

Equivariant non-archimedean Arakelov theory of toric varieties

Ana María Botero *

Abstract. We develop an equivariant version of the non-archimedean Arakelov theory of [BGS95a] in the case of toric varieties. We define the equivariant analogues of the non-archimedean differential forms and currents appearing in *loc. cit.* and relate them to piecewise polynomial functions on the polyhedral complexes defining the toric models. In particular, we give combinatorial characterizations of the Green currents associated to invariant cycles and combinatorial descriptions of the arithmetic Chow groups.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Toric schemes over a DVR	10
2.1	SCR polyhedral complexes	10
2.2	Toric schemes over a DVR	13
2.3	Torus orbits	16
3	Equivariant Chow groups of toric schemes	18
3.1	Equivariant Chow homology groups of toric schemes	18
3.2	Equivariant operational Chow cohomology groups of toric varieties .	19
3.3	Equivariant operational Chow groups of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes	22
3.4	Equivariant operational Chow groups of toric schemes	29
4	Equivariant Chow groups of the special fiber	31
4.1	The exact sequences	32
4.2	Rings of affine piecewise polynomial functions	34

*The author was supported by the collaborative research center SFB 1085 *Higher Invariants - Interactions between Arithmetic Geometry and Global Analysis* funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

5	Equivariant forms and currents	35
5.1	The map $\iota^* \iota_*$	35
5.2	Equivariant forms and currents as limits	38
5.3	Some properties	46
5.4	Equivariant δ - and Green currents	48
6	Equivariant non-archimidean arithmetic Chow groups of toric varieties	50
6.1	The equivariant arithmetic Chow group $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^*(X)$	50
6.2	The extended equivariant arithmetic Chow group $\widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^*(X)$	54

1 Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety over a number field K . Classical Arakelov theory ([Ara74], [Ara75], [Fal87], [GS90a], [GS90b]) considers algebraic cycles and vector bundles on a fixed regular model \mathcal{X} of X over the ring of integers of K , together with analytic data on the complex points of X . Adding this data can be thought of as a *compactification* of \mathcal{X} and leads to an arithmetic intersection theory on X . Now, it is an old dream to handle archimedean and non-archimedean places in a similar way. This means that we are looking for a description in terms of forms and currents for the contributions of the non-archimedean places. Such a non-archimedean Arakelov theory at finite places was developed by Bloch–Gillet–Soulé in [BGS95a], based on the Chow groups of *all* regular proper models over the valuation ring. A drawback of this theory is that it relies strongly on the conjectured existence of resolution of singularities for models in mixed characteristics. However, under this hypothesis, it establishes a complete dictionary between objects in differential geometry and intersection theory. For example, for any integer $k \geq 0$, the authors define analogues of the four groups: closed (k, k) -forms, (k, k) -forms modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$, closed (k, k) -currents and (k, k) -currents modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$. These groups are identified with appropriate direct and inverse limits of Chow cycle groups and operational Chow cohomology groups of special fibers of the regular models. They also define a non-archimidean analogue of the dd^c operator in complex differential geometry and show that these groups fit into natural exact sequences analogous to the ones occurring in the archimedean case.

The present article studies an equivariant version of this theory in the case of toric varieties over K . It turns out that in this setting, we can give combinatorial characterizations of all of the objects involved. Moreover, resolution of singularities is always satisfied in this case. As we will see, this theory suggests that the non-archimedean analogue of a (smooth) toric metric on a toric vector bundle is the choice of a toric model and a piecewise polynomial function on the polyhedral complex associated to the toric model. This generalizes [BPS14], where toric *model* metrics on toric line bundles correspond to piecewise affine functions on the polyhedral complex. We

generalize this analogy to include singular metrics. This is explained in more detail below.

The fact that one can mimick forms and currents on archimedean places by taking direct and inverse limits of Chow groups of regular models goes in line with the recent attempt of several authors to view the first Chern class of a line bundle endowed with a semi-positive singular metric as a so called *b-divisor* encoding the singularities of the metric. This is a tower of divisors indexed by projective compactifications, compatible under pushforward, defined on each model by taking the Lelong numbers of the local potentials defining the singular semi-positive metric. Such an attempt was implemented in the archimedean case in [Bot+22], leading to Chern-Weil type formulae. In the non-archimedean case, Boucksom, Favre and Jonsson gave an approach to non-archimedean plurisubharmonic functions via skeletons in the case of residue characteristic 0 (see [BFJ16] and [BFJ08]).

Before we state our main results, we recall the main definitions and statements from [BGS95a] in more detail.

The setting is as follows. Let R be an excellent discrete valuation ring with quotient field K and residue field κ . Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over K . Set $S = \text{Spec}(R)$. A *model* of X over S is a proper and flat S -scheme \mathcal{X} together with an isomorphism of the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_\eta \simeq X$. Assume resolution of singularities of models of X over S (we refer to [BGS95a, pg. 432] for the precise definitions). In particular this means that any model is dominated by an snc model (i.e. a model whose reduced special fiber is a simple normal crossings divisor). Hence the set $\mathcal{R}(X)$ of snc models of X is endowed with a directed set structure.

For any S -scheme \mathcal{X} one considers Chow homology groups $\text{CH}_k(\mathcal{X}/S)$ and Fulton's operational Chow cohomology groups $\text{CH}^k(\mathcal{X}/S)$ (see [Ful98, Chapters 17, 20]). Note that in [BGS95a] and in [Bot22] an *absolute* notion of dimension is considered. This differs from the *relative* notion in Fulton by $+1$. The notation $"/S$ is in order to emphasize that we are considering this absolute notion. The operational Chow cohomology group $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ carries a graded ring structure, and taking cap products, $\text{CH}_*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ becomes a graded $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ -module.

For models in $\mathcal{R}(X)$, the authors assume that Poincaré duality holds, i.e. that capping with the fundamental class

$$\text{CH}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}/S), \quad c \longmapsto c \cap [\mathcal{X}]$$

is an isomorphism. Apparently, this holds if one considers Chow groups tensored with \mathbb{Q} by the arguments in Kleimann in [KS87].

We will show explicitly in Theorem 3.13 that Poincaré duality holds in our equivariant setting for toric models over S .

Now, if \mathcal{X} is an snc model of X then it turns out that the Chow groups of the special fiber, seen as a (not necessarily irreducible nor reduced) snc model over κ can be computed in terms of Chow groups of smooth varieties. Explicitly, write $\mathcal{X}_s^{[i]}$ for

the disjoint union of i -fold intersections of irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_s . The Chow homology groups $\mathrm{CH}_k(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa)$ fit into an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{CH}_k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[2]}/\kappa) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/\kappa) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa) \longrightarrow 0$$

Similarly, the operational Chow cohomology groups $\mathrm{CH}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa)$ fit into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/\kappa) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[2]}/\kappa).$$

Both groups are co- and contravariant for maps of special fibers induced by maps of models. Taking direct and inverse limits, one obtains the four groups $\tilde{A}^{k,k}(X)$, $A_{\mathrm{closed}}^{k,k}(X)$, $\tilde{D}^{k,k}(X)$ and $D_{\mathrm{closed}}^{k,k}(X)$ of closed (k, k) -forms, (k, k) -forms modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$, closed (k, k) -currents and (k, k) -currents modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$, respectively.

For an snc model \mathcal{X} in $\mathbb{R}(X)$ let $\iota: \mathcal{X}_s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the inclusion of the special fiber. Consider the map

$$\iota^* \iota_*: \mathrm{CH}_{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{k+1}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

consisting of pushing cycles forward from the special fiber to the model, then using Poincaré duality, and then pulling back.

The first main result in [BGS95a] states that the kernels of $\iota^* \iota_*$ for all choices of models \mathcal{X} are isomorphic. And similar for the cokernels. We will see that in our toric equivariant setting, the existence of a toric canonical model, which is smooth, implies that we can explicitly describe $\ker(\iota^* \iota_*)$ which in this case is isomorphic to $\mathrm{coker}(\iota^* \iota_*)$.

Now, the map $\iota^* \iota_*$ is compatible with maps of special fibers induced by maps of models, hence one can define limit versions of this map:

$$\mathrm{dd}^c: \tilde{A}^{k,k}(X) \longrightarrow A_{\mathrm{closed}}^{k+1,k+1}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{dd}^c: \tilde{D}^{k,k}(X) \longrightarrow D_{\mathrm{closed}}^{k+1,k+1}(X).$$

These are shown to satisfy some regularity properties (see Proposition 5.11 for the equivariant version). These dd^c maps are non-archimedean analogues of the dd^c maps appearing in complex differential geometry.

Let $Z \subseteq X$ be an algebraic cycle of codimension k . A *Green current* for Z is an element $g_Z \in \tilde{D}^{k,k}(X)$ such that

$$\mathrm{dd}^c(g_Z) + \delta_Z \in A_{\mathrm{closed}}^{k,k}(X).$$

Here, $\delta_Z \in D_{\mathrm{closed}}^{k,k}(X)$ is the projective system consisting of the Zariski closures of Z in the snc models of X . The k 'th arithmetic Chow group $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^k(X)$ is defined mimicking the classical arithmetic Chow groups: generators are pairs of the form (Z, g_Z) ,

where Z is an algebraic cycle of codimension k on X and g_Z is a Green current for Z , and relations are given by rational equivalence.

The second main result in [BGS95a] states that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{X}} \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}/S).$$

The graded algebra structure on $\text{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ for any model \mathcal{X} induces a graded algebra structure on the direct limit. Hence, via the above isomorphism, $\widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)$ inherits a graded algebra structure.

One can interpret this result as saying that the non-archimedean analogue of choosing a (smooth) hermitian metric on a vector bundle E on X is the choice of a snc model \mathcal{X} of X together with an extension of E to a vector bundle \mathfrak{E} on \mathcal{X} .

Finally, in [GS00] the authors consider an extended version of the arithmetic Chow group $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^k(X) \supseteq \widehat{\text{CH}}^k(X)$. Generators are pairs of the form (Z, g) , where Z is an algebraic cycle of codimension k in X , and g is any current in $\widetilde{D}^{k,k}(X)$ (not necessarily a Green current). Relations are given again by rational equivalence. It is shown in *loc. cit.* that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(X) \simeq \varinjlim_{\mathcal{X}} \text{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}/S).$$

Note that since the pushforward is a map of groups, not of rings, there is a priori no ring structure on $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(X)$. However, one can think of elements in $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^*(X)$ as some kind of b-cycles. Hence, it seems possible to define an intersection pairing if one is willing to restrict to some kind of “positive” elements. We will pursue this idea in the future.

We remark that one can interpret the isomorphism above as saying that the non-archimedean analogue of choosing a (singular) hermitian metric on a vector bundle E on X is the choice of a tower of extensions $\{\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{X}}\}_{\mathcal{X}}$, where $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a vector bundle on \mathcal{X} , extending E , compatible under pushforward.

Statement of the main results

Let R, K, κ and S as before. Assume that $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}$ is algebraically closed and that N is the cocharacter lattice of a split torus \mathbb{T}_{κ} over K . Let $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and set \mathbb{T}_S , a split torus over S . Let $X = X_{\Sigma}$ be a smooth projective toric variety of dimension n over K with corresponding (regular, complete) fan $\Sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

In this case, one can consider so called *toric* models of X over S (see Definition 2.12). As it turns out, regular proper toric models of X over S are in bijection with regular, complete SCR polyhedral complexes Π in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ having recession fan equal to Σ (we refer to Section 2 for details). Given such a toric model \mathcal{X}_{Π} corresponding to a

SCR polyhedral complex Π , the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s}$ is then a union of toric varieties glued in a way which is dictated by the combinatorics of the complex Π . Moreover, consider $c(\Pi) \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, the *cone* of Π . This defines a rational polyhedral fan in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, whose cones correspond (in order reversing correspondence) to toric orbits. We denote by $V(\sigma)$ the Zariski closure of the torus orbit corresponding to a cone $\sigma \in c(\Pi)$. If $\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}$, then $V(\sigma)$ is a horizontal cycle. Otherwise, it is vertical.

An equivariant map of toric models then corresponds to a map between SCR polyhedral complexes. The fact that given such a complex, one can always subdivide it into a regular one, implies that we always have resolution of singularities of toric models. In particular, the set $R(\Sigma)$ consisting of regular proper toric models of X is directed.

We shall consider the category of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes over S , i.e. integral separated S -schemes of finite type \mathcal{X} with a torus $\mathbb{T}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\eta$ and an S -action of \mathbb{T}_S over \mathcal{X} that extends the action of \mathbb{T}_K on itself by translations. For a \mathbb{T}_S -scheme \mathcal{X} , we consider the equivariant Chow homology groups $\mathrm{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S)$ and the equivariant operational Chow cohomology groups $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$. These groups are introduced in [EG98, Section 6.2] for more general group actions. We recall the definitions in Section 3. As in the non-equivariant setting, the group $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ carries a graded algebra structure.

Let \tilde{S} be the equivariant Chow homology group of S . If $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ is a toric scheme corresponding to a SCR complex Π , then we show in Proposition 3.2 that $\mathrm{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S)$ is an \tilde{S} -module, defined by generators $[V(\sigma)]$ for $\sigma \in c(\Pi)$. In this case, we also have a combinatorial description of the cohomology groups: let $\mathrm{PP}^*(c(\Pi))$ denote the ring of piecewise polynomial functions on $c(\Pi)$ (see Section 3.2 for the definition of this ring). The following is Theorem 3.16 in the text.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a natural graded algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S) \simeq \mathrm{PP}^*(c(\Pi)). \quad (1.1)$$

The above result extends the result in [Pay06, Theorem 1] where the statement is proved for toric varieties over a field.

As in the non-equivariant case, there is a natural Poincaré duality map

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{n+1-k}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S)$$

given by capping with the fundamental class. As we mentioned before, we will show in Theorem 3.13 that this map is an isomorphism. Moreover, if $\sigma \in c(\Pi)$ is a cone of dimension k , then under (1.1), the invariant subvariety $V(\sigma) \in \mathrm{CH}_{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}/S)$ is identified with the piecewise polynomial function ρ_σ of degree k from Definition 3.5.

Now, as in the non-equivariant case, we show that the equivariant Chow groups of the special fiber of a toric scheme can be computed in terms of the equivariant Chow groups of smooth varieties, which in this case are even toric. Explicitly, let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ be the toric scheme corresponding to a complete SCR polyhedral complex Π . In Proposition 4.2 we show that we have the following exact sequences

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bdd}}} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(V(\gamma)/\kappa) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \bigoplus_{v \in \Pi(0)} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1}(V(v)/\kappa) \rightarrow \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{\mathbb{T}_k}(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \Pi(0)} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(V(v)/\kappa) \xrightarrow{\rho} \bigoplus_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bdd}}} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(V(\gamma)/\kappa).$$

In Section 4 we give explicit descriptions of the the maps γ and ρ in terms of piecewise polynomial functions. This leads to a particularly nice characterization of $\text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa)$. The following is Theorem 4.4 in the text.

Theorem 1.2. *The equivariant operational Chow ring of the special fiber $\text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa)$ can be identified with the ring of affine piecewise polynomial functions $\text{PP}^*(\Pi)$.*

Again, both groups are co- and contravariant for maps of special fibers induced by maps of toric models. Taking direct and inverse limits, one obtains the four groups $\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$, $A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$, $\tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ and $D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ of closed equivariant (k, k) -forms, equivariant (k, k) -forms modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$, closed equivariant (k, k) -currents and equivariant (k, k) -currents modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$, respectively. In Proposition 5.7 we give combinatorial descriptions of these groups. In particular, we obtain the following nice description of the closed forms and currents.

Proposition 1.3. *Let notations be as above.*

- *We have*

$$A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{*,*}(X) \simeq \{f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \Pi \in R(\Sigma) \text{ with } f \in \text{PP}(\Pi)\}.$$

- *An element in $D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ is a tuple $(f_{\Pi'})_{\Pi' \in R(\Sigma)}$, where $f_{\Pi'} \in \text{PP}(\Pi')$, compatible under the pushforward map. Such a tuple determines a function*

$$f: N_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

defined by sending a vector $v \in N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to $f_{\Pi'}(v)$ where $\Pi' \in R(\Sigma)$ is any model having v as a vertex.

In Example 5.8 we make a connection with so called toric b-divisors in the case $k = 1$.

Now, also in this equivariant setting, given a model $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_\Gamma$ of X we have a map

$$\iota^* \iota_* : \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k+1}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

consisting of pushing cycles forward from the special fiber to the model, then using Poincaré duality, and then pulling back. We show in Section 5.1 that one can compute this map via the composition $-\gamma\rho$, where γ and ρ are the maps given above. We provide an explicit combinatorial description of this composition in terms of piecewise polynomial functions.

As we already mentioned, we show that $\ker(\iota^* \iota_*)$ (resp. $\mathrm{coker}(\iota^* \iota_*)$) is independent on the model. Hence, we may consider the canonical model \mathcal{X}_Σ , which is smooth, and we obtain the following corollary (which follows from Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.5 in the text).

Corollary 1.4. *We have*

$$\ker(\iota^* \iota_*) \simeq \mathrm{coker}(\iota^* \iota_*) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X_\Sigma/\mathbb{K}).$$

The map $\iota^* \iota_*$ is again compatible with maps of special fibers induced by maps of toric models, hence one has the limit versions

$$\mathrm{dd}^c : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1, k+1}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{dd}^c : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1, k+1}(X).$$

As we already mentioned, these satisfy the regularity property stated in Proposition 5.11.

Now, mimicking the non-equivariant theory, given an invariant algebraic cycle $Z \subseteq X$ of codimension k , we define an *equivariant Green current for Z* to be an element $g_Z \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ such that

$$\mathrm{dd}^c(g_Z) + \delta_Z \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X).$$

Here, $\delta_Z \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{closed}}^{k,k}(X)$ is the projective system consisting of the Zariski closures of Z in the toric models of X .

The k 'th *equivariant arithmetic Chow group* $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ is then the group generated by pairs of the form (Z, g_Z) , where Z is an invariant algebraic cycle of codimension k on X and g_Z is a Green current for Z , modulo "invariant" rational equivalence (see Section 6.1 for details).

We have the following combinatorial description of $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ (see Theorem 6.4 in the text).

Theorem 1.5. *There are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \simeq \varinjlim_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \simeq \mathrm{PP}_{\Sigma}^k(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}),$$

where

$$\mathrm{PP}_{\Sigma}^k(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) := \{f: \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma) \text{ with } f \in \mathrm{PP}(c(\Pi))\}.$$

Hence, $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X)$ inherits a graded algebra structure given by multiplication of piecewise polynomial functions (see Corollary 6.6).

Note that for $k = 1$ this isomorphism is saying that the non-archimedean analogue of choosing a (smooth) hermitian *toric* metric on a *toric* line bundle associated to a virtual support function ψ , is the choice of a piecewise affine function on $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose recession function agrees ψ (see Remark 6.5). These are the so called *toric model metrics* from [BPS14].

Similar to the non-equivariant case, we define an extended equivariant arithmetic Chow group $\widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$. In Theorem 6.8 we show:

Theorem 1.6. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X) \simeq \varprojlim_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(\mathcal{X}/S).$$

As we mentioned before, this is not a ring, it is however a $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X)$ -module by considering the product with piecewise polynomial functions.

By Remark 6.11, an element in $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ can be viewed as a function $f: \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for $k = 1$, we could interpret this isomorphism as saying that the non-archimedean analogue of a (singular) hermitian *toric* metric on a *toric* line bundle associated to a virtual support function ψ is the choice of a function $f: \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \{0\}$ is ψ . This extends the class of toric metrics considered in [BPS14] (see Proposition 4.3.10 in *loc. cit.*).

Outline of the paper

In Section 2 we recall the combinatorial characterization of toric schemes over a discrete valuation ring (DVR) in terms of SCR polyhedral complexes. In Section 3 we consider the equivariant Chow homology group and the equivariant operational Chow cohomology ring of a \mathbb{T}_S -scheme \mathcal{X} . We show that Poincaré duality holds for a toric scheme and that its equivariant operational Chow cohomology ring is isomorphic to the ring of piecewise polynomial functions on the cone over the polyhedral complex defining the toric scheme (Theorem 1.1). In Section 4 we give combinatorial descriptions of the equivariant Chow homology group and of the equivariant

operational Chow cohomology ring of the special fiber of a toric scheme. For this we show that these groups can be computed in terms of equivariant Chow groups of smooth toric varieties over a field via an exact sequence. In particular, we show that the equivariant Chow cohomology ring of the special fiber can be identified with a ring of affine piecewise polynomial functions (Theorem 1.2).

Section 5 contains the main definitions of this paper, namely the equivariant forms and currents on a toric variety defined over a discretely valued field. We start by describing combinatorially the map $\iota^* \iota_*$ mentioned before. Then we define the equivariant forms and currents as limits of equivariant Chow groups of special fibers and characterize these combinatorially (Proposition 1.3). We also define an equivariant Green currents associated to an invariant cycle.

In Section 6 we define the equivariant arithmetic Chow group of a toric variety over a discretely valued field and an extended version thereof. We show that these can be identified with direct and inverse limits of equivariant Chow groups of toric models, respectively (Theorems 1.5 and 1.6).

Conventions

For the whole article K denotes a field equipped with a non-trivial discrete valuation $\text{val}_K: K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by R the corresponding valuation ring, \mathfrak{m} its maximal ideal with generator ϖ , and by κ the residue field, which we assume to be algebraically closed.

We set $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ and denote by η and by s the generic and special points of S , respectively. All schemes over S are assumed to be integral and of finite type. Given a scheme \mathcal{X} over S , we set $\mathcal{X}_\eta := \mathcal{X} \otimes_S \text{Spec}(K)$ for the generic, and $\mathcal{X}_s := \mathcal{X} \otimes_S \text{Spec}(\kappa)$ for the special fiber.

All of the Chow groups considered in this article are assumed to be tensored with \mathbb{Q} .

Acknowledgements: I am thankful to José Burgos and Roberto Gualdi for useful and enlightening discussions.

2 Toric schemes over a DVR

The goal of this section is to recall the combinatorial characterization of toric schemes over a discrete valuation ring (DVR). We follow mainly [BPS14, Chapter 3].

2.1 SCR polyhedral complexes

Let N be an n -dimensional lattice. We denote by $M = N^\vee$ its dual lattice. For any ring R we write N_R and M_R for the tensor products $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$ and $M_R = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$,

respectively. We first gather some notions regarding polyhedral and polyhedral complexes.

Polyhedra

Definition 2.1. A *polyhedron* in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex set defined as the intersection of a finite number of closed halfspaces. It is *strongly convex* if it does not contain any line. A *polyhedral cone* is a polyhedron σ such that $\lambda\sigma = \sigma$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. A *polytope* is a bounded polyhedron.

A polyhedron Λ in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be also described as the Minkowski sum of a polyhedral cone and a polytope, i.e. there exists a set of vectors $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^k$ and a non-empty set of points $\{b_j\}_{j=k+1}^{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$\Lambda = \text{cone}(b_1, \dots, b_k) + \text{conv}(b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{\ell}), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\text{cone}(b_1, \dots, b_k) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j b_j \mid \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

is the cone generated by the given set of vectors (we assume that $\text{cone}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$) and

$$\text{conv}(b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{\ell}) = \left\{ \sum_{j=k+1}^{\ell} \lambda_j b_j \mid \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \sum_{j=k+1}^{\ell} \lambda_j = 1 \right\}$$

is the convex hull of the given set of points.

Definition 2.2. A polyhedron Λ is said to be rational if it admits a representation as in (2.1) with the $b_j \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Definition 2.3. Let Λ be a polyhedron in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and consider a representation as in (2.1). The *recession* of Λ is the polyhedral cone $\text{rec}(\Lambda)$ in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$\text{rec}(\Lambda) := \text{cone}(b_1, \dots, b_k).$$

Similar to polytopes, polyhedra have supporting hyperplanes, faces, facets, vertices, edges, etc. Given two polyhedra Λ and Λ' , we write $\Lambda < \Lambda'$ whenever Λ is a face of Λ' . Note that in general, in contrast to a polytope, a polyhedron can have no vertices. However, in the case where the recession cone is strongly convex this cannot happen. Indeed, if Λ is a polyhedron with strongly convex recession cone σ , then by [CLS10, Lemma 7.1.1] we have that the set of vertices $V := \{v \in \Lambda \mid v \text{ vertex}\}$ is finite and non-empty. Moreover, in this case, Λ can be written as the Minkowski sum $\Lambda = \text{conv}(V) + \sigma$.

As in the polytope case, if Λ is rational and full dimensional, then it has a unique facet presentation

$$\Lambda = \{m \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle m, u_F \rangle \geq -\alpha_F \forall \text{ facet } F\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $u_F \in M$ is a primitive inward pointing facet normal vector, and $\alpha_F \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 2.4. Let Λ be a polyhedron in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The cone $c(\Lambda)$ is defined by

$$c(\Lambda) := \overline{\mathbb{R}_{>0}(\Lambda \times \{1\})} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

It is a closed cone in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Polyhedral complexes

Definition 2.5. A polyhedral complex Π in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a collection of polyhedra in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) Every face of an element of Π is again an element in Π .
- (2) Every two elements of Π are either disjoint or they intersect in a common face.

The support of Π is defined as the set

$$|\Pi| := \bigcup_{\Lambda \in \Pi} \Lambda.$$

For any integer k , the k -*skeleton* of a polyhedral complex Π is the collection of all polyhedra in Π of dimension k . We denote it by $\Pi(k)$.

The polyhedral complex Π is said to be *complete* if $|\Pi| = \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is said to be *strongly convex* or *rational*, if all of its elements are strongly convex, respectively rational. It is called *conical* if all of its elements are polyhedral cones. A strongly convex conical polyhedral complex is called a *fan*.

In the following, a SCR polyhedral complex will stand for a strongly convex rational polyhedral complex.

Definition 2.6. Let Π be a polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The *recession* of Π is defined by

$$\text{rec}(\Pi) := \{\text{rec}(\Lambda) \mid \Lambda \in \Pi\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The *cone* of Π is defined by

$$c(\Pi) := \{c(\Lambda) \mid \Lambda \in \Pi\} \cup \{\sigma \times \{0\} \mid \sigma \in \text{rec}(\Pi)\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

Remark 2.7. In general, it is not true that the recession or the cone of a given polyhedral complex is again a polyhedral complex (see [BPS14, Example 2.1.6]). However, by the following proposition, this is true if the complex is complete.

Proposition 2.8. *Let Π be a complete polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\text{rec}(\Pi)$ and $\text{c}(\Pi)$ are complete conical polyhedral complexes in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, respectively. If Π is strongly convex or rational, then $\text{rec}(\Pi)$ and $\text{c}(\Pi)$ are fans, respectively rational.*

Proof. This follows from [BPS14, Proposition 2.1.7 and Remark 2.1.12]. ■

The following proposition relates polyhedral complexes in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with conical polyhedral complexes in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ in the complete case.

Proposition 2.9. *The correspondence*

$$\Pi \mapsto \text{c}(\Pi)$$

is a bijection between the set of complete polyhedral complexes in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the set of complete conical polyhedral complexes in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Its inverse is the correspondence that to each complete conical polyhedral complex Γ in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ associates the polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ obtained by intersecting Γ with the hyperplane $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\}$. These bijections preserve rationality and strong convexity.

Proof. This follows from [BPS14, Corollary 2.1.13]. ■

Definition 2.10. A complete SCR polyhedral complex Π is said to be *regular* if $\text{c}(\Pi)$ is regular, i.e. if each cone in the fan $\text{c}(\Pi)$ is generated by a subset of a basis of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

2.2 Toric schemes over a DVR

We recall the combinatorial characterization of proper toric schemes over S in terms of complete SCR polyhedral complexes. We follow [BPS14, Section 3.5].

Assume that \mathbb{N} is the cocharacter lattice of a split torus \mathbb{T}_K over K of dimension n . As before, $M = \mathbb{N}^\vee$ denotes its dual lattice. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{M} := M \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and set $\mathbb{T}_S \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,S}^n$, a split torus over S .

The following definition is taken from [BPS14, Definition 3.5.1].

Definition 2.11. *A toric scheme over S of relative dimension n is a normal integral separated scheme of finite type \mathcal{X} , equipped with a dense open embedding $\mathbb{T}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\eta$ and an S -action of \mathbb{T}_S over \mathcal{X} that extends the action of \mathbb{T}_K on itself by translations.*

Note that if \mathcal{X} is a toric scheme over S , then \mathcal{X}_η is a toric variety over K with torus \mathbb{T}_K .

The following is [BPS14, Definition 3.5.2].

Definition 2.12. Let X be a toric variety over K with torus \mathbb{T}_K and let \mathcal{X} be a toric scheme over S with torus \mathbb{T}_S . We say that \mathcal{X} is a *toric model of X over S* if the identity morphism on \mathbb{T}_K can be extended to an isomorphism from X to \mathcal{X}_η . If \mathcal{X}' and \mathcal{X} are two toric models of X over S and $\alpha: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivariant S -morphism, we say that α is a *morphism of toric models* if its restriction to \mathbb{T}_K is the identity. Two toric models are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists a morphism of toric models between them which is an isomorphism.

Definition 2.13. A toric model \mathcal{X} is said to be *regular* or *proper*, if it is a regular, respectively, a proper scheme.

Remark 2.14. It follows from [Kem+73, Chapter IV] that any toric model \mathcal{X} of X over S is dominated by a regular one, i.e. there exists a regular toric model \mathcal{X}' of X over S together with a morphism of toric models $\mu: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Definition 2.15. Let X be a complete toric variety over K . The set $R(X)$ consists of all isomorphism classes of regular proper toric models of X over S . It is endowed with the partial order given by $\mathcal{X}' \geq \mathcal{X}$ if \mathcal{X}' dominates \mathcal{X} , i.e. if there exists a morphism of toric models $\mu: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. By Remark 2.14, the partial order " \geq " defines a directed set structure on $R(X)$.

Combinatorial characterization

Let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be a rational fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. To $\tilde{\Sigma}$ we can associate a toric scheme over S , which we denote by $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$. This is done in the usual way by associating an affine toric scheme \mathcal{X}_σ to each cone $\sigma \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ and then applying an appropriate gluing construction

$$\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}} := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \tilde{\Sigma}} \mathcal{X}_\sigma. \quad (2.3)$$

We gather here some properties regarding this construction and refer for details to [BPS14, Section 3.5].

- (1) There are two different types of cones in $\tilde{\Sigma}$. The ones that are contained in the hyperplane $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}$ and the ones that are not. In general, if σ is contained in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}$, then \mathcal{X}_σ is contained in the generic fiber and it agrees with the classical affine toric variety X_σ over K . Note that if $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is complete in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, then by Proposition 2.9 we have that the cones contained in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}$ are the ones coming from recession cones of the polyhedra in the polyhedral complex $\Pi = \tilde{\Sigma} \cap (N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\})$.

If σ is not contained in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}$ then \mathcal{X}_σ is not contained in the generic fiber.

- (2) Set

$$\Pi := \tilde{\Sigma} \cap (N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma := \tilde{\Sigma} \cap (N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}).$$

Then, given polyhedra Λ, Λ' in Π with $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$, we have a natural open immersion of affine toric schemes $\mathcal{X}_\Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda'}$. Moreover, if a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is a face of a cone $c(\Lambda)$ for some $\Lambda \in \Pi$, then the affine toric variety X_σ is also an open subscheme of \mathcal{X}_Λ . The gluing construction (2.3) can be written as

$$\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}} = \bigcup_{\Lambda \in \Pi} \mathcal{X}_\Lambda \cup \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} X_\sigma.$$

(3) There are open immersions

$$\mathbb{T}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\eta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$$

of schemes over S and there is an action of \mathbb{T}_S over $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$, constructed as in the case of toric varieties over a field, which extends the action of \mathbb{T}_K on itself. It follows that $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is a toric scheme over S .

- (4) Σ is a fan and defines a toric variety X_Σ over K which coincides with the generic fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}, \eta}$. It follows that $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is a toric model of X_Σ .
- (5) The special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}, s}$ has an induced action by \mathbb{T}_k but, in general, it is not a toric variety over k (it is not necessarily irreducible nor reduced). It is reduced if and only if the vertices of all $\Lambda \in \Pi$ are contained in the lattice N . The reduced schemes associated to its irreducible components are toric varieties over k with this action (see Subsection 2.3).
- (6) If the fan $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is complete in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, then the scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is proper over S . In this case, the set $\{\mathcal{X}_\Lambda\}_{\Lambda \in \Pi}$ is an open cover of $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$.

In fact, any toric scheme over S of relative dimension n arises from such a rational fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The following follows from [Kem+73, Section IV. 3].

Theorem 2.16. *The correspondence*

$$\tilde{\Sigma} \longmapsto \mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$$

is a bijection between the set of rational fans in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and the set of isomorphism classes of toric schemes over S of relative dimension n . The scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ is proper if and only if $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is complete in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. It is regular if and only if $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is regular.

Together with Proposition 2.9, we obtain the following (see also [BPS14, Theorem 3.5.3]).

Theorem 2.17. *The correspondence*

$$\Pi \longmapsto \mathcal{X}_{c(\Pi)}$$

gives a bijection between complete SCR polyhedral complexes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and isomorphism classes of proper toric schemes over S of relative dimension n . Moreover, Π is regular if and only if $\mathcal{X}_{c(\Pi)}$ is regular.

Now, fix a complete fan Σ in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Corollary 2.18. *The correspondence*

$$\Pi \longmapsto \mathcal{X}_{c(\Pi)}$$

gives a bijection between complete SCR polyhedral complexes in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\text{rec}(\Pi) = \Sigma$ and isomorphism classes of proper toric models of \mathcal{X}_{Σ} over S . Moreover, Π is regular if and only if $\mathcal{X}_{c(\Pi)}$ is regular.

Given a complete polyhedral complex Π , in order to simplify notation, we write \mathcal{X}_{Π} for $\mathcal{X}_{c(\Pi)}$.

Definition 2.19. Note that Σ is also a complete SCR polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\text{rec}(\Sigma) = \Sigma$. The toric scheme \mathcal{X}_{Σ} is hence a model over S of \mathcal{X}_{Σ} which is called the *canonical model*. Its special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\Sigma, s} = \mathcal{X}_{\Sigma, k}$ is the toric variety over k defined by the fan Σ .

Definition 2.20. Consider the set $R(\Sigma)$ of all complete regular SCR polyhedral complexes Π in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\text{rec}(\Pi) = \Sigma$. It is endowed with the partial order given by

$$\Pi' \geq \Pi \quad \text{iff} \quad c(\Pi') \text{ is a subdivision of } c(\Pi).$$

Given two elements in $R(\Sigma)$ there is always a third one dominating both. This gives a directed set structure.

Now, given $\Pi' \geq \Pi$ in $R(\Sigma)$ we have an induced proper morphism of toric models $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Pi}$ and conversely, any such morphism is induced by a subdivision (see [Kem+73]). Hence $R(\Sigma)$ agrees with the directed set $R(\mathcal{X}_{\Sigma})$ of regular proper toric models of \mathcal{X}_{Σ} from Definition 2.15.

Remark 2.21. Note that the canonical model defines a minimal element in $R(\Sigma)$. The existence of this canonical model, which is smooth, will play an important role afterwards.

2.3 Torus orbits

As before, N is the cocharacter lattice of a split torus \mathbb{T}_K over K of dimension n and $M = N^{\vee}$ is its dual lattice. Let Π be a complete regular SCR polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let \mathcal{X}_{Π} be the corresponding proper regular toric scheme over S . Set $\Sigma = \text{rec}(\Pi)$.

We recall the combinatorial characterization of torus orbits in \mathcal{X}_{Π} . We mainly follow [BPS14, Section 3.5].

There are two kinds of toric orbits.

- First, there is a bijection between cones in Σ and the set of orbits under the action of \mathbb{T}_κ on $\mathcal{X}_{\Pi, \eta}$ taking σ to the Zariski closure $V(\sigma)$ in \mathcal{X}_Π of the orbit $O(\sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\Pi, \eta} = X_\Sigma$. Then $V(\sigma)$ is a horizontal scheme over S in the sense that the structure morphism $V(\sigma) \rightarrow S$ is dominant, of relative dimension $n - \dim(\sigma)$.

Moreover, $V(\sigma)$ has itself the structure of a regular proper toric scheme over S . Indeed, let $N(\sigma) = N/(N \cap \mathbb{R}\sigma)$, $M(\sigma) = N(\sigma)^\vee$ and $\pi_\sigma: N_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow N(\sigma)_\mathbb{R}$ the linear projection. Consider the set

$$\Pi(\sigma) = \{\pi_\sigma(\Lambda) \mid \Lambda \in \Pi, \sigma \subseteq \text{rec}(\Lambda)\} \subseteq N(\sigma)_\mathbb{R}.$$

Then $\Pi(\sigma)$ is a complete regular SCR polyhedral complex in $N(\sigma)_\mathbb{R}$ and we have an isomorphism of toric schemes over S

$$V(\sigma) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\Pi(\sigma)}$$

(see [BPS14, Proposition 3.5.7]).

- On the other hand, there is a bijection between polyhedra in Π and the set of orbits under the action of \mathbb{T}_κ on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\Pi, s}$. Let $\tilde{N} = N \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Given $\Lambda \in \Pi$, set $\tilde{N}(\Lambda) = \tilde{N}/(\tilde{N} \cap \mathbb{R}c(\Lambda))$, $\tilde{M}(\Lambda) = \tilde{N}(\Lambda)^\vee$ and $\pi_\Lambda: \tilde{N}_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\Lambda)_\mathbb{R}$ the linear projection. Then to Λ one associates a vertical cycle $V(\Lambda)$ (vertical in the sense that it is contained in the special fiber). It has the structure of a toric variety over κ with torus $\mathbb{T}(\Lambda) = \text{Spec}\left(\kappa \left[\tilde{M}(\Lambda)\right]\right)$ and corresponding fan given by

$$\Pi(\Lambda) = \{\pi_\Lambda(c(\Lambda')) \mid \Lambda' \in \Pi, \Lambda < \Lambda'\} \subseteq \tilde{N}(\Lambda)_\mathbb{R}$$

(see [BPS14, Proposition 3.5.8]). Here, recall that “ $<$ ” stands for “is a face of”. In particular, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the vertices of Π and the irreducible components of the special fiber:

$$v \leftrightarrow V(v).$$

The toric orbits contained in $V(v)$ correspond to the polyhedra $\Lambda \in \Pi$ containing v . In particular, the components given by two vertices v and v' share an orbit of dimension ℓ if and only if there exists a polyhedron of dimension $n - \ell$ containing both v and v' .

Remark 2.22. The special fiber is not necessarily reduced. It is reduced if and only if all of the vertices of Π are in N (see [BPS14, Example 3.6.11]).

3 Equivariant Chow groups of toric schemes

Assume that N is the cocharacter lattice of a split torus \mathbb{T}_K over K of dimension n and set $\mathbb{T}_S \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,S}^n$ a split torus over S . We consider the category of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes over S , i.e. integral separated S -schemes of finite type with a torus $\mathbb{T}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\eta$ and an S -action of \mathbb{T}_S over \mathcal{X} that extends the action of \mathbb{T}_K on itself by translations. Morphisms of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes are \mathbb{T}_S -equivariant.

In this section we study properties of the equivariant Chow homology and the equivariant operational Chow cohomology group of a \mathbb{T}_S -scheme \mathcal{X} . The latter carries a ring structure. We show that Poincaré duality holds for a toric schemes and that its equivariant operational Chow cohomology ring is isomorphic to the ring of piecewise polynomial functions on the cone over the polyhedral complex defining the toric scheme. This generalizes the case of toric varieties over a field (see [Pay06, Theorem 1]). We will make use of [EG98],[Bri97], [Bri96] and [KS87].

Recall that we assume that the Chow groups are tensored with \mathbb{Q} .

3.1 Equivariant Chow homology groups of toric schemes

For any \mathbb{T}_S -scheme \mathcal{X} over S we consider the equivariant Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S)$ in the sense of [EG98, Section 6.2]. Recall that these are given by choosing a finitely generated projective S -module E , such that \mathbb{T}_S acts freely on an open set $U \subseteq E$ whose complement has arbitrarily high codimension. Then the k 'th equivariant Chow group of \mathcal{X} is defined as $\mathrm{CH}_{k+\ell-n-1}(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathbb{T}_S} U/S)$, where $\ell = \dim(U/S)$.

Remark 3.1. The notation “/S” is to emphasize that we are using the notion of *S-absolute* dimension. This coincides with the notion of *relative* dimension given in [Ful98, Section 20.1] plus 1. We choose to work with this absolute notion because it coincides with the one used in [Bot22] and in [BGS95a].

If \mathcal{X} is a toric scheme, then, as in [Bri96, Section 2.2], one can choose the approximation U in such a way that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathbb{T}_S} U$ is again a toric scheme over S . Hence, standard results on Chow groups of toric schemes can be carried out to the equivariant setting. In particular, we have the following description of the equivariant Chow groups. Set $\mathrm{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\tilde{S} = \mathrm{Sym}(\tilde{N}_\mathbb{Q})$ be the equivariant Chow group of S and let \mathcal{X}_Π be a regular, proper toric scheme over S with corresponding SCR polyhedral complex Π in $N_\mathbb{R}$. Consider $c(\Pi) \subseteq N_\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ the cone over Π . Then $\mathrm{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S)$ is an \tilde{S} -module defined by generators $[V(\sigma)]$, for $\sigma \in c(\Pi)$.*

Proof. By the above discussion, this follows as in the proof of [Bri97, Theorem 2.1] using the description of the Chow groups of toric schemes over S in [Bot22]. In

particular, a cone in $c(\Pi)$ of dimension $n + 1 - k$ corresponds to an equivariant k -cycle. As in Section 2.3, these cycles are divided into “horizontal” and “vertical” cycles, depending on whether the cone is contained in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}$ or not. ■

Remark 3.3. All of the ordinary operations on Chow groups such as pullback for local complete intersection morphisms, proper pushforward, Gysin morphisms, etc also have equivariant analogues (see [EG98, Section 2]).

Remark 3.4. One can moreover describe the relations in $CH_*^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi}/S)$. These are given by $[\text{div}_{V(\sigma)}(f)] - \chi[V(\sigma)]$, where f is a non-constant rational function on $V(\sigma)$ which is an eigenvector of \mathbb{T}_S of weight $\chi \in \widetilde{M}$. Here, $\chi[V(\sigma)]$ denotes the action of \widetilde{M} on $CH_*^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi}/S)$ by homogeneous maps of degree -1 .

Our next goal is to study the equivariant operational Chow cohomology rings of toric schemes over S . These are the equivariant versions of the operational Chow rings considered by Fulton in [Ful98, Chapters 17, 20]. We show that these can be identified with rings of piecewise polynomial functions on the cones over the SCR polyhedral complexes defining the toric schemes.

First we recall the case of toric varieties over a field.

3.2 Equivariant operational Chow cohomology groups of toric varieties

We recall the identification of the equivariant operational Chow cohomology ring of a toric variety over a field with the ring of piecewise polynomial functions on the associated fan. For this subsection, N will denote any lattice of dimension n .

Rings of piecewise polynomial functions

Let Σ be a regular rational polyhedral fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ (not necessarily complete). Consider the set of rational piecewise polynomial functions on Σ

$$PP^*(\Sigma) = \{f: |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f_{\sigma} \in \text{Sym } M(\sigma)_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ for each } \sigma \in \Sigma\}.$$

The map $f \mapsto (f_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ identifies $PP^*(\Sigma)$ with a subring of $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \text{Sym } M(\sigma)$:

$$PP^*(\Sigma) \simeq \{(f_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mid f_{\tau} = f_{\sigma}|_{\tau} \text{ for } \tau < \sigma\}.$$

In particular, such a function is continuous.

Furthermore, $PP^*(\Sigma)$ is a ring for the operations of pointwise addition and multiplication.

If $f = (f_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ is piecewise polynomial, k a non-negative integer, then the collection $(f_{\sigma,k})$ of all homogeneous components of degree k of the f_σ 's is piecewise polynomial too. Equivalently, any piecewise polynomial function decomposes uniquely as a sum of homogeneous piecewise polynomial functions on Σ .

Denote by $\text{PP}^*(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ the algebra of polynomial functions on $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The decomposition of piecewise polynomial functions as sums of their homogeneous components defines a grading on $\text{PP}^*(\Sigma)$ with $\text{PP}^*(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ as a graded subalgebra.

We now describe a set of generators of this algebra.

For each ray $\tau \in \Sigma(1)$ recall that v_τ denotes the corresponding primitive vector.

If a cone σ contains τ then there exists a unique maximal face τ' of σ which does not contain τ . Furthermore, there exists a unique linear form $\varphi_{\tau,\sigma}$ which is identically zero on τ' and such that $\varphi_{\tau,\sigma}(v_\tau) = 1$. Moreover, $\varphi_{\tau,\sigma}$ is non-negative on σ .

The linear forms $\varphi_{\tau,\sigma}$ glue together to a piecewise linear function φ_τ on the star $\Sigma(\tau)$ of τ , which consists of all cones in Σ containing τ . Moreover, φ_τ is zero on the boundary of the star of τ , so we have constructed $\varphi_\tau \in \text{PP}^1(\Sigma)$. It follows from Proposition 3.6 below that the piecewise linear functions φ_τ , for $\tau \in \Sigma(1)$, generate the whole algebra $\text{PP}^*(\Sigma)$.

Definition 3.5. For any cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we set $\varphi_\sigma = \prod_{\tau \in \sigma(1)} \varphi_\tau$. Then φ_σ is a homogeneous piecewise polynomial function on Σ of degree $\dim(\sigma)$.

Note that φ_σ is supported on the star $\Sigma(\sigma)$. The following is [Bri96, Corollary 1.2].

Proposition 3.6. *The $\text{PP}^*(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ -module $\text{PP}^*(\Sigma)$ is generated by the φ_σ , $\sigma \in \Sigma$. In particular, it is finite as a $\text{PP}^*(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ -module, generated by finitely elements of degree one.*

Pullback and pushforward

Consider two regular polyhedral fans Σ, Σ' in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbb{N}'_{\mathbb{R}}$, respectively, and a morphism of fans $\mu: \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$, i. e. compatible with the rational structures. As is well known in toric geometry, this induces an equivariant morphism of toric varieties $\pi: X_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$. Note that for $\sigma \in \Sigma'$, the linear span $L(\sigma')$ of σ is contained in the linear span $L(\mu(\sigma'))$ of $\mu(\sigma')$. Hence, any polynomial function on $\mu(\sigma')$ defines a polynomial function on σ' . We then get a *pullback* map

$$\pi^*: \text{PP}^*(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \text{PP}^*(\Sigma').$$

This is a morphism of graded algebras.

If π is proper then we also have a *pushforward* map

$$\pi_*: \text{PP}^*(\Sigma') \longrightarrow \text{PP}^*(\Sigma).$$

This is a morphism of $\text{PP}^*(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ -modules (where $\text{PP}^*(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ acts on $\text{PP}^*(\mathbb{N}'_{\mathbb{Q}})$ via π^*), not of rings.

We now give a more explicit description of π_* and π^* . The following proposition can be found in [Bri96, Theorem 2.1].

Proposition 3.7. (i) For any $f = (f_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \in \text{PP}^*(\Sigma)$ we have

$$(\pi^* f)_{\sigma'} = f_{\mu(\sigma')}.$$

(ii) If π is proper, then for any $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ we have

$$\pi_* \varphi_{\sigma'} = \begin{cases} \varphi_{\sigma} & \text{if } \mu(\sigma') = \sigma \text{ and } \text{codim}(\sigma') = \text{codim}(\sigma) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If π is proper and all maximal cones of Σ and Σ' have the same dimension, then for $f = (f_{\sigma'})_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} \in \text{PP}^*(\Sigma')$ we have

$$(\pi_* f)_{\sigma} = \varphi_{\sigma} \sum_{\mu(\sigma') = \sigma} \varphi_{\sigma'}^{-1} f_{\sigma'}$$

for any maximal cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Example 3.8. Let $\gamma \in \Sigma$ and consider the closed immersion

$$\pi: V(\gamma) \hookrightarrow X_{\Sigma}.$$

The corresponding morphism of fans is

$$\mu: \Sigma(\gamma) \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

where $\Sigma(\gamma)$ is the star of Σ at γ .

- Let $f = (f_{\sigma}) \in \text{PP}^*(\Sigma)$. Then

$$(\pi^* f)_{\sigma'} = f_{\sigma'}$$

where $\mu(\sigma) = \sigma'$.

- On the other hand, for $\sigma' \in \Sigma(\gamma)$ we have

$$\pi_*(\varphi_{\sigma'}) = \varphi_{\sigma'}$$

where $\mu(\sigma) = \sigma'$.

Equivariant operational Chow cohomology groups of toric varieties

Assume that N is the lattice of one-parameter subgroups of a torus \mathbb{T}_F over some field F and let X_Σ be the corresponding toric variety defined over F . For any toric variety Y over F we denote by $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^*(Y/F)$ the equivariant Chow cohomology ring of Y over F .

We write $O(\sigma)$ for the torus orbit corresponding to a cone σ in Σ . Set $N(\sigma) = N/(N \cap \sigma)$ and $M(\sigma) = N(\sigma)^\vee$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sym} M(\sigma)_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^*(O(\sigma)/F),$$

which for $u \in M$ identifies the class of u in $M(\sigma)$ with the first equivariant Chern class of the equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(\mathrm{div} \chi^u)|_{O(\sigma)}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^*(O(\sigma)/F)$.

Write ι_σ for the inclusion of $O(\sigma)$ in X_Σ . The following result is stated in [Pay06, Theorem 1].

Theorem 3.9. *Let notations be as above. Then the map*

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \iota_\sigma^*: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^*(X_\Sigma/F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PP}^*(\Sigma)$$

is an isomorphism.

Furthermore, given a morphism $\pi: X_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ of toric varieties, one has a pullback map of equivariant Chow cohomology rings

$$\pi^*: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^*(X_{\Sigma'}/F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^*(X_\Sigma/F),$$

and for π proper, one has a pushforward map

$$\pi_*: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^*(X_{\Sigma'}/F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^*(X_\Sigma/F).$$

As we mentioned before, the pushforward map does not preserve the product structure, it defines only a group morphism.

Under the identification in Theorem 3.9, the maps π^* and π_* above agree with the combinatorial maps from Proposition 3.7 (see [Bri96, Proposition 3.2]).

3.3 Equivariant operational Chow groups of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes

We consider the category of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes over S and take the equivariant operational Chow groups in the sense of [EG98, Section 6.2]. Let us briefly recall the definition. Fix $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ a morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes over S . Then for any morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes $g: \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ we let \mathcal{X}' denote the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{f'} & \mathcal{Y}' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y}
\end{array}$$

Let k be an integer. An element $c \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}/S)$ is a collection of homomorphisms

$$c_g^m: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^m(\mathcal{Y}'/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{m-k}(\mathcal{X}'/S)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all morphisms of \mathbb{T}_S schemes $g: \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. These operations have to be compatible with the operations on equivariant Chow groups (see Remark 3.3). These are the equivariant analogues of [Ful98, Properties C₁–C₃]. We refer to [AP15, pg.16] for the precise statements.

Example 3.10. (1) If $f: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ is a flat morphism of \mathbb{T}_S schemes with fibre dimension d , there is a canonical *orientation class*

$$[f] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{-d}(f: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}/S).$$

Indeed, for a morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S)$, the pull-back $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathfrak{Z}} \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is flat and one sets

$$[f](\alpha) = f^{-1}(\alpha) \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k+d}(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathfrak{Z}} \mathfrak{Y}/S).$$

(2) If $f: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ is a local complete intersection of codimension d , then there is also a canonical orientation class

$$[f] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^d(f: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}/S).$$

One can construct such a class mimicking the construction in the non-equivariant setting from [Ful98, Chapter 17].

Operations on equivariant bivariant classes I: Products

For all morphisms of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, $g: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and integers k, ℓ , there are homomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{Y}/S) \times \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^\ell(\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{Z}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k+\ell}(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{g \circ f} \mathcal{Z}/S).$$

The image of (c, d) is denoted by $c \cdot d$ and is given in the following way. Given a morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes $\mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, form the fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{f'} & \mathcal{Y}' & \xrightarrow{g'} & \mathcal{Z}' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{Z}
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Products

If $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_m^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{Z}'/S)$ then $d(\alpha) \in \mathrm{CH}_{m-\ell}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{Y}'/S)$ and $c(d(\alpha)) \in \mathrm{CH}_{m-\ell-k}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}'/S)$. Then $c \cdot d$ is given by $c \cdot d(\alpha) = c(d(\alpha))$.

Operations on equivariant bivariant classes II: Proper pushforward

If $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a proper morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes, $g: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ any morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes and k an integer, then there is a homomorphism

$$f_*: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{gf} \mathcal{Z}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{Z}/S).$$

Given a morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes $\mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ form the fiber diagram in Figure 1. Let $c \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{gf} \mathcal{Z}/S)$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_m^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{Z}'/S)$. Then $c(\alpha) \in \mathrm{CH}_{m-k}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}'/S)$ is given by $f_*(c)(\alpha) = f'_*(c(\alpha))$.

Operations on equivariant bivariant classes III: Pullback

Given morphisms of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $g: \mathcal{Y}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ form the fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \mathcal{Y}_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{Y}
\end{array}$$

Then for each k there is a homomorphism

$$g^*: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{Y}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{Y}_1/S).$$

Given $c \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{Y}/S)$, $g: \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_m^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{Y}'/S)$, then composing with g gives a morphism of S -schemes $\mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Hence $c(\alpha) \in \mathrm{CH}_{m-k}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}'/S)$, $\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{X}_1 \times_{\mathcal{Y}_1} \mathcal{Y}'$. Let $g^*(c)(\alpha) = c(\alpha)$.

Definition 3.11. For any scheme \mathbb{T}_S -scheme \mathcal{X} and any integer k , the *equivariant operational k 'th cohomology group* $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S)$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) := \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \mathcal{X}/S).$$

In other words, an element in $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S)$ can be seen as a rule which associates to each morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes $g: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and to each $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}'/S)$ a class $c_g(\alpha) \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k-k}(\mathcal{X}'/S)$, satisfying the above mentioned compatibility properties.

Set

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S).$$

Then, in particular,

- there are *cup products*

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \times \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^\ell(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k+\ell}(\mathcal{X}/S)$$

given by

$$(c, d) \longmapsto c \cup d := c \cdot d.$$

- There is an element $1 \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^0(\mathcal{X}/S)$ acting as the identity on $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S)$. This makes $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ into an associative graded ring with one. Moreover, given that we have tensored with \mathbb{Q} , this ring is moreover commutative (see [KS87, p. 335 and Proposition 3.8]).
- For any morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes $g: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, the pullback $g^*: \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}'/S)$ is a ring homomorphism, functorial in g .
- There are *cap products*

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \times \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{\mathbb{T}_S-k}(\mathcal{X}/S)$$

given by

$$c \times \alpha \longmapsto c \cap \alpha := c(\alpha).$$

This makes $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S)$ into a left $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ -module.

- Let $f: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes. The following projection formula is satisfied.

$$f_*(f^*\beta \cap \alpha) = \beta \cap f_*(\alpha)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}'/S)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$.

The following is the equivariant version of [Ful98, Example 20.2.3]. We will not make use of it in this article, however we state for general interest.

Lemma 3.12. *Let \mathcal{X} an S -scheme. The canonical homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{-k}(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S)$$

defined by

$$c \mapsto c([S])$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We define an inverse map. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_{k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S)$. Then α determines homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{CH}_q^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{Y}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{q+k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{Y}/S),$$

for any \mathbb{T}_S -scheme $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$, by

$$\beta \mapsto \alpha \times_S \beta.$$

As in [BGS95a, Example 1.2.1], one checks that these satisfy desired compatibility conditions and that these maps are inverse to each other. \blacksquare

We now turn to the following Poincaré duality statement.

Theorem 3.13. *Let \mathcal{X} be a regular, irreducible, proper \mathbb{T}_S -scheme of S -absolute dimension $n + 1$. Then for any integer k the natural map*

$$\alpha: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{n+1-k}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S) \quad (3.1)$$

given by

$$c \longmapsto c[\mathcal{X}]$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We expand and adapt the arguments given in [KS87, pg. 335] to the equivariant setting. Let $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a morphism of S -schemes. One says that f is *equivariantly oriented* if it is equipped with a class $[f] \in \mathrm{CH}^*(f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X})$ such that $[f]([\mathcal{X}]) = [\mathcal{Y}]$, and one says that \mathcal{X} is *equivariantly orienting* if every such f is oriented in a unique way. Now, if \mathcal{X} is orienting, then one can define a map

$$\beta: \mathrm{CH}_{n+1-k}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S)$$

by setting

$$\sum_V n_V [M] \longmapsto \sum_V n_V j_{V*} [j_V],$$

where the sum is over invariant cycles of codimension k , $j_V: V \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denotes the induced closed immersion, and $[j_V] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(j_V: V \rightarrow \mathcal{X})$ denotes its uniquely defined orientation class, which exists since \mathcal{X} is orienting. Using the compatibility properties one shows that β is well defined, i.e. if $[Z] = [Z'] \in \mathrm{CH}_{n+1-k}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S)$ then

$\beta(Z) = \beta(Z')$. And by construction its inverse map is α . Hence the map (3.1) is an isomorphism in this case.

Thus it suffices to prove that \mathcal{X} is orienting, which we show in the following Proposition. ■

Proposition 3.14. *Let \mathcal{X} be a regular, irreducible, proper \mathbb{T}_S -scheme of S -absolute dimension $n + 1$. Then \mathcal{X} is equivariantly orienting, i.e. any morphism $f: \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes has a uniquely defined orientation class $[f] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(f: \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X})$.*

Proof. We use the equivariant Chow's lemma in [Bri18, Corollary 5.3.7]. Then, as in [KS87, Proposition 3.3], it suffices to show that any projective map $f: V \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of S -schemes with V integral is oriented. In other words, we have to show that for any such f there exists an orientation class $[f] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(f: V \rightarrow \mathcal{X})$ such that $[f]([\mathcal{X}]) = [V]$.

We factor the projective morphism f through its scheme theoretic image $f(V)$, so we get a diagram of morphisms of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & V & \\ & \swarrow f & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\ \mathcal{X} & \longleftarrow & f(V) \end{array}$$

with $\tilde{f}: V \rightarrow f(V)$ dominant and projective, and $f(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ a closed embedding. By [GD, IV Theorem 6.9.1] we know that there is a non-empty subset $U \subseteq f(V)$ such that

$$\tilde{f}|_{\tilde{f}^{-1}(U)}: \tilde{f}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$$

is flat. With this, we use the “equivariant flattening technique” (see [TV21, Theorem 8]) to extend the above diagram to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & V & \longleftarrow & V \times_{f(V)} f(V)' \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow h \\ \mathcal{X} & \longleftarrow & f(V) & \xleftarrow{g} & f(V)' \end{array}$$

where h is flat and g is an equivariant U -admissible blow up, i.e. g is a morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes given as a blow up along a \mathbb{T}_S -stable closed subscheme Z with $Z \cap U = \emptyset$. In particular, $f(V)'$ is integral and g is a birational and projective morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes.

We now combine equivariant resolution of singularities (see [AW97, Theorem 0.1]) with de Jong's alteration result (see [Jon97, Corollary 5.1]) to find a projective morphism of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes

$$\phi: f(V)'' \rightarrow f(V)',$$

generically finite of degree d , with $f(V)''$ regular and integral. Now we expand further the diagram to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \omega' & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 & V & \longleftarrow & V \times_{f(V)} f(V)' & \longleftarrow & V \times_{f(V)} f(V)'' \\
 & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow f' \\
 \mathcal{X} & \longleftarrow & f(V) & \xleftarrow{g} & f(V)' & \xleftarrow{\phi} & f(V)'' \\
 & & \omega & & & & \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & & & &
 \end{array}$$

with maps as labeled. Then ω is projective, f' is flat and ω' is proper and generically finite of degree d . Moreover, since $f(V)''$ and \mathcal{X} are regular, and since projective morphisms between regular schemes are local complete intersections (l.c.i.) we have that ω is a l.c.i. morphism. Hence it has a canonical orientation class $[\omega] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\omega: f(V)'' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/S)$. The flat morphism f' induces also a canonical orientation class $[f'] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(f': V \times_{f(V)} f(V)'' \rightarrow f(V)''/S)$. Consider now the class

$$[f] := \frac{1}{d} \omega'_* ([f'] \cdot [\omega]) \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(f: V \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/S).$$

We check that $[f]$ is an orientation class:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f]([\mathcal{X}]) &= \left(\frac{1}{d} \omega'_* ([f'] \cdot [\omega]) \right) ([\mathcal{X}]) \\
 &= \frac{1}{d} \omega'_* [f'] [\omega] ([\mathcal{X}]) \\
 &= \frac{1}{d} \omega'_* [f'] ([f(V)'']) \\
 &= \frac{1}{d} \omega'_* [V \times_{f(V)} f(V)''] \\
 &= \frac{1}{d} \cdot d \cdot [V] = [V].
 \end{aligned}$$

So $[f]$ gives the desired orientation class. ■

We now recall some functoriality properties which will be useful later. For details we refer to [AP15, Section 4.2]. Let $f: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an orientable map of \mathbb{T}_S -schemes with orientation $[f] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^\ell(f: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}/S)$. Then one has induced maps

$$f^!: \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathfrak{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{k-\ell}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathfrak{Y}/S)$$

on equivariant Chow homology, and if f is proper, we have induced maps

$$f_!: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathfrak{Y}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k+\ell}(\mathfrak{X}/S)$$

on equivariant Chow cohomology.

Example 3.15. For a toric scheme \mathfrak{X} over S , the special fiber $\iota: \mathfrak{X}_s \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is a \mathbb{T}_S -invariant principal Cartier divisor so an orientation $[u] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^1(\iota: \mathfrak{X}_s \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}/S)$ is defined.

Moreover, given a map of toric models $\pi: \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and the corresponding commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}'_s & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathfrak{X}' \\ \pi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathfrak{X}_s & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathfrak{X} \end{array}$$

all four maps are orientable, i.e. there are orientation classes

$$[\pi] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^0(\mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}/S), \quad [\pi_s] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^0(\mathfrak{X}'_s \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s/S).$$

These satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^*[\pi] &= [\pi_0] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^0(\pi_s: \mathfrak{X}'_s \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s/S) \\ [\pi \iota'] &= [\iota \pi_s] = [\pi_s] \cdot [u] = [\iota'] \cdot [\pi] \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^1(\mathfrak{X}'_s \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}/S). \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Equivariant operational Chow groups of toric schemes

We extend Theorem 3.9 to the case of toric schemes over S and we describe combinatorially the Poincaré duality map in Theorem 3.13 in this case.

Fix a complete, regular SCR polyhedral complex Π in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_{\Pi}$ the corresponding complete, regular toric scheme over S of S -absolute dimension $n + 1$.

Consider the polyhedral fan $c(\Pi)$ in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Further, consider the ring of rational piecewise polynomial functions on $c(\Pi)$ as in Section 3.2. Recall that this is given by

$$\mathrm{PP}^*(c(\Pi)) = \left\{ f: |c(\Pi)| \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f_{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Sym} \widetilde{M}(\sigma)_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ for each } \sigma \in c(\Pi) \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.16. *There is a graded algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S) \simeq \mathrm{PP}^*(c(\Pi)).$$

Proof. From the Poincaré duality in Theorem 3.13 we know that capping with the fundamental class gives an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{n+1-k}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}/S).$$

Hence, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S)$ inherits a graded algebra structure and it suffices to give an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$g: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PP}^*(c(\Pi)).$$

This was shown in the case of toric varieties over a field by Brion in [Bri97, Theorem 5.4] and the proof extends to the case of toric schemes over S . We sketch the ideas. Let $\sigma \in c(\Pi)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\sigma$ be the subgroup of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ generated by $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \cap \sigma$ and denote by Ω_σ the field of rational functions on $(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\sigma)_\mathbb{Q}$ with rational coefficients. One defines a map

$$e_\sigma: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \Omega_\sigma. \quad (3.2)$$

It is defined by Brion in [Bri97, Section 4.2] in the case of toric varieties over a field using the notion of *equivariant multiplicities*. One can extend this notion to toric schemes over S and the map in (3.2) is the one induced by this notion. It is determined by the following properties: Let $\tau \in c(\Pi)$. Then

- (1) if τ is not contained in σ , then $e_\sigma[V(\tau)] = 0$,
- (2) if τ is contained in σ , then for any λ in the relative interior of σ , the value at λ of $e_\sigma[V(\tau)]$ is $(\dim(\sigma) - \dim(\tau))! \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{Im}(P_\sigma(\lambda) \cap \tau^\perp))$, where

$$P_\sigma(\lambda) := \{x \in \sigma^\vee \mid \langle \lambda, x \rangle \leq 1\}$$

and we take the image in τ^\perp/σ^\perp . Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the pairing between $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\mathbb{R}$. In particular, $e_\sigma[V(\sigma)] = 1$.

Moreover, one can show that for any $\tau \in c(\Pi)$ the following is satisfied

$$(e_\sigma[V(\tau)]/e_\sigma[\mathcal{X}])_{\sigma \in c(\Pi)} = \varphi_\tau,$$

where φ_τ is the homogeneous piecewise polynomial function on $c(\Pi)$ of degree $\dim(\tau)$ from Definition 3.5.

It follows that the map

$$g: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \prod_{\sigma \in c(\Pi)} \Omega_\sigma$$

given by

$$\mathbf{u} \longmapsto (e_\sigma \mathbf{u} / e_\sigma [\mathcal{X}])_{\sigma \in c(\Pi)}$$

has image the subalgebra of $\text{PP}^*(c(\Pi))$ generated by the φ_σ , $\sigma \in c(\Pi)$. But this is exactly $\text{PP}^*(c(\Pi))$ by Proposition 3.6. It remains to show that g is injective. For this, we follow the arguments in [Bri97, Proposition 5.3] and prove the injectivity by induction over the number of cones in $c(\Pi)$. Choose a cone σ in $c(\Pi)$. We denote by $O(\sigma)$ the corresponding torus orbit. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}^s}(O(\sigma)/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X} \setminus O(\sigma)/S) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_\sigma & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\tau \in c(\Pi)} \Omega_\tau & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\tau \in c(\Pi) \setminus \{\sigma\}} \Omega_\tau \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which induces a map $\text{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}^s}(O(\sigma)/S) \rightarrow \Omega_\sigma$. This map is \tilde{S} -linear and sends $[O(\sigma)] = [V(\sigma)]$ to $e_\sigma [V(\sigma)] = 1$. Moreover, $\text{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}^s}(O(\sigma)/S)$ is the symmetric algebra over $\tilde{M}(\sigma)$, therefore the map $\text{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}^s}(O(\sigma)/S) \rightarrow \Omega_\sigma$ identifies with the inclusion of this symmetric algebra into its quotient field Ω_σ . Now it follows by induction that g is injective.

Hence, we obtain an isomorphism

$$g: \text{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \text{PP}^*(c(\Pi))$$

as claimed. ■

Corollary 3.17. *Let $\sigma \in c(\Pi)$ of dimension k . The Poincaré duality isomorphism*

$$\text{CH}_{n+1-k}^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}/S) \simeq \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^k(\mathcal{X}/S)$$

identifies $[V(\sigma)]$ with the piecewise polynomial function φ_σ .

4 Equivariant Chow groups of the special fiber

As before, we fix a complete, regular SCR polyhedral complex Π in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ the corresponding complete, regular toric scheme over S of S -absolute dimension $n + 1$. The goal of this section is to provide combinatorial descriptions of the equivariant Chow homology and of the equivariant operational Chow cohomology groups of the special fiber \mathcal{X}_s . We view \mathcal{X}_s as a \mathbb{T}_κ -scheme over κ . It turns out that these can be computed in terms of equivariant Chow cohomology groups of smooth toric varieties over κ via an exact sequence.

4.1 The exact sequences

We give a combinatorial description of the exact sequences computing the equivariant Chow groups of the special fiber. These are the equivariant analogues of the exact sequences in [BGS95a, Appendix A].

Let $r = |\Pi(0)|$. Recall that this equals the number of irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_s .

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ let $\mathcal{X}_s^{[i]}$ be the disjoint union of i -fold intersections of irreducible components of \mathcal{X}_s . Set also $\mathcal{X}_s^{[0]} = \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}_s^{[r]} = \emptyset$ if $r > n$.

We will mostly only consider the cases $i = 0, 1, 2$. Using the correspondence between vertical cycles and polyhedra in Π we can write

$$\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]} = \bigsqcup_{v \in \Pi(0)} V(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}_s^{[2]} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bounded}}} V(\gamma).$$

Recall that $V(\zeta)$ denotes the vertical cycle corresponding to $\zeta \in \Pi$.

For any inclusion

$$u_{v,\gamma}: V(\gamma) \hookrightarrow V(v)$$

corresponding to $v < \gamma$ we have group homomorphisms

$$u_{v,\gamma}^*: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_\kappa}^k(V(v)/\kappa) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_\kappa}^k(V(\gamma)/\kappa)$$

and (using Poincaré duality)

$$u_{v,\gamma*}: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_\kappa}^k(V(\gamma)/\kappa) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_\kappa}^{k+1}(V(v)/\kappa).$$

The morphisms $u_{v,\gamma}^*$ are ring homomorphisms and the following projection formula holds:

$$u_{v,\gamma*}(u_{v,\gamma}^*(x) \cdot y) = x \cdot u_{v,\gamma*}(y).$$

In particular,

$$u_{v,\gamma*} u_{v,\gamma}^*(x) = x \cdot u_{v,\gamma*}(1).$$

Furthermore,

$$u_{v,\gamma}^* u_{v,\gamma*}(x) = x u_{v,\gamma}^* u_{v,\gamma*}(1).$$

For any $\zeta \in \Pi$, recall that $V(\zeta)$ is a toric variety over κ with fan given by $\Pi(\zeta)$ (see Section 2.3). Then it follows from Section 3.2 that we can identify $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_\kappa}^k(V(\zeta)/\kappa)$ with the ring of piecewise polynomial functions $\mathrm{PP}^k(\Pi(\zeta))$.

With this identification we can describe the maps $u_{v,\gamma}^*$ and $u_{v,\gamma*}$ combinatorially using Proposition 3.7 and Example 3.8. For example we have that

$$u_{v,\gamma*}: \mathrm{PP}^k(\Pi(\gamma)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PP}^{k+1}(\Pi(v))$$

sends

$$1 \mapsto \varphi_{v,\gamma}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\varphi_{v,\gamma}$ denotes the piecewise linear function on $\Pi(v)$ corresponding to γ from Proposition 3.6.

We now define morphisms

$$\rho: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/\kappa) \simeq \bigoplus_{v \in \Pi(0)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(V(v)/\kappa) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bdd}}} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(V(\gamma)/\kappa) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[2]}/\kappa)$$

and

$$\gamma: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[2]}/\kappa) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bdd}}} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(V(\gamma)/\kappa) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \Pi(0)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1}(V(v)/\kappa) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/\kappa)$$

in the following way. First we choose an ordering of the vertices $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots \geq v_r$. For any bounded $\gamma \in \Pi(1)$ we denote by $v_1^\gamma \geq v_2^\gamma$ the endpoints of γ . Then for $f = (f_v)_{v \in \Pi(0)}$ we set

$$\rho(f) = (\tilde{f}_\gamma)_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bdd}}},$$

where

$$\tilde{f}_\gamma = \mathbf{u}_{v_1^\gamma, \gamma}^* f_{v_1^\gamma} - \mathbf{u}_{v_2^\gamma, \gamma}^* f_{v_2^\gamma}.$$

On the other hand, for $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_\gamma)_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bdd}}}$, then $\gamma(\tilde{f})$ is defined componentwise by

$$\gamma(\tilde{f}_\gamma) = \mathbf{u}_{v_1^\gamma, \gamma_*} \tilde{f}_\gamma - \mathbf{u}_{v_2^\gamma, \gamma_*} \tilde{f}_\gamma.$$

Example 4.1. Denote by $\mu_i: \Pi(\gamma) \rightarrow \Pi(v_i^\gamma)$ the morphism of fans corresponding to the inclusion $v_i^\gamma < \gamma$, for $i = 1, 2$.

- Let $f = (f_v)_{v \in \Pi(0)} \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/\kappa)$. Then for $\sigma \in \Pi(\gamma)$, the restriction of the piecewise polynomial function $(\rho(f))_\gamma$ to σ is given by the polynomial function

$$\left(f_{v_1^\gamma}\right)_{\mu_1(\sigma)} - \left(f_{v_2^\gamma}\right)_{\mu_2(\sigma)}.$$

- Let $\tilde{f} = (f_\gamma)_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bdd}}}$ for $f_\gamma = \varphi_{\sigma_\gamma}$ with $\sigma_\gamma \in \Pi(\gamma)$ of dimension k . Then

$$\gamma(\tilde{f}_\gamma) = \gamma(\varphi_{\sigma_\gamma}) = \varphi_{\mu_1(\sigma_\gamma)} - \varphi_{\mu_2(\sigma_\gamma)}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The equivariant Chow cohomology and homology groups of the special fiber \mathcal{X}_s fit into the following exact sequences*

$$\mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[2]}/\kappa) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/\kappa) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/\kappa) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[2]}/\kappa).$$

In particular, we get

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa) \simeq \ker(\rho) \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa) \simeq \mathrm{coker}(\gamma). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. This is the equivariant version of [BGS95a, Appendix A] which follows using the equivariant localization sequences in [Gon15, Appendix A] (see in particular Remark A.4). ■

In the following section, we will see that we can identify $\ker(\rho)$ with the ring of affine piecewise polynomial functions on Π .

4.2 Rings of affine piecewise polynomial functions

Let Π be a complete, regular SCR polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We now define the ring of *affine* piecewise polynomial functions on Π .

Definition 4.3. A continuous map $f: |\Pi| \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is said to be an *affine piecewise polynomial function* on Π if for all $\Lambda \in \Pi$ the map $f|_{\Lambda}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ extends to a polynomial function on the affine space $\mathrm{Aff}(\Lambda)$, the smallest affine subspace which contains Λ . In other words, an affine piecewise polynomial function on Π is a collection of polynomial functions $f|_{\Lambda}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ which are compatible in an obvious way. We denote the set of affine piecewise polynomial functions on Π by $\mathrm{PP}^*(\Pi)$.

As in the fan case, $\mathrm{PP}^*(\pi)$ is a ring for the operations of pointwise addition and multiplication. Also, any affine piecewise polynomial function decomposes uniquely as a sum of homogeneous affine piecewise polynomial functions on Σ . This again defines a grading on $\mathrm{PP}^*(\Pi)$ with $\mathrm{PP}^*(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ as a graded subalgebra.

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *The equivariant operational Chow ring of the special fiber $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^\kappa}^*(\mathcal{X}_s/\kappa)$ can be identified with the ring of affine piecewise polynomial functions $\mathrm{PP}^*(\Pi)$.*

Proof. By (4.2) it suffices to identify $\ker(\rho)$ with $\text{PP}^*(\Pi)$. Let $f = (f_v)_{v \in \Pi(0)} \in \ker(\rho)$. For any bounded $\gamma \in \Pi(1)$, as before, we let $v_1^\gamma \geq v_2^\gamma$ be the endpoints of γ and we denote by $\mu_i: \Pi(\gamma) \rightarrow \Pi(v_i^\gamma)$ the corresponding morphisms of fans. Then f being in the Kernel of ρ exactly means that for all such γ and for all $\sigma \in \Pi(\gamma)$ we have

$$\left(f_{v_1^\gamma} \right)_{\mu_1(\sigma)} = \left(f_{v_2^\gamma} \right)_{\mu_2(\sigma)}. \quad (4.4)$$

This implies that we can glue the f_v 's into an affine piecewise polynomial function on Π . Indeed, let $\Lambda \in \Pi$ contain two vertices v_1 and v_2 and let $\sigma_1 \in \Pi(v_1)$ and $\sigma_2 \in \Pi(v_2)$ be the cones corresponding to Λ . Then we must check that

$$(f_{v_1})_{\sigma_1} = (f_{v_2})_{\sigma_2}.$$

If v_1 and v_2 are connected by a bounded edge $\gamma \in \Lambda(1)$ then the above equality is just an instance of (4.4). Otherwise we just take a path of bounded edges connecting v_1 and v_2 and conclude.

Conversely, any affine piecewise polynomial function $f \in \text{PP}^*(\Pi)$ naturally defines an element f in $\bigoplus_{v \in \Pi(0)} \text{PP}^k(\Pi(v)) \simeq \bigoplus_{v \in \Pi(0)} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k$ which clearly satisfies $\rho(f) = 0$. ■

Remark 4.5. A similar theorem is stated in a more general context in [Gon15, Theorem A.9] for so called *complete T-skeletal varieties*. For the poof the author refers to [Gon17, Theorem 5.4] where the statement is shown in the context of equivariant operational K-theory.

5 Equivariant forms and currents

Let $X = X_\Sigma$ be a smooth projective toric variety over the discretely valued field K . The goal of this section is to define equivariant analogues of the spaces of forms and currents on X appearing in [BGS95a] and to provide combinatorial descriptions of these spaces.

Recall that $\mathbb{R}(\Sigma)$ denotes the directed set of complete, regular SCR polyhedral complexes Π in $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\text{rec}(\Pi) = \Sigma$. This agrees with the directed set of regular proper toric models of X_Σ . For $\Pi \in \mathbb{R}(\Sigma)$ we denote by \mathcal{X}_Π the corresponding toric model.

5.1 The map $\iota^* \iota_*$

Let $\iota: \mathcal{X}_s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the inclusion of the special fiber. The map $\iota^* \iota_*$ between equivariant Chow groups then corresponds to taking the pushforward of ι , then

Poincaré duality and then pullback of ι . We give a combinatorial description of this map in terms of piecewise polynomial functions.

This map will induce maps between spaces of forms and currents analogous to the dd^c map in differential geometry.

First, consider the map

$$\bigoplus_i u_i^* : \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v_i \in \Pi(0)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(V(v_i)/S),$$

where $u_i : V(v_i) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denotes the inclusion. It is easy to see that the composition

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_i u_i^*} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[2]}/S)$$

is zero. Similarly, the composition

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k-1}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[2]}/S) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_i u_{i*}} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k+1}(\mathcal{X}/S)$$

is zero.

Hence, the maps ρ and γ induce morphisms

$$\iota^* : \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

and

$$\iota_* : \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}/S),$$

such that the composite map

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k-1}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \xrightarrow{\iota_* \iota^*} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$$

coincides with $-\gamma\rho$.

We can now give an expression of the map $-\gamma\rho$ in terms of piecewise polynomial functions.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f = (f_v)_{v \in \Pi(0)} \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k-1}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$. For a fixed $v \in \Pi(0)$ we denote for any bounded $\gamma \in \Pi(1)$ containing v the other endpoint of γ by v_γ . Then*

$$-\gamma\rho(f) = (-\hat{f}_v)_{v \in \Pi(0)} \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_0^{[1]}/S),$$

where $-\hat{f}_v$ is given by

$$-\hat{f}_v = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi(1) \\ \gamma \text{ bdd}, v < \gamma}} \left(u_{v,\gamma} u_{v_\gamma,\gamma}^* f_{v_\gamma} - \varphi_{v,\gamma} f_v \right).$$

Here, the function $\varphi_{v,\gamma}$ is defined as in Equation (4.1).

Proof. This follows from the explicit description of ρ and γ using the projection formula

$$u_{v,\gamma*} u_{v,\gamma}^* f_v = f_v u_{v,\gamma*}(1) = f_v \varphi_{v,\gamma}.$$

■

Example 5.2. (1) Consider $\Pi = \Sigma$ the canonical model. We have

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\eta/\mathbb{K})$$

and $\iota^* \iota_* = 0$ in this case.

(2) Suppose that $f = f_{\tilde{v}}$ for some $\tilde{v} \in \Pi(0)$. Then

$$-\gamma\rho(f) = (-\hat{f}_v)_{v \in \Pi(0)}$$

with

$$-\hat{f}_v = \begin{cases} u_{v,\gamma*} u_{v,\gamma}^* f_{\tilde{v}} & \text{if } \exists \gamma \in \Pi(1) \text{ with } v, \tilde{v} < \gamma, v \neq \tilde{v}, \\ \sum_{\gamma} -\varphi_{\tilde{v},\gamma} f_{\tilde{v}} & \text{if } v = \tilde{v}, \end{cases}$$

where the sum in the second line is over all bounded $\gamma \in \Pi(1)$ having v as a face.

On $\ker(\iota^* \iota_*)$ and $\mathrm{coker}(\iota^* \iota_*)$

Denote by

$$\alpha: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

the composition of the morphisms

$$\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S).$$

Then, as in [BGS95b, Theorem 5], we have that the following long sequence is exact.

$$\longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \xrightarrow{\iota^* \iota_*} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \xrightarrow{\iota^* \iota_*} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{k+1}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow$$

One can think of the above exactness as saying that the failure of Poincaré duality on the special fiber is encoded in $\iota^* \iota_*$.

We get the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *There is an isomorphism $\ker(\iota^* \iota_*) \simeq \mathrm{coker}(\iota^* \iota_*)$. Moreover, these groups are all isomorphic for any given toric model.*

Proof. The first statement follows from the long exact sequence above. For the second one, one can check that this follows from the explicit description of the map $-\gamma\rho$ in Lemma 5.1. ■

Remark 5.4. The above proposition is the equivariant version of [BGS95a, Theorem 2.2.1] and [BGS95a, Proposition 2.3.3]. Note that the latter assumes the existence of a smooth model, which for toric schemes always exists (the canonical model).

It follows from the above proposition that we can compute $\ker(\iota^*\iota_*)$ on a single toric model, e.g. on the canonical model $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_\Sigma$. We obtain the following.

Corollary 5.5. *For any $\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ we have that*

$$\ker\left(\iota^*\iota_*: \mathrm{CH}_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,S}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,S}/S)\right)$$

is isomorphic to $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma/\mathbb{K})$.

Proof. Consider the canonical model \mathcal{X}_Σ . In this case, as we have already seen, the α in the above exact sequence is an isomorphism and the map $\iota^*\iota_*$ is the zero map. Hence

$$\ker(\iota^*\iota_*) = \mathrm{CH}_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_{\Sigma,S}/S) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_k}(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma/\mathbb{K}) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Sigma/\mathbb{K}).$$

■

5.2 Equivariant forms and currents as limits

We define the equivariant analogues of closed (k, k) -forms, (k, k) -forms modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$, closed (k, k) -currents and (k, k) -currents modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ appearing in [BGS95a] in the case of toric varieties. These are defined using appropriate direct and inverse limits of equivariant Chow groups of the special fibers. We then relate these spaces to piecewise polynomial functions.

Let $\Pi', \Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ be two complete, regular SCR polyhedral complexes corresponding to the toric models $\mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'}$ and $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_\Pi$. Assume that $\Pi' \geq \Pi$ and let $\pi: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the corresponding (proper, birational) map of toric models.

Then π induces two covariant maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{s*}: \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_s/S), \\ \pi_{s!}: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S), \end{aligned}$$

and two contravariant maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_s^*: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S), \\ \pi_s^!: \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S). \end{aligned}$$

Our next goal is to describe these maps in terms of piecewise polynomial functions.

- (The map π_{s*})

By Equation (4.3) the map

$$\pi_{s*}: \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

is determined by a map

$$\alpha: \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}'_s^{[1]}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$$

such that the the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}'_s^{[1]}/S) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{s*}} & \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \end{array}$$

The map α is given in the following way: Write $\alpha = (\alpha_{v'})_{v' \in \Pi'(0)}$. Then each $\alpha_{v'}$ is given by

$$\alpha_{v'} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v' \notin \Pi(0) \\ \pi_{v'*} & \text{if } v' \in \Pi(0), \end{cases}$$

where $\pi_{v'*}: X_{\Sigma'(v')} \rightarrow X_{\Pi(v')}$ denotes the toric pushforward map induced by the refinement $\Pi'(v') \rightarrow \Pi(v')$ in case $v' \in \Pi(0)$.

It is easy to check that if $f \in \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}'_s^{[1]}/S)$ is in the image of γ' then $\alpha(f) \in \mathrm{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}^s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$ is in the image of γ . Hence the above diagram commutes and α induces a well-defined map between the equivariant Chow groups.

- (The map $\pi_{s!}$) By Theorem 3.16 the map

$$\pi_{s!}: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

can be thought of as a pushforward map of affine piecewise polynomial functions. It maps an affine piecewise polynomial function on Π' to an affine piecewise polynomial function on Π . Explicitly, it is given by the dual map

$$\beta = \alpha^*: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s^{[1]}/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$$

and it makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{s!}} & \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s^{[1]}/S) & \xrightarrow{\beta = \alpha^*} & \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}^s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \end{array}$$

Here one checks that if $f \in \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'^{[1]}/S)$ is in the Kernel of ρ' , then $\beta(f) \in \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$ is in the Kernel of ρ .

- (The map π_s^*) The map

$$\pi_s^*: \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S)$$

has the simplest description. It is simply given by the pullback map of affine piecewise polynomial functions defined by composition, i.e. if $f \in \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$ is an affine piecewise polynomial function on Π , then $\pi_s^*(f) \in \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S)$ is the affine piecewise polynomial function on Π' given by $f \circ \mu$ for $\mu: |\Pi'| \rightarrow |\Pi|$. Note that here μ is simply the identity map.

- (The map $\pi_s^!$) By Equation (4.3) the map

$$\pi_s^!: \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S)$$

is determined by a map

$$\zeta: \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}'_s^{[1]}/S)$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}'_s^{[1]}/S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) & \xrightarrow{\pi_s^!} & \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) \end{array}$$

The map ζ is given as follows. Let $f = (f_v)_{v \in \Pi(0)} \in \text{CH}_k^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$. Then $\zeta(f) = (\tilde{f}_{v'})_{v' \in \Pi'(0)}$ with

$$\tilde{f}_{v'} = \begin{cases} f_{v'} & \text{if } v' \in \Pi(0) \\ h_{v'} & \text{if } v' \notin \Pi(0) \end{cases}$$

where $h_{v'}$ is defined as follows: let $\sigma \in \Pi$ be a cone containing v' in its interior and let $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \sigma(0)$. Then $h_{v'} = \sum_{i=1}^r f_{v_i}|_{\sigma}$.

Here one checks that if $f \in \text{Im}(\gamma)$ then $\zeta(f) \in \text{Im}(\gamma')$.

The following definition is the equivariant version of [BGS95a, Def. 1.4.1–1.4.4].

Definition 5.6. (1) The *group of closed equivariant (k, k) -forms on X* is the direct limit

$$A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) := \varinjlim_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi, s}/S),$$

with maps given by π_s^* .

(2) The group of equivariant (k, k) -forms modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ is the direct limit

$$\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) := \varinjlim_{\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s}/S),$$

with maps given by $\pi_0^!$.

(3) The group of closed equivariant (p, p) -currents is the projective limit

$$D_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) := \varprojlim_{\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s}/S),$$

with maps given by the $\pi_{s!}$.

(4) The group of equivariant (k, k) -currents modulo the image of ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ is the projective limit

$$\tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) := \varprojlim_{\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s}/S),$$

with maps given by the π_{s*} .

The following proposition gives a combinatorial description of these spaces.

Proposition 5.7. (1) An element in $A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ is determined by an element $f \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s}/S)$ for some model $\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$, i.e. by an affine piecewise polynomial function on Π . All the other elements are obtained from f by the pullback π_0^* . In other words, we get

$$A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \simeq \{f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is affine piecewise polynomial of degree } k \text{ with respect to some } \Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)\}.$$

Hence,

$$A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{*,*}(X) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \simeq \{f: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is affine piecewise polynomial with respect to some } \Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)\}.$$

(2) An element in $\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ is determined by an element in $\mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s}^{[1]}/S)$ for some model $\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ and all the other elements are obtained by pullback under ζ .

(3) An element in $D_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ is a tuple of affine piecewise polynomial functions $(f_{\Pi'})_{\Pi' \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)}$, where $f_{\Pi'}$ is piecewise polynomial with respect to Π' of degree k , compatible under the pushforward map $\pi_{s!}$, i.e. such that

$$\pi_{s!}(f_{\Pi'}) = f_{\Pi}$$

for any $\Pi' \geq \Pi$ in $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. Moreover, such a tuple determines a function

$$f: N_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

defined by sending a vector $v \in N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to $f_{\Pi'}(v)$ where $\Pi' \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ is any model having v as a vertex, or equivalently, such that $c(\Pi')$ has $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v$ as a ray.

(4) An element in $\tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ is a tuple $(g_\Pi)_{\Pi \in R(\Sigma)}$ with $g_\Pi \in \text{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s}^{[1]})$, compatible under the pushforward map α given above, i.e. such that

$$\alpha(g_{\Pi'}) = g_\Pi$$

for any $\Pi' \geq \Pi$ in $R(\Sigma)$. Note that here on new vertices $v \in \Pi'(0) \setminus \Pi(0)$ one allows any affine piecewise polynomial function on $\text{PP}^*(\Pi'(v))$ modulo $\text{Im}(\gamma)$.

Proof. This follows directly from the definitions and the combinatorial descriptions of the equivariant Chow groups given in Section 3. \blacksquare

Example 5.8. Consider $k = 1$ and pick any $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$. Then a form in $A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{1,1}(X)$ defines a toric Cartier b-divisor on the fan $c(\Pi)$ and a current in $D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{1,1}(X)$ defines a toric Weil b-divisor on $c(\Pi)$ (we refer to [Bot18] for the notion of toric b-divisors).

For arbitrary k , it would be interesting to relate forms in $A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ to elements in McMullen's Polytope Algebra [FS97] and currents in $D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ to elements in the Convex Set Algebra [Bot21].

Clearly we have unique injective maps

$$\iota_{\text{closed}} : A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \longrightarrow D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$$

such that for every map of toric models $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi''} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'}$, the composition

$$\text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi',s}/S) \longrightarrow A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\text{closed}}} D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi'',s}/S)$$

is equal to π_s^* .

Similarly we have unique injective maps

$$\tilde{\iota}: \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(X) \longrightarrow \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(X)$$

such that for $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi''} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'}$ as above, the composition

$$\text{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi',s}/S) \longrightarrow \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi'',s}/S)$$

is equal to $\pi_s^!$.

Now, let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ be a toric scheme. Recall the map

$$\iota_* \iota^*: \text{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k+1}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

from Section 5.1, which is given combinatorially by $-\gamma\rho$. The next proposition says that this map is compatible with the covariant maps $\pi_{s*}, \pi_{s!}$ and with the contravariant maps $\pi_s^*, \pi_s^!$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $\Pi' \geq \Pi \in R(\Sigma)$ with corresponding map of toric models $\pi: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We have commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & -\gamma\rho \\
 & & & & & & \curvearrowright \\
 & & & & & & \\
 \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'^{[1]}/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_k \mathbb{T}_S(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) & \xrightarrow{\iota^* \iota_*} & \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}'^{[1]}/S) \\
 \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \pi_{s*} & & \downarrow \pi_{s!} & & \downarrow \beta = \alpha^* \\
 \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_k \mathbb{T}_S(\mathcal{X}_s/S) & \xrightarrow{\iota^* \iota_*} & \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \\
 & & & & & & \curvearrowleft \\
 & & & & & & -\gamma\rho
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & -\gamma\rho \\
 & & & & & & \curvearrowright \\
 & & & & & & \\
 \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_k \mathbb{T}_S(\mathcal{X}_s/S) & \xrightarrow{\iota^* \iota_*} & \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \\
 \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow \pi_s! & & \downarrow \pi_s^* & & \downarrow \pi_s^* \\
 \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n-k}(\mathcal{X}'^{[1]}/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_k \mathbb{T}_S(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) & \xrightarrow{\iota^* \iota_*} & \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}'_s/S) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^{n+1-k}(\mathcal{X}'^{[1]}/S) \\
 & & & & & & \curvearrowleft \\
 & & & & & & -\gamma\rho
 \end{array}$$

Hence, we obtain induced maps on the direct and inverse systems

$$dd^c: \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1,k+1}(\mathcal{X}) \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$dd^c: \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1,k+1}(\mathcal{X}). \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. One checks the commutativity of the diagrams using the combinatorial descriptions of the maps involved. \blacksquare

We now give a combinatorial description of the maps (5.1) and (5.2). First note that by the exact sequence in Section 5.1 we have that

$$\mathrm{Im}(-\gamma\rho) \subseteq \ker(\rho). \quad (5.3)$$

- (5.1) Let $c \in \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(X)$. The element c is determined by an element $\hat{c} \in \mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$ for some model \mathcal{X} . Then $\mathrm{dd}^c(c)$ is determined by the element $-\gamma\rho(\hat{c}) \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k+1}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$. Note that by (5.3) we have that $-\gamma\rho(\hat{c})$ indeed determines an affine piecewise polynomial function on some model $\mathcal{X}' \geq \mathcal{X}$ (not necessarily \mathcal{X}).
- (5.2) Let $c \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(X)$. The element c corresponds to a tuple $\hat{c} = (f_{\Pi'})_{\Pi'}$ with $f_{\Pi'} \in \mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi',s}^{[1]}/S)$ compatible under the map α . Then $\mathrm{dd}^c(c)$ is given by the tuple $(-\gamma\rho(f_{\Pi'}))_{\Pi'}$ with $-\gamma\rho(f_{\Pi'}) \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k+1}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi',s}^{[1]}/S)$. Also here, by (5.3), each $-\gamma\rho(f_{\Pi'})$ gives an affine piecewise polynomial function (defined on a possibly higher model). Hence, $(-\gamma\rho(f_{\Pi'}))_{\Pi'}$ defines a tuple of affine piecewise polynomial functions, and these are compatible under $\pi_s!$.

Example 5.10. For $k = 0$, an element $c \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{0,0}(X)$ is an element in $\varprojlim_{\Pi \in \mathbb{R}(\Sigma)} \bigoplus_{v \in \Pi(0)} \mathbb{Q}$, i.e. it associates to each rational point in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ a rational number, hence it defines a function $N_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. This function is exactly the one associated to $\mathrm{dd}^c(c) \in D_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{1,1}$ in Proposition 5.7.

The dd^c maps satisfy the following regularity property. It is the equivariant analogue of [BGS95a, Theorem 2.3.1 iv)].

Proposition 5.11. *Assume that $g \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$ is such that $\mathrm{dd}^c(g)$ lies in the subgroup $A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1, k+1}(X)$ of $D_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1, k+1}(X)$. Then g lies in $\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X) \subseteq \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{dd}^c(g)$ is an affine piecewise polynomial function, determined on some toric model $\Pi \in \mathbb{R}(\Sigma)$. Then it follows from the combinatorial description of the map $-\gamma\rho$ given above, that g has to be already determined on some (possibly higher) toric model Π' . The statement then follows from Proposition 5.7. ■

Now we consider the question of mapping closed forms or currents into forms or currents modulo $\partial, \bar{\partial}$. In combinatorial terms, this asks whether we can decompose affine piecewise polynomial functions (or tuples of such) into direct sums of piecewise polynomials functions, indexed over all the vertices of the polyhedral complex, in such a way that functorial compatibilities are satisfied.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\Pi}$ be a model of X and consider the fundamental class of the special fiber $[\mathcal{X}_s] \in \mathrm{CH}_n^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_n^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \simeq \bigoplus_{v \in \Pi(0)} \mathbb{Q}$. Then $[\mathcal{X}_s]$ corresponds then to an element $(m_v)_{v \in \Pi(0)}$, where $m_v \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the multiplicity of $V(v)$ in \mathcal{X}_s .

Multiplication by $[\mathcal{X}_s]$ gives the cap product

$$\cap[\mathcal{X}_s]: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

which in this case is given by

$$f \in \mathrm{PP}^k(\Pi) \longmapsto f \cap [\mathcal{X}_s] = (m_\nu f_\nu)_{\nu \in \Pi(0)} \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S) \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s^{[1]}/S)$$

and taking the image in $\mathrm{CH}_{n-k}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$.

Given a map of toric models $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $f \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$ and $f' \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}'_s/S)$, by the functoriality properties of the equivariant operational Chow groups, we have that

$$\pi_0^!(f \cap [\mathcal{X}_s]) = (\pi_s^* f) \cap [\mathcal{X}'_s]$$

and

$$\pi_{0*}(f' \cap [\mathcal{X}'_s]) = (\pi_{0!} f') \cap [\mathcal{X}_s].$$

Hence, the cap products $\cap[\mathcal{X}_s]$ induce maps of direct and inverse limits

$$g: \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}$$

$$g': \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}).$$

We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g' \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are the canonical injections mentioned above. The following proposition follows from the combinatorial description of the maps taking into account Equation (5.3). It is the equivariant analogue of [BGS95a, Proposition 1.4.10].

Proposition 5.12. *The compositions*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{g} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dd}^c} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1,k+1}(\mathcal{X})$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{g'} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dd}^c} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1,k+1}(\mathcal{X})$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dd}^c} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1,k+1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{g} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1,k+1}(\mathcal{X})$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dd}^c} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1,k+1}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{g'} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k+1,k+1}(\mathcal{X})$$

are all 0.

5.3 Some properties

We list some properties of the forms and currents defined in the previous section. As before, $X = X_\Sigma$ denotes a smooth projective toric variety over the discretely valued field K .

Products

The following proposition follows from the combinatorial description of the forms and currents in Proposition 5.7.

Proposition 5.13. *i) The group $A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X)$ is a graded commutative ring. The product is given by the product of affine piecewise polynomial functions. The groups $\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X)$, $D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X)$ and $\tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X)$ are all graded $A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X)$ -modules.*
ii) The dd^c map on both forms and currents is a map of graded $A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{,*}(X)$ -modules.*

Note that the ring and module structures are uniquely determined by the requirement that they be compatible with the product on $\text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$ and the $\text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$ -module structure on $\text{CH}_*^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$ for any toric model $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}(X)$.

Remark 5.14. There is also an associative product on $\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X)$

$$\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X) \otimes \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X) \longrightarrow \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(X)$$

given by

$$c \otimes d \longmapsto c \cdot dd^c d. \tag{5.4}$$

We expect by [Ful98, Example 17.4.4] that this product is also commutative.

Functoriality

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an equivariant map of smooth projective toric varieties over K . By resolution of singularities, for each toric model \mathfrak{Y} of Y corresponding to some SCR polyhedral complex Ψ , there exists a regular toric model $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ corresponding to Π and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ Y & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y} \end{array}$$

One calls \mathcal{X} a *model of X over \mathfrak{Y}* . Denote by $h_s : \mathcal{X}_s \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}_s$ the induced map between the special fibers. This is compatible with maps of toric models $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ in $R(Y)$. Hence one may take the direct limit of the maps

$$h_s^* : \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^* (\mathfrak{Y}_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^* (\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

and obtain a ring homomorphism

$$f^* : A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*} (Y) \longrightarrow A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*} (X).$$

By Proposition 5.7 this is given as the pullback map of affine piecewise polynomial functions.

In this way, $A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*}(\cdot)$ defines a contravariant functor from the category of smooth projective toric varieties over K to rings of affine piecewise polynomial functions.

Dually, let $d = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$. Taking the inverse limit of the maps

$$h_{s*} : \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{\mathbb{T}_s} (\mathcal{X}_s/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{\mathbb{T}_s} (\mathfrak{Y}_s/S)$$

we obtain

$$f_* : \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*} (X) \longrightarrow \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{*-d, *-d} (Y).$$

Similarly we get maps

$$f^* : \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*} (Y) \longrightarrow \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^{*-d, *-d} (X)$$

and

$$f_* : D_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{*,*} (X) \longrightarrow D_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_s}^{*-d, *-d} (Y).$$

Example 5.15. Consider the structure map $f : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(K)$. Since $\tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{0,0}(\mathrm{Spec} K) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ we obtain a map

$$f_* : \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{n,n} (X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

By composition we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{n,n} (X) \\ D_{\mathrm{closed}, \mathbb{T}_K}^{n,n} (X) \\ \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{n,n} (X) \\ \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{n,n} (X) \end{array} \right. \longrightarrow \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{n,n} (X) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

We denote these maps by

$$\alpha \longmapsto \int_X \alpha$$

and call them the *equivariant degree maps*. These are the equivariant analogues of the degree maps in [BGS95a, Example 1.6.3].

5.4 Equivariant δ - and Green currents

Let $X = X_\Sigma$ be a smooth complete toric variety over K of dimension n . As before, for any complete, regular SCR polyhedral complex Π in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\text{rec}(\Pi) = \Sigma$ we denote by \mathcal{X}_Π the corresponding complete, regular toric model of X over S of S -absolute dimension $n + 1$.

We define the equivariant analogues of δ - and Green currents from [BGS95a, Sections 1.7, 1.8] and describe them in combinatorial terms.

Equivariant δ -currents

Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed invariant subvariety of codimension k . For $\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ we denote by $\bar{Y}^\Pi \subseteq \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ the Zariski closure of Y in \mathcal{X}_Π and by $[\bar{Y}^\Pi] \in \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S)$ its associated equivariant cohomology class.

We also write $\iota: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi',s} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'}$ for the inclusion of the special fiber for any given model $\Pi' \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. The following proposition follows exactly as in [BGS95a, Proposition-Definition 1.7.1].

Proposition 5.16. *The association $\Pi \mapsto \iota^* [\bar{Y}^\Pi]$ gives a well defined class $\delta_Y \in D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_S}^{k,k}(X)$.*

By linearity, $\delta_{(\cdot)}$ is defined on the free abelian group of invariant cycles on X of codimension k .

Let us describe δ_Y in terms of piecewise polynomial functions. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma(k)$ and assume that $Y = V(\sigma)$ the k -codimensional toric subvariety corresponding to the cone σ . Then for any $\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ we may consider $\varphi_{\sigma, \Pi}$, the piecewise polynomial function on $c(\Pi)$ of degree k associated to σ from Definition 3.5. Here we view σ as a cone in $c(\Pi)$, contained in $N_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \{0\}$.

It follows that, under the isomorphism in Theorem 3.16, $\varphi_{\sigma, \Pi}$ exactly corresponds to $[\bar{Y}^\Pi]$. Moreover, the pullback $\iota^* [\bar{Y}^\Pi]$ corresponds then to taking the restriction of $\varphi_{\sigma, \Pi}$ to $|\Pi|$. Hence we get that

$$\delta_Y = \left(\iota^* [\bar{Y}^\Pi] \right)_{\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)} \in D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_S}^{k,k}(X)$$

corresponds to the tower of piecewise polynomial functions

$$\left(\varphi_{\sigma, \Pi} |_{|\Pi|} \right)_{\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)},$$

compatibility under the pushforward map from Section 3.2.

As we have seen, this tuple defines a function

$$\delta_Z: N_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \tag{5.5}$$

which is also denoted by δ_Z .

Equivariant Green currents

Let $\eta = \sum_i n_i Y_i$ be a codimension k invariant cycle on X . Given $\Pi \in R(X)$ we choose a lifting of η to a codimension k algebraic cycle $\hat{\eta} \in \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S)$. The image of $\hat{\eta}$ under the composition

$$\text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,S}/S) \longrightarrow A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$$

gives a class $\omega \in A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$.

Given a map of toric models $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ corresponding to $\Pi' \geq \Pi \in R(\Sigma)$, we let

$$g_{\Pi'} := \pi^*[\hat{\eta}] - \bar{\eta}^{\Pi'},$$

where, as before, $[\bar{\eta}^{\Pi'}]$ denotes the class of the Zariski closure of (the irreducible components of) η in $\mathcal{X}_{\Pi'}$. As in [BGS95a, Prop. 1.84], this cycle is supported on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\Pi',s}$, hence it defines a class in $\text{CH}_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi',s}/S)$. It follows that the association $\Pi \mapsto g_{\Pi'}$ induces a class

$$g = (g_{\Pi'})_{\Pi' \in R(X)} \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X).$$

In terms of piecewise polynomial functions, the choice of a lifting $\hat{\eta}$ to \mathcal{X}_Π is equivalent to the choice of a piecewise polynomial function $f_{\hat{\eta}}$ on $c(\Pi)$ whose restriction to Σ is the piecewise polynomial function f_η corresponding to the cycle η on X . Then $\pi^*[\hat{\eta}]$ corresponds to the pullback of $f_{\hat{\eta}}$ to $c(\Pi')$. On the other hand, $\bar{\eta}^{\Pi'}$ also corresponds to a piecewise polynomial function on $c(\Pi')$ whose restriction to Σ is f_η . Hence, the difference

$$g_{\Pi'} = \pi^*[\hat{\eta}] - \bar{\eta}^{\Pi'},$$

is a piecewise polynomial function on $c(\Pi')$ which vanishes when restricted to Σ . Thus it defines an element in $\text{CH}_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi',s}/S)$ and for different toric models $\Pi'' \geq \Pi' \in R(\Sigma)$ these are compatible under the pushforward map π_{s*} . The following proposition follows from the above discussion.

Proposition 5.17. *Let $\eta = \sum_i n_i Y_i$ be an invariant cycle on X of codimension k . Then the choice of a toric model $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$ and a lifting $\hat{\eta}$ in $\text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S)$ determines a class $g \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$ such that*

$$\text{dd}^c g = \omega - \delta_\eta.$$

This g is then an example of a so called *equivariant Green current* for η . Indeed, we have the following definition.

Definition 5.18. Any element $g \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$ such that

$$\text{dd}^c g + \delta_\eta \in A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X)$$

is called an *equivariant Green current* for η .

In terms of piecewise polynomial functions, an element $g \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$ is a Green current for η if and only if the sum

$$dd^c g + \delta_\eta,$$

which by Proposition 5.7, can be seen as a sum of \mathbb{Q} -valued functions on $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$, is an affine piecewise polynomial function on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to some $\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$. In particular, it extends to a continuous function on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Example 5.19. Let L_ψ be a toric Cartier divisor on X associated to a virtual support function ψ on Σ and let s be a toric section of L_ψ . By Proposition 5.17 we have that the analogue of a toric metric on L is the choice of an element \mathcal{L} in $\varinjlim_{\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^1(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S)$ extending L . In other words, the choice of a model $\Pi \in \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ and a piecewise linear function $\tilde{\psi}$ on $c(\Pi)$ whose restriction to Σ is ψ .

Given such a toric metric $\|\cdot\|$, the analogue of the L^1 -function $\log \|s\|$ is the Green's function $g \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{0,0}(X)$ defined for $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ by $g_{\Pi'} = \text{div}(\tilde{s}') - \overline{\text{div}(s)}^{\Pi'}$, where (\tilde{s}') is the extension of s to a toric section of $\pi^* \mathcal{L}$ and $\overline{\text{div}(s)}^{\Pi'}$ is the Zariski closure of $\text{div}(s)$ on $\mathcal{X}_{\Pi'}$. Note that $\text{div}(\tilde{s}')$ is a piecewise polynomial function on $c(\Pi')$ but $\overline{\text{div}(s)}^{\Pi'}$ not necessarily. They differ on $\Pi(v)$ for $v \in \Pi'(0) \setminus \Pi(0)$. In this way, g defines a function $N_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ which is exactly the function associated to $dd^c g \in D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_s}^{1,1}$ (see Example 5.10).

6 Equivariant non-arquimidean arithmetic Chow groups of toric varieties

Let $X = X_\Sigma$ be a smooth projective toric variety over the discretely valued field K . The goal of this section is to define equivariant analogues of the non-arquimidean arithmetic Chow groups $\widehat{\text{CH}}(X)$ and $\widetilde{\text{CH}}(X)$ defined in [BGS95a] and [GS00], respectively, and to provide combinatorial descriptions of these groups.

6.1 The equivariant arithmetic Chow group $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X)$

Let $W \subseteq X$ be an invariant subvariety of codimension $(k-1)$ and let $f \in K(W)^*$ be a non-zero, invariant rational function on W . Then $\text{div}(f)$ on W is an invariant cycle on X of codimension k .

For Π in $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ we denote by $\text{div}_\Pi(f)$ the divisor of f on the Zariski closure \overline{W}^Π of W on \mathcal{X}_Π . On the other hand, we write $\overline{\text{div}(f)}^\Pi$ for the Zariski closure of $\text{div}(f)$ on \mathcal{X}_Π . Define

$$\text{div}_v(f)_\Pi := \overline{\text{div}(f)}^\Pi - \text{div}_\Pi(f).$$

This is a codimension $(k-1)$ cycle supported on the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s}$. Given a map of toric models $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Pi}$ associated to $\Pi' \geq \Pi$ in $R(\Sigma)$, one has

$$\pi_{s*}(\operatorname{div}_{\nu}(f)_{\Pi'}) = \operatorname{div}_{\nu}(f)_{\Pi}.$$

Hence, we get a well-defined element

$$\operatorname{div}_{\nu}(f) = (\operatorname{div}_{\nu}(f)_{\Pi})_{\Pi \in R(\Sigma)} \in \tilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k,k}(X).$$

We then have the equivariant following version of the *Poincaré–Lelong formula*.

Proposition 6.1. *Let notations be as above and let $\chi \in \mathcal{M}$ be the weight of f . Then*

$$dd^c(-\operatorname{div}_{\nu}(f)) = \delta_{\chi[W]-\operatorname{div}(f)},$$

where $\chi[W]$ denotes the action of \mathcal{M} on $\operatorname{CH}_{*}^{\mathbb{T}_k}(X)$ by homogeneous maps of degree -1 .

Proof. It suffices to show that for a fixed toric model $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$ we have that

$$\iota^* \iota_* \left(\operatorname{div}_{\Pi}(f) - \overline{\operatorname{div}(f)}^{\Pi} \right) = \iota^* \left(\overline{\chi[W]}^{\Pi} - \overline{\operatorname{div}(f)}^{\Pi} \right),$$

where $\iota: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi,s} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Pi}$ denotes the inclusion of the special fiber. But the difference between the two sides of this equation is

$$\iota^* \left(\operatorname{div}_{\Pi}(f) - \overline{\chi[W]}^{\Pi} \right) = \iota^* \left(\operatorname{div}_{\Pi}(f) - \tilde{\chi}[W]^{\Pi} \right),$$

where $\tilde{\chi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is the weight of f on \overline{W}^{Π} . And this is zero because $\operatorname{div}_{\Pi}(f) - \tilde{\chi}[W]^{\Pi}$ is zero in $\operatorname{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi}/S)$ (see Remark 3.4). \blacksquare

We are now ready to define the equivariant arithmetic Chow groups $\widehat{\operatorname{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$. We write $Z_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ for the group of invariant cycles of codimension k on X .

Definition 6.2. Let

$$\widehat{Z}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) := \{ \eta, g \mid \eta \in Z_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X), g \text{ a Green current for } \eta \}$$

be the group of *equivariant arithmetic cycles* and let $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \subseteq \widehat{Z}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ be the subgroup generated by all arithmetic cycles of the form

$$\widehat{\operatorname{div}(f)} := (\chi[W] - \operatorname{div}(f), -\operatorname{div}_{\nu}(f)),$$

where $f \in K(W)^*$ is any non-zero invariant rational function on an invariant subvariety W of codimension $k-1$ of weight χ .

Then the *equivariant arithmetic Chow group of X of degree k* is defined by

$$\widehat{\operatorname{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) := \widehat{Z}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) / \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X).$$

We also set

$$\widehat{\operatorname{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\operatorname{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X).$$

One has maps

i)

$$\zeta: \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X), (\eta, g) \longmapsto \eta,$$

ii)

$$\alpha: \widetilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X), \alpha \longmapsto (0, \alpha),$$

iii)

$$\omega: \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \longrightarrow A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k, k}(X), (\eta, g) \longmapsto \delta_\eta + dd^c g.$$

These fit into an exact sequence

$$\widetilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \xrightarrow{\zeta} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

$\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X)$ as a direct limit

Let $\eta \in Z_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ be an invariant cycle of codimension k . Recall from Proposition 5.17 that a choice of a toric model $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$ together with a lifting $\widehat{\eta} \in Z_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S)$ of η determines a Green current $g_{\widehat{\eta}}$ for η . Here, $Z_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S)$ denotes the set of invariant cycles of \mathcal{X}_Π of absolute S -codimension k .

Thus, for any $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_\Pi} & \widehat{Z}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & Z_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) & \end{array}$$

where $\Theta_\Pi(\widehat{\eta}) = (\widehat{\eta}|_{\mathcal{X}}, g_{\widehat{\eta}})$, the left arrow is given by $\widehat{\eta} \mapsto \widehat{\eta}|_{\mathcal{X}}$ and the right arrow by $(\eta, g_\eta) \mapsto \eta$. The following Lemma follows similar to [BGS95a, Lemma 3.3.1].

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$ and let $\widetilde{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ be an invariant integral subscheme of codimension $k - 1$. Further, let $f \in k(\widetilde{W})^*$ be an invariant rational function on \widetilde{W} of weight $\widetilde{\chi} \in \widetilde{M}$. Then*

$$\Theta_\Pi \left(\widetilde{\chi} [\widetilde{W}] - \text{div}_{\mathcal{X}_\Pi}(f) \right) \in \widehat{R}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X),$$

where, as before, $\text{div}_{\mathcal{X}_\Pi}(f)$ denotes the divisor of f on \mathcal{X} .

It follows from the above lemma that Θ_Π induces a map

$$\Theta_\Pi: \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X).$$

Moreover, let $\Pi' \geq \Pi$ in $R(\Sigma)$ correspond to a map of toric models $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\Pi$. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi'}) \\ & \searrow \Theta_\Pi & \swarrow \Theta_{\Pi'} \\ & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X) & \end{array}$$

Hence we get a map on the direct limit

$$\Theta: \varinjlim_{\Pi \in R(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X).$$

Theorem 6.4. *The map Θ defined above is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We have

$$\varinjlim_{\Pi \in R(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S) \simeq \varinjlim_{\Pi \in R(\Sigma)} \mathrm{PP}^k(c(\Pi)) = \mathrm{PP}_\Sigma^k(\mathbb{N}_\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}),$$

where $\mathrm{PP}_\Sigma^k(\mathbb{N}_\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ denotes the set of piecewise polynomial functions f on $\mathbb{N}_\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of degree k such that there exists $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$ with $f \in \mathrm{PP}^k(c(\Pi))$.

Then Θ can be described in combinatorial terms as the map

$$\Theta: \mathrm{PP}_\Sigma^k(\mathbb{N}_\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X)$$

which sends f to the equivariant arithmetic cycle $(f|_{\mathbb{N}_\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}}, g_f)$. Here, g_f is the equivariant Green function from Proposition 5.17 associated to the invariant cycle induced by f on X .

For the inverse map, let $(\eta, g_\eta) \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X)$, where η is an invariant cycle and g_η is an equivariant Green function for η . Then g_η being an equivariant Green function for η implies that there exists a toric model $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$ such that

$$\delta_\eta + \mathrm{dd}^c g_\eta \in \mathrm{PP}(\Pi),$$

i.e. such that the above expression defines an affine piecewise polynomial functions with respect to Π . Then the inverse map takes (η, g_η) to the piecewise polynomial function $f_\eta \in \mathrm{PP}^k(c(\Pi))$ corresponding to the Zariski closure $\bar{\eta}^\Pi$ of η in \mathcal{X}_Π . This is independent on the choice of Π .

One easily checks that the assignments $(\eta, g_\eta) \mapsto f_\eta$ and $f \mapsto (f|_{\mathbb{N}_\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}}, g_f)$ are inverse to each other. This concludes the theorem. \blacksquare

Remark 6.5. For $k = 1$, by considering the restriction to $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\}$, we see that the set $\text{PP}_{\Sigma}^1(N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ is the same as the set of piecewise affine functions on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose recession function is piecewise linear with respect to Σ . Hence, the above isomorphism is saying that the equivariant non-archimedean analogue of choosing a (smooth) hermitian *toric* metric on a *toric* line bundle associated to a virtual support function ψ , is the choice of a piecewise affine function on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose recession function agrees with ψ . These are the so called *toric model metrics* from [BPS14].

Corollary 6.6. *The graded algebra structure on $\varinjlim_{X \in \mathcal{R}(X)} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^*(X/S) \simeq \text{PP}_{\Sigma}^*(N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, which is given by multiplication of piecewise polynomial functions, induces a graded algebra structure on $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^*(X)$. Thus Θ becomes an isomorphism of graded algebras.*

Moreover, if $X \rightarrow Y$ is a toric morphism of smooth projective toric varieties over K , we obtain a pullback map

$$f^*: \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^*(Y) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^*(X),$$

given simply by composition of functions.

In this way, the association $X \mapsto \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^*(X)$ defines a contravariant functor from smooth projective toric varieties to rings of piecewise polynomial functions.

6.2 The extended equivariant arithmetic Chow group $\widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^*(X)$

As before, $X = X_{\Sigma}$ denotes a smooth projective toric variety over K . We now define the equivariant analogue of the *extended* arithmetic Chow group $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^k(X)$ defined in [GS00]. Here one considers, instead of pairs (η, g_{η}) consisting of invariant cycles on X together with Green currents, pairs of the form (η, g) , where g is an arbitrary current in $\widetilde{D}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$.

Definition 6.7. *The extended equivariant arithmetic Chow group of X of degree k is defined as*

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X) := \left(Z_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X) \oplus \widetilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{k-1, k-1}(X) \right) / \widehat{R}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X).$$

We further set

$$\widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^*(X) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X).$$

Clearly, we have

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X) \subseteq \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X)$$

for any integer k .

The maps ζ , α and ω given after Definition 6.2 extend to maps

i)

$$\zeta: \widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X), \quad (\eta, g) \longmapsto \eta,$$

ii)

$$\alpha: \widetilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X) \longrightarrow \widetilde{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X), \alpha \longmapsto (0, \alpha),$$

iii)

$$\omega: \widetilde{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \longrightarrow D_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k, k}(X), (\eta, g) \longmapsto \delta_\eta + dd^c g.$$

Then the regularity result in Proposition 5.11 implies that $\alpha \in \widetilde{A}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$ if and only if $\alpha(\alpha) \in \widehat{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$. Also, we have that $(\eta, g) \in \widetilde{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ lies in $\widehat{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ if and only if $\omega(\eta, g) \in A_{\text{closed}, \mathbb{T}_k}^{k, k}(X)$, i.e. if and only if $\omega(\eta, g)$ is affine piecewise polynomial with respect to some $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$.

$\widetilde{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ as an inverse limit

We will define a dual map to Θ , expressing $\widetilde{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$ as an *inverse* limit of equivariant Chow groups of toric models of X .

Let $\Pi \in R(\Sigma)$. We define a map

$$\Theta'_\Pi: Z_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi/S) \longrightarrow Z_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \oplus CH_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$$

by

$$\tilde{Z} \longmapsto \left(\tilde{Z}|_X, z_\Pi \right),$$

where z_Π is given by

$$z_\Pi = \tilde{Z} - \overline{\tilde{Z}|_X}^\Pi,$$

where $\overline{\tilde{Z}|_X}^\Pi$ denotes the Zariski closure of $\tilde{Z}|_X$ in \mathcal{X}_Π . Note that the difference $\tilde{Z} - \overline{\tilde{Z}|_X}^\Pi$ is supported on the special fiber, so that it indeed defines an element in $CH_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_s/S)$.

As before, we have

$$\Theta'_\Pi \left(\tilde{\chi} [\widetilde{W}] - \text{div}_{\mathcal{X}_\Pi}(f) \right) \in \widehat{R}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X),$$

for any invariant integral subscheme $\widetilde{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_\Pi$ of codimension $k-1$ and any invariant rational function $f \in k(\widetilde{W})^*$ of weight $\tilde{\chi} \in \widetilde{M}$.

Hence, Θ'_Π induces a map

$$\Theta'_\Pi: CH_{\mathbb{T}_s}^k(\mathcal{X}_\Pi) \longrightarrow Z_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X) \oplus CH_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_s}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi, s}/S).$$

Moreover, if $\Pi' \geq \Pi$, then it follows from the combinatorial description of the pushforward map π_{s*} (see Proposition 5.7), that $\pi_{s*}(z_{\Pi'}) = z_\Pi$. Hence, the tuple $(z_{\Pi'})_{\Pi' \in R(\Sigma)}$ defines an element in $\widetilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$. We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi'}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi}) \\
\Theta'_{\Pi} \searrow & & \swarrow \Theta'_{\Pi} \\
& \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X) &
\end{array}$$

and therefore a map on the inverse limit

$$\Theta': \varprojlim_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi}/S) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X).$$

Theorem 6.8. *The map Θ' defined above is an isomorphism.*

Proof. An element $f \in \varprojlim_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi}/S)$ can be seen as a tuple $f = (f_{\Pi})_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)}$ with $f_{\Pi} \in \mathrm{PP}^k(c(\Pi))$ and compatible under the pushforward map π_* . In particular, the restriction $f_{\Pi}|_{N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{0\}}$ (which coincides with the pushforward map to the canonical model \mathcal{X}_{Σ}) is the same for any $\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)$. We denote it by f_{Σ} . Then the map Θ' sends f to

$$(f_{\Sigma}, z),$$

where $z = (z_{\Pi})_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$ is given by

$$z_{\Pi} = Z_{f_{\Pi}} - \overline{Z}_{f_{\Sigma}}^{\Pi} \in \mathrm{CH}_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi, s}/S).$$

Here, we have denote by Z_p the invariant cycle associated to a piecewise polynomial function p and, as always, $\overline{(\cdot)}^{\Pi}$ denotes the Zariski closure in \mathcal{X}_{Π} .

We can now define an inverse map

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^k(X) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_S}^k(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi}/S)$$

by

$$(\eta, g) \longmapsto (h_{\Pi})_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)},$$

where $h_{\Pi} \in \mathrm{PP}^k(c(\Pi))$ is the piecewise polynomial function associated to the cycle

$$\overline{\eta}^{\Pi} + \iota_*(g_{\Pi}).$$

Here, $g = (g_{\Pi})_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathbb{T}_K}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$ with $g_{\Pi} \in \mathrm{CH}_{n-k+1}^{\mathbb{T}_S}(\mathcal{X}_{\Pi, s})$ and $\iota: \mathcal{X}_{\Pi, s} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Pi}$ is the inclusion of the special fiber.

One easily checks that the associations $f \mapsto (f|_{\Sigma}, z)$ and $(\eta, g) \mapsto (h_{\Pi})_{\Pi \in \mathbf{R}(\Sigma)}$ are inverse to each other. This concludes the theorem. ■

Remark 6.9. Note that the restriction of the map Θ' and its inverse to $\widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X)$ coincides with the map Θ and its inverse from the proof of Theorem 6.4, respectively.

Example 6.10. (1) Let $g = (g_\Pi)_{\Pi \in R(\Sigma)} \in \widetilde{D}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^{k-1, k-1}(X)$. Then the isomorphism Θ' sends $(\iota_*(\eta x))_\Pi \in \varprojlim_{\Pi \in R(\Sigma)} \text{CH}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X_\Pi/S)$ to $\mathfrak{a}(g) = (0, g)$.
(2) If $\eta \in Z_{\mathbb{T}_k}^k(X)$, then the isomorphism Θ' sends $(\overline{\eta}^\Pi)_{\Pi \in R(\Sigma)}$ to the class of $(\eta, 0)$.

Remark 6.11. (1) Given the isomorphism θ' , we can view an element in $\widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X)$ as a function

$$f: N_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

whose restriction to $N_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \{0\}$ is an element in $\text{PP}^k(\Sigma)$. We would like to characterize all such functions arising in this way.

- (2) Consider the case $k = 1$. Then, as was mentioned in the introduction, we could interpret the isomorphism Θ' as saying that the non-archimedean analogue of a (singular) hermitian *toric* metric on a *toric* line bundle associated to a virtual support function ψ is the choice of a function $f: N_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose restriction to $N_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \{0\}$ is ψ . This extends the class of toric metrics considered in [BPS14] (see Proposition 4.3.10 in *loc. cit.*).
- (3) Note that $\widetilde{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X)$ is not a ring (the pushforward map π_* is only a group homomorphism). It is however an $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{T}_k}^*(X)$ -module by considering the product with piecewise polynomial functions. Moreover, it seems possible to define an intersection pairing on a suitable subset of “positive” extended arithmetic cycles. We will pursue these ideas in the future.

References

- [AP15] D. Anderson and S. Payne. “Operational K-theory”. *Documenta Math* 20 (2015), pp. 357–399.
- [Ara74] S. J. Arakelov. “Intersection theory of divisors on an arithmetic surface”. *Math. USSR* 8.6 (1974), pp. 1167–1180.
- [Ara75] S. J. Arakelov. “Theory of intersections on an arithmetic surface”. *Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1975), pp. 405–408.
- [AW97] D. Abramovich and J. Wang. “Equivariant resolution of singularities in characteristic 0”. *Math. Research Letters* 4 (1997), pp. 427–433.
- [BFJ08] S. Boucksom, C. Favre, and M. Jonsson. “Valuations and plurisubharmonic singularities”. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 44.2 (2008), pp. 449–494.
- [BFJ16] S. Boucksom, C. Favre, and M. Jonsson. “Singular semipositive metrics in non-Archimedean geometry”. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 25.1 (2016), pp. 77–139.

- [BGS95a] S. Bloch, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé. “Non-archimedean Arakelov theory”. *J. Algebr. Geom.* 4 (1995), pp. 427–485.
- [BGS95b] S. Bloch, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé. “Algebraic cycles on degenerate fibers”. Preprint, available at <https://cds.cern.ch/record/284324?ln=es>, 1995.
- [Bot18] A. M. Botero. “Intersection theory of b-divisors in toric varieties”. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 28 (2018), pp. 291–338.
- [Bot21] A. M. Botero. “The Convex-Set Algebra and intersection theory on the Toric Riemann–Zariski Space”. *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series* 38 (2021), pp. 465–486.
- [Bot22] A. M. Botero. “On Chow groups of toric schemes over a DVR” (2022). Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.15229>.
- [Bot+22] A. M. Botero, J. I. Burgos Gil, D. Holmes, and R. de Jong. “Chern–Weil and Hilbert–Samuel formulae for singular hermitian line bundles”. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.09007>. Accepted for publication in *Doc. Math.* 2022.
- [BPS14] J. I. Burgos Gil, P. Philippon, and M. Sombra. *Arithmetic geometry of toric varieties. Metrics, measures and heights.* 360. Astérisque, 2014, pp. vi+222.
- [Bri18] M. Brion. “Linearization of algebraic group actions”. *Handbook of group actions Vol. IV of Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)* 41 (2018), pp. 291–340.
- [Bri96] M. Brion. “Piecewise polynomial functions, convex polytopes and enumerative geometry”. *Parameter Spaces* 36 (1996).
- [Bri97] M. Brion. “Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions”. *Trans. Groups* 2.3 (1997), pp. 225–267.
- [CLS10] D. Cox, J. B. Little, and H. Schenck. *Toric Varieties.* Vol. 124. Graduate texts in Mathematics. Amer. Math. Soc, 2010.
- [EG98] D. Eddin and W. Graham. “Equivariant intersection theory”. *Invent. Math.* 131 (1998), pp. 595–634.
- [Fal87] G. Faltings. “Calculus on arithmetic surfaces”. *Ann. of Math.* 119 (1987), pp. 387–4232.
- [FS97] W. Fulton and B. Sturmfels. “Intersection theory on toric varieties”. *Topology* 36.2 (1997), pp. 335–353.
- [Ful98] C. Fulton. *Intersection theory.* Springer, 1998.
- [GD] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. *Éléments de Géométrie algébrique (EGA).*
- [Gon15] R. P. Gonzales. “Equivariant operational Chow rings of T-linear schemes”. *Doc. Math.* 20 (2015), pp. 401–432.
- [Gon17] R. P. Gonzales. “Localization in equivariant operational K-theory and the Chang-Skjelbred property”. *Manuscripta Math.* 153 (2017), pp. 623–644.

- [GS00] H. Gillet and C. Soulé. “Direct images in non-archimedean Arakelov theory”. *Annales de l’institut Fourier* 50 (2000), pp. 363–399.
- [GS90a] H. Gillet and C. Soulé. “Arithmetic intersection theory”. *Publ. Math. I. H. E. S* 72 (1990), pp. 94–174.
- [GS90b] H. Gillet and C. Soulé. “Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with Hermitian metrics”. *Ann. of Math.* 131.2 (1990), pp. 163–238.
- [Jon97] A. J. de Jong. “Families of curves and alterations”. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 47 (1997), pp. 599–621.
- [Kem+73] G. Kempf, K. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat. *Toroidal Embeddings I*. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, 1973.
- [KS87] S. Kleinman and (with the cooperation of A. Thorup on Section 3). “Intersection theory and enumerative geometry, a decade in review”. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 46 (1987), pp. 321–370.
- [Pay06] S. Payne. “Equivariant Chow cohomology of toric varieties”. *Math. Res. Lett.* 19.1 (2006), pp. 29–41.
- [TV21] J. Tevelev and T. Vogiannou. “Spherical tropicalization”. *Transf. Groups* 26.2 (2021), pp. 691–718.