

# New Quantum codes from constacyclic codes over a general non-chain ring

Swati Bhardwaj<sup>1</sup>, Mokshi Goyal<sup>2\*</sup> and Madhu Raka<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, INDIA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Applied Sciences, Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh, INDIA*

## Abstract

Let  $q$  be a prime power and let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_q[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k] / \langle f_i(u_i), u_i u_j - u_j u_i \rangle$  be a finite non-chain ring, where  $f_i(u_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$  are polynomials, not all linear, which split into distinct linear factors over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We characterize constacyclic codes over the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  and study quantum codes from these. As an application, some new and better quantum codes, as compared to the best known codes, are obtained. We also prove that the choice of the polynomials  $f_i(u_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$  is irrelevant while constructing quantum codes from constacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$ , it depends only on their degrees. It is shown that there always exists Quantum MDS code  $[[n, n-2, 2]]_q$  for any  $n$  with  $\gcd(n, q) \neq 1$ .

**MSC** : 94B15, 94B05, 11T71.

**Keywords** : Constacyclic codes, Central primitive idempotents, Gray map and Quantum codes.

## 1 Introduction

Quantum error-correcting codes are used by quantum computers to ensure that quantum information is protected from communication noise. Studies have been conducted extensively on quantum error-correcting codes. The main focus is on developing better quantum codes based on recent advances in the field. In 1995, Shor [25] came up with scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum memory. In 1996, Steane [26] proposed important structural properties of quantum error-correcting codes. Further in 1998, Calderbank et al. [8] constructed quantum error-correcting codes from classical codes, called CSS construction. Consequently, quantum error-correcting codes started to develop rapidly.

The class of cyclic, constacyclic and skew-constacyclic codes over finite non-chain rings have been useful in finding good quantum error-correcting codes. In 2013, Qian et al. [24] studied quantum codes from cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ . Generalizing their work, Ashraf et al. [2] constructed quantum codes from codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$  and Dertli et al. [9] considered binary quantum codes from cyclic codes over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$ . Further, Ashraf and Mohammad [4] generalized their work over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q + uv\mathbb{F}_q$  to

---

\*Corresponding author, Email: mokshigoyal@pec.edu.in

determine new non-binary quantum codes. Codes over several non-chain rings have been characterized by various authors. Goyal and Raka [13] studied cyclic codes over the non-chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_p[u]/\langle u^m - u \rangle$  and negacyclic codes [14] over the non-chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle f(u) \rangle$ , where  $f(u)$  splits into distinct linear factors over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Further the cyclic and constacyclic codes over the non-chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[u, v]/\langle f(u), g(v), uv - vu \rangle$ , where  $f(u)$  and  $g(v)$  are non-linear polynomials and split into distinct linear factors over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  have been discussed in detail by Goyal and Raka [15], [16]. Bhardwaj and Raka [7] studied skew-constacyclic codes over it. On taking some special expression for polynomials  $f(u)$  and  $g(v)$ , (e.g.  $f(u) = u^2 - u, g(v) = v^2 - 1$ ) many researchers have obtained new quantum error-correcting codes using CSS construction, see [3], [10], [19], [21], [22]. Recently, Islam et al. [17], [18] and [23] worked over the rings  $\mathbb{F}_p[u, v, w]/\langle u^2 - 1, v^2 - 1, w^2 - 1, uv - vu, vw - wv, wu - uw \rangle$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k]/\langle u_i^2 - 1, u_i u_j - u_j u_i \rangle$ . Note that  $u_i^2 - 1 = (u_i - 1)(u_i + 1)$  splits over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Generalizing all these non-chain rings, here we discuss constacyclic codes over the ring  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_q[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k]/\langle f_i(u_i), u_i u_j - u_j u_i \rangle_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ , where  $f_i(u_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$  are polynomials of degree  $m_i$ , which split into distinct linear factors over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . As an application, some new MDS or Almost MDS quantum codes such as  $[[18, 14, 3]]_{19}$ ,  $[[24, 22, 2]]_{289}$ ,  $[[22, 14, 4]]_{11}$ ,  $[[26, 20, 3]]_{13}$ ,  $[[12, 6, 3]]_{19}$ ,  $[[10, 4, 3]]_{25}$  and  $[[24, 18, 3]]_{29}$  are obtained. Some better than previously known quantum codes such as  $[[80, 68, 3]]_5$ ,  $[[126, 114, 3]]_7$ ,  $[[30, 16, 5]]_{11}$ ,  $[[66, 56, 4]]_{11}$ ,  $[[56, 48, 3]]_{49}$  and  $[[88, 80, 3]]_{121}$  are also obtained. See Tables 1, 2 and 3. While constructing quantum codes from constacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$ , we observe that the choice of the polynomials  $f_i(u_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$  is irrelevant, it depends only on their degrees  $m_i$  (Section 4). It is also shown that there always exists Quantum MDS code  $[[n, n - 2, 2]]_q$  for any  $n$  with  $\gcd(n, q) \neq 1$  (Theorem 7).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we discuss the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , constacyclic codes over it and define a Gray map from the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}$ . In Section 3, we study quantum codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  from constacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$  and obtain some new and better quantum codes by using MAGMA computation system. The irrelevance of the expression of polynomials  $f_i(u_i)$  for the construction of Quantum error-correcting codes is discussed in Section 4. In Section 5, we conclude the paper.

## 2 Constacyclic codes over the ring $\mathcal{R}$ and the Gray map

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. An  $R$ -linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^n$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is called  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code for a unit  $\lambda$  in  $R$  if it is invariant under the  $\lambda$ -constacyclic shift  $\sigma_\lambda$ , where

$$\sigma_\lambda((c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})) = (\lambda c_{n-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2}).$$

If  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  is cyclic. If  $\lambda = -1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  is called negacyclic. A  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  over  $R$  can be regarded as an ideal of  $R[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$  under the correspondence

$$c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \rightarrow c(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} \pmod{x^n - \lambda}.$$

For a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $R$ , the dual code  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is defined as  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{x \in R^n \mid x \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{C}\}$ , where  $x \cdot y$  denotes the usual Euclidean inner product. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code over  $R$ , then  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is a  $\lambda^{-1}$ -constacyclic code over  $R$ .

If  $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ , a constacyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  has a unique monic generator polynomial  $g(x)$  satisfying  $g(x) \mid (x^n - \lambda)$ . Let  $x^n - \lambda = g(x)h(x)$  and  $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-r} h_i x^i$ . Define the reciprocal of  $h(x)$  as  $h^\perp(x) = h_0^{-1}(h_{n-r} + h_{n-r-1}x + \cdots + h_0 x^{n-r})$ . Then the dual code  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is generated by  $h^\perp(x)$ .

## 2.1 The ring $\mathbb{F}_q[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k] / \langle f_i(u_i), u_i u_j - u_j u_i \rangle$

Let  $q$  be a prime power,  $q = p^e$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_q[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k] / \langle f_i(u_i), u_i u_j - u_j u_i \rangle$  be a commutative ring, where  $f_i(u_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$  are polynomials of degree  $m_i$ , which split into distinct linear factors over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(u_1) &= (u_1 - \alpha_{11})(u_1 - \alpha_{12}) \cdots (u_1 - \alpha_{1m_1}), \\ f_2(u_2) &= (u_2 - \alpha_{21})(u_2 - \alpha_{22}) \cdots (u_2 - \alpha_{2m_2}), \\ &\dots \quad \dots \\ f_i(u_i) &= (u_i - \alpha_{i1})(u_i - \alpha_{i2}) \cdots (u_i - \alpha_{im_i}), \\ &\dots \quad \dots \\ f_k(u_k) &= (u_k - \alpha_{k1})(u_k - \alpha_{k2}) \cdots (u_k - \alpha_{km_k}), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha_{is_i} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , for  $1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $\alpha_{is_i} \neq \alpha_{is'_i}$ , for all  $s_i \neq s'_i$ .  $\mathcal{R}$  is a non-chain ring of size  $q^{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}$  and characteristic  $p$ .

For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)}, 1 \leq s_i \leq m_i$ , be elements of the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1^{(i)} &= \epsilon_1^{(i)}(u_i) = \frac{(u_i - \alpha_{i2})(u_i - \alpha_{i3}) \cdots (u_i - \alpha_{is_i}) \cdots (u_i - \alpha_{im_i})}{(\alpha_{i1} - \alpha_{i2})(\alpha_{i1} - \alpha_{i3}) \cdots (\alpha_{i1} - \alpha_{is_i}) \cdots (\alpha_{i1} - \alpha_{im_i})} \\ \epsilon_2^{(i)} &= \epsilon_2^{(i)}(u_i) = \frac{(u_i - \alpha_{i1})(u_i - \alpha_{i3}) \cdots (u_i - \alpha_{is_i}) \cdots (u_i - \alpha_{im_i})}{(\alpha_{i2} - \alpha_{i1})(\alpha_{i2} - \alpha_{i3}) \cdots (\alpha_{i2} - \alpha_{is_i}) \cdots (\alpha_{i2} - \alpha_{im_i})} \\ &\dots \quad \dots \\ \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} &= \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)}(u_i) = \frac{(u_i - \alpha_{i1})(u_i - \alpha_{i2}) \cdots (u_i - \alpha_{i(s_i-1)})(u_i - \alpha_{i(s_i+1)}) \cdots (u_i - \alpha_{im_i})}{(\alpha_{is_i} - \alpha_{i1})(\alpha_{is_i} - \alpha_{i2}) \cdots (\alpha_{is_i} - \alpha_{i(s_i-1)})(\alpha_{is_i} - \alpha_{i(s_i+1)}) \cdots (\alpha_{is_i} - \alpha_{im_i})} \\ &\dots \quad \dots \\ \epsilon_{m_i}^{(i)} &= \epsilon_{m_i}^{(i)}(u_i) = \frac{(u_i - \alpha_{i1})(u_i - \alpha_{i2}) \cdots (u_i - \alpha_{i(m_i-1)})}{(\alpha_{im_i} - \alpha_{i1})(\alpha_{im_i} - \alpha_{i2}) \cdots (\alpha_{im_i} - \alpha_{i(m_i-1)})}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

If  $m_i = 1$ , for some  $i$ , we define  $\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} = 1$ .

**Lemma 1** For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , we have  $\epsilon_{r_i}^{(i)} \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} \equiv 0 \pmod{f_i(u_i)}$  for  $1 \leq r_i, s_i \leq m_i, r_i \neq s_i$ ,  $(\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)})^2 \equiv \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} \pmod{f_i(u_i)}$  and  $\sum_{s_i=1}^{m_i} \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(u_i)}$ .

**Proof:** It is clear that  $\epsilon_{r_i}^{(i)} \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} \equiv 0 \pmod{f_i(u_i)}$  for  $1 \leq r_i, s_i \leq m_i, r_i \neq s_i$ . To prove  $(\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)})^2 \equiv \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} \pmod{f_i(u_i)}$ , it is enough to prove that  $\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)}(\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{f_i(u_i)}$ . So it is sufficient to prove that  $(u_i - \alpha_{ir_i}) \mid \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)}(\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} - 1)$  for each  $r_i, 1 \leq r_i \leq m_i$ . By definition of  $\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)}$ , it is clear that  $(u_i - \alpha_{ir_i}) \mid \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)}$  for all  $r_i \neq s_i$ . Also  $\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)}(\alpha_{is_i}) = 1$ , so  $(u_i - \alpha_{is_i}) \mid (\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} - 1)$ . Therefore  $f_i(u_i) \mid \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)}(\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} - 1)$  and hence  $(\epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)})^2 \equiv \epsilon_{s_i}^{(i)} \pmod{f_i(u_i)}$ . As  $\epsilon_1^{(i)}(\alpha_{ir_i}) + \epsilon_2^{(i)}(\alpha_{ir_i}) + \cdots + \epsilon_{m_i}^{(i)}(\alpha_{ir_i}) = 1$  for all  $r_i$ , we find that  $(u_i - \alpha_{ir_i}) \mid (\epsilon_1^{(i)}(u_i) + \epsilon_2^{(i)}(u_i) + \cdots + \epsilon_{m_i}^{(i)}(u_i) - 1)$  for all  $r_i$  and hence  $\epsilon_1^{(i)} + \epsilon_2^{(i)} + \cdots + \epsilon_{m_i}^{(i)} \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(u_i)}$ .  $\square$

For  $1 \leq s_1 \leq m_1, 1 \leq s_2 \leq m_2, \dots, 1 \leq s_k \leq m_k$  define

$$\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = \epsilon_{s_1}^{(1)}(u_1) \epsilon_{s_2}^{(2)}(u_2) \dots \epsilon_{s_k}^{(k)}(u_k).$$

Working as in [15], we get

**Lemma 2** For  $s_i = 1, 2, \dots, m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ 's are primitive central orthogonal idempotents of the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Example 1** Let  $f_1(u_1) = u_1^2 - 1 = (u_1 - 1)(u_1 + 1), f_2(u_2) = u_2^2 - 1, f_3(u_3) = u_3^2 - 1$ . Then  $\epsilon_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(u_1 + 1), \epsilon_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_1), \epsilon_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(u_2 + 1), \epsilon_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_2), \epsilon_1^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}(u_3 + 1), \epsilon_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_3)$ . Therefore,

$$\eta_{111} = \frac{1}{8}(1 + u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_1 u_2 + u_2 u_3 + u_3 u_1 + u_1 u_2 u_3)$$

$$\eta_{211} = \frac{1}{8}(1 - u_1 + u_2 + u_3 - u_1 u_2 + u_2 u_3 - u_3 u_1 - u_1 u_2 u_3)$$

$$\eta_{121} = \frac{1}{8}(1 + u_1 - u_2 + u_3 - u_1 u_2 - u_2 u_3 + u_3 u_1 - u_1 u_2 u_3)$$

$$\eta_{112} = \frac{1}{8}(1 + u_1 + u_2 - u_3 + u_1 u_2 - u_2 u_3 - u_3 u_1 - u_1 u_2 u_3)$$

$$\eta_{221} = \frac{1}{8}(1 - u_1 - u_2 + u_3 + u_1 u_2 - u_2 u_3 - u_3 u_1 + u_1 u_2 u_3)$$

$$\eta_{212} = \frac{1}{8}(1 - u_1 + u_2 - u_3 - u_1 u_2 - u_2 u_3 + u_3 u_1 + u_1 u_2 u_3)$$

$$\eta_{122} = \frac{1}{8}(1 + u_1 - u_2 - u_3 - u_1 u_2 + u_2 u_3 - u_3 u_1 + u_1 u_2 u_3)$$

$$\eta_{222} = \frac{1}{8}(1 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3 + u_1 u_2 + u_2 u_3 + u_3 u_1 - u_1 u_2 u_3)$$

These are the same primitive idempotent as given in [17].

Throughout the paper by  $\bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k}$  (or  $\prod_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k}$ ) we will mean that the direct sum (or the product) is over  $k$  variables  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k$ , where each  $s_i$  varies from 1 to  $m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ .

The decomposition theorem of ring theory tells us that

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{R} \cong \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathbb{F}_q$$

Every element  $c$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  can be uniquely expressed as  $c = \bigoplus_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k} \eta_{r_1 r_2 \dots r_k} c_{r_1 r_2 \dots r_k}$ , where  $c_{r_1 r_2 \dots r_k} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . The same is true for elements of  $\mathcal{R}^n$ . Note that  $c(\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}) = \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} c_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ .

For a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  over the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , let for each pair  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k), 1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = \left\{ x_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \exists x_{r_1 r_2 \dots r_k} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n, (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k) \neq (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \right. \\ \left. \text{such that } \bigoplus_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k} \eta_{r_1 r_2 \dots r_k} x_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k} \in \mathcal{C} \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  are linear codes of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}, \quad \text{i.e. } \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C} = \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k},$$

$$|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} |\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}|.$$

Working as in [7], we get

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  be a linear code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  and
- (iii)  $|\mathcal{C}^\perp| = \prod_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} |\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp|$ .

## 2.2 Constacyclic codes over the ring $\mathcal{R}$

Let  $\lambda$  be an element in  $\mathcal{R}$  given by

$$\lambda = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}, \quad \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \in \mathbb{F}_q. \quad (3)$$

Clearly  $\lambda$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  are units in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , i.e., if and only if  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ .

Note that  $\lambda^2 = 1$  if and only if  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^2 = 1$ , i.e., if and only if  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = \pm 1$ .

The following Theorems 2, 3 and 4 are analogous to those of [16].

**Theorem 2** *Let the unit  $\lambda$  be as defined in (3). A linear code  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  is a  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  are  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ -constacyclic codes of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , for all  $1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $c_r = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(r)}$  for each  $r, 0 \leq r \leq n-1$ . Let  $a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = (a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(0)}, a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(1)}, \dots, a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(n-1)})$ , so that  $c = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ , where  $a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \in \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ . Using the properties of primitive idempotents  $\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda c_{n-1} &= \left( \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \right) \left( \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(n-1)} \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_\lambda(c) &= (\lambda c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}) \\
&= \left( \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(n-1)}, \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(0)}, \dots, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(n-2)} \right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \left( \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(n-1)}, a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(0)}, \dots, a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(n-2)} \right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \sigma_{\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}} (a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sigma_\lambda(c) \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\sigma_{\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}} (a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}) \in \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3** If  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  is a  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is  $\lambda^{-1}$ -constacyclic code over  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp$  are  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{-1}$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $\lambda$  is as given in (3). Further for  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , it is necessary that  $\lambda^{-1} = \lambda$  i.e.,  $\lambda^2 = 1$  i.e.,  $\lambda = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} (\pm \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k})$ .

**Proof:** The first statement is a well-known result. Also by Theorem 1, we have  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp$ , and  $\lambda^{-1} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{-1}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp$  are  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{-1}$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Further  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ . Now for  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  to be dual containing, it is necessary that  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = \pm 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  be a  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Suppose that  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ -constacyclic codes  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  are generated by  $g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$ , where  $x^n - \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$  for  $1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, i \leq k$ . Then there exist polynomials  $g(x)$  and  $h^\perp(x)$  in  $\mathcal{R}[x]$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ ,
- (ii)  $g(x)$  is a divisor of  $(x^n - \lambda)$ ,
- (iii)  $|\mathcal{C}| = q^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k n - \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \deg(g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x))}$ ,
- (iv)  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle h^\perp(x) \rangle$ , where  $h^\perp(x) = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x)$  and
- (v)  $|\mathcal{C}^\perp| = q^{\sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \deg(g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x))}$ .

**Proof:** First we show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{E} = \langle \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x), 1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k \rangle$ . Let  $c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = \langle g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ , we have  $c(x) = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} u_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$  for some  $u_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Therefore,  $c(x) \in \mathcal{E}$  and so  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ .

Conversely, let  $c(x) = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} f_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) \in \mathcal{E}$ , where  $f_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x]$ . As  $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathbb{F}_q$ , each  $f_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} u_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$  for some  $u_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Now  $\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} f_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$

$= \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} u_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$  as  $\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  are primitive orthogonal idempotents. So we get that  $c(x) = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} u_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) \in \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \langle g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) \rangle = \mathcal{C}$ , hence  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $g(x) = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$ . Then clearly  $\langle g(x) \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C}$ . On the other hand  $\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} g(x) = \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$ , so  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \langle g(x) \rangle$ .

Let  $h(x) = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$ , then one finds that  $h(x)g(x) = x^n - \lambda$ , so  $g(x)$  divides  $x^n - \lambda$ .

Since  $|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} |\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}|$  and  $|\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}| = q^{n - \deg(g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x))}$ , we get (iii).

Let  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp = \langle h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x) \rangle$  and take  $h^\perp(x) = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x)$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp$ , we get  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle h^\perp(x) \rangle$ . (v) follows because  $|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{C}^\perp| = q^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k n}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  be a  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  are  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  generated by  $g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x)$ , where  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = \pm 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ , i.e., if and only if

$$x^n - \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x)},$$

for  $1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ .

**Proof:** We have  $x^n - \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . By Theorem 1,  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  which is so if and only if for each  $s_i, 1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) | h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x) \\ \Leftrightarrow & g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x) | h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) \\ \Leftrightarrow & g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x) | g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) h_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x) \\ \Leftrightarrow & g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}(x) g_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp(x) | x^n - \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1** If  $G_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ 's are generator matrices of codes  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then a generator matrix of  $\mathcal{C}$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \eta_{11 \dots 1} G_{11 \dots 1} \\ \eta_{21 \dots 1} G_{21 \dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta_{m_1 1 \dots 1} G_{m_1 1 \dots 1} \\ \eta_{121 \dots 1} G_{121 \dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta_{m_1 21 \dots 1} G_{m_1 21 \dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta_{1 m_2 1 \dots 1} G_{1 m_2 1 \dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \eta_{1 m_2 \dots m_k} G_{1 m_2 \dots m_k} \\ \dots \\ \eta_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} G_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

## 2.3 The Gray map

Every element  $r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$  of the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  can be uniquely expressed as

$$r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k},$$

where  $a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \in \mathbb{F}_q$  for  $1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Let  $[a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}]_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k}$  denote a  $1 \times m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$  row matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  given by  $[a_{11 \dots 1}, a_{21 \dots 1}, \dots, a_{m_1 1 \dots 1}, a_{121 \dots 1}, \dots, a_{m_1 21 \dots 1}, \dots, a_{1 m_2 1 \dots 1}, \dots, a_{1 m_2 \dots m_k}, \dots, a_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}]$ .

Define a Gray map  $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}$  by

$$r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) \mapsto [a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}]_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} V,$$

where  $V$  is any non-singular matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of order  $m_1 m_2 \dots m_k \times m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$ . This map can be extended from  $\mathcal{R}^n$  to  $(\mathbb{F}_q^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k})^n$  component-wise.

Let the Gray weight of an element  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  be  $w_G(r) = w_H(\Phi(r))$ , the Hamming weight of  $\Phi(r)$ . The Gray weight of a codeword  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{R}^n$  is defined as  $w_G(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_G(c_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_H(\Phi(c_i)) = w_H(\Phi(c))$ . For any two elements  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{R}^n$ , the Gray distance  $d_G$  is given by  $d_G(c_1, c_2) = w_G(c_1 - c_2) = w_H(\Phi(c_1) - \Phi(c_2))$ .

The following theorem is analogous to a result of [15].

**Theorem 6** *The Gray map  $\Phi$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear, one to one and onto map. It is also distance preserving map from  $(\mathcal{R}^n, \text{Gray distance } d_G)$  to  $((\mathbb{F}_q^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k})^n, \text{Hamming distance } d_H)$ . Further, if the matrix  $V$  satisfies  $VV^T = \beta I$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , where  $V^T$  denotes the transpose of the matrix  $V$ , then  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = (\Phi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$  for any linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

**Proof.** The first two assertions hold as  $V$  is an invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Let now  $V = [V_1^T, V_2^T, \dots, V_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}^T]$ , where

$$V_t = [v_{11 \dots 1}^{(t)}, v_{21 \dots 1}^{(t)}, \dots, v_{m_1 1 \dots 1}^{(t)}, v_{121 \dots 1}^{(t)}, \dots, v_{m_1 21 \dots 1}^{(t)}, \dots, v_{1 m_2 \dots m_k}^{(t)}, \dots, v_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}^{(t)}]$$

is a  $1 \times m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , satisfying  $VV^T = \beta I_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}$ . So that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} (v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(t)})^2 &= \beta \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{t=1}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(t)} v_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(t)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } (s_1 s_2 \dots s_k) \neq (s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a linear code over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $r = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ ,  $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $r_i = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)}$  and  $u_i = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} b_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)}$ .

So that  $r \cdot u = 0$ . To prove that  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \subseteq (\Phi(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$ , it is enough to prove that  $\Phi(r) \cdot \Phi(u) = 0$ . Using the properties of  $\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$ 's (Lemma 2), we get

$$r_i u_i = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} b_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)}$$



Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = r \cdot u &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r_i u_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} b_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} b_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} b_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} = 0, \quad \text{for all } s_i, 1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k. \quad (5)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(r_i) &= [a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)}]_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} V = [a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)}]_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} [V_1^T, V_2^T, \dots, V_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}^T] \\ &= \left[ \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(1)}, \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(2)}, \dots, \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(m_1 m_2 \dots m_k)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $\Phi(u_i)$

$$= \left[ \bigoplus_{s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_k} b_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(i)} v_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(1)}, \bigoplus_{s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_k} b_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(i)} v_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(2)}, \dots, \bigoplus_{s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_k} b_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(i)} v_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(m_1 m_2 \dots m_k)} \right]$$

Using (4) and (5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(r) \cdot \Phi(u) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Phi(r_i) \cdot \Phi(u_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{t=1}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \bigoplus_{s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} b_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(i)} v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(t)} v_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(t)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \\ (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) = (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_k)}} a_{(s_1 s_2 \dots s_k)}^{(i)} b_{(s_1 s_2 \dots s_k)}^{(i)} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} (v_{(s_1 s_2 \dots s_k)}^{(t)})^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \sum_{\substack{s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_k \\ (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \neq (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_k)}} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} b_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(i)} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} v_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(t)} v_{s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k}^{(t)} \right) \\ &= \beta \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} b_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} \\ &= \beta \sum_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} b_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^{(i)} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result.  $\square$

### 3 Quantum codes from constacyclic codes over the ring $\mathcal{R}$

Let  $H$  be the Hilbert space of dimension  $K$  over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Define  $H^{\otimes n}$  to be the  $n$ -fold tensor product of the Hilbert Space  $H$ , i.e.,  $H^{\otimes n} = H \otimes H \otimes \dots \otimes H$  ( $n$  times). Then  $H^{\otimes n}$  is a Hilbert Space of dimension  $K^n$ . A quantum code of length  $n$  and dimension  $K$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is defined to be the Hilbert subspace of  $H^{\otimes n}$ .

A quantum code of length  $n$ , dimension  $\ell$  and minimum distance  $d$  is represented as  $[[n, \ell, d]]_q$ . Each quantum code satisfies the singleton bound, i.e.,  $\ell + 2d \leq n + 2$ . If for some quantum code, singleton bound is attained, then it is said to be quantum MDS (Maximum Distance Seperable) code. It is said to be Almost MDS, if it satisfies  $n = \ell + 2d$ . A quantum code  $[[n, \ell, d]]_q$  is better than the other quantum code  $[[n', \ell', d']]_q$ , if any of the following condition holds:

- (a)  $\frac{\ell}{n} > \frac{\ell'}{n'}$ , where  $d = d'$  (greater code rate with same distance).
- (b)  $d > d'$ , where  $\frac{\ell}{n} = \frac{\ell'}{n'}$  (greater distance with same code rate).

**Lemma 3** ([8]) [CSS construction] If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $[n, \ell, d]$  linear code with  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then there exists a Quantum Error-Correcting code with parameters  $[[n, 2\ell - n, d]]$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Theorem 7** For  $q = p^e$  and any  $n$  with  $\gcd(n, p) \neq 1$ , there always exist Quantum MDS codes  $[[n, n - 2, 2]]_q$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the even weight linear code having parameters  $[n, n - 1, 2]$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  generated by  $g(x) = x - 1$ . Here,  $g^\perp(x) = -(x - 1)$ .  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is generated by  $h(x) = h^\perp(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{n-1}$ . As  $p|n$ , 1 is a root of  $h(x)$ , so  $x - 1$  divides  $h(x)$ . Therefore,

$$x^n - 1 = g(x)h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{g(x)g^\perp(x)}.$$

By Theorem 5,  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Now, by CSS construction (Lemma 3), there exists Quantum MDS code  $[[n, n - 2, 2]]_q$ .

**Remark 2** For  $\gcd(n, p) \neq 1$ , the Quantum MDS code  $[[n, n - 2, 2]]_q$  is better than many Quantum codes given in the database [1].

For example, the Quantum MDS code  $[[60, 58, 2]]_5$  is better than  $[[60, 54, 2]]_5$  as obtained in [10], [12]; the Quantum MDS code  $[[180, 178, 2]]_5$  is better than  $[[180, 156, 2]]_5$  and  $[[180, 176, 2]]_5$  obtained in [4] and [11] respectively; the Quantum MDS code  $[[100, 98, 2]]_5$  is better than  $[[100, 92, 2]]_5$  and  $[[100, 94, 2]]_5$  obtained in [3] and [6] respectively; the Quantum MDS code  $[[120, 118, 2]]_5$  is better than  $[[120, 114, 2]]_5$  obtained in [10], [12] and [22]; the Quantum MDS code  $[[140, 138, 2]]_5$  is better than  $[[140, 112, 2]]_5$  and  $[[140, 134, 2]]_5$  obtained in [3] and [6] respectively.

**Theorem 8** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  be a  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Suppose  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  has parameters  $[m_1 m_2 \dots m_k n, \ell, d_H]$ . If  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , then there exists a Quantum Error-Correcting code  $[[m_1 m_2 \dots m_k n, 2\ell - m_1 m_2 \dots m_k n, d_H]]_q$ .

Proof follows by using CSS construction [8], and Theorems 5, 6.

### 3.1 Examples

In this section, we obtain many optimal and better quantum codes than already existing quantum codes. The MAGMA computation system is used to manage all of the computations in these examples. See Tables 1, 2 and 3.

**Example 2** Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_5[u_1, u_2]/\langle u_1^2 - 1, u_2^2 - 1, u_1u_2 - u_2u_1 \rangle$  and  $n = 20$ .

Here  $\eta_{11} = \frac{1}{4}(1 + u_1 + u_2 + u_1u_2)$ ,  $\eta_{12} = \frac{1}{4}(1 + u_1 - u_2 - u_1u_2)$ ,  $\eta_{21} = \frac{1}{4}(1 - u_1 + u_2 - u_1u_2)$  and  $\eta_{22} = \frac{1}{4}(1 - u_1 - u_2 + u_1u_2)$ .

Also  $x^{20} - 1 = (x + 1)^5(x + 2)^5(x + 3)^5(x + 4)^5 \in \mathbb{F}_5[x]$ . Take  $g_{11}(x) = (x + 1)^2$ ,  $g_{12}(x) = (x + 2)^2$ ,  $g_{21}(x) = x + 3$ ,  $g_{22}(x) = x + 4$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{11} = \langle g_{11}(x) \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{12} = \langle g_{12}(x) \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{21} = \langle g_{21}(x) \rangle$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_{22} = \langle g_{22}(x) \rangle$ . Take

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $V_1V_1^T = 2I_4$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} = \eta_{11}\mathcal{C}_{11} \oplus \eta_{12}\mathcal{C}_{12} \oplus \eta_{21}\mathcal{C}_{21} \oplus \eta_{22}\mathcal{C}_{22}$  is a cyclic code of length 20 and its Gray image  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  has parameters  $[80, 74, 3]$  over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . We have  $x^{20} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{g_{s_1s_2}(x)g_{s_1s_2}^\perp(x)}$  for  $1 \leq s_1, s_2 \leq 2$ . Hence by Theorem 8, there exists a quantum code  $[[80, 68, 3]]_5$  which has the greater code rate than the code  $[[80, 56, 3]]_5$  given by [11].

**Example 3** Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_{17}[u_1, u_2]/\langle u_1^2 - 1, u_2^2 - 1, u_1u_2 - u_2u_1 \rangle$  and  $n = 34$ .

Then  $x^{34} + 1 = (x + 4)^{17}(x + 13)^{17} \in \mathbb{F}_{17}[x]$ . Take  $g_{11}(x) = x^2 + 8x + 16$ ,  $g_{12}(x) = x + 13$ ,  $g_{21}(x) = 1$ ,  $g_{22}(x) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{11} = \langle g_{11}(x) \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{12} = \langle g_{12}(x) \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{21} = \langle g_{21}(x) \rangle$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_{22} = \langle g_{22}(x) \rangle$ . Take

$$V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 9 & 14 & 16 \\ 9 & 5 & 16 & 3 \\ 14 & 1 & 5 & 9 \\ 16 & 14 & 8 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $V_2V_2^T = 14I_4$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} = \eta_{11}\mathcal{C}_{11} \oplus \eta_{12}\mathcal{C}_{12} \oplus \eta_{21}\mathcal{C}_{21} \oplus \eta_{22}\mathcal{C}_{22}$  is a negacyclic code of length 34 and its Gray image  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  has parameters  $[136, 133, 3]$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ . We have  $x^{34} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{g_{s_1s_2}(x)g_{s_1s_2}^\perp(x)}$  for  $1 \leq s_1, s_2 \leq 2$ . Hence by Theorem 8, there exists a quantum code  $[[136, 130, 3]]_{17}$  which is an Almost MDS and new quantum code as per the database [1].

**Example 4** Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_{19}[u_1, u_2]/\langle u_1^2 - 1, u_2, u_1u_2 - u_2u_1 \rangle$  and  $n = 9$ . Here  $\eta_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + u_1)$  and  $\eta_{21} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_1)$ .

Then  $x^9 + 1 = (x + 1)(x + 4)(x + 5)(x + 6)(x + 7)(x + 9)(x + 11)(x + 16)(x + 17)$  and  $x^9 - 1 = (x + 2)(x + 3)(x + 8)(x + 10)(x + 12)(x + 13)(x + 14)(x + 15)(x + 18) \in \mathbb{F}_{19}[x]$ . Take  $g_{11}(x) = x + 7$ ,  $g_{21}(x) = x + 14$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{11} = \langle g_{11}(x) \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{21} = \langle g_{21}(x) \rangle$ . Take

$$V_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 18 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $V_3V_3^T = 2I_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} = \eta_{11}\mathcal{C}_{11} \oplus \eta_{21}\mathcal{C}_{21}$  is  $\eta_{21} - \eta_{11} = (-u_1)$ -constacyclic code of length 9 and its Gray image  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  has parameters  $[18, 16, 3]$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{19}$ . We have  $x^9 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{g_{s_1s_2}(x)g_{s_1s_2}^\perp(x)}$  for  $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ , and  $x^9 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{g_{s_1s_2}(x)g_{s_1s_2}^\perp(x)}$  for  $s_1 = 2, s_2 = 1$ . Hence by Theorem 8, there exists a quantum code  $[[18, 14, 3]]_{19}$  which is an MDS and new quantum code as per the database [1].

The non-singular matrices  $V$  taken for the construction of the Quantum Codes are:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_5} & V_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 28 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{29}} & V_6 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_5} & V_7 &= \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_7} \\
V_8 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_7} & V_9 &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{11}} & V_{10} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 10 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{13}} & V_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{25}} \\
V_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{27}} & V_{13} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{49}} & V_{14} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{121}} & V_{15} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 16 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{289}} \\
V_{16} &= \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 2 & 1 \\ 10 & 9 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{11}} & V_{17} &= \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 2 & 1 \\ 12 & 11 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{13}} & V_{18} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 15 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 15 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{17}} \\
V_{19} &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 7 & 9 & 8 \\ 7 & 3 & 8 & 4 \\ 9 & 5 & 3 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{13}} & V_{20} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 12 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbb{F}_{169}}
\end{aligned}$$

In Table 1, we have constructed Quantum error-correcting codes from cyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$ , in Table 2, we have constructed Quantum error-correcting codes from negacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$ . In Table 3, we have constructed Quantum error-correcting codes from  $(-\eta_{11} + \eta_{21})$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$ . We have compared our codes with those obtained in some recent papers and this is mentioned in ‘Remarks’ column. Here, NQC refers to New Quantum Codes as per the database [1]. We express the generator polynomials  $g_{s_1 \dots s_k}(x)$  by their coefficients in the decreasing order, for example we denote a polynomial  $x^4 + 10x^3 + 2x^2 + 0x + \zeta$  by  $\zeta 02(10)1$ , where  $\zeta$  denotes the primitive element of the field.

**Table 1**

$n$	$q$	$V$	$f_1(u_1)$	$f_2(u_2)$	$g_{s_1 \dots s_k}(x)$	$\Phi(\mathcal{C})$	$[[n, \ell, d]]_q$	Remarks
8	5	$V_4$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2$	21, 1, 1	[24, 23, 2]	$[[24, 22, 2]]_5$ MDS	NQC
11	5	$V_4$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2 - 1$	1, 411421, 431441	[33, 23, 3]	$[[33, 13, 3]]_5$	NQC
20	5	$V_1$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2^2 - 1$	121, 441 31, 41	[80, 74, 3]	$[[80, 68, 3]]_5$	$[[80, 56, 3]]_5$ [11]
93	5	$V_1$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2^2 - 1$	4311, 1300341, 4111, 4201	[372, 354, 4]	$[[372, 336, 4]]_5$	NQC
105	5	$V_6$	$u_1^2 + u_1$	$u_2 + 1$	1, 111	[210, 208, 2]	$[[210, 206, 2]]_5$ Almost MDS	$[[210, 150, 2]]_5$ $[[210, 204, 2]]_5$ [4],[10]
108	5	$V_6$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2$	31, 1	[216, 215, 2]	$[[216, 214, 2]]_5$ MDS	$[[216, 210, 2]]_5$ [10]
63	7	$V_8$	$u_1^2 + u_1$	$u_2 - 6$	325261, 51	[126, 120, 3]	$[[126, 114, 3]]_7$	$[[126, 110, 3]]_7$ [10]
84	7	$V_8$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 - 3$	26361, 31	[168, 163, 3]	$[[168, 158, 3]]_7$	$[[168, 156, 3]]_7$ [10]
84	7	$V_7$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2 + 3$	661021, 4411	[168, 160, 4]	$[[168, 152, 4]]_7$	NQC
11	11	$V_9$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 - 8$	(10)1, 191	[22, 19, 3]	$[[22, 16, 3]]_{11}$ Almost MDS	NQC
11	11	$V_9$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2 - 3$	(10)1, (10)381	[22, 18, 4]	$[[22, 14, 4]]_{11}$ Almost MDS	NQC
11	11	$V_9$	$u_1$	$u_2^2 - 1$	191, 17671	[22, 16, 5]	$[[22, 10, 5]]_{11}$	NQC

Contd(Table 1)...

11	11	$V_9$	$u_1 - 1$	$u_2^2 - u_2$	191, (10)51(10)61	[22, 15, 6]	$[[22, 8, 6]]_{11}$	$[[30, 10, 6]]_{11}$ [18]
15	11	$V_9$	$u_1^2 + u_1$	$u_2 + 1$	428791, 87(10)1	[30, 23, 5]	$[[30, 16, 5]]_{11}$	$[[30, 10, 5]]_{11}$ [20]
33	11	$V_9$	$u_1$	$u_2^2 + u_2$	(10)381, 111	[66, 61, 4]	$[[66, 56, 4]]_{11}$	$[[66, 54, 4]]_{11}$ , $[[66, 52, 4]]_{11}$ [18] [22]
13	13	$V_{10}$	$u_1$	$u_2^2 - 1$	1(11)1, (12)1	[26, 23, 3]	$[[26, 20, 3]]_{13}$ Almost MDS	$[[26, 18, 3]]_{13}$ [18]
5	25	$V_{11}$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 - \zeta$	131, 41	[10, 7, 3]	$[[10, 4, 3]]_{25}$ Almost MDS	NQC
7	25	$V_{11}$	$u_1^2 + 1$	$u_2 - 1$	$4(\zeta^{13})(\zeta^5)1$ , $4(\zeta^{17})(\zeta)1$	[14, 8, 5]	$[[14, 2, 5]]_{25}$	NQC
15	25	$V_{11}$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2 + 1$	$(\zeta^4)(\zeta^{15})(\zeta^7)1$ , $4(\zeta^{10})(\zeta^{14})1$	[30, 24, 4]	$[[30, 18, 4]]_{25}$	NQC

Table 2

$n$	$q$	$V$	$f_1(u_1)$	$f_2(u_2)$	$g_{s_1 \dots s_k}(x)$	$\Phi(C)$	$[[n, \ell, d]]_q$	Remarks
77	11	$V_{16}$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2$	121, 1, 1461	[231, 226, 3]	$[[231, 221, 3]]_{11}$	NQC
99	11	$V_{16}$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2 + 3$	121, 1, 100(10)001	[297, 289, 3]	$[[297, 281, 3]]_{11}$	NQC
126	11	$V_{16}$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2 - 1$	14(10)1701, 1,158(10)471	[378, 366, 3]	$[[378, 354, 3]]_{11}$	NQC
154	11	$V_{16}$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2 - 5$	10806040601, 101, 1	[462, 450, 3]	$[[462, 438, 3]]_{11}$	NQC
52	13	$V_{17}$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2$	(12)0(10)01, 801, 1	[156, 150, 3]	$[[156, 144, 3]]_{13}$	NQC
52	13	$V_{19}$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2^2 - 2u_2$	1, 1, 1, 801	[208, 206, 2]	$[[208, 204, 2]]_{13}$ Almost MDS	NQC
78	13	$V_{17}$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2 - 9$	(12)(10)1, 61, 71	[234, 230, 3]	$[[234, 226, 3]]_{13}$	NQC
78	13	$V_{19}$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2^2 - u_2$	(12)(10)1, 61, 71, 1	[312, 308, 3]	$[[312, 304, 3]]_{13}$	$[[312, 264, 3]]_{13}$ $[[312, 288, 3]]_{13}$ [17], [11]
91	13	$V_{17}$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2 - 4$	121, 1, 181	[273, 269, 3]	$[[273, 265, 3]]_{13}$	NQC
91	13	$V_{19}$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2^2 + u_2$	121, 1, 171, 11	[364, 359, 3]	$[[364, 354, 3]]_{13}$	NQC
34	17	$V_2$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2^2 - 1$	(16)81, (13)1, 1, 1	[136, 133, 3]	$[[136, 130, 3]]_{17}$ Almost MDS	NQC
51	17	$V_{18}$	$u_1^3 - u_1$	$u_2$	121, 1(16)1, 1	[153, 149, 3]	$[[153, 145, 3]]_{17}$	NQC
51	17	$V_2$	$u_1^2 + u_1$	$u_2^2 - 1$	(16)81, 1, 1, 1(16)1	[204, 200, 3]	$[[204, 196, 3]]_{17}$	NQC
18	27	$V_{12}$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 + 1$	101, 1	[36, 34, 2]	$[[36, 32, 2]]_{27}$ Almost MDS	$[[36, 28, 2]]_{27}$ [5]
28	49	$V_{13}$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 - 5$	2121, $(\zeta^{42})1$	[56, 52, 3]	$[[56, 48, 3]]_{49}$	$[[56, 40, 3]]_{49}$ [5]
44	121	$V_{14}$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 - 9$	(10)81, $\zeta^{45}1$	[88, 84, 3]	$[[88, 80, 3]]_{121}$	$[[88, 72, 3]]_{121}$ [5]
18	169	$V_{20}$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 + 1$	2001, 9(12)1	[36, 31, 3]	$[[36, 26, 3]]_{169}$	NQC
18	169	$V_{20}$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 + 1$	21, 1	[36, 35, 2]	$[[36, 34, 2]]_{169}$ MDS	NQC
52	169	$V_{20}$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 - 11$	$(\zeta^{21})6(\zeta^{77})1$ , $(\zeta^{63})1$	[104, 100, 3]	$[[104, 96, 3]]_{169}$	$[[104, 88, 3]]_{169}$ [5]
12	289	$V_{15}$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2$	$(\zeta^{12})1, 1$	[24, 23, 2]	$[[24, 22, 2]]_{289}$ MDS	NQC

Table 3

$n$	$q$	$V$	$f_1(u_1)$	$f_2(u_2)$	$g_{s_1 \dots s_k}(x)$	$\Phi(\mathcal{C})$	$[[n, \ell, d]]_q$	Remarks
7	7	$V_8$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 + 1$	121, 61	[14, 11, 3]	$[[14, 8, 3]]_7$ Almost MDS	NQC
14	7	$V_8$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2 - 2$	101, 151	[28, 24, 3]	$[[28, 20, 3]]_7$	NQC
6	19	$V_3$	$u_1^2 + u_1$	$u_2 - 17$	701, 71	[12, 9, 3]	$[[12, 6, 3]]_{19}$ Almost MDS	NQC
9	19	$V_3$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2$	71, (14)1	[18, 16, 3]	$[[18, 14, 3]]_{19}$ MDS	NQC
4	29	$V_5$	$u_1^2 - u_1$	$u_2$	(12)01, (12)1	[8, 5, 3]	$[[8, 2, 3]]_{29}$ Almost MDS	NQC
12	29	$V_5$	$u_1^2 - 1$	$u_2 - 13$	(12)01, (28)(12)1	[24, 21, 3]	$[[24, 18, 3]]_{29}$ Almost MDS	NQC
14	29	$V_5$	$u_1^2 + u_1$	$u_2 + 1$	(24)(11)1, 71	[28, 25, 3]	$[[28, 22, 3]]_{29}$ Almost MDS	NQC

## 4 Irrelevance of the polynomials $f_i(u_i)$

While constructing quantum codes, we observe that the choice of the polynomials  $f_i(u_i)$  is irrelevant, it depends only on their degrees  $m_i$  and on the non-singular matrix  $V$  taken in the definition of Gray map  $\Phi$ , as is seen in the following theorem and corollary.

**Theorem 9** *Let for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $f_i(u_i)$  and  $f'_i(u_i)$  be two sets of polynomials of the same degree  $m_i$  which split into distinct linear factors over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_q[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k] / \langle f_i(u_i), u_i u_j - u_j u_i \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{R}' = \mathbb{F}_q[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k] / \langle f'_i(u_i), u_i u_j - u_j u_i \rangle$  be two different non-chain rings. Let  $\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}, \eta'_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  for  $1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$  be the corresponding primitive central idempotents of the rings  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}'$ . Suppose*

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  are some  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  is a  $\lambda = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{C}' = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta'_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  is a  $\lambda' = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \eta'_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} \lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}'$  and
- (iv) Further let  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  be the corresponding Gray maps on  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}'$ ; the non-singular matrix  $V$  being the same for both  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ .

Then the codes

$$\Phi(\mathcal{C}) = \Phi'(\mathcal{C}').$$

**Proof:** Let  $G_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  be the generator matrices of the codes  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  for  $1 \leq s_i \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then generator matrices of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  are

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{11\dots 1}G_{11\dots 1} \\ \eta_{21\dots 1}G_{21\dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta_{m_1 1\dots 1}G_{m_1 1\dots 1} \\ \eta_{121\dots 1}G_{121\dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta_{m_1 21\dots 1}G_{m_1 21\dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta_{1m_2 1\dots 1}G_{1m_2 1\dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \eta_{1m_2 \dots m_k}G_{1m_2 \dots m_k} \\ \dots \\ \eta_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}G_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} \end{bmatrix} \quad G' = \begin{bmatrix} \eta'_{11\dots 1}G_{11\dots 1} \\ \eta'_{21\dots 1}G_{21\dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta'_{m_1 1\dots 1}G_{m_1 1\dots 1} \\ \eta'_{121\dots 1}G_{121\dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta'_{m_1 21\dots 1}G_{m_1 21\dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \eta'_{1m_2 1\dots 1}G_{1m_2 1\dots 1} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \eta'_{1m_2 \dots m_k}G_{1m_2 \dots m_k} \\ \dots \\ \eta'_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}G_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since, for  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\Phi(a\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}) = a\Phi(\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}) = a \cdot (s_1 s_2 \dots s_k^{\text{th}} \text{ row of } V)$  and,  $\Phi'(a\eta'_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}) = a\Phi'(\eta'_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}) = a \cdot (s_1 s_2 \dots s_k^{\text{th}} \text{ row of } V)$ . Therefore,  $\Phi(a\eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}) = \Phi'(a\eta'_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k})$ . And hence,

$$\Phi(G) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(\eta_{11\dots 1}G_{11\dots 1}) \\ \Phi(\eta_{21\dots 1}G_{21\dots 1}) \\ \dots \\ \Phi(\eta_{m_1 1\dots 1}G_{m_1 1\dots 1}) \\ \Phi(\eta_{121\dots 1}G_{121\dots 1}) \\ \dots \\ \Phi(\eta_{m_1 21\dots 1}G_{m_1 21\dots 1}) \\ \dots \\ \Phi(\eta_{1m_2 1\dots 1}G_{1m_2 1\dots 1}) \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \Phi(\eta_{1m_2 \dots m_k}G_{1m_2 \dots m_k}) \\ \dots \\ \Phi(\eta_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}G_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}) \end{bmatrix} = \Phi'(G') = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi'(\eta'_{11\dots 1}G_{11\dots 1}) \\ \Phi'(\eta'_{21\dots 1}G_{21\dots 1}) \\ \dots \\ \Phi'(\eta'_{m_1 1\dots 1}G_{m_1 1\dots 1}) \\ \Phi'(\eta'_{121\dots 1}G_{121\dots 1}) \\ \dots \\ \Phi'(\eta'_{m_1 21\dots 1}G_{m_1 21\dots 1}) \\ \dots \\ \Phi'(\eta'_{1m_2 1\dots 1}G_{1m_2 1\dots 1}) \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \Phi'(\eta'_{1m_2 \dots m_k}G_{1m_2 \dots m_k}) \\ \dots \\ \Phi'(\eta'_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}G_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the codes  $\Phi(G)$  and  $\Phi'(G')$  give rise to same codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Corollary 1** Take  $\lambda_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k} = \pm 1$ ,  $\lambda = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \pm \eta_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  and  $\lambda' = \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k} \pm \eta'_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  in the above Theorem 9. Suppose further  $\mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_k}$  for all  $s_i$ ,  $1 \leq s_i \leq m_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , so that  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$ . Since by Theorem 9,  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}) = \Phi'(\mathcal{C}')$ , we find that  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\Phi'(\mathcal{C}')$  give the same quantum-error correcting code using Theorem 8.

## 5 Conclusion

We study quantum codes over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  from constacyclic codes over a finite non-chain ring  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_q[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k] / \langle f_i(u_i), u_i u_j - u_j u_i \rangle$ , where  $f_i(u_i)$

are polynomials, which split into distinct linear factors over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . As a consequence, some new and better quantum codes as compared to the best known codes are obtained. We have also proved that, if we start with codes over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then using CSS construction, the construction of Quantum codes from constacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$  is independent of the choice of the polynomials  $f_i(u_i)$ , it depends only on their degrees. We also show that there always exists Quantum MDS code  $[[n, n - 2, 2]]_q$  for any  $n$  with  $\gcd(n, q) \neq 1$ .

**Acknowledgements:** The research of first author is funded by CSIR-UGC grant number 1074/(CSIR-UGC NET JUNE 2019). The third author is grateful to National Academy of Sciences, India for the financial support under Senior Scientist Platinum Jubilee Fellowship.

## References

- [1] Aydin, N., Liu, P., Yoshino, B.: A database of quantum codes. Online available at <http://quantumcodes.info/> (2021).
- [2] Ashraf M., Mohammad, G.: Construction of quantum codes from cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ . *Int. J. Inf. Coding Theory*. **3** 137–144 (2015).
- [3] Ashraf, M., Mohammad, G.: Quantum Codes from cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q + uv\mathbb{F}_q$ . *Quantum Inf. Process*. **15**(10), 4089-4098 (2016).
- [4] Ashraf, M., Mohammad, G.: Quantum codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  from cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p[u, v]/\langle u^2 - 1, v^3 - v, uv - vu \rangle$ . *Cryptogr. Commun.* **11**(2), 325-335 (2019).
- [5] Bag, T., Dinh, H. Q., Upadhyay, A.,K., Bandi, R., Yamaka, W.: Quantum Codes from skew constacyclic codes over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[u, v]/\langle u^2 - 1, v^2 - 1, uv - vu \rangle$ . *Discrete Mathematics*. **343**, 111737 (2020).
- [6] Bag, T., Dinh, H.Q., Upadhyay, A.K., Yamaka, W.: New Non-Binary Quantum Codes from Cyclic Codes Over Product Rings. *IEEE*. **24**(3), 486-490(2020).
- [7] Bhardwaj, S., Raka, M.: Skew constacyclic codes over a non-chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[u, v]/\langle f(u), g(v), uv - vu \rangle$ . *Appl. Alg in Engg., Comm. and Comp.* **31**(3), 173-194 (2020).
- [8] Calderbank, A.R., Rains, E.M., Shor, P.M., Over, N.J.A.: Sloane Quantum error-correction via codes GF(4). *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*. **44**, 1369–1387 (1998).
- [9] Dertli, A., Cengellenmis, Y., Eren, S.: Quantum Codes Over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2$ . *Palestine J. of Math.* **4**, 547-552 (2015).
- [10] Dinh, H.Q., Bag, T., Upadhyay, A.K., Mohammad, A., Ghulam, M., Chinnakum, W.: New Quantum Codes from a class of constacyclic codes over Finite Commutative Rings. *J. Alg. Appl.* **19**(12), 2150003 (2020).
- [11] Dinh, H.Q., Bag, T., Upadhyay, A.K., Bandi, R., Chinnakum, W.: On the Structure of Cyclic Codes Over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ RS and Applications in Quantum and LCD Codes Constructions. *IEEE*. **8**, 18902-18914 (2020).
- [12] Gao, Y., Gao, J., Fu, F.W.: Quantum codes from cyclic codes over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_q + v_1\mathbb{F}_q + \dots + v_r\mathbb{F}_q$ . *Appl. Alg in Engg., Comm. and Comp.* **30**, 161-174 (2020).
- [13] Goyal, M., Raka, M.: Quadratic residue codes over the ring  $\mathbb{F}_p[u]/\langle u^m - u \rangle$  and their Gray images. *Cryptogr. Commun.* **10**(2), 343-355 (2018).
- [14] Goyal, M., Raka, M.: Duadic negacyclic codes over a finite non-chain ring. *Discret. Math. Algorithms Appl.* **10**(6), 1850080:1-1850080:16 (2018).
- [15] Goyal, M., Raka, M.: Polyadic cyclic codes over a non-chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[u, v]/\langle f(u), g(v), uv - vu \rangle$ . *J. comp. comm.* **9**, 36-57 (2021).



- [16] Goyal, M., Raka, M.: Polyadic constacyclic codes over a non-chain ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[u, v]/\langle f(u), g(v), uv-vu \rangle$ . *J. Appl. Math. and Comput.* **62**(1-2), 425-447 (2020).
- [17] Islam, H., Prakash, O.: Quantum codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p[u, v, w]/\langle u^2-1, v^2-1, w^2-1, uv-vu, vw-wv, wu-uw \rangle$ . *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **60**(1-2), 625-635 (2019).
- [18] Islam, H., Prakash, O., Verma, R.K.: New quantum codes from constacyclic codes over the ring  $\mathcal{R}_{k,m}$ . *Adv. Math. Commun.* **16**(1), 17-35 (2022).
- [19] Islam, H., Prakash, O.: New quantum codes from constacyclic and additive constacyclic codes. *Quan. Inf. Proc.* **19**(9), 319 (2020).
- [20] Koroglu, M.E., Siap, I.: QuantumCodes from a Class of Constacyclic Codes over Group Algebras. *Malaysian J. Math. Sc.* **11**(2), 289-301 (2017).
- [21] Li, J., Gao, J., Fu, F.W., Ma, F.:  $\mathbb{F}_q$   $\mathcal{R}$ -linear skew constacyclic codes and their application of constructing quantum codes. *Quan. Inf. Proc.* **19**(7), 23 (2020).
- [22] Ma, F., Gao, J., Fu, F.W.: New non-binary quantum codes from constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q[u, v]/\langle u^2-1, v^2-v, uv-vu \rangle$ . *Adv. Math. Comm.* **13**(3), 421-434 (2019).
- [23] Verma, R.K., Prakash, O., Singh, A., Islam, H.: New quantum codes from skew constacyclic codes. *Adv. Math. Comm.*, doi: 10.3934/amc.2021028.
- [24] J. Qian: Quantum codes from cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2$ . *J. Inf. Compt. Science.* **10**, 1715-1722 (2013).
- [25] Shor, P.W.: Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum memory. *Phys. Rev. A.* **52**, 2493-2496 (1995).
- [26] Stean, A. M.: Simple quantum error-correcting codes. *Phys. Rev. A.* **54**(6), 4741-4751 (1996).