

b-GENERALIZED SKEW DERIVATIONS ACTING AS JORDAN DERIVATION CUM 2-JORDAN MULTIPLIER ON PRIME RINGS

MANI SHANKAR PANDEY AND ASHUTOSH PANDEY

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring of characteristic not equal to 2, \mathcal{U} be its Utumi quotient ring, and \mathcal{C} be the extended centroid of \mathcal{R} . Let $\phi(\zeta_1 \dots, \zeta_n)$ be a multilinear polynomial over \mathcal{C} , which is not central valued on \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} be two b-generalized skew derivations on \mathcal{R} . The purpose of this article is to describe all possible forms of the b-generalized skew derivations \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} satisfying the identity

$$\mathcal{F}(u)u + u\mathcal{G}(u) = \mathcal{G}(u^2), \text{ for all } u \in \{\phi(\zeta) \mid \zeta = (\zeta_1 \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n\}.$$

Consequently, we discuss the cases when this identity acts as Jordan derivation and 2- Jordan multiplier on prime rings.

INTRODUCTION

An associative ring \mathcal{R} is said to be prime if for any $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$, $x\mathcal{R}y = 0$, implies either $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. Throughout this research article, unless specifically stated, \mathcal{R} will denote a prime ring with center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$, \mathcal{U} its Utumi quotient ring. Note that the quotient ring \mathcal{U} is also a prime ring and its center \mathcal{C} which is termed as the extended centroid of \mathcal{R} , is a field. The definition and construction of \mathcal{U} can be found in [16].

An additive mapping $\Delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is said to be a derivation if

$$\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)y + x\Delta(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$. For a fixed $p \in \mathcal{R}$, the mapping $\Delta_p : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, defined by $\Delta_p(x) = [p, x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$ is a derivation, known as inner derivation induced by an element p . A derivation that is not inner is called outer derivation. Back in 1957, Posner [12] proved that if Δ is a non trivial derivation of a prime ring \mathcal{R} such that $[\Delta(x), x] \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$ then \mathcal{R} is a commutative ring. The results of Posner were generalized by mathematicians in several aspects. In 1993, Brešar [20] proved that if d and g are two non-zero derivations on the ring \mathcal{R} satisfying $dx - xg \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$ then \mathcal{R} is commutative. Later on, many mathematicians extended these results on some appropriate subsets of a prime ring \mathcal{R} .

In 1991, M. Brešar [21] introduced a new type of derivation and termed it as generalized derivation.

Definition 1. An additive mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is said to be a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation d on \mathcal{R} such that

$$\Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)y + xd(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}.$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16N60, 16W25 .

Key Words and Phrases. Prime ring, Multilinear polynomial, Utumi quotient ring, b-generalized skew derivation.

For fixed $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{R}$, the mapping $\Phi_{(\alpha, \beta)} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ defined by $\Phi_{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \alpha x + x\beta$ is a generalized derivation on \mathcal{R} and is usually termed as generalized inner derivation on \mathcal{R} . It can be visualized that every generalized derivation is a derivation but the converse is not true in general. Thus the study of generalized derivation covers the concepts of both derivation and generalized derivation.

In 2011, Argaç and De Filippis [24] attempted and succeeded to give a generalization of Posner's theorem [12]. They describe the complete structure of the additive mappings satisfying the identity $\mathcal{F}(u)u - u\mathcal{G}(u) = 0$ for all $u = \phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}$, where ϕ is a noncentral multilinear polynomial identity on \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are two generalized derivations on the prime ring \mathcal{R} .

b-GENERALIZED SKEW DERIVATION

The b-generalized derivation is another generalization of generalized derivation. In 2014 Košan and Lee [19] have given the following definition:

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{R} be a ring, $b \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\Delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be an additive map. Then the additive mapping $\Gamma : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ associated to the pair (b, Δ) is called a b-generalized derivation of \mathcal{R} if

$$\Gamma(xy) = \Gamma(x)y + bx\Delta(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}.$$

The additive map Δ is uniquely determined by \mathcal{F} and called an associated linear map of \mathcal{F} . Moreover, authors [19] have proved that if \mathcal{R} is a prime ring and $b \neq 0$ then the associated map Δ must be a derivation of \mathcal{R} . Here, we see that a 1-generalized derivation is a generalized derivation. For some $p, q, b \in \mathcal{U}$, define a map $\Gamma_{p, q}^b : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ such that $\Gamma_{p, q}^b(x) = px + bxq$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$, is an example of b-generalized derivation and which is termed as inner b-generalized derivation.

The definition of generalized skew derivation is a unified notion of skew derivation and generalized derivation, which are considered classical linear mappings of non-commutative algebras. Note that a skew derivation on \mathcal{R} associated with the automorphism α is an additive mapping of \mathcal{R} such that $\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)y + \alpha(x)\Delta(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$. A skew derivation associated with identity automorphism is a derivation and a generalized skew derivation associated with identity automorphism is a generalized derivation.

Definition 3. An additive mapping ψ on \mathcal{R} is called generalized skew derivation associated with the automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ if there exists a skew derivation Δ on \mathcal{R} such that $\psi(xy) = \psi(x)y + \alpha(x)\Delta(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$.

In 2017, C. K. Liu [3] generalized the result of Posner [12] by taking b-generalized derivation with Engel conditions on the prime ring \mathcal{R} .

In a study of different types of derivations, in 2018, De Filippis and Wei [8] introduced the notion of b-generalized skew derivation.

Definition 4. Let \mathcal{R} be an associative ring, $d : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be an additive mapping, α be an automorphism of \mathcal{R} and b be a fixed element in the Utumi quotient ring \mathcal{U} . Then an additive mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, is said to be a b-generalized skew derivation of \mathcal{R} associated with the triplet (b, α, d) if

$$\mathcal{F}(xy) = \mathcal{F}(x)y + b\alpha(x)d(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Moreover, the authors have proved that if the ring \mathcal{R} is prime and $b \neq 0$ then the associated additive map d , defined above, is a skew derivation. Further, it has

been shown that the additive mapping \mathcal{F} can be extended to the Utumi quotient ring \mathcal{U} and it assumes the form $\mathcal{F}(x) = ax + bd(x)$, where $a \in \mathcal{U}$. The concept of b-generalized skew derivation with the associated term (b, α, d) covers the concepts of skew derivation, generalized derivations, and left multipliers, etc. For instance if we choose $b = 1$, b-generalized skew derivation becomes a skew derivation and for $b = 1, \alpha = I_{\mathcal{R}}$, b-generalized skew derivation becomes a generalized derivation, where $I_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the identity map on the ring \mathcal{R} . Further, if we take $b = 0$ then b-generalized skew derivation becomes a left multiplier map. Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring and α be an automorphism of \mathcal{R} . Then the mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ given by $x \mapsto ax + b\alpha(x)c$ is an b-generalized skew derivation of \mathcal{R} with associated triplet (b, α, d) , where a, b and c are fixed elements in \mathcal{R} and $d(x) = \alpha(x)c - cx$, for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$. Such b-generalized skew derivation is called as inner b-generalized skew derivation.

Recently, De Filippis and Wei [9] studied an identity related to b-generalized skew derivations on the prime ring \mathcal{R} with multilinear polynomial over \mathcal{C} . More precisely, they proved the following.

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2, \mathcal{Q}_r be its right Martindale quotient ring and \mathcal{C} be its extended centroid, \mathcal{G} a nonzero X -generalized skew derivation of \mathcal{R} , and \mathcal{S} be the set of the evaluations of a multilinear polynomial $f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ over \mathcal{C} with n non-commuting variables. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ be such that $u\mathcal{G}(\chi)\chi + \mathcal{G}(\chi)\chi v = 0$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$. Then one of the following statements holds:*

- (1) $v \in \mathcal{C}$ and there exist $a, b, c \in \mathcal{Q}_r$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = a\chi + b\chi c$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ with $(u + v)a = (u + v)b = 0$;
- (2) $f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2$ is central-valued on \mathcal{R} and there exists $a \in \mathcal{Q}_r$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = a\chi$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ with $ua + av = 0$.

In [11], Filippis et. al. continue this line of investigation by studying the identity $\mathcal{F}(u)u + u\mathcal{G}(u) = 0$ for all $u \in \{\phi(\zeta) \mid \zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n\}$ and describe the complete structure of the b-generalized skew derivations \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , where $\phi(\zeta)$ is a multilinear polynomial and $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$. Many generalizations which are relevant to our discussion about b-generalized skew derivation can be found in [8, 9, 11]. In the present article, we study the identity $\mathcal{F}(u)u + u\mathcal{G}(u) = \mathcal{G}(u^2)$ for all $u \in \{\phi(\zeta) \mid \zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n\}$, where $\phi(\zeta)$ is a multilinear polynomial and \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are b-generalized skew derivations.

More precisely, the statement of our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 6. *Let \mathcal{R} be prime ring with $\text{char}(\mathcal{R}) \neq 2$, \mathcal{U} be the Utumi quotient ring of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{C} be the extended centroid of \mathcal{R} . Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be two b-generalized skew derivations on \mathcal{R} with associated triplets (\tilde{b}, α, g) and (b', α, h) respectively. Let $\phi(\zeta)$ be a non central polynomial identity of \mathcal{R} such that*

$$\mathcal{F}(u)u + u\mathcal{G}(u) = \mathcal{G}(u^2)$$

for all $u \in \{\phi(\zeta) \mid \zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n\}$. Then one of the following holds:

- (1) There exist $a, \tilde{a}, c, \tilde{c} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \chi\tilde{a}$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + \chi\tilde{c}$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\tilde{a} + c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{a} + a = 0$;
- (2) There exist $a, \tilde{a}, \hat{c} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \chi\tilde{a}$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = \hat{c}\chi$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\tilde{a} + \hat{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{a} + a = 0$;
- (3) There exist $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = \chi\tilde{c}$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$.

- (4) There exist $\tilde{b}, b', c \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\eta, b'\eta - c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = b'h(\chi) + [\tilde{b}\eta, \chi]$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + b'h(x)$, where h is a skew derivation associated with the automorphism α satisfying $\tilde{b}\alpha(\chi) = \chi\tilde{b}$, for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$.

Further, we discuss the cases when this identity $\mathcal{F}(u)u + u\mathcal{G}(u) = \mathcal{G}(u^2)$ behaves like 2-Jordan multiplier and Jordan derivation maps. For ease of access, we recall the definitions of both. An additive mapping \mathcal{T} on \mathcal{R} is said to be a n -Jordan multiplier if $\mathcal{T}(x^n) = x\mathcal{T}(x^{n-1})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$. Further it said to be a Jordan derivation if $\mathcal{T}(x^2) = x\mathcal{T}(x) + \mathcal{T}(x)x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$.

PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some preliminary results which will be used frequently throughout the paper.

Fact 7. Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring and \mathcal{I} a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{R} . Then \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{U} satisfy the same generalized polynomial identities with coefficients in \mathcal{U} [17].

Fact 8. Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring and \mathcal{I} a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{R} . Then \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{U} satisfy the same differential identities [29].

Fact 9. Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring. Then every derivation d of \mathcal{R} can be uniquely extended to a derivation of \mathcal{U} [17].

Fact 10. (Kharchenko [Theorem 2,[15]]) Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring, d a nonzero derivation on \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I} a nonzero ideal of \mathcal{R} . If \mathcal{I} satisfies the differential identity.

$$\phi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n, d(\zeta_1), d(\zeta_2), \dots, d(\zeta_n)) = 0$$

for any $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{I}$, then either

- \mathcal{I} satisfies the generalized polynomial identity

$$\phi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n, \chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{R}$.

or

- d is \mathcal{U} -inner i.e., for some $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{U}$, $d(\zeta) = [\mathcal{P}, \zeta]$ and \mathcal{I} satisfies the generalized polynomial identity.

$$\phi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n, [\mathcal{P}, \zeta_1], [\mathcal{P}, \zeta_2], \dots, [\mathcal{P}, \zeta_n]) = 0$$

Fact 11. Let $\zeta = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots\}$ represents a countable set of non-commuting indeterminates ζ_1, ζ_2, \dots . Let $\mathcal{C}\{\zeta\}$ denotes the free algebra over \mathcal{C} on the set ζ and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U} *_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{C}\{\zeta\}$, denotes the free product of the \mathcal{C} -algebras \mathcal{U} and $\mathcal{C}\{\zeta\}$. The members of \mathcal{T} are known as the generalized polynomials with coefficients in \mathcal{U} . Let \mathcal{B} be a set of \mathcal{C} -independent vectors of \mathcal{U} . Then any $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{T}$ can be expressed in the form $\mathcal{G} = \sum_i \beta_i \mathcal{V}_i$, where $\beta_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{V}_i are \mathcal{B} -monomials of the form $a_0 \xi_1 a_1 \xi_2 a_2 \dots \xi_n a_n$, with $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n \in \chi$. Any generalized polynomial $g = \sum_i \beta_i \mathcal{V}_i$ is trivial i.e., zero element in \mathcal{T} if and only if $\beta_i = 0$ for each i . Further details can be found in [4]. If each monomial of a generalized polynomial $\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ contains each ζ_i only once for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ is said to be multilinear polynomial.

Fact 12. Let \mathcal{K} be an infinite field and $m \geq 2$ an integer. If $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k$ are non-scalar matrices in $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{K})$ then there exists some invertible matrix $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{K})$ such that each matrix $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{P}^{-1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}_k\mathcal{P}^{-1}$ has all non-zero entries. [7]

Fact 13. Let \mathcal{R} be a non-commutative prime ring with $Char(\mathcal{R}) \neq 2$, Utumi quotient ring \mathcal{U} and extended centroid \mathcal{C} . Also let $\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be a multilinear polynomial over \mathcal{C} which is not central valued on \mathcal{R} and $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$a\phi(\zeta)^2 + \phi(\zeta)b\phi(\zeta) = 0, \forall \zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n.$$

Then $a = -b \in \mathcal{C}$ [[11], Corollary 1].

Fact 14. If d is a non-zero skew derivation on a prime ring \mathcal{R} then associated automorphism α is unique.

Let $\phi^d(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be the polynomial originated from $\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ by replacing each coefficient γ_τ with $d(\gamma_\tau)$. We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma_\tau \zeta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \zeta_{\tau(n)}) &= d(\gamma_\tau) \zeta_{\tau(1)}, \dots, \zeta_{\tau(n)} \\ &+ \gamma_\tau \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{\tau(1)} \dots, \dots, d(\zeta_{\tau(j)}), \zeta_{\tau(j+1)} \dots, \zeta_{\tau(n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d(\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)) = \phi^d(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\tau \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_{\tau(1)} \dots, \dots, d(\zeta_{\tau(j)}), \zeta_{\tau(j+1)} \dots, \zeta_{\tau(n)}$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}\langle \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k \dots \rangle$ be the free algebra on the countable set $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k \dots)$ over the set of integers \mathbb{Z} and $\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be a polynomial such that at least one of its monomials of highest degree has coefficient 1. Let \mathcal{S} be a nonempty subset of a ring \mathcal{R} . We say that ϕ is a polynomial identity on \mathcal{S} if $\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0$ for all $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{S}$. Now we give the definition of multilinear polynomials.

Definition 15. A polynomial $\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}\langle \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k, \dots \rangle$ is said to be multilinear if every $\zeta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ appears exactly once in each of the monomials of ϕ .

Note that in this article \mathcal{R} always denotes a non-trivial and associative prime ring (unless otherwise stated) and we use the abbreviation GPI for generalized polynomial identity.

INNER CASE

In this section, we study the case when \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are b -generalized skew inner derivations. Suppose $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + b'\alpha(\chi)b$ and $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \tilde{b}\alpha(\chi)d$, for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ and for some $a, b, c, d, b', \tilde{b} \in \mathcal{U}$ and α is an automorphism of \mathcal{R} then we prove the following Proposition:

Proposition 16. Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring of characteristic not equal to 2 with Utumi quotient ring \mathcal{U} , extended centroid \mathcal{C} and $\phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be a non central multilinear polynomial over \mathcal{C} . Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{F} be two b -generalized skew inner derivations on \mathcal{R} with associated terms (b', α) , and (\tilde{b}, α) respectively such that

$$\mathcal{F}(u)u + u\mathcal{G}(u) = \mathcal{G}(u^2)$$

for all $u \in \{\phi(\zeta) | \zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n\}$. Then one of the following holds:

- (1) There exist $a, \tilde{a}, c, \tilde{c} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \chi\tilde{a}$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + \chi\tilde{c}$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\tilde{a} + c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{a} + a = 0$.
- (2) There exist $a, \tilde{a}, \hat{c} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \chi\tilde{a}$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = \hat{c}\chi$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\tilde{a} + \hat{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{a} + a = 0$.

(3) There exist $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = \chi\tilde{c}$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$.

To prove the above-mentioned proposition, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 17. *Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{K})$ be the ring of all $d \times d$ matrices over the field \mathbb{K} with $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$, $d \geq 2$ and $f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ be a non central multilinear polynomial over \mathbb{K} . Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $a_1f(\zeta)^2 + a_2f(\zeta)a_3f(\zeta) + f(\zeta)a_5f(\zeta)a_6 + f(\zeta)a_4f(\zeta) - a_5f(\zeta)^2a_6 = 0$ for all $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $a_2, a_5 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$.
- (2) $a_2, a_6 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$.
- (3) $a_3, a_5 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$.
- (4) $a_3, a_6 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$.

Proof. From the hypothesis \mathcal{R} satisfies the following generalized polynomial identity:

$$(1) \quad h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = a_1f(\zeta)^2 + a_2f(\zeta)a_3f(\zeta) + f(\zeta)a_5f(\zeta)a_6 + f(\zeta)a_4f(\zeta) - a_5f(\zeta)^2a_6$$

for all $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$.

Case 1: Suppose \mathbb{K} is infinite. At first glance, we show that either a_5 or a_6 is central. Assume that none of a_5, a_6 is central. Then we shall prove that this case leads to a contradiction. From Fact 12, there exists an automorphism $\rho \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\rho(a_5) = a'_5$ and $\rho(a_6) = a'_6$, have all non-zero entries. Now since the Equation (1) is invariant under the action of the automorphism ρ , \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$(2) \quad a'_1f(\zeta)^2 + a'_2f(\zeta)a'_3f(\zeta) + f(\zeta)a'_5f(\zeta)a'_6 + f(\zeta)a'_4f(\zeta) - a'_5f(\zeta)^2a'_6 = 0$$

where $\rho(a_l) = a'_l$, $1 \leq l \leq 6$. Since $f(\zeta)$, $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ is non central, by [29] there exists a sequence of matrices $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $f(\zeta) = \mathcal{A}e_{ij}$ with non-zero $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{K}$ and $i \neq j$. Here e_{ij} denotes the matrix whose (i, j) -entry is 1, and the rest entries are zero. Since $f(\mathcal{R}) = \{f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) : \chi_i \in \mathcal{R}\}$ is invariant under the action of all inner automorphisms of \mathcal{R} , for $i \neq j$, there exists a sequence of matrices $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ in \mathcal{R} such that $f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = e_{ij}$. Thus from Equation (2), we get

$$(3) \quad a'_2e_{ij}a'_3e_{ij} + e_{ij}a'_5e_{ij}a'_6 + e_{ij}a'_4e_{ij} = 0$$

Now right multiplying by e_{ij} in Equation (3), we get

$$e_{ij}a'_5e_{ij}a'_6e_{ij} = (a'_5)_{ji}(a'_6)_{ji}e_{ij} = 0$$

It implies either $(a'_5)_{ji} = 0$ or $(a'_6)_{ji} = 0$. In either case, we have a contradiction. Thus either $a_5 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$ or $a_6 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$. Now if $a_5 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$ then Equation (1) reduces to

$$a_1f(\zeta)^2 + a_2f(\zeta)a_3f(\zeta) + f(\zeta)a_4f(\zeta) = 0$$

for all $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$. Again by parallel arguments we can show that either $a_2 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$ or $a_3 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$. Similarly, if $a_6 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$ then we can show that either $a_2 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$ or $a_3 \in \mathbb{K}.I_d$. Combining all the above outcomes we get our required results.

Case 2: Suppose the field \mathbb{K} is finite. Let \mathbb{L} be an infinite field such that $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{L}) = \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{L}$. This is easy to see that a multilinear polynomial $f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is central valued on \mathcal{R} if and only if it is central valued on $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$. Since $h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ is GPI on \mathcal{R} and it is multi-homogeneous of multi degree $(2, \dots, 2)$ in

the indeterminates χ_1, \dots, χ_n . Hence the complete linearization of $h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ is a multilinear generalized polynomial identity $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ in $2n$ indeterminates. Also, $\Phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 2^n h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$. Since $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ is generalized polynomial identity for both \mathcal{R} , $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ and $Char(\mathcal{R})$ is different from 2, we obtain $h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0$ for all $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$. Thus our assertion follows from Case 1. \square

Lemma 18. *Let \mathcal{R} be a non-commutative prime ring with characteristic different from 2. Let \mathcal{U} be the Utumi ring of quotients and \mathcal{C} be the extended centroid of the ring \mathcal{R} . Suppose $f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be a non central multilinear polynomial over \mathcal{C} . Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $a_1 f(\zeta)^2 + a_2 f(\zeta) a_3 f(\zeta) + f(\zeta) a_5 f(\zeta) a_6 + f(\zeta) a_4 f(\zeta) - a_5 f(\zeta)^2 a_6 = 0$ for all $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$. If \mathcal{R} does not satisfy any nontrivial generalized polynomial identity then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $a_2, a_5 \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (2) $a_2, a_6 \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (3) $a_3, a_5 \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (4) $a_3, a_6 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. First, we will prove that a_5 and a_6 both are central. Suppose on the contrary that neither a_5 nor a_6 is central. From the hypothesis we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) &= a_1 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2 + a_2 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_3 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \\ &\quad - a_5 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2 a_6 + f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_4 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \\ &\quad + f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_5 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_6 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U} \star_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}$ be the free product of the Utumi quotient ring \mathcal{U} and the free \mathcal{C} algebra $\mathcal{C}\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}$ in non commuting variables χ_1, \dots, χ_n . Since \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{U} satisfy the same generalized polynomial identity (GPI) (for details see [4]), thus \mathcal{U} satisfies $h(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0_{\mathcal{T}}$. Since $\{1, a_6\}$ is linearly \mathcal{C} -independent thus from Fact 11, we get

$$(5) \quad -a_5 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2 a_6 + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) a_5 f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) a_6 = 0$$

for all $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Again since $\{1, a_5\}$ is linearly \mathcal{C} -independent hence from Fact 11, we get $f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_5 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_6 = 0$, a contradiction. Thus either $a_5 \in \mathcal{C}$ or $a_6 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Case 1: Assume $a_5 \in \mathcal{C}$. Then since $a_6 \notin \mathcal{C}$ thus from Fact 11, we get

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2 + a_2 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_3 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \\ + f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_4 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Now suppose that a_2, a_3 are not central elements. Then $\{1, a_3, a_4\}$ is linearly \mathcal{C} -dependent otherwise $a_2 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_3 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0$ will appear as a non-trivial polynomial identity, a contradiction. Hence there exist $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\beta_1 + \beta_2 a_3 + \beta_3 a_4 = 0$. If $\beta_3 = 0$ then $a_3 = -\beta_1 \beta_2^{-1}$, a contradiction. Hence $\beta_3 \neq 0$, which implies $a_4 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 a_3$, where $\gamma_1 = -\beta_1 \beta_3^{-1}$ and $\gamma_2 = -\beta_2 \beta_3^{-1}$. Thus Equation 6 reduces to

$$(7) \quad a_1 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2 + (a_2 + \gamma_1) f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_3 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0.$$

Since $\{1, a_3\}$ is linearly \mathcal{C} -independent thus from Fact 11, \mathcal{R} satisfies the non-trivial polynomial identity $(a_2 + \gamma_1) f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_3 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence either a_2 or $a_3 \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus in this case we get our conclusion (1) and

(3).

Case 2: Assume $a_6 \in \mathcal{C}$. Again in this case by using similar arguments as above we get either a_2 or a_3 is central. Thus in this case we get our conclusion (2) and (4). \square

Lemma 19. *Let \mathcal{R} be a non-commutative prime ring with characteristic different from 2. Let \mathcal{U} be the Utumi ring of quotients and \mathcal{C} be the extended centroid of the ring \mathcal{R} . Suppose $f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be a non central multilinear polynomial over \mathcal{C} . Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $a_1 f(\zeta)^2 + a_2 f(\zeta) a_3 f(\zeta) + f(\zeta) a_5 f(\zeta) a_6 + f(\zeta) a_4 f(\zeta) - a_5 f(\zeta)^2 a_6 = 0$ for all $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in R^n$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $a_2, a_5 \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (2) $a_2, a_6 \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (3) $a_3, a_5 \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (4) $a_3, a_6 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. It is obvious from Lemma 18 that the prime ring \mathcal{R} satisfies the following non-trivial generalized polynomial identity:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) &= a_1 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2 + a_2 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_3 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \\ &\quad - a_5 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2 a_6 + f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_4 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \\ &\quad + f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_5 f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) a_6 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{R}$. From Fact 7 it is clear that the ring \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{U} satisfy the same generalized polynomial identity. If \mathcal{C} is infinite then $h(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0$ for all $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \bar{\mathcal{C}}$. Since \mathcal{U} and $\mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ are prime and centrally closed [31], we can replace \mathcal{R} with \mathcal{U} or $\mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ according as \mathcal{C} is finite or infinite. Then from Martindale's theorem [22], ring \mathcal{R} is a primitive ring with non-zero socle $\text{soc}(\mathcal{R})$ and with \mathcal{C} as its associated division ring. Now by Jacobson density theorem [26], \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to a dense ring of a linear transformation of a vector space \mathcal{W} over the division ring \mathcal{C} . Now following two cases arises:

Case 1: If the dimension of the vector space \mathcal{W} is finite then from density of \mathcal{R} we get $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{M}_l(\mathcal{C})$. Since $f(\zeta)$ is non central on \mathcal{R} therefore l must be greater than 1. Thus by Lemma 17, we get the desired result.

Case 2: If the dimension of the vector space \mathcal{W} is infinite. Suppose none a_2, a_3, a_5 and a_6 is central. Then by Martindale's theorem [22], there exists a non-zero idempotent element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $a\mathcal{R}a \cong \mathcal{M}_l(\mathcal{C})$, where l is the dimension of the vector space $\mathcal{W}a$ of over the field \mathcal{C} . Since $a_2, a_3, a_5, a_6 \notin \mathcal{C}$, there exist $b_2, b_3, b_5, b_6 \in \text{soc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $[a_2, b_2] \neq 0$, $[a_3, b_3] \neq 0$, $[a_5, b_5] \neq 0$ and $[a_6, b_6] \neq 0$. Now by Litoff's theorem [5], there exists an idempotent $a \in \text{soc}(\mathcal{R})$ s.t. $a_2 b_2, b_2 a_2, a_3 b_3, b_3 a_3, a_5 b_5, b_5 a_5, a_6 b_6, b_6 a_6 \in a\mathcal{R}a$. As we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a \left(a_1 f(a\zeta_1 a, \dots, a\zeta_n a)^2 + a_2 f(a\zeta_1 a, \dots, a\zeta_n a) a_3 f(a\zeta_1 a, \dots, a\zeta_n a) \right. \\ & + f(a\zeta_1 a, \dots, a\zeta_n a) a_5 f(a\zeta_1 a, \dots, a\zeta_n a) a_6 - a_5 f(a\zeta_1 a, \dots, a\zeta_n a)^2 a_6 \\ & \left. + f(a\zeta_1 a, \dots, a\zeta_n a) a_4 f(a\zeta_1 a, \dots, a\zeta_n a) \right) a = 0, \forall \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the subring $a\mathcal{R}a$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & aa_1af(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2 + aa_2af(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)aa_3af(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \\ & + f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)aa_5af(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)aa_6a - aa_5af(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)^2aa_6a \\ & + f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)aa_4af(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) = 0, \forall \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 17 one of the following pair aa_2a, aa_5a or aa_2a, aa_6a or aa_3a, aa_5a or aa_3a, aa_6a is a central element of $a\mathcal{R}a$, but this shows that both term of any one of the following pairs $[a_2, b_2], [a_5, b_5]$, or $[a_2, b_2], [a_6, b_6]$ or $[a_3, b_3], [a_5, b_5]$ $[a_3, b_3], [a_6, b_6]$ equals to zero, which leads to a contradiction. \square

Proof of Proposition 16: From the hypothesis there exist $a, b, c, d, b', \tilde{b} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \tilde{b}\alpha(\chi)b$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + b'\alpha(\chi)d$, for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$. Then from Fact 7 and Fact 8, \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & af(\chi)^2 + \tilde{b}\alpha(f(\chi))bf(\chi) + f(\chi)cf(\chi) + f(\chi)b'\alpha(f(\chi))d \\ & - cf(\chi)^2 - b'\alpha(f(\chi)^2)d = 0. \end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$. If α is inner automorphism, there exists $t \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\alpha(\chi) = t\chi t^{-1}$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$, then Equation (9) reduces to:

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & (a - c)f(\chi)^2 + \tilde{b}tf(\chi)t^{-1}bf(\chi) + f(\chi)cf(\chi) + f(\chi)b'tf(\chi)t^{-1}d \\ & - b'tf(\chi)^2t^{-1}d = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 19, one of the following holds:

- (1) $b't, \tilde{b}t \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (2) $\tilde{b}t, t^{-1}d \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (3) $t^{-1}b, b't \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (4) $t^{-1}b, t^{-1}d \in \mathcal{C}$.

Case 1: Let $b't, \tilde{b}t \in \mathcal{C}$. Then Equation (10) reduces to

$$(a - c)f(\chi)^2 + f(\chi)(\tilde{b}b + c)f(\chi) = 0.$$

for all $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$. By using Fact 13, we get $c - a, \tilde{b}b + c \in \mathcal{C}$, $\tilde{b}b + a = 0$. Thus in this case we have $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \chi\tilde{b}b$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + \chi b'd$, which is our Conclusion (1).

Case 2: Let $\tilde{b}t, t^{-1}d \in \mathcal{C}$. Then Equation (10) reduces to

$$(a - c - b'd)f(\chi)^2 + f(\chi)(\tilde{b}b + c + b'd)f(\chi) = 0.$$

for all $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$, again by Fact 13, we get $(c + b'd - a), (\tilde{b}b + c + b'd) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{b}b + a = 0$. Thus in this case we have $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \chi\tilde{b}b$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + b'd\chi$ which is our Conclusion (2).

Case 3: Let $t^{-1}b, b't \in \mathcal{C}$. Then Equation (10) reduces to

$$(a - c + \tilde{b}b)f(\chi)^2 + f(\chi)cf(\chi) = 0.$$

for all $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$, again by Fact 13, we get $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{b}b + a = 0$, and our functions \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are given by $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \chi\tilde{b}b$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + \chi b'd$ which is our Conclusion (3).

Case 4: Let $t^{-1}b, t^{-1}d \in \mathcal{C}$. Then Equation (10) reduces to

$$(a - c + \tilde{b}b - b'd)f(\chi)^2 + f(\chi)(c + b'd)f(\chi) = 0.$$

for all $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$, again by Fact 13, we get $(a - c + \tilde{b}b - b'd), (c + b'd) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{b}b + a = 0$, and our functions \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are given by $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \tilde{b}b\chi$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + b'd\chi$ which is our Conclusion (4).

Next, assume the case when the automorphism α is outer. Then the prime ring \mathcal{R} satisfies the following generalized polynomial identity [4]

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} af(\chi)^2 + \tilde{b}f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)bf(\chi) + f(\chi)cf(\chi) + f(\chi)b'f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)d \\ - cf(\chi)^2 - b'f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)^2d = 0. \end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular \mathcal{R} satisfies,

$$(12) \quad \tilde{b}f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)bf(\chi) + f(\chi)b'f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)d = 0$$

and

$$(13) \quad b'f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)^2d = 0$$

for all $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. By application of Lemma, 3 of [11] in Equation (12), we have $\tilde{b}f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)b = -b'f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)d \in \mathcal{C}$. Then by application of Note 16 of [11], we get that either $\tilde{b} = 0$ or $b = 0$ and either $b' = 0$ or $d = 0$. In either case Equation (9) reduces to

$$(14) \quad (a - c)f(\chi)^2 + f(\chi)cf(\chi) = 0$$

for all $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$. Therefore by Fact 13, we get $a = 0, c \in \mathcal{C}$, which is our Conclusion (4).

PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM 6

From [8], there exist $a, c, b', \tilde{b} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \tilde{b}g(\chi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi + b'h(\chi)$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$, where g and h are skew derivations associated with automorphism α . If both g and h are skew inner derivations then we have our conclusion from Proposition 16. Now assume that at least one of g or h is outer. Then from the hypothesis \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$(15) \quad (a - c)f(\zeta)^2 + \tilde{b}g(f(\zeta))f(\zeta) + f(\zeta)cf(\zeta) + f(\zeta)b'h(f(\zeta)) - b'h(f(\zeta))^2 = 0.$$

for all $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{R}^n$.

g and h are \mathcal{C} -linearly independent modulo \mathbf{SDin}

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. If the automorphism α is not inner then from [4], the ring \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$(19) \quad \tilde{b} \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)}, \mathcal{U}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, choosing $\mathcal{U}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{U}_{n-1} = 0$, we have

$$(20) \quad \tilde{b} f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{U}_n) f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Since $f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ is non central polynomial therefore $\tilde{b} = 0$.

Also, from Equation (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\ & - b' f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n) \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\ & - \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, choosing $\mathcal{V}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{V}_{n-1} = \mathcal{V}_n = 0$, we have

$$(21) \quad f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) b' f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n) - b' f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n) f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. By application of Lemma, 3 of [11] in Equation (21), we have $b' f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n) \in \mathcal{C}$. Then by application of Note 16 of [11], we get that $b' = 0$, and earlier we have shown that $\tilde{b} = 0$, this leads to a contradiction.

Now if the automorphism α is inner, then there exists $t \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\alpha(\chi) = t\chi t^{-1}$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$. Now from Equation (18), \mathcal{R} satisfies the following equation

$$(22) \quad \left[\tilde{b} t \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma(1)} \chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)} t^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, choosing $\mathcal{U}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{U}_{n-1} = 0$ and $\mathcal{U}_n = t\chi_n$, we have that

$$(23) \quad \tilde{b} t f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2 = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{R}$, and this gives that $\tilde{b} = 0$. Also from the Equation (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \left[b't \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma(1)} \chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)} t^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\ & - b't f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma(1)} \chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)} t^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\ & - b't \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma(1)} \chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)} t^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, choosing $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_{n-1} = 0$ and $\mathcal{V}_n = t\chi_n$, we have that

$$(24) \quad f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) b't f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) - 2b't f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2 = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{R}$. By Fact 13, we have $b't = 0$ and since t is invertible, $b' = 0$, which again leads to a contradiction.

g and h are \mathcal{C} -linearly dependent modulo \mathbf{SDin}

Let the derivations g and h are \mathcal{C} -linearly dependent. Then there exist $p, q \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\Gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $pg(\chi) + qh(\chi) = w\chi - \Gamma(\chi)w$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ and some non-zero $w \in \mathcal{R}$. We divide the proof in the following cases:

Case 1: Suppose $p = 0$ and $q \neq 0$. In this case $h(\chi) = q^{-1}w\chi - q^{-1}\Gamma(\chi)w$ by Fact (14), $\Gamma = \alpha$. For $\lambda = q^{-1}w$, we can write $h(\chi) = \lambda\chi - \alpha(\chi)\lambda$ and so $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \tilde{b}g(\chi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = (c + b'\lambda)\chi - b'\alpha(\chi)\lambda$. Then from Equation (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (a - c - b'\lambda)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2 + \tilde{b}f^g(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\ & + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)(c + b'\lambda)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) - f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)b'\alpha(f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n))\lambda \\ & - b'\alpha(f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2)\lambda + \tilde{b} \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)} \chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)}) \right. \\ & \left. \mathcal{U}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{b} \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)} \chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)}) \mathcal{U}_{\sigma(j+1)} \chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Equation (26) is similar to Equation (18) thus by parallel argument used previously, we get that $\tilde{b} = 0$, but this makes both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} generalized inner skew derivations which contradict to our assumption.

Case (2): Suppose $p \neq 0$ and $q = 0$. In this case $g(\chi) = p^{-1}w\chi - p^{-1}\Gamma(\chi)w$ and by Fact (14), $\Gamma = \alpha$. Suppose $p^{-1}w = \lambda'$ then $g(\chi) = \lambda'\chi - \alpha(\chi)\lambda'$. We write $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \tilde{b}(\lambda'\chi - \alpha(\chi)\lambda')$. Then Equation (15) reduces to

$$(27) \quad (a - c + \tilde{b}\lambda')f(\zeta)^2 + f(\zeta)cf(\zeta) + f(\zeta)b'h(f(\zeta)) - b'h(f(\zeta)^2) - \tilde{b}\alpha(f(\zeta))\lambda'f(\zeta) = 0.$$

Which further can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a - c + \tilde{b}\lambda')f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2 + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)cf(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
& + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)b'f^h(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) - b'\alpha(f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n))f^h(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
& \quad - \tilde{b}\alpha(f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n))\lambda'f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
& \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \chi_{\sigma(j)})\mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& - b' \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \chi_{\sigma(j)})\mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& \quad f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) - b'\alpha(f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)) \\
& \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \chi_{\sigma(j)})\mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
(28) \quad & - b'f^h(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
& b' \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \chi_{\sigma(j)})\mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& \quad - b'\alpha(f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)) \\
& \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \chi_{\sigma(j)})\mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& - b' \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \chi_{\sigma(j)})\mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
(29) \quad & f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. If α is not inner then \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)}\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& - b'f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n) \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)}\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& - b' \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(2)} \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)}\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
(30) \quad & = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, choosing $\mathcal{V}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{V}_{n-1} = \mathcal{Y}_n = 0$ we get

$$(31) \quad f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)b'f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n) - b'f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\tilde{b}\delta \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots, \chi_{\sigma(j)}) \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
& \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots, \chi_{\sigma(j)}) \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad -b' \alpha(f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)) \\
& \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots, \chi_{\sigma(j)}) \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& - \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha(\chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots, \chi_{\sigma(j)}) \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
(36) \qquad \qquad \qquad & f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. If α is not inner then by [4] \mathcal{R} satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\tilde{b}\delta \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
& + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& - b' f^\alpha(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n) \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& - \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
(37) \qquad \qquad \qquad & = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, choosing $\chi_n = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\tilde{b}\delta \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\
& \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \cdots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
& - \left[b' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \alpha(\gamma_\sigma) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(j)} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\
(38) \qquad \qquad \qquad & f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Again choosing $\mathcal{V}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{V}_{n-1} = 0$, \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\tilde{b}\delta - b')f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)b'f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Now by using the Lemma 3 of [11] in Equation (39), we have $(\tilde{b}\delta - b')f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n) = -b'f(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{V}_n) \in \mathcal{C}$. Again (Note 16, [11]) we have $(\tilde{b}\delta - b') = 0 = b'$, which gives that both \tilde{b} and b' are equals to zero, a contradiction.

On the other hand if the automorphism α is inner then there exists $t \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\alpha(\chi) = t\chi t^{-1}$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ and Equation (36) reduces to

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[\tilde{b}\delta t \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)} t^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\ & \quad \quad \quad f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\ & \left[b't \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)} t^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\ & \quad \quad \quad - b'tf(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\ & \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)} t^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\ & - \left[b't \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \gamma_\sigma \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma(1)}\chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(j)} t^{-1} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma(j+1)}\chi_{\sigma(j+2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(n)} \right] \\ & \quad \quad \quad f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, choosing $\mathcal{V}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{V}_{n-1} = 0$ and $\mathcal{V}_n = t\chi_n$, we get that

$$(41) \quad (\tilde{b}\delta - 2b')tf(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2 + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)b'tf(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Then from Fact 13, we have $(\tilde{b}\delta - 2b')t = -b't \in \mathcal{C}$ which gives that $\tilde{b}\delta t, b't \in \mathcal{C}$ infact $\tilde{b}\delta - b' = 0$. Using these relations in Equation (35) we have

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} & (a - c + \tilde{b}\eta)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2 - \tilde{b}tf(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)t^{-1}\eta f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \\ & \quad \quad \quad + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)c f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Then from Lemma 17 and Lemma 18, either $\tilde{b}t \in \mathcal{C}$ or $t^{-1}\eta \in \mathcal{C}$. If $\tilde{b}t \in \mathcal{C}$, Equation (42) reduced to

$$(43) \quad (a - c + \tilde{b}\eta)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2 + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)(c - \tilde{b}\eta)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Then from Fact 13, we have $(a - c + \tilde{b}\eta) = -(c - \tilde{b}\eta) \in \mathcal{C}$ or $a = 0$. Now putting $a = 0$ and $(c - \tilde{b}\eta) \in \mathcal{C}$ in Equation (42) we have

$$(44) \quad (-c + \tilde{b}\eta)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)^2 + f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)(c - \tilde{b}\eta)f(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = 0$$

for all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{R}$. Then again from Fact 13, we have $c - \tilde{b}\eta \in \mathcal{C}$.

Now if $t^{-1}\eta \in \mathcal{C}$ then $t \in \mathcal{C}$, which makes α identity automorphism, a contradiction to our assumption.

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of our main theorem.

Corollary 20. *Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2 with Utumi quotient ring \mathcal{U} and extended centroid \mathcal{C} , $\phi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ be a multilinear polynomial over \mathcal{C} , which is not central valued on \mathcal{R} . Let \mathcal{F} be a non-zero b -generalized skew derivations on \mathcal{R} acts like a Jordan derivations on \mathcal{R} ,*

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi(\chi))\phi(\chi) + \phi(\chi)\mathcal{F}(\phi(\chi)) = \mathcal{F}(\phi(\chi)^2).$$

Then there exist $a, \tilde{a} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\chi) = a\chi + \chi\tilde{a}$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\tilde{a} + a = 0$.

Proof. If we take $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ in Theorem 6, we get the required conclusion. \square

Corollary 21. *Let \mathcal{R} be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2 with Utumi quotient ring \mathcal{U} and extended centroid \mathcal{C} , $\phi(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ be a multilinear polynomial over \mathcal{C} , which is not central valued on \mathcal{R} . Let \mathcal{G} be a b -generalized skew derivations on \mathcal{R} acts as a 2-Jordan multiplier,*

$$\phi(\chi)\mathcal{G}(\phi(\chi)) = \mathcal{G}(\phi(\chi)^2).$$

Then one of the following holds:

- (1) *There exist $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $c \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = \tilde{c}\chi + \chi c$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$.*
- (2) *There exist $c \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = \chi c$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$.*
- (3) *There exist $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\chi) = c\chi$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. If we take $\mathcal{F} = 0$ in Theorem 6, we get the required conclusion. \square

Statements and Declarations: We have no conflict of interest to disclose. The first named author wishes to thank the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai for the financial support received through the institute's postdoctoral program.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Dhara and De Filippis, Vincenzo, Engel conditions of generalized derivations on left ideals and Lie ideals in prime rings, *Communications in Algebra*, 48 (1), 154-167, 2020.
- [2] C. K. Liu, An engel condition with two generalized derivations in prime rings, *Communications in Algebra*, 49 (2), 836-849, 2021.
- [3] C. K. Liu, An Engel condition with b -generalized derivations, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 65 (2), 300-312, 2017.
- [4] C. L. Chuang, Gpis having coefficients in utumi quotient rings, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 103 (3), 723-728, 1988.
- [5] C. Faith, and Y. Utumi, On a new proof of Litoff's theorem, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica*, 14 (3-4), 369-371, 1963.
- [6] Ç. Demir, and N. Argaç, A result on generalized derivations with Engel conditions on one-sided ideals, *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 47 (3), 483-494, 2010.
- [7] De Filippis, Di Vincenzo and O. Mario, Vanishing derivations and centralizers of generalized derivations on multilinear polynomials, *Communications in Algebra*, 40 (6), 1918-1932, 2012.
- [8] De Filippis and F. Wei, Centralizers of X -generalized skew derivations on multilinear polynomials in prime rings, *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics*, 6 (1), 49-71, 2018.
- [9] De Filippis and F. Wei, An engel condition with x -generalized skew derivations on lie ideals. *Communications in Algebra*, 46 (12), 5433-5446, 2018.
- [10] De Filippis, Vincenzo, Di Vincenzo and O. Mario, Generalized Skew Derivations and Nilpotent Values on Lie Ideals, *Algebra Colloquium*, 26 (04), 2019.
- [11] De Filippis, G. Scudo, and F. Wei, b -generalized skew derivations on multilinear polynomials in prime rings, *Polynomial Identities in Algebras*, pages 109-138. Springer, 2021.
- [12] Edward C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 8 (6), 1093-1100, 1957.
- [13] Edward C. Posner, Prime rings satisfying a polynomial identity, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 11 (2), 180-183, 1960.

- [14] G. S. Sandhu and D. K. Camci, Some results on prime rings with multiplicative derivations, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 44 (4), 1401–1411, 2020.
- [15] Kharchenko, Vladislav Kirillovich, Differential identities of prime rings, *Algebra and Logic*, 17 (2), 155–168, 1978.
- [16] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale, and A. V. Mikhalev, *Rings with generalized identities*, CRC Press, 1995.
- [17] K. I. Beidar, Rings with generalized identities. 3., *Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta Seriya i Matematika, Mekhanika*, 4, 66–73, 1978.
- [18] L. Carini, De Filippis and G. Scudo, Identities with product of generalized skew derivations on multilinear polynomials, *Communications in Algebra*, 44 (7), 3122–3138, 2016.
- [19] M. T. Kosan, and T. K. Lee, b-generalized derivations of semiprime rings having nilpotent values, *Journal of Australian Mathematical Society*, 96 (3), 326–337, 2014.
- [20] M. Brešar, Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, *Journal of Algebra*, 156 (2), 385–394, 1993.
- [21] M. Brešar, On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations, *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 33, 89–93, 1991.
- [22] Martindale 3rd, S. Wallace, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, *Journal of Algebra*, 12 (4), 576–584, 1969.
- [23] N. Argaç and De Filippis, Actions of generalized derivations on multilinear polynomials in prime rings, *Algebra Colloquium*, 18, 955–964, 2011.
- [24] N. Argaç and De Filippis, Actions of generalized derivations on multilinear polynomials in prime rings, *Algebra Colloquium*, 18, 955–964, 2011.
- [25] N. Argac, L. Carini and De Filippis, An Engel condition with generalized derivations on Lie ideals, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 419–433, 2008.
- [26] N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, volume 37. American Mathematical Soc., 1956.
- [27] S. K. Tiwari, Identities with generalized derivations in prime rings, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2*, 71 (1), 207–223, 2022.
- [28] S. K. Tiwari, Generalized derivations with multilinear polynomials in prime rings, *Communications in Algebra* 46(12), 5356–5372, 2018.
- [29] T. K. Lee, Semiprime rings with differential identities, *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics*, 20 (1), 27–38, 1992.
- [30] T. K. Lee, and Wen-Kwei Shiue A result on derivations with Engel condition in prime rings, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 23 (3), 437–446, 1999.
- [31] T. Erickson, Martindale 3rd, Wallace Smith, and J. Osborn, Prime non associative algebras, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 60 (1), 49–63 1975.

M. S. PANDEY, THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, A CI OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, C.I.T.CAMPUS, TARAMANI, CHENNAI 600113, INDIA.

Email address: manishankarpandey4@gmail.com

A. PANDEY, SCHOOL OF LIBERAL STUDIES, AMBEDKAR UNIVERSITY DELHI, DELHI-110006, INDIA.

Email address: ashutoshpandey064@gmail.com