

# Minimum algebraic connectivity and maximum diameter: Aldous–Fill and Guiduli–Mohar conjectures

Maryam Abdi<sup>a</sup> Ebrahim Ghorbani<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, K. N. Toosi University of Technology,  
P. O. Box 16765-3381, Tehran, Iran*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Hamburg,  
Bundesstraße 55 (Geomatikum), 20146 Hamburg, Germany*

December 8, 2022

## Abstract

Aldous and Fill (2002) conjectured that the maximum relaxation time for the random walk on a connected regular graph with  $n$  vertices is  $(1 + o(1))\frac{3n^2}{2\pi^2}$ . A conjecture by Guiduli and Mohar (1997) predicts the structure of graphs with minimum degree  $d$  and with minimum algebraic connectivity  $\mu$ . We prove that this conjecture implies Aldous–Fill conjecture for odd  $d$ . We pose another conjecture on the structure of  $d$ -regular graphs,  $d$  even, with minimum  $\mu$ , and show that this also implies Aldous–Fill conjecture for even  $d$ . In the literature, it has been noted empirically that graphs with small  $\mu$  tend to have a large diameter. In this regard, Guiduli (1997) asked if the cubic graphs with maximal diameter have algebraic connectivity smaller than all others. We show that the answer to this problem in its general form, that is for  $d$ -regular graphs for every  $d \geq 3$  is negative. However, we come up with an asymptotic formulation of this phenomenon, and put forward the following conjecture:  $d$ -regular graphs (or graphs with  $\delta = d$ ) that have asymptotically smallest  $\mu$ , should have asymptotically maximum diameter. It is natural to consider the opposite direction of this conjecture which we prove to fail for  $d$ -regular graphs with  $d \geq 5$  and as well as graphs with  $\delta \geq 4$ . For  $d = 3, 4$  the family of

---

*E-mail Addresses:* m.abdi@email.kntu.ac.ir (M. Abdi), ebrahim.ghorbani@uni-hamburg.de (E. Ghorbani)

$d$ -regular graphs with asymptotic smallest  $\mu$  coincide with those having asymptotically maximum diameter (in other words, both the conjecture and its converse hold in such cases). These results rely heavily on our understanding of the structure as well as optimal estimation of the algebraic connectivity of graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter. As another by-product, we prove that the minimum algebraic connectivity of  $d$ -regular graphs of order  $n$  or graphs with  $\delta = d$  is  $\Theta(d/n^2)$ , and also settle Aldous–Fill conjecture for graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter.

**Keywords:** Spectral gap, Algebraic connectivity, Relaxation time, Maximum diameter

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 05C50, 60G50

## 1 Introduction

All graphs we consider are simple, i.e. undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Additionally, we assume that they are connected. The *relaxation time* of the random walk on a graph  $G$  is defined by  $\tau = 1/(1 - \eta_2)$ , where  $\eta_2$  is the second largest eigenvalue of the *transition matrix* of  $G$ , that is the matrix  $\Delta^{-1}A$  in which  $\Delta$  and  $A$  are the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees and the adjacency matrix of  $G$ , respectively. A central problem in the study of random walks is to determine the *mixing time*, a measure of how fast the random walk converges to the stationary distribution. As seen through the literature [4, 7], the relaxation time is the primary term controlling mixing time. Therefore, relaxation time is directly associated with the rate of convergence of the random walk.

Our main motivation in this work is the following conjecture on the maximum relaxation time of the random walk in regular graphs.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Aldous and Fill [4, p. 217]). Over all regular graphs on  $n$  vertices,  $\max \tau = (1 + o(1)) \frac{3n^2}{2\pi^2}$ .

For a graph  $G$ ,  $L(G) = \Delta - A$  is its Laplacian matrix. The second smallest eigenvalue of  $L(G)$  is called the *algebraic connectivity* of  $G$  and it is denoted by  $\mu = \mu(G)$ . When  $G$  is regular, of degree  $d$  say, then its transition matrix is  $\frac{1}{d}A$  and its Laplacian is  $dI - A$ . It is then seen that the relaxation time of  $G$  is equal to  $d/\mu(G)$ . Also as  $G$  is regular,  $\mu(G)$  is the same as its *spectral gap*, the difference between the two largest eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . So within the family of  $d$ -regular graphs, maximizing the relaxation time is equivalent to minimizing the spectral gap. More precisely, we have the following rephrasing of Aldous–Fill conjecture.




Figure 1: The conjectured  $\mu$ -minimal graphs with  $\delta = d$  and order  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{d+1}$ . Here  $K_l$  is the complete graph of order  $l$ .

**Conjecture 1.2.** The spectral gap (algebraic connectivity) of a  $d$ -regular graph on  $n$  vertices is at least  $(1 + o(1))\frac{2d\pi^2}{3n^2}$ , and the bound is attained at least for one value of  $d$ .

It is worth mentioning that in [3], it is proved that the maximum relaxation time for the random walk on a graph on  $n$  vertices is  $(1 + o(1))\frac{n^3}{54}$ , settling another conjecture by Aldous and Fill ([4, p. 216]).

As usual, we denote the minimum degree of a graph  $H$  by  $\delta = \delta(H)$  and its diameter by  $\text{diam}(H)$ . Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular graph and  $H$  a graph with  $\delta = d$ , both of order  $n$ . We say that  $G$  is a  $\mu$ -minimal  $d$ -regular graph if  $G$  has the smallest  $\mu$  among all  $d$ -regular graphs of order  $n$ . Also  $H$  is said to be a  $\mu$ -minimal graph with  $\delta = d$  if  $H$  has the smallest  $\mu$  among all graphs with  $\delta = d$  and order  $n$ .

L. Babai (see [13]) made a conjecture that described the structure of  $\mu$ -minimal cubic (i.e. 3-regular) graphs. Guiduli [13] (see also [12]) proved that  $\mu$ -minimal cubic graphs must look like a path, built from specific blocks. The result of Guiduli was improved later by Brand, Guiduli, and Imrich [5]. They completely characterized  $\mu$ -minimal cubic graphs and confirmed Babai's conjecture. For every even  $n$ , such a graph is proved to be unique. (Cubic graphs always have even orders.) In this direction, Abdi, Ghorbani and Imrich [2] showed that the algebraic connectivity of these graphs is  $(1 + o(1))\frac{2\pi^2}{n^2}$ , confirming Aldous–Fill conjecture for  $d = 3$ . Guiduli [12, Problem 5.2] asked for a generalization of the Brand–Guiduli–Imrich result, namely characterization of  $\mu$ -minimal  $d$ -regular graphs. Abdi and Ghorbani [1] gave a ‘near’ complete characterization of  $\mu$ -minimal quartic (i.e. 4-regular) graphs. Based on this result they established Aldous–Fill conjecture for  $d = 4$ .

Guiduli together with Bojan Mohar suggest another generalization by considering graphs with  $\delta = d$  rather than  $d$ -regular graphs. They put forward the following two conjectures on the structure of  $\mu$ -minimal graphs with  $\delta = d$ .

**Conjecture 1.3** (Guiduli and Mohar, see [12, p. 87]). Let  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{d+1}$ . Then the  $\mu$ -minimal graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\delta = d$  is the graph of Figure 1.

For general  $n$ , they conjectured that  $\mu$ -minimal graph has almost the same structure:




Figure 2: The conjectured structure of  $\mu$ -minimal  $d$ -regular graphs for even  $d$ .

**Conjecture 1.4** (Guiduli and Mohar, see [12, p. 88]). Let  $G$  be a  $\mu$ -minimal graph with  $\delta = d$ . Then  $G$  is path-like, and except for some blocks near each end, the graph has the same structure as Figure 1.

Turning back to regular graphs, for odd  $d$ , by choosing suitable end blocks, we can obtain  $d$ -regular graphs with the structure of the graphs of Conjecture 1.4. Such graphs are natural candidates as  $\mu$ -minimal  $d$ -regular graphs. For even  $d$ , however, this is not the case because regular graphs with even degree have no bridges. In this case,  $\mu$ -minimal regular graphs should have a different structure which we conjecture to be that of Figure 2. In summary, we have the following conjecture on  $\mu$ -minimal regular graphs.

**Conjecture 1.5.** Let  $G$  be a  $\mu$ -minimal  $d$ -regular graphs on  $n$  vertices. Then  $G$  is path-like, and except for some blocks near each end, the graph has the same structure as Figure 1 for odd  $d$  and the same structure as Figure 2 for even  $d$ .

As one of the main results of this paper, we prove that:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Conjecture 1.5 implies Aldous–Fill conjecture.*

This in particular means that Guiduli–Mohar conjecture (Conjecture 1.4) implies Aldous–Fill conjecture for odd  $d$ .

The graphs given in Conjectures 1.4 and 1.5 have maximum diameter within their respective families. This phenomenon has been already noted in the literature. According to Godsil and Royle [11, p. 289]: “It has been noted empirically that  $\mu(G)$  seems to give a fairly natural measure of the ‘shape’ of a graph. Graphs with small values of  $\mu(G)$  tend to be elongated graphs of large diameter with bridges.” Guiduli [12, p. 46] showed that the unique  $\mu$ -minimal cubic graph has the maximum diameter among cubic graphs of order  $n$ . For  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , the graph is also the unique one with maximum diameter. This is not the case for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , where there are  $\lfloor (n-4)/8 \rfloor$  graphs with the maximum diameter. Hence he posed the following problem:

**Peroblem 1.7** (Guiduli [12, p. 87]). Is it true that the cubic graphs with maximal diameter have algebraic connectivity smaller than all others?

We show that the answer to this problem in its general form, that is for  $d$ -regular graphs for every  $d \geq 3$  is negative. In spite that, we try to come up with a ‘correct’ formulation of the above phenomenon. We conjecture that the asymptotic formulation works:  $d$ -regular graphs (or graphs with  $\delta = d$ ) that have asymptotically smallest  $\mu$ , should have asymptotically maximum diameter (see Conjecture 5.4 below). This has been already shown to be true for  $d$ -regular graphs with  $d = 3, 4$ . In fact, for  $d = 3, 4$  the family of  $d$ -regular graphs with asymptotic smallest  $\mu$  coincide with those having asymptotically maximum diameter. We prove that such extremal families do not coincide for  $d$ -regular graphs with  $d \geq 5$  and as well as for graphs with  $\delta \geq 4$  (in other words, the opposite direction of our conjecture fails in these cases). We leave it as an open problem to prove that this holds for  $\delta = 3$ , that is in the family of sub-cubic graphs, the graphs with asymptotic smallest  $\mu$  coincide with those with asymptotic maximum diameter. These results rely on our understanding of the structure as well as optimal estimation of the algebraic connectivity of graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter. As another by-product, we can conclude that the minimum algebraic connectivity of graphs with  $n$  vertices and  $\delta = d$  is  $\Theta(d/n^2)$ . The same is true for  $d$ -regular graphs. Furthermore, we settle Aldous–Fill conjecture for graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter:

**Theorem 1.8.** *The minimum algebraic connectivity and the maximum relaxation time over the family of regular graphs with diameter  $\frac{3n}{d+1} + O(1)$  is equal to  $(1 + o(1))\frac{2\pi^2}{n^2}$  and  $(1 + o(1))\frac{3n^2}{2\pi^2}$ , respectively which is achieved by cubic graphs.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some properties of graphs with  $\mu = o(1/n)$ . These results are crucial for our asymptotic arguments. Section 3 is devoted to graphs with asymptotically maximum diameter. We give a characterization of such graphs and estimate their algebraic connectivity. The proof of Theorems 1.6 and 1.8 will be given in Section 4. In Section 5, we answer Problem 1.7 and its generalization to  $d$ -regular as well graphs with  $\delta = d$  and consider their asymptotic formulations.

## 2 Graphs with algebraic connectivity $o(1/n)$

An eigenvector corresponding to  $\mu(G)$  is known as a *Fiedler vector*. In this section, we extract some facts on the magnitude of the components of a unit Fiedler vector of a graph  $G$  with  $\mu(G) = o(1/n)$ . Then we establish that in such a graph, a perturbation of size  $O(1)$  does not change the order of  $\mu$ . These results will be used in the next sections.

Recall that for a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  with Laplacian matrix  $L(G)$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the

value  $\frac{\mathbf{x}^\top L(G)\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}$  is called a *Rayleigh quotient*. It is well known that

$$\mu(G) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top L(G)\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the all-1 vector.

In passing we note that if  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top$ , then

$$\mathbf{x}^\top L(G)\mathbf{x} = \sum_{ij \in E(G)} (x_i - x_j)^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $E(G)$  is the edge set of  $G$ . Recall that if  $\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector for  $L(G)$  corresponding to  $\mu$ , then for any vertex  $i$  with degree  $d_i$ ,

$$\mu x_i = d_i x_i - \sum_{j: ij \in E(G)} x_j. \quad (3)$$

We refer to (3) as the *eigen-equation*. This also can be written as

$$\mu x_i = \sum_{j: ij \in E(G)} (x_i - x_j).$$

The following lemma, allows us to extend (1) to vectors that are ‘‘asymptotically’’ orthogonal to  $\mathbf{1}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  be a vector of length  $n$  which is not a multiple of  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$  is greater than a positive constant. If  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = o(\sqrt{n})$ , then*

$$\mu(G) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top L(G)\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon := \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1} \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \frac{\epsilon}{n}\mathbf{1}$ . Then  $\mathbf{y} \perp \mathbf{1}$ , and

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \frac{2\epsilon}{n}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{1} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{n} = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{n}.$$

Furthermore, since  $L(G)\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$ , we have  $\mathbf{y}^\top L(G)\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^\top L(G)\mathbf{x}$ . Since  $\mathbf{y} \perp \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\mu(G) \leq \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top L(G)\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2}$ , and thus

$$\mu(G) \leq \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top L(G)\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{n}}.$$

The right side is  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top L(G)\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$  is bounded away from zero and  $\epsilon^2/n = o(1)$ .  $\square$

The next lemma illustrates that if  $\mu = o(1/n)$ , then the components of a unit Fiedler vector tend to 0 as  $n$  grows.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and algebraic connectivity  $\mu = o(1/n)$ . If  $\mathbf{x}$  is a unit eigenvector corresponding to  $\mu$ , then each component of  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $o(1)$ .*

*Proof.* With no loss of generality assume that  $x_1$  and  $x_\ell$  (corresponding to the vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_\ell$ ) are the components of  $\mathbf{x}$  with the maximum and minimum absolute values, respectively. It suffices to show that  $x_1 = o(1)$ . As  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 1$ , it is clear that  $x_\ell = o(1)$ . There is a path in  $G$  between  $v_1$  and  $v_\ell$ . With no loss of generality we may assume that  $v_1 v_2 \dots v_\ell$  is that path. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(x_1 - x_\ell)^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (x_i - x_{i+1}) \right)^2 \\
&\leq (\ell - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2 \\
&\leq (\ell - 1) \sum_{ij: i \sim j} (x_i - x_j)^2 \\
&= (\ell - 1)\mu \\
&= O(n)o(1/n) \\
&= o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $x_1 = o(1)$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and algebraic connectivity  $\mu = o(1/n)$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a unit Fiedler vector of  $G$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are two components of  $\mathbf{x}$  for two vertices at distance  $O(1)$ , then  $(x - y)^2 = o(\mu)$ .*

*Proof.* First, assume that  $x_r$  and  $x_s$  are two components of  $\mathbf{x}$  for two adjacent vertices. With no loss of generality we can assume that  $x_r > x_s$ . Let  $R$  be the set of vertices whose components in  $\mathbf{x}$  are greater than or equal to  $x_r$ . Then it is clear that for  $i \in R$  and  $j \in S := V(G) \setminus R$  one has  $x_i > x_j$ . By applying the eigen-equation (3) to the vertices of  $R$ , we have

$$\mu \sum_{i \in R} x_i = \sum_{\substack{i \in R, j \in S \\ i \sim j}} (x_i - x_j)$$

In the right sum, every term is positive and further one of its term is  $(x_r - x_s)$ . It follows that

$$(x_r - x_s)^2 \leq \mu^2 \left( \sum_{i \in R} x_i \right)^2 \leq \mu^2 |R| \sum_{i \in R} x_i^2 \leq \mu^2 O(n) = o(\mu).$$

Suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  correspond to two vertices at distance  $t$ . So there is a path of length  $t$  between them, say  $v_1, \dots, v_t$  with  $x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t = y$  being their components in  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Then

$$(x - y)^2 = \left( \sum_{i=2}^t (x_i - x_{i-1}) \right)^2 \leq (t-1) \sum_{i=2}^t (x_i - x_{i-1})^2 \leq O(1)O(1)o(\mu) = o(\mu).$$

□

In the final result of this section, we show that in a graph  $G$  with small enough  $\mu$ , a perturbation of size  $O(1)$  changes the algebraic connectivity only by  $o(\mu)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and algebraic connectivity  $\mu = o(1/n)$ . Let  $H$  be another graph and  $G'$  be obtained from  $G$  by connecting some vertices of  $H$  to the vertices in  $S \subseteq V(G)$ . If  $S$  and  $H$  are both of order  $O(1)$  and the distance of any pair of vertices in  $S$  is also  $O(1)$ , then  $\mu(G') = (1 + o(1))\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a unit Fiedler vector of  $G$ . Let  $x_s$  be a component of  $\mathbf{x}$  corresponding to a vertex of  $S$ . As the diameter of the induced subgraph on  $S$  is  $O(1)$ , by Lemma 2.3,

$$(x_s - x)^2 = o(\mu) \quad \text{for any component } x \text{ of } \mathbf{x} \text{ corresponding to a vertex in } S. \quad (4)$$

Let  $H$  have  $k$  vertices. We extend  $\mathbf{x}$  to a vector  $\mathbf{x}'$  of length  $n+k$  on  $G'$  as follows: on  $H$ , all the components of  $\mathbf{x}'$  are equal to  $x_s$ , and on the remaining vertices agrees with  $\mathbf{x}$ . So, by considering (2) and (4),  $\mathbf{x}'^\top L(G')\mathbf{x}' = (1+o(1))\mu$ . We have  $\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{1}_{n+k} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1}_n \rangle + kx_s = kx_s$  which is  $o(1)$  by Lemma 2.2. Similarly  $\|\mathbf{x}'\|^2 = 1 + o(1)$ . Thus by Lemma 2.1,

$$\mu(G') \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\mathbf{x}'^\top L(G')\mathbf{x}'}{\|\mathbf{x}'\|^2} = (1 + o(1))\mu.$$

Now, let  $\mathbf{y}'$  be a unit Fiedler vector of  $G'$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  be the sub-vector of  $\mathbf{y}'$  on  $G$ . The graph  $G'$  has  $n' = n + k$  vertices. Since  $\mu(G') \leq (1 + o(1))\mu$ , we have  $\mu(G') = o(1/n')$ . In view of (2) and by Lemma 2.3, all the terms  $(x - y)^2$  appearing in  $\mathbf{y}'^\top L(G')\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{y}^\top L(G)\mathbf{y}$  are  $o(\mu)$  and thus  $\mathbf{y}'^\top L(G')\mathbf{y}' = (1 + o(1))\mu(G')$ . On the other hand we see that  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{1}_n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}', \mathbf{1}_{n'} \rangle - \sum_{v_i \in V(H)} y'_i = -\sum_{v_i \in V(H)} y'_i$  which is  $o(1)$  by Lemma 2.2. Also  $\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{y}'\|^2 - \sum_{v_i \in V(H)} y_i'^2 = 1 + o(1)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\mu \leq \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top L(G)\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{n}} = (1 + o(1))\mu(G'),$$

which completes the proof. □



### 3 Graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter

We know that ([6], see also Theorem 5.1 below) for  $d \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2d + 4$ , the maximum diameter of a graph with order  $n$  and  $\delta = d$  is  $3\lfloor \frac{n}{d+1} \rfloor - \ell$  for some  $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . In this section, we investigate graphs with order  $n$ ,  $\delta = d$ , and asymptotic maximum diameter, that is  $3n/(d + 1) + O(1)$ . We determine their structure and estimate their algebraic connectivity. We can then conclude that the minimum algebraic connectivity of these graphs is  $(1 + o(1))\frac{(d-1)\pi^2}{n^2}$ .

#### 3.1 The structure

We start by identifying the structure of graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter. Before proceeding, some notation is in order. For two disjoint graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the *join* of  $G$  and  $H$ , denoted  $G + H$ , is the graph consisting of their union  $G \cup H$  and all edges between the vertices of  $G$  and vertices of  $H$ . The *sequential join* of graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$  is formed from  $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_k$  by adding edges joining each vertex of  $G_i$  with each vertex of  $G_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ . The sequential join of  $k$  copies of  $G$  is denoted by  $(G)_k$ , and the graph  $(K_a + K_b + K_c)_m$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{G}(a, b, c; m)$ . So this graph has  $(a + b + c)m$  vertices. As an instance, the graph  $\mathcal{G}(2, 3, 4; 3)$  is illustrated in Figure 3. Any of the cliques  $K_a, K_b$  or  $K_c$  (whose vertices are drawn vertically above each other in Figure 3) in  $\mathcal{G}(a, b, c; m)$  will be referred to as a cell. Such cliques are in fact the cells of the ‘natural’ equitable partition of the graph.




Figure 3: The graph  $\mathcal{G}(2, 3, 4; 3)$

Let  $d \geq 2$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , and  $a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_t, b_t, c_t$  be positive integers such that for each  $i = 1, \dots, t$  we have  $a_i + b_i + c_i = d + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_i := \mathcal{G}(a_i, b_i, c_i; m_i)$  which has  $n_i = (d + 1)m_i$  vertices. The graph  $\Gamma = \Gamma_d(a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_t, b_t, c_t; m_1, \dots, m_t)$  is a graph obtained from  $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{G}_t$  by adding edges joining each vertex of the last cell of  $\mathcal{G}_i$  with each vertex of the first cell of  $\mathcal{G}_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t-1$ . We allow  $n = (d + 1)(m_1 + \dots + m_t)$  to grow. In  $\Gamma$  every three consecutive cells have  $d + 1$  vertices, except for the triples containing the last cell of  $\mathcal{G}_i$  and the first cell of  $\mathcal{G}_{i+1}$ . So all but at most  $a_1 + c_1 + \dots + a_t + c_t \leq td$  vertices have degree  $d$ . This means that  $\Gamma$  is almost  $d$ -regular since  $d$  and  $t$  are fixed. Also,  $\text{diam}(\Gamma) = 3(m_1 + \dots + m_t) - 1 = 3n/(d + 1) - 1$  which is the maximum diameter of a  $d$ -regular graph (see Theorem 5.2).

Finally, we define a family of graphs, denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$  for some absolute constant  $C$ . A graph  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$  if it is of order  $n$  and made of  $H_0 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \cup H_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \dots \cup H_{t-1} \cup \mathcal{G}_t \cup H_t$  where  $\mathcal{G}_i := \mathcal{G}(a_i, b_i, c_i; m_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$  and graphs  $H_0, \dots, H_t$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^t |V(H_i)| \leq C$  by connecting some arbitrary vertices of the first cell of  $\mathcal{G}_i$  to arbitrary vertices of the graph  $H_{i-1}$  and connecting some vertices of the last cell of  $\mathcal{G}_i$  to some vertices of the graph  $H_i$ . So  $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i + \sum_{i=0}^t |V(H_i)|$ . We say  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is a *major subgraph* of  $G$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  and  $\delta = d$ . If  $\text{diam}(G) = \frac{3n}{d+1} + O(1)$ , then for some absolute constant  $C$ ,  $G$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{diam}(G) = \ell$ , so  $\ell \geq \frac{3n}{d+1} - c$  for some constant  $c$ . Consider a distance-partition  $\{P_0, \dots, P_\ell\}$  of  $G$  with  $p_i = |P_i|$ . Since  $\delta = d$  and the neighbors of a vertex in  $P_{i+1}$  lie in  $P_i \cup P_{i+1} \cup P_{i+2}$ , we have  $p_i + p_{i+1} + p_{i+2} \geq d + 1$ . From  $\ell \geq \frac{3n}{d+1} - c$  it follows that all but at most  $c$  consecutive triples  $p_i, p_{i+1}, p_{i+2}$  sum up to  $d + 1$ . Let  $C$  be the sum of all triples  $p_i, p_{i+1}, p_{i+2}$  with  $p_i + p_{i+1} + p_{i+2} \geq d + 2$ . The sum of all triples with  $p_i + p_{i+1} + p_{i+2} = d + 1$  is at least  $(\ell + 1 - c)(d + 1)/3$  which in turn implies that  $C \leq (d + 1)(2c - 1)/3$ . Also note that if all the consecutive triples in a sequence like  $p_j, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_k$  sum up to  $d + 1$ , then the entire sequence must be a repetition of the first three terms  $p_j, p_{j+1}, p_{j+2}$ . This implies that  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$ .  $\square$

## 3.2 The algebraic connectivity

We start by estimating the algebraic connectivity of

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_d(a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_t, b_t, c_t; m_1, \dots, m_t).$$

Note that  $d, t$  are fixed and  $m_1 + \dots + m_t \rightarrow \infty$ . For this purpose, we first analyze its Fiedler vector to some extent.

Let  $m := \sum_{j=1}^t m_j$  and  $\Pi = \{C_1, \dots, C_{3m}\}$  (numbered consecutively from left to right) be an equitable partition of the vertex set  $\Gamma$  in which each cell  $C_i$  is a  $K_{a_i}$ ,  $K_{b_i}$ , or  $K_{c_i}$ . By using the eigen-equation (3), it is not hard to see that the Fiedler vector of  $\Gamma$  is constant on each cell of the equitable partition  $\Pi$ . From the following two lemmas, it follows that  $\Gamma$  has a strictly monotone Fiedler vector chaining sign once.

**Lemma 3.2** (Fiedler [10]). *Let  $\mathbf{y}$  be a Fiedler vector of a graph  $G$  and vertex set  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Let  $V_1 = \{v_i \in V : y_i \geq 0\}$  and  $V_2 = \{v_i \in V : y_i \leq 0\}$ . Then both the subgraphs induced by  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are connected.*

**Lemma 3.3** (Fiedler [10]). *Let  $\mathbf{y}$  be a Fiedler vector of a graph  $G$ . If  $y_i > 0$ , then there exists a vertex  $j$  such that  $i \sim j$  and  $y_j < y_i$ .*

In summary, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{y}$  be a Fiedler vector of  $\Gamma_d(a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_t, b_t, c_t; m_1, \dots, m_t)$ .*

- (i) *The components of  $\mathbf{y}$  on each cell of the partition  $\Pi$  are equal.*
- (ii) *Let  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  be the values of  $\mathbf{y}$  on the cells of  $\Pi$ . Then the  $y_i$ 's form a strictly monotone sequence changing sign once.*

The path-like structure of the graphs under consideration, allows one to ‘approximate’ their Fiedler vectors by those of paths. For this reason, we recall that what the Fiedler vector of a path is.

**Remark 3.5.** For  $P_n$ , the path graph on  $n$  vertices, we know that  $\mu(P_n) = 2(1 - \cos(\frac{\pi}{n}))$  (see [9]), and by [17, p. 53], its Fiedler vector is  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top$  with

$$x_i = \cos\left(\frac{(2i-1)\pi}{2n}\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We first establish an optimal upper bound on  $\mu(\Gamma)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_d(a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_t, b_t, c_t; m_1, \dots, m_t)$  have order  $n$  and  $L = \max\{a_j b_j c_j : j = 1, \dots, t\}$ . Then  $\mu(\Gamma) \leq (1 + o(1))\frac{L\pi^2}{n^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m := \sum_{j=1}^t m_j$ . Then  $\Gamma$  has  $n = (d+1)m$  vertices. Let  $C_1, \dots, C_{3m}$  be the cells of the equitable partition  $\Pi$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we set

$$x_i := \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \cos\left(\frac{(2i-1)\pi}{2m}\right). \quad (5)$$

We assign  $x_i$  to the vertices of the cell  $C_{3i-2}$ . We then extend it to the cells  $C_{3i-1}$  and  $C_{3i}$  as follows. Assume that  $C_{3i-2} = K_{a_r}$ ,  $C_{3i-1} = K_{b_r}$ , and  $C_{3i} = K_{c_r}$  for some  $a_r, b_r, c_r$ . Then we assign  $x'_i$  and  $x''_i$  to the vertices of  $C_{3i-1}$  and  $C_{3i}$ , where

$$x'_i = \frac{(a_r + b_r)x_i + c_r x_{i+1}}{a_r + b_r + c_r}, \quad x''_i = \frac{b_r x_i + (a_r + c_r)x_{i+1}}{a_r + b_r + c_r}.$$

Further, we set  $x_{m+1}$  to be equal to  $x_m$ , so that  $x'_m = x''_m = x_m$ . These define a vector, say  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , on the vertices of  $\Gamma$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , let  $G_i$  be the induced subgraph on the four consecutive cells  $C_{3i-2}, C_{3i-1}, C_{3i}, C_{3(i+1)-2}$ . For  $C_{3(i+1)-2}$  there are two possibilities: it is either  $K_{a_r}$  or  $K_{a_{r+1}}$ . First assume that the former is the case. Then by the definition of  $\mathbf{y}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{jk \in E(G_i)} (y_j - y_k)^2 &= a_r b_r (x_i - x'_i)^2 + b_r c_r (x'_i - x''_i)^2 + c_r a_r (x''_i - x_{i+1})^2 \\ &= \frac{a_r b_r c_r}{a_r + b_r + c_r} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $C_{3(i+1)-2} = K_{a_{r+1}}$ , then

$$\sum_{jk \in E(G_i)} (y_j - y_k)^2 = \frac{a_r b_r c_r}{a_r + b_r + c_r} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2 + c_r (a_{r+1} - a_r) (x_i'' - x_{i+1})^2.$$

We see that the second term in the right is  $O(1/m^3)$ . Note that the number of such terms is  $t - 1 = O(1)$ . Moreover, letting  $G_m$  to be the induced subgraph on the cells  $C_{3m-2}, C_{3m-1}, C_{3m}$ , we have

$$\sum_{jk \in E(G_m)} (y_j - y_k)^2 = 0.$$

Note that  $E(G_1) \cup \dots \cup E(G_m)$  gives a partition of  $E(\Gamma)$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{jk \in E(\Gamma)} (y_j - y_k)^2 \leq \frac{L}{d+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3}\right). \quad (6)$$

Next we find a lower bound for  $\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ . Let  $D_i := C_{3i-2} \cup C_{3i-1} \cup C_{3i}$  and  $q := \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ . We have  $x_1 > \dots > x_q > 0$ . So for  $i = 1, \dots, q-1$ , both  $x_i'^2$  and  $x_i''^2$  are greater than  $x_{i+1}^2$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{j \in D_i} y_j^2 = a_r x_i^2 + b_r x_i'^2 + c_r x_i''^2 \geq (d+1)x_{i+1}^2, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, q-1.$$

We have also  $0 \geq x_{q+1} > \dots > x_m$ . So for  $i = q+1, \dots, m$ , both  $x_i'^2$  and  $x_i''^2$  are at least  $x_i^2$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{j \in D_i} y_j^2 \geq (d+1)x_i^2, \quad \text{for } i = q+1, \dots, m.$$

For  $\sum_{j \in D_q} y_j^2$  we take into account the trivial lower bound zero. As  $V(\Gamma) = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_m$ , we come up with  $\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2 \geq (d+1) \sum_{i=2}^m x_i^2$ . Also  $x_1^2 = O(1/m)$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2 \geq (d+1) \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right). \quad (7)$$

Our next task is to show that  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = o(1)$ . We have

$$\sum_{j \in D_i} y_j = a_r x_i + b_r x_i' + c_r x_i'' = (a_r + b_r)x_i + c_r x_{i+1}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j \in D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_{m_1}} y_j = (a_1 + b_1)x_1 + (d+1)(x_2 + \dots + x_{m_1}) + c_1 x_{m_1+1}.$$

By (5),  $x_j = o(1)$ , and thus

$$\sum_{j \in D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_{m_1}} y_j = (d+1) \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} x_j + o(1).$$

Similarly, for  $i = 1, \dots, t-1$ , we have

$$\sum_{j \in D_{m_i+1} \cup \dots \cup D_{m_{i+1}}} y_j = (d+1) \sum_{j=m_i+1}^{m_{i+1}} x_j + o(1).$$

Summing up all these equalities, we obtain

$$\sum_{j \in V(\Gamma)} y_j = (d+1) \sum_{j=1}^m x_j + o(1).$$

From (5) we see that  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 0$  and thus  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = o(1)$ . Hence by Lemma 2.1 and by (6) and (7) it is inferred that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\Gamma) &\leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top L(\Gamma) \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2} \\ &\leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\sum_{ij \in E(\Gamma)} (y_i - y_j)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2} \\ &\leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{L}{(d+1)^2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (5) and Remark 3.5 we have

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2} = \mu(P_m) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\pi^2}{m^2},$$

which implies that

$$\mu(\Gamma) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{L\pi^2}{n^2}.$$

□

Now we establish a lower bound on  $\mu(\Gamma)$  which is somehow dual to the upper bound of Theorem 3.6.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_d(a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_t, b_t, c_t; m_1, \dots, m_t)$  have order  $n$  and  $\ell = \min\{a_j b_j c_j : j = 1, \dots, t\}$ . Then  $\mu(\Gamma) \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell \pi^2}{n^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  be a unit Fiedler vector of  $\Gamma$ . This is constant on each cell of  $\Gamma$ . Also let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a vector of length  $m$  consisting of the components of  $\mathbf{y}$  on the cells  $C_1, C_4, \dots, C_{3m-2}$ . Let  $G_i$  be the induced subgraph on the four consecutive cells  $C_{3i-2}, C_{3i-1}, C_{3i}, C_{3(i+1)-2}$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the components of  $\mathbf{y}$  on the two middle cells of  $G_i$ . Suppose that  $C_{3i-2} = K_{a_r}$ . If  $C_{3(i+1)-2} = K_{a_r}$ , then

$$\sum_{jk \in E(G_i)} (y_j - y_k)^2 = a_r b_r (x_i - u)^2 + b_r c_r (u - v)^2 + c_r a_r (v - x_{i+1})^2.$$

The right side, considered as a function of  $u$  and  $v$ , is minimized at

$$u = \frac{(a_r + b_r)x_i + c_r x_{i+1}}{a_r + b_r + c_r}, \quad \text{and} \quad v = \frac{b_r x_i + (a_r + c_r)x_{i+1}}{a_r + b_r + c_r}.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{jk \in E(G_i)} (y_j - y_k)^2 \geq \frac{a_r b_r c_r}{a_r + b_r + c_r} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2 \geq \frac{\ell}{d+1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2.$$

If  $C_{3(i+1)-2} = K_{a_{r+1}}$ , then

$$\sum_{jk \in E(G_i)} (y_j - y_k)^2 = a_r b_r (x_i - u)^2 + b_r c_r (u - v)^2 + c_r a_r (v - x_{i+1})^2 + c_r (a_{r+1} - a_r) (v - x_{i+1})^2.$$

From Theorem 3.6,  $\mu = O(1/n^2)$  and so by Lemma 2.3, we have  $(v - x_{i+1})^2 = o(1/n^2)$ .

It follows that

$$\sum_{jk \in E(G_i)} (y_j - y_k)^2 \geq \frac{\ell}{d+1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2 + o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Moreover, letting  $G_m$  to be the induced subgraph on the cells  $C_{3m-2}, C_{3m-1}, C_{3m}$ , we have

$$\sum_{jk \in E(G_m)} (y_j - y_k)^2 = o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

It is inferred that

$$\mu = \mu(\Gamma) = \sum_{ij \in E(\Gamma)} (y_i - y_j)^2 \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell}{d+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2. \quad (8)$$

Note that the right side of (8) is  $\Theta(1/n^2)$ , a fact that will be clarified shortly. This justifies the elimination of  $t$  terms  $o(1/n^2)$ . Let  $D_i := C_{3i-2} \cup C_{3i-1} \cup C_{3i}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $y_1 \geq \dots \geq y_n$  and  $y_i$ 's change sign once. The same also holds for  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . Let  $q$  be the index such that  $x_q > 0 \geq x_{q+1}$ . Then for  $i = 1, \dots, q$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in D_i} y_j^2 = a_r x_i^2 + b_r u^2 + c_r v^2 \leq (d+1)x_i^2.$$

Then for  $i = q+1, \dots, m-1$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in D_i} y_j^2 = a_r x_i^2 + b_r u^2 + c_r v^2 \leq (d+1)x_{i+1}^2.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2 \leq (d+1) \sum_{i=1}^q x_i^2 + (d+1) \sum_{i=q+2}^m x_i^2 + \sum_{j \in D_m} y_j^2 \leq (d+1) \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 + (d+1)y_n^2.$$

By Lemma 2.2,  $y_n^2 = o(1)$ , and thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \leq (d+1) \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 + o(1). \quad (9)$$

For each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  we have  $\sum_{j \in D_i} y_j \leq (d+1)x_i$ . This implies that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq (d+1) \sum_{i=1}^m x_i.$$

On the other hand, for each  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$  we have  $\sum_{j \in D_i} y_j \geq (d+1)x_{i+1}$ . This implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \sum_{j \in D_m} y_j \geq (d+1) \sum_{i=2}^m x_i.$$

By Lemma 2.2,  $x_1$  and  $\sum_{j \in D_m} y_j$  are both  $o(1)$ . It follows that

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = o(1).$$

So by Lemma 2.1,

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{\pi^2}{m^2} = \mu(P_m) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2}.$$

Now, by (8) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\Gamma) &= \frac{\sum_{i,j \in E(\Gamma)} (y_i - y_j)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2} \\ &\geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell}{(d+1)^2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell}{(d+1)^2} \frac{\pi^2}{m^2} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell \pi^2}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we can conclude that the upper and lower bounds given in Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 can be extended to the graphs in  $\mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$  with major subgraphs  $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_t$ . If  $L$  and  $\ell$  are the maximum and minimum of  $\{a_i b_i c_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ , respectively, then  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell \pi^2}{n^2} \leq \mu(G) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{L \pi^2}{n^2}$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption  $\mathcal{G}_i := \mathcal{G}(a_i, b_i, c_i; m_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , is a major subgraph of  $G$ . Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_d(a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, a_t, b_t, c_t; m_1, \dots, m_t)$ . From Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, we have  $\mu(\Gamma) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell \pi^2}{n^2}$  and  $\mu(\Gamma) \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell \pi^2}{n^2}$ , respectively. Now by Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4,  $\mu(G) = (1 + o(1)) \mu(\Gamma)$  and so the result follows.  $\square$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8 is the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$  such that its major subgraphs are all  $\mathcal{G}(a, b, c; m)$ . Then  $\mu(G) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{abc \pi^2}{n^2}$ .*

## 4 Aldous–Fill and Guiduli–Mohar conjectures

In this section, we present the proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.8. These heavily rely on our previous results that provide optimal bounds on the algebraic connectivity of graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter.

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** Corollary 3.9 implies that the algebraic connectivity of the graphs described in Conjectures 1.5 is equal to  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{(d-1)\pi^2}{n^2}$  for odd  $d$  and  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{2(d-2)\pi^2}{n^2}$  for even  $d$ . Therefore, if Conjecture 1.5 is true, then for fixed  $d \geq 3$ , the maximum relaxation time over the family of  $d$ -regular graphs is  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{dn^2}{(d-1)\pi^2}$  and  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{dn^2}{2(d-2)\pi^2}$  for odd and even  $d$ , respectively. Note that for  $x \geq 3$  the maximum value of the function  $\frac{x}{x-1}$  is  $\frac{3}{2}$  and for  $x \geq 4$  the maximum value of the function  $\frac{x}{2(x-2)}$  is 1. So if Conjecture 1.5 is true, then the minimum algebraic connectivity and the maximum relaxation time over the family of all regular graphs with  $n$  vertices is equal to  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{2\pi^2}{n^2}$  and  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{3n^2}{2\pi^2}$ , respectively; and are achieved by cubic graphs. So Theorem 1.6 is proved.  $\square$

Note that if Conjecture 1.4 is true, then Conjectures 1.5 for odd  $d$  is true. An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8 is the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For a fixed  $d \geq 3$ , let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a graph with minimum algebraic connectivity in the family  $\mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is path-like and except for some blocks, all blocks occurring in the middle are  $L_d$  in Figure 4 and  $\mu(\mathcal{G})$  is  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{(d-1)\pi^2}{n^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_t$  be the major subgraphs of  $\mathcal{G}$ . From Theorem 3.7,  $(1 + o(1)) \frac{\ell \pi^2}{n^2} \leq \mu(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\ell := \min\{a_i b_i c_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ . Now the desired result is obtained since the minimum value of the function  $f(x, y, z) = xyz$ , subject to  $x + y + z = d + 1$  and  $x, y, z \geq 1$  is  $f(1, 1, d - 1) = f(1, d - 1, 1) = f(d - 1, 1, 1) = d - 1$ .  $\square$






Figure 4: The blocks  $L_d$  (left) and  $M_d$  (right)

Aldous–Fill conjecture for all regular graphs in  $\mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$  can now be concluded. This in turn and in view of Theorem 1.8 implies Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** *The minimum algebraic connectivity and the maximum relaxation time over the family of all regular graphs in  $\mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$  is equal to  $(1 + o(1))\frac{2\pi^2}{n^2}$  and  $(1 + o(1))\frac{3n^2}{2\pi^2}$ , respectively; and are achieved by cubic graphs.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $d$ -regular graph with minimum algebraic connectivity in the family  $\mathcal{F}_{n,d,C}$ . For odd  $d$ , from Theorem 4.1,  $\mu(\mathcal{G})$  is  $(1 + o(1))\frac{(d-1)\pi^2}{n^2}$ . Let  $d$  be even and  $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_t$  be the major subgraphs of  $\mathcal{G}$ . From Theorem 3.7,  $(1 + o(1))\frac{\ell\pi^2}{n^2} \leq \mu(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\ell := \min\{a_i b_i c_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ . The graph  $\mathcal{G}$  has no bridge and the minimum of  $f(x, y, z) = xyz$  subject to  $x + y + z = d + 1$ ,  $x, y, z \geq 1$ , and  $x + y, x + z, y + z > 2$  occurs for  $x, y, z \in \{1, 2, d - 2\}$ , and so the minimum is  $2(d - 2)$ . Then  $\mu(\mathcal{G})$  is  $(1 + o(1))\frac{2(d-2)\pi^2}{n^2}$ , furthermore  $\mathcal{G}$  is path-like and except for some blocks, all blocks occurring in the middle are  $M_d$  in Figure 4. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and  $\delta = d$ . We know that (see Theorem 5.1 below) that  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3n/d$ . Mohar [14] proved that  $\mu(G) \geq 4/(n \text{diam}(G))$ . It follows that  $\mu(G) \geq \frac{4d}{3n^2}$ . On the other hand, the algebraic connectivity of the graphs described in Conjectures 1.4 is equal to  $(1 + o(1))\frac{(d-1)\pi^2}{n^2}$ . Thus, we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.3.** *The minimum algebraic connectivity of graphs with  $n$  vertices and minimum degree  $d$  is  $\Theta(d/n^2)$ . The same also holds for  $d$ -regular graphs.*

## 5 Max diameter versus min algebraic connectivity

In this section we answer Problem 1.7 and its possible extensions to general  $d$ -regular graphs as well as to graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter.

The diameter of a graph  $G$  can be bounded in terms of the minimum degree and the number of vertices. Several results in this line can be found in the literature (see, e.g., [6], [8], [15], [16]). The first result can be attributed to Moon [15], who proved that for a

graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and minimum degree  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\text{diam}(G) \leq (3n - 2d - 6)/d$ . The following result determine the maximum diameter explicitly.

**Theorem 5.1** (Caccetta and Smyth [6]). *The maximum diameter of a graph of order  $n$  and minimum degree  $d$*

(i) *for  $n \leq 2d + 1$  is  $\lceil \frac{n}{d+1} \rceil$ ,*

(ii) *for  $n \geq 2d + 2$  is  $3 \lfloor \frac{n}{d+1} \rfloor - \begin{cases} 3 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{d+1}, \\ 2 & n \equiv 1 \pmod{d+1}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$*

In [6] it was also shown that no  $d$ -regular graph of diameter  $3 \lfloor \frac{n}{d+1} \rfloor - 1$  exists when  $d$  is even and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{d+1}$ . In this case, we observe that  $d$ -regular graphs of diameter  $3 \lfloor \frac{n}{d+1} \rfloor - 2$  exist. Thus, the following theorem can be deduced.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2d + 4$ . The maximum diameter of a  $d$ -regular graph of order  $n$*

(i) *for odd  $d$  is  $3 \lfloor \frac{n}{d+1} \rfloor - \begin{cases} 3 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{d+1}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$*

(ii) *for even  $d$  is  $3 \lfloor \frac{n}{d+1} \rfloor - \begin{cases} 3 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{d+1}, \\ 2 & n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{d+1}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$*

We denote the family of  $d$ -regular graphs with  $n$  vertices and maximum diameter by  $\mathcal{D}_{n,d}$ . The graphs in  $\mathcal{D}_{n,3}$  has been characterized in [5]. From Theorem 3.1 and its proof it is not hard to understand the structure of the graphs in  $\mathcal{D}_{n,4}$ . In fact, such a graph has a path-like structure and almost every three consecutive parts in its distance partition have together 5 vertices. Then the regularity condition implies that all blocks (with few exceptions) are  $M_4$  (see Figure 4). For general  $d$ , some members of  $\mathcal{D}_{n,d}$  are identified in Table 1. The notation used in this table is clarified below. As usual,  $C_n$  denotes the *cycle* of length  $n$  and  $\overline{G}$  the *complement* of  $G$ . By  $G^{-r}$  (resp.,  $G^{+r}$ ) we mean the graph obtained from  $G$  by removing (resp., adding) the edges of  $r$  1-factors. When  $|V(G)| = |V(H)|$ , denote by  $G \overset{+}{\cup} H$  (resp.,  $G \overset{-}{\cup} H$ ) the graph obtained from  $G \cup H$  (resp.,  $G + H$ ) by adding (resp., removing) edges of one 1-factor between  $G$  and  $H$ . Finally, the graph obtained from  $K_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_1$  by merging  $K_1$  and the vertex of degree 2 in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is denoted by  $K_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1$  (the graphs  $\mathcal{H}_i$  are shown in Figure 5).

Part (i) of the following theorem gives a negative answer to Problem 1.7 (and its extension to general  $d$ -regular graphs). Part (ii) shows that Problem 1.7 is asymptotically

$d$	$r$	$a+b$	
All middle blocks are $L_d$ or $M_d$			
odd	0		$K_2 + K_{d-1}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1})_{m-3} + K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1} \overset{+1}{\cup} K_{d-1}^{-1} + K_2$
	$2, 4, \dots, d-1$		$K_2 + K_{d-1}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1})_{m-2} + K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1} \overset{-(r-1)}{\cup} \overline{K}_r^{+1}$
even	0		$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_{m-3} + K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2} \overset{+1}{\cup} K_{d-2}^{-1} + K_3$
	1		$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_{m-2} + K_1 + \overline{K}_2 + K_{d-1}$
	2		$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_{m-2} + K_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1$
	$3, 4, \dots, d-2$		$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_{m-2} + K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2} \overset{-(r-1)}{\cup} C_r$
	$d-1$		$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_{m-2} + K_1 + \overline{K}_2 + \overline{K}_{d-1} + \overline{K}_{d-2}^{+1}$
	$d$		$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_{m-2} + K_1 + \overline{K}_2 + \overline{K}_{d-1} \overset{-1}{\cup} C_{d-1}$
All but one middle blocks are $L_d$ or $M_d$			
odd	0	$m-4$	$K_2 + K_{d-1}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1})_a + K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1} \overset{+1}{\cup} K_{d-1} + (K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1})_b + K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1}^{-1} + K_2$
even	0	$m-4$	$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_a + K_1 + K_2 + \overline{K}_{d-2} + \overline{K}_{d-2}^{+1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_b + K_1 + K_{d-2}^{-2} + C_3$
	$d-1$	$m-3$	$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_a + K_1 + K_2 + \overline{K}_{d-2} + \overline{K}_{d-2}^{+1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_b + K_1 + \overline{K}_2 + K_{d-1}$
	$d$	$m-3$	$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_a + K_1 + K_2 + \overline{K}_{d-2} + \overline{K}_{d-2}^{+1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_b + K_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1$
All but one middle blocks are $M_d$ or its mirror image			
even	0	$m-4$	$K_3 + K_{d-2}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2})_a + K_1 + K_2 + K_{d-2} \overset{+1}{\cup} K_{d-2} + K_2 + K_1 + (K_{d-2} + K_2 + K_1)_b + K_{d-2}^{-1} + K_3$

Table 1: Some members of  $\mathcal{D}_{n,d}$ ;  $n = (d+1)m + r$  for some non-negative integers  $m$  and  $r < d+1$ .

true for cubic and quartic graphs. But Part (iii) shows that it fails for  $d$ -regular graphs with  $d \geq 5$ . We denote the minimum  $\mu$  of  $d$ -regular graphs of order  $n$  by  $\mu_{\min} = \mu_{\min}(n)$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** (i) *For every  $d \geq 3$ , there exist  $d$ -regular graphs  $G, G'$  such that  $G \in \mathcal{D}_{n,d}$  and  $G' \notin \mathcal{D}_{n,d}$  but  $\mu(G') < \mu(G)$ .*

(ii) *For  $d = 3, 4$ , a  $d$ -regular graph  $G$  has  $\mu = (1 + o(1))\mu_{\min}$  if and only if  $\text{diam}(G) = 3n/(d+1) + O(1)$ .*

(iii) *For  $d \geq 5$ , a  $d$ -regular graph with maximum diameter does not have necessarily  $\mu = (1 + o(1))\mu_{\min}$ .*

(iv) *For  $d \geq 4$ , graphs with  $\delta = d$  with asymptotic maximum diameter do not have necessarily asymptotic minimum algebraic connectivity.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $m$  be even,  $n = 4m + 16$ , and

$$\Gamma = K_2 + K_2^{-1} + (K_1 + K_1 + K_2)_{\frac{m}{2}} + K_1 + K_1 + K_2 \overset{+1}{\cup} K_2 + (K_1 + K_1 + K_2)_{\frac{m}{2}} + K_1 + K_1 + K_2^{-1} + K_2,$$

$$\Gamma' = K_2 + K_2^{-1} + (K_1 + K_1 + K_2)_m + K_1 + K_1 + K_2 \overset{+1}{\cup} K_2 \overset{+1}{\cup} K_2 \overset{+1}{\cup} K_2^{-1} + K_2.$$




Figure 5: Four possible end blocks for some regular graphs of maximum diameter

It is seen that  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_{n,3}$  and  $\text{diam}(\Gamma') = \text{diam}(\Gamma) - 1$ . Using computer, we see that for large enough  $n$ ,  $\mu(\Gamma) > \mu(\Gamma')$ . For example for  $n = 32$ ,  $\mu(\Gamma) = 0.2059$  and  $\mu(\Gamma') = 0.2043$ ; these two graphs are depicted in Figure 6. Similarly for quartic graphs, let  $n = 5m + 13$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= K_3 + K_2^{-1} + (K_1 + K_2 + K_2)_m + K_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_2, \\ \Gamma' &= \mathcal{H}_3 \circ (K_1 + K_2 + K_2)_m + K_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_3.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{diam}(\Gamma') = \text{diam}(\Gamma) - 1$ , and for  $n = 18$ ,  $\mu(\Gamma) = 0.1300$  and  $\mu(\Gamma') = 0.1281$ . For  $d \geq 5$ , the result follows from (iii).

(ii) By Corollary 3.9 the algebraic connectivity of all cubic and quartic graphs with asymptotic maximum diameter is equal to  $(1 + o(1))\frac{2\pi^2}{n^2}$  and  $(1 + o(1))\frac{4\pi^2}{n^2}$ , respectively. These values are the minimum algebraic connectivity of cubic and quartic graphs with  $n$  vertices (see [1] and [2]).

(iii) Let  $d$  be odd and  $\Gamma_n$  be the graph of Table 2. Set  $s = 3$ , then from Corollary 3.9 it follows that  $\mu(\Gamma_n) = (1 + o(1))\frac{3(d-3)\pi^2}{n^2}$ . But for  $d$ -regular graph  $\Gamma'_n$  of Table 1, we have  $\mu(\Gamma'_n) = (1 + o(1))\frac{(d-1)\pi^2}{n^2}$ . Note that  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_n) = \text{diam}(\Gamma'_n)$ .

Let  $d$  be odd and  $\Gamma_n$  be the graph of Table 2. So  $\Gamma_n \in \mathcal{D}_{n,d}$ . Now let  $G_n$  be a  $d$ -regular graph of Table 1 and  $c = (d+1)/2$ . In  $G_n$ , replace a subgraph  $(K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1})_c$  by the blocks  $K_1 + K_1 + K_{d-1} \overset{+1}{\cup} K_{d-1} \overset{+1}{\cup} \dots \overset{+1}{\cup} K_{d-1}$ , consists of  $c + 3$  cells. Thus for the resulting graph  $\Gamma'_n$ , we have  $\text{diam}(\Gamma'_n) < \text{diam}(G_n) = \text{diam}(\Gamma_n)$ . From Corollary 3.9,  $\mu(\Gamma'_n) = (1 + o(1))\frac{(d-1)\pi^2}{n^2}$ , while we can choose  $s$  such that  $\mu(\Gamma'_n)$  be asymptotically smaller than  $\mu(\Gamma_n)$ . A similar argument works for even  $d$ .

(iv) Consider the graph  $(K_1 + K_d + K_1)_m$  with  $m = \lfloor n/(d+2) \rfloor$ . We add some vertices to this graph to obtain a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and  $\delta = d$ . Then  $\text{diam}(G) = 3n/(d+2) + O(1)$  and  $\mu(G) = (1 + o(1))\frac{d\pi^2}{n^2}$ . But for the graph  $H = (K_1 + K_{d-2} + K_2)_{m'}$  with  $m' = \lfloor n/(d+1) \rfloor$  we have  $\text{diam}(H) = 3n/(d+1) + O(1)$  and  $\mu(H) = (1 + o(1))\frac{2(d-2)\pi^2}{n^2}$ .  $\square$

We believe that the opposite direction of Theorem 5.3 (iii),(iv) should be true:




Figure 6: The cubic graphs  $\Gamma$  (top) and  $\Gamma'$  (bottom) of the proof of Theorem 5.3 (i).

$d$	$r$	
odd	0	$K_{s+1} + K_{d-s}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_s + K_{d-s})_{m-3} + K_1 + K_s + K_{d-s} \overset{\dagger}{\cup} K_{d-s}^{-1} + K_{s+1}$
	0	$K_{t+1} + K_{d-t}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_t + K_{d-t})_{m-3} + K_1 + K_t + K_{d-t} \overset{\dagger}{\cup} K_{d-t}^{-1} + K_{t+1}$
even	1	$K_{t+1} + K_{d-t}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_t + K_{d-t})_{m-2} + K_1 + K_t^{-1} + K_{d-t+1}$
	$d-1$	$K_{t+1} + K_{d-t}^{-1} + (K_1 + K_t + K_{d-t})_{m-2} + K_1 + \overline{K}_t + \overline{K}_{d-1} + \overline{K}_{d-t}^{+1}$

Table 2: Some members of  $\mathcal{D}_{n,d}$ ;  $m, r < d+1$  and  $s, t < r$  are non-negative integers such that  $n = (d+1)m + r$ ,  $s$  is odd and  $t$  is even.

**Conjecture 5.4.** *For any  $d \geq 3$  if  $\Gamma_n$  is a sequence of graphs of  $\delta = d$  (or a sequence of  $d$ -regular graphs) with asymptotic minimum algebraic connectivity, then it has asymptotically maximum diameter that is  $3n/(d+1) + O(1)$ .*

## Acknowledgements

The second author carried out this work during a Humboldt Research Fellowship at the University of Hamburg. He thanks the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung for financial support.

## References

- [1] M. Abdi and E. Ghorbani, Quartic graphs with minimum spectral gap, *J. Graph Theory* (2022), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22867>
- [2] M. Abdi, E. Ghorbani, and W. Imrich, Regular graphs with minimum spectral gap, *European J. Combin.* **95** (2021), 103328, 18 pp.
- [3] S.G. Aksoy, F.R. Chung, M. Tait, and J. Tobin, The maximum relaxation time of a random walk, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **101** (2018), 1–14.

- [4] D. Aldous and J. Fill, *Reversible Markov Chains and Random Walks on Graphs*, University of California, Berkeley, 2002, available at <http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~aldous/RWG/book.html>
- [5] C. Brand, B. Guiduli, and W. Imrich, The characterization of cubic graphs with minimal eigenvalue gap, *Croatica Chemica Acta* **80** (2007), 193–201.
- [6] L. Caccetta and W. F. Smyth, Graphs of maximum diameter, *Discrete Math.* **102** (1992), 121–141.
- [7] F.R. Chung, *Spectral Graph Theory*, vol. 92, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [8] P. Erdős, J. Pach, R. Pollack, and Z. Tuza, Radius, diameter and minimum degree, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **47** (1989), 73–79.
- [9] M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **23** (1973), 298–305.
- [10] M. Fiedler, A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices and its application to graph theory, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **25(100)** (1975), 619–633.
- [11] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [12] B. Guiduli, *Spectral Extrema for Graphs*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Chicago, 1996.
- [13] B. Guiduli, The structure of trivalent graphs with minimal eigenvalue gap, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **6** (1997), 321–329.
- [14] B. Mohar, Eigenvalues, diameter, and mean distance in graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **7** (1991), 53–64.
- [15] J. Moon, On the diameter of a graph, *Michigan Math. J.* **12** (1965), 349–251.
- [16] J. Soares, Maximum diameter of regular digraphs, *J. Graph Theory* **16** (1992) 437–450.
- [17] D.A. Spielman, *Spectral and Algebraic Graph Theory*, Yale University, 2019, available at <http://cs-www.cs.yale.edu/homes/spielman/sagt/>