

POWER LAW LOGARITHMIC BOUNDS OF MOMENTS FOR LONG RANGE OPERATORS IN ARBITRARY DIMENSION

WENCAI LIU

Dedicated to Abel Klein on the occasion of his 75th birthday

ABSTRACT. We show that the sublinear bound of the bad Green's functions implies explicit logarithmic bounds of moments for long range operators in arbitrary dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are interested in the quantum dynamics of long range operators on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d . For a self-adjoint operator H on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, $\phi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $p > 0$, let $\langle |X_H|_\phi^p \rangle(t)$ be the p th moment of the position operator

$$(1) \quad \langle |X_H|_\phi^p \rangle(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |n|^p |(e^{-itH}\phi, \delta_n)|^2,$$

and $\langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T)$ be the time-averaged p th moment of the position operator

$$(2) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T) = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^\infty e^{-2t/T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |n|^p |(e^{-itH}\phi, \delta_n)|^2 dt.$$

The moments $\langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T)$ and $\langle |X_H|_\phi^p \rangle(t)$ characterize how fast does $e^{-itH}\phi$ spread out, which are closely related to the spectral measure μ_ϕ . For example, dynamical localization, namely for any ϕ , $\langle |X_H|_\phi^p \rangle(t)$ is uniformly bounded, implies only pure point spectrum of H [16] and continuity (with respect to the Hausdorff measure) of the spectral measure μ_ϕ leads to a power law lower bound of $\langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T)$ (see [63] and references therein).

For Anderson model with large disorder or the spectral edges (for one dimensional case, it holds for the full spectrum), Anderson/dynamical localization holds [1, 3, 13–15, 17, 20, 24–26, 29–31, 34, 35, 37, 40, 54, 56, 57, 61, 62, 64, 71]. For other random models, localization/delocalization has been extensively studied as well [2, 23, 27, 28, 32, 38, 39, 60]. It has been conjectured that Anderson model in any dimension $d \geq 3$ has the localization (pure point spectrum)-the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81Q10 (primary); 35Q41, 35J10 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Green's function, sublinear bounds, long range operators, large deviation theorem, discrepancy, quantum dynamics.

extended state (absolutely continuous spectrum) transition, which is still quite open. The transitions of moments are usually easier to study [36, 50, 51, 58, 59, 67]. For the random operators, the work of Abel Klein has been crucial in establishing the current state of the art.

Unlike random operators, spectral types (singular continuous spectrum or pure point spectrum) of one dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators in the positive Lyapunov exponent regime depend on the arithmetics of frequencies and phases [4, 5, 42, 44, 45, 52, 68]. The estimates on moments are often more stable, namely less sensitive to arithmetics of frequencies and phases. Study in this line expects to obtain asymptotics of moments for all phases under suitable conditions on frequencies [18, 19, 43, 48, 49, 70] (restrictions on frequencies are necessary due to the work of Jitomirskaya and Zhang [53]). In this paper, we will study upper bounds of moments of long range operators, particularly when H is a quasi-periodic operator (defined on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d and driven by base dynamics on the torus \mathbb{T}^b).

For one-dimensional ($d = 1$) quasi-periodic Schrödingers with the shift dynamics on the torus \mathbb{T} , the celebrated work of Damanik-Tcheremchantsev implies that when the Lyapunov exponents are positive (in their setting, the potential is a trigonometric function), the moments have sub-polynomial growth in time [18, 19]. Jitomirskaya and Mavi [48] improved their results to rough potentials. Han and Jitomirskaya [43] generalized earlier works to the torus in arbitrary dimension with more general base dynamics. In [49], Jitomirskaya and Powell combined techniques of Damanik-Tcheremchantsev [18] with estimates developed from the proof of Anderson localization for quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators and obtained a logarithmic bound of moments (related to an earlier work of Landrigan-Powell about logarithmic dimensions of spectral measures). In all their work, the transfer matrices and an idea from Jitomirskaya-Last developed to study spectral dimensions [55] play crucial roles.

Recently, Jitomirskaya and the author [46] introduced a new approach-Green's function estimates and show the sub-polynomial growth of moments (by modification, their arguments could lead to logarithmic bounds), which works for long range operators as well.

All the aforementioned work focuses on the lattice \mathbb{Z} . Very recently, Shamis and Sodin [70] developed an approach to establish power law logarithmic bounds of moments based on large deviation estimates of Green's functions in arbitrary dimension. Using the author's earlier results on large deviation theorems of Green's functions [65], they obtained power law logarithmic bounds for various long range operators on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d .

In the present work, we introduce a different approach to study the power law logarithmic bounds of moments. Our idea is inspired by the localization proof of quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators developed by Bourgain and his collaborators [6, 8, 9, 11, 12] (see a recent survey [69] for more details) and a

generalization by the author [65]. Research in this direction starts with the work of Bourgain and Goldstein [9], where the authors studied the one-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators. With the development in the subsequent work by Bourgain, Goldstein and Schlag [6, 8–11, 41], it becomes a robust approach to study many spectral problems of quasi-periodic operators. Recently, Jitomirskaya, Shi and the author [47] extended (also streamlined Bourgain’s proof) Bourgain’s results in [8] to arbitrary dimension of frequencies, and the author proved a quantitative and non-self-adjoint version of the work in [11] in arbitrary lattice dimension [65]. Thanks to all previous works such as Cartan’s estimates and techniques from semi-algebraic sets, the localization proof boils down to establish a sublinear bound (a discrepancy problem) of the bad Green’s functions. Our main result shows that the sublinear bound immediately implies explicit power law logarithmic bounds of moments.

Finally, we want to compare logarithmic bounds obtained in this paper, and by Jitomirskaya-Powell [49] and Shamis-Sodin [70]. Assume that the sublinear bound is $N^{1-\delta}$ (see (13) below for the precise definition). Roughly speaking (see Corollary 2.3), our main theorem says that the rate of power law logarithmic bounds is $\frac{1}{\delta}$. The rate of power law logarithmic bounds in [70] by Shamis-Sodin comes from large deviation estimates of Green’s functions, which is usually bigger than ours because of the extra dimension loss (see Remark 10 in [65] for the details of dimension loss). See item 2 in Remark 4 and item 2 in Remark 6. We should point out that results in [49] only work for Schrödinger operators (not long range operators) on the lattice \mathbb{Z} . As mentioned in [49, Remark 6], they obtain the (implicit) rate $\frac{C(b)}{\delta}$, where $C(b)$ is a constant depending on the dimension of the torus. Our rate shows that $C(b)$ can be 1.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let H be a long range operator acting on $u = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ in the following form:

$$(3) \quad (Hu)_n = \left(\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} H(n, n') u_{n'} \right).$$

Assume that H satisfies

a. for any $n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$(4) \quad |H(n, n')| \leq C_1 e^{-c_1 |n-n'|}, C_1 > 0, c_1 > 0,$$

where $|n| := \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |n_i|$ for $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$;

b. for any $n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$(5) \quad H(n, n') = \overline{H(n', n)}.$$

For $d = 1$, the elementary region of size N centered at 0 is given by

$$Q_N = [-N, N].$$

For $d \geq 2$, denote by Q_N an elementary region of size N centered at 0, which is one of the following regions,

$$Q_N = [-N, N]^d$$

or

$$Q_N = [-N, N]^d \setminus \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d : n_i \varsigma_i 0, 1 \leq i \leq d\},$$

where for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $\varsigma_i \in \{<, >, \emptyset\}$ and at least two ς_i are not \emptyset .

Denote by \mathcal{E}_N^0 the set of all elementary regions of size N centered at 0. Let

$$\mathcal{E}_N := \{n + Q_N : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d, Q_N \in \mathcal{E}_N^0\}.$$

We call elements in \mathcal{E}_N elementary regions.

Let R_Λ be the operator of restriction to $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$. Define the Green's function by

$$(6) \quad G_\Lambda(z) = (R_\Lambda(H - zI)R_\Lambda)^{-1}.$$

Set $G(z) = (H - zI)^{-1}$. Clearly, both $G_\Lambda(z)$ and $G(z)$ are always well defined for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}$. Sometimes, we drop the dependence on z for simplicity.

We say an elementary region $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}_N$ is in class G (Good) if

$$(7) \quad |G_\Lambda(n, n')| \leq e^{-c_2|n-n'|}, \text{ for } |n - n'| \geq \frac{N}{10},$$

where $0 < c_2 \leq c_1$.

Since the self-adjoint operator H given by (3) is bounded, there exists a large $K > 0$ such that $\sigma(H) \subset [-K + 1, K - 1]$.

For $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, denote by $\partial\Lambda$ its boundary.

Definition 2.1. Fix $\varsigma \in (0, 1)$. Let $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{E}_N$ be an elementary region. Given ξ with $0 < \xi < 1$, we say Λ_0 satisfies sublinear bound property with the parameter ξ if for any family \mathcal{F} of pairwise disjoint elementary regions in Λ_0 with size $M = \lfloor N^\xi \rfloor$,

$$(8) \quad \#\{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F} : \Lambda \text{ is not in class G}\} \leq \frac{N^\varsigma}{N^\xi}.$$

Theorem 2.2. Suppose there exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $N_0 > 0$ such that the following is true. Let $z = E + i\epsilon$ with $|E| \leq K$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|n| \geq N_0$ there exists $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{E}_N$ such that $\frac{|n|}{100} \leq N \leq \frac{|n|}{10}$, $n \in \Lambda_0$, $\text{dist}(n, \partial\Lambda_0) \geq \frac{N}{5}$ and Λ_0 satisfies sublinear bound property with the parameter ξ . Then for any ϕ with compact support and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T_0 > 0$ (depending on $d, p, \phi, K, \varsigma, \xi, \epsilon_0, c_1, c_2, C_1, N_0$ and ε) such that for any $T \geq T_0$

$$(9) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq (\ln T)^{\frac{p}{\xi} + \varepsilon}$$

and for any $t \geq T_0$

$$(10) \quad \langle |X_H|_\phi^p \rangle(t) \leq (\ln t)^{\frac{p}{\xi} + \varepsilon}.$$

Fixed $0 < \sigma < 1$, we say an elementary region $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}_N$ is in class SG_N (strongly good with size N) if

$$(11) \quad \|G_\Lambda\| \leq e^{N^\sigma},$$

and

$$(12) \quad |G_\Lambda(n, n')| \leq e^{-c_2|n-n'|}, \text{ for } |n - n'| \geq \frac{N}{10},$$

where $0 < c_2 \leq c_1$.

Corollary 2.3. *Define \mathcal{B}_{N, N_1} as*

$$\mathcal{B}_{N, N_1} = \{n \in [-N, N]^d : \text{there exists } Q_{N_1} \in \mathcal{E}_{N_1}^0 \text{ such that } n + Q_{N_1} \notin SG_{N_1}\}$$

Assume that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $z = E + i\epsilon$ with $|E| \leq K$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, and arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(13) \quad \#\mathcal{B}_{N, \lfloor N^\varepsilon \rfloor} \leq N^{1-\delta} \text{ when } N \geq N_0$$

(N_0 may depend on ε). Then for any ϕ with compact support and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T_0 > 0$ (depending on $d, p, \phi, K, \sigma, \delta, \epsilon_0, c_1, c_2, C_1, N_0$ and ε) such that for any $T \geq T_0$

$$(14) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq (\ln T)^{\frac{p}{\delta} + \varepsilon}$$

and for any $t \geq T_0$

$$(15) \quad \langle |X_H|_\phi^p \rangle(t) \leq (\ln t)^{\frac{p}{\delta} + \varepsilon}.$$

Remark 1. In applications, $\zeta = 1 - \varepsilon$ with arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the upper bound in (8) equals $N^{1-\xi-\varepsilon}$. Both $N^{1-\xi-\varepsilon}$ and $N^{1-\delta}$ in (13) are referred to as the sublinear bound property of (bad) Green's functions.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2 AND COROLLARY 2.3

Let us first recall some notations from [65].

The width of a subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, is defined by maximum $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \in \Lambda$, there exists $\hat{M} \in \mathcal{E}_M$ such that

$$n \in \hat{M} \subset \Lambda$$

and

$$\text{dist}(n, \Lambda \setminus \hat{M}) \geq M/2.$$

A generalized elementary region is defined to be a subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ of the form

$$\Lambda := R \setminus (R + y),$$

where $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is arbitrary and R is a rectangle,

$$R = \{n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |n_1 - n'_1| \leq M_1, \dots, |n_d - n'_d| \leq M_d\}.$$

For $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, denote by $\text{diam}(\Lambda) = \sup_{n, n' \in \Lambda} |n - n'|$ its diameter.

Denote by \mathcal{R}_N all generalized elementary regions with diameter less than or equal to N . Denote by \mathcal{R}_N^M all generalized elementary regions in \mathcal{R}_N with width larger than or equal to M .

Let us collect and define some notations which will be used throughout the proof:

- $\sigma(H) \subset [-K + 1, K - 1]$.
- $z = E + \epsilon i$, $|E| \leq K$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$.
- $\epsilon = \frac{1}{T}$.
- $G_\Lambda = G_\Lambda(z) = (R_\Lambda(H - z)R_\Lambda)^{-1} = (R_\Lambda(H - E - \epsilon i)R_\Lambda)^{-1}$
- $G = (H - zI)^{-1}$.
- $\text{supp } \phi \subset [-K_1, K_1]^d$
- $C(c)$ is a large (small) constant.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varsigma, \sigma, \xi \in (0, 1)$. Let $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{E}_N$ be an elementary region with the property that for all $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_0$, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_L^{N^\xi}$ with $N^\xi \leq L \leq 2N$, the Green's function G_Λ satisfies*

$$(16) \quad \|G_\Lambda\| \leq e^{L^\sigma}.$$

Assume that $c_2 \leq \frac{4}{5}c_1$ and for any family \mathcal{F} of pairwise disjoint elementary regions in Λ_0 with size $M = \lfloor N^\xi \rfloor$,

$$(17) \quad \#\{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F} : \Lambda \text{ is not in class } G\} \leq \frac{N^\varsigma}{N^\xi}.$$

Then for large N (depending on $C_1, c_1, \varsigma, \sigma, \xi$ and the lower bound of c_2),

$$(18) \quad |G_{\Lambda_0}(n, n')| \leq e^{-(c_2 - N^{-\vartheta})|n - n'|}, \text{ for } |n - n'| \geq \frac{N}{10},$$

where $\vartheta = \vartheta(\sigma, \xi, \varsigma) > 0$.

Remark 2. Theorem 3.1 in the settings of Schrödinger operators $(\Delta + V)$ on \mathbb{Z}^2 was proved in [11]. The author generalized their proof to the settings in Theorem 3.1 in [65].

Assume Λ_1 and Λ_2 are two disjoint subsets of \mathbb{Z}^d . Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$. Suppose that $R_\Lambda A R_\Lambda$ and $R_{\Lambda_i} A R_{\Lambda_i}$, $i = 1, 2$ are invertible. Then

$$G_\Lambda = G_{\Lambda_1} + G_{\Lambda_2} - (G_{\Lambda_1} + G_{\Lambda_2})(H_\Lambda - H_{\Lambda_1} - H_{\Lambda_2})G_\Lambda.$$

If $n \in \Lambda_2$ and $m \in \Lambda$, we have

$$(19) \quad |G_\Lambda(m, n)| \leq |G_{\Lambda_2}(m, n)|\chi_{\Lambda_2}(n) + \sum_{n' \in \Lambda_1, n'' \in \Lambda_2} e^{-c_1|n' - n''|} |G_\Lambda(m, n')| |G_{\Lambda_2}(n'', n)|.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Fixed any $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, let $N \geq (\log \frac{1}{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{\xi\sigma}}$. Assume that $\Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{E}_N$, $n \in \Lambda_0$, $\text{dist}(n, \partial\Lambda_0) \geq \frac{N}{5}$ and Λ_0 satisfies the sublinear bound property with the parameter ξ . Then for any j with $|j| \leq K_1$,*

$$(20) \quad |((H - E - \epsilon i)^{-1} \delta_j, \delta_n)| \leq C \epsilon^{-2} e^{-c|n|}$$

Proof. Since H is self-adjoint, one has that for any $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\text{dist}(\sigma(H_\Lambda), z) \geq \epsilon$ and hence

$$\|G_\Lambda\| \leq \epsilon^{-1}.$$

Then for any $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_0$, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_L^{N^\xi}$ with $N^\xi \leq L \leq 2N$, one has that the Green's function G_Λ satisfies

$$(21) \quad \|G_\Lambda\| \leq \epsilon^{-1} \leq e^{L^\sigma},$$

where the second inequality in (21) holds by the assumption. By Theorem 3.1, one has that

$$(22) \quad |G_{\Lambda_0}(n, n')| \leq e^{-c|n-n'|}, \text{ for } |n - n'| \geq \frac{N}{10}.$$

By (19) (applying $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_0$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$) and using that $j \notin \Lambda_0$, one has that

$$(23) \quad |G(j, n)| \leq C \sum_{n' \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0, n'' \in \Lambda_0} e^{-c_1|n'-n''|} |G(j, n')| |G_{\Lambda_0}(n'', n)|.$$

If $\text{dist}(n'', \partial\Lambda_0) \geq \frac{N}{1000}$, then $|n' - n''| \geq \frac{N}{1000}$ and hence $e^{-c_1|n'-n''|} \leq e^{-cN}$.

If $\text{dist}(n'', \partial\Lambda_0) \leq \frac{N}{1000}$, then $|n - n''| \geq \frac{N}{6}$. By (22), one has that $|G_{\Lambda_0}(n'', n)| \leq e^{-cN}$.

Therefore, one concludes that

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} & |((H - E - \epsilon i)^{-1} \delta_j, \delta_n)| \\ & \leq C \sum_{\substack{n' \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0, n'' \in \Lambda_0 \\ \text{dist}(n'', \partial\Lambda_0) \geq \frac{N}{1000}}} e^{-c_1|n'-n''|} |G(j, n')| |G_{\Lambda_0}(n'', n)| \\ & \quad + C \sum_{\substack{n' \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0, n'' \in \Lambda_0 \\ \text{dist}(n'', \partial\Lambda_0) < \frac{N}{1000}}} e^{-c_1|n'-n''|} |G(j, n')| |G_{\Lambda_0}(n'', n)| \\ & \leq C \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{\substack{n' \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0, n'' \in \Lambda_0 \\ |n'-n''| \geq \frac{N}{1000}}} e^{-c|n'-n''|} + C \epsilon^{-1} e^{-cN} \sum_{n' \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0, n'' \in \Lambda_0} e^{-c|n'-n''|} \\ & \leq C \epsilon^{-2} e^{-cN} \leq C \epsilon^{-2} e^{-c|n|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma follows from Lemma 2 in [19].

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that ϕ has compact support. Then for any large $|n|$,*

$$(25) \quad |(e^{-itH}\phi, \delta_n)|^2 \leq e^{-c|n|} + \frac{1}{t} \int_{-K}^K |((H - E - \frac{i}{t})^{-1}\phi, \delta_n)|^2 dE$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Fix any σ in $(0, 1)$. For any j with $|j| \leq K_1$, let

$$(26) \quad a(j, n, T) = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^\infty e^{-2t/T} |(e^{-itH}\delta_j, \delta_n)|^2 dt,$$

and then

$$(27) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq C \sum_{|j| \leq K_1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |n|^p a(j, n, T)$$

By the Parseval formula

$$(28) \quad a(j, n, T) = \frac{1}{T\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |((H - E - \frac{i}{T})^{-1}\delta_j, \delta_n)|^2 dE.$$

Recall that $\sigma(H) \subset [-K+1, K-1]$. For any $E \in (-\infty, -K) \cup (K, \infty)$, $\text{dist}(E + \frac{i}{T}, \text{spec}(H)) \geq 1$. The well-known Combes-Thomas estimate (e.g. A.11 in [33]) yields

$$(29) \quad |((H - E - \frac{i}{T})^{-1}\delta_j, \delta_n)| \leq Ce^{-c|n|}.$$

By (28) and (29), one has that

$$(30) \quad a(j, n, T) \leq Ce^{-c|n|} + \frac{1}{T\pi} \int_{-K}^K |((H - E - \frac{i}{T})^{-1}\delta_j, \delta_n)|^2 dE.$$

Rewrite (27):

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T) &\leq C \sum_{|j| \leq K_1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |n|^p a(j, n, T) \\ &\leq C \sum_{|j| \leq K_1} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ |n| \geq (\log T)^{\frac{1}{\xi\sigma}}} } |n|^p a(j, n, T) + C \sum_{|j| \leq K_1} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ |n| \leq (\log T)^{\frac{1}{\xi\sigma}}} } |n|^p a(j, n, T). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 and (30), one has that

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ |n| \geq (\log T)^{\frac{1}{\xi\sigma}}} } |n|^p |a(j, n, T)| &\leq \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ |n| \geq (\log T)^{\frac{1}{\xi\sigma}}} } |n|^p T^3 e^{-c|n|} \\ &\leq T^3 e^{-c(\log T)^{\frac{1}{\xi\sigma}}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Direct computations imply that

$$(33) \quad C \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ |n| \leq (\log T)^{\frac{1}{\xi\sigma}}} |n|^p a(j, n, T) \leq C(\log T)^{\frac{p}{\xi\sigma}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(j, n, T) \\ = C(\log T)^{\frac{p}{\xi\sigma}},$$

where (33) holds by the fact that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a(j, n, T) = 1$.

By (31), (32) and (33), we conclude that

$$(34) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_H|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq C(\log T)^{\frac{p}{\xi\sigma}}.$$

By letting $\sigma \rightarrow 1$, we complete the proof of (9).

Replacing (28) with (25) and repeating the proof of (34), we have (10). \square

Proof Corollary 2.3 . Let $\xi = \delta - 2C(d)\varepsilon$. Let \mathcal{F} be any pairwise disjoint elementary regions in $[-N, N]^d$ with size $\lfloor N^\xi \rfloor$. By (13), one has that $(N_1 = \lfloor N^\varepsilon \rfloor)$ there are at most $N_1^{C(d)} N^{1-\delta} = N^{1-\delta+C(d)\varepsilon}$ in \mathcal{F} will intersect elementary regions not in SG_{N_1} . By resolvent identity arguments (e.g. [65, Theorem 6.1]), any elementary region in $[-N, N]^d$ with size $\lfloor N^\xi \rfloor$, without intersecting any non- SG_{N_1} elementary regions, satisfies (7). It implies (17) is true for $\varsigma = 1 - \varepsilon$. Applying Theorem 2.2 and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain Corollary 2.3. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, the long range operator S on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ satisfies

a. for any $n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$(35) \quad |S(n, n')| \leq C_1 e^{-c_1|n-n'|}, C_1 > 0, c_1 > 0;$$

b. for any $n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$(36) \quad S(n, n') = \overline{S(n', n)};$$

c. for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d, n' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$(37) \quad S(n+k, n'+k) = S(n, n').$$

Let f be a function from $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{T}^b$ to \mathbb{T}^b . Assume for any $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$f(m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2, \dots, m_d + n_d, x) = f(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d, f(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d, x)).$$

Sometimes, we write down $f^n(x)$ for $f(n, x)$ for convenience, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}^b$.

Define a family of operators H_x on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$:

$$(38) \quad H_x = S + v(f(n, x))\delta_{nn'},$$

where v is a real analytic function on \mathbb{T}^b .

In [65], the author obtained the large deviation estimates for Green's functions of long range operators in arbitrary dimension, which generalized results in [11]. As a result, he proved the large deviation theorem of Green's functions and sublinear bound (13) for various models. Therefore, we can apply Corollary 2.3 to obtain logarithmic bounds for many operators studied in [65]. For simplicity, we only discuss applications of Corollary 2.3 to several cases. In this section, estimates on δ in (13) can be found in [65]. For readers' convenience, we will provide the sketch of the proof.

4.1. Discrepancy: $d = 1$, arbitrary b . Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in [0, 1]^b$ and $\mathcal{S} \subset [0, 1]^b$. Let $A(\mathcal{S}; \{x_j\}_{j=1}^N)$ be the number of x_j ($1 \leq j \leq N$) such that $x_j \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $D_N(f)$ be the discrepancy of the sequence $\{f(n, x)\}_{n=1}^N$:

$$(39) \quad D_N(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^b} \sup_{\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \frac{A(\mathcal{S}; \{f(n, x)\}_{n=1}^N)}{N} - \text{Leb}(\mathcal{S}) \right|,$$

where \mathcal{C} is the family of all intervals in $[0, 1]^b$, namely \mathcal{S} has the form of

$$\mathcal{S} = [\varrho_1, \beta_1] \times [\varrho_2, \beta_2] \times \dots \times [\varrho_b, \beta_b]$$

with $0 \leq \varrho_k < \beta_k < 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, b$.

Let $\zeta, \sigma \in (0, 1)$. We say the Green's function of an operator H_x satisfies property LDT (large deviation theorem) in complexified energies (sometimes just say LDT for short) if there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $N_0 > 0$ such that for any $N \geq N_0$, there exists a subset $X_N \subset \mathbb{T}^b$ such that

$$(40) \quad \text{Leb}(X_N) \leq e^{-N^\zeta},$$

and for any $x \notin X_N \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^b}$ and $Q_N \in \mathcal{E}_N^0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{Q_N}(z)\| &\leq e^{N^\sigma}, \\ |G_{Q_N}(z)(n, n')| &\leq e^{-c_2|n-n'|}, \text{ for } |n - n'| \geq \frac{N}{10}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z = E + i\epsilon$ with $E \in [-K, K]$ (recall that $\sigma(H_x) \subset (-K + 1, K - 1)$) and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $d = 1$. Assume that for any $N \geq N_0$, the discrepancy*

$$(41) \quad D_N(f) \leq N^{-\delta_1}.$$

Assume that H_x satisfies LDT. Then for any ϕ with compact support and any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $T_0 > 0$ (depending on p, N_0, ϕ, S, v, f and ϵ) such that for any $T \geq T_0$

$$(42) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq (\ln T)^{\frac{p}{\delta_1} + \epsilon}$$

and for any $t \geq T_0$

$$(43) \quad \langle |X_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(t) \leq (\ln t)^{\frac{p}{\delta_1} + \epsilon}.$$

Proof. By approximating the analytic function with trigonometric polynomials and using Taylor expansions and standard perturbation arguments, we can assume that X_N (given by (40)) is a semi-algebraic set with degree less than N^C . By [6, Corollary 9.7] (also see [65, Theorem 8.7]), one has that (13) holds for any $\delta < \frac{\delta_1}{b}$. Now Theorem 4.1 follows from Corollary 2.3. \square

Remark 3. The largeness of T_0 in this section does not depend on $x \in \mathbb{T}^b$.

4.2. **Shifts:** $d = 1$, **arbitrary** b . Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_b) \in [0, 1]^b$ and

$$(44) \quad f(x) = x + \alpha \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^b}, x \in \mathbb{T}^b.$$

We say that $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_b)$ satisfies Diophantine condition $\text{DC}(\kappa, \tau)$, if

$$(45) \quad \|k \cdot \alpha\| \geq \frac{\tau}{|k|^\kappa}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^b \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. [21] *Assume $\alpha \in \text{DC}(\kappa, \tau)$. Let f be given by (44). Then*

$$D_N(f) \leq C(b, \kappa, \tau) N^{-\frac{1}{\kappa}} (\log N)^2.$$

Denote by Δ the discrete Laplacian on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, that is, for $\{u(n)\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$(\Delta u)_n = u_{n+1} + u_{n-1}$$

Let H_x on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be given by

$$(46) \quad H_x = \Delta + v(f^n(x)) = \Delta + v(x_1 + n\alpha_1, x_2 + n\alpha_2, \dots, x_b + n\alpha_b) \delta_{nn'},$$

where $n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and v is real analytic on \mathbb{T}^b .

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\alpha \in \text{DC}(\kappa, \tau)$. Let H_x be given by (46). Assume the Lyapunov exponent $L(E)$ is positive for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any ϕ with compact support and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T_0 > 0$ (depending on p, ϕ, v, α and ε) such that for any $T \geq T_0$*

$$(47) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq (\ln T)^{b\kappa p + \varepsilon}$$

and for any $t \geq T_0$

$$(48) \quad \langle |X_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(t) \leq (\ln t)^{b\kappa p + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Since $L(E) > 0$ for any $E \in \mathbb{R}$, by the continuity of Lyapunov exponents (e.g [6], [7] or [66]), one has that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $L(E + i\epsilon) > 0$ for any $E \in [-K, K]$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$. This implies that H_x satisfies LDT (e.g. [6]). Now Theorem 4.3 follows from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. \square

Remark 4. (1) Under a stronger Diophantine condition of frequencies α , the modulus of continuity and large deviation theorem of Lyapunov exponents were first established in [41] (also see [22] for a recent generalization).

- (2) A larger power law logarithmic bound $b^3\kappa^2p$ was established by Shamir-Sodin [70].
- (3) An implicit bound $C(b)\kappa p$ ($C(b)$ is a constant depending on b) was obtained in [49].

When the dimension of the torus is 1, we can improve Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $d = b = 1$. Let $\alpha \in \text{DC}(\kappa, \tau)$. Let H_x be given by (46) (with $b = 1$). Assume that the Lyapunov exponent $L(E)$ is positive for any $E \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any ϕ with compact support and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T_0 > 0$ (depending on p, ϕ, v, α and ε) such that for any $T \geq T_0$*

$$(49) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq (\ln T)^{p+\varepsilon}$$

and for any $t \geq T_0$

$$(50) \quad \langle |X_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(t) \leq (\ln t)^{p+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3, H_x satisfies LDT. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can assume that X_N (given by (40)) is a semi-algebraic set with degree less than N^C . Then (13) holds for any $\delta < 1$. Now Theorem 4.4 follows from Corollary 2.3. \square

Remark 5. In Theorem 4.4, the arithmetic condition, namely Diophantine condition, on frequencies α is necessary. For some non-Diophantine α , $\langle |\tilde{X}_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(T)$ could have the power law lower bound ($\langle |\tilde{X}_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \geq T^\gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$) [53].

4.3. Shifts: $b = 1$, arbitrary d . Let

$$f^n(x) = x + n\alpha = x + n_1\alpha_1 + n_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + n_d\alpha_d \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Let H_x on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ be given by

$$(51) \quad H_x = \lambda^{-1}S + v(f^n(x))\delta_{nn'} = \lambda^{-1}S + v(x + n_1\alpha_1 + n_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + n_d\alpha_d)\delta_{nn'},$$

where v is a non-constant real analytic function on \mathbb{T} .

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\alpha \in \text{DC}(\kappa, \tau)$ and H_x be given by (51). Then there exists $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\kappa, \tau, C_1, c_1, v)$ such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$ the following holds. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any ϕ with compact support, there exists $T_0 > 0$ (depending on p, ϕ, S, v, α and ε) such that for any $T \geq T_0$*

$$(52) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq (\ln T)^{p+\varepsilon}$$

and for any $t \geq T_0$

$$(53) \quad \langle |X_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(t) \leq (\ln t)^{p+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. By [65, Theorem 3.11], H_x satisfies LDT. We can assume that X_N (given by (40)) is a semi-algebraic set with degree less than N^C . Then (13) holds for any $\delta < 1$. Now Theorem 4.5 follows from Corollary 2.3. \square

4.4. **Shifts:** $d = b = 2$. Assume v is real analytic on \mathbb{T}^2 . Let

$$f^n(x) = (x_1 + n_1\alpha_1, x_2 + n_2\alpha_2) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2},$$

where $n = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Let H_x on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ be given by

$$(54) \quad H_x = \lambda^{-1}S + v(f^n(x))\delta_{nn'} = \lambda^{-1}S + v(x_1 + n_1\alpha_1, x_2 + n_2\alpha_2)\delta_{nn'}.$$

Theorem 4.6. *Let H_x be given by (54). Suppose v is non-constant on any line segment contained in $[0, 1)^2$, $\alpha_1 \in \text{DC}(\kappa, \tau)$ and $\alpha_2 \in \text{DC}(\kappa, \tau)$ with $1 \leq \kappa < \frac{13}{12}$. Then there exists $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\kappa, \tau, c_1, C_1, v)$ such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0$ the following holds. For any ϕ with compact support and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $T_0 > 0$ (depending on p, ϕ, S, v, α and ε) such that for any $T \geq T_0$*

$$(55) \quad \langle |\tilde{X}_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(T) \leq (\ln T)^{\frac{4p}{13-12\kappa} + \varepsilon}$$

and for any $t \geq T_0$

$$(56) \quad \langle |X_{H_x}|_\phi^p \rangle(t) \leq (\ln t)^{\frac{4p}{13-12\kappa} + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Recall that under the assumption in Theorem 4.6, H_x satisfies LDT (see [65, Theorem 3.20]). By [12, Theorem 5.1] (also item 1 of Remark 11 in [65]), (13) holds for any δ with $0 < \delta < \frac{13}{4} - 3\kappa$. \square

Remark 6. (1) The LDT of the operator (54) was established by the author in [65], which builds on an earlier work of Bourgain–Kachkovskiy [12].
 (2) A larger power law logarithmic bound $(\frac{4}{13-12\kappa})^2 p$ was established by Shamis-Sodin [70].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by NSF DMS-2000345 and DMS-2052572.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aizenman. Localization at weak disorder: some elementary bounds. volume 6, pages 1163–1182. 1994. Special issue dedicated to Elliott H. Lieb.
- [2] M. Aizenman, A. Elgart, S. Naboko, J. H. Schenker, and G. Stolz. Moment analysis for localization in random Schrödinger operators. *Invent. Math.*, 163(2):343–413, 2006.
- [3] M. Aizenman and S. Molchanov. Localization at large disorder and at extreme energies: an elementary derivation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 157(2):245–278, 1993.
- [4] A. Avila, J. You, and Q. Zhou. Sharp phase transitions for the almost Mathieu operator. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(14):2697–2718, 2017.
- [5] J. Avron and B. Simon. Singular continuous spectrum for a class of almost periodic Jacobi matrices. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 6(1):81–85, 1982.
- [6] J. Bourgain. *Green’s function estimates for lattice Schrödinger operators and applications*, volume 158 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
- [7] J. Bourgain. Positivity and continuity of the Lyapounov exponent for shifts on \mathbb{T}^d with arbitrary frequency vector and real analytic potential. *J. Anal. Math.*, 96:313–355, 2005.
- [8] J. Bourgain. Anderson localization for quasi-periodic lattice Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{Z}^d , d arbitrary. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(3):682–706, 2007.
- [9] J. Bourgain and M. Goldstein. On nonperturbative localization with quasi-periodic potential. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(3):835–879, 2000.
- [10] J. Bourgain, M. Goldstein, and W. Schlag. Anderson localization for Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{Z} with potentials given by the skew-shift. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 220(3):583–621, 2001.
- [11] J. Bourgain, M. Goldstein, and W. Schlag. Anderson localization for Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{Z}^2 with quasi-periodic potential. *Acta Math.*, 188(1):41–86, 2002.
- [12] J. Bourgain and I. Kachkovskiy. Anderson localization for two interacting quasiperiodic particles. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 29(1):3–43, 2019.
- [13] J. Bourgain and C. E. Kenig. On localization in the continuous Anderson-Bernoulli model in higher dimension. *Invent. Math.*, 161(2):389–426, 2005.
- [14] V. Bucaj, D. Damanik, J. Fillman, V. Gerbuz, T. VandenBoom, F. Wang, and Z. Zhang. Localization for the one-dimensional Anderson model via positivity and large deviations for the Lyapunov exponent. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(5):3619–3667, 2019.
- [15] R. Carmona, A. Klein, and F. Martinelli. Anderson localization for Bernoulli and other singular potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 108(1):41–66, 1987.
- [16] H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, W. Kirsch, and B. Simon. *Schrödinger operators with application to quantum mechanics and global geometry*. Texts and

- Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, study edition, 1987.
- [17] D. Damanik and P. Stollmann. Multi-scale analysis implies strong dynamical localization. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 11(1):11–29, 2001.
 - [18] D. Damanik and S. Tcheremchantsev. Upper bounds in quantum dynamics. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20(3):799–827, 2007.
 - [19] D. Damanik and S. Tcheremchantsev. Quantum dynamics via complex analysis methods: general upper bounds without time-averaging and tight lower bounds for the strongly coupled Fibonacci Hamiltonian. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(10):2872–2887, 2008.
 - [20] J. Ding and C. K. Smart. Localization near the edge for the Anderson Bernoulli model on the two dimensional lattice. *Invent. Math.*, 219(2):467–506, 2020.
 - [21] M. Drmota and R. F. Tichy. *Sequences, discrepancies and applications*, volume 1651 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
 - [22] P. Duarte and S. Klein. Continuity, positivity and simplicity of the Lyapunov exponents for quasi-periodic cocycles. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 21(7):2051–2106, 2019.
 - [23] A. Elgart and A. Klein. Ground state energy of trimmed discrete Schrödinger operators and localization for trimmed Anderson models. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 4(2):391–413, 2014.
 - [24] A. Elgart and A. Klein. An eigensystem approach to Anderson localization. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 271(12):3465–3512, 2016.
 - [25] A. Elgart and A. Klein. Eigensystem multiscale analysis for Anderson localization in energy intervals. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 9(2):711–765, 2019.
 - [26] A. Elgart and A. Klein. Eigensystem multiscale analysis for the Anderson model via the Wegner estimate. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 21(7):2301–2326, 2020.
 - [27] A. Elgart, A. Klein, and G. Stolz. Manifestations of dynamical localization in the disordered XXZ spin chain. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 361(3):1083–1113, 2018.
 - [28] A. Elgart, A. Klein, and G. Stolz. Many-body localization in the droplet spectrum of the random XXZ quantum spin chain. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 275(1):211–258, 2018.
 - [29] J. Fröhlich, F. Martinelli, E. Scoppola, and T. Spencer. Constructive proof of localization in the Anderson tight binding model. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 101(1):21–46, 1985.
 - [30] J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer. Absence of diffusion in the Anderson tight binding model for large disorder or low energy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 88(2):151–184, 1983.
 - [31] F. Germinet and S. de Bièvre. Localisation dynamique et opérateurs de Schrödinger aléatoires. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 326(2):261–264, 1998.

- [32] F. Germinet, P. D. Hislop, and A. Klein. Localization for Schrödinger operators with Poisson random potential. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 9(3):577–607, 2007.
- [33] F. Germinet, A. Kiselev, and S. Tcheremchantsev. Transfer matrices and transport for Schrödinger operators. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 54(3):787–830, 2004.
- [34] F. Germinet and A. Klein. Bootstrap multiscale analysis and localization in random media. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 222(2):415–448, 2001.
- [35] F. Germinet and A. Klein. Explicit finite volume criteria for localization in continuous random media and applications. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 13(6):1201–1238, 2003.
- [36] F. Germinet and A. Klein. A characterization of the Anderson metal-insulator transport transition. *Duke Math. J.*, 124(2):309–350, 2004.
- [37] F. Germinet and A. Klein. A comprehensive proof of localization for continuous Anderson models with singular random potentials. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(1):53–143, 2013.
- [38] F. Germinet, A. Klein, and J. H. Schenker. Dynamical delocalization in random Landau Hamiltonians. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 166(1):215–244, 2007.
- [39] F. Germinet, A. Klein, and J. H. Schenker. Quantization of the Hall conductance and delocalization in ergodic Landau Hamiltonians. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 21(8):1045–1080, 2009.
- [40] I. J. Goldsheid, S. A. Molčanov, and L. A. Pastur. A random homogeneous Schrödinger operator has a pure point spectrum. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 11(1):1–10, 96, 1977.
- [41] M. Goldstein and W. Schlag. Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states for quasi-periodic Schrödinger equations and averages of shifts of subharmonic functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 154(1):155–203, 2001.
- [42] A. Y. Gordon. The point spectrum of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator. *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, 31(4):257–258, 1976.
- [43] R. Han and S. Jitomirskaya. Quantum dynamical bounds for ergodic potentials with underlying dynamics of zero topological entropy. *Anal. PDE*, 12(4):867–902, 2019.
- [44] S. Jitomirskaya and W. Liu. Universal reflective-hierarchical structure of quasiperiodic eigenfunctions and sharp spectral transition in phase. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* to appear.
- [45] S. Jitomirskaya and W. Liu. Universal hierarchical structure of quasiperiodic eigenfunctions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 187(3):721–776, 2018.
- [46] S. Jitomirskaya and W. Liu. Upper bounds on transport exponents for long-range operators. *J. Math. Phys.*, 62(7):Paper No. 073506, 9, 2021.
- [47] S. Jitomirskaya, W. Liu, and Y. Shi. Anderson localization for multi-frequency quasi-periodic operators on \mathbb{Z}^D . *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 30(2):457–481, 2020.

- [48] S. Jitomirskaya and R. Mavi. Dynamical bounds for quasiperiodic Schrödinger operators with rough potentials. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (1):96–120, 2017.
- [49] S. Jitomirskaya and M. Powell. Logarithmic quantum dynamical bounds for arithmetically defined ergodic Schrödinger operators with smooth potentials. *Analysis at Large: Dedicated to the Life and Work of Jean Bourgain*, pages 173–201, 2022.
- [50] S. Jitomirskaya and H. Schulz-Baldes. Upper bounds on wavepacket spreading for random Jacobi matrices. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 273(3):601–618, 2007.
- [51] S. Jitomirskaya, H. Schulz-Baldes, and G. Stolz. Delocalization in random polymer models. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 233(1):27–48, 2003.
- [52] S. Jitomirskaya and B. Simon. Operators with singular continuous spectrum. III. Almost periodic Schrödinger operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 165(1):201–205, 1994.
- [53] S. Jitomirskaya and S. Zhang. Quantitative continuity of singular continuous spectral measures and arithmetic criteria for quasiperiodic Schrödinger operators. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 24(5):1723–1767, 2022.
- [54] S. Jitomirskaya and X. Zhu. Large deviations of the Lyapunov exponent and localization for the 1D Anderson model. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 370(1):311–324, 2019.
- [55] S. Y. Jitomirskaya and Y. Last. Power law subordinacy and singular spectra. II. Line operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 211(3):643–658, 2000.
- [56] A. Klein and S. T. Nguyen. The bootstrap multiscale analysis of the multi-particle Anderson model. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 151(5):938–973, 2013.
- [57] A. Klein and S. T. Nguyen. Bootstrap multiscale analysis and localization for multi-particle continuous Anderson Hamiltonians. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 5(2):399–444, 2015.
- [58] A. Klein, S. T. Nguyen, and C. Rojas-Molina. Characterization of the metal-insulator transport transition for the two-particle Anderson model. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 18(7):2327–2365, 2017.
- [59] A. Klein and C. Sadel. Ballistic behavior for random Schrödinger operators on the Bethe strip. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 1(4):409–442, 2011.
- [60] A. Klein and C. Sadel. Absolutely continuous spectrum for random Schrödinger operators on the Bethe strip. *Math. Nachr.*, 285(1):5–26, 2012.
- [61] A. Klein and C. S. S. Tsang. Eigensystem bootstrap multiscale analysis for the Anderson model. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 8(3):1149–1197, 2018.
- [62] H. Kunz and B. Souillard. Sur le spectre des opérateurs aux différences finies aléatoires. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 78(2):201–246, 1980/81.
- [63] Y. Last. Quantum dynamics and decompositions of singular continuous spectra. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 142(2):406–445, 1996.
- [64] L. Li and L. Zhang. Anderson-Bernoulli localization on the three-dimensional lattice and discrete unique continuation principle. *Duke Math.*

- J.*, 171(2):327–415, 2022.
- [65] W. Liu. Quantitative inductive estimates for Green’s functions of non-self-adjoint matrices. *Analysis and PDE to appear*.
- [66] M. Powell. Continuity of the lyapunov exponent for analytic multi-frequency quasiperiodic cocycles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09285*, 2022.
- [67] C. Rojas-Molina. Characterization of the Anderson metal-insulator transition for non ergodic operators and application. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 13(7):1575–1611, 2012.
- [68] P. Sarnak. Spectral behavior of quasiperiodic potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 84(3):377–401, 1982.
- [69] W. Schlag. An introduction to multiscale techniques in the theory of Anderson localization, Part I. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 220:Paper No. 112869, 55, 2022.
- [70] M. Shamis and S. Sodin. Upper bounds on quantum dynamics in arbitrary dimension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.10902*, 2021.
- [71] H. von Dreifus and A. Klein. A new proof of localization in the Anderson tight binding model. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 124(2):285–299, 1989.

(W. Liu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, USA

Email address: liuwencai1226@gmail.com; wencai@tamu.edu