=n-k}^{n-1} (a_i - a_{i+1}) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{k} (1 - a_{n-k})^2 > -\frac{2}{k}a_{n-k},$$

from which it follows that $|\xi|^2 - 1 > 0$, and we finish the proof. \square

Remark 7.5. *The λ_1 -minimal flat torus in this section has volume $\frac{\sqrt{k}(2\sqrt{2})^n}{\sqrt{k+1}(\sqrt{n})^{n+1}}\pi^n$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$). It comes from the fact that $\det Q_{n,k} = \frac{n(k+1)}{2^{nk}}$ which again indicates $Q_{n,k}$ is positive-definite. One can easily check that Example 4.4, 4.12 and 4.14 exactly correspond to the case $(n, k) = (3, 2)$, $(4, 2)$ and $(4, 3)$ respectively.*

8 Berger's problem on conformally flat 3-tori and 4-tori

As recalled in the introduction, on n -tori ($n \geq 3$), there is no solution to the Berger's problem, i.e., one can not expect a uniform upper bound for $\mathcal{L}(g)$ among all smooth Riemannian metrics. However, if restricted to the flat metric, or a certain class of some conformally flat metrics, we can solve the Berger's problem for $n \leq 4$. That is, we will prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 given in the introduction.

Note that finding the upper bound for $\lambda_1(g)V(g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ among all flat n -tori, is equivalent to find the upper bound for volumes of all flat n -tori with $4\pi^2$ as the first eigenvalue, which can be done by calculating the minimum of the determinant of those lattices (of rank n) with 1 as the shortest length. Since a shortest lattice vector can always be extended to be the first vector of a generator, in terms of the Gram matrix, we only need to calculate the minimum of \det on the following set:

$$\Omega_1 \triangleq \{Q \in \Sigma_+ \mid Q_{11} = 1, vQv^t \geq 1 \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}\},$$

where Q_{ij} is the entry of Q . We still use the notation Ξ to denote the set of shortest lattice vectors up to ± 1 in a given lattice Λ_n^* .

Lemma 8.1. *For the lattice Λ_n^* determined by $Q \in \Omega_1$, if $\text{rank}(\Xi) < n$, then Q is not a minimal point of \det on Ω_1 .*

Proof. We assume Ξ is contained in the $(n-1)$ -dimensional sublattice Λ_{n-1} with a generator $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$ and α is a vector in $\Lambda_n^* \setminus \Lambda_{n-1}$ such that $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \alpha\}$ is a generator of Λ_n^* .

Set $\alpha = \alpha^\top + \alpha^\perp$, in which α^\top is the orthogonal projection of α onto $\text{Span}\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$. Let $\Lambda_{n,t}^*$ be a new lattice generated by $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \alpha - t\alpha^\perp\}$ for $0 \leq t < 1$, $\Pi_{k,t}$ be the affine hyperplane defined by $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \xi_i + k(\alpha - t\alpha^\perp)$ ($c_i \in \mathbb{R}$) for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that there exists an integer $K > 0$ such that $\Pi_{k,0} \cap \overline{B(0,1)} = \emptyset$ if and only if $|k| > K$. By continuity, there is $0 < t_0 < 1$ such that for any $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, $\Pi_{k,t} \cap \overline{B(0,1)} = \emptyset$ if and only if $|k| > K$.

As for $0 < |k| \leq K$, it is easy to see that for any vector of $\Lambda_{n,t}^* \cap \Pi_{k,t}$, its orthogonal projection onto $\text{Span}\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$ does not depend on t , while $\Pi_{k,t} \cap \overline{B(0,1)}$ is a family of co-centered $(n-1)$ -dimensional closed balls expanding as t goes from 0 to t_0 . Given the fact that all the vectors of $\Lambda_{n,0}^* \cap \Pi_{k,0}$ are located outside of $\Pi_{k,0} \cap \overline{B(0,1)}$, there exists $t_k > 0$ such that all the vectors of $\Lambda_{n,t}^* \cap \Pi_{k,t}$ are still located outside of $\Pi_{k,t} \cap \overline{B(0,1)}$ for any $0 \leq t \leq t_k$.

Set $\delta = \min\{t_0, \dots, t_K\}$. Then the lattice $\Lambda_{n,\delta}^*$ admits the same shortest vectors as Λ_n^* while the Gram matrix Q_δ satisfying $\det Q_\delta = (\det(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \alpha - \delta\alpha^\perp))^2 = (1 - \delta)^2 \det Q$. \square

Due to Theorem 5.8 and (22), for a lattice Λ_n^* with $\text{rank}(\Xi) = n \leq 4$, we can always choose a generator of Λ_n^* such that the Gram matrix takes 1 as its diagonal entries. Set

$$\Omega_2 \triangleq \{Q \in \Sigma_+ \mid Q_{ii} = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ and } vQv^t \geq 1 \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}\}. \quad (32)$$

Then according to Lemma 8.1, we have the minimum of \det on Ω_1 can only be attained on Ω_2 .

Lemma 8.2. *Ω_2 is convex and compact.*

Proof. The convexity of Ω_2 is obvious. To prove the compactness, we only need to prove that Ω_2 is both bounded and closed.

Suppose $Q \in \Omega_2$. It follows from $\langle Q, Q \rangle = \text{tr}Q^2 < (\text{tr}Q)^2 = n^2$ that Ω_2 is bounded.

Next, we prove that Ω_2 is closed. Suppose $\{Q_n\}$ is a convergent sequence in Ω_2 , whose limit is denoted by Q_0 . We denote by D_{nk} (resp. D_{0k}) the leading principal minor of order k for Q_n (resp. Q_0). Note that for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, the submatrix corresponding to D_{nk} is also positive definite. It can determine a sublattice of rank k , which also takes 1 as the shortest length. It follows from the Theorem 13 in [27] (a corollary of the Minkowski's first theorem) that

$$\sqrt{D_{nk}} \geq \frac{V(\mathbb{S}^k)}{2^k},$$

where $V(\mathbb{S}^k)$ is the volume of the standard round k -sphere. By continuity, we have $\sqrt{D_{0k}} \geq \frac{V(\mathbb{S}^k)}{2^k} > 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, which implies $Q_0 \in \Sigma_+$. In the mean time, it is obvious that the diagonal entries of Q_0 are all 1 and $vQ_0v^t \geq 1$ for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore $Q_0 \in \Omega_2$, and Ω_2 is closed. \square

Lemma 8.3. *Ω_2 is a convex polytope.*

Proof. We denote by $\{0, \pm 1\}^n$ the set of integer vectors whose coordinates take values only in $\{0, \pm 1\}$. Define

$$\Omega_3 \triangleq \left\{ Q \in S(n) \mid \begin{array}{l} Q_{ii} = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \text{and } vQv^t \geq 1 \text{ for all nonzero } v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^n \end{array} \right\}. \quad (33)$$

It follows from Theorem 3.9 that $\Omega_2 = \Omega_3 \cap \Sigma_+$.

We claim that $\Omega_2 = \Omega_3$. For this, let us consider the topology on Ω_3 induced from the ambient space $S(n)$. It is easy to show that Ω_3 is convex, which implies Ω_3 is connected. Seeing Ω_2 as a subset in Ω_3 , we can firstly derive that it is closed by Lemma 8.2. On the other hand, note that Σ_+ is open in $S(n)$, it follows from $\Omega_2 = \Omega_3 \cap \Sigma_+$ that Ω_2 is also open in Ω_3 . Then the claim follows from the fact that $\Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$.

It is not hard to see that the constraints $vQv^t \geq 1$ for all nonzero $v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^n$ define a polyhedron in $S(n)$ by the intersection of finite half-spaces, and Ω_3 is one of its facets. Combining this and Lemma 8.2, we derive that $\Omega_2 = \Omega_3$ is a convex polytope. \square

According to the concavity of $\ln \circ \det$ on Σ_+ introduced in Lemma 3.4, one can easily obtain the next conclusion.

Lemma 8.4. *The minimum of \det on Ω_2 is attained at some vertex of Ω_2 .*

Lemma 8.5. *Suppose Q is a vertex of Ω_2 , then $\sharp(\Xi) \geq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.*

Proof. Since Q is a vertex and $\dim S(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, it satisfies the constraints given in (33), among which there should be a system of linear equations with rank $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. As a result, there should be at least $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ integer vectors such that $vQv^t = 1$, from which the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 8.6. *Suppose $n \leq 4$, then every vertex of Ω_2 can determine a λ_1 -minimal flat n -torus in some sphere. Up to congruence, these λ_1 -minimal flat n -tori are*

- (1) *the equilateral 2-torus in \mathbb{S}^5 given in Example 4.2 for $n = 2$;*
- (2) *the λ_1 -minimal flat 3-torus in \mathbb{S}^{11} given in Example 4.5 for $n = 3$;*
- (3) *the λ_1 -minimal flat 4-torus in \mathbb{S}^{19} given in Example 4.16, or those λ_1 -minimal flat 4-tori given in Example 1.1 for $n = 4$.*

Proof. Firstly, for these examples, using their matrix data, one can check directly that the Gram matrix Q belongs to Ω_2 , and the rank of $\{A^t A \mid A \in Y\}$ is exactly $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Therefore they all correspond to the vertices of Ω_2 .

Suppose Q is a vertex of Ω_2 . If the lattice determined by Q is not prime, then we arrive at the only exceptional torus, and the conclusion follows from Proposition 6.3.

Next, we assume the lattice determined by Q is prime. Let X be the set of integer vectors corresponding to Ξ . Combining Remark 6.11 and Lemma 8.5, we can derive that $\sharp(\Xi) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Furthermore, it follows from the discussion in Section 6 that X is exactly the ladder set X_n up to a unimodular transformation in $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that W_{X_n} is a singleton set. So Q is congruent to Q_n by a unimodular transformation in $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, which completes the proof of this proposition. \square

Combining Lemma 8.4 with Proposition 8.6, we can directly calculate the minimum of \det on Ω_2 (hence on Ω_1), from which Theorem 1 follows.

For a given flat metric g_0 on n -torus T^n , it was proved by El Soufi and Ilias in [10] that g_0 maximizes $\mathcal{L}(g)$ in $[g_0]$, if the first eigenspace of g_0 is of dimension no less than $2n$. Combining this with Theorem 1, we can obtain Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement: The first author and the third author is supported by NSFC No. 12171473. The second author is supported by NSFC No. 11971107. The authors are grateful to Prof. C.P. Wang for his continuous encouragement on this work. The authors are thankful to Prof. Q.-S. Chi and Prof. R. Kusner for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] M. Berger, *Sur les premières valeurs propres des variétés riemanniennes*, Compositio Math. 26 (1973), 129-149.
- [2] R.L. Bryant, *Minimal surfaces of constant curvature in S^n* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 290 (1985), 259-271.
- [3] Choe, J., Hoppe, J. *Some minimal submanifolds generalizing the Clifford torus*, Math. Nachr. 291 (2018), 2536-2542.
- [4] B. Colbois, J. Dodziuk, *Riemannian metrics with large λ_1* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), 905-906.
- [5] M.P. Do Carmo, F.J. Flaherty, *Riemannian geometry*, Boston: Birkhäuser, 1992.
- [6] M.P. Do Carmo, N.R. Wallach, *Minimal immersions of spheres into spheres*, Ann. Math. 93 (1971), 43-62.
- [7] A. El Soufi, H. Giacomini, M. Jazar, *A unique extremal metric for the least eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the Klein bottle*, Duke Math. J. 135 (2006), 181-202.
- [8] A. El Soufi, S. Ilias, *Immersiones minimales, première valeur propre du laplacien et volume conforme*, Math. Ann. 275 (1986), 257-267.
- [9] A. El Soufi, S. Ilias, *Riemannian manifolds admitting isometric immersions by their first eigenfunctions*, Pacific J. Math. 195 (2000), 91-99.
- [10] A. El Soufi, S. Ilias, *Extremal metrics for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian in a conformal class*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), 1611-1618.
- [11] P.M. Gruber, *Geometry of numbers, Handbook of convex geometry*. North-Holland, 1993: 739-763.
- [12] J. Hersch, *Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. 270 (1970), 1645-1648.
- [13] J. Hirsch, E. Mäder-Baumdicker, *A note on Willmore minimizing Klein bottles in Euclidean space*, Adv. Math. 319 (2017), 67-75.
- [14] D. Jakobson, N. Nadirashvili, I. Polterovich, *Extremal metric for the first eigenvalue on a Klein bottle*, Canad. J. Math. 58 (2006), 381-400.

- [15] M. Karpukhin, *On the Yang-Yau inequality for the first Laplace eigenvalue*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 29 (2019), 1864-1885.
- [16] M. Karpukhin, D. Stern, *Existence of harmonic maps and eigenvalue optimization in higher dimensions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.13635 (2022).
- [17] K. Kenmotsu, *On minimal immersions of \mathbb{R}^2 into S^n* , J. Math. Soc. Japan 28 (1976), 182-191.
- [18] P. Li, S.T. Yau, *A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces*, Invent. Math. 69 (1982), 269-291.
- [19] H. Matthiesen, A. Siffert, *Handle attachment and the normalized first eigenvalue*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.03105 (2019).
- [20] H. Muto, Y. Ohnita, H. Urakawa, *Homogeneous minimal hypersurfaces in the unit spheres and the first eigenvalues of their Laplacian*, Tohoku Math. J. 36 (1984), 253-267.
- [21] S. Montiel, A. Ros, *Minimal immersions of surfaces by the first eigenfunctions and conformal area*, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), 153-166.
- [22] N. Nadirashvili, *Berger's isoperimetric problem and minimal immersions of surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (1996), 877-897.
- [23] J.S. Park, H. Urakawa, *Classification of harmonic mappings of constant energy density into spheres*, Geom. Dedicata 37 (1991), 211-226.
- [24] R. Petrides, *Existence and regularity of maximal metrics for the first Laplace eigenvalue on surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 24 (2014), 1336-1376.
- [25] R. Petrides, *Maximizing one Laplace eigenvalue on n -dimensional manifolds*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15636 (2022).
- [26] S.S. Ryshkov, *On the theory of Hermite-Minkowski reduction of positive quadratic forms*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningr. Otd. Mat. Inst. 33 (1973), 37-64.
- [27] C.L. Siegel, *Lectures on the geometry of numbers*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [28] T. Takahashi, *Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 380-385.
- [29] Z.Z. Tang, W.J. Yan, *Isoparametric foliation and Yau conjecture on the first eigenvalue*, J. Differ. Geom. 94 (2013), 521-540.
- [30] Z.Z. Tang, Y.S. Zhang, *Minimizing cones associated with isoparametric foliations*. J. Differential Geom. 115 (2020),
- [31] C.P. Wang, P. Wang, *The Morse index of minimal products of minimal submanifolds in spheres*, Sci. China Math. (2022), 1-20.
- [32] S. Wolpert, *The eigenvalue spectrum as moduli for flat tori*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 244 (1978), 313-321.

Ying Lü

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005, P. R. China.

Email: lueying@xmu.edu.cn

Peng Wang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, FJKLMAA, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350117, P. R. China.

Email: pengwang@fjnu.edu.cn

Zhenxiao Xie

Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology (Beijing), Beijing 100083, P. R. China.

Email: xiezhenxiao@cumtb.edu.cn