

On universal conformal envelopes for quadratic conformal algebras

R. A. Kozlov^{ab}

^aSobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

^bNovosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

ARTICLE HISTORY

Compiled December 8, 2022

ABSTRACT

We prove that every quadratic Lie conformal algebra constructed on a special Gel'fand–Dorfman algebra embeds into the universal enveloping associative conformal algebra with a locality function bound $N = 3$.

KEYWORDS

Conformal Lie algebras, associative conformal envelopes, Gelfand–Dorfman algebras, Poisson envelopes

1. Introduction

Conformal Lie algebras were introduced in [17] but the idea was around for somewhat fifteen years, since quite related essences, vertex algebras, appeared as a description of the operator product expansion (OPE) of chiral fields in the 2-dimensional conformal field theory [4]. In particular, conformal Lie algebras originally described the most intriguing, singular part of the OPE. Later Borchers [9] formulated the axiomatic notion of a vertex algebra, with different motivation though.

From the more algebraic point of view it turns out that a vertex algebra naturally carries a conformal Lie algebra structure, perfectly matching with the case of OPE. More closely this connection was investigated in [34], [35]. In light of this, conformal Lie algebras are indeed a powerful tool for studying vertex algebras and related matters.

In this paper we stress our attention on quadratic conformal Lie algebras, a wide subclass, including many interesting representatives such as current conformal algebras, Virasoro, Neveu–Schwartz, Heisenberg–Virasoro, Virasoro–Schrödinger, etc. The main feature of quadratic conformal Lie algebras is that they are in one-to-one correspondence with the class of so called Gel'fand–Dorfman algebras (GD-algebras). For specifics see [36], [37] (also [16], [15]).

First appeared as an auxiliary tool, later, however, conformal algebras turn into independent area of study. The latter is motivated by the fact that a conformal algebra (over a field \mathbb{k} , $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$) is just an algebra in the appropriate (pseudo-tensor) category $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{k}[\partial])$ of modules over the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[\partial]$ in one variable [3]. Within the framework of this approach, it is possible to expand the basic concepts,

CONTACT R. A. Kozlov. Email: KozlovRA.NSU@yandex.ru. The work is supported by Mathematical Center in Akademgorodok, grant №075-15-2022-282.

for example, what is an algebra, homomorphism, ideal, representation, module, cohomology. Therefore, the notion of a conformal algebra is the natural expansion of the notion of a “classical” algebra over a field to the particular pseudotensor category.

While Lie conformal algebras are related to vertex algebras, the other varieties of conformal algebras somehow illuminate the structure of infinite-dimensional algebras in the corresponding “classical” variety.

In the case of ordinary algebras, there is the well-known way of turning an associative algebra into a Lie one and, vice versa, of embedding a Lie algebra into its universal enveloping associative algebra. Though the first construction could be easily generalized on the conformal level, the latter, in contrary, proves to be way more complicated [35], due to some features of the multiplication. This is still an open problem whether every finite (i.e., finitely generated as a $\mathbb{k}[\partial]$ -module) Lie conformal algebra embeds into an associative conformal algebra with respect to the conformal commutator. Even for the class of quadratic conformal algebras it remains unknown in general if every such Lie conformal algebra embeds into an appropriate associative one.

A routine way to solve this kind of problem is to construct the universal enveloping. In general, such an algebra is defined by generators and relations. For a Lie conformal algebra C , there exists a lattice of universal associative enveloping conformal algebras $\mathcal{U}(C; N)$, each related to an (associative) locality bound N on the generators [35]. In order to prove (or disprove) the embedding of a Lie conformal algebra into its universal associative enveloping conformal algebra one needs to know the normal form of elements in the last one.

A general and powerful method for finding normal forms in an algebra defined by generators and relations is to calculate its standard (or Gröbner–Shirshov) basis (GSB) of defining relations. The idea goes back to Newmann’s Diamond Lemma [30], see also [5], [6]. For associative conformal algebras it was initially invented in [8], later developed in [23], [31] and [26].

Though sometimes this approach is related with technical difficulties, due to the rules in a GSB might be highly dependent on the multiplication table of a respective conformal algebra. This is the case when a quadratic conformal algebra has a rich Novikov structure. Inspired by [24], [27], in this paper we present an alternative route to verify that a quadratic conformal algebra with an additional condition embeds into the universal associative envelope related to a locality function with an upper bound $N = 3$.

There are several reasons why we consider locality bound $N = 3$, that could be put in brief as follows: this is the lowest locality that fully reveal all the plethora of conformal algebras. Indeed, given a Lie algebra L , the structure of $\mathcal{U}(\text{Cur } L; N)$ for $N = 1$ is pretty much determined by $\text{Cur } U(L)$. Moreover, even if L is simple and finite-dimensional, there is a nontrivial central extension of $\text{Cur } L$, namely, the Kac–Moody conformal algebra $K(L)$. Whereas $\mathcal{U}(\text{Cur } L; N)$ has nontrivial central extension for $N = 2, 3$, namely the universal enveloping of $K(L)$ (see [26]).

Quite similar result was shown in [1], the universal enveloping of the Virasoro conformal algebra has nontrivial second Hochschild cohomology group (equivalently, nontrivial central extensions) for locality $N = 3$, but not for $N = 2$ [29].

This paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we give a brief introduction into Novikov and Gel’fand–Dorfman algebras. In section 3.1 the sufficient foundation on the theory of Lie and associative conformal algebras is given. Some crucial remarks are presented. In section 3.2 we explicitly introduce a conformal algebra that is the key to the goal of this paper.

In section 4 the main part of this work is presented. Section 4.1 is devoted to a

technique that allows us to get through some obstacles (the absence of the PBW property) in constructing of the universal enveloping associative conformal algebra for a quadratic conformal algebra with a nontrivial Novikov structure. The main statement is formulated.

Section 4.2 is filled with examples that reveal initially hidden feature of the presented construction: sometimes we are even able to obtain an explicit presentation of the universal enveloping conformal algebra itself. Note that for the Virasoro conformal algebra Vir , its universal associative envelope for $N = 2$ is known as the Weyl conformal algebra [34]. The universal envelope corresponding to $N = 3$ did not have a similar nice presentation. However, it turns out that our construction being applied to the Virasoro conformal algebra produces the universal associative conformal envelope of Vir corresponding to the locality bound $N = 3$. This is not a general fact: for the abelian Lie conformal algebra of rank one our construction produces an envelope which is not universal.

2. Preliminaries on GD algebras

Definition 2.1. A vector space V equipped with a bilinear operation $(\cdot \circ \cdot): V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is said to be a **Novikov algebra** if the operation is left-symmetric and right-commutative:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c) = (b \circ a) \circ c - b \circ (a \circ c),$$

$$(a \circ b) \circ c = (a \circ c) \circ b,$$

for every $a, b, c \in V$.

Definition 2.2. A vector space V with two bilinear operations $(\cdot \circ \cdot), [\cdot, \cdot]: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is called a **Gel'fand–Dorfman algebra** (or GD algebra, for short) if it is a Novikov algebra with respect to $(\cdot \circ \cdot)$, a Lie algebra with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]$, and there is an additional compatibility condition:

$$[a, b \circ c] - [c, b \circ a] - b \circ [a, c] + [b, a] \circ c - [b, c] \circ a = 0, \quad (1)$$

for every $a, b, c \in V$.

Originally introduced in [15], both these classes of algebras are used for constructing Hamiltonian operators in the formal calculus of variations. An abundant source of GD algebras are differential Poisson algebras. Recall, that the Poisson algebra is an associative and commutative algebra along with a Lie bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ subjected to the Leibniz rule:

$$\{a, b \cdot c\} = b \cdot \{a, c\} + \{a, b\} \cdot c, \quad \forall a, b, c \in P.$$

Given a Poisson algebra P with a derivation d , one can turn it into a GD algebra assigning $a \cdot d(b) = a \circ b$ and $\{a, b\} = [a, b]$. It is straightforward to check that (1) is fulfilled. Denote the resulting GD algebra as $P^{(d)}$.

Definition 2.3. A GD algebra V is called special if there exists a Poisson algebra P with a derivation d , such that there is the injective inclusion $V \subseteq P^{(d)}$.

Respective P is called a Poisson enveloping for V . Those GD algebras that are not special are called **exceptional**. Examples of exceptional GD algebras may be found in [28], [27].

Example 2.4. The most natural example of a special GD algebra, that is not originally a Poisson algebra, is the following one: consider a Novikov algebra V and enrich it with a Lie structure by the rule $[a, b] = a \circ b - b \circ a$, for all $a, b \in V$. Denote it as $V^{(-)}$. Then one obtain a GD algebra (see [15]), that is special ([27], a general construction was presented, see theorems 1, 2 and lemmas 1-3).

One can construct universal differential enveloping $U_d(V)$ of an arbitrary Novikov algebra V (this enveloping exists due to [7]) and then endow it with a suitable Poisson bracket. Broadly sketching, one should fix a linear basis X of V along with all formal derivatives $d^\omega X = \{a^{(n)} \mid a \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$, where $a^{(n)}$ stands for $d^n(a)$, then $U_d(V)$ is the free associative commutative algebra generated by $d^\omega X$ relative to the defining relations obtained by all formal derivatives of

$$a \circ b = ad(b), \quad a, b \in X,$$

where $a \circ b$ is treated as a linear combination of elements from X . Then we define a bracket:

$$\{a^{(n)}, b^{(m)}\} = (m-1)a^{(n+1)}b^{(m)} - (n-1)a^{(n)}b^{(m+1)}, \quad a, b \in X, \quad n, m \geq 0.$$

This bracket proves to be well-defined, compatible with the Novikov product, and represents the bracket from $V^{(-)}$. Hence we can take $U_d(V)$ as as a differential Poisson envelope of $V^{(-)}$.

3. Conformal algebras and conformal endomorphisms

3.1. Lie conformal algebras and enveloping

In this section we recall the main definitions concerning Lie and associative conformal algebras and their relations to GD algebras. We will not dig deep into origins and structure results, see for specifics on concerning topics [3], [10], [12]—[14], [20]—[22] and [34]—[35].

Hereinafter let $H = \mathbb{k}[\partial]$ be a ring of polynomials in one indeterminate and the field \mathbb{k} be of characteristic zero.

Definition 3.1. An H -module C endowed with a linear operation $[\cdot]_{(\lambda)} \cdot]: C \otimes C \rightarrow C[\lambda]$ is called **Lie conformal algebra** if the following list of conditions holds:

$$[\partial a]_{(\lambda)} b = -\lambda[a]_{(\lambda)} b, \quad [a]_{(\lambda)} \partial b = (\partial + \lambda)[a]_{(\lambda)} b, \quad (\text{sesqui-linearity})$$

$$[a]_{(\lambda)} b = -[b]_{(-\partial-\lambda)} a, \quad (\text{skew-symmetry})$$

$$[[a]_{(\lambda)} b]_{(\lambda+\mu)} c = [a]_{(\lambda)} [b]_{(\mu)} c - [b]_{(\mu)} [a]_{(\lambda)} c, \quad (\text{Jacobi identity})$$

for every $a, b, c \in C$. Here we assume that

$$a \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} b = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} (a \underset{(n)}{\circ} b), \quad \forall a, b \in C.$$

The coefficients $(a \underset{(n)}{\circ} b)$ are called the n -products of a and b .

In this paper we are particularly interested in a quite wide class of conformal algebras called **quadratic conformal algebras**.

Definition 3.2. A Lie conformal algebra C is called a quadratic one if it is free H -module, i.e. $C = H \otimes V$, and its underlying vector space V is equipped with three bilinear operations $c_i(a, b) : V \times V \rightarrow V$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, such that

$$[a \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} b] = c_0(a, b) + \partial c_1(a, b) + \lambda c_2(a, b),$$

for every $a, b \in V$.

It was shown in [36] that the skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity are equivalent to the presentation

$$c_0(a, b) = [a, b], \quad c_1(a, b) = b \circ a, \quad c_2(a, b) = a \circ b + b \circ a,$$

where $(V; (\cdot \circ \cdot), [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a GD algebra. Denote the respective quadratic conformal algebra as $L(V)$.

The most natural examples, and the most interesting relative to the cause of this paper, are the following:

Example 3.3. Let $(C; [\cdot, \cdot])$ be an ordinary Lie algebra. Consider the free H -module $\text{Cur } C = H \otimes C$ with the bracket

$$[(f(\partial) \otimes a) \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} (g(\partial) \otimes b)] = f(-\lambda)g(\partial + \lambda) \otimes [a, b]$$

Clearly, it is a Lie conformal algebra called **current conformal algebra**. This is a particular case of a quadratic conformal algebra corresponding to the GD algebra obtained from the given Lie algebra with trivial Novikov structure.

Example 3.4. The most well-known example of a conformal algebra not happens to be a current one is **the Virasoro conformal algebra**. Explicitly, it is a free H -module with one generator v relative to the following product:

$$[v \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} v] = (\partial + 2\lambda)v.$$

This example arises from the 1-dimensional GD algebra $V = \mathbb{k}v$ with $v \circ v = v$.

Another class of conformal algebras that will be of use in this paper is the class of associative ones. For their structure theory see [10], [18], [19], [25], etc.

Definition 3.5. An H -module A endowed with a linear operation $(\cdot \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} \cdot) : A \otimes A \rightarrow A[\lambda]$ is called **associative conformal algebra** if the following list of conditions holds:

$$(\partial a \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} b) = -\lambda(a \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} b), \quad (a \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} \partial b) = (\partial + \lambda)(a \underset{(\lambda)}{\circ} b), \quad (\text{sesqui-linearity})$$

$$((a \text{ }_{(\lambda)} b) \text{ }_{(\lambda+\mu)} c) = (a \text{ }_{(\lambda)} (b \text{ }_{(\mu)} c)), \quad (\text{associativity})$$

for every $a, b, c \in A$.

Given a pair of elements $a, b \in A$, denote by $N(a, b) = \deg_{\lambda}(a \text{ }_{(\lambda)} b) + 1$ with an exception in the case $(a \text{ }_{(\lambda)} b) = 0$: for the latter, set $N(a, b) = 0$. In terms of n -products, $N(a, b)$ is the minimal N such that $(a \text{ }_{(n)} b) = 0$ for all $n \geq N$. The function $N(x, y)$ is called locality function on A .

In the case of "ordinary" algebras there exists a well-known way how to turn an associative algebra into a Lie algebra. Quite similar construction appears in the conformal case as well. Let A be an associative conformal algebra with product $(\cdot \text{ }_{(\lambda)} \cdot)$. The underlying space endowed with the bracket as follows,

$$[a \text{ }_{(\lambda)} b] = (a \text{ }_{(\lambda)} b) - (b \text{ }_{(-\partial-\lambda)} a), \quad \forall a, b \in A,$$

is a Lie conformal algebra. Denote it, after the "ordinary" case, as $A^{(-)}$.

Now, a natural question comes in mind: whether the inverted construction is the case as well.

Definition 3.6. Given a Lie conformal algebra C and an associative conformal algebra A , we say A is an associative enveloping conformal algebra of C if there exists a homomorphism $\tau: C \rightarrow A^{(-)}$ of conformal algebras such that A is generated (as an associative conformal algebra) by the image of C .

Denote such a pair as (A, τ) .

The most interesting of associative envelopes is the universal envelope — the universal object in the class, quite resembling the ordinary case. However, it appears the locality function to be the fine point: there is no sense in speaking about the utterly universal object.

A proper definition of the "conditional" universal enveloping conformal algebra was given in [35]:

Definition 3.7. Given a Lie conformal algebra C generated as an H -module by its subset B , and a function $N: B \times B \rightarrow Z_+$. An associative conformal enveloping algebra $(\mathcal{U}(C; N), \tau_U)$ is called the **universal one relative to the locality function N** if the following universal property holds: for every associative envelope (A, τ) such that $N(\tau(a), \tau(b)) \leq N$ for all $a, b \in B$, there exists a complementary homomorphism of associative conformal algebras $\varphi: \mathcal{U}(C; N) \rightarrow A$, such that $\tau = \varphi \circ \tau_U: C \rightarrow A^{(-)}$.

The universal conformal enveloping algebra $(\mathcal{U}(C; N), \tau_U)$ does exist for any Lie conformal algebra C and locality function N , for the explicit construction see [35]. Unfortunately, τ_U will not necessarily be injective. Even more is true, it was shown ibid that there exist Lie conformal algebras C such that τ is not injective for every (A, τ) , i.e., C can not be embedded into an associative conformal algebra at all. For another approach to construction of associative conformal universal envelopes see [24] (also [26]).

3.2. Conformal endomorphisms

Let us recall the definition of a conformal endomorphism and some related constructions.

Definition 3.8. Let M be a unital left H -module. A **conformal endomorphism** of M is such an element a that there is a linear map $a_\lambda: M \rightarrow M[\lambda]$ and $a_\lambda \partial = (\partial + \lambda)a_\lambda$.

Denote the set of conformal endomorphisms of M by $\text{Cend } M$. Note that $\text{Cend } M$ may be equipped with the structure of an H -module by the rule:

$$(\partial a)_\lambda = -\lambda a_\lambda, \quad a \in \text{Cend } M.$$

Moreover, if M is a finitely generated H -module then $\text{Cend } M$ is an associative conformal algebra itself with the following multiplication rule:

$$(a \text{ }_{(\lambda)} b)_\mu = a_\lambda b_{\mu-\lambda}, \quad a, b \in \text{Cend } M. \quad (2)$$

Unfortunately, be M infinitely generated, the presented multiplication rule fails due to locality. Though one can make out a subspace of finitary conformal endomorphisms $\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}} M \subseteq \text{Cend } M$ that still proves to be an associative conformal algebra. The idea is to consider only those conformal endomorphisms that have bounded on powers of λ for the images on generators of M . Explicitly, let $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ be a set of generators of M as of an H -module,

$$\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}} M = \{a \in \text{Cend } M \mid \exists n(a) \in \mathbb{N} : \deg(a_\lambda b_i) \leq n(a)\}.$$

Clearly, it is closed under the multiplication rule (2). Note that the definition of $\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}} M$ depends on the choice of a system of generators B in the case when M is infinitely generated.

The inclusion is actually equality if M is finitely generated. In general, though, this is not the case. Indeed, if one define $a_\lambda b_i = b_i \lambda^i$ for every $b_i \in B$, then $a \notin \text{Cend}_{\text{fin}} M$. The λ -product of two suchlike conformal endomorphisms is not a polynomial on λ but rather an infinite series. For example,

$$(a \text{ }_{(\lambda)} a)_\mu b_i = a_\lambda (a_{\mu-\lambda} b_i) = b_i \lambda^i (\mu - \lambda)^i.$$

Plainly, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a \text{ }_{(n)} a \neq 0$, a contradiction with locality.

Explicitly, the space $\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}} M$ for free H -module $M = H \otimes V$ can be uniquely presented as follows (see [32]), up to isomorphism:

$$\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}} M \cong H \otimes (\text{End } V)[x] \cong \mathbb{k}[\partial, x] \otimes \text{End } V,$$

where $\text{End } V$ is the space of "usual" endomorphisms of the vector space V . Conformal action is as follows,

$$(f(\partial, x) \otimes \alpha)_\mu (h(\partial) \otimes u) = f(-\mu, \partial) h(\partial + \mu) \otimes \alpha(u), \quad (3)$$

where $f(\partial, x) \in \mathbb{k}[\partial, x]$, $h(\partial) \otimes u \in M$ and $\alpha \in \text{End } V$.

In details, the associative conformal multiplication (2) is given by the rule,

$$(f(\partial, x) \otimes \alpha) \text{ }_{(\lambda)} (g(\partial, x) \otimes \beta) = f(-\lambda, x) g(\partial + \lambda, x + \lambda) \otimes \alpha\beta, \quad (4)$$

where $f(\partial, x), g(\partial, x) \in \mathbb{k}[\partial, x]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End } V$.

4. Universal associative conformal envelope of locality $N = 3$

4.1. Existence

In this section we present the approach that allows us to establish that every quadratic conformal algebra constructed on a special GD algebra embeds into its universal enveloping associative conformal algebra with locality bound $N = 3$.

Let V be a special GD algebra and let P be its differential Poisson enveloping. Say, without loss of generality, that P has an identity element on the associative commutative multiplication (if it does not have one then we consider $P^\# = P \oplus \mathbb{k}1$).

Recall that $\text{ad}_a: x \mapsto \{a, x\}$ stands for the adjoint operator, $L_a: x \mapsto ax$ for the operator of commutative multiplication, and $\text{id} = \text{id}_P$ for the identity operator on P . All these maps are extended H -linearly to linear operators on $H \otimes P$.

Hereinafter, in order to avoid confusion between d and ∂ , replace the latter with T . Also, let us sometimes omit the \otimes -sign to make the computations less cumbersome.

Let us state the following helpful lemma

Lemma 4.1. *Let V be a special GD algebra and $P(V)$ be its differential Poisson enveloping. Let $L(V)$ be the quadratic conformal algebra related to V . Then the H -linear map*

$$\tau : L(V) \rightarrow \text{Cend}_{\text{fin}}(H \otimes P(V))^{(-)},$$

defined on H -generators as follows:

$$\tau(a) = \text{ad}_a + x[d, L_a] - T(d + \text{id})L_a, \quad \forall a \in V, \quad (5)$$

is an injective homomorphism of conformal Lie algebras.

Proof. Recall that $a^{(n)}$ stands for $d^n(a)$, for $n = 1, 2$ assign simply a' , a'' . First, let us compute how the considered operators commute in $\text{End } P$, modulo the Leibniz rule:

$$L_b \text{ad}_a c = b \cdot \{a, c\} = \{a, b \cdot c\} - \{a, b\} \cdot c = (\text{ad}_a L_b - L_{\{a, b\}})c, \quad (6)$$

$$d \text{ad}_a c = d\{a, c\} = \{a', c\} + \{a, c'\} = (\text{ad}_{a'} + \text{ad}_a d)c, \quad (7)$$

$$dL_b c = d(b \cdot c) = b' \cdot c + b \cdot c' = (L_{b'} + L_b d)c, \quad (8)$$

for every $a, b, c \in P$. Then (5) turns into $\tau(a) = \text{ad}_a + xL_{a'} - T(L_{a'} + L_a(d + \text{id}))$ for every $a \in V$.

Now, compute $\tau(a) \underset{(\lambda)}{\tau(b)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{ad}_a + xL_{a'} - T(L_{a'} + L_a(d + \text{id}))) \underset{(\lambda)}{(\text{ad}_b + xL_{b'} - T(L_{b'} + L_b(d + \text{id})))} \\ &= (\text{ad}_a + xL_{a'} + \lambda(L_{a'} + L_a(d + \text{id}))) (\text{ad}_b + (x + \lambda)L_{b'} - (T + \lambda)(L_{b'} + L_b(d + \text{id}))) \\ &= (\text{ad}_a + (x + \lambda)L_{a'} + \lambda L_a(d + \text{id})) (\text{ad}_b + (x - T)L_{b'} - (T + \lambda)L_b(d + \text{id})). \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

Open the parentheses and gather terms at the powers of λ . Then order operators by the rule $\text{ad} < L < d$, according to (6) - (8) and $L_a L_b = L_{a \cdot b}$. The coefficient at λ^0

is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ad}_a \text{ad}_b + (x - T) \text{ad}_a L_{b'} - T \text{ad}_a L_b(d + \text{id}) \\
& \quad + x L_{a'} \text{ad}_b + x(x - T) L_{a'} L_{b'} - x T L_{a'} L_b(d + \text{id}) \\
& = \text{ad}_a \text{ad}_b + (x - T) \text{ad}_a L_{b'} - T \text{ad}_a L_b(d + \text{id}) \\
& \quad + x(\text{ad}_b L_{a'} - L_{\{b, a'\}}) + x(x - T) L_{a' \cdot b'} - x T L_{a' \cdot b}(d + \text{id}). \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

As a coefficient at λ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \text{ad}_a L_b(d + \text{id}) - x L_{a'} L_b(d + \text{id}) + L_{a'} \text{ad}_b + (x - T) L_{a'} L_{b'} - T L_{a'} L_b(d + \text{id}) \\
& \quad + L_a(d + \text{id}) \text{ad}_b + (x - T) L_a(d + \text{id}) L_{b'} - T L_a(d + \text{id}) L_b(d + \text{id}),
\end{aligned}$$

which, after some routine, becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \text{ad}_a L_b(d + \text{id}) - (x + T) L_{a' \cdot b}(d + \text{id}) + \text{ad}_b(L_{a'} + L_a(d + \text{id})) - L_{\{b, a'\}} + \text{ad}_{b'} L_a \\
& - L_{\{b, a'\}}(d + \text{id}) + (x - T)(L_{a \cdot b''} + L_{a' \cdot b'}) + (x - 2T) L_{a \cdot b'}(d + \text{id}) - T L_{a \cdot b}(d + \text{id})^2. \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient at λ^2 is

$$- L_{a'} L_b(d + \text{id}) - L_a(d + \text{id}) L_b(d + \text{id}) = -L_{(a \cdot b)'}(d + \text{id}) - L_{a \cdot b}(d + \text{id})^2. \quad (12)$$

In order to compute $\tau(b) \underset{(-T-\lambda)}{\tau(a)}$ one need to switch a and b , substitute λ by $-T - \lambda$, and gather similar terms on powers of λ in the original formula (9). Then, at λ^0 we have

$$\text{ad}_b \text{ad}_a + (x - T) \text{ad}_b L_{a'} - T \text{ad}_b L_a(d + \text{id}) + x(\text{ad}_a L_{b'} - L_{\{a, b'\}}) + x(x - T) L_{b' \cdot a'} - x T L_{b' \cdot a}(d + \text{id}),$$

and the addition from the other groups

$$\begin{aligned}
& - T(- \text{ad}_b L_a(d + \text{id}) - (x + T) L_{b' \cdot a}(d + \text{id}) + \text{ad}_a(L_{b'} + L_b(d + \text{id})) - L_{\{a, b'\}} \\
& \quad + \text{ad}_{a'} L_b - L_{\{a, b'\}}(d + \text{id}) + (x - T)(L_{b \cdot a''} + L_{b' \cdot a'}) + (x - 2T) L_{b \cdot a'}(d + \text{id}) \\
& \quad - T L_{b \cdot a}(d + \text{id})^2) + T^2(-L_{(b \cdot a)'}(d + \text{id}) - L_{b \cdot a}(d + \text{id})^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Gather similar terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ad}_b \text{ad}_a + (x - T) \text{ad}_b L_{a'} + (x - T) \text{ad}_a L_{b'} - x L_{\{a, b'\}} \\
& \quad + (x - T)^2 L_{b' \cdot a'} - T \text{ad}_a L_b(d + \text{id}) + T L_{\{a, b'\}} - T \text{ad}_{a'} L_b + T L_{\{a, b'\}}(d + \text{id}) \\
& \quad - T(x - T) L_{b \cdot a''} - T(x - T) L_{b \cdot a'}(d + \text{id}). \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient at λ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ad}_b L_a(d + \text{id}) + (x + T) L_{b' \cdot a}(d + \text{id}) - \text{ad}_a(L_{b'} + L_b(d + \text{id})) + L_{\{a, b'\}} - \text{ad}_{a'} L_b \\
& + L_{\{a, b'\}}(d + \text{id}) - (x - T)(L_{b \cdot a''} + L_{b' \cdot a'}) - (x - 2T) L_{b \cdot a'}(d + \text{id}) + T L_{b \cdot a}(d + \text{id})^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and the addition

$$2T(-L_{(b \cdot a)'}(d + \text{id}) - L_{b \cdot a}(d + \text{id})^2).$$

Gather similar terms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ad}_b L_a(d + \text{id}) + (x - T)L_{b' \cdot a}(d + \text{id}) - \text{ad}_a(L_{b'} + L_b(d + \text{id})) + L_{\{a, b\}'} - \text{ad}_{a'} L_b \\ & + L_{\{a, b\}}(d + \text{id}) - (x - T)(L_{b \cdot a''} + L_{b' \cdot a'}) - xL_{b \cdot a'}(d + \text{id}) - TL_{b \cdot a}(d + \text{id})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The expression at λ^2 is exactly (12) due to the product in P is commutative. From the other side,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau([a \ (\lambda) \ b]) &= \tau([a, b] + T(b \circ a) + \lambda(a \circ b + b \circ a)) \\ &= \text{ad}_{\{a, b\}} + (x - T)L_{\{a, b\}'} - TL_{\{a, b\}}(d + \text{id}) \\ &+ T(\text{ad}_{a' \cdot b} + (x - T)L_{(a' \cdot b)'} - TL_{a' \cdot b}(d + \text{id})) \\ &+ \lambda(\text{ad}_{(a \cdot b)'} + (x - T)L_{(a \cdot b)''} - TL_{(a \cdot b)'}(d + \text{id})) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now, consider the operator

$$\tau(a) \ (\lambda) \ \tau(b) - \tau(b) \ (-T-\lambda) \ \tau(a) - \tau([a \ (\lambda) \ b]) \in \text{Cend}_{\text{fin}}(H \otimes P(V))$$

and verify that it is identical to the zero operator. Compare the coefficients at λ found in (11), (14), (15): the respective sum, modulo some routine transformations, equals to

$$1 \otimes (\text{ad}_b L_{a'} + \text{ad}_{b'} L_a + \text{ad}_a L_{b'} + \text{ad}_{a'} L_b - \text{ad}_{(a \cdot b)'}) , \quad a, b \in V.$$

This is essentially a linear operator acting on P , so, for any $c \in P$:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 \otimes (\text{ad}_b L_{a'} + \text{ad}_{b'} L_a + \text{ad}_a L_{b'} + \text{ad}_{a'} L_b - \text{ad}_{(a \cdot b)'})_{\mu} c \\ &= \{b, a' \cdot c\} + \{b', a \cdot c\} + \{a, b' \cdot c\} + \{a', b \cdot c\} - \{a' \cdot b, c\} - \{a \cdot b', c\}. \end{aligned}$$

Apply the Leibniz rule to note that the RHS of the last relation vanishes, as demanded.

The coefficient at λ^0 obtained from (10), (13), (15), after cancellation of similar terms, turns into

$$\text{ad}_a \text{ad}_b - \text{ad}_b \text{ad}_a - \text{ad}_{\{a, b\}} + T \text{ad}_{a'} L_b + T \text{ad}_b L_{a'} - T \text{ad}_{a' \cdot b}. \quad (16)$$

Here the first three terms are exactly Jacobi identity and the last three terms represent the Leibniz rule. Hereby, τ is indeed a homomorphism.

As for injectivity, assume $v \in L(V)$ and $\tau(v) = 0$. Let $v = \sum_{s \geq 0} T^s \otimes a_s$, $a_s \in V$. Then by (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v)_{\mu} 1 &= \sum_{s \geq 0} T^s (\text{ad}_{a_s} + (x - T)L_{a'_s} - TL_{a_s}(d + \text{id}))_{\mu} 1 = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-\mu)^s ((T + \mu)L_{a'_s} + \mu L_{a_s}) 1 \\ &= \sum_{s \geq 0} (-\mu)^s T L_{a'_s} 1 - \sum_{s \geq 0} (-\mu)^{s+1} (L_{a'_s} + L_{a_s}) 1 = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-\mu)^s T a'_s - \sum_{s \geq 0} (-\mu)^{s+1} (a'_s + a_s) \end{aligned}$$

If all coefficients at μ^s , $s \geq 0$, are zero then we obtain the recurrent conditions $a'_s = 0$, $a'_s + a_s = 0$, $s \geq 0$. Hence, all a_s are zero and $\tau(v) = 0$ implies $v = 0$, as demanded. \square

Now, we are able to prove the main statement of the presented work.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $L(V)$ be a quadratic conformal algebra related to a special Gel'fand–Dorfman algebra V . Then $L(V)$ embeds into its universal associative enveloping conformal algebra $\mathcal{U}(L(V); N)$ with respect to the associative locality bound $N = 3$.*

Proof. Due to the previous reasoning along with Lemma 1, there exists an injective homomorphism of conformal Lie algebras $\tau: L(V) \rightarrow \text{Cend}_{\text{fin}}(H \otimes P(V))^{(-)}$, where $P(V)$ is a unital differential Poisson enveloping of V . Consider the associative conformal subalgebra in $\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}}(H \otimes P(V))$ generated by $\tau(V)$, refer to it as $A(V)$.

The introduced $A(V)$ is an associative envelope of $L(V)$ for the associative locality bound $N = 3$. The latter follows from the computation of $\tau(a)_{(\lambda)} \tau(b)$ in the proof of Lemma 1: the degree in λ of this polynomial does not exceed two. Note that the 2nd product of $\tau(a)$ and $\tau(b)$ may not be zero (see (12)).

The universal property grants that there exists a homomorphism of associative conformal algebras $\varphi: \mathcal{U}(L(V); N) \rightarrow A(V)$ extending the canonical map $L(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L(V); N)$ for $N = 3$. Hence, $L(V)$ embeds into the respective universal enveloping associative conformal algebra. \square

Remark 1. The theorem proves to be true in the case of superalgebras as well, one just need slightly adjust all the definitions and calculations, the reasoning above will do perfectly.

4.2. Isomorphism

The surjective homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{U}(L(V); N) \rightarrow A(V)$ mentioned above is not injective in general, but sometimes it is. This is interesting to note that for the case when $L(V)$ is the Virasoro conformal algebra the associative envelope $A(V)$ constructed above is the universal one (corresponding to the locality bound $N = 3$).

Example 4.3. Consider the GD algebra $V = \mathbb{k}\langle v \rangle$, where $v \circ v = v$. Then $L(V) = \text{Vir} = Hv$ with the following λ -product on the generator

$$[v \text{ }_{(\lambda)} v] = (T + 2\lambda)v.$$

Then the single conformal homomorphism $\tau(v) = x \otimes \text{id} - T \otimes (d + \text{id})L_v$ generates an associative conformal subalgebra $A(V)$ in $\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}}(H \otimes P(V))$, where $P(V) = \mathbb{k}[v]$ and $d = \frac{d}{dv}$. It is more convenient to treat $P(V)$ as a module generated by v over the (associative) Weyl algebra $W = \mathbb{k}\langle d, p | dp - pd = 1 \rangle$. Here p stands for L_v and 1 for $\text{id}_{P(V)}$. Then $\varphi(v) = x - T(d + 1)p$.

In [23] it was shown that the H -linear basis of $\mathcal{U}(\text{Vir}; 3)$ is the following one:

$$(L_0)^s v, \quad s \geq 0, \tag{17}$$

$$(L_0)^q (L_1)^l L_2 v, \quad q, l \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

Here L_n , $n \geq 0$, stands for the linear operator $(v \text{ }_{(n)} \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{U}(\text{Vir}; 3)$.

Now, let us calculate the images of (17), (18) in $A(V)$. Start with

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(v)_{(\lambda)} \varphi(v) &= (x - T(d+1)p)_{(\lambda)} (x - T(d+1)p) \\ &= x(x - T(d+1)p) + \lambda(x - T((d+1)p)^2) + \lambda^2((d+1)p(1 - (d+1)p)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\varphi(v)_{(0)} v = x(x - T(d+1)p)$ and it is the matter of easy induction to deduce that

$$\varphi((L_0)^s v) = x^s(x - T(d+1)p), \quad s \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

We obtain as well that $\varphi(v)_{(2)} v = 2(d+1)p(1 - (d+1)p)$. Note that $\varphi(v)_{(1)} v$ is linearly dependent with $\varphi(v)$ and $\varphi(v)_{(2)} v$ over H , that is an exact consequence of the defining relations in $\mathcal{U}(Vir; 3)$.

Now, $2\varphi(v)_{(\lambda)} (v)_{(2)} v$ is as follows:

$$(x + \lambda(d+1)p)(d+1)p(1 - (d+1)p) = x(d+1)p(1 - (d+1)p) + \lambda((d+1)p)^2(1 - (d+1)p).$$

Hence, the application of L_1 to $\varphi(v)_{(2)} v$ is just the multiplication by $(d+1)p$ from the left, and the action of L_0 is just multiplication by x . Thus,

$$\varphi((L_0)^q (L_1)^l L_2 v) = \frac{1}{2} x^q ((d+1)p)^l (1 - (d+1)p), \quad q, l \geq 0. \quad (20)$$

Suppose there is some nontrivial linear combination

$$\sum_{s \geq 0} h_s(T) x^s (x - T(d+1)p) + \sum_{q, l \geq 0} h'_{q,l}(T) x^q ((d+1)p)^l (1 - (d+1)p) = 0,$$

where $h_s(T), h'_{q,l}(T) \in H$. Apply the expression in the LHS to 1, modulo (19), (20):

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \sum_{s \geq 0} h_s(-\mu) T^s (T + \mu(d+1)p) 1 + \sum_{q, l \geq 0} h'_{q,l}(-\mu) T^q ((d+1)p)^l (1 - (d+1)p) 1 \\ &= \sum_{s \geq 0} h_s(-\mu) (T^{s+1} + \mu T^s) 1 + \sum_{s \geq 0} h_s(-\mu) \mu T^s v - \sum_{q, l \geq 0} h'_{q,l}(-\mu) T^q \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} v^i c_i, \quad (21)\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients c_i are the following:

$$c_{l+1} = 1, \quad c_i = \sum_{2 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{i+1-i} \leq 1+i} \prod_{k=1}^{l+1-i} j_k, \quad i = \overline{1, l}.$$

Consider $s = s_{\max}$, hence, the first sum in the RHS of (21) gives $h_{s_{\max}} \equiv 0$. Then gradually descend up to $s = 0$, thus $h_s \equiv 0$. Hereby, the first two sums in (21) vanish. In the same fashion, regard the last sum for $l = l_{\max}$ and obtain $h'_{q, l_{\max}} \equiv 0$, for all q , from the coefficient at $v^{1+l_{\max}}$. Similarly, descend up to $l = 0$, thus $h'_{q,l} \equiv 0$. A contradiction.

Therefore, we establish that, given a Lie conformal algebra $L(V) = Vir$ related to the GD algebra $V = \mathbb{k}\langle v \rangle$ with the multiplication rule $v \circ v = v$, there is the

isomorphism of associative conformal algebras

$$\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}}(H \otimes P(V)) \supset A(V) \cong \mathcal{U}(\text{Vir}; 3).$$

Remark 2. Mention should be made of yet another equivalent presentation for $\mathcal{U}(\text{Vir}; 3)$, that is given rise for by the adjoint representation, namely,

$$v \mapsto [v_{(\lambda)} \cdot] = x \otimes \text{id} - T \otimes dp \in \text{Cend}_{\text{fin}}(H \otimes P(V)).$$

The T -linear basis itself is

$$x^q \otimes (dp)^l (1 - dp) \quad \text{and} \quad x^{s+1} \otimes \text{id} - x^s T \otimes dp, \quad q, l, s \geq 0,$$

The computations are quite resembling the ones just made.

Such an isomorphism is not always the case. An example as simple as the following one will do.

Example 4.4. Take the GD algebra $V = \mathbb{k}\langle v \rangle$, where $v \circ v = 0$. Consider $L(V) = \text{Cur } V \cong H \otimes V$, it is an abelian Lie conformal algebra. If we consider $P(V) = \mathbb{k}[v]$ as above, and $d \equiv 0$, then $\tau(v) = 1 \otimes \text{ad}_v - T \otimes L_v$. However, the associative conformal subalgebra $A(V)$ generated in $\text{Cend}_{\text{fin}}(H \otimes P(V))$ by $\tau(v)$ is not isomorphic to the respective universal envelope. For an abelian Lie algebra, the universal enveloping associative conformal algebra is the free commutative one. It has the same basis as mentioned in (17), (18), but, for example,

$$\varphi(L_0 \dots L_0 L_1 \dots L_1 L_2 v) = -2 \text{ad}_v \dots \text{ad}_v L_v \dots L_v = 0.$$

What is more interesting is that even if we choose the richest Poisson differential enveloping algebra for V (the universal one) then the kernel of φ is still nontrivial.

Denote the universal Poisson differential enveloping algebra of a GD algebra V as $P_d(V)$. In the case when V is 1-dimensional algebra with zero operations, we have

$$P_d(V) = (\text{Pois}\langle v, v', v'', \dots \rangle^\#) / I_V,$$

where I_V is the differential ideal in the free Poisson algebra $\text{Pois}\langle v, v', v'', \dots \rangle$ generated by $v \cdot v'$. Let us prove the helpful lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *In the Poisson algebra $P_d(V)$ as above the following equalities hold:*

$$0 = \{v, \{v^{(k_1)}, \{\dots \{v^{(k_{m-1})}, v^{(k_m)}\} \dots \}\} \cdot v, \quad \text{for all } k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0. \quad (22)$$

Proof. By induction on m . For $m = 0$, let us compute some compositions in $P_d(V)$ following the ideas of [7]. First, since I_V is a differential ideal, we have $\{v^{(m)}, (v \cdot v')^{(n)}\} = 0$, for all $m, n \geq 0$. Apply the Leibniz rule to obtain

$$0 = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n}{i} \{v^{(m)}, v^{(i)} \cdot v^{(n+1-i)}\} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n+1}{i} \{v^{(m)}, v^{(i)}\} \cdot v^{(n+1-i)}, \quad n, m \geq 0. \quad (23)$$

Choose some $k \geq 0$, multiply (23) by $\binom{k+1}{m}$ and make summation over $m = \overline{0, k}$ with $n = k - m$:

$$0 = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k+1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{k-m+1} \binom{k-m+1}{i} \{v^{(m)}, v^{(i)}\} \cdot v^{(k+1-i-m)}.$$

Let us change the order of summation. Consider the diagonal $i + m = l$, $l = \overline{0, k+1}$. Then the last equation turns into

$$0 = \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{i,m=0 \\ i+m=l}}^k \binom{k+1}{m} \binom{k-m+1}{i} \{v^{(m)}, v^{(i)}\} \cdot v^{(k+1-l)} + \{v, v^{(k+1)}\} \cdot v, \quad (24)$$

where the product of the binomial coefficients,

$$\binom{k+1}{m} \binom{k-m+1}{i} = \frac{(k+1)!(k+1-m)!}{m!(k+1-m)!i!(k+1-m-i)!} = \frac{(k+1)!}{m!i!(k+1-m-i)!},$$

is symmetric on the indices i and m . Hence, having fixed some l , the respective layer in the sum in (24) vanishes due to skew-symmetry of the bracket. Therefore, we obtain $\{v, v^{(k+1)}\} \cdot v = 0$ as demanded.

Now, let the statement be true for $m - 1$. Denote $\{v^{(k_1)}, \{\dots \{v^{(k_{m-2})}, v^{(k_{m-1})}\} \dots\} = u$, hence, $\{v, u\} \cdot v = 0$ by assumption. Consider $\{v^{(p)}, \{v, u\} \cdot v\} = 0$, for $p \geq 0$, and apply the Leibniz rule:

$$0 = \{v^{(p)}, \{v, u\} \cdot v\} = \{v^{(p)}, \{v, u\}\} \cdot v + \{v, u\} \cdot \{v^{(p)}, v\}.$$

Modulo the Jacobi identity and skew-symmetry, the equation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \{\{v^{(p)}, v\}, u\} \cdot v + \{v, \{v^{(p)}, u\}\} \cdot v + \{u, v\} \cdot \{v, v^{(p)}\} \\ &= \{u, \{v, v^{(p)}\}\} \cdot v + \{u, v\} \cdot \{v, v^{(p)}\} + \{v, \{v^{(p)}, u\}\} \cdot v \\ &= \{u, \{v, v^{(p)}\} \cdot v\} + \{v, \{v^{(p)}, u\}\} \cdot v, \end{aligned}$$

where the first term is already proven to be naught. Hereby,

$$\{v, \{v^{(p)}, u\}\} \cdot v = 0, \quad \text{for all } p \geq 0,$$

and we are done. \square

The Leibniz rule immediately implies

Corollary 4.6. *For every $f \in P_d(V)$ we have $\{v, f\} \cdot v = 0$.*

The generator $\varphi(v)$ of $A(V)$ is given by the conformal endomorphism

$$\varphi(v) = \tau(v) = 1 \otimes \text{ad}_v + (x - T) \otimes L_{v'} - T \otimes L_v(d + \text{id})$$

of the free H -module $H \otimes P_d(V)$. Consider the element $u = v_{(0)} (v_{(2)} v) \in \mathcal{U}(L(V)$; 3) from its H -linear basis. Then $\varphi(u) \in A(V)$ may be calculated as follows, using (6) -

(8): evaluate $\tau(v)_{(\mu)} (\tau(v)_{(\lambda)} \tau(v))$ and choose the coefficient at $\mu^0 \frac{\lambda^2}{2}$. As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \tau(v)_{(0)} (\tau(v)_{(2)} \tau(v)) = (\text{ad}_v + xL_{v'})(-2L_v L_v(d + \text{id})^2) \\ &= -2 \text{ad}_v L_v L_v(d + \text{id})^2 - 2xL_{v'} L_v L_v(d + \text{id})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second term is zero in $P_d(V)$ due to the multiplication table. Recall that action of $A(V)$ on $H \otimes P_d(V)$ is faithful and consider, applying the Leibniz rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v)_{(0)} (\tau(v)_{(2)} \tau(v))_{\mu} f &= -2 \text{ad}_v L_v L_v(d + \text{id})^2 f \\ &= -2\{v, v^2(d + \text{id})^2 f\} = -2\{v, f'' + 2f' + f\} \cdot v^2, \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

for every $f \in P_d(V)$. By corollary 4.6, (22) annihilate the RHS of (25). Thus, we are finished.

References

- [1] Alhoussein, H., Kolesnikov, P.S. (2021). On the Hochschild cohomology of universal enveloping associative conformal algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* 62:121701.
- [2] Bai, C., Meng, D. (2001). The classification of Novikov algebras in low dimensions. *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.* 34(8):1581–1594.
- [3] Bakalov, B., D’Andrea, A., Kac, V.G. (2001). Theory of finite pseudoalgebras *Adv. Math.* 162:1–140.
- [4] Belavin, A.A., Polyakov, A.V., Zamolodchikov, A.B. (1984). Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory. *Nuclear Phys.* 241:333–380.
- [5] Bergman, G.M. (1978). The diamond lemma for ring theory. *Adv. Math.* 29:178–218.
- [6] Bokut, L.A. (1976). Imbeddings into simple associative algebras (Russian). *Algebra i Logika* 15:117–142.
- [7] Bokut, L.A., Chen, Y.-Q., Zhang, Z. (2017). Gröbner–Shirshov bases method for Gelfand–Dorfman–Novikov algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* 16(1):1750001.
- [8] Bokut, L.A., Fong, Y., Ke, W.-F. (2004). Composition-diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras. *J. Algebra* 272:739–774.
- [9] Borchers, R.E. (1986). Vertex algebras, Kac–Moody algebras, and the monster *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA* 83:3068–3071.
- [10] Boyallian, C., Kac, V.G., Liberati, J.-I. (2003). On the classification of subalgebras of Cend_N and gc_N . *J. Algebra* 260:32–63.
- [11] Cheng, S.-J., Kac, V.G. (1997). Conformal modules. *Asian J. Math.* 1:181–193.
- [12] D’Andrea, A., Kac, V.G. (1998). Structure theory of finite conformal algebras. *Sel. Math. New Ser.* 4:377–418.
- [13] Fattori, D., Kac, V.G. (2002). Classification of finite simple Lie conformal superalgebras. *J. Algebra* 258:23–59.
- [14] Fattori, D., Kac, V.G., Retakh, A. (2004). Structure theory of finite Lie conformal superalgebras. In: *Lie Theory and its Applications in Physics V*. World Scientific Publication. pp. 27–63.
- [15] Gelfand, I. M., Dorfman, I. Ya. (1979). Hamilton operators and associated algebraic structures. *Functional analysis and its application* 13(4):13–30.

- [16] Hong, Y., Wu, Z. (2017). Simplicity of quadratic Lie conformal algebras. *Comm. Algebra* 45(1):141–150.
- [17] Kac, V.G. (1998). *Vertex algebras for beginners (Second ed.)* University Lecture Series, Vol. 10. Providence, RI: AMS.
- [18] Kolesnikov, P.S. (2006). Associative conformal algebras with finite faithful representation. *Adv. Math.* 20:602–637.
- [19] Kolesnikov, P.S. (2007). On the Wedderburn principal theorem in conformal algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* 6:119–134.
- [20] Kolesnikov, P.S. (2011). On finite representations of conformal algebras. *J. Algebra* 331:169–193.
- [21] Kolesnikov, P.S. (2013). Graded associative conformal algebras of finite type. *Algebras and Repr. Theory* 16(6):1521–1539.
- [22] Kolesnikov, P.S. (2016). The Ado theorem for finite Lie conformal algebras with Levi decomposition. *J. Algebra Appl.* 15:1650130.
- [23] Kolesnikov, P.S. (2020). Gröbner–Shirshov bases for associative conformal algebras with arbitrary locality function. In: Shum, K.P. et al. (eds.) *New Trends in Algebra and Combinatorics. Proceedings of the 3rd International Congress in Algebra and Combinatorics*. World Scientific. pp. 255–267.
- [24] Kolesnikov, P.S. (2020). Universal enveloping Poisson conformal algebras. *Int. J. of Alg. and Comp.* 30(5):1015–1034.
- [25] Kolesnikov, P.S., Kozlov, R.A. (2019). On the Hochschild cohomologies of associative conformal algebras with a finite faithful representation. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 369(1):351–370.
- [26] Kolesnikov, P.S., Kozlov, R.A. (2022). Standard Bases for the Universal Associative Conformal Envelopes of Kac–Moody Conformal Algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theor.* 25:847–867.
- [27] Kolesnikov, P.S., Kozlov, R.A., Panasenko, A.S. (2021). Quadratic Lie conformal superalgebras related to Novikov superalgebras. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 15(4):1485–1500.
- [28] Kolesnikov, P.S., Sartayev, B. Orazgaliev, A. (2019). Gelfand–Dorfman algebras, derived identities, and the Manin product of operads. *J. Algebra* 539:539–584.
- [29] Kozlov, R.A. (2019). Hochschild cohomology of the associative conformal algebra $Cend_{1,x}$. *Algebra and Logic* 58(1):36–47.
- [30] Newman, M.H.A. (1942). On theories with a combinatorial definition of ‘equivalence’. *Ann. Math.* 43:223–243.
- [31] Ni, L., Chen, Y.-Q. (2017). A new composition-diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras. *J. Algebra App.* 16:1–28.
- [32] Retakh, A. (2001). Associative conformal algebras of linear growth. *J. Algebra* 237:769–788.
- [33] Retakh, A. (2004). Unital associative pseudoalgebras and their representations. *J. Algebra* 277:769–805.
- [34] Roitman, M. (1999). On free conformal and vertex algebras. *J. Algebra* 217:496–527.
- [35] Roitman, M. (2000). Universal enveloping conformal algebras. *Sel. Math. New Ser.* 6:319–345.
- [36] Xu, X. (2000). Quadratic conformal superalgebras. *J. Algebra* 231:1–38.
- [37] Xu, X. (2003). Gelfand–Dorfman bialgebras. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 27:561–574.