Finite size effects in the phase transition patterns of coupled scalar field systems
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It is considered in this work the phase transition patterns for a coupled two-scalar field system model under the combined effects of finite sizes and temperature. The scalar fields are taken as propagating in a $D = 4$ Euclidean space with the usual periodic compactification in the Euclidean time direction (with dimension given by the inverse of the temperature) and also under a compact dimension in the space direction, which is restricted to size $L$. In the later case, a Dirichlet boundary condition is considered. The emergence of reentrant phase transitions and symmetry breaking persistence, as a function of temperature and finite size effects, are studied. Possible applications of our results to physical systems of interest are also discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Studies of phase transitions under different conditions, like temperature, external fields and chemical potential, just to cite a few examples, have always been of relevance. Modeling and describing these phase transitions through effective field theories with, e.g., scalar fields, find applications in a variety of physical systems of interest, ranging from condensed matter to cosmology. Studying the properties of quantum field theory models involving multiple scalar fields and understanding how symmetries change in these models when undergoing phase transitions have gained relevance recently. The reason for this interest is that these type of models can have connections ranging from statistical physics and condensed matter [1–4] to high energy physics [5–13].

A model that has been of particular interest is a multiple scalar field system with a $O(N_\phi) \times O(N_\chi)$, for a Lagrangian density containing scalar fields $\phi \equiv (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{N_\phi})$ and $\chi \equiv (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{N_\chi})$, with $N_\phi$ and $N_\chi$ components, respectively. This type of model has long been of interest and have also been studied before in different contexts [14–26]. Those studies mostly focused on how symmetries in these type of systems change with the temperature. The interest on these type of models derives from the fact that they can display a rich phase structure depending on the parameter space available for them. In particular, it is known since the work done by Weinberg in Ref. 27 that nontrivial phase transition patterns can be displayed by these type of models. These phase transitions are, for example, related to inverse symmetry breaking (ISB), i.e., the breaking of symmetries at high temperatures, as well as symmetry restoration (SNR), namely, the persistence of symmetry breaking at high temperatures. In this work, we are interested in investigating the patterns of phase transitions in the above multiple scalar field model, but including the effects of a finite boundary along a space dimension in addition to the known effects of temperature.

Studies of finite size effects in quantum field theory have long been of importance, like, for example, in understanding the questions related to vacuum energy, e.g., in the Casimir energy in different topologies [28–32]. Finite size effects have also been shown to lead to phase transitions (see, e.g., Ref. [33] and references there in). This can happen since space compactifications work similarly to the introduction of temperature in the Matsubara formalism of finite temperature quantum field theory in Euclidean spacetime and where the Euclidean time direction is compactified to a finite dimension given by the inverse of the temperature [34]. Space compactifications can then modify the effective masses of the fields in quantum field theory and affect the symmetry properties of these fields [35].

In a practical context, like in most experiments under laboratory conditions, the limitation of the system size can produce important boundary effects. The thermodynamic limit in these cases might not give a reliable result and, in fact alter many critical behaviors of the system [36, 37]. Likewise, in the studies of heavy ion collisions performed, e.g., at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), it has been indicated that the mean-free path of quarks and gluons formed is not much smaller than the typical fireball radius [38]. This indicates that the thermodynamics of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) can have sizable effects from the boundaries of the system and which has motivated studies of finite size effects towards understanding their contributions [39–44].

In this paper, we will be interested in studying how the introduction of a boundary affects the thermodynamics of a coupled two scalar field system. In particular, we want to focus on the possible emergence of reentrant phases and symmetry persistence in systems of this type. This study complements the many previous studies on similar systems, which, however, up to our knowledge, have not explored how a boundary might eventually affect the phase transition in this context. Even though we do not focus on a particular application, this study might be of relevance in understanding condensed matter systems that can be well modeled by these type of models in an effective description, besides, as already cited...
earlier, of also being of theoretical interest in general. In
the present study, we make use of the nonperturbative re-
summation of the one-loop order terms, i.e., in the ring
(bubble) resummed approximation [14,18]. Similar tech-
niques were also previously used to study ISB and SNR,
but in the absence of space boundaries [10,20,21,23].
The results obtained here are also compared in the con-
text of the large-\(N\) approximation for the model.

For definiteness, we will also consider the case of a
Dirichlet boundary condition, which is motivated by both
condensed matter type of systems where the wave func-
tion vanishes at the surface of the material and does
not propagate beyond it. This type of boundary con-
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In Sec. III we explore the results obtained in Sec. II
and the bubble (ring) resummed masses are given along
also the tadpole equations that give the expectation val-
ues for the fields. Our results are discussed in Sec. IV.

and the emergence of possible reentrant phases and symme-
try breaking persistence at high temperatures and under
the effects of the boundary are studied. In Sec. V the
large-\(N\) approximation is implemented in the model and
the results are again compared by varying the number of
components for the fields. Finally, in Sec. VI our con-
clusions are given and possible applications of our results are
discussed.

## II. THE PERTURBATIVE DESCRIPTION

### of ISB AND SNR AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

The prototype model we consider is that of a \(O(N_\phi) \times
O(N_\chi)\) invariant relativistic scalar field model, with \(\phi\) and
\(\chi\) consisting of scalar fields with \(N_\phi\) and \(N_\chi\) components,
respectively. The interactions are given by the standard
self-interactions among each field species, with quartic
couplings \(\lambda_\phi\) and \(\lambda_\chi\), respectively and by a quadratic
(inter) cross-coupling \(\lambda\) between \(\phi\) and \(\chi\)
The Lagrangian density is given by

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda_\phi}{4!} \phi^4
+ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \chi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_\chi^2 \chi^2 - \frac{\lambda_\chi}{4!} \chi^4 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^2 \chi^2.
\]

(2.1)

The potential is always bounded for positive couplings,
but the overall boundness of the potential is still main-
tained even when the inter-coupling \(\lambda\) is negative, provided
that

\[
\lambda_\phi \lambda_\chi > 9 \lambda^2, \quad \lambda_\phi > 0, \quad \lambda_\chi > 0,
\]

(2.2)

and in this case ISB and SNR can emerge at finite
temperature, as first shown in the seminal work in Ref. 27.
For instance, restricting to the one-loop approx-
imation and in the high temperature approximation
\((m_\phi/T, m_\chi/T \ll 1)\), the thermal masses for the \(\phi\) and \(\chi\)
fields are simply

\[
M_i^2(T) \equiv m_i^2 + \frac{T^2}{12} \left( \frac{N_i + 2}{N_i} \right), \quad i = \phi, \chi.
\]

(2.3)

Equation (2.3) shows that ISB/SNR can emerge for\(\lambda < 0\),
if, for example, \(m_{\phi,j}^2 > 0\), i.e., we have a symmetric
theory at \(T = 0\) in both \(\phi\) and \(\chi\) directions initially and
ISB can take place in the direction of one of the fields if

\[
|\lambda| > \frac{\lambda_\phi}{N_\phi} \left( \frac{N_i + 2}{3} \right),
\]

(2.4)

since the \(T^2\) coefficient in Eq. (2.3) becomes negative and
then, a symmetry breaking like \(O(N_i) \times O(N_j) \to O(N_i - 1) \times O(N_j)\) can occur. Note that the boundness condition
Eq. (2.2) prevents that ISB might come to happen in the
other field direction. On the other hand, starting with a
theory in the broken phase in both field directions, \(m_{\phi,j}^2 < 0\),
under the condition Eq. (2.4), we now have SNR, since
there is in principle no critical temperature for symmetry
restoration (SR) in the \(i\)-field direction, while the other
field suffers SR as usual at a critical temperature

\[
T_{j,c}^2 = - \frac{72 m_j^2}{(N_j + 2) \lambda_j + 3 N_j \lambda}.
\]

(2.5)

In this case, the symmetry changing scheme is
\(O(N_i - 1) \times O(N_j - 1) \to O(N_i - 1) \times O(N_j)\).

A natural question to ask is whether these unusual
symmetry patterns at high temperature would not be
just artifacts of the naive one-loop approximation and
high temperature approximation. In principle, it could
well be the case that higher order terms could restore
the usual symmetry restoration patterns expected com-
monly. However, many previous papers using a variety
of nonperturbative methods give support for ISB/SNR [16-
23] and also in more recent works, like e.g., in Refs. 11,
49,50. In the next sections we will explore this problem
when in addition to temperature, finite size effects are
also included.
III. EFFECTIVE MASSES DEPENDENCE ON $T$ AND $L$

We want to extend the above analysis when now there is a compactification in one of the space dimensions. In other words, we want to study the above picture of ISB/SNR when in the presence of finite-size effects. Following the quantum field theory formalism in toroidal topologies \[35\], the finite-size effects can be included by defining the space in a topology $\Gamma_D^D = (S^1)^d \times \mathbb{R}^{D-d}$, where $D$ is the space-time dimension and $d$ is the number of compactified dimensions, such that $d \leq D$. Let us see how this can be generalized to the present problem. For this, let us return to a moment to the one-loop perturbative Eq. (2.3) and express it in terms of the original momentum integrals. In Euclidean $D$-dimensional momentum space, we then have that

$$M_i^2 = m_i^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \frac{N_i + 2}{3} \right) \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{p_E^2 + m_i^2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} N_j \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{p_E^2 + m_j^2},$$

(3.1)

where $i, j$ represent either $\phi$ or $\chi$. For each compactified dimension, with finite-lengths $L_a$, $a \leq d$, the corresponding momentum $p_a$ in that direction is replaced in terms of discrete frequencies $\omega_{n_a}$, $p_a \rightarrow \omega_{n_a}$. The discrete frequencies $\omega_{n_a}$ depend on the boundary condition (BC). Some well known BCs used in the literature under different contexts are, for example, the periodic, antiperiodic, Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions. For a periodic BC we have

$$\omega_{n_a} = \frac{2\pi n_a}{L_a}, \quad n_a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

(3.2)

for an antiperiodic BC,

$$\omega_{n_a} = \frac{(2n_a + 1)\pi}{L_a}, \quad n_a \in \mathbb{Z},$$

(3.3)

for a Neumann BC,

$$\omega_{n_a} = \frac{\pi n_a}{L_a}, \quad n_a \in \mathbb{N},$$

(3.4)

while for a Dirichlet BC,

$$\omega_{n_a} = \frac{\pi n_a}{L_a}, \quad n_a \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}.$$  

(3.5)

The periodic and antiperiodic BCs are well known in the context of quantum field theory at finite temperature, where the (Euclidean) time gets compactified with size $L \rightarrow 1/T$, where $T$ is the temperature and the corresponding frequencies are given in terms of the Matsubara's frequencies for bosons (periodic BC) or fermions (antiperiodic BC). Neumann BC applies when, e.g., the derivative of the wavefunction vanishes at the boundaries, while for Dirichlet BC the wave function (or field) is identically zero at the boundaries and outside the bulk. A Dirichlet boundary condition (DBC) can be seen then like an impenetrable barrier.

Thus, for each compactified space dimension, with finite-lengths $L_a$, we can write the momentum integral in the corresponding direction as

$$\int \frac{dp_a}{2\pi} \rightarrow \frac{1}{L_a} \sum_{n_a},$$

(3.6)

and for $d < D$ compactifications, the momentum integrals, which we will be interested in this work, they are all of the form

$$I^{(\alpha)}_{D-d} = \frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_d} \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_d} \int \frac{d^{D-d} p}{(2\pi)^{D-d}} \frac{1}{(\omega^2_{n_1} + \cdots + \omega^2_{n_d} + p^2_{D-d} + m^2_i)^\nu},$$

(3.7)

where $p^2_{D-d}$ is the Euclidean momentum in $(D - d)$-dimensions and $m_i \equiv m_{\phi(\chi)}$. The momentum integrals in Eq. (3.1) are in particular obtained by setting $\alpha = 1$ in Eq. (3.7). The momentum integral in the remaining $D-d$-dimensions in Eq. (3.7) can be performed in dimensional regularization. Working in dimension $\delta = D - d$, with $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$-dimensional regularization scheme, we have for Eq. (3.7) the result

$$I^{(\alpha)}_{D-d} = \frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_d} \left( \frac{\epsilon^\epsilon \mu^2}{4\pi} \right)^\mu \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{(4\pi)^{(D-d)/2}\Gamma(\alpha)} \times \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_d} \left( \omega^2_{n_1} + \cdots + \omega^2_{n_d} + m^2_i \right)^{-\nu},$$

(3.8)

where $\nu = \alpha - (D - d)/2$, $\mu$ is an arbitrary mass regularization scale (in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$-scheme) and $\gamma_E$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Performing the sum on the right hand side of Eq. (3.8) is arduous in general. However, the job can get simplified by expressing those type of sums in terms of an Epstein-Hurwitz zeta function \[32\]

$$G^2_a(\nu; a_1, \ldots, a_d) = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_d = -\infty}^\infty \left( c^2 + a^2_1 1^2 + \cdots + a^2_d n^2_d \right)^{-\nu},$$

(3.9)

where, for example, we can identify $c = m_i$, $a_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, d$) are coefficients that depend on the BCs (see, e.g., Eqs. (3.2)-(3.5)) and $\nu = \alpha - \delta/2$. Following, e.g., Ref. \[51\], we can also express Eq. (3.9) in the form
where \( K_\alpha(x) \) is the modified Bessel function of the second kind.

For definiteness, in this work we will focus in the case of boundaries satisfying DBC. With DBC, at the boundaries the fields vanish (i.e., the fields should not "leak" beyond the boundaries). Hence, \( \phi(x_i = 0) = \phi(x_i = L) = \chi(x_i = 0) = \chi(x_i = L) = 0 \), where \( x_i \) refers to those space directions suffering the compactification, \( x_i \in [0, L] \). The discrete frequencies associated with the DBC are then given by Eq. (3.5).

Recalling that temperature is accounted for through a periodic compactification (for bosons) in Euclidean time and considering the case of one compactified space dimension using DBC, with length \( L \) (i.e., along this work we consider a slab geometry in the three-dimensional space: \( \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, L] \)), then, we have that Eq. (3.9) changes to

\[
G_{\nu}^{\text{DBC}}(\nu; a_T, a_L) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} (c^2 + a_T^2 n^2 + a_L^2 l_1^2)^{-\nu},
\]

(3.11)

where \( a_T = 2\pi T \), \( a_L = \pi / L \) and \( \nu = \alpha - (D - 2) / 2 \), with \( D = 4 - 2\epsilon \).

Note that Eq. (3.11) can also be written as

\[
G_{\nu}^{\text{DBC}}(\nu; a_T, a_L) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (c^2 + a_T^2 n^2)^{-\nu}
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} (c^2 + a_T^2 n^2 + a_L^2 l_1^2)^{-\nu},
\]

(3.12)

and the last term is then of the form of Eq. (3.10), while the first term in Eq. (3.12), under analytic continuation with the zeta-function method, is obtained by using the result

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (c^2 + a_T^2 n^2)^{-\nu} = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\nu - 1/2)}{a_T \Gamma(nu)} c^{1-2\nu} + \frac{4\pi^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu)} a_T^{-1/2-\nu} c^{1/2-\nu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\nu-1/2} K_{\nu-1/2}(2\pi nc/a_T).
\]

(3.13)

Hence, in Eqs. (3.17) and (3.18), the function \( I_2^{(1)} \) in the case of DBC is explicitly given by

\[
I_2^{(1), \text{DBC}}(m_i, T, L) = -\frac{m_i^2}{16 \pi^2 c} + \frac{m_i^2}{16 \pi^2} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{m_i^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 1 \right] + \frac{m_i}{8 \pi L} + \frac{T}{4 \pi L} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-m_i / T} \right)
+ \frac{m_i}{2 \pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_1 \left( \frac{m_i}{T} n \right) + \frac{m_i}{4 \pi^2 L} \sum_{l_1=1}^{\infty} K_1 \left( 2m_i L l_1 \right)
+ \frac{m_i}{ \pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2 + 4 l_1^2 L^2}} K_1 \left( m_i \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{T^2} + 4 l_1^2 L^2} \right).
\]

(3.14)

The divergent term appearing in Eq. (3.14) is the standard divergence for the two-point Green function for a scalar field in the bulk. Thus, as far as regularization and renormalization are concerned, the (mass square) divergence can be removed by adding the standard counterterm of mass in the vacuum. We note, however, that more generally, when working with finite size effects at the level of the effective action, additional renormalization counterterms are required as surface divergences also appear \[72\]. In the present work we will not have to deal with these more general renormalization and, thus, we will only require the standard renormalization
counterterms in the bulk (see also Ref. [55] for details on the renormalization under the finite size effects in general). Thus, by adding to the Lagrangian density Eq. (2.1) the appropriate mass counterterms of renormalization, e.g., by redefining the masses of the fields such that $m_\phi^2 \to m_\phi^2 + \delta m_\phi^2$ and $m_\chi^2 \to m_\chi^2 + \delta m_\chi^2$, the mass counterterms are, respectively, given by

$$\delta m_\phi^2 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2\epsilon} \left( \frac{\lambda_\phi N_\phi + 2}{3} m_\phi^2 + \lambda N_\chi m_\chi^2 \right), \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\delta m_\chi^2 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2\epsilon} \left( \frac{\lambda_\chi N_\chi + 2}{3} m_\chi^2 + \lambda N_\phi m_\phi^2 \right). \quad (3.16)$$

The renormalized masses at one-loop order for the $\phi$ and $\chi$ fields then become

$$M_\phi^2(T, L) = m_\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_\phi}{2} \left( \frac{N_\phi + 2}{3} \right) I_{2, R}^{(1)}(m_\phi, T, L) + \frac{\lambda}{2} N_\chi I_{2, R}^{(1)}(m_\chi, T, L), \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$M_\chi^2(T, L) = m_\chi^2 + \frac{\lambda_\chi}{2} \left( \frac{N_\chi + 2}{3} \right) I_{2, R}^{(1)}(m_\chi, T, L) + \frac{\lambda}{2} N_\phi I_{2, R}^{(1)}(m_\phi, T, L), \quad (3.18)$$

where $I_{2, R}^{(1)}(m_i, T, L)$ is the result obtained in Eq. (3.14) when the divergence is subtracted.

It is also illustrative to work in the approximation of small masses, i.e., $m_i/T \ll 1$ and $m_i L \ll 1$. In this case, it is more convenient to return to Eq. (3.11). Isolating the thermal zero mode ($n = 0$) from it, we have that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, l_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} (m_i^2 + a^2 L^2 + a^2 L^2) - \nu = \frac{\sqrt{\pi \Gamma(\nu - 1/2)}}{2\Gamma(\nu)} a_L^{-2\nu} \left( \frac{m_i^2}{a_L^2} \right)^{-\nu+1/2}$$

$$+ 2\pi^\nu a_L^{-2\nu} \left( \frac{m_i^2}{a_L^2} \right)^{-\nu/2+1/4} + \sum_{l_1=1}^{\infty} l_1^{-\nu/2} K_{\nu-1/2}(2\pi l_1 m_i/a_L). \quad (3.20)$$

The second term in Eq. (3.19) can now be written as

$$2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}, l_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} (m_i^2 + a^2 L^2 + a^2 L^2) - \nu = 2(2\pi T)^{-2\nu} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}, l_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \left( \frac{m_i^2}{4\pi^2 T^2} + n^2 + \frac{l_1^2}{4T^2 L^2} \right)^{-\nu}$$

$$= 2(2\pi T)^{-2\nu} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}, l_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \left( n^2 + \frac{l_1^2}{4T^2 L^2} \right)^{-\nu} - 2\nu (2\pi T)^{-2\nu} \frac{m_i^2}{4\pi^2 T^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}, l_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \left( n^2 + \frac{l_1^2}{4T^2 L^2} \right)^{-1-\nu} + O(m_i^4/T^4). \quad (3.21)$$

We can now use the following result for the two-dimensional Epstein zeta function representation [56].
\[ \sum_{n_1, n_2 = 1}^{\infty} (a_1 n_1^2 + a_2 n_2^2)^{-s} = -\frac{a_2^{-s}}{2} \zeta(2s) + \frac{a_2^{-s}}{2} \left( \frac{\pi a_2}{a_1} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma(s - 1/2)}{\Gamma(s)} \zeta(2s - 1) + \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma(s)} a_1^{-s} \frac{1}{2} - 1/4 \frac{a_2^{-s}}{2} \frac{s}{1/4} \sum_{n_1, n_2 = 1}^{\infty} n_1^{-s/2} n_2^{-s/2} K_{s/2 - 1/2} \left( 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{a_2}{a_1}} n_1 n_2 \right). \] (3.22)

In Eq. (3.22) we can choose \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) to be either \( 1/(2TL)^2 \) or 1. Obviously, the two choices are completely equivalent, however, in the cases where \( m_i/T \ll 1 \) and \( TL > 1/2 \), the choice \( a_1 = 1/(2TL)^2 \) and \( a_2 = 1 \) turns out to be the suitable one, as it display better convergence properties in this case. Alternatively, we could also make the expansion in terms of \( m_iL \ll 1 \), which then the choice \( a_1 = 1 \) and \( a_2 = 1/(2TL)^2 \) is the one that will exhibit better convergence properties when \( TL < 1/2 \). Given this, in practice, in all our numerical results, we work with an interpolated version of these expressions, favoring one case or the other depending on the value of \( TL \). This strategy is similar to the one used in Ref. [43].

Using the above expressions, we then obtain the small masses expansions for Eq. (3.14) and, when \( m_i/T \ll 1 \) and \( TL \gg 1/2 \) and keeping terms up to quadratic order in the masses, it is explicitly given by

\[ I^{(1),DBC}_{2}(m_i, T, L) \simeq -\frac{m_i^2}{16\pi^2 \epsilon} - \frac{T}{2\pi L} \ln \left[ \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{m_i}{T} \right) - \ln \left( 1 - e^{-2m_iL} \right) \right\} \right] + \frac{m_i^2}{8\pi^2} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{4\pi T}{\mu} \right) - \gamma_E + \frac{\pi TL}{3} \right\} + \frac{\pi}{12TL} + 2 \ln \left[ \eta(2iTL) \right], \] (3.23)

while for \( |m_i|L \ll 1 \) and \( TL < 1/2 \), we have that

\[ I^{(1),DBC}_{2}(m_i, T, L) \simeq -\frac{m_i^2}{16\pi^2 \epsilon} - \frac{T}{2\pi L} \ln \left( \eta(i/(2TL)) \right) - \frac{m_i T}{4\pi} + \frac{T}{4\pi L} \ln \left( 2m_i L - \ln \left( 1 - e^{-2m_iL} \right) \right) + \frac{m_i^2}{8\pi^2} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{2\pi \mu}{L} \right) - \gamma_E + \frac{\pi TL}{3} \right\} + \frac{\pi}{12TL} + 2 \ln \left( \eta(i/(2TL)) \right) \right\}, \] (3.24)

where in the above expressions \( \eta(x) \) is the Dedekind eta-function, defined as \[ \eta(x) = e^{i\pi x/12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n x}). \] (3.25)

As a crosscheck of Eq. (3.23), let us consider the bulk limit \( L \to \infty \) of it. Using the identities,

\[ \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\ln \eta(2ix)}{2x} = -\frac{\pi}{12}. \] (3.26)

and

\[ \lim_{x \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{\pi x}{6} + \ln \left[ \eta(2ix) \right] \right\} = 0, \] (3.27)

then Eq. (3.23) leads to the high temperature approximation, \( m_i/T \ll 1 \),

\[ I^{(1),DBC}_{2}(m_i, T, L) \bigg|_{L \to \infty} = -\frac{m_i^2}{16\pi^2 \epsilon} + \frac{T^2}{12} - \frac{m_i T}{4\pi} + \frac{m_i^2}{8\pi^2} \left( \ln \left( \frac{4\pi T}{\mu} \right) - \gamma_E \right) + \mathcal{O}(m_i^2/T^4), \] (3.28)

which is the correct expression for the thermal integral \( I^{(1)} \) in the high temperature approximation [58].

**IV. RENORMALIZED MASSES AND COUPLINGS**

The renormalization scale dependence on both masses and couplings are given in terms of their respective renormalization group (RG) expressions, given in terms of the RG functions \( \beta \) and \( \gamma_m \) given, respectively, by [59]

\[ \beta_i = \mu \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \mu}, \] (4.1)

and

\[ \gamma_{m_i} = \mu \frac{\partial \ln m_i}{\partial \mu}, \] (4.2)

which, for our respective masses and couplings and at one-loop (\( \hbar \)) order, gives

\[ \gamma_{m_\phi} = -\frac{1}{2m_\phi^2(\mu)} \left[ \lambda_\phi(\mu) \left( \frac{N_\phi}{3} + 2 \right) m_\phi^2(\mu) \right], \] (4.3)

\[ \gamma_{m_\chi} = -\frac{1}{2m_\chi^2(\mu)} \left[ \lambda_\chi(\mu) \left( \frac{N_\chi}{3} + 2 \right) m_\chi^2(\mu) \right], \] (4.4)

\[ \beta_{\lambda_\phi} = \lambda_\phi(\mu) \left( \frac{N_\phi}{3} + 2 \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_\phi(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} + 3 \lambda_\phi(\mu) \right)^2, \] (4.5)

\[ \beta_{\lambda_\chi} = \lambda_\chi(\mu) \left( \frac{N_\chi}{3} + 2 \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_\chi(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} + 3 \lambda_\chi(\mu) \right)^2, \] (4.6)
and
\[
\beta_\lambda = 4 \frac{\lambda^2(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} + \frac{(N_\phi + 2)}{3} \frac{\lambda(\mu)\lambda(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2} + \frac{(N_\chi + 2)}{3} \frac{\lambda(\mu)\lambda(\mu)}{(4\pi)^2},
\]
(4.7)

with the above equations depending on the renormalization scale \(\mu\) through the \(\bar{\text{MS}}\) parameters of the renormalized Lagrangian. The Eqs. (4.3)-(4.7) form a coupled set of flow equations determining how the masses and couplings change under different renormalization scales. In particular, we can also see from, e.g., Eqs. (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), that their solution is also equivalent to solving the coupled set of linear equations,
\[
\lambda_\phi(\mu) = \lambda_\phi(\mu_0) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right)
\times \left[ \frac{(N_\phi + 8)}{3} \lambda_\phi(\mu_0)\lambda_\phi(\mu) + 3N_\chi\lambda(\mu_0)\lambda(\mu) \right],
\]
(4.8)
\[
\lambda_\chi(\mu) = \lambda_\chi(\mu_0) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right)
\times \left[ \frac{(N_\chi + 8)}{3} \lambda_\chi(\mu_0)\lambda_\chi(\mu) + 3N_\phi\lambda(\mu_0)\lambda(\mu) \right],
\]
(4.9)
\[
\lambda(\mu) = \lambda(\mu_0) + \frac{\lambda(\mu_0)}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right)
\times \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{N_\phi + 2}{3} \right) \lambda_\phi(\mu) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{N_\chi + 2}{3} \right) \lambda_\chi(\mu) \right]
+ \frac{\lambda(\mu_0)}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right)
\times \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{N_\phi + 2}{3} \right) \lambda_\phi(\mu_0) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{N_\chi + 2}{3} \right) \lambda_\chi(\mu_0) \right]
+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \ln \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right) \lambda(\mu_0)\lambda(\mu),
\]
(4.10)

which also make apparent how the renormalized couplings are related through a change of the scale from, e.g., \(\mu_0\) to \(\mu\). The results obtained from the flow equations given above give the standard way of nonperturbatively resumming through the RG equations the leading order corrections (in this case the leading log dependent corrections) to the coupling constants. These equations also show that the couplings evolve with the scale in a logarithmic way. Suitable choices of the scale can minimize these logarithmic contributions. It is common in the literature in general that at high temperatures to take the scale proportional to the temperature, \(\mu \sim T\). In particular, a suitable choice of scale has been shown to be given by \(\mu = 2\pi T\). At finite sizes, we also see from the equations derived earlier, Eqs. (3.23) and (3.24), that those equations suggest that a more suitable scale might be \(\mu \sim 1/L\) when \(TL < 1/2\). In practice, in all of our numerical analysis, we will adopt \(\mu = 2\pi T\) or \(\mu = 2\pi /L\), depending whether the temperature or the (inverse of the) length size dominates the loop integrals. Either way, the logarithmic dependence on the scale will imply that all of our results will be weakly dependent on the particular choice of \(\mu\), which we have verified explicitly in our numerical results.

A. The bubble (ring) resummed gap equations for the masses and field expectation values

We want to investigate the full phase structure for the multiple field system. However, it is well known that perturbation theory breaks down at high temperatures and, in particular close to critical points \([44]\). Here, we go beyond the perturbation theory by using the bubble (or ring) dressed method for finite temperature scalar fields \([45]\). In the bubble resummation procedure, the one-loop terms with the temperature and finite size effects are self-consistently resumed by using the gap equations for the masses, which is similar as previously considered in ISB/SNR earlier studies \([19, 24, 25, 60]\). In this case, this is equivalent of solving the coupled system of gap equations for the renormalized masses \(\tilde{M}_\phi^2, \tilde{M}_\chi^2\) given by Eq. (3.14) with the divergence subtracted.

\[
\tilde{M}_\phi^2 = m_\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_\phi}{2} \left( \frac{N_\phi + 2}{3} \right) I_{2,R}^{(1)}(\tilde{M}_\phi, T, L)
+ \frac{\lambda}{2} N_\chi I_{2,R}^{(1)}(\tilde{M}_\chi, T, L),
\]
(4.11)
\[
\tilde{M}_\chi^2 = m_\chi^2 + \frac{\lambda_\chi}{2} \left( \frac{N_\chi + 2}{3} \right) I_{2,R}^{(1)}(\tilde{M}_\chi, T, L)
+ \frac{\lambda}{2} N_\phi I_{2,R}^{(1)}(\tilde{M}_\phi, T, L),
\]
(4.12)

where the renormalized one-loop function \(I_{2,R}^{(1)}\) is given by Eq. (3.14) with the divergence subtracted.

The fields are shifted around their expectation values, \(\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi} + \phi'\) and \(\chi \rightarrow \tilde{\chi} + \chi'\), where \(\tilde{\phi} \equiv \langle \phi \rangle\) and \(\tilde{\chi} \equiv \langle \chi \rangle\), while \(\langle \phi' \rangle = 0\) and \(\langle \chi' \rangle = 0\). The expectation values for the fields are then defined through their respective coupled tadpole equations, given by, respectively, in terms of the one-particle irreducible one-point functions \(\Gamma_\phi^{(1)} \equiv 0\) and \(\Gamma_\chi^{(1)} \equiv 0\). At the one-loop level and resummed bubble

\(^1\) Note that these equations can also be seen as the extension to multiple fields of the foam diagram resummation defined, e.g., in Ref. [47].
V. RESULTS

Let us now consider the combined effects of temperature and finite size in the phase transition patterns in the two-scalar field model. But before studying the two-field coupled system, it is instructive to first analyze the case of only one field, e.g., \( \phi \), which can be easily obtained by setting the inter-coupling \( \lambda \) to zero in the equations defined in the previous section.

A. The one scalar field case

Setting the tree-level mass square term in the potential as negative, i.e., \( m_\phi^2 = -|m_\phi|^2 = -m^2 \), in Fig. 1 we show the effective mass \( M_\phi(T, L) \) and the field expectation value \( \langle \phi \rangle \) in the DBC as a function of \( L \) for fixed temperature values (panels a and c) and as a function of the temperature \( T \) for fixed values of length \( L \) (panels b and d).

From Figs. 1(a) and 1(c) we can see that the effect of the compact dimension allows the system to have a double critical point, where the symmetry can get broken in between two values of critical length \( L_c \). This is a reentrant phase transition, which might be of interest in condensed matter systems [24 25 01 02]. These
type of transitions are also of interest for understanding, for example, phase transitions in superconducting films, as studied previously in Ref. [63], which considered finite size effects in a Ginzburg–Landau model with periodic boundary conditions. The same trend of transitions also is seen to happen here in the context of DBC. In Figs. 1(b) and 1(d) the symmetry restoration behavior as a function of temperature is shown for some fixed values of length $L$. Here we note that although there seems to be no multiple critical points in the temperature (e.g., the appearance of two critical values of temperature), it shows instead that for small values of $L$ the critical temperature tends to grow as $L$ increases, but then $T_c$ starts to decrease beyond some value of $L$. Note that the phase transition points agree between the results shown for either the effective gap mass or the field expectation value, as they should. We can also notice from the results displayed in the panels of Fig. 1 that the transition is always continuous, which characterize a second-order phase transitions for all the cases displayed in Fig. 1. For illustration, the different transition behaviors displayed in Fig. 1 are shown in the phase diagram depicted in Fig. 2, where the regions of symmetry breaking, $\langle \phi \rangle \neq 0$, and symmetry restored, $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$, are shown in the plane $(L, T)$. The horizontal dashed line indicates the critical temperature in the case of the absence of finite size effects ($L \to \infty$), whose value for the parameters used in Fig. 2 is found to be $T_c(L \to \infty)/m \simeq 15.5$. The reentrant phase transitions as a function of $L$ are clearly visible when taking constant temperature values and that happens with temperatures in the range $15.5 \lesssim T/m \lesssim 28$.

**B. The two-scalar field case**

![FIG. 3. The phase diagram for $\phi$ in the coupled field case in the plane $(L, T)$. The horizontal dashed line indicates the critical temperature in the case of $L \to \infty$, $T_c(L \to \infty)/m \simeq 5.8$. The bare parameters are $m_\phi^2 = -m_\chi^2 = m^2 > 0$ and $\lambda_\phi = 0.8, \lambda_\chi = 0.07, \lambda = -0.075$, with $N_\phi = N_\chi = 1$.](image)

Let us now study the multiscalar field case, i.e., including both $\phi$ and $\chi$ with a nonvanishing inter-coupling $\lambda$ between them. We are mainly interested in exploring a parameter space where the usual symmetry restoration (SR) can happen at high temperatures along a given field direction, while the other field experiences ISB. For instance, as an example, let us consider the choice of bare coupling constants $\lambda_\phi = 0.8, \lambda_\chi = 0.07$ and $\lambda = -0.075$, which satisfy Eq. (2.2), along also with $N_\phi = N_\chi = 1$. Then, the perturbation equations in the high temperature approximation, e.g., Eq. (2.3), they predict an ISB phase transition along the direction of the $\chi$ field whenever $m_\chi^2 > 0$. On the other hand, for $m_\chi^2 < 0$, SNR should manifest, in which case the $\chi$ field expectation value should always remain as nonvanishing, $\langle \chi \rangle \neq 0$. The symmetry behavior along the $\phi$ field direction depends only on the sign of its mass square term entering in the Lagrangian density. For $m_\phi^2 > 0$, it (in the $\phi$ field direction) remains in a symmetry restored phase, $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$, while considering initially (at $T = 0$) a symmetry broken (SB) phase along the $\phi$ field direction, i.e., $m_\phi^2 < 0$, the usual symmetry restoration at high temperatures is expected. Of course, had we chosen different assignments for the couplings, the roles of the $\phi$ and $\chi$ fields are expected to be reversed under the phenomena.
of SB/SR and ISB/SNR. For definiteness, in the analysis that follow, we will consider that \( \phi \) suffers the usual SR at high temperatures, while \( \chi \) should experience ISB, i.e., we choose the mass bare parameters such that \( m_\phi^2 < 0 \) and \( m_\chi^2 > 0 \) and under the above given choice of representative values for the coupling constants. Of course, other combinations of parameters could be used but the results could be similarly interpreted.

In Fig. 3 it is shown the phase diagram for \( \phi \) in the case of the coupled field system. It shows again the characteristic symmetry restoration pattern similar to Fig. 2 obtained in the one-field case, including the reentrant (double transition point) like behavior as the compactification size \( L \) is changed and a maximum critical temperature that can be reached when \( L \) changes.

In Fig. 4 it is shown the phase diagram for the coupled field system for the case now of the \( \chi \) field. As in the one-field case studied in the previous subsection, the transitions displayed here in the phase diagrams in the plane \((L, T)\) shown in Figs. 3 and 4 are of second-order, where the field expectation values \( \langle \phi \rangle \) and \( \langle \chi \rangle \) change continuously across the boundaries of symmetry breaking and restoration as \( T \) and \( L \) are varied.

From the results shown in Fig. 4 we again see the presence of a reentrant like behavior as the compactification size \( L \) is changed. For the example case shown in Fig. 4(a), in the temperature range \( 110 \lesssim T/m \lesssim 135 \), it can be seen now that three critical values for \( L \) emerges, while for a range of values for \( L \) such that \( 0.04 \lesssim mL \lesssim 0.077 \) a double critical temperature also appears for a fixed value of \( L \) along that range. In Fig. 4(b) we zoom in a small range of \( L \) values along the transition boundary on the left side of Fig. 4(a) showing also the presence of double critical temperature values for other fixed values of \( L \).

We also note from Fig. 4 that in the large \( L \) regime, \( L \gtrsim 0.08 \), the system goes to the symmetric case in the \( \chi \) field direction, \( \langle \chi \rangle = 0 \). Thus, the ISB phase only manifests as a reentrant phase at low values of \( L \) and does not persist for larger \( L \). In special, we see here that ISB does not appears in the bulk limit, \( L \rightarrow \infty \), as we would naively expect using the perturbative high temperature expression Eq. (2.4). This can be seen as a consequence of using the ring improved expressions for the masses, which tend to shrink the available range of parameters leading to ISB at high temperatures and large \( L \) as compared to the case in perturbation theory. The ISB is, however, not ruled out in the bulk case when considering the ring improved expressions. We can check this by studying how ISB manifests by considering other choices of parameters. Different choices of parameters are considered in Figs. 5 and 6 to make clear our point.

In Figs. 5 and 6 we show the coupling constants as a function of \( L \) under different choices of the remaining parameters. While in Fig. 5 there is no ISB at larger values of \( L \), only reentrant phases at low \( L \) (these results were also checked to persist at arbitrary larger values of the temperature), in Fig. 6 it is shown that ISB can persist at large \( T \) and \( L \). Note that the regions internal to the curves in Figs. 5 and 6 indicate a symmetry broken phase, \( \langle \chi \rangle \neq 0 \), while the regions above the curves are symmetry restored ones, \( \langle \chi \rangle = 0 \). The shaded area indicates where the potential becomes unbounded, according to Eq. (2.2).
FIG. 5. The couplings $\lambda_\phi$ (panel a), $\lambda_\chi$ (panel b) and $\lambda$ (panel c) as a function of $L$ for different values of temperature. In each case the remaining parameters were fixed as follows. Panel a: $\lambda_\chi = 0.07, \lambda = -0.075$; panel b: $\lambda_\phi = 0.8, \lambda = -0.075$; panel c: $\lambda_\phi = 0.8, \lambda_\chi = 0.07$. The remaining parameters are like in Fig. 3 $m_\phi^2 = -m_\chi^2 = m^2 > 0$ and $N_\phi = N_\chi = 1$. The shaded area indicates where the potential becomes unbounded, Eq. 2.2.

VI. FINITE $N$ EFFECTS AND COMPARISON WITH THE LARGE-$N$ APPROXIMATION

So far, in the previous section we have restricted to cases where $N_\phi = N_\chi = 1$, i.e., in the context of a two-field model with symmetry $Z_2 \times Z_2$. Let us now investigate how these results might get affected by finite $N$ effects. It is useful in this context to compare the finite $N$ results with the ones derived in the context of the large-$N$ approximation.

In the large-$N$ (LN) approximation (for a review, see, e.g., Ref. [64]), both coupling constants and fields are normalized by $N$, such that, for instance, $\lambda_\phi \rightarrow \lambda_\phi/N$, $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda/\sqrt{N_\phi N_\chi}$, $\phi \rightarrow \sqrt{N_\phi}/\chi \rightarrow \sqrt{N_\chi}$, while the rescaled coupling are kept fixed as $N_i \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality, we will consider $N_\phi = N_\chi = N$. Note that in the LN approximation, the simple one-loop expressions, e.g., Eqs. (3.17) and (3.18), become exact, since higher order terms become suppressed by factors of $1/N_i$. Next, we will compare the LN approximation result for the phase diagram in the plane $(L,T)$ for $\phi$ and $\chi$ with the corresponding finite $N$ ones constructed in this approach. In Fig. 7(a) we show the result for the phase diagram for $\phi$, while in Fig. 7(b) it is shown the result for $\chi$. We have used the values $N = 2, N = 3$ and $N = 4$ for comparison, which can be motivated by, e.g.,
FIG. 7. The phase diagram for \( \phi \) (panel a) and for \( \chi \) (panel b) in the coupled field case in the plane \((L, T)\) using the LN approximation. The parameters chosen are similar to the ones in Figs. 3 and 4, \((\phi)\) approach. The parameters chosen are similar to the ones in L,T and L,Tb) in the coupled field case in the plane \((\phi\). The phase diagram for \( L \), \( \phi \), and \( \chi \), respectively. Note from the results shown in both Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), even in the LN approximation we still observe reentrant phases with double critical values for \( L \) in both the \( \phi \) and \( \chi \) directions. However, as oppositely seen in Fig. 4, here ISB persists even at larger values of \( L \), which is a consequence of the sensibility of the results not only with respect to the values for the couplings, as discussed in the previous section, but also with the dependence on the number of components for the fields.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have investigated the possible phase transitions patterns in a two-field multicomponent scalar model with symmetry \( O(N) \times O(N) \) when both thermal and finite size effects are present. While in the bulk (in the absence of space boundaries) phenomena like ISB have shown to be present at high temperatures, when the inter-coupling between the fields is negative, the phase transitions patterns when finite size effects are present become more involved. The finite size effects allow the system to display reentrant like symmetry breaking (which can happen in between two phases of symmetry restoration) and vice versa, where symmetry restoration can happen in between two phases of symmetry breaking. These type of phenomena can happen for both fields depending on the choice of parameters. In this work we have analyzed these phenomena with the use of the bubble (or ring) resummed gap equations for both the effective masses for the fields and for their expectation values, \( \langle \phi \rangle \) and \( \langle \chi \rangle \). The results were also compared with the large-\( N \) approximation. The reentrant like phase transition behavior for the fields was shown to be present in both cases.

We have considered in this paper the Dirichlet boundary condition for the space compactification in a slab geometry in the three-dimensional space, \( \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, L] \). The Dirichlet boundary condition is a well motivated condition for different physical systems as has been argued in the recent literature. For practical purposes, it is also computationally convenient since there are no zero modes when working with the discrete frequencies and, consequently, direct simple equations in the limit of large temperature can be derived. We have obtained the expressions for the two-point one-loop self-energy correction contributing to the effective masses and similar derivations can be applied to other quantities, like the four-point function or other loop corrections, which can lead to further useful future studies extending the one we have here considered.

Other types of geometries can also be considered as future developments of this work. But still, the simpler slab geometry here considered can be of interest in practical physical applications, most notably, like in condensed matter problems where, for example, the thickness of thin films are important [65, 66, 68, 69]. With the advent of even more miniaturized electronic devices, it is extremely relevant to analyze how the size and interface effects change the properties and performances of nanomaterials. In particular, similar phase transition behaviors as a function of the thickness that resembles the ones we have seen here, have also been observed in different materials. For instance, a rapid grow of the critical temperature with the thickness and then a smooth decrease of \( T_c \), as it appears in Figs. 2 and 4, for example, have been experimentally measured in different materials displaying superconductivity transitions (see, e.g., Ref. 70). In this context, even though we have here
different nonperturbative methods are clearly of interest. For instance, excitons type of systems exhibit a relativistic dispersion relation \[71\] and, furthermore, are effectively modeled as a multifield scalar model for which our results can be directly applied to. Our results can also be of interest for high energy relativistic systems. Relativistic type of systems typically modeled by multifield scalar models with both inter and intracouplings include for example those in the context of color-flavor-superconductivity \[72, 73\], which the study of the effect of space compactifications can be of relevance. These applications and other extensions of our work, including the uses of other boundary conditions and the analysis under different nonperturbative methods are clearly of interest and we hope to address some of them in the future.
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