

# AN EXPLICIT COERCIVITY ESTIMATE OF THE LINEARIZED QUANTUM BOLTZMANN OPERATOR WITHOUT ANGULAR CUTOFF

TONG YANG AND YU-LONG ZHOU

ABSTRACT. The quantum Boltzmann-Bose equation describes a large system of Bose-Einstein particles in the weak-coupling regime. If the particle interaction is governed by the inverse power law, the corresponding collision kernel has angular singularity. In this paper, we give a constructive proof of the coercivity estimate for the linearized quantum Boltzmann-Bose operator to capture the effects of the singularity and the fugacity. Precisely, the estimate explicitly reveals the dependence on the fugacity parameter before the Bose-Einstein condensation. With the coercivity estimate, the global in time well-posedness of the inhomogeneous quantum Boltzmann-Bose equation in the perturbative framework and stability of the Bose-Einstein equilibrium can be established.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 35Q20, 82C40.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Boltzmann equations are proposed to describe the time evolution of a large system of weakly interacting bosons or fermions. The derivation of such equations dates back to 1928 by Nordheim [30] and 1933 by Uehling-Uhlenbeck [34]. As a result, the quantum Boltzmann equations are also called Boltzmann-Nordheim equations or Uehling-Uhlenbeck equations. Later on, further developments were made by Erdős-Salmhofer-Yau [15], Benedetto-Castella-Esposito-Pulvirenti [6], and [7, 8, 9, 10], also Lukkarinen-Spohn [27]. See [13] for latest derivation with error analysis. One can refer the classical book [12] for description on its physical background.

The inhomogeneous quantum Boltzmann equation reads

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q_{\Phi, \hbar}(F, F), \quad t > 0, x \in \Omega, v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $F(t, x, v) \geq 0$  is the density function of particles with velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$  at time  $t \geq 0$  in position  $x \in \Omega$ . To avoid the difficulty from the boundary, one can study the Cauchy problem with initial data  $F|_{t=0}(x, v) = F_0(x, v)$  in either a periodic box  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3 := [0, 1]^3$  or in the whole space  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

The quantum Boltzmann operator  $Q_{\Phi, \hbar}$  for bosons is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{\Phi, \hbar}(g, h)(v) \\ & := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3} B_{\Phi, \hbar}(v - v_*, \sigma) (g'_* h'(1 + \hbar^3 g_*) (1 + \hbar^3 h) - g_* h (1 + \hbar^3 g'_*) (1 + \hbar^3 h')) d\sigma dv_*, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where according to [15] and [10] the Boltzmann kernel  $B_{\Phi, \hbar}(v - v_*, \sigma)$  has the following form

$$B_{\Phi, \hbar}(v - v_*, \sigma) := \hbar^{-4} |v - v_*| (\hat{\Phi}(\hbar^{-1} |v - v'|) + \hat{\Phi}(\hbar^{-1} |v - v'_*|))^2. \quad (1.3)$$

Here  $\hbar$  is the Plank constant and  $\Phi$  is a radial potential function. The Fourier transform  $\hat{\Phi}$  of  $\Phi$  is still a radial function so that it depends only on the magnitude of its argument in (1.3). Here, we use the conventional notations  $h = h(v)$ ,  $g_* = g(v_*)$ ,  $h' = h(v')$ ,  $g'_* = g(v'_*)$  where  $v'$ ,  $v'_*$  are given by

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2. \quad (1.4)$$

By using the following scaling

$$\tilde{F}(t, x, v) = \hbar^3 F(\hbar^3 t, x, \hbar^{-3} v), \quad \phi(|x|) = \hbar^4 \Phi(\hbar^4 |x|), \quad (1.5)$$

one can normalize the Plank constant  $\hbar$ . Indeed, it is easy to check  $F$  is a solution to (1.1) if and only if  $\tilde{F}$  is a solution of the following normalized equation

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q_\phi(F, F), \quad t > 0, x \in \Omega, v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.6)$$

where the operator  $Q_\phi$  is defined by

$$Q_\phi(g, h)(v) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3} B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma) (g'_* h'(1 + g_*)(1 + h) - g_* h(1 + g'_*)(1 + h')) d\sigma dv_* \quad (1.7)$$

with the kernel  $B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma)$  given by

$$B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma) := |v - v_*| (\hat{\phi}(|v - v'|) + \hat{\phi}(|v - v'_*|))^2. \quad (1.8)$$

**1.1. Potential function and Boltzmann kernel.** The mathematical study of the BBE (Boltzmann-Bose-Einstein) equation (1.6) is difficult due to the high order nonlinearity and possible blow-up in low temperature that corresponds to the intriguing Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC). As a result, most of the existing results on the BBE equation are concerned with hard sphere model  $B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma) = C|v - v_*|$  for  $\phi(x) = \delta(x)$  in (1.8). In the homogeneous case, one can refer to [24, 25, 16, 17] for existence of isotropic solutions  $F(t, v) = F(t, |v|)$ . As for anisotropic solutions, the work [11] is for local existence and [23] for global existence. In the inhomogeneous case, we refer to the two recent results [4, 31] for global well-posedness in the perturbative framework.

Another physically important potential is the inverse power law  $\phi(x) = |x|^{-p}$  which has been extensively studied for the classical Boltzmann equation but much less is known for the quantum Boltzmann equation. Note that  $p = 1$  corresponds to the Coulomb potential that is the critical value for Boltzmann equation to be well-defined. In this paper, we work in three dimensional space so that  $p = 3$  is the critical value for  $|x|^{-p}$  to be locally integrable near  $|x| = 0$ . Fix  $1 < p < 3$ . Then the Fourier transform of  $\phi(x) = |x|^{-p}$  is  $\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \hat{\phi}(|\xi|) = C|\xi|^{p-3}$ . Let  $\theta$  be the angle between  $v - v_*$  and  $\sigma$ , then  $|v - v'| = |v - v_*| \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ ,  $|v - v'_*| = |v - v_*| \cos \frac{\theta}{2}$ . By (1.8), the kernel then reads

$$B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma) = C|v - v_*|^{2p-5} \left( \sin^{p-3} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{p-3} \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^2.$$

Throughout the paper,  $C$  denotes a generic constant. Thanks to the symmetry of (1.8), one can assume  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ . Then the fact that  $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq \cos \frac{\theta}{2}$  and  $p < 3$  implies that

$$B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma) \leq C|v - v_*|^{2p-5} \sin^{2p-6} \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Hence, for  $p > 1$ ,

$$\int B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\sigma \leq C|v - v_*|^{2p-5}. \quad (1.9)$$

Note that the integral domain  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2$  is omitted for brevity. When there is no ambiguity, the range of some frequently used variables will be omitted from now on. To be consistent, we always take  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2, x \in \Omega, v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3$  unless otherwise specified.

By (1.9), for  $1 < p < 3$  the kernel  $B_\phi$  has finite mean momentum transfer which is a necessary condition for the classical Boltzmann operator to be well-defined. The constant  $C$  in (1.9) blows up as  $p \rightarrow 1^+$  since  $\int_0^{\sqrt{2}/2} t^{2p-3} dt \sim \frac{1}{p-1}$  for  $1 < p < 3$ . If  $p > 2$ , the angular function  $\sin^{2p-6} \frac{\theta}{2}$  satisfies Grad's angular cutoff assumption

$$\int \sin^{2p-6} \frac{\theta}{2} d\sigma \lesssim \frac{1}{p-2}.$$

Note that  $p = 2$  is the critical value such that Grad's cutoff assumption fails. Hence,  $2 < p < 3$  can be viewed as angular cutoff while  $1 < p < 2$  as angular non-cutoff. We consider the more difficult case when  $1 < p < 2$  in this paper. For this, by taking  $s = 2 - p, \gamma = 2p - 5$ , it suffices to consider the following more general kernel

$$B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma) = C|v - v_*|^\gamma \left( \sin^{-1-s} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-1-s} \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^2 1_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2}, \quad -3 < \gamma \leq 0 < s < 1. \quad (1.10)$$

The parameter pair  $(\gamma, s)$  is commonly used in the study of classical Boltzmann equations with inverse power law. Indeed, motivated by the inverse power law potential, the Boltzmann kernel can be written as

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = B^{\gamma, s}(v - v_*, \sigma) := |v - v_*|^\gamma \sin^{-2-2s} \frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{1}_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2}. \quad (1.11)$$

Since  $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq \cos \frac{\theta}{2}$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , the two kernel functions (1.11) and (1.10) satisfy

$$CB^{\gamma, s}(v - v_*, \sigma) \leq B_\phi(v - v_*, \sigma) \leq 4CB^{\gamma, s}(v - v_*, \sigma). \quad (1.12)$$

Hence, we will focus on the kernel function given in (1.11). From now on, the notation  $B(v - v_*, \sigma)$  or  $B$  stands for the kernel given in (1.11) unless otherwise specified. The condition  $\gamma + 2s < 0$  is referred to as soft potentials, and  $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$  as hard potentials.

**1.2. Classical Boltzmann equation.** The well-posedness of classical Boltzmann was established in [2, 3] in the whole space and [18] in torus independently for the non-cutoff kernel  $B^{\gamma, s}$  (1.11) in the perturbative framework. Let us briefly recall the main estimates as follows. The classical Boltzmann equation reads

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q_c^{\gamma, s}(F, F), \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$Q_c^{\gamma, s}(g, h)(v) := \int B^{\gamma, s}(v - v_*, \sigma) (g'_* h' - g_* h) d\sigma dv_* \quad (1.14)$$

The subscript “c” here refers to “classical”. Recall that the global Maxwellian density  $\mu(v) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}|v|^2}$  is a typical equilibrium of (1.13). By setting  $F = \mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f$ , the equation (1.13) is written as

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s} f = \Gamma_c^{\gamma, s}(f, f)$$

where the linearized Boltzmann operator  $\mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s}$  and the nonlinear term  $\Gamma_c^{\gamma, s}$  are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s} f := -\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q_c^{\gamma, s}(\mu, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f) - \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q_c^{\gamma, s}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f, \mu), \quad \Gamma_c^{\gamma, s}(g, h) := \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q_c^{\gamma, s}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} g, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} h). \quad (1.15)$$

The operator  $\mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s}$  has a five dimensional kernel

$$\ker \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s} := \text{span}\{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} v_1, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} v_2, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} v_3, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} |v|^2\}. \quad (1.16)$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}_0$  be the projection onto the kernel. The self-adjoint operator  $\mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s}$  has a coercive property in the orthogonal space of  $\ker \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s}$  which is the counterpart of Boltzmann’s H-Theorem in the linear level. More precisely, it holds that

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s} f, f \rangle \sim |f - \mathbb{P}_0 f|_X^2 \quad (1.17)$$

for some anisotropic norm  $X$ . Here  $X = \mathcal{N}^{s, \gamma}$  in [18] and is the triple norm  $||| \cdot |||$  in [2] and [3]. Both norms are defined through some integral so they are not explicit. An equivalent norm  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}$  will be explicitly used in the following. The nonlinear term  $\Gamma_c^{\gamma, s}$  can be controlled by the norm  $X$  as

$$|\langle \Gamma_c^{\gamma, s}(g, h), f \rangle| \lesssim |g|_{L^2} |h|_X |f|_X.$$

By using this upper bound estimate and the coercivity estimate (1.17), the global in time well-posedness in the perturbative framework can be established.

For later use, we now recall an explicit norm  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}$  which is equivalent to  $\mathcal{N}^{s, \gamma}$  in [18] and  $||| \cdot |||$  in [2] and [3]. Firstly, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{R}$  and a function  $f(v)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , denote

$$|f|_{H_l^n}^2 := \sum_{|\beta| \leq n} |W_l \partial_\beta f|_{L^2}^2, \quad |f|_{L_l^2} := |f|_{H_l^0}, \quad |f|_{L_l^\infty} := |W_l f|_{L^\infty}. \quad (1.18)$$

Here  $W_l(v) := (1 + |v|^2)^{l/2}$  for  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  is a weight function. In general, the Sobolev norm can be defined through Fourier transform. Denote by  $\hat{f}$  the Fourier transform of  $f$ . For  $n, l \in \mathbb{R}$ , set

$$|f|_{H^n}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^n |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi, \quad |f|_{H_l^n}^2 := |W_l f|_{H^n}^2. \quad (1.19)$$

Now we introduce an order- $n$  anisotropic norm  $A^n$  through the projection on the real spherical harmonics denoted by  $\{Y_l^m\}_{l \geq 0, -l \leq m \leq l}$ , where  $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})Y_l^m = l(l+1)Y_l^m$  with  $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  being the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ , define the norm  $A^n$  by

$$|f|_{A^n}^2 := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \int_0^{\infty} (1+l(l+1))^n (f_l^m(r))^2 r^2 dr, \quad (1.20)$$

where  $f_l^m(r) = \int Y_l^m(\sigma) f(r\sigma) d\sigma$  is the weighted integral of  $f$  over the ball  $B_r := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |v| = r\}$  with weight  $Y_l^m$ . The notation  $A$  refers to ‘‘anisotropic regularity’’. Note that for a radial function  $g(v) = g(|v|)$ , it holds

$$|gf|_{A^n} \leq |g|_{L^\infty} |f|_{A^n}. \quad (1.21)$$

Then for  $l \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s < 1$ , the norm  $\mathcal{L}_l^s$  is defined by

$$|f|_{\mathcal{L}_l^s}^2 := |W_l f|_{L^2_s}^2 + |W_l f|_{H^s}^2 + |W_l f|_{A^s}^2. \quad (1.22)$$

Recalling (1.18), (1.19) and (1.20), the three norms on the right-hand of (1.22) share a common weight function  $W_s(r) = (1 + |r|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}$  for  $|v|, |\xi|, (l(l+1))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . This norm as a summation of three terms is general and essential for characterization of the linearized Boltzmann operator, see He-Zhou [20, 21] and Duan-He-Yang-Zhou [14] for discussion in different settings.

With the norm defined in (1.22), we can rewrite (1.17) as the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1, 0 < s < 1$ . There are two generic constants  $\lambda_*, C_*$  (depending on  $\gamma$  and  $s$ ) such that*

$$\lambda_* |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_0) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \leq \langle \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s} f, f \rangle \leq C_* |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_0) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (1.23)$$

The proof of Lemma 1.1 consists of two steps. The first one is a rough estimate as

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s} f, f \rangle + |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2 \sim |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (1.24)$$

Noting that without projection there is a lower order term  $|f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2$  on the left hand side. This estimate can be obtained by using the triple norm  $||| \cdot |||$  introduced in [3], cf. Section 2 of [3]. See also [18] using the norm  $\mathcal{N}^{s, \gamma}$  and a more recent work [1] using the norm  $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s$  by different methods. The second step is the spectral gap estimate

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s} f, f \rangle \gtrsim |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_0) f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2, \quad (1.25)$$

which is used to deal with the lower order term  $|f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^2}^2$  to obtain the lower bound in (1.23). The estimate (1.25) is first proved in [35] for the Maxwellian molecules  $\gamma = 0$ . For general case, it was investigated by Pao in [32, 33] using some technical tools from pseudo-differential operator theory. Different tools and constructive proofs were given in the elegant papers [5, 28, 29]. See [22] from the perspective of functional calculus. Recently, some new developments were made in [20, 14] for the study of grazing limit to the Landau equation.

**1.3. Equilibrium and the linearized equation.** Temperature plays an important role in the study of quantum Boltzmann equation. For example, for Bose-Einstein particles, BEC happens in low temperature. We now recall some basic facts about temperature in the quantum context. Let us consider a homogeneous density  $f = f(v) \geq 0$  with zero mean  $\int v f(v) dv = 0$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , the  $k$ -th moment is defined by

$$M_k(f) := \int |v|^k f(v) dv.$$

Denote  $M_0 = M_0(f), M_2 = M_2(f)$  for simplicity, and  $m$  be the mass of a particle. Then  $mM_0$  and  $\frac{1}{2}mM_2$  are the total mass and kinetic energy per unit space volume. By referring to [25], the kinetic temperature  $\bar{T}$  and the critical temperature  $\bar{T}_c$  are defined by

$$\bar{T} = \frac{1}{3k_B} \frac{mM_2}{M_0}, \quad \bar{T}_c = \frac{m\zeta(5/2)}{2\pi k_B \zeta(3/2)} \left( \frac{M_0}{\zeta(3/2)} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (1.26)$$

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant and  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$  is the Riemann zeta function.

The equilibrium of the classical Boltzmann equation is the Maxwellian distribution with density function

$$\mu_{\rho, v_0, T}(v) := \rho T^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}|v-v_0|^2}, \quad \rho, T > 0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Note that we drop the usual constant  $(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  for simplicity. Now  $(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\rho$  is density,  $v_0$  is mean velocity and  $T$  is temperature. The Bose-Einstein distribution has density function

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho, v_0, T} := \frac{\mu_{\rho, v_0, T}}{1 - \mu_{\rho, v_0, T}}, \quad \rho T^{-\frac{3}{2}} \leq 1. \quad (1.27)$$

Now  $\rho, v_0$  and  $T$  do not represent density, mean velocity and temperature anymore, but are only three parameters. The ratio  $\bar{T}/\bar{T}_c$  quantifies high and low temperature. In high temperature  $\bar{T}/\bar{T}_c > 1$ , the equilibrium of BBE equation is the Bose-Einstein distribution (1.27) with  $\rho T^{-\frac{3}{2}} < 1$ . In low temperature  $\bar{T}/\bar{T}_c < 1$ , the equilibrium of BBE equation is the Bose-Einstein distribution (1.27) with  $\rho T^{-\frac{3}{2}} = 1$  plus a Dirac delta function. In the critical case when  $\bar{T}/\bar{T}_c = 1$ , the equilibrium is (1.27) with  $\rho T^{-\frac{3}{2}} = 1$ . One can refer to [26] for details.

Without loss of generality, we set  $v_0 = 0, T = 1$ . Then by using  $\rho$  to denote the fugacity, the equilibrium  $\mathcal{M}_{\rho, v_0, T}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho} := \frac{\rho\mu}{1 - \rho\mu}, \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq 1. \quad (1.28)$$

We are interested in the following Cauchy problem on the inhomogeneous BBE equation

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F), \quad t > 0, x \in \Omega, v \in \mathbb{R}^3; \quad F|_{t=0}(x, v) = F_0(x, v), \quad (1.29)$$

where the operator  $Q$  is defined by (1.7) with  $B_{\phi}$  replaced by  $B = B^{\gamma, s}$  given in (1.11). For general initial datum  $F_0$ , it is difficult to prove global well-posedness of the above Cauchy problem. However, we can consider the case when the initial datum  $F_0$  is close to an equilibrium  $\mathcal{M}_{\rho}$ . Recall that the solution of (1.29) conserves mass, momentum and energy. That is, for any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\int (1, v, |v|^2) F(t, x, v) dx dv = \int (1, v, |v|^2) F_0(x, v) dx dv. \quad (1.30)$$

From now on, we assume

$$\int (1, v, |v|^2) (F_0(x, v) - \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(v)) dx dv = 0. \quad (1.31)$$

To study the Cauchy problem, set

$$F = \mathcal{M}_{\rho} + \mathcal{N}_{\rho} f, \quad (1.32)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{\rho} := \frac{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \rho\mu}. \quad (1.33)$$

This special choice of  $\mathcal{N}_{\rho}$  leads to the fact that the linearized quantum Boltzmann operator  $\mathcal{L}^{\rho}$  defined in (1.35) is self-adjoint (see (1.43)).

For simplicity of notations, denote  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\rho}$ ,  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{\rho}$ . With  $F = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{N}f$ , (1.29) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathcal{L}^{\rho} f = \Gamma_2^{\rho}(f, f) + \Gamma_3^{\rho}(f, f, f), & t > 0, x \in \Omega, v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0 = \frac{(1 - \rho\mu)F_0 - \rho\mu}{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{cases} \quad (1.34)$$

Here the linearized quantum Boltzmann operator  $\mathcal{L}^{\rho}$  is defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\rho} f)(v) := \int B \mathcal{N}_* \mathcal{N}' \mathcal{N}'_* S(\mathcal{N}^{-1} f) d\sigma dv_*, \quad (1.35)$$

where

$$S(g) := g + g_* - g' - g'_*. \quad (1.36)$$

The bilinear term  $\Gamma_2^\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the trilinear term  $\Gamma_3^\rho(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  are given by

$$\Gamma_2^\rho(g, h) := \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int B \Pi_2(g, h) d\sigma dv_*, \quad (1.37)$$

$$\Gamma_3^\rho(g, h, \varrho) := \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int B((\mathcal{N}g)'_* (\mathcal{N}h)'((\mathcal{N}\varrho)'_* + \mathcal{N}\varrho) - (\mathcal{N}g)_* (\mathcal{N}h)((\mathcal{N}\varrho)'_* + (\mathcal{N}\varrho)')) d\sigma dv_*. \quad (1.38)$$

Here,

$$\Pi_2(g, h) := (\mathcal{N}g)'_* (\mathcal{N}h)' - (\mathcal{N}g)_* (\mathcal{N}h) \quad (1.39)$$

$$+ (\mathcal{N}g)'_* (\mathcal{N}h)' (\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}_*) - (\mathcal{N}g)_* (\mathcal{N}h) (\mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}'_*) \quad (1.40)$$

$$+ (\mathcal{N}g)_* (\mathcal{N}h)' (\mathcal{M}'_* - \mathcal{M}) - (\mathcal{N}g)'_* (\mathcal{N}h) (\mathcal{M}_* - \mathcal{M}') \quad (1.41)$$

$$+ (\mathcal{N}g)' (\mathcal{N}h) (\mathcal{M}'_* - \mathcal{M}_*) + (\mathcal{N}g)'_* (\mathcal{N}h)_* (\mathcal{M}' - \mathcal{M}). \quad (1.42)$$

Note that the three operators  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$ ,  $\Gamma_2^\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\Gamma_3^\rho(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  depend on  $\rho$  through  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\rho$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_\rho$ .

By symmetry, the self-adjoint property of  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$  satisfies

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho g, h \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \int B \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_* \mathcal{N}' \mathcal{N}'_* S(\mathcal{N}^{-1}g) S(\mathcal{N}^{-1}h) dV = \langle g, \mathcal{L}^\rho h \rangle. \quad (1.43)$$

For simplicity, here and in the rest of the paper, we use the notation  $dV := d\sigma dv_* dv$ . Therefore,  $\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle \geq 0$ . Recall that the kernel space  $\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho$  of  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$  is

$$\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho := \text{span}\{\mathcal{N}_\rho, \mathcal{N}_\rho v_1, \mathcal{N}_\rho v_2, \mathcal{N}_\rho v_3, \mathcal{N}_\rho |v|^2\}. \quad (1.44)$$

And the following four statements are equivalent

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow S(\mathcal{N}_\rho^{-1} f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}_\rho^{-1} f \text{ is a collision invariant} \Leftrightarrow f \in \ker \mathcal{L}^\rho. \quad (1.45)$$

For  $\rho > 0$ , let  $\mathbb{P}_\rho$  be the projection on the kernel space  $\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho$ . For its explicit definition, see (2.43). The subscript  $\rho$  is used to indicate dependence on the fugacity  $\rho$ . When  $\rho = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_\rho = \mathbb{P}_0$  is just the projection operator onto the kernel (1.16) for the classical Boltzmann equation.

**1.4. Main result.** In order to show the stability of (1.29) under a small initial perturbation  $f_0$ , a key ingredient is the coercivity estimate of the linearized quantum Boltzmann operator  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$ . The coercivity estimate is more subtle when  $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$  due to the critical temperature for BEC.

The main result of this paper on the coercivity estimate of  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$  for any  $0 \leq \rho < 1$  is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma \leq 0 < s < 1$ . There are two generic constants  $\lambda_0, C_0 > 0$  depending only on  $\gamma, s$  such that*

$$\lambda_0(1 - \rho)^{13 \times 2^{N_{\gamma,s}} - 3} \rho |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \leq \langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle \leq C_0(1 - \rho)^{-4} \rho |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2, \quad (1.46)$$

where  $N_{\gamma,s} := -1$  for  $-2s \leq \gamma \leq 0$ ; and  $N_{\gamma,s} := \lceil \frac{-\gamma - 2s}{s} \rceil$  for  $-3 < \gamma < -2s$ .

The constant  $C_0 > 0$  is explicitly given in (2.18). For the case  $-2s \leq \gamma \leq 0$ , the constant  $\lambda_0$  is explicitly given in (2.26), and for the case  $-3 < \gamma < -2s$ , it is given implicitly the proof.

In the following, we will give a few remarks on Theorem 1.1.

**Remark 1.1.** By Theorem 1.1, for any fixed  $0 < \rho < 1$ , we have  $\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle \sim |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2$ . Hence, this together with Lemma 1.1 show that both the quantum linearized Boltzmann operator and the classical one are characterized by the same norm  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}$  except for different kernel spaces.

**Remark 1.2.** The lower bound in (1.46) is the coercivity estimate of  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$  which is the key in proving the stability of (1.29) around  $\mathcal{M}_\rho$ . The lower bound in (1.46) validates the stability for any temperature above the critical value. We will have a brief discussion on this in the next subsection.

**Remark 1.3.** The estimate (1.46) is not optimal in terms of the power of  $1 - \rho$ . A sharp estimate like  $\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle \sim h(1 - \rho) \rho |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2$  for some precise function  $h(\cdot)$  is desired but is challenging.

We now present the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.1 as follows.

- (1) In order to use the coercivity estimate of the classical Boltzmann operator, we first give a relation between the two Dirichlet forms  $\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{L}_c f, f \rangle$  where  $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma, s}$ . Then for any function  $f$  in the orthogonal space  $(\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho)^\perp$ , we define a corresponding function  $\Phi_f$  in the orthogonal space  $(\ker \mathcal{L}_c)^\perp$  such that the two Dirichlet forms  $\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{L}_c \Phi_f, \Phi_f \rangle$  are mutually controlled by each other. This reveals the relationship between the two kernel spaces defined in (1.15) and (1.44), cf. (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) for details.
- (2) The above relation and the estimate in (1.23) for the classical operator  $\mathcal{L}_c$  give the upper bound in (1.46) for the quantum operator  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$ , and the lower bound up to a  $L^2$  norm. For hard potential when  $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$ , since  $|f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \geq |f|_{L_{\gamma/2+s}^2} \geq |f|_{L^2}$ , the  $L^2$  norm can be recovered by the orthogonal decomposition.
- (3) For soft potential  $\gamma + 2s < 0$ , motivated by [3, 20] for Boltzmann equation, we apply an induction argument by starting from the case when  $\gamma = -2s$  and using the gain of moment of order  $s$  to control the  $L^2$  norm. Hence, for any  $-3 < \gamma < -2s$ , we need  $N_{\gamma, s} + 1 = \lceil \frac{-\gamma - 2s}{s} \rceil + 1$  steps of induction.

**1.5. Global well-posedness and stability.** In this subsection, we will discuss the global well-posedness and stability of the BBE equation (1.34) in the perturbative framework and show its dependence on the fugacity parameter  $\rho$ . If  $\rho \ll 1$ , then  $M_2(\mathcal{M}_\rho) \sim \rho$ ,  $M_0(\mathcal{M}_\rho) \sim \rho$  and by (1.26) it holds that

$$\frac{\bar{T}}{\bar{T}_c} \sim \rho^{-\frac{2}{3}} \gg 1.$$

Under this high temperature assumption, the global well-posedness of the spatially homogeneous BBE equation with (slightly general than) hard sphere collision was firstly proved in [23]. In the inhomogeneous case, also for hard sphere collision, the global well-posedness was proved in [4] and [31]. These two papers regard the fugacity  $\rho$  as a constant without considering the temperature effect. For the non-cutoff kernel (1.11), under the high temperature condition  $\rho \ll 1$ , the global well-posedness of the inhomogeneous BBE equation (1.34) near  $\mathcal{M}_\rho$  is proved in [36].

For any  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , with the key coercivity estimate in Theorem 1.1, we briefly discuss the proof of the global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.34) and stability of  $\mathcal{M}_\rho$ . For this, we need to estimate the nonlinear terms  $\Gamma_2^\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined in (1.37) and  $\Gamma_3^\rho(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  defined in (1.38). Such estimates are derived in [36] for  $\rho \ll 1$ . Here we need to be careful when  $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$ . For the bilinear term  $\Gamma_2^\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ , following the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [36], we can prove

$$|\langle \Gamma_2^\rho(g, h), f \rangle| \lesssim (1 - \rho)^{-5} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \min\{|g|_{H^2} |h|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}, |g|_{L^2} (|h|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} + |h|_{H_{\gamma/2}^{s+2}} + |h|_{H_{\gamma/2+s}^{\frac{3}{2}}})\} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}. \quad (1.47)$$

Comparing (1.47) with Theorem 3.2 in [36], there is a new factor  $(1 - \rho)^{-5}$ . For the trilinear term  $\Gamma_3^\rho(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ , following the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [36], we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Gamma_3^\rho(g, h, \varrho), f \rangle| &\lesssim (1 - \rho)^{-4} \rho |g|_{H^2} |\mu^{\frac{1}{256}} h|_{H^2} |\varrho|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \\ &\quad + (1 - \rho)^{-4} \rho |g|_{H^3} (|h|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} + |h|_{H_{\gamma/2}^{s+2}} + |h|_{H_{\gamma/2+s}^{\frac{3}{2}}}) |\mu^{\frac{1}{256}} \varrho|_{L^2} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.48)$$

$$|\langle \Gamma_3^\rho(g, h, \varrho), f \rangle| \lesssim (1 - \rho)^{-4} \rho |g|_{H^2} |h|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} |\mu^{\frac{1}{256}} \varrho|_{H^3} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}, \quad (1.49)$$

$$|\langle \Gamma_3^\rho(g, h, \varrho), f \rangle| \lesssim (1 - \rho)^{-4} \rho |g|_{L^2} (|h|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} + |h|_{H_{\gamma/2}^{s+2}} + |h|_{H_{\gamma/2+s}^{\frac{1}{2}}}) |\mu^{\frac{1}{256}} \varrho|_{H^3} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}. \quad (1.50)$$

Based on the coercivity estimate (1.46), the upper bound estimates (1.47), (1.48), (1.49) and (1.50), we can expect that the macro-micro decomposition and nonlinear energy method leads to the proof of the global well-posedness in some suitable function space. For hard potential when  $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$ , we can work with the Sobolev space by using the energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}_N(f) := \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (1.51)$$

Here  $L^2 = L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . In view of (1.47), (1.48), (1.49) and (1.50), a certain order of regularity is necessary. As in [36], let  $N \geq 9$ , we can derive the following global well-posedness result for (1.34). For some constant  $M_1(N), M_2(N) > 0$  depending only on  $N$ , there exist a generic constant  $\delta > 0$  such that if

$$F_0 = \mathcal{M}_\rho + \mathcal{N}_\rho f_0 \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{E}_N(f_0) \leq \delta \rho^{2N+1} (1 - \rho)^{M_1(N)}, \quad (1.52)$$

then the Cauchy problem (1.34) has a unique global solution  $f \in L^\infty([0, \infty); \mathcal{E}_N)$  satisfying

$$F(t) \geq \mathcal{M}_\rho + \mathcal{N}_\rho f(t) \geq 0, \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{E}_N(f(t)) \leq C \rho^{-2N} (1 - \rho)^{-M_2(N)} \mathcal{E}_N(f_0). \quad (1.53)$$

Note that for  $0 < \rho < 1$ , the global well-posedness is guaranteed if the initial perturbation is within a certain power of  $\rho$  and  $1 - \rho$ .

The powers of  $\rho$  and  $1 - \rho$  in (1.52) and (1.53) are needed because of the coercivity estimate (1.46)

$$\lambda_0 (1 - \rho)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \rho |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \leq \langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle \leq C_0 (1 - \rho)^{-4} \rho |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (1.54)$$

One can follow the estimation given in [36] for fixed  $\rho$  by inputting suitable powers of  $\rho$  and  $(1 - \rho)$  in the energy estimates when needed.

For soft potential when  $\gamma + 2s < 0$ , the weighted Sobolev space is needed and the energy functional for  $l \geq -(\gamma + 2s)N$  is

$$\mathcal{E}_{N,l}(f) := \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N} \|W_{l+(\gamma+2s)|\beta|} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (1.55)$$

Here the weight function  $W_{l+(\gamma+2s)|\beta|}$  is designed to deal with the convection term  $v \cdot \nabla_x f$ , cf. [19]. Based on the coercivity estimate (1.46) and upper bound estimates of  $|\langle \Gamma_2^\rho(g, h), W_{2l} f \rangle|$  and  $|\langle \Gamma_3^\rho(g, h, \varrho), W_{2l} f \rangle|$  (see Theorem 5.3 and 5.6 in [36]), the proof of the global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.34) can be expected with conclusion like (1.52) and (1.53). Note that the constants  $M_1(N), M_2(N)$  depend on  $\gamma, s$ .

In order to focus on the coercivity of the linearized operator in this paper, we will not go into the detailed estimation for the global well-posedness.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We will present the proof of Theorem 1.1 in this section. The proof is divided into two parts. In the first subsection, we will prove an upper bound for general  $\gamma, s$  and a lower bound in the hard potential regime with  $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$ . In the second subsection, we will prove the lower bound for the soft potential case when  $\gamma + 2s < 0$ .

**2.1. Lower bound for hard potential and upper bound.** Recall  $\mu(v) = \exp(-|v|^2/2)$  and set

$$M_\rho := \frac{\mu}{1 - \rho\mu}, \quad N_\rho := \frac{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \rho\mu}. \quad (2.1)$$

By (1.28) and (1.33) for the equilibrium  $\mathcal{M}_\rho$  and multiplier  $\mathcal{N}_\rho$ , we have

$$\mathcal{M}_\rho = \rho M_\rho, \quad \mathcal{N}_\rho = \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} N_\rho. \quad (2.2)$$

By (1.35), since  $\mathcal{N}_\rho = \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} N_\rho$ , for  $\rho > 0$ , the null space of  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$  is

$$\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho = \text{span}\{N_\rho, N_\rho v_1, N_\rho v_2, N_\rho v_3, N_\rho |v|^2\}. \quad (2.3)$$

By (1.43) and (2.2), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle = \frac{\rho}{4} \int B N_\rho (N_\rho)_* (N_\rho)' (N_\rho)'_* S^2(N_\rho^{-1} f) dV. \quad (2.4)$$



Recalling (1.15), the operator  $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{L}_c^{\gamma,s}$  reads

$$(\mathcal{L}_c f)(v) = \mu^{-1/2} \int B \mu_* \mu S(\mu^{-1/2} f) d\sigma dv_*, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $S(\cdot)$  is defined by (1.36). For later use, we define a functional  $\mathcal{H}_c(\cdot)$  by

$$\mathcal{H}_c(f) := \langle \mathcal{L}_c f, f \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \int B \mu \mu_* S^2(\mu^{-1/2} f) dV. \quad (2.6)$$

Note that the latter identity follows from the symmetry property of the integral.

We will compare the quantities in (2.4) and (2.6). For this, let us define

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) := \frac{1}{4} \int B^{\gamma,s} \mu \mu_* S^2(N_\rho^{-1} f) dV. \quad (2.7)$$

Here we specify the dependence of  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}$  on  $\gamma, s$  through  $B^{\gamma,s}$  defined in (1.11), and on  $\rho$  through  $N_\rho$  defined in (2.2). For simplicity, we sometimes use  $\mathcal{J}_\rho$  for  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}$ .

If  $0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 < \mu \leq 1$ , then

$$\mu \leq M_\rho \leq (1 - \rho)^{-1} \mu, \quad \mu^{1/2} \leq N_\rho \leq (1 - \rho)^{-1} \mu^{1/2}. \quad (2.8)$$

As a consequence, by noting  $\mu \mu_* = \mu' \mu'_*$ , we have

$$\mu \mu_* \leq N_\rho (N_\rho)_* (N_\rho)' (N_\rho)'_* \leq (1 - \rho)^{-4} \mu \mu_*. \quad (2.9)$$

Hence, from (2.4) and (2.7), it holds

$$\rho \mathcal{J}_\rho(f) \leq \langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle \leq (1 - \rho)^{-4} \rho \mathcal{J}_\rho(f). \quad (2.10)$$

Observe  $\mathcal{J}_\rho(f) = \mathcal{H}_c(\mu^{1/2} N_\rho^{-1} f)$ . Thus, we can use the estimate (1.23) to study  $\mathcal{J}_\rho(f)$  by using the connection between  $\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho$  and  $\ker \mathcal{L}_c$  in the following proof.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1:* In this part of the proof, we will show the upper bound estimate given in Theorem 1.1 and the lower bound for  $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$ . It suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 for  $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho)^\perp$  because  $\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}^\rho (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f, (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f \rangle$ .

For a function  $f$ , we define

$$w_f := N_\rho \mu^{-1/2} \mathbb{P}_0(N_\rho^{-1} \mu^{1/2} f), \quad \Phi_f := (f - w_f) N_\rho^{-1} \mu^{1/2}. \quad (2.11)$$

Recalling (2.3) and (1.16), it is straightforward to check for  $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho)^\perp$  that

$$w_f \in \ker \mathcal{L}^\rho, \quad \Phi_f = N_\rho^{-1} \mu^{1/2} f - \mathbb{P}_0(N_\rho^{-1} \mu^{1/2} f) \in (\ker \mathcal{L}_c)^\perp. \quad (2.12)$$

By the above construction, for  $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho)^\perp$ , we have the following key relation

$$\mathcal{J}_\rho(f) = \mathcal{J}_\rho(f - w_f) = \mathcal{J}_\rho(N_\rho \mu^{-1/2} \Phi_f) = \mathcal{H}_c(\Phi_f) \quad (2.13)$$

By (2.13), (2.12), (2.6) and the upper bound in (1.23), and by noting  $N_\rho^{-1} \mu^{1/2} = 1 - \rho \mu$ , we have

$$\mathcal{J}_\rho(f) \leq C_* |\Phi_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \leq 2C_* (|(1 - \rho \mu) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 + |\mathbb{P}_0((1 - \rho \mu) f)|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2) \quad (2.14)$$

$$\leq 2C_* (C_1 + C_2) |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are some generic constants such that for any  $f$ ,

$$|(1 - \rho \mu) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \leq C_1 |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2, \quad (2.16)$$

$$|\mathbb{P}_0((1 - \rho \mu) f)|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \leq C_2 |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.17)$$

In fact, since  $|\mu|_{H^2} \lesssim 1$ , it holds

$$|(1 - \rho \mu) f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \lesssim |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} + |\mu f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \lesssim |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s},$$

which gives (2.16). As  $\mathbb{P}_0$  is the projection operator, it holds that

$$|\mathbb{P}_0((1 - \rho \mu) f)|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \lesssim |\mu^{1/4} (1 - \rho \mu) f|_{L^2} \lesssim |\mu^{1/4} f|_{L^2} \lesssim |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s},$$

which gives (2.17). Combining (2.14) and (2.10), we obtain the upper bound in (1.46) with

$$C_0 = 2C_*(C_1 + C_2). \quad (2.18)$$

By (2.13), (2.12), (2.6) and the lower bound in (1.23), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_\rho(f) \geq \lambda_* |\Phi_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \geq C_3(1-\rho)^2 \lambda_* |N_\rho \mu^{-1/2} \Phi_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 = C_3 \lambda_* (1-\rho)^2 |f - w_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.19)$$

Here  $C_3$  is a generic constant such that

$$|f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \geq C_3(1-\rho)^2 |N_\rho \mu^{-1/2} f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.20)$$

For clear presentation, the proof of (2.20) is postponed to Lemma 2.1.

We now derive that  $|w_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}$  is bounded by  $|\mu^{1/4} f|_{L^2}$  so that it is a lower order term. Note that  $w_f = (a_f + b_f \cdot v + c_f |v|^2) N_\rho$  where  $a_f, b_f, c_f$  are the constants given by

$$a_f = \frac{5}{2} \langle f, N_\rho^{-1} \mu \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle f, |v|^2 N_\rho^{-1} \mu \rangle, \quad b_f = \langle f, v N_\rho^{-1} \mu \rangle, \quad c_f = \frac{1}{6} \langle f, |v|^2 N_\rho^{-1} \mu \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle f, N_\rho^{-1} \mu \rangle.$$

Obviously,

$$|w_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \lesssim (|a_f| + |b_f| + |c_f|) |\mu^{-1/8} N_\rho|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-3/4} |\mu^{1/4} f|_{L^2}, \quad (2.21)$$

where we have used Lemma 2.2 (to be proved later) to get

$$|(1 + |v|^2) N_\rho|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \lesssim |\mu^{-1/8} N_\rho|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \lesssim |\mu^{-1/4} N_\rho|_{H^1} \sim (1-\rho)^{-3/4}. \quad (2.22)$$

By (2.21), let  $C_4$  be the generic constant such that  $|w_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \leq C_4(1-\rho)^{-3/2} |\mu^{1/4} f|_{L^2}^2$ . Then we get

$$|f - w_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 - |w_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 - C_4(1-\rho)^{-3/2} |\mu^{1/4} f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.23)$$

We now assume  $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$ . Then by (1.22),  $|f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \geq |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2+s}} \geq |f|_{L^2}$ . For  $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho)^\perp$ , (2.12) implies that  $f \perp w_f$ . Hence,

$$|f - w_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \geq |f - w_f|_{L^2}^2 = |f|_{L^2}^2 + |w_f|_{L^2}^2 \geq |f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.24)$$

Suitable combination of (2.24) and (2.23) gives

$$|f - w_f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2(1 + C_4(1-\rho)^{-3/2})} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2.$$

The above estimate together with (2.19) yield

$$\mathcal{J}_\rho(f) \geq \frac{C_3 \lambda_* (1-\rho)^2}{2(1 + C_4(1-\rho)^{-3/2})} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 \geq \frac{C_3 \lambda_* (1-\rho)^{7/2}}{2(1 + C_4)} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.25)$$

By (2.10), we prove the lower bound in (1.46) with

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{C_3 \lambda_*}{2(1 + C_4)}. \quad (2.26)$$

And this completes the first part of the proof.  $\square$

In the following, we prove the two estimates used in the above proof in two lemmas. Firstly, we prove (2.20) in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.**

$$|\frac{1}{1-\rho\mu} f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s} \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-1} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}. \quad (2.27)$$

*Proof.* Let  $g = \frac{1}{1-\rho\mu}$ ,  $h = W_{\gamma/2}f$ . By (1.22), the norm  $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s$  has three parts

$$\left| \frac{1}{1-\rho\mu} f \right|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2 = |gh|_{L_s^2}^2 + |gh|_{H^s}^2 + |gh|_{A^s}^2. \quad (2.28)$$

Note that

$$|g|_{L^\infty} = (1-\rho)^{-1}. \quad (2.29)$$

For the first and third parts in (2.28), by (2.29) and (1.21), we have

$$|gh|_{L_s^2}^2 + |gh|_{A^s}^2 \leq (1-\rho)^{-2} |W_{\gamma/2}f|_{L_s^2}^2 + (1-\rho)^{-2} |W_{\gamma/2}f|_{A^s}^2.$$

For the fractional Sobolev norm  $H^s$ , we claim

$$|gh|_{H^s} \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-1} |h|_{H^s}, \quad (2.30)$$

so that we have (2.27).

Now it remains to prove (2.30). Note that

$$|gh|_{H^s}^2 \sim \int \frac{|g(x)h(x) - g(y)h(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + |gh|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore, it suffices to derive

$$\mathcal{I} := \int \frac{|g(x)h(x) - g(y)h(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-2} |h|_{H^s}^2.$$

Note that in the proof all the integrals are taken with respect to variables in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

If  $|x-y| \geq 1$ , by using (2.29), we have

$$\int 1_{|x-y| \geq 1} \frac{|g(x)h(x) - g(y)h(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \lesssim |gh|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-2} |h|_{L^2}^2.$$

When  $|x-y| \leq 1$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|g(x)h(x) - g(y)h(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\ & \lesssim \int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{g^2(x)|h(x) - h(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + \int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{h^2(y)|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the last inequality is bounded by

$$\int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{g^2(x)|h(x) - h(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-2} |h|_{H^s}^2.$$

Now it remains to prove

$$\mathcal{J} := \int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{h^2(y)|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-2} |h|_{H^s}^2.$$

Let us use the parameter  $\lambda > 0$  to replace  $0 < \rho < 1$  by  $e^{-\lambda^2} = \rho$ , then

$$g(x) = \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} - \lambda^2\right) \right)^{-1}.$$

Direct computation gives

$$g(x) - g(y) = \frac{\exp(-\lambda^2) \left( \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}) - \exp(-\frac{|y|^2}{2}) \right)}{\left( 1 - \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} - \lambda^2) \right) \left( 1 - \exp(-\frac{|y|^2}{2} - \lambda^2) \right)}. \quad (2.31)$$

If  $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$  (equivalent to  $\rho \leq e^{-1/4}$ ), then the denominator in (2.31) is bounded so that

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \lesssim \left| \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right) \right| \lesssim |x - y|$$

which gives

$$\mathcal{J} \lesssim \int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{h^2(y)}{|x-y|^{1+2s}} dx dy \lesssim |h|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-2} |h|_{L^2}^2.$$

Now it remains to estimate  $\mathcal{J}$  when  $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . For this, we divide  $\mathcal{J}$  into three parts,

$$\mathcal{J} \leq \int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1, |x| \geq 1/2} (\cdots) + \int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1, |y| \geq 1/2} (\cdots) + \int 1_{|x| \leq 1/2, |y| \leq 1/2} (\cdots) := \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3.$$

For  $\mathcal{J}_1$ , we have  $1 - \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} - \lambda^2) \geq 1 - \exp(-\frac{1}{8})$  and  $1 - \exp(-\frac{|y|^2}{2} - \lambda^2) \geq 1 - \exp(-\lambda^2) \sim \lambda^2$ . Thus,

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} \left| \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}) - \exp(-\frac{|y|^2}{2}) \right| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} |x - y|$$

which gives

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \lesssim \int 1_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{h^2(y)}{|x-y|^{1+2s}} dx dy \lesssim \lambda^{-4} |h|_{L^2}^2.$$

Similarly, we can show that  $\mathcal{J}_2$  is also bounded by  $\lambda^{-4} |h|_{L^2}^2$ .

We now turn to  $\mathcal{J}_3$  for which  $|x| \leq 1/2, |y| \leq 1/2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2} - \lambda^2) &\sim \frac{|x|^2}{2} + \lambda^2 \sim |x|^2 + \lambda^2, \\ \left| \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}) - \exp(-\frac{|y|^2}{2}) \right| &= \left| \exp(-\frac{|y|^2}{2}) \left( \exp(\frac{|y|^2}{2} - \frac{|x|^2}{2}) - 1 \right) \right| \sim ||y|^2 - |x|^2|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \sim \frac{||y|^2 - |x|^2|}{(|x|^2 + \lambda^2)(|y|^2 + \lambda^2)} := \phi(x, y) \lesssim \lambda^{-2}. \quad (2.32)$$

For simplicity of notations, set

$$K(x, y) = \phi^2(x, y) h^2(y) |x - y|^{-3-2s}.$$

We further divide  $\mathcal{J}_3$  into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_3 &\leq \int 1_{|x| \leq 1/2, |y| \leq 1/2, |x-y| \leq \lambda} K(x, y) dx dy + \int 1_{|x| \leq 1/2, |y| \leq 1/2, |x-y| \geq \lambda, |x| \geq |y|} K(x, y) dx dy \\ &\quad + \int 1_{|x| \leq 1/2, |y| \leq 1/2, |x-y| \geq \lambda, |x| \leq |y|} K(x, y) dx dy := \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{K}_3. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathcal{K}_1$ , since  $|x - y| \leq \lambda$ , we use

$$\phi(x, y) \lesssim \frac{|x - y|(|x| + |y|)}{(|x|^2 + \lambda^2)(|y|^2 + \lambda^2)}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_1 &\lesssim \int 1_{|x| \leq 1/2, |y| \leq 1/2, |x-y| \leq \lambda} \frac{(|x|^2 + |y|^2) h^2(y) |x - y|^{-1-2s}}{(|x|^2 + \lambda^2)^2 (|y|^2 + \lambda^2)^2} dx dy \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-6+2s} \int 1_{|y| \leq 1/2, |x-y| \leq \lambda} \frac{h^2(y) |x - y|^{-1-2s}}{|y|^{2s}} dx dy \lesssim \lambda^{-4} |h|_{H^s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used

$$\frac{|x|^2 + |y|^2}{(|x|^2 + \lambda^2)^2 (|y|^2 + \lambda^2)^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-6+2s} |y|^{-2s},$$

the estimate  $\int 1_{|u| \leq \lambda} |u|^{-1-2s} du \lesssim \lambda^{2-2s}$  and the Hardy's inequality  $\int 1_{|y| \leq 1/2} \frac{h^2(y)}{|y|^{2s}} dy \lesssim |h|_{H^s}^2$ .

For  $\mathcal{K}_2$ , since  $|y| \leq |x|$ , we use

$$\phi(x, y) \lesssim \frac{|x|^2}{(|x|^2 + \lambda^2)(|y|^2 + \lambda^2)} \lesssim \lambda^{-2+s} |y|^{-s}$$

to get

$$\mathcal{K}_2 \lesssim \lambda^{-4+2s} \int 1_{|y| \leq 1/2, |x-y| \geq \lambda} \frac{h^2(y) |x-y|^{-3-2s}}{|y|^{2s}} dx dy \lesssim \lambda^{-4} |h|_{H^s}^2,$$

where we have used  $\int 1_{|u| \geq \lambda} |u|^{-3-2s} du \lesssim \lambda^{-2s}$ .

For  $\mathcal{K}_3$ , we first divide it into two terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_3 &\lesssim \int 1_{|x| \leq 1/2, |y| \leq 1/2, |x-y| \geq \lambda, |x| \leq |y|} \phi^2(x, y) h^2(x) |x-y|^{-3-2s} dx dy \\ &+ \int 1_{|x| \leq 1/2, |y| \leq 1/2, |x-y| \geq \lambda, |x| \leq |y|} \phi^2(x, y) |h(x) - h(y)|^2 |x-y|^{-3-2s} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term is exactly  $\mathcal{K}_2$  that is bounded by  $\lambda^{-4} |h|_{H^s}^2$ . By (2.32), the second term is also bounded by  $\lambda^{-4} |h|_{H^s}^2$ . In summary, we have

$$\mathcal{J} \lesssim \lambda^{-4} |h|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim (1-\rho)^{-2} |h|_{H^s}^2,$$

where we have used  $1-\rho = 1 - e^{-\lambda^2} \sim \lambda^2$  for  $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . And this completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We now prove the last inequality in (2.22) in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\frac{1}{4} \leq a \leq 1$ , then it holds that*

$$|\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a} N_\rho|_{L^2} \sim (1-\rho)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |\nabla_v (\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a} N_\rho)|_{L^2} \sim (1-\rho)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

*Proof.* By (2.1),

$$|\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a} N_\rho|_{L^2}^2 = \int \frac{\mu^{2a}}{(1-\rho\mu)^2} dv. \quad (2.33)$$

Again, denote  $\rho = e^{-\lambda^2}$ . Then

$$1 - \rho\mu = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{|v|^2}{2} - \lambda^2\right).$$

If  $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$  (equivalent to  $\rho \leq e^{-1/4}$ ), then  $(1-\rho\mu)^{-1} \sim 1$  so that

$$|\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a} N_\rho|_{L^2}^2 \sim 1 \sim (1-\rho)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ , noting that  $1-\rho = 1 - e^{-\lambda^2} \sim \lambda^2$ , we divide the integral into two parts,

$$|\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a} N_\rho|_{L^2}^2 = \int 1_{|v| \geq 1} \frac{\mu^{2a}}{(1-\rho\mu)^2} dv + \int 1_{|v| \leq 1} \frac{\mu^{2a}}{(1-\rho\mu)^2} dv. \quad (2.34)$$

For the first part,  $(1-\rho\mu)^{-1} \sim 1$  so that

$$\int 1_{|v| \geq 1} \frac{\mu^{2a}}{(1-\rho\mu)^2} dv \sim 1.$$

For the second part, since  $\frac{|v|^2}{2} + \lambda^2 \leq 1$ , then  $1-\rho\mu = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{|v|^2}{2} - \lambda^2\right) \sim |v|^2 + \lambda^2$  and

$$\int 1_{|v| \leq 1} \frac{\mu^{2a}}{(1-\rho\mu)^2} dv \sim \int 1_{|v| \leq 1} \frac{1}{(|v|^2 + \lambda^2)^2} dv = \lambda^{-1} \int 1_{|v| \leq \lambda^{-1}} \frac{1}{(|v|^2 + 1)^2} dv \sim \lambda^{-1}.$$

Hence, we have

$$|\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a} N_\rho|_{L^2}^2 \sim \lambda^{-1} \sim (1-\rho)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since  $\nabla_v \mu = -v\mu$ , direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_v (\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a} N_\rho) &= (1-\rho\mu)^{-1} \nabla_v \mu^a + \mu^a \nabla_v (1-\rho\mu)^{-1} \\ &= (1-\rho\mu)^{-1} (-a\mu^a v) - \mu^a (1-\rho\mu)^{-2} v \rho \mu = -(1-\rho\mu)^{-2} (a + (1-a)\rho\mu) \mu^a v. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|\nabla_v(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a}N_\rho)|_{L^2}^2 = \int (1-\rho\mu)^{-4}(a+(1-a)\rho\mu)^2\mu^{2a}|v|^2dv \sim \int (1-\rho\mu)^{-4}\mu^{2a}|v|^2dv.$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$|\nabla_v(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a}N_\rho)|_{L^2}^2 \sim (1-\rho)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

In fact, if  $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$  (equivalent to  $\rho \leq e^{-1/4}$ ), then  $(1-\rho\mu)^{-1} \sim 1$  and

$$|\nabla_v(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a}N_\rho)|_{L^2}^2 \sim \int \mu^{2a}|v|^2dv \sim 1 \sim (1-\rho)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ , note that  $1-\rho = 1 - e^{-\lambda^2} \sim \lambda^2$ . We divide the integral into two parts,

$$|\nabla_v(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a}N_\rho)|_{L^2}^2 \sim \int_{|v| \geq 1} (1-\rho\mu)^{-4}\mu^{2a}|v|^2dv + \int_{|v| \leq 1} (1-\rho\mu)^{-4}\mu^{2a}|v|^2dv. \quad (2.35)$$

For the first part, since  $(1-\rho\mu)^{-1} \sim 1$ , we have

$$\int_{|v| \geq 1} (1-\rho\mu)^{-4}\mu^{2a}|v|^2dv \sim 1.$$

For the second part, since  $\frac{|v|^2}{2} + \lambda^2 \leq 1$ , then  $1 - \exp(-\frac{|v|^2}{2} - \lambda^2) \sim |v|^2 + \lambda^2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|v| \leq 1} (1-\rho\mu)^{-4}\mu^{2a}|v|^2dv &\sim \int_{|v| \leq 1} \frac{1}{(|v|^2 + \lambda^2)^4} |v|^2dv \\ &= \lambda^{-3} \int_{|v| \leq \lambda^{-1}} \frac{1}{(|v|^2 + 1)^2} |v|^2dv \sim \lambda^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\nabla_v(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}+a}N_\rho)|_{L^2}^2 \sim \lambda^{-3} \sim (1-\rho)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

And this completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**2.2. Lower bound for soft potential.** For soft potential with  $\gamma + 2s < 0$ , the first inequality in (2.24) does not hold. Motivated by [3, 20] about exchanging the kinetic component in the cross-section with a weight of velocity, we will apply an induction argument based on the estimate for the hard potential obtained in the previous subsection and the gain of moment of order  $s$ . As the first step, we reduce the case when  $-3s \leq \gamma < -2s$  to  $\gamma = -2s$ , and then by induction to the whole range  $-3 < \gamma < -2s$ . For this, we first introduce a weight function

$$U_\delta(v) := W(\delta v) = (1 + \delta^2|v|^2)^{1/2} \geq \max\{\delta|v|, 1\}. \quad (2.36)$$

Here  $\delta$  is a sufficiently small parameter to be chosen later. We now give two lemmas on some integrals involving  $U_\delta$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta < 0 < s, \delta < 1$  with  $\alpha + 2s > -3$ . Set*

$$X(\beta, \delta) := \delta^{-\beta} \left( (U_\delta^{\beta/2})' (U_\delta^{\beta/2})'_* - U_\delta^{\beta/2} (U_\delta^{\beta/2})_* \right)^2, \quad b_s(\theta) := \sin^{-2-2s} \frac{\theta}{2} 1_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2}.$$

Then for  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$\int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha X(\beta, \delta) \mu_* d\sigma dv_* \lesssim \max\left\{ \frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{1-s} \right\} \frac{|\beta|^{2s} \delta^{2s}}{\alpha + 2s + 3} \langle v \rangle^{\alpha + \beta + 2s}. \quad (2.37)$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$X(\beta, \delta) \lesssim \delta^{-\beta} (U_\delta^{\beta/2})'_* \left( (U_\delta^{\beta/2})' - (U_\delta^{\beta/2}) \right)^2 + \delta^{-\beta} U_\delta^\beta \left( (U_\delta^{\beta/2})'_* - (U_\delta^{\beta/2})_* \right)^2 := A_1 + A_2.$$

We only estimate  $A_1$  because  $A_2$  can be estimated similarly.

For  $a \leq 0$ , one has

$$|\nabla U_\delta^a| \lesssim |a| \delta U_\delta^a \quad (2.38)$$

which gives

$$((U_\delta^a)' - (U_\delta^a))^2 = \left| \int_0^1 (\nabla U_\delta^a)(v(\kappa)) \cdot (v' - v) d\kappa \right|^2 \lesssim a^2 \delta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |v - v_*|^2 \int_0^1 U_\delta^{2a}(v(\kappa)) d\kappa,$$

where  $v(\kappa) = (1 - \kappa)v + \kappa v'$ . Thanks to  $|v'_*|^2 + |v(\kappa)|^2 \sim |v|^2 + |v_*|^2$ , we have

$$\delta^{-2a} (U_\delta^{2a})'_* U_\delta^{2a}(v(\kappa)) \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{2a} \quad (2.39)$$

which implies

$$\delta^{-2a} (U_\delta^{2a})'_* ((U_\delta^a)' - (U_\delta^a))^2 \lesssim a^2 \delta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |v - v_*|^2 \langle v \rangle^{2a}. \quad (2.40)$$

Divide the integral  $\int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha A_1 \mu_* d\sigma dv_*$  into two parts:  $\mathcal{I}_{\leq}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq}$  corresponding to  $|\beta|\delta|v - v_*| \leq 1$  and  $|\beta|\delta|v - v_*| \geq 1$ . When  $|\beta|\delta|v - v_*| \leq 1$ , using (2.40) for  $a = \beta/2$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\leq} \lesssim \beta^2 \delta^2 \langle v \rangle^\beta \int 1_{|v - v_*| \leq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1}} b_s(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |v - v_*|^{2+\alpha} \mu_* d\sigma dv_* \lesssim \frac{1}{1-s} \frac{|\beta|^{2s} \delta^{2s}}{\alpha + 2s + 3} \langle v \rangle^{\alpha + \beta + 2s}.$$

When  $|\beta|\delta|v - v_*| \geq 1$ . We further divide the integral  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq}$  into two parts:  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq, \leq}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq, \geq}$  corresponding to  $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}$  and  $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}$ . Using (2.40) for  $a = \beta/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\geq, \leq} &\lesssim \beta^2 \delta^2 \langle v \rangle^\beta \int 1_{|v - v_*| \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1}} 1_{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}} b_s(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |v - v_*|^{2+\alpha} \mu_* d\sigma dv_* \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{1-s} \frac{|\beta|^{2s} \delta^{2s}}{\alpha + 2s + 3} \langle v \rangle^{\alpha + \beta + 2s}. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining part with  $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}$ , it holds from (2.39) that  $A_1 \lesssim \langle v \rangle^\beta$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\geq, \geq} &\lesssim \langle v \rangle^\beta \int 1_{|v - v_*| \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1}} 1_{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}} b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha \mu_* d\sigma dv_* \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\beta|^{2s} \delta^{2s}}{\alpha + 2s + 3} \langle v \rangle^{\alpha + \beta + 2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta < 0 < s, \delta < 1$  with  $\alpha + 2s > -3$ . Set  $\varphi_{\beta, \delta} := (1 - U_\delta^{\beta/2}) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then*

$$\int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha (\varphi'_{\beta, \delta} - \varphi_{\beta, \delta})^2 d\sigma dv \lesssim \max\left\{ \frac{\beta^2 \delta^2}{s}, \frac{1}{1-s} \right\} \frac{|\beta|^{2s} \delta^{2s}}{\alpha + 2s + 3} \langle v_* \rangle^{\alpha + 2s}.$$

*Proof.* By (2.38), we get

$$|\varphi_{\beta, \delta}| \lesssim |\beta| \delta \mu^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |\nabla \varphi_{\beta, \delta}| \lesssim |\beta| \delta \mu^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (2.41)$$

Again divide the integral into two parts:  $\mathcal{I}_{\leq}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq}$  corresponding to  $|\beta|\delta|v - v_*| \leq 1$  and  $|\beta|\delta|v - v_*| \geq 1$ . When  $|\beta|\delta|v - v_*| \leq 1$ , by using the second estimate in (2.41) and the change of variable  $v \rightarrow v(\kappa)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\leq} &\lesssim \beta^2 \delta^2 \int 1_{|v - v_*| \leq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1}} b_s(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |v - v_*|^{2+\alpha} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v(\kappa)) d\sigma dv d\kappa \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{1-s} \frac{|\beta|^{2s} \delta^{2s}}{\alpha + 2s + 3} \langle v_* \rangle^{\alpha + 2s}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $|\beta|\delta|v - v_*| \geq 1$ , we also divide the integral  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq}$  into two parts:  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq, \leq}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq, \geq}$  corresponding to  $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}$  and  $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}$ . For  $\mathcal{I}_{\geq, \leq}$ , by using the second estimate in (2.41) and the change of variable  $v \rightarrow v(\kappa)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\geq, \leq} &\lesssim \beta^2 \delta^2 \int 1_{|v - v_*| \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1}} 1_{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \leq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}} b_s(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |v - v_*|^{2+\alpha} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v(\kappa)) d\sigma dv d\kappa \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{1-s} \frac{|\beta|^{2s} \delta^{2s}}{\alpha + 2s + 3} \langle v_* \rangle^{\alpha + 2s}. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining part with  $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v - v_*|^{-1}$ , by using the first estimate in (2.41) and the change of variable  $v \rightarrow v'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\geq, \geq} &\lesssim \beta^2 \delta^2 \int \mathbf{1}_{|v-v_*| \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1}} \mathbf{1}_{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \geq |\beta|^{-1} \delta^{-1} |v-v_*|^{-1}} b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha (\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\mu^{\frac{1}{2}})') d\sigma dv \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{s} \frac{|\beta|^{2s+2} \delta^{2s+2}}{\alpha + 2s + 3} \langle v_* \rangle^{\alpha+2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We now give explicitly the definition of the projection operator  $\mathbb{P}_\rho$ . Recalling (2.3), we construct an orthogonal basis for  $\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho$  as follows

$$\{d_i^\rho\}_{1 \leq i \leq 5} := \{N_\rho, N_\rho v_1, N_\rho v_2, N_\rho v_3, N_\rho |v|^2 - \langle N_\rho |v|^2, N_\rho \rangle |N_\rho|_{L^2}^{-2} N_\rho\}. \quad (2.42)$$

Note that  $\langle N_\rho |v|^2, N_\rho \rangle |N_\rho|_{L^2}^{-2} N_\rho$  is the projection of  $N_\rho |v|^2$  on  $N_\rho$ . By normalizing  $\{d_i^\rho\}_{1 \leq i \leq 5}$ , an orthonormal basis of  $\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho$  can be obtained as

$$\{e_i^\rho\}_{1 \leq i \leq 5} := \left\{ \frac{d_i^\rho}{|d_i^\rho|_{L^2}} \right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 5}. \quad (2.43)$$

With this orthonormal basis, the projection operator  $\mathbb{P}_\rho$  on the null space  $\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{P}_\rho f := \sum_{i=1}^5 \langle f, e_i^\rho \rangle e_i^\rho. \quad (2.44)$$

We are now ready to prove the coercivity estimate of  $\mathcal{L}^\rho$  when  $-3 < \gamma < -2s$  by induction.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1, continued:* In this part, we will show the lower bound in (1.46) in the case of  $\gamma < -2s$ . Again, it suffices to consider  $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho)^\perp$  since  $\langle \mathcal{L}^\rho f, f \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}^\rho (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f, (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho) f \rangle$ . Note that for the case of  $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$ , we already have the coercivity estimate.

For simplicity of notations, we set

$$\mathbb{A}(f, g) := (f_* g + f g_* - f'_* g' - f' g'_*), \quad \mathbb{F}(f, g) := \mathbb{A}^2(f, g).$$

Then  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho, \gamma, s}(f) = \frac{1}{4} \int B^{\gamma, s} \mathbb{F}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, g) dV$  where  $g = (1 - \rho\mu)f$ .

We divide the proof into four steps.

*Step 1: Localization of  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho, \gamma, s}(f)$ .* By (2.36) and if  $a \leq 0$ , we get

$$|v - v_*|^{-a} \leq C_a \delta^a ((\delta|v|)^{-a} + (\delta|v_*|)^{-a}) \leq 2C_a \delta^a U_\delta^{-a}(v) U_\delta^{-a}(v_*)$$

which gives

$$|v - v_*|^a \gtrsim \delta^{-a} U_\delta^a(v) U_\delta^a(v_*).$$

With  $a = \gamma + 2s$ , we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho, \gamma, s}(f) \gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^{-2s} U_\delta^{\gamma+2s}(U_\delta^{\gamma+2s})_* \mathbb{F}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, g) dV.$$

By setting  $h = U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}$ ,  $\phi = \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and commuting the weight function  $U_\delta^{\gamma+2s}(U_\delta^{\gamma+2s})_*$  with  $\mathbb{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &U_\delta^{\gamma+2s}(U_\delta^{\gamma+2s})_* \mathbb{F}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, g) \\ &= h_*^2 h^2 \mathbb{F}(\phi, g) = (hh_* (\phi_* g + \phi g_*) - hh_* (\phi'_* g' + \phi' g'_*))^2 \\ &= (hh_* (\phi_* g + \phi g_*) - h' h'_* (\phi'_* g' + \phi' g'_*)) + (h' h'_* - hh_*) (\phi'_* g' + \phi' g'_*))^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (hh_* (\phi_* g + \phi g_*) - h' h'_* (\phi'_* g' + \phi' g'_*))^2 - (h' h'_* - hh_*)^2 (\phi'_* g' + \phi' g'_*)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{F}(h\phi, hg) - (h' h'_* - hh_*)^2 (\phi'_* g' + \phi' g'_*)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$



Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) &\gtrsim \frac{1}{2}\delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta)|v-v_*|^{-2s} \mathbb{F}(U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) dV \\ &\quad -\delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta)|v-v_*|^{-2s} (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 (\phi'_*g' + \phi'g'_*)^2 dV. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

We further rewrite  $\mathbb{F}(U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g)$  as  $\mathbb{F}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g)$  plus some correction terms. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}(U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) &= \mathbb{A}^2(U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) \\ &= \left( \mathbb{A}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) - \mathbb{A}((1-U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A}^2(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) - \mathbb{A}^2((1-U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{F}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) - \mathbb{F}((1-U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

By symmetry and noting  $\phi = \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int b_s(\theta)|v-v_*|^{-2s} (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 (\phi'_*g' + \phi'g'_*)^2 dV \\ &\leq 4 \int b_s(\theta)|v-v_*|^{-2s} (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 \mu_* g^2 dV. \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

By (2.46), (2.47) and (2.48), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) &\gtrsim \frac{1}{4}\delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta)|v-v_*|^{-2s} \mathbb{F}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) dV \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta)|v-v_*|^{-2s} \mathbb{F}((1-U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) dV \\ &\quad -4\delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta)|v-v_*|^{-2s} (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 \mu_* g^2 dV := \frac{1}{4}J_1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2 - 4J_3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

*Step 2: Estimates of  $J_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ).* We will give the estimates term by term.

Lower bound of  $J_1$ . We claim that when  $\delta$  is suitably small,

$$J_1 \gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma-2s} (1-\rho)^{\frac{7}{2}} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2+s}}^2. \quad (2.50)$$

By (2.25) and (2.26), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,-2s,s}(F) \geq \lambda_0 (1-\rho)^{\frac{7}{2}} |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho)F|_{L^2_{-s}}^2 \geq \lambda_0 (1-\rho)^{\frac{7}{2}} |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho)F|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.51)$$

Applying (2.51) with  $F = U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}f$  and using  $(a-b)^2 \geq a^2/2 - b^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta)|v-v_*|^{-2s} \mathbb{F}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}g) dV = 4\delta^{-\gamma-2s} \mathcal{J}_{\rho,-2s,s}(U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}f) \\ &\gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma-2s} (1-\rho)^{\frac{7}{2}} |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho)(U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}f)|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

To get (2.50), it suffices to show that for  $a \leq 0$ , the estimate

$$|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho)(U_\delta^a f)|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim |f|_{L^2_a}^2 \quad (2.52)$$

holds if  $\delta$  is small enough. First, using  $(a-b)^2 \geq a^2/2 - b^2$ , we get

$$|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho)(U_\delta^a f)|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|U_\delta^a f|_{L^2}^2 - |\mathbb{P}_\rho(U_\delta^a f)|_{L^2}^2$$

Since  $\delta \leq 1$  and  $a \leq 0$ ,  $U_\delta^a \geq W_a$ . Hence,

$$|U_\delta^a f|_{L^2}^2 \geq |f|_{L^2_a}^2. \quad (2.53)$$

We now estimate  $|\mathbb{P}_\rho(U_\delta^a f)|_{L^2}$  for  $f \in (\ker \mathcal{L}^\rho)^\perp$ . Recalling (2.44) for the definition of  $\mathbb{P}_\rho$  and by the condition  $\mathbb{P}_\rho f = 0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_\rho(U_\delta^a f) = \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i^\rho \int e_i^\rho U_\delta^a f dv = \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i^\rho \int e_i^\rho (U_\delta^a - 1) f dv.$$

By (2.38), for  $a \leq 0$ ,

$$0 \leq 1 - U_\delta^a(v) = U_\delta^a(0) - U_\delta^a(v) \lesssim |a|\delta|v|. \quad (2.54)$$

Thus

$$\left| \int e_i^\rho (U_\delta^a - 1) f dv \right| \lesssim |a|\delta |\mu^{\frac{1}{8}} f|_{L^2},$$

where  $|v|$  cancels the singularity of  $e_i^\rho$  at  $v = 0$ . Therefore,

$$|\mathbb{P}_\rho(U_\delta^a f)|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim a^2 \delta^2 |\mu^{\frac{1}{8}} f|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.55)$$

By combining the estimates (2.53) and (2.55) and choosing  $\delta$  suitably small, we obtain (2.52).

Upper bound of  $J_2$ . For simplicity of notations, set  $\varphi_{\gamma,\delta} = (1 - U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\psi_{\gamma,\delta} = U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}(1 - \rho\mu)f$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^{-2s} \mathbb{F}((1 - U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}(1 - \rho\mu)f) dV \\ &= \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^{-2s} \mathbb{F}(\varphi_{\gamma,\delta}, \psi_{\gamma,\delta}) dV \\ &\lesssim \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^{-2s} (\varphi_{\gamma,\delta}^2)_* (\psi'_{\gamma,\delta} - \psi_{\gamma,\delta})^2 dV \\ &\quad + \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^{-2s} (\psi_{\gamma,\delta}^2)_* (\varphi'_{\gamma,\delta} - \varphi_{\gamma,\delta})^2 dV := J_{2,1} + J_{2,2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

By (2.54), we have

$$(\varphi_{\gamma,\delta}^2)_* = ((1 - U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}})_*^2 \lesssim \delta^2 \mu_*^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.57)$$

Plugging (2.57) into  $J_{2,1}$  gives

$$J_{2,1} \lesssim \delta^2 \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^{-2s} \mu_*^{\frac{1}{2}} (\psi'_{\gamma,\delta} - \psi_{\gamma,\delta})^2 dV \quad (2.58)$$

$$= \delta^2 \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \mathcal{N}^{-2s,s}(\mu^{1/4}, \psi_{\gamma,\delta}) \lesssim \delta^2 \delta^{-\gamma-2s} |U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s}(1 - \rho\mu)f|_{\mathcal{L}_{-s}^s}^2 \lesssim \delta^2 |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2, \quad (2.59)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}^{\gamma,s}(g, h) := \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma g_*^2 (h' - h)^2 dV.$$

In fact, the first inequality in (2.59) follows from the estimate (see [21])

$$\mathcal{N}^{\gamma,s}(\mu^{1/4}, h) \lesssim |h|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2,$$

and the second inequality follows from  $|\mu|_{H^2} \lesssim 1$  and  $\delta^{-\gamma/2-s} U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s} \in S_{1,0}^{\gamma/2+s}$  that is a radial symbol of order  $\gamma/2 + s$ .

By Lemma 2.4, we then have

$$J_{2,2} \lesssim \delta^{2s} \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int (U_\delta^{\gamma/2+s} f)_*^2 dv_* \lesssim \delta^{2s} |W_{\gamma/2+s} f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \delta^{2s} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.60)$$

Plugging the estimates (2.58) and (2.60) into (2.56), we get

$$J_2 \lesssim \delta^{2s} |f|_{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.61)$$

Upper bound of  $J_3$ . Lemma 2.3 gives

$$J_3 = \delta^{-\gamma-2s} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^{-2s} (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 \mu_*(1 - \rho\mu)^2 f^2 dV \lesssim \delta^{2s} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2+s}}^2. \quad (2.62)$$

*Step 3: The case when  $-3s \leq \gamma < -2s$ .* By plugging the estimates of  $J_1$  in (2.50),  $J_2$  in (2.61),  $J_3$  in (2.62) into (2.49), for  $0 < \delta < 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \gtrsim \delta^{-\gamma-2s} (1 - \rho)^{\frac{7}{2}} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2+s}}^2 - C\delta^{2s} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2.$$

Precisely, for some generic constants  $0 < C_1 \leq 1 \leq C_2$ , we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \geq C_1 (1 - \rho)^a \delta^s |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2+s}}^2 - C_2 \delta^{2s} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2. \quad (2.63)$$

In this step,  $a = \frac{7}{2}$ . By (2.19) and (2.23), for some generic constants  $0 < C_3 \leq 1 \leq C_4$ , we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \geq C_3 (1 - \rho)^2 |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 - C_4 (1 - \rho)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2+s}}^2. \quad (2.64)$$

Then the combination (2.64)  $\times C_5 \delta^{2s} (1 - \rho)^{-2}$  + (2.63) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + C_5 \delta^{2s} (1 - \rho)^{-2}) \mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) &\geq (C_1 - C_4 C_5 (1 - \rho)^{-\frac{3}{2}-a} \delta^s) (1 - \rho)^a \delta^s |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2+s}}^2 \\ &\quad + (C_3 C_5 - C_2) \delta^{2s} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.65)$$

We can then take  $C_5$  large enough such that  $C_3 C_5 - C_2 \geq C_2$ , for example  $C_5 = 2C_2/C_3$ . And then we choose  $\delta$  small enough such that  $C_1 - C_4 C_5 (1 - \rho)^{-\frac{3}{2}-a} \delta^s \geq 0$ , for example  $\delta^s = \frac{C_1 (1 - \rho)^{\frac{3}{2}+a}}{C_4 C_5} = \frac{C_1 C_3 (1 - \rho)^{\frac{3}{2}+a}}{2C_4 C_2}$ . Thus, we get

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \geq C_2 \delta^{2s} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2 = C_2 \left( \frac{C_1 C_3}{2C_4 C_2} \right)^2 (1 - \rho)^{3+2a} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2. \quad (2.66)$$

Since  $a = \frac{7}{2}$  in this step, we get  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \gtrsim (1 - \rho)^{10} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2}}^2$  for  $-3s \leq \gamma < -2s$ .

*Step 4: The case when  $-4s \leq \gamma < -3s$ .* In this case, we take  $\alpha = -3s, \beta = \gamma + 3s$ . Then  $-s < \beta < 0, \alpha + \beta = \gamma$ . Similar to (2.49), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) &\gtrsim \frac{1}{4} \delta^{-\beta} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha \mathbb{F}(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\beta/2} g) dV \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{-\beta} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha \mathbb{F}((1 - U_\delta^{\beta/2}) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\beta/2} g) dV \\ &\quad - 4\delta^{-\beta} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 \mu_* g^2 dV := \frac{1}{4} J_1^{\alpha,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} J_2^{\alpha,\beta} - 4J_3^{\alpha,\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.67)$$

where  $h := U_\delta^{\beta/2}$ .

Lower bound of  $J_1^{\alpha,\beta}$ . Since  $\alpha = -3s$ , we can use the estimate (2.66) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta^{-\beta} \mathcal{J}_{\rho,-3s,s}(U_\delta^{\beta/2} f) &\gtrsim \delta^{-\beta} (1 - \rho)^{10} |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho)(U_\delta^{\beta/2} f)|_{L^2_{-3s/2}}^2 \\ &\geq \delta^{-\beta} (1 - \rho)^{10} |(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_\rho)(U_\delta^{\beta/2} f)|_{L^2_{-s/2}}^2 \\ &\gtrsim \delta^{-\beta} (1 - \rho)^{10} |f|_{L^2_{\gamma/2+s}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.68)$$

where we have used (2.52) in the last inequality.

Upper bound of  $J_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ . We now estimate

$$J_2^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta^{-\beta} \int b_s(\theta) |v - v_*|^\alpha \mathbb{F}((1 - U_\delta^{\beta/2}) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_\delta^{\beta/2} (1 - \rho\mu) f) dV.$$

For simplicity of notations, set  $\varphi_{\beta,\delta} = (1 - U_\delta^{\beta/2})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\psi_{\beta,\delta} = U_\delta^{\beta/2}(1 - \rho\mu)f$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_2^{\alpha,\beta} &\lesssim \delta^{-\beta} \int b_s(\theta)|v - v_*|^\alpha (\varphi_{\beta,\delta}^2)_* (\psi'_{\beta,\delta} - \psi_{\beta,\delta})^2 dV \\ &\quad + \delta^{-\beta} \int b_s(\theta)|v - v_*|^\alpha (\psi_{\beta,\delta}^2)_* (\varphi'_{\beta,\delta} - \varphi_{\beta,\delta})^2 dV \\ &:= J_{2,1}^{\alpha,\beta} + J_{2,2}^{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.69)$$

Similar to (2.57), we get  $\varphi_{\beta,\delta}^2 = ((1 - U_\delta^{\beta/2})\mu^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \lesssim \delta^2 \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . This together with  $\delta^{-\beta} U_\delta^{\beta/2} \leq W_{\beta/2}$  give

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2,1}^{\alpha,\beta} &\lesssim \delta^2 \delta^{-\beta} \int b_s(\theta)|v - v_*|^\alpha \mu_*^{\frac{1}{2}} (\psi'_{\beta,\delta} - \psi_{\beta,\delta})^2 dV \\ &= \delta^2 \delta^{-\beta} \mathcal{N}^{-3s,s}(\mu^{1/4}, \psi_{\beta,\delta}) \lesssim \delta^2 \delta^{-\beta} |U_\delta^{\beta/2} f|_{L_{-3s/2}^s}^2 \lesssim \delta^2 |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^s}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.70)$$

which is similar to (2.59).

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$J_{2,2}^{\alpha,\beta} \lesssim \delta^{2s} \delta^{-\beta} \int (U_\delta^{\beta/2} f)_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^{\alpha+2s} dv_* \lesssim \delta^{2s} |W_{\gamma/2+s} f|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \delta^{2s} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.71)$$

Plugging (2.70) and (2.71) into (2.69) gives

$$J_2^{\alpha,\beta} \lesssim \delta^{2s} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.72)$$

Upper bound of  $J_3^{\alpha,\beta}$ . By Lemma 2.3, we get

$$J_3^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta^{-\beta} \int b_s(\theta)|v - v_*|^\alpha (h'h'_* - hh_*)^2 \mu_*(1 - \rho\mu)^2 f^2 dV \lesssim \delta^{2s} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2+s}^2}^2. \quad (2.73)$$

Plugging the estimates of  $J_1^{\alpha,\beta}$  in (2.68),  $J_2^{\alpha,\beta}$  in (2.72),  $J_3^{\alpha,\beta}$  in (2.73) into (2.67), we get

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \gtrsim \delta^{-\beta} (1 - \rho)^{10} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2+s}^2}^2 - C \delta^{2s} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^s}^2.$$

Precisely, for some generic constants  $C_6$  and  $C_7$ , we get

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \geq C_6 (1 - \rho)^{10} \delta^s |f|_{L_{\gamma/2+s}^2}^2 - C_7 \delta^{2s} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.74)$$

Together with coercivity estimate (2.64), by taking  $a = 10$  in (2.66), for  $-4s \leq \gamma < -3s$  it holds that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \geq C_7 \left( \frac{C_6 C_3}{2C_4 C_7} \right)^2 (1 - \rho)^{23} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.75)$$

In general, we need  $N_{\gamma,s} + 1$  steps to reach  $\gamma$  for  $-3 < \gamma < -2s$ . By (2.66), we define a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  satisfying  $a_0 = \frac{7}{2}$ ,  $a_n = 3 + 2a_{n-1}$ . This implies that  $a_n = 13 \times 2^{n-1} - 3$ . Then for  $-3 < \gamma < -2s$ , by induction we will arrive at

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\gamma,s}(f) \gtrsim (1 - \rho)^{13 \times 2^{N_{\gamma,s}} - 3} |f|_{L_{\gamma/2}^s}^2. \quad (2.76)$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The research was partially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China project no. 2021YFA1002100. The research of Tong Yang was supported by a fellowship award from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project no. SRF2021-1S01). The research of Yu-Long Zhou was supported by the NSFC project no. 12001552, the Science and Technology Project in Guangzhou no. 202201011144.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. ALEXANDRE, F. HÉRAU, AND W.-X. LI, *Global hypoelliptic and symbolic estimates for the linearized Boltzmann operator without angular cutoff*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 126 (2019), pp. 1–71.
- [2] R. ALEXANDRE, Y. MORIMOTO, S. UKAI, C.-J. XU, AND T. YANG, *The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: II, Global existence for hard potential*, Analysis and Applications, 9 (2011), pp. 113–134.
- [3] ———, *The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: I, Global existence for soft potential*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 262 (2012), pp. 915–1010.
- [4] G.-C. BAE, J. W. JANG, AND S.-B. YUN, *The relativistic quantum Boltzmann equation near equilibrium*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 240 (2021), pp. 1593–1644.
- [5] C. BARANGER AND C. MOUHOT, *Explicit spectral gap estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators with hard potentials*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 21 (2005), pp. 819–841.
- [6] D. BENEDETTO, F. CASTELLA, R. ESPOSITO, AND M. PULVIRENTI, *Some considerations on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equation*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 116 (2004), pp. 381–410.
- [7] ———, *Some considerations on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equation II: the low density regime*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 124 (2006), pp. 951–996.
- [8] ———, *A short review on the derivation of the nonlinear quantum Boltzmann equations*, Communications in Mathematical Sciences, 5 (2007), pp. 55–71.
- [9] ———, *From the N-body Schrödinger equation to the quantum Boltzmann equation: a term-by-term convergence result in the weak coupling regime*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 277 (2008), pp. 1–44.
- [10] D. BENEDETTO, M. PULVIRENTI, F. CASTELLA, AND R. ESPOSITO, *On the weak-coupling limit for bosons and fermions*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, 15 (2005), pp. 1811–1843.
- [11] M. BRIANT AND A. EINAV, *On the Cauchy problem for the homogeneous Boltzmann–Nordheim equation for bosons: local existence, uniqueness and creation of moments*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 163 (2016), pp. 1108–1156.
- [12] S. CHAPMAN AND T. G. COWLING, *The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases: an account of the kinetic theory of viscosity, thermal conduction and diffusion in gases*, Cambridge university press, 1990.
- [13] T. CHEN AND M. HOTT, *On the emergence of quantum Boltzmann fluctuation dynamics near a Bose-Einstein Condensate*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.03825, (2021).
- [14] R. DUAN, L.-B. HE, T. YANG, AND Y.-L. ZHOU, *Solutions to the non-cutoff boltzmann equation in the grazing limit*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, (2022).
- [15] L. ERDŐS, M. SALMHOFER, AND H.-T. YAU, *On the quantum Boltzmann equation*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 116 (2004), pp. 367–380.
- [16] M. ESCOBEDO, S. MISCHLER, AND J. J. L. VELÁZQUEZ, *On the fundamental solution of a linearized Uehling–Uhlenbeck equation*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 186 (2007), pp. 309–349.
- [17] ———, *Singular solutions for the Uehling–Uhlenbeck equation*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics, 138 (2008), pp. 67–107.
- [18] P. GRESSMAN AND R. M. STRAIN, *Global classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 24 (2011), pp. 771–847.
- [19] Y. GUO, *Classical solutions to the Boltzmann equation for molecules with an angular cutoff*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 169 (2003), pp. 305–353.
- [20] L.-B. HE AND Y.-L. ZHOU, *Boltzmann equation with cutoff Rutherford scattering cross section near Maxwellian*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 242 (2021), pp. 1631–1748.
- [21] ———, *Asymptotic analysis of the linearized Boltzmann collision operator from angular cutoff to non-cutoff*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, 39 (2022), pp. 1097–1178.
- [22] N. LERNER, Y. MORIMOTO, K. PRAVDA-STAROV, AND C.-J. XU, *Phase space analysis and functional calculus for the linearized Landau and Boltzmann operators*, Kinetic & Related Models, 6 (2013), pp. 625–648.
- [23] W. LI AND X. LU, *Global existence of solutions of the Boltzmann equation for Bose–Einstein particles with anisotropic initial data*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 276 (2019), pp. 231–283.
- [24] X. LU, *A modified Boltzmann equation for Bose–Einstein particles: isotropic solutions and long-time behavior*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 98 (2000), pp. 1335–1394.
- [25] ———, *On isotropic distributional solutions to the Boltzmann equation for Bose-Einstein particles*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 116 (2004), pp. 1597–1649.
- [26] ———, *The Boltzmann equation for Bose–Einstein particles: velocity concentration and convergence to equilibrium*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 119 (2005), pp. 1027–1067.
- [27] J. LUKKARINEN AND H. SPOHN, *Not to normal order—notes on the kinetic limit for weakly interacting quantum fluids*, Journal of Statistical Physics, 134 (2009), pp. 1133–1172.
- [28] C. MOUHOT, *Explicit coercivity estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 31 (2006), pp. 1321–1348.
- [29] C. MOUHOT AND R. M. STRAIN, *Spectral gap and coercivity estimates for linearized Boltzmann collision operators without angular cutoff*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 87 (2007), pp. 515–535.

- [30] L. NORDHIEM, *On the kinetic method in the new statistics and application in the electron theory of conductivity*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, 119 (1928), pp. 689–698.
- [31] Z. OUYANG AND L. WU, *On the quantum boltzmann equation near maxwellian and vacuum*, Journal of Differential Equations, 316 (2022), pp. 471–551.
- [32] Y.-P. PAO, *Boltzmann collision operator with inverse-power intermolecular potentials, I*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 27 (1974), pp. 407–428.
- [33] ———, *Boltzmann collision operator with inverse-power intermolecular potentials, II*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 27 (1974), pp. 559–581.
- [34] E. A. UEHLING AND G. UHLENBECK, *Transport phenomena in Einstein-Bose and Fermi-Dirac gases. i*, Physical Review, 43 (1933), p. 552.
- [35] C. WANG CHANG AND G. UHLENBECK, *On the propagation of sound in monatomic gases*, Studies in Statistical Mechanics, (1952), pp. 43–75.
- [36] Y.-L. ZHOU, *Global well-posedness of the quantum Boltzmann equation for bosons interacting via inverse power law potentials*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.08428, (2022).

(T. Yang) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, THE HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, HONG KONG, P.R. CHINA.

*Email address:* t.yang@polyu.edu.hk

(Y.-L. Zhou) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, 510275, P. R. CHINA.

*Email address:* zhoyulong@mail.sysu.edu.cn