

SYMMETRIC POWER FUNCTORIALITY FOR HILBERT MODULAR FORMS

JAMES NEWTON AND JACK A. THORNE

ABSTRACT. Let F be a totally real field. We prove the existence of all symmetric power liftings of those cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ associated to Hilbert modular forms of regular weight.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Reminder on coefficient fields of automorphic representations	7
3.	Some comforting lemmas	8
4.	Big images in compatible systems	10
5.	A functoriality lifting theorem for tensor products	11
6.	Automorphy of an untwisted tensor product	21
7.	Automorphy of a twisted tensor product	32
8.	Getting to the end	36
	References	39

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Let F be a totally real field, and let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$, without CM, such that π_∞ is essentially square-integrable. Then for each $n \geq 2$, the $(n-1)^{\mathrm{th}}$ symmetric power $\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \pi$ exists, in the sense that there is a cuspidal automorphic representation Π_n of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that for each place v of F , we have an isomorphism of Weil–Deligne representations*

$$\mathrm{rec}_{F_v}(\Pi_n) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \circ \mathrm{rec}_{F_v}(\pi_v).$$

These automorphic representations are precisely the ones associated to cuspidal, non-CM Hilbert modular forms of weight $k_v \geq 2$ at infinite places v of F (see [Oht83, Proposition 5.2.3] for a precise formulation of the dictionary).¹ The condition that π_∞ is essentially square-integrable may be rephrased as saying that each of the archimedean local factors π_v ($v|\infty$) is a character twist of a square-integrable representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$.

¹The symmetric power liftings in the CM case can be seen to exist using the theory of Eisenstein series (and are never cuspidal when $n > 2$). We can say nothing about the forms of irregular weight (i.e. when $k_v = 1$ for some v) except when $k_v = 1$ for all v , in which case one can argue as in [NT21b, Appendix A].

As in our previous works on the topic of symmetric power functoriality, the approach is through Galois representations. For most of this paper, we therefore consider only those π 's that are regular algebraic (which, as observed in [Clo90], is the right condition for them to admit associated Galois representations; up to a character twist, this means imposing the condition that the parity of k_v is independent of v). In fact, the apparently more general statement of Theorem A will be deduced from the regular algebraic case, as in [CT17, Tho22b]. We will use the standard notion of RAESDC (regular, algebraic, essentially self-dual, cuspidal) automorphic representations, as defined in [BLGHT11, §1].

With this in mind, our goal is to prove the following conjecture, which restricts to those automorphic representations π which are regular algebraic:

Conjecture B. (SP_n) *For any totally real field F and any non-CM RAESDC automorphic representation π of $GL_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$, $\text{Sym}^{n-1} \pi$ exists (as a RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$).*

The strategy of [CT14]. An earlier work of the second author and Clozel [CT14] establishes an implication of the form

$$(1.1) \quad SP_r + SP_{p-r} + LR_{p+r} + TP_r \Rightarrow SP_{p+r},$$

where $p \geq 5$ is a prime, $0 < r < p$ is an integer, and LR_{p+r} and TP_r are further conjectures. Conjecture LR_{p+r} asserts the existence of certain level-raising congruences between automorphic representations of GL_{p+r} , while TP_r asserts the existence of $GL_2 \times GL_r \rightarrow GL_{2r}$ tensor product functoriality (under suitable hypotheses).

A primary motivation for considering the implication (1.1) is the possibility of establishing SP_n for all $n \geq 1$ by induction, using Bertrand's postulate: indeed, any integer $n \geq 6$ can be written as $n = p + r$ for some prime $p \geq 5$ and integer $0 < r < p$. Unconditional knowledge of conjectures LR_m and TP_m , together with the known cases of SP_n for $1 \leq n \leq 5$, would therefore imply SP_n for all $n \geq 1$.

The proof of (1.1) is based on the reducibility of the symmetric power representations of GL_2 in characteristic p . More precisely, if π is a RAESDC automorphic representation and $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is an isomorphism, then we have an associated p -adic Galois representation

$$r_{\pi, \iota} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p),$$

whose image is Zariski dense modulo centre whenever π is non-CM, and its associated semisimple residual representation

$$\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p).$$

The representations

$$\text{Sym}^{p+r-1} \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota} \text{ and } ((\det \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota})^r \otimes \text{Sym}^{p-r-1} \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}) \oplus (\varphi_p \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}),$$

where $\varphi_p \in G_{\mathbf{F}_p}$ is the arithmetic Frobenius, are isomorphic after passage to semi-simplification. One might therefore hope to be able to prove the automorphy of $\text{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi, \iota}$ by using an automorphy lifting theorem. An automorphy lifting theorem that applies to residually reducible Galois representations was proved in [Tho15] and generalised in [ANT20]; these results require the automorphic representation verifying the residual automorphy to have a Steinberg (in particular, square-integrable) local component. The logic of [CT14] is therefore that one should proceed in 3 steps. First, verify the automorphy of the factors of the residual representation using SP_r , SP_{p-r} , and TP_r . Second, construct a suitable Eisenstein

series on $\mathrm{GL}_{p+r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ (or, in practice, an endoscopic lift from $U(2r) \times U(p-r)$ to a suitable unitary group $U(p+r)$). Third, apply LR_{p+r} to find a congruence to a cusp form on $\mathrm{GL}_{p+r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ (or stable form on $U(p+r)$) which satisfies the Steinberg local condition in our automorphy lifting theorems.

The results of [CT15, CT17, Tho22b]. In the papers [CT15, CT17], the second author and Clozel carried out the above strategy to establish SP_n unconditionally for $n \leq 9$, under mild technical hypotheses on F , using known cases of TP_r (i.e. the cases $r \leq 3$) and proving new (but rather restrictive) cases of LR_{p+r} along the way.

More recently, all the required cases of LR_n have been proved by the second author [Tho22b], using a new method. This shows that the existence of tensor product functoriality (i.e. TP_r for all $r \geq 1$) implies the existence of symmetric power functoriality (SP_n for all $n \geq 1$). Using the known cases of TP_r , this establishes SP_n for integers $n \leq 9$ and even integers $n \leq 26$.

The new contribution of this paper. In this paper, we are able to carry out the entire strategy of [CT14] unconditionally, establishing SP_n for all $n \geq 1$.

How do we do this? Taking in hand the cases of LR_n proved in [Tho22b], the main remaining problem, tackled in this paper, is to obtain the necessary cases of tensor product functoriality. We do not establish tensor product functoriality $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_r \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2r}$ in general; rather, we do just enough in order to be able to proceed to our intended application, proving the residual automorphy of ${}^{\varphi_p} \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota}$ for suitable regular algebraic automorphic representations π . We now sketch how this is achieved.

As a first step, we choose a congruent automorphic representation σ (i.e. another regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\bar{r}_{\sigma,\iota} \cong \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota}$). This representation is chosen so that ${}^{\varphi_p} r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ is Hodge–Tate regular (where now $\varphi_p \in G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ is an arbitrary choice of arithmetic Frobenius lift), and therefore has the chance of being associated to a regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$. (In practice we choose π to have ‘parallel weight 2’ and σ to have ‘parallel weight 3’. Lemma 3.2 shows that the associated tensor products are then always irreducible.)

As a second step, we establish the automorphy of the tensor product $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ (without the Frobenius twist in the coefficients). This is possible because there is an isomorphism

$$\bar{r}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{r}_{\sigma,\iota} \cong (\det \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-2} \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota}) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^r \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota},$$

and we can therefore use our automorphy lifting theorem, verifying the residual automorphy by induction (i.e. knowing SP_{r-1} and SP_{r+1}).

An ideal situation would then be if π, σ could be chosen so that their fields of definition K_π, K_σ were linearly disjoint over \mathbf{Q} . We could then find an automorphism $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ which acts as $\iota \varphi_p \iota^{-1}$ on K_π and as the identity on K_σ . This automorphism would transform the automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ with associated Galois representation $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ to one with the correct residual representation. Unfortunately, we don’t know how to arrange the coefficient fields to have this property.

Instead, we give a new argument to establish the automorphy of $r_{\gamma\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ for any element $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$. Let \tilde{K}_π denote the Galois closure of the field of definition $K_\pi \subset \mathbf{C}$. Then $\Gamma_\pi = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\pi/\mathbf{Q})$ is generated by inertia groups at varying

primes (because no extension of \mathbf{Q} is everywhere unramified). We can therefore write the image of γ in Γ_π as $\gamma = \delta_1 \dots \delta_s$ for prime numbers l_1, \dots, l_s , places w_1, \dots, w_s of \tilde{K}_π above l_1, \dots, l_s , and elements $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s$ of the inertia groups $I_{w_1/l_1}, \dots, I_{w_s/l_s}$. We prove the automorphy of $r_{\gamma\pi, \iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota}$ by induction on $s \geq 0$, the base case $s = 0$ (corresponding to $\gamma = 1$) having already been established.

In general, if $\gamma = \delta\gamma'$ and $r_{\gamma'\pi, \iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota}$ is automorphic, and $\delta \in I_{w/l}$, then we choose an isomorphism $\iota_l : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that ι_l^{-1} induces the place w of \tilde{K}_π . The automorphy of $r_{\gamma\pi, \iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota}$ is equivalent to that of $r_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota_l}$ (because they are members of the same compatible system). Moreover, there is an isomorphism

$$r_{\delta\gamma'\pi, \iota_l} \cong \tilde{\delta} r_{\gamma'\pi, \iota_l},$$

where $\tilde{\delta} \in I_{\mathbf{Q}_l}$ is such that the restriction of $\iota_l \tilde{\delta} \iota_l^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ to \tilde{K}_π equals δ , and therefore a congruence

$$\overline{r}_{\gamma'\pi, \iota_l} \cong \overline{r}_{\delta\gamma'\pi, \iota_l},$$

and hence a congruence

$$\overline{r}_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{r}_{\sigma, \iota_l} \cong \overline{r}_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{r}_{\sigma, \iota_l}.$$

We can now again hope to use an automorphy lifting theorem to propagate automorphy from $r_{\gamma'\pi, \iota_l} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota_l}$ to $r_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota_l}$. This is possible, using a ‘functoriality lifting theorem’ for tensor products, Theorem 5.1, which is modelled after an analogous result for symmetric powers [NT21b, Theorem 2.1]. Note that a priori we might need to use congruences modulo l for each prime l which is ramified in K_π . We have no control at all over the set of such primes, but luckily we can prove a functoriality lifting theorem that works in this level of generality.

Functoriality lifting theorems. We sketch the idea of a functoriality lifting theorem. The name was introduced in the second author’s CDM lectures, [Tho, Part II] and a sketch of the symmetric powers case appears in the first author’s expository article [New22] (with the alternative terminology ‘relative modularity lifting theorem’). Suppose we have split reductive groups G, H over a number field F , with Langlands dual groups \widehat{G}, \widehat{H} defined over $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p$, and a homomorphism $f : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{H}$. In the examples relevant to symmetric powers and tensor product functoriality G and H will be general linear groups, and we can make sense of the statement that a Galois representation with image in $\widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ or $\widehat{H}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ is automorphic. An idealised form of a functoriality lifting theorem is as follows:

Suppose $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G_F \rightarrow \widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ are two automorphic Galois representations with isomorphic residual representation $\overline{\rho}_1 \cong \overline{\rho}_2 : G_F \rightarrow \widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$. If $f \circ \rho_1 : G_F \rightarrow \widehat{H}(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ is automorphic, then so is $f \circ \rho_2$.

Naturally, we have to impose many technical assumptions before we can prove such a statement. The key advantage over standard modularity lifting theorems is that we can obtain results when $\overline{\rho}_1$ is irreducible but $f \circ \overline{\rho}_1$ is not assumed to be irreducible. A relevant example here is when $G = \text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_r$, $H = \text{GL}_{2r}$, f is the tensor product of standard representations of each factor, and $\rho_1 = (r_{\pi, \iota}, \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota})$ with π and σ congruent automorphic representations as above. We prove statements of this kind by combining the Taylor–Wiles–Kisin patching method for \widehat{G} with our results on vanishing of adjoint Bloch–Kato Selmer groups for the \widehat{H} -valued representation $f \circ \rho_1$ [NT, Tho22a] (in fact, [NT] is sufficient for our purposes here).

These vanishing results only assume irreducibility of the characteristic 0 representation $(f \circ \rho_1) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. More precisely, with $G = \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_r$ we will be taking tensor products with a fixed r -dimensional Galois representation, so we only need to carry out patching with respect to the GL_2 factor of the dual group.

The relationship with [NT21a, NT21b]. The authors have previously established Theorem A in the case $F = \mathbf{Q}$. The proof given in this paper is new even in this case. The main tool in [NT21a] was a result on the analytic continuation of symmetric power functoriality in families of overconvergent p -adic modular forms, which was applied together with the explicit and simple structure of the tame level 1, 2-adic Coleman–Mazur eigencurve discovered by Buzzard–Kilford [BK05]. This paper does not use analytic continuation, or the explicit determination of any space of modular forms of fixed level or weight.

As we explained above, the main technical result of [NT21b] inspired the functoriality lifting theorem for tensor products that we use here. Both these results, together with the analytic continuation results of [NT21a], rely on the vanishing of adjoint Bloch–Kato Selmer groups [NT].

1.1. Organisation of this paper. The first three sections are preparatory. In §2, we recall the formalism of coefficient fields of regular algebraic automorphic representations and the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ on the set of such representations. In §3, we record some useful lemmas concerning symmetric power Galois representations. In §4, we give a criterion under which *all* of the residual representation $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$ associated to a given RAESDC automorphic representation have large image, generalising an idea used in [DW11]. This is essential to be able to reduce to a situation where all of our various lifting results can be applied.

The functoriality lifting theorem for tensor products is the subject of §5. In §6 we show that an untwisted tensor product $r_{\pi, \iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota}$ is automorphic. This is done using automorphy lifting theorems for residually reducible representations and the level raising result of [Tho22b]. We must take a slightly roundabout route to the proof, since our tensor product can never be locally Steinberg whilst our automorphy lifting and level raising results are adapted to locally Steinberg representations. In §7 we combine the results of sections 5 and 6 to verify the (residual) automorphy of the twisted tensor product ${}^{\varphi_p} \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$. Finally, in §8 we complete the proof of our main theorems, closely following [Tho22b, §7].

Acknowledgments. This paper represents the completion of a strategy first outlined by Laurent Clozel and JT in [CT14]. We would like to again express our thanks to Clozel for his inspirational contributions to the theory of automorphic forms. We would like to thank Toby Gee for useful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. JN was supported by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship, grant MR/V021931/1.

1.2. Notation. If F is a perfect field, we fix an algebraic closure \overline{F}/F and write G_F for the absolute Galois group of F with respect to this choice. When the characteristic of F is not equal to p , we write $\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ for the p -adic cyclotomic character. We write $\zeta_n \in \overline{F}$ for a fixed choice of primitive n^{th} root of unity (when this exists). If F is a number field, then we will also fix embeddings $\overline{F} \rightarrow \overline{F}_v$ extending the map $F \rightarrow F_v$ for each place v of F ; this choice determines a homomorphism $G_{F_v} \rightarrow G_F$. When v is a finite place, we will write $W_{F_v} \subset G_{F_v}$ for the Weil group, $\mathcal{O}_{F_v} \subset F_v$

for the valuation ring, $\varpi_v \in \mathcal{O}_{F_v}$ for a fixed choice of uniformizer, $\text{Frob}_v \in G_{F_v}$ for a fixed choice of (geometric) Frobenius lift, $k(v) = \mathcal{O}_{F_v}/(\varpi_v)$ for the residue field, and $q_v = \#k(v)$ for the cardinality of the residue field. If R is a ring and $\alpha \in R^\times$, then we write $\text{ur}_\alpha : W_{F_v} \rightarrow R^\times$ for the unramified character which sends Frob_v to α . When v is a real place, we write $c_v \in G_{F_v}$ for complex conjugation. If S is a finite set of finite places of F then we write F_S/F for the maximal subextension of \overline{F} unramified outside S and $G_{F,S} = \text{Gal}(F_S/F)$. If A is a local ring, we write \mathfrak{m}_A for the maximal ideal of A .

If p is a prime, then we call a coefficient field a finite extension E/\mathbf{Q}_p contained inside our fixed algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, and write \mathcal{O} for the valuation ring of E , $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}$ for a fixed choice of uniformizer, and $k = \mathcal{O}/(\varpi)$ for the residue field. We write $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O}$ for the category of complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebras with residue field k . If G is a profinite group and $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ is a continuous representation, then we write $\overline{\rho} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ for the associated semisimple residual representation (which is well-defined up to conjugacy). If F is a number field, v is a finite place of F , and $\rho_v, \rho'_v : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ are continuous representations, which are potentially crystalline if $v|p$, then we use the notation $\rho \sim \rho'$ established in [BLGGT14, §1] (which implies that $\rho_v \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p} \cong \rho'_v \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p}$, and indicates that these two representations define points on a common component of a suitable deformation ring). We adopt the convention of *loc. cit.* that if $\rho, \rho' : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ are continuous representations such that $\overline{\rho}, \overline{\rho'}$ are irreducible, then $\rho|_{G_{F_v}} \sim \rho'|_{G_{F_v}}$ means $\rho_v \sim \rho'_v$, where ρ_v is the restriction to G_{F_v} of any conjugate of ρ which takes values in $\text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ (and similarly for ρ'_v).

We write $T_n \subset B_n \subset \text{GL}_n$ for the standard diagonal maximal torus and upper-triangular Borel subgroup. Let K be a non-archimedean characteristic 0 local field, and let Ω be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. If $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ is a continuous representation (which is de Rham if p equals the residue characteristic of K), then we write $\text{WD}(\rho) = (r, N)$ for the associated Weil–Deligne representation of ρ , and $\text{WD}(\rho)^{F\text{-}ss}$ for its Frobenius semisimplification. We use the cohomological normalisation of class field theory: it is the isomorphism $\text{Art}_K : K^\times \rightarrow W_K^{ab}$ which sends uniformizers to geometric Frobenius elements. When $\Omega = \mathbf{C}$, we have the local Langlands correspondence rec_K for $\text{GL}_n(K)$: a bijection between the sets of isomorphism classes of irreducible, admissible $\mathbf{C}[\text{GL}_n(K)]$ -modules and Frobenius-semisimple Weil–Deligne representations over \mathbf{C} of rank n . In general, we have the Tate normalisation of the local Langlands correspondence for GL_n as described in [CT14, §2.1]. When $\Omega = \mathbf{C}$, we have $\text{rec}_K^T(\pi) = \text{rec}_K(\pi \otimes |\cdot|^{(1-n)/2})$.

If F is a number field and $\chi : F^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ is a Hecke character of type A_0 (equivalently: algebraic), then for any isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ there is a continuous character $r_{\chi, \iota} : G_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p^\times$ which is de Rham at the places $v|p$ of F and such that for each finite place v of F , $\text{WD}(r_{\chi, \iota}) \circ \text{Art}_{F_v} = \iota^{-1} \chi|_{F_v^\times}$. Conversely, if $\chi' : G_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p^\times$ is a continuous character which is de Rham and unramified at all but finitely many places, then there exists a Hecke character $\chi : F^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ of type A_0 such that $r_{\chi, \iota} = \chi'$.

If F is a totally real or CM number field and π is an automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$, we say that π is regular algebraic if π_∞ has the same infinitesimal character as an irreducible algebraic representation W of $(\text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \text{GL}_n)_\mathbf{C}$. We identify $X^*(T_n)$ with \mathbf{Z}^n in the usual way, and write $\mathbf{Z}_+^n \subset \mathbf{Z}^n$ for the subset of weights which

are B_n -dominant. If W^\vee has highest weight $\lambda = (\lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbf{C})} \in (\mathbf{Z}_+^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbf{C})}$, then we say that π has weight λ . We refer to [BLGHT11, §1] for the definition of what it means for π to be RAESDC or RAECSDC (that is, regular algebraic, essentially (conjugate) self-dual, and cuspidal). If L/F is a cyclic extension, then we write $\text{BC}_{L/F}(\pi)$ for the base change of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ (see [AC89]): it is an automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_L)$ with the property that for any place w of L lying above a place v of F , we have $\text{rec}_{L_w} \text{BC}_{K/F}(\pi)_w \cong (\text{rec}_{F_v} \pi_v)|_{W_{L_w}}$.

If π is RAESDC or RAECSDC, then for any isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ there exists a continuous, semisimple representation $r_{\pi, \iota} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ such that for each finite place v of F , $\text{WD}(r_{\pi, \iota}|_{G_{F_v}})^{F\text{-ss}} \cong \text{rec}_{F_v}^T(\iota^{-1}\pi_v)$ (see e.g. [Car14]). (When $n = 1$, this is compatible with our existing notation.) We use the convention that the Hodge–Tate weight of the cyclotomic character is -1 . Thus if π is of weight λ , then for any embedding $\tau : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ the τ -Hodge–Tate weights of $r_{\pi, \iota}$ are given by

$$\text{HT}_\tau(r_{\pi, \iota}) = \{\lambda_{\iota\tau, 1} + (n - 1), \lambda_{\iota\tau, 2} + (n - 2), \dots, \lambda_{\iota\tau, n}\}.$$

A particular case of interest is when $n = 2$ and F is totally real. In this case, the automorphic representations which are of weight $\lambda = 0$ may be generated by Hilbert modular forms of parallel weight 2. In [NT21b], we said such automorphic representations were ‘of weight 2’. We do not use this terminology in this paper, where automorphic representations on higher rank general linear groups play a more significant role.

If F is a number field, G is a reductive group over F , v is a finite place of F , and U_v is an open compact subgroup of $G(F_v)$, then we write $\mathcal{H}(G(F_v), U_v)$ for the convolution algebra of compactly supported U_v -biinvariant functions $f : G(F_v) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ (convolution defined with respect to the Haar measure on $G(F_v)$ which gives U_v volume 1). Then $\mathcal{H}(G(F_v), U_v)$ is a free \mathbf{Z} -module, with basis given by the characteristic functions $[U_v g_v U_v]$ of double cosets for $g_v \in U_v \backslash G(F_v) / U_v$.

If $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\alpha_{\varpi_v, i} = \text{diag}(\varpi_v, \dots, \varpi_v, 1, \dots, 1) \in \text{GL}_n(F_v)$ (where there are i occurrences of ϖ_v on the diagonal). We define

$$T_v^{(i)} = [\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})\alpha_{\varpi_v, i}\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})] \in \mathcal{H}(\text{GL}_n(F_v), \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})).$$

We write $\text{Iw}_v \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$ for the standard Iwahori subgroup (elements which are upper-triangular modulo ϖ_v) and $\text{Iw}_{v, 1} \subset \text{Iw}_v$ for the kernel of the natural map $\text{Iw}_v \rightarrow (k(v)^\times)^n$ given by reduction modulo ϖ_v , then projection to the diagonal. If $U_v \subset \text{Iw}_v$ is a subgroup containing $\text{Iw}_{v, 1}$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$, then we define

$$U_{\varpi_v}^{(i)} = [U_v \alpha_{\varpi_v, i} U_v] \in \mathcal{H}(\text{GL}_n(F_v), U_v).$$

2. REMINDER ON COEFFICIENT FIELDS OF AUTOMORPHIC REPRESENTATIONS

Let F be a number field, and let π be a regular algebraic, cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Then the coefficient field $K_\pi \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a number field; Clozel proved this [Clo90] using the rational structure determined by the Betti cohomology of the arithmetic locally symmetric spaces associated to $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$. We recall here the definition of K_π and how it interacts with the Galois representations that may be associated to π .

The representation π^∞ is an irreducible admissible $\mathbf{C}[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)]$ -module. If $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$, then ${}^\sigma\pi^\infty = \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}, \sigma} \pi^\infty$ is again an irreducible admissible $\mathbf{C}[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)]$ -module², and if $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ then ${}^{\sigma\tau}\pi^\infty = \sigma({}^\tau\pi^\infty)$. We define K_π to be the subfield of \mathbf{C} fixed by the stabilizer in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ of the isomorphism class of π^∞ . The following theorem is [Clo90, Théorème 3.13]:

Theorem 2.1. (1) K_π is a number field, and π^∞ may be defined over K_π .
(2) If $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$ then there exists a cuspidal, regular algebraic automorphic representation ${}^\sigma\pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $({}^\sigma\pi)^\infty \cong {}^\sigma\pi^\infty$.

Let $\tilde{K}_\pi \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the normal closure of K_π , and let $\Gamma_\pi = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\pi/\mathbf{Q})$. We see that ${}^\sigma\pi$ depends only on the image of σ in Γ_π .

We now relate this to Galois representations. Suppose that F is a totally real (resp. CM) field and that π is RAESDC (resp. RAECSDC). Then for any prime number p and isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, there is an associated Galois representation $r_{\pi, \iota} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$. If $\sigma_p \in G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$, then we can act on the coefficients to get another continuous representation ${}^{\sigma_p}r_{\pi, \iota} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p, \sigma_p} r_{\pi, \iota}$. If $\tau_p \in G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$, then ${}^{\sigma_p\tau_p}r_{\pi, \iota} \cong {}^{\sigma_p}({}^{\tau_p}r_{\pi, \iota})$. The following lemma follows from the definitions.

Lemma 2.2. (1) Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$. Then there is an isomorphism $r_{\sigma\pi, \iota} \cong r_{\pi, \sigma^{-1}\iota}$.
(2) Let $\sigma_p \in G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$, and let $\sigma = \iota\sigma_p\iota^{-1} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$. Then there are isomorphisms

$${}^{\sigma_p}r_{\pi, \iota} \cong r_{\pi, \iota\sigma_p^{-1}} \cong r_{\pi, \sigma^{-1}\iota} \cong r_{\sigma\pi, \iota}.$$

3. SOME COMFORTING LEMMAS

We first clarify the meaning of the phrase “Symⁿ π exists”. If F is a totally real or CM number field, and p is a prime number, we say that a continuous representation $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ is automorphic if there exists a RAESDC or RAECSDC automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ and an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\rho \cong r_{\pi, \iota}$. This is not the most general definition that one might adopt (for example, it forces ρ itself to be (conjugate-)self-dual up to twist and conjecturally forces ρ to be irreducible) but it will be the one most useful for our purposes.

Lemma 3.1. Let F be a totally real field, and let π be a RAESDC automorphic representation which is non-CM, in the sense that for any non-trivial character $\chi : F^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$, $\pi \not\cong \pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$. Let $n \geq 1$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) There exists a cuspidal automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that for each place v of F , we have

$$\mathrm{rec}_{F_v}(\Pi_v) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \circ \mathrm{rec}_{F_v}(\pi_v).$$

- (2) For each prime p and isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the representation $\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} r_{\pi, \iota}$ is automorphic.
(3) For some prime p and isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the representation $\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} r_{\pi, \iota}$ is automorphic.

²Note that in this tensor product, we have $\lambda \otimes v = 1 \otimes \sigma^{-1}(\lambda)v$.

Proof. Certainly we have (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3). For (3) \Rightarrow (1), we are given that there is a RAESDC automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ and an isomorphism $j : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $r_{\Pi,j} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} r_{\pi,\iota}$. Let $\sigma = \iota j^{-1}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, there are isomorphisms

$$r_{\sigma\Pi,\iota} \cong r_{\Pi,j} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} r_{\pi,\iota}.$$

Replace Π by $\sigma\Pi$. Local-global compatibility at finite places then implies that if v is a finite place of F , then $\mathrm{rec}_{F_v}\Pi_v \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \circ \mathrm{rec}_{F_v}\pi_v$. The Weil group representation $\mathrm{rec}_{F_v}\Pi_v$ for an infinite place v is determined by the labelled Hodge–Tate weights of $r_{\Pi,\iota}$ (cf. the proof of [Tho22b, Theorem 8.1]), so we have the desired relation at infinite places also. This completes the proof. \square

The following lemma will come in handy on occasion to verify irreducibility conditions. Recall that a representation of an absolute Galois group G_F is said to be strongly irreducible if its restriction to G_L is irreducible for every finite extension L/F .

Lemma 3.2. *Let F be a number field. Let $\rho, \rho' : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ be continuous representations which are strongly irreducible. Suppose that there is an embedding $\tau : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ inducing a place v of F such that $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}, \rho'|_{G_{F_v}}$ are Hodge–Tate and $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho) = \{a, b\}$, $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho') = \{a', b'\}$, with $a - b \neq \pm(a' - b')$. Then for any $m, m' \geq 1$, $(\mathrm{Sym}^m \rho \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{m'} \rho')|_{G_{F(\zeta_{p^\infty})}}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. We use the basic fact that if $\alpha : H \rightarrow H'$ is a morphism of linear algebraic groups over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, and $K \subset H(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ is a subgroup, then the Zariski closure in H' of $\alpha(K)$ is the image under α of the Zariski closure of K in H (see [Bor91, §2.1(f)]).

Let $\mathrm{Proj} \rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ denote the associated projective representation (and similarly for ρ'). Then $\mathrm{Proj} \rho$ has Zariski dense image in PGL_2 . Indeed, the Zariski closure $G_\rho \subset \mathrm{GL}_2$ of $\rho(G_F)$ is a reductive subgroup (as it has a faithful irreducible representation). The identity component G_ρ° is connected reductive and still acts irreducibly (because ρ is strongly irreducible), so it must be equal either to SL_2 or GL_2 . In either case the image of G_ρ in PGL_2 is PGL_2 .

Let $G \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ denote the Zariski closure of the image of

$$\mathrm{Proj} \rho \times \mathrm{Proj} \rho' : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p) \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p).$$

Then G is a reductive subgroup which projects surjectively to each factor. By Goursat’s lemma for the group $G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$, we either have $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ or $G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ is the graph of an automorphism of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$. In the second case, the first projection map $G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2$ is a bijection on $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -points and is therefore an isomorphism of algebraic groups. We deduce that G is the graph of an automorphism of PGL_2 , and $\mathrm{Proj} \rho, \mathrm{Proj} \rho'$ are conjugate by this automorphism. Every automorphism of PGL_2 is inner, so this would imply that $\mathrm{Ad} \rho, \mathrm{Ad} \rho'$ have the same Hodge–Tate weights, a contradiction.

To complete the proof, note that the Zariski closure of $\rho(G_{F(\zeta_{p^\infty})}) \times \rho'(G_{F(\zeta_{p^\infty})})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ contains the derived group of the Zariski closure of $\rho(G_F) \times \rho'(G_F)$ (by [Bor91, §2.4, Proposition]). This latter group contains $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$, as it surjects to $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$. This completes the proof. \square

4. BIG IMAGES IN COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS

We recall the statement of [Tho22b, Lemma 7.3].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and let $\varphi_p \in G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ be a lift of arithmetic Frobenius. There exists an integer $a_0 = a_0(p) \geq 3$ such that if $a \geq a_0$ and $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ is a finite subgroup which contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$, then G has the following properties:*

- (1) *For each $0 < r < p$, the image of the homomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ is adequate, in the sense of [Tho12, Definition 2.3].*
- (2) *For each $0 < r < p$, the image of the homomorphism $\varphi_p \mathrm{Std} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ is adequate.*
- (3) *If $H \leq G$ is a subgroup of index $[G : H] < 2p$, then H contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$. Consequently, H also satisfies (1) and (2).*

Let F be a totally real number field, and let H/F denote the ray class field of modulus $(8) \cdot \{v|\infty\}$. If w is a finite place of F of odd residue characteristic which splits in H then there exists a totally positive element $\lambda_w \in \mathcal{O}_F$ such that $(\lambda_w) = \mathfrak{p}_w$ (i.e. λ_w generates the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_w \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ associated to w) and for each place $v|2$ of F , $\lambda_w \in (F_v^\times)^2$. If S is any set of finite places of F , then we write $F(S)$ for the maximal abelian extension of F of exponent 2 which is unramified outside S .

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be a finite set of finite places of F , and let $v, w \nmid 2$ be finite places of F , not in S , which split in $F(S) \cdot H/F$. Then v splits in $F(S \cup \{w\})$ if and only if w splits in $F(S \cup \{v\})$.*

Proof. Write $\mathfrak{p}_v = (\lambda_v)$, $\mathfrak{p}_w = (\lambda_w)$, as above. By Kummer theory, $F(S \cup \{v\}) = F(S) \cdot F(\sqrt{\lambda_v})$ and $F(S \cup \{w\}) = F(S) \cdot F(\sqrt{\lambda_w})$. We therefore just need to show that w splits in $F(\sqrt{\lambda_v})$ if and only if v splits in $F(\sqrt{\lambda_w})$, or in other words that $(\lambda_v, \lambda_w)_{F_v} = (\lambda_v, \lambda_w)_{F_w}$ (equality of Hilbert symbols). By reciprocity, we have

$$\prod_u (\lambda_v, \lambda_w)_u = 1,$$

so it's enough to show that $(\lambda_v, \lambda_w)_u = 1$ if $u \neq v, w$ is any other place of F . If $u|2\infty$, this is true because λ_v, λ_w are squares locally in F_u^\times . If $u \nmid 2\infty$, this is true because λ_v, λ_w are units. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 4.3. *Let π be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$, let v be a place of F , and let p be an odd prime such that $q_v \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. We say that π is tamely dihedral of order p at v (or that π_v is tamely dihedral of order p) if $\mathrm{rec}_{F_v}(\pi_v) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{W_{F_v}}^{W_{F_v'}} \chi_v$, where F_v'/F_v is the quadratic unramified extension and $\chi_v : W_{F_v'} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ is a character such that $\chi_v|_{I_{F_v'}}$ has order p .*

The following result is an analogue over F of [DW11, Proposition 6.1].

Proposition 4.4. *Fix $M > 5$. Let π be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ of weight 0 satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) *There exist prime numbers $t_1, t_2 > M$ and places v_1, v_2 of F of residue characteristics $p_1, p_2 > 3$ unramified in F such that π_{v_i} is tamely dihedral of order t_i ($i = 1, 2$) and p_1, p_2, t_1, t_2 are all distinct.*

- (2) Let S denote the set of finite places v of F such that $v \neq v_1, v_2$ and either π_v is ramified or v is ramified over \mathbf{Q} , together with the places of residue characteristic 2 or 3. Then v_1 splits in $F(S \cup \{v_2\})$ and v_2 splits in $F(S \cup \{v_1\})$.

Then for any prime number p and any isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $p^a > M$.

Proof. Take a prime number p and isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Suppose first that $p \notin \{p_1, t_1\}$. Then $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}|_{G_{F_{v_1}}}$ is irreducible, so $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$ is irreducible and its projective image contains elements of order t_1 . The classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ shows that the projective image of $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$ is either conjugate to one of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $a \geq 1$, or that $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$ is dihedral. In the first case, we see that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ contains an element of order t_1 (and $t_1 \neq p$), and therefore that t_1 divides $p^a - 1$ or $p^a + 1$. In particular, we must have $p^a > M$.

We rule out the case that $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$ is dihedral, i.e. that there is an isomorphism $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_F} \overline{\chi}$ for some quadratic extension K/F and character $\overline{\chi} : G_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$. If K/F is ramified at a place v of F , then $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$ is likewise ramified with inertial image of order twice that of $\overline{\chi}$; thus we must have $v \in S \cup \{v_1, v_2\}$ or $v|p$. In fact $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}(I_{F_{v_1}})$ has odd order, so $v \in S \cup \{v_2\}$ or $v|p$. If $v|p$ and $v \notin S \cup \{v_2\}$, then F_v/\mathbf{Q}_p is unramified, $p \geq 5$ and π_v is unramified. There is moreover an isomorphism $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}|_{I_{F_v}} \cong \chi_v \oplus \chi_v \delta_{K_v/F_v}$ for some character $\chi_v : I_{F_v} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$. This contradicts the fact that $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is Fontaine–Laffaille with weights $\{0, 1\}$ with respect to any embedding $\tau : F_v \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ (which implies that $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}|_{I_{F_v}}$ is a sum of two products of distinct fundamental characters). Therefore K/F is ramified only at places $v \in S \cup \{v_2\}$, hence $K \subset F(S \cup \{v_2\})$. This leads to a contradiction. Indeed, our hypothesis implies that v_1 splits in K , contradicting the fact that $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}|_{G_{F_{v_1}}}$ is irreducible.

In the remaining case $p \in \{p_1, t_1\}$, we see that $p \notin \{p_2, t_2\}$, so we can apply the same argument after reversing the roles of v_1 and v_2 . \square

5. A FUNCTORIALITY LIFTING THEOREM FOR TENSOR PRODUCTS

Let p be a prime number and let F be a totally real field. Fix an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We suppose given a RAESDC automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- π has weight 0 and is non-CM.
- $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $p^a > 5$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $r \geq 1$, let σ be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbf{A}_F)$, and let π' be another RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) π' has weight 0 and is non-CM.
- (2) There is an isomorphism $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota} \cong \overline{r}_{\pi', \iota}$.
- (3) For each place $v|p$ of F , $r_{\pi, \iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is ordinary (resp. potentially crystalline) if and only if $r_{\pi', \iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is ordinary (resp. potentially crystalline). If $p = 2$, they are both potentially crystalline.
- (4) For each place $v \nmid p$ of F , π_v is a character twist of the Steinberg representation if and only if π'_v is.

- (5) *There is a perfect subgroup $H \leq (\bar{r}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \bar{r}_{\sigma,\iota})^{ss}(G_F)$ and $h \in H$ such that $(\bar{r}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \bar{r}_{\sigma,\iota})^{ss}(h)$ has $2r$ distinct eigenvalues.*
- (6) *For each embedding $\tau : F \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, we have $\text{HT}_\tau(r_{\sigma,\iota}) = \{0, 2, \dots, 2r - 2\}$.*
- (7) *The tensor product $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma,\iota}$ is automorphic.*
- (8) *The tensor products $r_{\pi',\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma,\iota}$ and $(r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma,\iota})|_{G_{F(\zeta_{p^\infty})}}$ are strongly irreducible.*

Then the tensor product $r_{\pi',\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma,\iota}$ is automorphic.

We write $n = 2r$. We remark that we could make do with a weaker condition on the Galois representation $r_{\sigma,\iota}$ than its automorphy. However, it is convenient here and is satisfied in our intended application. Likewise, it is not necessary to assume that the Hodge–Tate weights of $r_{\sigma,\iota}$ are $0, 2, \dots, 2r - 2$, but to treat a more general case would require additional notation (note that this implies that the Hodge–Tate weights of $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma,\iota}$ are $\{0, 1, \dots, 2r - 1\}$, and therefore that $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma,\iota}$ will correspond to an automorphic representation “of weight 0”). The assumption that H is perfect in condition (5) ensures that this condition will still be satisfied after any soluble base change.

The proof will follow closely the proof of [NT21b, Theorem 2.1], with appropriate modifications. We have also made a small simplification to the level structures chosen at Taylor–Wiles places. We begin with some preliminary reductions. After applying [CHT08, Lemma 4.1.4] to construct suitable Hecke characters and replacing F by a soluble totally real extension, and π, π' by their base changes, we can assume that the following additional conditions are satisfied:

- (9) π, π' are self-dual (in particular, $\det r_{\pi,\iota} = \det r_{\pi',\iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$).
- (10) $[F : \mathbf{Q}]$ is even.
- (11) For each finite place v of F , π_v, π'_v and σ_v are all Iwahori-spherical. The number of places v such that π_v and π'_v are ramified is even.
- (12) Let S_p denote the set of p -adic places of F , let Σ denote the set of places where π is ramified, and let $\Sigma_p = \Sigma \cap S_p$, $\Sigma^p = \Sigma - \Sigma_p$, $S = S_p \cup \Sigma$. Then for each $v \in S$, $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is trivial. Moreover, for each $v \in \Sigma^p$, $q_v \equiv 1 \pmod p$ and π_v and π'_v are isomorphic to the Steinberg representation (not just a twist of the Steinberg representation).
- (13) There exists a quadratic CM extension K/F which is S -split and everywhere unramified. We fix a CM type Φ of K .
- (14) Since σ is RAESDC, there is given a character $\chi : F^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ of type A_0 such that $\sigma \cong \sigma^\vee \otimes \chi$ and $\chi_v(-1)$ is independent of $v|_\infty$. This character necessarily has the property that $\chi|\cdot|^{r-1}$ is of finite order (compare the Hodge–Tate weights of $r_{\sigma,\iota}$ and $r_{\sigma^\vee,\iota} \cong r_{\sigma,\iota}^\vee(1-r)$). We assume that there exists an everywhere unramified character $X : K^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ of type A_0 such that $X \circ \mathbf{N}_{K/F} = \chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{K/F}$, and an everywhere unramified character $Y : K^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ of type A_0 such that $Y \circ \mathbf{N}_{K/F} = |\cdot|$. We assume moreover that if $\tau \in \Phi$ induces a place v of K , then $X|_{K_v^\times}(z) = \tau(z)^{1-r}$ and $Y|_{K_v^\times}(z) = \tau(z)$ for each $\tau \in \Phi$.
- (15) The representations $(r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma,\iota})|_{G_{K(\zeta_{p^\infty})}}$ and $(r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma,\iota})|_{G_K}$ are both irreducible.

Let $\pi_K = \text{BC}_{K/F}(\pi)$, $\pi'_K = \text{BC}_{K/F}(\pi')$, and $\sigma_K = \text{BC}_{K/F}(\sigma) \otimes X^{-1}$. Then π_K, π'_K, σ_K are all RACSDC automorphic representations. We write T for the

RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_K)$ such that $r_{T,\iota} \cong r_{\pi_K,\iota} \otimes r_{\sigma_K,\iota} \otimes r_{Y,\iota}^{1-r}$. Our assumptions imply that T is of weight 0.

Let E/\mathbf{Q}_p be a coefficient field. After possibly enlarging E , we can find conjugates r, r' and s of the representations $r_{\pi,\iota}, r_{\pi',\iota}$ and $r_{\sigma,\iota}$ which take values in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathcal{O})$ (respectively) and assume that the characters $r_{X,\iota}, r_{Y,\iota}$ take values in \mathcal{O}^\times . We can also assume that the eigenvalues of each element in image of $\bar{r} = \bar{r}'$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ lie in the residue field k .

We write $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ for the category of complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebras with residue field k . If v is a place of F , we write $R_v^\square \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ for the object representing the functor $\mathrm{Lift}_v : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sets}$ which associates to $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ the set of homomorphisms $\tilde{r} : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ lifting $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ and such that $\det \tilde{r} = \epsilon^{-1}|_{G_{F_v}}$. As in [NT21b], we introduce certain quotients of R_v^\square :

- If $v \in S_p$ and $r_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is non-ordinary, the smallest reduced \mathcal{O} -torsion-free quotient R_v of R_v^\square such that if $f : R_v^\square \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is a homomorphism such that the pushforward of the universal lifting to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is crystalline of Hodge–Tate weights $\{0, 1\}$ and is not ordinary, then f factors through R_v . By [Kis09, Corollary 2.3.13], R_v is a domain of dimension $4 + [F_v : \mathbf{Q}_p]$.
- If $v \in S_p$ and $r_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is ordinary and crystalline, the smallest reduced \mathcal{O} -torsion-free quotient R_v of R_v^\square such that if $F : R_v^\square \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is a homomorphism such that the pushforward of the universal lifting to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is crystalline of Hodge–Tate weights $\{0, 1\}$ and is ordinary, then F factors through R_v . By [Kis09, Proposition 2.4.6], R_v is a domain of dimension $4 + [F_v : \mathbf{Q}_p]$.
- If $v \in S_p$ and $r_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is ordinary and non-crystalline (i.e. if $v \in \Sigma_p$), the smallest reduced \mathcal{O} -torsion-free quotient R_v of R_v^\square such that if $F : R_v^\square \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is a homomorphism such that the pushforward of the universal lifting to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is semi-stable non-crystalline of Hodge–Tate weights $\{0, 1\}$, then F factors through R_v . By [Sno18, Proposition 4.3.1], R_v is a domain of dimension $4 + [F_v : \mathbf{Q}_p]$.
- If $v \in \Sigma^p$, the smallest reduced \mathcal{O} -torsion-free quotient R_v of R_v^\square such that if $f : R_v^\square \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is a homomorphism such that the pushforward of the universal lifting to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is an extension of ϵ^{-1} by the trivial character, then f factors through R_v . By [Kis09, Proposition 2.5.2], R_v is a domain of dimension 4.
- If $v \in S_\infty$ (the set of infinite places of F), the quotient R_v of R_v^\square denoted $R_{V_F}^{-1,\square}$ in [Kis09, Proposition 2.5.6]. Then R_v is a domain of dimension 3.

If Q is a finite set of finite places of F , disjoint from S , then we write $\mathrm{Def}_Q : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sets}$ for the functor which associates to $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ the set of $1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_A)$ -conjugacy classes of lifts $\tilde{r} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ of \bar{r} satisfying the following conditions:

- \tilde{r} is unramified outside $S \cup Q$, and $\det \tilde{r} = \epsilon^{-1}$.
- For each $v \in S \cup S_\infty$, the homomorphism $R_v^\square \rightarrow A$ determined by $\tilde{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ factors through the quotient $R_v^\square \rightarrow R_v$ introduced above.

Then R_Q is represented by an object $R_Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$; we write $R_\emptyset = R$. We also have some ‘‘framed’’ variants of these rings. We let $R_{loc} = \widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S \cup S_\infty} R_v$. Then R_{loc} is an \mathcal{O} -flat domain of dimension $1 + 3[F : \mathbf{Q}] + 3|S|$. We write $\mathrm{Def}_Q^\square : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sets}$ for the functor of $1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_A)$ -conjugacy classes of tuples $(\tilde{r}, \{A_v\}_{v \in S \cup S_\infty})$, where \tilde{r} is of type Def_Q and $A_v \in 1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_A)$, and the action of $1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_A)$ is as defined on

[NT21b, p. 124]. The functor Def_Q^\square is represented by an object R_Q^\square and there is a tautological homomorphism $R_{loc} \rightarrow R_Q^\square$.

We also introduce the functor $\text{Def}'_Q : \mathcal{C}_O \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ of $1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_A)$ -conjugacy classes of lifts $\tilde{r} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$ of \bar{r} satisfying the following conditions:

- \tilde{r} is unramified outside $S \cup Q$, and for each $v \in S$, $\det \tilde{r}|_{G_{F_v}} = \epsilon^{-1}|_{G_{F_v}}$.
- For each $v \in S \cup S_\infty$, the homomorphism $R_v^\square \rightarrow A$ determined by $\tilde{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ factors through the quotient $R'_v \rightarrow R_v$ introduced above.

This is represented by an object $R'_Q \in \mathcal{C}_O$, and there are framed variants $\text{Def}'_{Q,\square}$, $R'_{Q,\square}$ and a homomorphism $R_{loc} \rightarrow R'_{Q,\square}$.

Let t, t' denote the group determinants (in the sense of [Che14]) of G_K over \mathcal{O} associated to the tensor products $(r \otimes s)|_{G_K} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota}$ and $(r' \otimes s)|_{G_K} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota}$, respectively, and let \bar{t} denote their common reduction modulo (ϖ) . Let $a < b$ be integers such that the Hodge–Tate weights of $(r \otimes s)|_{G_K} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota}$ all lie in the interval $[a, b]$. We write $P \in \mathcal{C}_O$ for the quotient R_S of $R_{t,S}^{[a,b]}$ introduced in [NT, §2.19] following [WWE19]. Informally, P represents the functor of conjugate self-dual group determinants of $G_{K,S}$ lifting \bar{t} which are semi-stable with Hodge–Tate weights in the interval $[a, b]$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_O$ be Artinian, let $v \in S_p$, and let $\tilde{r}_A : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$ be a lift of \bar{r} of determinant $\epsilon^{-1}|_{G_{F_v}}$ such that the associated homomorphism $R_v^\square \rightarrow A$ factors through R_v . View $A^{2r} \cong A^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}^r$ as an $A[G_{F_v}]$ -module via the tensor product representation $\tilde{r}_A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} s|_{G_{K_{\bar{v}}}} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota}$. Then A^{2r} is isomorphic, as $\mathbf{Z}_p[G_{F_v}]$ -module, to a subquotient of a lattice in a semistable $\mathbf{Q}_p[G_{F_v}]$ -module with all Hodge–Tate weights in the interval $[a, b]$.*

Proof. In the potentially crystalline case, we can employ essentially the same argument as in the proof of [NT21b, Lemma 2.2] – A^2 is isomorphic, as $\mathbf{Z}_p[G_{F_v}]$ -module, to a subquotient of a lattice in a semistable (even crystalline) $\mathbf{Q}_p[G_{F_v}]$ -representation with Hodge–Tate weights in $\{0, 1\}$. It follows that the same is true of $A^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} s|_{G_{K_{\bar{v}}}} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota}$. In the semistable case, the same argument applies, provided we can show that A^2 is isomorphic, as $\mathbf{Z}_p[G_{F_v}]$ -module, to a subquotient of a lattice in a semistable $\mathbf{Q}_p[G_{F_v}]$ -representation with Hodge–Tate weights in $\{0, 1\}$. An additional argument is required in this case since the local lifting ring R_v is defined in a different way.

Let R_v^\square denote the ring representing the functor of all lifts $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ of determinant ϵ^{-1} , and let R'_v denote the quotient of R_v^\square such that a map $R_v^\square \rightarrow B$ with $B \in \mathcal{C}_O$ Artinian factors through R'_v if and only if B^2 is isomorphic, as $\mathbf{Z}_p[G_{F_v}]$ -module, to a subquotient of a lattice in a semistable $\mathbf{Q}_p[G_{F_v}]$ -representation with Hodge–Tate weights in $\{0, 1\}$ (cf. [WWE19, §5.3]). We need to show that the map $R_v^\square \rightarrow R_v$ factors through R'_v . The ring R_v is reduced and the maximal ideals of $R_v[1/p]$ are dense in $\text{Spec } R_v$ so it is enough to show that if $R_v^\square \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ factors through R_v then it factors through R'_v . It follows from [Sno18, Proposition 4.3.1] that any such homomorphism corresponds to a lifting $\tilde{r} : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ of $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ such that $\tilde{r} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is an extension of ϵ^{-1} by the trivial character. In particular, any such extension is semistable. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.3. *The assignment $\tilde{r} \mapsto (\tilde{r} \otimes s)|_{G_K} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota}$ determines a morphism $P \rightarrow R$ in \mathcal{C}_O . In particular, t, t' determine homomorphisms $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. This follows from the definitions and Lemma 5.2. \square

In this paper we call a Taylor–Wiles datum of level $N \geq 1$ a tuple

$$(Q, \tilde{Q}, (\alpha_v, \beta_v)_{v \in Q}, (\gamma_{v,1}, \dots, \gamma_{v,r})_{v \in Q})$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- Q is a finite set of places of F , split in K , such that for each $v \in Q$, $q_v \equiv 1 \pmod{p^N}$.
- For each $v \in Q$, we have fixed a factorisation $v = \tilde{v}\tilde{v}^c$ in K , and $\tilde{Q} = \{\tilde{v} \mid v \in Q\}$.
- For each $v \in Q$, $(\bar{\tau} \otimes \bar{s})(\text{Frob}_{\tilde{v}})$ has $2r$ distinct eigenvalues, and $\alpha_v, \beta_v \in k$ are the eigenvalues of $\bar{\tau}(\text{Frob}_{\tilde{v}})$, while $\gamma_{v,1}, \dots, \gamma_{v,r} \in k$ are the eigenvalues of $(\bar{s}|_{G_K} \otimes \bar{\tau}_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r,\iota}})(\text{Frob}_{\tilde{v}})$.

Note that this definition differs to the one given in [NT21b, §2], reflecting our interest here in tensor products (as opposed to symmetric powers). If Q is a Taylor–Wiles datum then R_Q has a natural structure of $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -algebra, where $\Delta_Q = \prod_{v \in Q} k(v)^\times(p)$, with the property that the natural map $R_Q \rightarrow R$ factors through an isomorphism $R_Q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]} \mathcal{O}$.

We write $P_Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ for the quotient of the quotient $R_{S \cup Q}$ of $R_{\tilde{t}, S \cup Q}^{[a,b]}$ introduced in [NT, §2.19] corresponding to pseudodeformations \tilde{t} of \bar{t} satisfying the following additional conditions:

- For each $v \in Q$, $\tilde{t}|_{G_{K_{\tilde{v}}}}$ factors through the maximal Hausdorff abelian quotient $G_{K_{\tilde{v}}} \rightarrow G_{K_{\tilde{v}}}^{ab}$. As in [NT21b, pg. 125], there are characters $\chi_{v,1}, \dots, \chi_{v,n}$ of $W_{K_{\tilde{v}}}$ such that $\tilde{t}|_{G_{K_{\tilde{v}}}}$ is the pseudocharacter associated to $\chi_{v,1} \oplus \dots \oplus \chi_{v,n}$, and such that each character $\bar{\chi}_{v,i}$ is unramified, satisfying $\bar{\chi}_{v,i} = \alpha_v \gamma_{v,i}$ if $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\bar{\chi}_{v,i} = \beta_v \gamma_{v,i-r}$ if $r+1 \leq i \leq n$. (The characters $\chi_{v,1}, \dots, \chi_{v,n}$ are then uniquely determined.)

The characters $\chi_{v,i}|_{I_{K_{\tilde{v}}}} \circ \text{Art}_{K_{\tilde{v}}}$ ($v \in Q, 1 \leq i \leq n$) collectively endow P_Q with the structure of a $\mathcal{O}[\Delta'_Q]$ -algebra, where $\Delta'_Q = (\Delta_Q)^n$. Tensor product with $s|_{G_K} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r,\iota}}$ determines a morphism $P_Q \rightarrow R_Q$ of \mathcal{O} -algebras.

We now introduce spaces of automorphic forms. Let $D, \mathcal{O}_D, U, H_D(U), U_0(Q), U_1(Q; N), \mathbf{T}_{D, \Sigma \cup Q}^{univ}, \mathbf{T}_{D, \Sigma \cup Q}^{univ, Q}$ be exactly as defined in [NT21b]. Thus in particular D is a quaternion algebra over F ramified exactly at $\Sigma \cup S_\infty$. There is a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_D \subset \mathbf{T}_{D, \Sigma}^{univ}$ of residue field k and with the following properties:

- If $v \notin S$, then $\det(X - \bar{\tau}(\text{Frob}_v))$ equals $X^2 - T_v^{(1)}X + q_v T_v^{(2)} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_D}$.
- If $v \in S_p - \Sigma_p$ and $r|_{G_{F_v}}$ is non-ordinary, then $T_v^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{m}_D$. If $v \in S_p - \Sigma_p$ and $r|_{G_{F_v}}$ is ordinary, then $T_v^{(1)} - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}_D$. In either case, $T_v^{(2)} - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}_D$.

If $(Q, \tilde{Q}, (\alpha_v, \beta_v)_{v \in Q}, (\gamma_{v,1}, \dots, \gamma_{v,r})_{v \in Q})$ is a Taylor–Wiles datum then we write $\mathfrak{m}_{D,Q} \subset \mathbf{T}_{D, \Sigma \cup Q}^{univ, Q}$ generated by $\mathfrak{m}_D \cap \mathbf{T}_{D, \Sigma \cup Q}^{univ}$ and the elements $U_{\tilde{v}}^{(1)} - \alpha_v$ ($v \in Q$).

If

$$\chi : F^\times \backslash (\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)^\times / \det U_1(Q; N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$$

is a quadratic character and $f \in H_D(U_1(Q; N))$, then we define $f \otimes \chi \in H_D(U_1(Q; N))$ by the formula $(f \otimes \chi)(g) = \chi(\det(g))f(g)$. We observe that if $p = 2$ and $f \in H_D(U_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{D,Q}}$ then $f \otimes \chi \in H_D(U_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{D,Q}}$.

Let $m_D \geq 0$ denote the p -adic valuation of the least common multiple of the exponents of the Sylow p -subgroups of the finite groups $F^\times \backslash (U(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)^\times \cap t^{-1}D^\times t)$, for $t \in (D \otimes_F \mathbf{A}_F^\infty)^\times$ (cf. [KW09, §7.2]).

Proposition 5.4. *Let $N \geq 1$ and let*

$$(Q, \tilde{Q}, (\alpha_v, \beta_v)_{v \in Q}, (\gamma_{v,1}, \dots, \gamma_{v,r})_{v \in Q})$$

be a Taylor–Wiles datum of level $N + m_D$. Then:

- (1) *The maximal ideals \mathfrak{m}_D and $\mathfrak{m}_{D,Q}$ are in the support of $H_D(U_0)$ and $H_D(U_0(Q))$, respectively.*
- (2) *$H_D(U_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{D,Q}}$ is a $\mathbf{T}_{D, \Sigma \cup Q}^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q/(p^N)]$ -module free as $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q/(p^N)]$ -module, and there is an isomorphism*

$$H_D(U_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{D,Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q/(p^N)]} \mathcal{O} \cong H_D(U_0)_{\mathfrak{m}_D}$$

of $\mathbf{T}_{D, \Sigma \cup Q}^{\text{univ}}$ -modules.

- (3) *There exists a structure on $H_D(U_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{D,Q}}$ of R_Q -module such that for any representative r_Q^{univ} of the universal deformation of \bar{r} and for any finite place $v \notin S \cup Q$ of F , $\text{tr } r_Q^{\text{univ}}(\text{Frob}_v)$ acts as $T_v^{(1)}$ and $\det r_Q^{\text{univ}}(\text{Frob}_v)$ acts as $q_v T_v^{(2)}$. Moreover, the $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -module structure induced by the map $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q] \rightarrow R_Q$ agrees with the one in the second part of the lemma.*

Proof. This is essentially the same as [NT21b, Proposition 2.3] (and is exactly the same when $r|_{G_{F_v}}$ is non-ordinary for each $v \in S_p$, and $\Sigma_p = \emptyset$). We explain what is different when there are places $v \in S_p$ such that either $r|_{G_{F_v}}$ is crystalline ordinary or $v \in \Sigma_p$. The two things to check are that \mathfrak{m}_D occurs in the support of $H_D(U_0)$ and that any automorphic representation occurring in $H_D(U_0)_{\mathfrak{m}_D}$ gives rise to a lifting of \bar{r} which is “of type R_v ” (the analysis of the extra structures determined by the Taylor–Wiles datum is unchanged). If $r|_{G_{F_v}}$ is crystalline ordinary then the trace of Frobenius on $\text{WD}(r|_{G_{F_v}})$ is a p -adic unit which is congruent to 1 mod $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, because we assume $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is the trivial representation. Thus the condition $T_v - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}_D$ is the right one to ensure \mathfrak{m}_D occurs in the support of $H_D(U_0)$.

Now suppose that π_1 is an automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ whose Jacquet–Langlands transfer to D contributes to $H_D(U_0)_{\mathfrak{m}_D}$. We need to check that if $v \in S_p$ and $r|_{G_{F_v}}$ is crystalline ordinary, then $r_{\pi_1, \iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is crystalline ordinary; and that if $v \in \Sigma_p$, then $r_{\pi_1, \iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is an extension of ϵ^{-1} by the trivial character. In the first instance, $\iota^{-1}\pi_{1,v}$ is unramified and the eigenvalue of T_v is a p -adic unit. If $v \in \Sigma_p$, then $\pi_{1,v}$ is the Steinberg representation (not just a twist of the Steinberg representation – recall that by definition, $U_{0,v} = (D \otimes_F F_v)^\times$). In either case, the desired result follows from local-global compatibility. \square

Similarly, let $G, \iota_w, V_0, H_G(V_0)$ be as defined in [NT21b]. Thus G is a definite unitary group of rank $2r$ over F , split by K , and with a reductive model over \mathcal{O}_F , and if w is a place of K split over the place v of F then ι_w is an isomorphism $G_{\mathcal{O}_{F_v}} \rightarrow \text{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_w}/\mathcal{O}_{F_v}} \text{GL}_n$. If $v \in \Sigma$ then $V_{0,v}$ is an Iwahori subgroup of $G(F_v)$, and if $v \notin \Sigma$ then $V_{0,v} = G(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})$.

If $(Q, \tilde{Q}, (\alpha_v, \beta_v)_{v \in Q}, (\gamma_{v,1}, \dots, \gamma_{v,r})_{v \in Q})$ is a Taylor–Wiles datum of level $N \geq 1$, then we define $V_1(Q; N) = \prod_v V_1(Q; N)_v \subset V_0(Q) = \prod_v V_0(Q)_v$ by $V_1(Q; N)_v = V_0(Q)_v = V_{0,v}$ if $v \notin Q$, and $V_0(Q)_v = \iota_v^{-1} \text{Iw}_{\tilde{v}}$ and

$$V_1(Q; N)_v = \iota_v^{-1} \{ (a_{i,j}) \in \text{Iw}_{\tilde{v}} \mid a_{i,i} \mapsto 1 \in k(\tilde{v})^\times (p)/(p^N) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \}$$

if $v \in Q$. Thus $V_1(Q; N) \subset V_0(Q, N)$ is an open normal subgroup with quotient

$$V_0(Q; N)/V_1(Q; N) \cong \Delta'_Q/(p^N).$$

Note that the level structure $V_1(Q; N)$ is defined differently than in [NT21b]; in fact, it coincides with the level structure denoted $V'_1(Q; N)$ in the proof of [NT21b, Proposition 2.5].

We write $\mathbf{T}_{G, S \cup Q}^{univ}$ for the Hecke algebra introduced in [NT21b] (polynomial algebra over \mathcal{O} in the unramified Hecke operators $T_w^{(i)}$ at split places w of K prime to S). We define $\mathbf{T}_{G, S \cup Q}^{univ, Q} = \mathbf{T}_{G, S \cup Q}^{univ}[\{U_{\varpi_v}^i\}_{v \in Q}^{i=1, \dots, n}]$. There is a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_G \subset \mathbf{T}_{G, S}^{univ}$ of residue field k such that for all finite places w of K , split over F and not lying above S , $\bar{l}(X - \text{Frob}_v)$ equals $\sum_{i=0}^{2r} (-1)^i q_w^{i(i-1)/2} T_w^{(i)} X^{n-i} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_G}$. If

$$(Q, \tilde{Q}, (\alpha_v, \beta_v)_{v \in Q}, (\gamma_{v,1}, \dots, \gamma_{v,r})_{v \in Q})$$

is a Taylor–Wiles datum, then we write $\mathfrak{m}_{G, Q}$ for the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{T}_{G, S \cup Q}^{univ, Q}$ generated by $\mathfrak{m}_G \cap \mathbf{T}_{G, S \cup Q}^{univ}$ and the elements

$$U_{\varpi_v}^i - q_v^{i(1-i)/2} \alpha_v^i \prod_{j=1}^i \gamma_{v,j}$$

(if $1 \leq i \leq r$) and

$$U_{\varpi_v}^i - q_v^{i(1-i)/2} \alpha_v^r \beta_v^{i-r} \prod_{j=1}^{i-r} \gamma_{v,j}$$

(if $r+1 \leq i \leq n$).

The unitary group G comes with a determinant map $\det : G \rightarrow U_1$, where $U_1 = \ker(\mathbf{N}_{K/F} : \text{Res}_{K/F} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m)$. If

$$\theta : U_1(F) \backslash U_1(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty) / \det V_1(Q; N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$$

is a character and $f \in H_G(V_1(Q; N))$ then we define $f \otimes \theta = H_G(V_1(Q; N))$ by the formula $(f \otimes \theta)(g) = \theta(\det(g))f(g)$. If $f \in H_G(v_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{G, Q}}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ is trivial, then $f \otimes \theta \in H_G(V_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{G, Q}}$.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that $p = 2$ and that*

$$\chi : F^\times \backslash (\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)^\times / \det U_1(Q; N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$$

is a quadratic character. Then there exists a unique quadratic character

$$\theta_\chi : U_1(F) \backslash U_1(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty) / \det V_1(Q; N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$$

such that for all $z \in (\mathbf{A}_K^\infty)^\times$, we have $\theta_\chi(z/z^c) = \chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{K/F}(z)$.

Proof. The argument used to prove [NT21b, Lemma 2.4] shows that there is a unique quadratic character $\theta_\chi : U_1(F) \backslash U_1(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $\theta_\chi(z/z^c) = \chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{K/F}(z)$. We need to check that θ_χ is trivial on $\det V_1(Q; N)$. Note that the level structure $V_1(Q; N)_v$ is defined slightly differently here to in [NT21b] if $v \in Q$. Unwinding the definitions, we see that we need to check that if $v \in Q$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{K_v}^\times$ satisfies $a_i \mapsto 1 \in k(v)^\times / (2^N)$, then $\chi(a_1 \dots a_n) = 1$. This follows from the fact that χ is trivial on $\det U_1(Q; N)_v$, which contains the pre-image of $1 \in k(v)^\times / (2^N)$. \square

We remark that any quadratic character $\chi : F^\times \backslash (\mathbf{A}_F^\times)^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ that is unramified away from Q is in fact trivial on $\det U_1(Q; N)$ (because if $v \in Q$ then $\det U_1(Q; N)_v \subset (\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times)^2$).

Let $m_G \geq 0$ denote the p -adic valuation of the least common multiple of the exponents of the Sylow p -subgroups of the finite groups $G(F) \cap tV_0 t^{-1}$ ($t \in G(\mathbf{A}_F^\times)$). The following is our analogue of [NT21b, Proposition 2.5]:

Proposition 5.6. *Let $N \geq 1$ and let*

$$(Q, \tilde{Q}, (\alpha_v, \beta_v)_{v \in Q}, (\gamma_{v,1}, \dots, \gamma_{v,r})_{v \in Q})$$

be a Taylor–Wiles datum of level $N + m_G$. Then:

- (1) *The maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_G, \mathfrak{m}_{G,Q}$ are in the support of $H_G(V_0)$ and $H_G(V_0(Q))$, respectively.*
- (2) *$H_G(V_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{G,Q}}$ is a $\mathbf{T}_{G, S \cup Q}^{univ} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[\Delta'_Q / (p^N)]$ -module free as $\mathcal{O}[\Delta'_Q / (p^N)]$ -module, and there is an isomorphism $H_G(V_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{G,Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta'_Q / (p^N)]} \mathcal{O} \cong H_G(V_0)_{\mathfrak{m}_G}$ of $\mathbf{T}_{G, S \cup Q}^{univ}$ -modules.*
- (3) *There exists a structure on $H_G(V_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{G,Q}}$ of P_Q -module such that if $\Lambda_i^{univ} : G_K \rightarrow P_Q$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are the coefficients of the universal characteristic polynomial, then for any finite place w of K which is split over a place $v \notin S \cup Q$ of F , $\Lambda_i^{univ}(\text{Frob}_w)$ acts on $H_G(V_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{G,Q}}$ as $q_w^{i(i-1)/2} T_w^{(i)}$. Moreover, the $\mathcal{O}[\Delta'_Q]$ -module structure on $H_G(V_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{G,Q}}$ induced by the map $\mathcal{O}[\Delta'_Q] \rightarrow P_Q$ agrees with the one in the second part of the lemma.*

Proof. The statement is the same as that of [NT21b, Proposition 2.5], except that the level structure differs at places $v \in Q$. The exact statement we need here appears as an intermediate result in the proof of *loc. cit.*. \square

Lemma 5.7. *The subgroup $((r \otimes s)|_{G_K} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota})(G_{K(\zeta_{p^\infty})}) \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ is enormous, in the sense of [NT, Definition 2.27].*

Proof. Let $G \subset \text{GL}_n$ denote the Zariski closure of the image of $(r \otimes s)|_{G_K} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota}$, and let G^0 denotes its identity component. According to [NT, Lemma 2.33], it suffices to know that the derived subgroup G^1 of G^0 contains regular semisimple elements and acts absolutely irreducibly. Indeed, writing $\rho = ((r \otimes s)|_{G_K} \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, \iota})$, we see that G^1 is contained in the Zariski closure of $\rho(G_{K(\zeta_{p^\infty})})$.

The group G^0 acts (absolutely) irreducibly because ρ is strongly irreducible. In particular, its centre is contained in \mathbf{G}_m (i.e. the subgroup of GL_n consisting of scalar matrices), and we therefore have either $G^0 = G^1$ or $G^0 = G^1 \mathbf{G}_m$. In either case we see that G^0 and G^1 have the same invariant subspaces, and so G^1 acts irreducibly.

Take $v \in S_p$ and fix an embedding $j : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \widehat{K}_{\bar{v}}$. According to [Pat19, Lemma 2.2.5, Lemma 2.2.7], $\text{Lie } G^0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p, j} \widehat{K}_{\bar{v}}$ contains an element $\Theta_{\rho, j}$ (the Sen operator) which has n distinct eigenvalues when considered in $\text{Lie } \text{GL}_n \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p, j} \widehat{K}_{\bar{v}}$ (here we use the fact that $\rho|_{G_{K_{\bar{v}}}}$ is Hodge–Tate regular). The condition of having n distinct eigenvalues is Zariski open, so we see that $\text{Lie } G^0$ contains elements of $\text{Lie } \text{GL}_n$ with n distinct eigenvalues. This implies that G^0 contains elements of GL_n with n distinct eigenvalues. Indeed, let T be a maximal torus of G^0 . Every semisimple

element of $\text{Lie } G^0$ is G^0 -conjugate to an element of $\text{Lie } T$, so it's enough to know that if $\text{Lie } T$ contains elements of $\text{Lie } \text{GL}_n$ with n distinct eigenvalues, then T contains elements of GL_n with n distinct eigenvalues. This is true.

Since $G^0 \mathbf{G}_m = G^1 \mathbf{G}_m$, we see that G^0 contains elements with n distinct eigenvalues if and only if G^1 does. This completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 5.1. As in [NT21b], we treat the cases $p > 2$ and $p = 2$ separately. Define $H_G = H_G(V_0)_{\mathfrak{m}_G}$ and $H_D = H_D(U_0)_{\mathfrak{m}_D}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1, case $p > 2$. First we state a version of [NT21b, Proposition 2.6].

Proposition 5.8. *We can find an integer $q \geq 0$ with the following property: let*

$$W_\infty = \mathcal{O}[[Y_1, \dots, Y_q, Z_1, \dots, Z_{4|S \cup S_\infty| - 1}]]$$

and

$$W'_\infty = \mathcal{O}[[Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_q^{(i)}]_{1 \leq i \leq n}, Z_1, \dots, Z_{4|S \cup S_\infty| - 1}]].$$

Then we can find the following data:

- (1) A complete Noetherian local W'_∞ -algebra P_∞ and a complete Noetherian local W_∞ -algebra R_∞ , equipped with isomorphisms $P_\infty \otimes_{W'_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong P$ and $R_\infty \otimes_{W_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong R$ in $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O}$.
- (2) A surjection $R_{loc}[[X_1, \dots, X_g]] \rightarrow R_\infty$ in $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O}$, where $g = q + |S \cup S_\infty| - 1$.
- (3) A P_∞ -module $H_{G,\infty}$ and an R_∞ -module $H_{D,\infty}$, finite free over W'_∞ and W_∞ respectively, complete with isomorphisms $H_{G,\infty} \otimes_{W'_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong H_G$ (as P -module) and $H_{D,\infty} \otimes_{W_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong H_D$ (as R -module).
- (4) A morphism $P_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty$ of \mathcal{O} -algebras making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_\infty & \longrightarrow & R_\infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & R \end{array}$$

commute.

The proof of this Proposition is essentially identical to that of [NT21b, Proposition 2.6], we have simply made a different (more standard) choice for the unitary group level structures at the Taylor–Wiles places, which means that the patched module $H_{G,\infty}$ lives over the bigger power series algebra W'_∞ . In *loc. cit.* we choose level structures so that $H_{G,\infty}$ would live over the same ring W_∞ as $H_{D,\infty}$, but we subsequently noticed that this is unnecessary.

Let $\mathfrak{p}' \subset P$ denote the kernel of the morphism $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ associated to t' . It is enough to show that \mathfrak{p}' is in the support of H_G as P -module. Equivalently, we must show that if \mathfrak{p}'_∞ is the pre-image of \mathfrak{p}' under the morphism $P_\infty \rightarrow P$, then \mathfrak{p}'_∞ is in the support of $H_{G,\infty}$ as P_∞ -module.

The P_∞ -module $H_{G,\infty}$ is a Cohen–Macaulay module, since $H_{G,\infty}$ is a finite free W'_∞ -module. It follows that each irreducible component of $\text{Supp}_{P_\infty} H_{G,\infty}$ has dimension $qn + 4|S \cup S_\infty|$. Similarly, we see that each irreducible component of $\text{Supp}_{R_\infty} H_{D,\infty}$ has dimension $q + 4|S \cup S_\infty|$. Since R_∞ is a quotient of $R_{loc}[[X_1, \dots, X_g]]$, a domain of Krull dimension $q + 4|S \cup S_\infty|$, we see that $R_{loc}[[X_1, \dots, X_g]] \rightarrow R_\infty$ is an isomorphism, that R_∞ is a domain, and that $H_{D,\infty}$ is a faithful R_∞ -module.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset P$ denote the kernel of the morphism $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ associated to t , and let \mathfrak{p}_∞ denote the pre-image of \mathfrak{p} under the morphism $P_\infty \rightarrow P$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_\infty \in \text{Supp}_{P_\infty} H_{G,\infty}$, by the automorphy hypothesis, and therefore $\dim(P_{\infty,(\mathfrak{p}_\infty)}) \geq qn + 4|S \cup S_\infty| - 1$. We claim that the Zariski tangent space to the local ring $P_{\infty,(\mathfrak{p}_\infty)}$ has dimension at most $qn + 4|S \cup S_\infty| - 1$. Indeed, it suffices to show that the quotient $P_{\infty,(\mathfrak{p}_\infty)} / ((Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_q^{(i)})_{1 \leq i \leq n}, Z_1, \dots, Z_{4|S \cup S_\infty| - 1}) = P_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ equals its residue field E . This follows from the vanishing of the adjoint Bloch–Kato Selmer group of $(r \otimes s)|_{G_K \otimes r_{X^{-1}Y^{1-r}, t}}$ (i.e. from [NT, Theorem 4.32], which applies thanks to Lemma 5.7). We deduce that $P_{\infty,(\mathfrak{p}_\infty)}$ is a regular local ring of dimension $qn + 4|S \cup S_\infty| - 1$, so there is a unique irreducible component Z of $\text{Spec } P_\infty$ containing the point \mathfrak{p}_∞ , which has dimension $qn + 4|S \cup S_\infty|$ and is contained in $\text{Supp}_{P_\infty} H_{G,\infty}$.

Since $\text{Spec } R_\infty$ is irreducible and the image of the morphism $\text{Spec } R_\infty \rightarrow \text{Spec } P_\infty$ contains \mathfrak{p}_∞ , we find that the morphism $\text{Spec } R_\infty \rightarrow \text{Spec } P_\infty$ factors through Z . In particular, \mathfrak{p}'_∞ lies in Z , hence in $\text{Supp}_{P_\infty} H_{G,\infty}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1 for $p > 2$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1, case $p = 2$. As on [NT21b, p. 136], we follow [KW09] in writing $\text{Sp}_A : \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ for the functor represented by an object $A \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O}$, and $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m : \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Groups}$ for the functor $A \mapsto \ker(A^\times \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{m}_A)^\times)$. We can now state a version of [NT21b, Proposition 2.8].

Proposition 5.9. *We can find an integer $q \geq |S \cup S_\infty|$ with the following property: let*

$$W_\infty = \mathcal{O}[[Y_1, \dots, Y_q, Z_1, \dots, Z_{4|S \cup S_\infty| - 1}]],$$

$$W'_\infty = \mathcal{O}[[Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_q^{(i)})_{1 \leq i \leq n}, Z_1, \dots, Z_{4|S \cup S_\infty| - 1}]],$$

and let $\gamma = 2 - |S \cup S_\infty| + q$. Then we can find the following data:

- (1) A complete Noetherian local W'_∞ -algebra P_∞ and a complete Noetherian local W_∞ -algebra R_∞ , equipped with isomorphisms $P_\infty \otimes_{W'_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong P$ and $R_\infty \otimes_{W_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong R$ in $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O}$.
- (2) A complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebra R'_∞ , together with surjections $R'_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty$ and $R_{\text{loc}}[[X_1, \dots, X_g]] \rightarrow R_\infty$ in $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O}$, where $g = 2q + 1$.
- (3) A P_∞ -module $H_{G,\infty}$ and an R_∞ -module $H_{D,\infty}$, finite free over W'_∞ and W_∞ respectively, complete with isomorphisms $H_{G,\infty} \otimes_{W'_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong H_G$ (as P -module) and $H_{D,\infty} \otimes_{W_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong H_D$ (as R -module).
- (4) A morphism $P_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty$ of \mathcal{O} -algebras making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_\infty & \longrightarrow & R_\infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & R \end{array}$$

commute.

- (5) A free action of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma$ on $\text{Sp}_{R'_\infty}$ and a $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma$ -equivariant morphism $\delta : \text{Sp}_{R'_\infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma$ acts on itself by the square of the standard action.

These objects have the following additional properties:

- (6) We have $\delta^{-1}(1) = \text{Sp}_{R_\infty}$. The induced action of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma[2](\mathcal{O})$ on R_∞ lifts to $H_{D,\infty}$.

- (7) *There exists an action of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma[2]$ on Sp_{P_∞} such that the morphism $\mathrm{Sp}_{R_\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{P_\infty}$ is $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma[2]$ -equivariant, and the induced action of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma[2](\mathcal{O})$ on P_∞ lifts to $H_{G,\infty}$.*

The proof of this Proposition is very similar to that of [NT21b, Proposition 2.8]. We briefly describe the necessary modifications. First, we have chosen a different (finer) level-structure for G at Taylor–Wiles places (as in the case $p > 2$ treated above). This necessitates the introduction of the ring W'_∞ but does not require any other modifications to the proof. Second, we need to adapt [NT21b, Lemma 2.9] (existence of Taylor–Wiles data), which is itself a strengthening of [KW09, Lemma 5.10]. Looking at the proof of *loc. cit.*, we see that we need to be able to find $g \in G_{K,\widetilde{F}_n}$ such that $(\overline{r} \otimes \overline{s})(g)$ has $2r$ distinct eigenvalues, where \widetilde{F}_n is a certain Kummer extension of $F(\zeta_{2^n})$. The existence of such elements follows from assumption (5) of Theorem 5.1. The third thing we need to do is explain how, if

$$(Q_N, \widetilde{Q}_N, (\alpha_v, \beta_v)_{v \in Q_N}, (\gamma_{v,1}, \dots, \gamma_{v,r})_{v \in Q_N})$$

is a Taylor–Wiles datum, the group functor $\check{\Theta}_{Q_N}$ should act on $\mathrm{Sp}_{R'_N}$, and how $\check{\Theta}_{Q_N}[2]$ should act on P_N and $\check{\Theta}_{Q_N}[2](\mathcal{O})$ should act on $H_G(V_1(Q; N))_{\mathfrak{m}_{G, Q_N}}$. We take the same actions as the one defined on [NT21b, p. 138] in the case that $n - 1$ is odd (twisting by quadratic characters).

We now show how to use Proposition 5.9 to complete the proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}' \subset P$ denote the kernels of the morphism $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ associated to t, t' , respectively, and let $\mathfrak{p}_\infty, \mathfrak{p}'_\infty$ be the pre-images of $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'$ under the morphism $P_\infty \rightarrow P$. We will show that \mathfrak{p}'_∞ is in the support of $H_{G,\infty}$ as P_∞ -module. As in the case $p > 2$, we see that $H_{G,\infty}$ is a Cohen–Macaulay module, that $P_{\infty,(\mathfrak{p}_\infty)}$ is a regular local ring of dimension $\dim W'_\infty - 1$, and that there is a unique irreducible component Z of $\mathrm{Spec} P_\infty$ containing the point \mathfrak{p}_∞ , which has dimension $qn + 4|S \cup S_\infty|$ and is contained in $\mathrm{Supp}_{P_\infty} H_{G,\infty}$.

An identical argument to the one in the first paragraph of [NT21b, p. 137] shows that $\mathrm{Supp}_{R_\infty} H_{D,\infty} = \mathrm{Spec} R_\infty$ and that $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma[2](\mathcal{O})$ acts transitively on the set of irreducible components of $\mathrm{Spec} R_\infty$. Let $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}' \in \mathrm{Spec} R$ be the points associated to r, r' , respectively, and let $\mathfrak{r}_\infty, \mathfrak{r}'_\infty \in \mathrm{Spec} R_\infty$ be their respective images. Then there is a point $\mathfrak{r}''_\infty \in \mathrm{Spec} R_\infty$ which is in the same irreducible component of $\mathrm{Spec} R_\infty$ as \mathfrak{r}_∞ and which is in the $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma[2](\mathcal{O})$ -orbit of \mathfrak{r}'_∞ . Let $\mathfrak{p}''_\infty \in \mathrm{Spec} P_\infty$ denote the image of \mathfrak{r}''_∞ . By local irreducibility of $\mathrm{Spec} P_\infty$ at \mathfrak{p}_∞ , we find that \mathfrak{p}''_∞ and \mathfrak{p}_∞ lie on a common irreducible component of $\mathrm{Spec} P_\infty$, namely Z , and therefore that $\mathfrak{p}''_\infty \in \mathrm{Supp}_{P_\infty} H_{G,\infty}$. Since the map $\mathrm{Spec} R_\infty \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} P_\infty$ is $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m^\gamma[2](\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant and $\mathrm{Supp}_{P_\infty} H_{G,\infty}$ is invariant under the action of this group, we find that $\mathfrak{p}'_\infty \in \mathrm{Supp}_{P_\infty} H_{G,\infty}$, as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1 in the remaining case $p = 2$. \square

6. AUTOMORPHY OF AN UNTWISTED TENSOR PRODUCT

Let F be a totally real number field, let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, let $0 < r < p$ be an integer, let $n = 2r$, let $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an isomorphism, and let π be a regular algebraic, cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\det r_{\pi,\iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$.

- (2) π is of weight 0 and for each place $v|p$, π_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation; in particular, π is ι -ordinary.
- (3) There exists a place v_0 of F such that $q_{v_0} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and π_{v_0} is tamely dihedral of order p .
- (4) $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $p^a > \max(4r, 5, p^{a_0(p)})$.
- (5) For each place $v \nmid p$ of F , π_v is potentially unramified.

Increasing a , and replacing $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}$ by a conjugate, we can (and do) assume that the image of $\mathrm{Proj} \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}$ is equal either to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$. The integer $a_0(p)$ is defined in the statement of Lemma 4.1. Since $a_0(p) \geq 3$ by definition, we have $a > 3$.

Lemma 6.1. *There exists a place $v \nmid p$ of F at which π is unramified such that if $\alpha_v, \beta_v \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ are the eigenvalues of $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ (with multiplicity), then $(\alpha_v/\beta_v)^i \neq q_v \pmod{p}$ for each $i = 0, \dots, r$. In particular, $H^2(F_v, \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota})^{ss}) = 0$.*

Proof. The image of the homomorphism $\mathrm{Proj} \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota} \times \epsilon : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a}) \times \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ contains $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a}) \times \{1\}$ and an element of the form $(h, -1)$ (image of complex conjugation), where $h^2 = 1$, $h \neq 1$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, the first part of the lemma will be proved if we can find $g \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ such that if $\alpha_v/\beta_v \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ is the eigenvalue ratio of gh , then α_v/β_v has order greater than $2r$. We consider elements of the form gh , where g commutes with h . These are contained in a Cartan subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ which is cyclic of order $p^a - 1$ or $p^a + 1$. The intersection of this cyclic group with $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ has index 2. A suitable choice of g exists provided that $(p^a - 1)/2 > 2r$, and this is true (we assume that $p^a > 4r$, $0 < r < p$, and $a > 3$).

The final sentence follows from Tate duality and existence of an isomorphism

$$(\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota})^{ss} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^r \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota} \oplus \det \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-2} \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}$$

(showing in particular that the eigenvalues of $(\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota})^{ss}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ are among $\alpha_v^{r-i} \beta_v^i$ ($i = 0, \dots, r$)). \square

We fix a choice of place v_a of F satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists a RAESDC automorphic representation σ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) σ is ι -ordinary and for each embedding $\tau : F \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r_{\sigma,\iota}) = \{0, 2\}$.
- (2) We have $\det r_{\sigma,\iota} = \epsilon^{-2}\omega$, where ω denotes the Teichmüller lift of $\epsilon \pmod{p}$.
- (3) There is an isomorphism $\bar{\tau}_{\sigma,\iota} \cong \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}$.
- (4) σ_{v_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- (5) For each place $v \nmid p$ of F such that $v \neq v_0$, $r_{\sigma,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}} \sim r_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$.

Proof. This can be proved by a standard argument, along the lines of [Gee11, Proposition 3.1.7]. We describe the salient details. First, we need to know the existence, for each $v \in S_p$, of a lift $\tilde{r}_v : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ of $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ which is ordinary of Hodge–Tate weights $\{0, 2\}$ and satisfies $\det \tilde{r}_v|_{G_{F_v}} = \epsilon^{-2}\omega$. This can be proved using Hida theory, as developed for Hilbert modular forms in [Wil88]: put π into a Hida family, take a specialisation in the appropriate weight to yield a new RAESDC automorphic representation π' , and set $\tilde{r}_v = r_{\pi',\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$.

We can then consider an appropriate deformation ring associated to $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}$. A convenient reference for us is [BG19, §4], according to which we need only specify

the determinant of the lifts to be considered, a set of S of places of F where ramification is permitted, and for each $v \in S$ an irreducible component \mathcal{C}_v of an appropriate lifting ring (and therefore quotient R_v of the universal lifting ring with $\text{Spec } R_v = \mathcal{C}_v$). We take lifts of determinant $\epsilon^{-2}\omega$, S to be the set of places at which π is ramified, and the irreducible component \mathcal{C}_v as follows:

- If $v \in S$ and $v \nmid pv_0$ then \mathcal{C}_v is the unique irreducible component of R_v^\square containing the point determined by $r_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$.
- If $v|p$ then \mathcal{C}_v is any irreducible component of $R_v^{\square,\tau_v,\mathbf{v}_v,N=0}$ containing the lift \tilde{r}_v (here the notation $R_v^{\square,\tau_v,\mathbf{v}_v,N=0}$ is that of [BG19, Theorem 3.3.8], and τ_v, \mathbf{v}_v are the inertial type and Hodge type of \tilde{r}_v). An argument like the one in [Ger19, Lemma 3.10] shows that each $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -point of \mathcal{C}_v corresponds to an ordinary Galois representation.
- If $v = v_0$, then \mathcal{C}_{v_0} is any irreducible component of $R_{v_0}^{\square,1}$ where N is generically non-zero (and $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial inertial type). Note that $\overline{r}_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_{v_0}}}$ is reducible, unipotently ramified, and Frobenius lifts have eigenvalues with ratio $-1 \equiv q_{v_0} \pmod{p}$, so we can write down unipotently ramified lifts to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ by hand in order to see that \mathcal{C}_{v_0} exists.

We can now cite [BG19, Proposition 4.2.6] to conclude that the deformation ring R corresponding to this data has Krull dimension at least 1. We can then apply the Khare–Wintenberger method: [Tho12, Theorem 10.2] implies that R is a finite \mathcal{O} -algebra, therefore that $R[1/p]$ is non-zero, implying the existence of a lift $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ unramified outside S and with the prescribed local behaviour at places of S . An automorphy lifting theorem (for example, [Tho12, Theorem 9.1], valid over a CM field, which can be applied by first making a soluble base change) can then be applied to conclude that $\rho = r_{\sigma,\iota}$ for some RAESDC automorphic representation σ satisfying the requirements of the lemma. \square

The main result of this section is:

Theorem 6.3. *Let $0 < r < p$ be an integer and let $n = 2r$. Suppose that SP_{r-1} and SP_{r+1} hold. Then $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ is automorphic, associated to an ι -ordinary, RAESDC automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$.*

The rest of §6 will describe the proof of this theorem. We make some introductory remarks to orient the reader. First, the theorem is trivial if $r = 1$, so we assume for the remainder of §6 that $r > 1$. The arguments will be carried out with RACSDC twists of our RAESDC representations over CM extensions of F , a detail we suppress in these remarks. The representation $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ is Hodge–Tate regular. Lemma 3.2 shows that it is strongly irreducible, but it is residually reducible. The local behaviour of the representations π, σ at the place v_0 is chosen so that $(r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota})|_{G_{F_{v_0}}}$ is indecomposable; the existence of this place will be used later to ensure that the space of reducible deformations of the associated residual representation has low dimension. We remark that in [Tho15] a locally Steinberg place is used for a similar purpose. However, a tensor product of two representations can never be locally Steinberg, which is why we must use a different local condition here. As a consequence, we cannot directly appeal to the results of [Tho15, ANT20]. Instead, in order to use those results as an input, we make use of various different auxiliary deformation problems defined over extensions $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset F_3$ of F . The deformation problems will differ only in the local

conditions at places over v_0 (which will split completely in F_1). We indicate the nature of these deformation problems here:

- (1) \mathcal{S}_{F_1} will have a local deformation condition over v_0 corresponding to the representation $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ which we are interested in.
- (2) \mathcal{S}'_{F_1} will have a Steinberg-type local condition at places over v_0 . The problems \mathcal{S}_{F_1} and \mathcal{S}'_{F_1} will be congruent, in the sense that the special fibre of their deformation rings will have isomorphic reduced subschemes.
- (3) \mathcal{S}'_{F_2} will have a Steinberg-type local condition at the places over v_0 . We will moreover choose F_2 so that if $v|v_0$ is a place of F_2 then $q_v \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and the residual representation is trivial at v (the local Steinberg lifting ring is then the one considered in [Tay08, Tho15], where it is shown to be a domain).
- (4) \mathcal{S}_{F_3} will have a ‘‘unipotent-ramification’’ condition at places $v|v_0$ of F_3 . Any lift of type \mathcal{S}'_{F_2} or \mathcal{S}_{F_1} will have a restriction to F_3 of type \mathcal{S}_{F_3} .

The deformation problem \mathcal{S}'_{F_2} fits in to the framework of [Tho15, ANT20] and we can therefore prove finiteness over an Iwasawa algebra Λ of the corresponding deformation ring. From there we can deduce finiteness of \mathcal{S}'_{F_1} and (using the isomorphism of reduced special fibres) \mathcal{S}_{F_1} , and also show the existence of sufficiently many ‘generic’ one-dimensional characteristic p prime ideals in the deformation ring for \mathcal{S}_{F_1} (in particular, the associated Galois representations are absolutely irreducible). The methods and results of [Tho15, ANT20] will then be sufficient to prove modularity of $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$, first considering its restriction to F_3 and then applying soluble descent.

Now we give the details of the proof. Let M/F be the extension of $F(\zeta_p)$ cut out by $\bar{r}_{\pi,\iota}$. Let X_0 be a finite set of prime-to- p places of F at which π is unramified such that for each subfield $M/M'/F$ Galois over F of degree > 1 , there is an element of X_0 which does not split in M' , and such that $v_a \in X_0$. Let K_0/\mathbf{Q} be an imaginary quadratic field in which p and the residue characteristic of any element of $X_0 \cup \{v_0\}$ split. We fix a choice of place $u_0|p$ of K_0 .

Lemma 6.4. *We can find totally real extensions $F_2/F_1/F$, with F_2/F and F_1/F both soluble, and satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) F_1, F_2 are X_0 -split and the extension $K_1 = K_0 \cdot F_1/F_1$ is everywhere unramified. F_1/F has even degree.
- (2) For each place $v|p$ of F_1 , $\bar{r}_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_1,v}}$ is trivial, $\zeta_p \in F_{1,v}$, and $[F_{1,v} : \mathbf{Q}_p] > n(n+1)/2 + 1$. For each place $v \nmid pv_0$ of K_1 , $\text{BC}_{F_1/F}(\pi)_v$ is unramified. The place v_0 splits in F_1/F .
- (3) For each place $v|v_0$ of F_2 , $\text{BC}_{F_2/F}(\pi)_v$ is unramified and $\bar{r}_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_2,v}}$ is trivial, and if $p^N || (q_v - 1)$ then $p^N > n$. Each place $v|p$ of F_1 splits in F_2 .
- (4) Let T_{F_2} be the set of places of F_2 above v_0 . If d_{0,F_2} denotes the \mathbf{Z}_p -rank of $\ker(\Delta \rightarrow \Delta_0)^{c=-1}$, where Δ is the Galois group of the maximal abelian pro- p extension of $K_2 = K_0 \cdot F_2$ unramified outside p , and Δ_0 is the Galois group of the maximal T_{F_2} -split subextension of this extension, then $d_{0,F_2} > 1$ and for each place $v|p$ of F_2 , $[F_{2,v} : \mathbf{Q}_p] > 1$.
- (5) There exist everywhere unramified characters $X, Y : K_1^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_{K_1}^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ of type A_0 such that if $x = r_\iota(X)$, $y = r_\iota(Y)$, then $\text{HT}_\tau(x) = \text{HT}_\tau(y) = \{0\}$ if $\tau : K \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ induces the place u_0 and moreover $xx^c = \epsilon$, $yy^c = \omega$, and $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$.

Proof. We may construct characters $X_0, Y_0 : K_0^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_{K_0}^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ of type A_0 , unramified above the residue characteristic p_0 of v_0 and the residue characteristic of each element of X_0 , such that $\text{HT}_{u_0}(x_0) = \text{HT}_{u_0}(y_0) = \{0\}$ and $x_0 x_0^c = \epsilon$, $y_0 y_0^c = \omega$, and $\bar{x}_0 = \bar{y}_0$, by an application of [BLGGT14, Lemma A.2.5]. We then choose F_1/F to satisfy the first two points and moreover so that if X, Y denote the composites of X_0, Y_0 with \mathbf{N}_{K_1/K_0} , then X, Y are everywhere unramified. We assume moreover that F_1/F contains an abelian extension of some odd degree d in which v_0 splits.

We can choose $F_2 = F_1 \cdot F_3$ for an X_0 -split soluble extension such that for each place $v|v_0$ of F_3 , $F_{3,v}$ is sufficiently large. The condition on d_{0,F_2} then follows from class field theory using [Mai02, Proposition 19] (with the same argument as in the proof of [Tho15, Theorem 7.1]). \square

Let $\pi_1 = \text{BC}_{K_1/F}(\text{Sym}^r \sigma) \otimes XY^{-r} | \cdot |^{(r-1)/2}$, $\pi_2 = \text{BC}_{K_1/F}(\text{Sym}^{r-2} \sigma) \otimes X^{-1}Y^{2-r} | \cdot |^{(r-1)/2}$. Let $s = (r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota})|_{G_{K_1}} \otimes y^{1-r}$.

Lemma 6.5. (1) *There is an isomorphism $s^c \cong s^\vee \otimes \epsilon^{1-2r}$.*
 (2) *π_1, π_2 are cuspidal and conjugate self-dual, $\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2$ is regular algebraic and ι -ordinary, and there is an isomorphism*

$$\bar{r}_{\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2, \iota} \cong \bar{s}.$$

Proof. The first part is a routine calculation. For the second, we observe that since $\bar{r}_{\pi,\iota} \cong \bar{r}_{\sigma,\iota}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s} &\cong (\bar{r}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota})|_{G_{K_1}} \otimes \bar{y}^{1-r} \\ &\cong (\text{Sym}^r \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota})|_{G_{K_1}} \otimes \bar{x}^{1-r} \oplus (\text{Sym}^{r-2} \bar{r}_{\pi,\iota})|_{G_{K_1}} \otimes \bar{y}^{1-r} \epsilon^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Another routine calculation shows that π_1, π_2 are cuspidal, conjugate self-dual, and that $\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2$ is regular algebraic. We can apply [CT14, Lemma 2.6] to see that $\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2$ is moreover ι -ordinary. It remains to check the associated residual representation is as claimed. We have

$$r_{\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2, \iota} = r_{\pi_1 | \cdot |^{(1-r)/2}, \iota} \oplus r_{\pi_2 | \cdot |^{(-1-r)/2}, \iota},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\pi_1 | \cdot |^{(1-r)/2}, \iota} &\cong (\text{Sym}^r r_{\sigma,\iota})|_{G_{K_1}} \otimes xy^{-r}, \\ r_{\pi_2 | \cdot |^{(-1-r)/2}, \iota} &\cong (\text{Sym}^{r-2} r_{\sigma,\iota})|_{G_{K_1}} \otimes x^{-1}y^{2-r}\epsilon^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The residual representations match up because $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$. \square

Let E/\mathbf{Q}_p be a sufficiently large coefficient field. We can and do conjugate s so that it takes values in $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ and extends to a homomorphism $s : G_{F_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\nu \circ s = \epsilon^{1-n} \delta_{K_1/F_1}^n$. Let S_{p,F_1} the set of p -adic places of F_1 , let T_{F_1} denote the set of places of F_1 lying above v_0 , and let T'_{F_1} denote the set of places lying above v_a . Let $S_{F_1} = S_{p,F_1} \cup T_{F_1}$ and choose for each $v \in S_{F_1}$ a place \tilde{v} of K_1 lying above v . Let $\tilde{S}_{F_1} = \{\tilde{v} \mid v \in S_{F_1}\}$. In the remainder of this section, we will use deformation theory for the group \mathcal{G}_n , as set up in [Tho15]. In particular, in the following lemma we are considering all liftings (not just those with fixed determinant).

Lemma 6.6. *Let $v \in T_{F_1}$ and let R_v^\square denote the unrestricted lifting ring of $\bar{s}|_{G_{K_1, \tilde{v}}}$, and let R_v denote the quotient, defined in [Sho18], which corresponds to the inertial type τ_s of $s|_{G_{K_1, \tilde{v}}}$. Let R'_v denote the quotient corresponding to the inertial type*

τ_{Sp_n} of the special representation (i.e. the image of the Steinberg representation under $\mathrm{rec}_{K_{1,\bar{v}}}^T$). Then both R_v and R'_v are non-zero and there is an isomorphism $(R_v/(\varpi))^{\mathrm{red}} \cong (R'_v/(\varpi))^{\mathrm{red}}$ of R_v^\square -algebras.

Proof. Let D_v be a central division algebra over $K_{1,\bar{v}}$ of rank n , and let $\pi_v \in \mathcal{O}_{D_v}$ be a uniformizer. Then $\mathcal{O}_{D_v}^\times/(\pi_v) = k_{D_v}$ is a degree n extension of $k(v)$. Let $K'_{1,\bar{v}}/K_{1,\bar{v}}$ be the quadratic unramified extension, let $k(v)'$ be its residue field, and let $\chi_v : k(v)'^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p^\times$ be a character of order p such that $\chi_v \circ \mathrm{Art}_{K_{1,\bar{v}}}^{-1}$ appears in $r_{\pi_v, \iota}|_{I_{K_{1,\bar{v}}}}$ (thus there are two possible choices which are conjugate under $\mathrm{Gal}(k(v)'/k(v))$). Choose an arbitrary $k(v)$ -embedding of $k(v)'$ into k_{D_v} and let $\sigma_v : \mathcal{O}_{D_v}^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p^\times$ be the inflation of $\chi_v \circ \mathbf{N}_{k_{D_v}/k(v)'}$. Then σ_v is a character of order p and in the notation of [Dot18], we claim that we have $\mathrm{cyc}_{D_v^\times}(\sigma_v^{-1}) = R'_v$, $\mathrm{cyc}_{D_v^\times}(\mathbf{1}) = R_v$. To verify the claim, we explain a bit more notation.

Following [Sho18], for us an inertial type is an isomorphism class of continuous representations $\tau : I_{K_{1,\bar{v}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ which can be extended to $W_{K_{1,\bar{v}}}$. (This is different to the terminology of [Dot18], which considers smooth τ . We are therefore incorporating a monodromy operator into our inertial types.) If τ is an inertial type, we write π_τ for any irreducible generic $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ -representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K_{1,\bar{v}})$ such that $\mathrm{rec}_{K_{1,\bar{v}}}^T(\pi_\tau)$ has inertial type τ . We need to check that (cf. the proof of [Dot18, Theorem 6.1]) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{K_{1,\bar{v}}})]}(\mathrm{JL}_{\mathbf{K}}(\sigma_v), \pi_\tau)$ is non-zero if and only if $\tau = \tau_s$, in which case it is one-dimensional. Similarly, we need to check that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{K_{1,\bar{v}}})]}(\mathrm{JL}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{1}), \pi_\tau)$ is non-zero if and only if $\tau = \tau_{\mathrm{Sp}_n}$, in which case it is one-dimensional. The Jacquet–Langlands transfer of types (modified to pick out essentially square-integrable representations), $\mathrm{JL}_{\mathbf{K}}$, is defined in [Dot18, Definition 5.2]. Considering [Dot18, Example 3.8], we need to check that if we take an irreducible smooth D_v^\times representation of type $\sigma_v, \mathbf{1}$ respectively, then its Jacquet–Langlands transfer is in the Bernstein component of $\pi_{\tau_s}, \pi_{\tau_{\mathrm{Sp}_n}}$ respectively. For the second case, we simply note that the trivial representation of D_v^\times transfers to the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K_{1,\bar{v}})$. The first case follows from the main theorem of [BH11], which explicitly describes the image of a level zero representation of D_v^\times under the composition of local Langlands and Jacquet–Langlands.

We can now invoke [Dot18, Theorem 6.1] to conclude that the elements of $Z^{n^2}(R_v^\square/(\varpi))$ associated to R_v and R'_v are equal, since σ_v and $\mathbf{1}$ have the same reduction to $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$. What we need to explain is why this implies that $(R_v/(\varpi))^{\mathrm{red}} \cong (R'_v/(\varpi))^{\mathrm{red}}$. Both $R_v/(\varpi)$ and $R'_v/(\varpi)$ are equidimensional of dimension n^2 (as R_v and R'_v are equidimensional of dimension $1 + n^2$ and \mathcal{O} -flat, by definition). They are quotients of $R_v^\square/(\varpi)$, which is again equidimensional of dimension n^2 (cf. [Sho18, Theorem 2.5]). We see that $(R_v/(\varpi))^{\mathrm{red}} \cong (R'_v/(\varpi))^{\mathrm{red}}$ if and only if $\mathrm{Spec} R_v/(\varpi)$ and $\mathrm{Spec} R'_v/(\varpi)$ are equal as closed subspaces of $\mathrm{Spec} R_v^\square/(\varpi)$, and that this is the case if and only if they have the same generic points. This is indeed a consequence of the equality of the images of R_v and R'_v in $Z^{n^2}(R_v^\square/(\varpi))$. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let F'/F be an X_0 -split totally real extension and let $K' = K_0F'$. Then:*

- (1) $K' \not\subset F'(\zeta_p)$.
- (2) *There is an isomorphism $\overline{s}|_{G_{K'}} \cong \overline{\rho}_1 \oplus \overline{\rho}_2$, where $\overline{\rho}_i^c \cong \overline{\rho}_i^v \otimes \epsilon^{1-n}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\overline{\rho}_1|_{G_{K'}(\zeta_p)} \not\cong \overline{\rho}_2|_{G_{K'}(\zeta_p)}$.*

- (3) $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}|_{G_{K'}}$ is primitive (i.e. not isomorphic to a representation induced from a proper closed subgroup of $G_{K'}$).
- (4) $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}(G_{K'})$ has no quotient of order p .

Proof. The first part holds because K' is X_0 -split whilst the proper extensions of F' in $F'(\zeta_p)$ are not. The second part holds by construction; note that $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}(G_{K'}) = \bar{\mathfrak{s}}(G_K)$, $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}(G_{K'(\zeta_p)}) = \bar{\mathfrak{s}}(G_{K(\zeta_p)})$, and that $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}|_{G_K}$ has two irreducible constituents of distinct dimension which remain irreducible on restriction to $G_{K(\zeta_p)}$.

For the third part, suppose that there is an isomorphism $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}|_{G_{K'}} \cong \text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_{K'}} \bar{t}$ for some proper finite extension L/K' and representation $\bar{t} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n/[L:K']}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$. Then $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(G_L) \subset \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(G_{K'})$ is a subgroup of index at most $n < 2p$, so Lemma 4.1 shows that $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(G_L)$ contains a conjugate of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$, and hence that the two irreducible constituents of $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ remain irreducible on restriction to G_L . By Mackey's formula, \bar{t} is a proper subrepresentation of $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}|_{G_L}$, so \bar{t} must be irreducible, isomorphic to one of the constituents of $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}|_{G_L}$, and therefore extend to a representation $\tilde{t} : G_{K'} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n/[L:K']}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$. Then we have

$$\bar{\mathfrak{s}}|_{G_{K'}} \cong \text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_{K'}} \tilde{t} \cong \tilde{t} \otimes \text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_{K'}} \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p.$$

The constituents of $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ have dimension $r - 1$ and $r + 1$, whilst the dimension of \tilde{t} is a divisor of $2r$. Taking this into account, we must have $r = 2$ or 3 , $\dim \tilde{t} = r - 1$ and $[L : K'] = 2r/(r - 1) = 3$ or 4 . In particular, the Galois closure \tilde{L} of L over K' is soluble. This means that $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(G_{\tilde{L}})$ still contains a conjugate of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$, and the two irreducible constituents of $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ remain irreducible on restriction to $G_{\tilde{L}}$. On the other hand, the restriction of $\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_{K'}} \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ to $G_{\tilde{L}}$ is a direct sum of copies of the trivial representation, so we obtain a contradiction.

The fourth part holds because $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(G_{K'})$ has no quotient of order p . \square

If K'/K_1 is any CM extension with totally real subfield F' , we write $S_{F'}$ (resp. $\tilde{S}_{F'}$) for the set of places of F' (resp. K') lying above an element of S_{F_1} (resp. \tilde{S}_{F_1}). We define $S_{p,F'}$, $\tilde{S}_{p,F'}$ etc. similarly. If $v \in S_{F'}$ we define $\Lambda_v = \mathcal{O}[[I_{K'_v}^{ab}(p)]]$ and $\Lambda_{F'} = \widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_{p,F'}} \Lambda_v$. We consider the global deformation problems (in the sense of [Tho15, §3], and where R_v^Δ is as defined there)

$$\mathcal{S}_{F_1} = (K_1/F_1, S_{F_1}, \tilde{S}_{F_1}, \Lambda_{F_1}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}, \epsilon^{1-n} \delta_{K_1/F_1}^n, \{R_v^\Delta\}_{v \in S_{p,F_1}} \cup \{R_v\}_{v \in T_{F_1}}),$$

$$\mathcal{S}'_{F_1} = (K_1/F_1, S_{F_1}, \tilde{S}_{F_1}, \Lambda_{F_1}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}, \epsilon^{1-n} \delta_{K_1/F_1}^n, \{R_v^\Delta\}_{v \in S_{p,F_1}} \cup \{R'_v\}_{v \in T_{F_1}}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}'_{F_2} = (K_2/F_2, S_{F_2}, \tilde{S}_{F_2}, \Lambda_{F_2}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}}|_{G_{F_2}}, \epsilon^{1-n} \delta_{K_2/F_2}^n, \{R_v^\Delta\}_{v \in S_{p,F_2}} \cup \{R_v^{St}\}_{v \in T_{F_2}}),$$

We write $R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}$, $R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_1}}$, etc. for the representing objects (the existence is [Tho15, Proposition 3.8]).

Lemma 6.8. (1) *There is an isomorphism $(R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}/(\varpi))^{red} \cong (R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_1}}/(\varpi))^{red}$.*

(2) *There is a finite morphism $R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}} \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_1}}$.*

Proof. The first part is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.6. For the second part, we first note that for any finite place $v \nmid p$ of F_1 , inertial type $\tau : I_{K_{1,\bar{v}}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ and place $w|v$ of F_2 , the natural map $R_w^\square \rightarrow R_{\bar{v}}(\tau)$ factors through $R_{\bar{w}}(\tau|_{I_{K_{2,\bar{w}}}})$, where $R_{\bar{v}}(\tau)$ denotes the fixed type lifting ring of [Sho18, Definition

3.5]. Indeed, it follows from the definitions that there is a Zariski dense set of points in $\text{Spec}(R_{\tilde{v}}(\tau))$ which map to the closed subset $\text{Spec}(R_{\tilde{w}}(\tau|_{I_{K_2, \tilde{w}}})) \subset \text{Spec}(R_w^\square)$. Since $R_{\tilde{v}}(\tau)$ is reduced, we get the desired factorisation. Using [Tho15, Corollary 3.12] to handle the p -adic places, this gives us a natural map $R_{S'_{F_2}} \rightarrow R_{S'_{F_1}}$, provided we can check that if $v \in T_{F_2}$, then the ring R_v^{St} defined in [Tho15, §3.3.4] coincides with the ring $R_{\tilde{v}}(\text{Sp}_{2r})$ associated in [Sho18] to the inertial type of the special representation. It follows from the definitions that there is a natural surjection $R_v^{St} \rightarrow R_{\tilde{v}}(\text{Sp}_{2r})$. Since R_v^{St} is a domain and these two rings have the same Krull dimension, it must in fact be an isomorphism.

The finiteness of the map $R_{S'_{F_2}} \rightarrow R_{S'_{F_1}}$ follows from [NT21a, Lemma 5.3] and [Tho15, Proposition 3.29(2)]. \square

Proposition 6.9. *$R_{S'_{F_2}}$ is a finite Λ -algebra and there exists a RACSDC automorphic representation Π of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{K_2})$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) *There is an isomorphism $\bar{\tau}_{\Pi, \iota} \cong \bar{s}|_{G_{K_2}}$.*
- (2) *Π is ι -ordinary.*
- (3) *For each $v \in T_{F_2}$, $\Pi_{\tilde{v}}$ is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.*
- (4) *Π is unramified away from S_{F_2} .*

Proof. Let $K_2 = K_0 \cdot F_2$. Choose a place v_2 of F_2 (and place \tilde{v}_2 of K_2 lying above it), of residue characteristic prime to that of each element of $X_0 \cup \{v_0\}$ but split in K_0 and such that $q_{v_2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $\bar{s}|_{G_{K_2, v_2}}$ and $\bar{\tau}_{\pi, \iota}|_{G_{F_2, v_2}}$ are both trivial. By [Tho22b, Theorem 6.11], we can find an ι -ordinary RACSDC automorphic representation Π_2 of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{K_2})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- There is an isomorphism $\bar{\tau}_{\Pi_2, \iota} \cong \bar{s}|_{G_{K_2}}$.
- Π_{2, \tilde{v}_2} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.

(We indicate why the application of [Tho22b, Theorem 6.11] is valid. The genericity hypothesis holds by Lemma 6.1. The existence of ι -ordinary, locally Steinberg automorphic lifts of the irreducible constituents of $\bar{s}|_{G_{K_2}}$ follows by applying a level-raising theorem on GL_2 and then taking symmetric powers. Note that we have introduced the new place v_2 , rather than using a place over v_0 , because *loc. cit.* requires us to be unramified at other places with the same residue characteristic as v_2 .)

Consider the auxiliary deformation problem

$$\mathcal{S}_{F_2}'' = (K_2/F_2, S_{F_2} \cup \{v_2\}, \tilde{S}_{F_2} \cup \{\tilde{v}_2\}, \Lambda_{F_2}, \bar{s}|_{G_{F_2}}, \epsilon^{1-n} \delta_{K_2/F_2}^n, \{R_v^\Delta\}_{v \in S_{p, F_2}} \cup \{R_v^{St}\}_{v \in T_{F_2} \cup \{v_2\}}).$$

By [ANT20, Theorem 6.2], modified as in [Tho22b, Theorem 7.5] to weaken the condition $K_2(\zeta_p) \not\subset \overline{K_2}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{s}}$, $R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_2}''}$ is a finite Λ_{F_2} -algebra. By the Khare–Wintenberger method (i.e. a very similar argument to the one given in the proof of Lemma 6.2), and by [Tho22b, Theorem 7.5], we can find an ι -ordinary RACSDC automorphic representation Π_2' of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{K_2})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- There is an isomorphism $\bar{\tau}_{\Pi_2', \iota} \cong \bar{s}|_{G_{K_2}}$.
- Π_2' is unramified away from $S_{F_2} \cup \{v_2\}$. For each $v \in T_{F_2} \cup \{v_2\}$, $\Pi_{2, \tilde{v}}$ is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.

(The main step in applying the Khare–Wintenberger method, as in the proof of Lemma 6.2, is to check that a suitable fixed weight ordinary lifting ring is non-empty. We can easily check here that e.g. the crystalline weight 0 ordinary lifting ring is non-empty at $v \in S_{p,F_2}$, by writing down lifts by hand. Since $\zeta_p \in F_{2,v}$ and $\bar{\alpha}|_{G_{K_2,\bar{v}}}$ is scalar for $v|p$, a twist of $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \epsilon^{-i}$ gives a lift and shows that the ordinary lifting ring is non-zero.) By [ANT20, Theorem 6.2] again (applied to the deformation problem obtained from \mathcal{S}'_{F_2} by replacing the local condition at v_2 with $R_{v_2}^\square$), $R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}$ is a finite Λ_{F_2} -algebra. We can now apply the Khare–Wintenberger method once more to obtain the desired representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{K_2})$. \square

Proposition 6.10. *Consider the graph whose vertices are minimal primes of $R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}/(\varpi)$, and where Q, Q' are joined by an edge if there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}/(\varpi)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $(Q, Q') \subset \mathfrak{p}$.
- (2) \mathfrak{p} is of dimension 1 and characteristic p .
- (3) \mathfrak{p} is generic, in the sense of [ANT20, Definition 3.7].

Then this graph is connected.

Proof. We have proved that $R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}$ is a finite Λ_{F_2} -algebra. According to [ANT20, Lemma 3.9], any ideal $I \subset R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}/(\varpi)$ satisfying

$$\dim R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}/(\varpi, I) > \max(n[F_2 : \mathbf{Q}] - d_{0,F_2}, \{n[F_2 : \mathbf{Q}] - [F_{2,v} : \mathbf{Q}_p]\}_{v \in S_{p,F_2}})$$

is contained in a prime \mathfrak{p} of dimension 1 and characteristic p which is generic in the given sense. (Recall the integer d_{0,F_2} was defined in the statement of Lemma 6.4.) Let c denote the connectedness dimension of $R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}/(\varpi)$, and suppose that we have

$$c > \max(n[F_2 : \mathbf{Q}] - d_{0,F_2}, \{n[F_2 : \mathbf{Q}] - [F_{2,v} : \mathbf{Q}_p]\}_{v \in S_{p,F_2}}).$$

We claim that the proposition then follows. Indeed, we suppose for contradiction that the graph is disconnected. By definition of connectedness dimension, we can find Q, Q' in different connected components such that $\dim R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}/(\varpi, Q, Q') \geq c$, hence

$$\dim R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}/(\varpi, Q, Q') > \max(n[F_2 : \mathbf{Q}] - d_0, \{n[F_2 : \mathbf{Q}] - [F_{2,v} : \mathbf{Q}_p]\}_{v \in S_{p,F_2}}).$$

This inequality implies the existence of a prime \mathfrak{p} witnessing the an edge between Q and Q' in the graph, leading to a contradiction. To finish the proof, we therefore just need to explain why c satisfies the claimed inequality. However, [Tho15, Lemma 3.21] (or rather its proof – since there we consider $c(R_S)$, whereas here we consider $c(R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}/(\varpi))$) show that $c \geq n[F_2 : \mathbf{Q}] - 1$, so we just need $d_{0,F_2} > 1$, $[F_{2,v} : \mathbf{Q}_p] > 1$, and these inequalities hold by the defining property of F_2 . \square

Proposition 6.11. *$R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}$ is a finite Λ_{F_1} -algebra. Each of its minimal primes has dimension $\dim \Lambda_{F_1}$ and is of characteristic 0. For each such minimal prime Q , we can find a prime $\mathfrak{p} \supset Q$ of dimension 1 and characteristic p which is generic, in the sense that it satisfies the conditions of [ANT20, Definition 3.8].*

Proof. Proposition 6.9 and Lemma 6.8 together imply that $R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_1}}/(\varpi)$ is a finite $\Lambda_{F_1}/(\varpi)$ -algebra; it follows from this and Lemma 6.8 that $R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}$ is a finite Λ_{F_1} -algebra. The presentation given in [Tho15, Proposition 3.9] then implies that $R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}$ is equidimensional of dimension $\dim \Lambda_{F_1}$. If $Q \subset R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}$ is a minimal prime then

$\dim R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}/(Q, \varpi) = n[F_1 : \mathbf{Q}]$ so we can find \mathfrak{p} by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 6.10 (and using the trivial estimate $d_{0, F_1} > 0$). \square

Fix \mathcal{P} , a finite set of prime ideals of $R'_{\mathcal{S}_{F_2}}$ of dimension 1 and characteristic p which are generic, in the sense of [ANT20, Definition 3.7], satisfying the following conditions:

- For each edge $Q - Q'$ of the graph described in Proposition 6.10, there is $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $(Q, Q') \subset \mathfrak{p}$.
- For each minimal prime $Q \subset R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}$, there is a generic prime $\mathfrak{p} \supset Q$ of $R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_{F_2} = \ker(R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_2}} \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}/\mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{P}$.

It follows from Proposition 6.11 that such a set exists.

Proposition 6.12. *We can find a totally real extension F_3/F_2 satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) F_3/F is soluble and X_0 -split.
- (2) Each place $v|p$ of F_2 splits in F_3 .
- (3) Let $K_3 = K_0 \cdot F_3$. Then for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$, and each $v \in T_{F_3}$, $r_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{G_{K_3, \bar{v}}}$ is a scalar representation (i.e. it is unramified with scalar image on Frobenius).

Proof. We can construct F_3 by adjoining to F_2 an X_0 -split soluble extension of F , sufficiently tamely ramified at v_0 (see the proof of [Tho15, Proposition 6.2]). \square

We consider the deformation problem

$$\mathcal{S}_{F_3} = (K_3/F_3, S_{F_3}, \tilde{S}_{F_3}, \Lambda_{F_3}, \bar{s}|_{G_{F_3}}, \epsilon^{1-n} \delta_{K_3/F_3}^n, \{R_v^\Delta\}_{v \in S_{p, F_3}} \cup \{R_v^1\}_{v \in T_{F_3}}),$$

where R_v^1 is the ‘‘unipotently ramified’’ lifting ring defined in [Tho15, §3.3]. We introduce two (big, ordinary) Hecke algebras \mathbf{T}_{F_2} and \mathbf{T}_{F_3} , following [ANT20, §§4.1–4.2]. The Hecke algebras $\mathbf{T}_\chi^T(U(I^\infty), \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ defined there depend on a choice of CM number field, coefficient field, sets $T = S_p \sqcup S(B) \sqcup R \sqcup S_a$ of places (the set $S(B)$ in particular being used to define a definite unitary group), and a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of the abstract Hecke algebra, that appears in the support of a certain space of ordinary automorphic forms.

To define \mathbf{T}_{F_2} , we take the CM number field K_2 , coefficient field E , $S(B) = T_{F_2}$, $R = \emptyset$, $S_a = T'_{F_2}$, and \mathfrak{m} to be the maximal ideal associated to the automorphic representation Π constructed in Proposition 6.9 (which descends to the associated definite unitary group by [CHT08, Proposition 3.3.2]). (Note that $S(B)$ has even cardinality because v_0 splits in F_1/F and $[F_1 : F]$ is even.) Let $P_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}} \subset R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}$ be the closed Λ_{F_2} -subalgebra topologically generated by the coefficients of the characteristic polynomials $\det(X - r_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}}(g))$ ($g \in G_{K_2}$) of a representative of the universal deformation; then $P_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}} \in \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_{F_2}}$ (as one sees from the equivalent characterisation given in [Tho15, Definition 3.27]) and there is a diagram (cf. [ANT20, §4.2])

$$R_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}} \longleftarrow P_{\mathcal{S}'_{F_2}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{F_2}.$$

To define \mathbf{T}_{F_3} , we take the CM number field K_3 , coefficient field E , $S(B) = \emptyset$, $R = T_{F_3}$, $S_a = T'_{F_3}$, and \mathfrak{m} to be the maximal ideal associated to $\mathrm{BC}_{K_3/K_2}(\Pi)$ (which descends to the associated definite unitary group by [Lab11, Théorème 5.4]). Define $P_{\mathcal{S}_{F_3}} \subset R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_3}}$ and also $P_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}} \subset R_{\mathcal{S}_{F_1}}$ as in the previous paragraph. Then we

can extend the above diagram to a commutative diagram of Λ_{F_3} -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R_{S'_{F_2}} & \longleftarrow & P_{S'_{F_2}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}_{F_2} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 R_{S_{F_3}} & \longleftarrow & P_{S_{F_3}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}_{F_3} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 R_{S_{F_1}} & \longleftarrow & P_{S_{F_1}} & &
 \end{array}$$

where in the first row the left two vertical arrows are the tautological ones (cf. the proof of Lemma 6.8) and the right-most vertical arrow may be constructed using soluble base change (cf. [Tho15, Proposition 4.18], [NT21a, Lemma 6.7]). Let $J_0 = \ker(P_{S_{F_3}} \rightarrow P_{S'_{F_2}})$, $J_{S'_{F_2}} = \ker(P_{S'_{F_2}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{F_2})$, and $J_{S_{F_3}} = \ker(P_{S_{F_3}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{F_3})$. The commutativity of the diagram implies that $J_{S_{F_3}} P_{S'_{F_2}} \subset J_{S'_{F_2}}$. We will use the following result (a disguised ‘ $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ’ theorem):

Proposition 6.13. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_{S_{F_3}}$ be a prime of dimension 1 and characteristic p which is generic, in the sense of [ANT20, Definition 3.7]. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \supset J_{S_{F_3}}$ and that for each $v \in T_{F_3}$, $r_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{G_{K_3, \bar{v}}}$ is a scalar representation. Then for each prime ideal $Q \subset \mathfrak{p}$, $Q \supset J_{S_{F_3}}$.*

Proof. In the case that for each $v \in T_{F_3}$, $r_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{G_{K_3, \bar{v}}}$ is the trivial representation, this is [ANT20, Theorem 4.1] (which in turn is deduced from the results of [Tho15]). We need to explain why this condition can be weakened. The stronger hypothesis is used only to be able to invoke [Tho15, Lemma 3.40], which essentially asserts that the irreducible components of the localisation and completion of $R_{S_{F_3}}^{loc}$ at the pullback of \mathfrak{p} are in bijection with those of Λ_{F_3} . The same twisting argument which is used in *loc. cit.* to deal with the possibility that the representations $r_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{G_{F, \bar{v}}}$ ($v \in S(B)$) are scalar but non-trivial can be used at the places $v \in R := T_{F_3}$ to get the desired conclusion here. \square

Proposition 6.14. *Let $\bar{Q} \subset R_{S'_{F_2}}/(\varpi)$ be a prime ideal, and let \bar{Q}_{F_3} denote its pullback to $R_{S_{F_3}}$. Then $J_{S_{F_3}} \subset \bar{Q}_{F_3}$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider minimal such primes \bar{Q} . We first show that there is at least one minimal prime \bar{Q} such that $J_{S_{F_3}} \subset \bar{Q}_{F_3}$. Since $R_{S'_{F_2}}$ is a finite Λ_{F_2} -algebra, $P_{S'_{F_2}}$ is a finite Λ_{F_2} -algebra. Since \mathbf{T}_{F_2} is non-zero, there is a minimal prime $Q \subset P_{S'_{F_2}}$ such that $J_{S'_{F_2}} \subset Q$. Since $P_{S'_{F_2}} \rightarrow R_{S'_{F_2}}$ is finite, we can find a minimal prime $\bar{Q} \subset R_{S'_{F_2}}/(\varpi)$ such that $J_{S'_{F_2}} \subset \bar{Q}$.

Now let $\bar{Q}' \subset R_{S'_{F_2}}/(\varpi)$ be some other minimal prime. By construction, we can find minimal primes $\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}_1, \bar{Q}_2, \dots, \bar{Q}_N = \bar{Q}' \subset R_{S'_{F_2}}/(\varpi)$ and prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{N-1} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $(\bar{Q}_i, \bar{Q}_{i+1}) \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N-1$. By construction $J_{S_{F_3}} \subset \bar{Q}_{1, F_3}$. Applying Proposition 6.13 to \mathfrak{p}_{1, F_3} , we find that $J_{S_{F_3}} \subset \bar{Q}_{2, F_3}$. Repeating this argument $N-2$ times, we find $J_{S_{F_3}} \subset \bar{Q}'_{F_3}$, as required. \square

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 6.3. Let $f : R_{S_{F_1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a homomorphism associated to the lifting s , and let $\mathfrak{q} = \ker f$. Let $Q \subset \mathfrak{q}$ be a minimal

prime. By construction, we can find a prime $\mathfrak{p} \supset Q$ of dimension 1 and characteristic \mathfrak{p} such that $\mathfrak{p}_{F_2} \in \mathcal{P}$, hence (by Proposition 6.14) $J_{S_{F_3}} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{F_3}$. Applying Proposition 6.13 one more time, we find that $J_{S_{F_3}} \subset Q_{F_3}$, hence $J_{S_{F_3}} \subset \mathfrak{q}_{F_3}$, hence that there is a homomorphism $\mathbf{T}_{F_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ associated to $s|_{G_{K_3}}$. It now follows from [Ger19, Lemma 2.6.4] and [Lab11, Corollaire 5.3] that $s|_{G_{K_3}}$ is automorphic, associated to a RACSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{K_3})$. Applying soluble descent and untwisting, we find that $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ is automorphic, as required. \square

7. AUTOMORPHY OF A TWISTED TENSOR PRODUCT

Theorem 7.1. *Let F be a totally real field and let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. Let $0 < r < p$, and suppose that SP_{r-1} , SP_r , and SP_{r+1} hold. Let $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and let π be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $\det r_{\pi,\iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$.
- (2) π is of weight 0 and for each place $v|p$ of F , π_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. In particular, π is ι -ordinary.
- (3) There exists a place v_0 of F such that $q_{v_0} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and π_{v_0} is tamely dihedral of order p .
- (4) For any prime number l and any isomorphism $\iota_l : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $\overline{r}_{\pi,\iota_l}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^a})$ for some $l^a > \max(4r, 5)$. Moreover, $\overline{r}_{\pi,\iota}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $a \geq \max(4r, 5, p^{a_0(p)})$ ($a_0(p)$ is defined in the statement of Lemma 4.1).
- (5) For each finite place $v \nmid p$ of F , π_v is potentially unramified.

Let $\varphi_p \in G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ be an arithmetic Frobenius lift. Then there exists an ι -ordinary RAESDC automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\overline{r}_{\Pi,\iota} \cong \varphi_p \overline{r}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{r}_{\pi,\iota}$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Lemma 7.2. *There exists a RAESDC automorphic representation σ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) σ is ι -ordinary and for each embedding $\tau : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(r_{\sigma,\iota}) = \{0, 2\}$.
- (2) We have $\det r_{\sigma,\iota} = \epsilon^{-2}\omega$, where ω denotes the Teichmüller lift of $\epsilon \pmod{p}$.
- (3) There is an isomorphism $\overline{r}_{\sigma,\iota} \cong \overline{r}_{\pi,\iota}$.
- (4) σ_{v_0} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- (5) For each place $v \nmid p$ of F such that $v \neq v_0$, $r_{\sigma,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}} \sim r_{\pi,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$.
- (6) $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma,\iota}$ is automorphic.

Proof. This combines Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.3. \square

Let $K_\pi \subset \mathbf{C}$ denote the field of definition of π , and let $B \geq 1$ be an integer such that K_π is ramified only at primes $l < B$. Let \tilde{K}_π denote the Galois closure of K_π in \mathbf{C} , and let $\Gamma_\pi = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\pi/\mathbf{Q})$. Let $\varphi = \iota\varphi_p\iota^{-1} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{C})$.

Lemma 7.3. *We can find a prime number t , an isomorphism $\iota_t : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and a RAESDC automorphic representation σ' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ with the following properties:*

- (1) $t > \max(5, 4r + 2, B)$, t is unramified in F , and π, σ, σ' are unramified at the t -adic places of F .
- (2) The representations $\overline{r}_{\pi,\iota_t} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{r}_{\sigma,\iota_t}$ and $\overline{r}_{\varphi\pi,\iota_t} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{r}_{\sigma,\iota_t}$ are absolutely irreducible.

- (3) *There is an isomorphism $\bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t} \cong \bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_t}$.*
- (4) *The representation $r_{\pi, \iota_t} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota_t}$ is automorphic. Moreover, $r_{\varphi\pi, \iota_t} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma, \iota_t}$ is automorphic if and only if $r_{\varphi\pi, \iota_t} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota_t}$ is automorphic.*
- (5) *For each prime $l < B$ and for each isomorphism $\iota_l : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $\bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^a})$ for some $l^a > \max(4r, 5)$. Moreover, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_\pi$ the intersection of the extensions of F cut out by the representations $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l}$ and $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l}$ has degree at most 2 over F .*

Proof. Let λ be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ without CM. According to [Dim05, Proposition 3.8], the set of prime numbers l for which there exists an isomorphism $\iota_l : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\bar{r}_{\lambda, \iota_l}(G_F)$ does not contain a conjugate of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ is finite. Call such primes l exceptional for λ . We claim that if t is prime satisfying the first point of the lemma and that is not exceptional for π , $\varphi\pi$, or σ , and $\iota_t : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is any isomorphism, then the second point of the lemma is satisfied. We just give the argument for $\bar{r}_{\pi, \iota_t} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}$.

Indeed, let M_π , $M_{\varphi\pi}$, M_σ be the extensions of F cut out by the associated projective representations $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\pi, \iota_t}$, $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\varphi\pi, \iota_t}$, and $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}$. If $M_\pi \cap M_\sigma \neq F$ then either $M_\pi \cap M_\sigma/F$ is quadratic or $M_\pi = M_\sigma$ (as $\text{Gal}(M_\pi/F) \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t^a})$ or $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t^a})$ for some $a \geq 1$ and $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t^a})$ is simple and is the unique normal subgroup of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t^a})$, and likewise for M_σ).

In the quadratic case the restriction of each of \bar{r}_{π, ι_t} and $\text{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}$ to $G_{M_\pi \cap M_\sigma}$ is irreducible, so the tensor product is irreducible. In the case $M_\pi = M_\sigma$ we find that $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\pi, \iota_t}(G_F)$ and $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}(G_F)$ are actually conjugate (since there is a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of $\text{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ isomorphic to $\text{Gal}(M_\pi/F)$). The automorphisms of $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\pi, \iota_t}(G_F)$ are generated by $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t^a})$ and the Frobenius automorphism (see [Die63, Ch. IV, §6]). It follows that the representations $\text{ad} \bar{r}_{\pi, \iota_t}$, $\text{ad} \bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}$ are isomorphic, up to a Frobenius twist in the coefficients. This is a contradiction. Indeed, $\text{Ad} r_{\pi, \iota_t}$ is crystalline with Hodge–Tate weights $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ (with respect to any embedding $F \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_t$) and $\text{Ad} r_{\sigma, \iota_t}$ is crystalline with Hodge–Tate weights $\{-2, 0, 2\}$. Since t is unramified in F and $t > 5$, Fontaine–Laffaille theory [FL82] implies that the associated residual representations cannot be isomorphic.

It follows that all isomorphisms $\iota_t : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, where t is a sufficiently large prime satisfying the first point of the lemma, also satisfy the second point. We fix a choice of t and ι_t with this property such that moreover $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and t splits in K_σ , so $\bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}(G_F)$ can be assumed (after replacing by a conjugate) to contain $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_t)$ and be contained in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_t)$. We can then find (by arguing e.g. as in Lemma 6.2) a RAESDC automorphic representation σ' of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\det r_{\sigma, \iota_t} = \det r_{\sigma', \iota_t}$ and $\bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t} \cong \bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_t}$.
- Let S denote the set of places of F of residue characteristic $l < B$ or at which σ is ramified. Then there is a place $v_1 \nmid t$ of F , split in $F(S)$ ($F(S)$ was defined in §4), of residue characteristic $p_1 > B$, at which σ_{v_1} is unramified, but such that σ'_{v_1} is tamely dihedral of order t .
- For each place $v \neq v_1$ of F , $r_{\sigma, \iota_t}|_{G_{F_v}} \sim r_{\sigma', \iota_t}|_{G_{F_v}}$.

We explain why there exists a place v_1 of F , split in $F(S)$, such that $\bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}(\text{Frob}_{v_1})$ has eigenvalues in ratio -1 , which is a necessary condition for σ' to exist. We consider the extension of F cut out by $\text{Proj} \bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}$. It has Galois group isomorphic

either to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_t)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_t)$. In either case the image of complex conjugation is in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_t)$ (because $t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$) so we can find $g \in G_{F(S)}$ whose image under $\mathrm{Proj} \bar{r}_{\sigma, \iota_t}$ is conjugate to the image of complex conjugation. The existence of v_1 then follows by the Chebotarev density theorem.

We claim that this σ' satisfies the remaining requirements of the lemma. The third point holds by construction. The fourth point holds because the representations $r_{\pi, \iota_t} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota_t}$ and $r_{\varphi\pi, \iota_t} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota_t}$ are residually irreducible (hence adequate) and potentially diagonalisable, by construction, so we can apply e.g. [BLGGT14, Theorem 4.2.1] (using Lemma 7.2 to verify the residual automorphy of the first of these representations). To check the fifth point, take a prime $l < B$ and an isomorphism $\iota_l : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The argument to check the size of the image of $\bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l}$ is the usual one using that σ'_{v_1} is tamely dihedral of order t : this implies that $\bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l}$ is irreducible, and that $\mathrm{Proj} \bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l}(G_F)$ contains an element of order $t > 5$, showing that this projective image either contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^a})$ for some $l^a > t$ or is dihedral. In the dihedral case the inducing field is ramified only at places at which σ is ramified or at places of residue characteristic $< B$ – this implies that v_1 splits in the inducing field (since it splits in $F(S)$), a contradiction to the irreducibility of $\bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l}|_{G_{Fv_1}}$.

Finally we see that $\mathrm{Proj} \bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l}$ and $\mathrm{Proj} \bar{r}_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l}$ cut out extensions which have at most quadratic intersection because the first extension is ramified at v_1 (and inertia has image of odd order t) while the second is unramified at v_1 . \square

We see that in order to prove Theorem 7.1, it is enough to show that $r_{\varphi\pi, \iota_t} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota_t}$ is automorphic; and we know already that $r_{\pi, \iota_t} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota_t}$ is automorphic.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_\pi$. Let l be a prime, let $w|l$ be a place of \tilde{K}_π , and let $\delta \in I_{w/l} \subset \Gamma_\pi$. Suppose that $r_{\gamma\pi, \iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota}$ is automorphic. Then $r_{\delta\gamma\pi, \iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota}$ is automorphic.*

Proof. We can assume that $\delta \neq 1$, in which case $l < B$. It suffices to verify that $r_{\delta\gamma\pi, j} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', j}$ is automorphic for a single prime q and isomorphism $j : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_q \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ (cf. Lemma 3.1). We choose $q = l$, $j = \iota_l : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ to be an isomorphism such that ι_l^{-1} induces the place w of \tilde{K}_π . Let $\tilde{\delta} \in I_{\mathbf{Q}_l}$ be any element such that $(\iota_l \tilde{\delta} \iota_l^{-1})|_{\tilde{K}_\pi} = \delta$. By Lemma 2.2, there is an isomorphism

$$r_{\delta\gamma\pi, \iota_l} \cong \tilde{\delta} r_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l}.$$

Since $\tilde{\delta} \in I_{\mathbf{Q}_l}$, the two representations $\tilde{\delta} r_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l}$, $r_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l}$ have isomorphic residual representations. We are therefore in a position to apply Theorem 5.1 with the aim of deducing the automorphy of $\tilde{\delta} r_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota_l}$. Since we know that $r_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma', \iota_l}$ is automorphic, we just need to check that the hypotheses of this theorem are satisfied. Hypotheses (1)–(4), (6), and (7) hold by construction. (In particular, π is potentially unramified at the 2-adic places, so any associated 2-adic Galois representation is indeed potentially crystalline.) Hypothesis (8) holds by Lemma 3.2. We justify (5), namely the existence of a perfect subgroup $H \leq (\bar{r}_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l})^{ss}(G_F)$ containing regular semisimple elements.

By construction, $\mathrm{Proj} \bar{r}_{\gamma\pi, \iota_l}(G_F) = G_1$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_1}})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_1}})$ for some $l^{a_1} > \max(4r, 5)$, and $\mathrm{Proj} \bar{r}_{\sigma', \iota_l}(G_F) = G_2$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_2}})$ or $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_2}})$ for some $l^{a_2} > \max(4r, 5)$, and the intersection of the extensions

of F cut out by these two projective representations is at most quadratic. In particular, the image of the product of these two projective representations contains (a conjugate of) $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_1}}) \times \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_2}})$, and the image of $\bar{\tau}_{\gamma\pi,\iota} \times \bar{\tau}_{\sigma',\iota}$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_1}}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_2}})$. We take H to be the image of this conjugate under $(\bar{\tau}_{\gamma\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{\tau}_{\sigma',\iota})^{ss}$. It is a perfect group because $l^{a_1}, l^{a_2} > 5$. We need to show that we can find $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_1}}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^{a_2}})$ such that $g_1 \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} g_2$ is regular semisimple. We can choose $g_2 = \mathrm{diag}(x, x^{-1})$ for any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{l^{a_2}}^\times$ of order greater than $2(r-1)$, and then $g_1 = \mathrm{diag}(y, y^{-1})$ for any $y \in \mathbf{F}_{l^{a_1}}^\times$ avoiding the elements $\pm x^i$ ($i = r-1, r-2, \dots, 1-r$). This is possible since $l^{a_1} > 4r$. \square

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 7.1. We will show that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_\pi$, $r_{\gamma\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma',\iota}$ is automorphic. Taking γ to be the restriction of φ to \tilde{K}_π will then establish the result (note that with this choice of γ , $\gamma\pi$ is still ι -ordinary).

Since \mathbf{Q} admits no everywhere unramified extensions, Γ_π is generated by its inertia groups. More precisely, we can find prime numbers l_1, \dots, l_s , places w_1, \dots, w_s of K_π lying above these primes, and elements $\delta_i \in I_{w_i/l_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$) such that $\gamma = \delta_s \dots \delta_1$. We know by Lemma 7.3 that $r_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} r_{\sigma',\iota}$ is automorphic. We now apply Lemma 7.4 s times (at the i th step, taking $\delta = \delta_i$ and $\gamma = \delta_{i-1} \dots \delta_1$) to get the final result. \square

We prove a variant of Theorem 7.1 that will be useful for our main application.

Theorem 7.5. *Let F be a totally real field and let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. Let $M \geq \max(5, p^{a_0(p)})$. Let $0 < r < p$. Let $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an isomorphism and let π be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $\det r_{\pi,\iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$.
- (2) π is of weight 0 and for each place $v|p$ of F , π_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. In particular, π is ι -ordinary.
- (3) There exists a place v_0 of F such that $q_{v_0} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and π_{v_0} is tamely dihedral of order p .
- (4) There exists a place v_1 of F such that $q_{v_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and π_{v_1} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- (5) For each place $v \nmid pv_1$ of F , π_v is potentially unramified.
- (6) There exist prime numbers $t_1, t_2 > \max(4r, M)$ and places w_1, w_2 of F of residue characteristics $p_1, p_2 > 3$ unramified in F such that π_{w_i} is tamely dihedral of order t_i and p_1, p_2, t_1, t_2 are all distinct.
- (7) Let H/F denote the ray class field of modulus $(8) \cdot \{v|\infty\}$, and let S denote the set of places $v \neq w_1, w_2$ of F such that π_v is ramified, or such that v is ramified over \mathbf{Q} , or is of residue characteristic 2 or 3. Then w_1 splits in $H \cdot F(S)$ and w_2 splits in $H \cdot F(S \cup \{w_1\})$.

Let $\varphi_p \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ be an arithmetic Frobenius lift. Then $\bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $p^a > M$ and if SP_{r-1} , SP_r and SP_{r+1} hold then there exists an ι -ordinary RAESDC automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\bar{\tau}_{\Pi,\iota} \cong \varphi_p \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \bar{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}$.

Proof. We first remark that Lemma 4.2 shows that w_1 splits in $H \cdot F(S \cup \{w_2\})$. The hypotheses of Proposition 4.4 therefore apply to π and we conclude that for any

prime number l and isomorphism $\iota_l : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $\overline{r}_{\pi, \iota_l}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{l^b})$ for some $l^b > \max(4r, M)$. Employing the Khare–Wintenberger method as in Lemma 6.2, we can find another RAESDC automorphic representation π' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\det r_{\pi', \iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$ and π' is of weight 0.
- There is an isomorphism $\overline{r}_{\pi', \iota} \cong \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$.
- For each place $v \nmid pv_1$ of F , $r_{\pi, \iota}|_{G_{F_v}} \sim r_{\pi', \iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$. For each place $v|p$ of F , π'_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- There is an isomorphism $\mathrm{rec}_{F_{v_1}}(\pi'_{v_1}) \cong \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$ for characters $\chi_1, \chi_2 : F_{v_1}^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ such that $(\chi_1/\chi_2)|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{v_1}}^\times}$ has order p . In particular, π'_{v_1} is potentially unramified.

(As in the proof of Lemma 6.2, we need to verify that the corresponding local lifting ring at the place v_1 is non-zero; the situation considered here is simpler since we do not change the local behaviour at the p -adic places of F . This non-vanishing follows from the congruence modulo ϖ between the unipotent and tamely-ramified-type lifting rings, cf. [Tay08, §3] or indeed [Sho18]. We note that the same congruence is exploited in [SW01].)

The hypotheses of Proposition 4.4 still apply to π' (because π and π' are ramified at the same sets of places of F). Therefore the representations $\overline{r}_{\pi', \iota_l}$ also have large image. Theorem 7.1 applies to π' (because it is potentially unramified at every place $v \nmid p$ of F). We conclude the existence of an ι -ordinary RAESDC automorphic representation Π' of $\mathrm{GL}_{2r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\overline{r}_{\Pi', \iota} \cong \varphi^p \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{r}_{\pi, \iota}$. This completes the proof. \square

8. GETTING TO THE END

Proposition 8.1. *Let F be a totally real field and let π be a non-CM RAESDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, and let $0 < r < p$ be an integer. Then we can find a soluble totally real extension E/F , an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and a RAESDC automorphic representation π' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_E)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $\mathrm{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi, \iota}$ is automorphic if and only if $\mathrm{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi', \iota}$ is.
- (2) π' is of weight 0 and for each place $v|p$ of F , π'_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. In particular, π' is ι -ordinary.
- (3) $\det r_{\pi', \iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$.
- (4) There exists a place v_0 of E such that $q_{v_0} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and π'_{v_0} is tamely dihedral of order p .
- (5) There exists a place v_1 of E such that $q_{v_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and π'_{v_1} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- (6) For each place $v \nmid pv_1$ of E , π'_v is potentially unramified.
- (7) There exist prime numbers $t_1, t_2 > \max(4r + 2, p^{a_0(p)})$ and places w_1, w_2 of E of residue characteristics $p_1, p_2 > 3$ unramified in E such that π'_{w_i} is tamely dihedral of order t_i and p_1, p_2, t_1, t_2 are all distinct.
- (8) Let H/E denote the ray class field of modulus $(8) \cdot \{v|\infty\}$, and let S denote the set of places $v \neq w_1, w_2$ of E such that π'_v is ramified, such that v is ramified over \mathbf{Q} , or is of characteristic 2 or 3. Then w_1 splits in $H \cdot E(S)$ and w_2 splits in $H \cdot E(S \cup \{w_1\})$.

Proof. Applying [Tho22b, Proposition 7.7] (which is proved in the same way as [CT14, Propositions 5.3, 5.4]) and possibly making a further extension, we can find an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, a soluble totally real extension E/F , and a RAESDC automorphic representation π_0 of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_E)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) π_0 is of weight 0 and for each place $v|p$ of E , $\pi_{0,v}$ is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. In particular, π_0 is ι -ordinary.
- (b) $\det r_{\pi_0, \iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$.
- (c) $\overline{r}_{\pi_0, \iota}(G_E)$ contains a conjugate of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $a \geq a_0(p)$.
- (d) There exists a place $v_1 \nmid p$ of E such that $q_{v_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and π_{0,v_1} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- (e) $\mathrm{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi, \iota}$ is automorphic if and only if $\mathrm{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi_0, \iota}$ is automorphic.
- (f) For each place $v \nmid pv_1$ of E , $\pi_{0,v}$ is unramified.

Raising the level at a place v_0 of E such that $(\overline{r}_{\pi_0, \iota} \oplus \epsilon)(\mathrm{Frob}_{v_0}) = (\overline{r}_{\pi_0, \iota} \oplus \epsilon)(c)$, we can find another RAESDC automorphic representation π_1 of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_E)$ satisfying (a)–(d) above and such that $\overline{r}_{\pi_0, \iota} \cong \overline{r}_{\pi_1, \iota}$, π_1 is tamely dihedral of order p at v_0 , and π_1 is unramified at all places $v \nmid pv_0v_1$ of E . We may apply [Tho22b, Theorem 7.5], checking the hypotheses as in the proof of [Tho22b, Theorem 7.6], to conclude that (e) holds with π_0 replaced by π_1 .

Let S denote the union of $\{v_0, v_1\}$ with the set of places of E which are ramified over \mathbf{Q} or which have residue characteristic dividing $6p$. Let $M = \max(4r + 2, p^{a_0(p)})$. Choose a prime $t_1 > M$, split in $K_{\pi_1}(\sqrt{-1})$ and unramified in E , and an isomorphism $\iota_{t_1} : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{t_1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\overline{r}_{\pi_1, \iota_{t_1}}(G_E)$ is conjugate to a subgroup sandwiched between $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t_1})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t_1})$. Choose a place w_1 of E , prime to t_1 and every element of S , which splits in $H \cdot E(S)$, and such that $\mathrm{Proj} \overline{r}_{\pi_1, \iota_{t_1}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{w_1}) = \mathrm{Proj} \overline{r}_{\pi_1, \iota_{t_1}}(c)$. Raising the level at w_1 , we can find a RAESDC automorphic representation π_2 of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_E)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- There is an isomorphism $\overline{r}_{\pi_2, \iota_{t_1}} \cong \overline{r}_{\pi_1, \iota_{t_1}}$.
- π_2 is of weight 0 and for each place $v|p$ of E , $\pi_{2,v}$ is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. In particular, π_2 is ι -ordinary.
- $\det r_{\pi_2, \iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$.
- π_{2,v_1} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. π_2 is tamely dihedral of order p at v_0 and of order t_1 at w_1 .
- $\mathrm{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi, \iota}$ is automorphic if and only if $\mathrm{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi_2, \iota}$ is automorphic.
- For each place $v \nmid pv_0v_1w_1$ of E , $\pi_{2,v}$ is unramified.

Let p_1 denote the residue characteristic of w_1 . Now choose a prime $t_2 > \max(M, p_1, t_1)$, split in $K_{\pi_2}(\sqrt{-1})$ and unramified in E , and an isomorphism $\iota_{t_2} : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{t_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\overline{r}_{\pi_2, \iota_{t_2}}$ is conjugate to a subgroup sandwiched between $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t_2})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{t_2})$. Choose a place w_2 of E , prime to $p_1t_1t_2$ and every element of S , which splits in $H \cdot E(S \cup \{w_1\})$, and such that $\mathrm{Proj} \overline{r}_{\pi_2, \iota_{t_2}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{w_2}) = \mathrm{Proj} \overline{r}_{\pi_2, \iota_{t_2}}(c)$. Raising the level at w_2 , we can find a RAESDC automorphic representation π_3 of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_E)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- There is an isomorphism $\overline{r}_{\pi_3, \iota_{t_2}} \cong \overline{r}_{\pi_2, \iota_{t_2}}$.
- π_3 is of weight 0 and for each place $v|p$ of E , $\pi_{3,v}$ is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. In particular, π_3 is ι -ordinary.
- $\det r_{\pi_3, \iota} = \epsilon^{-1}$.

- π_{3,v_1} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. π_3 is tamely dihedral of order p at v_0 and of order t_1 at w_1 and of order t_2 at w_2 .
- $\text{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi,\iota}$ is automorphic if and only if $\text{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi_3,\iota}$ is automorphic.
- For each place $v \nmid pv_0v_1w_1w_2$ of E , $\pi_{3,v}$ is unramified.

Let p_2 be the residue characteristic of w_2 . Then the primes p_1, p_2, t_1, t_2 are distinct, by construction. The proof is complete on taking $\pi' = \pi_3$. \square

Corollary 8.2. *Let F be a totally real field and let π be a non-CM RAESDC automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$. Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, and let $0 < r < p$ be an integer. Suppose that SP_{r-1} , SP_r , and SP_{r+1} hold. Then we can find a soluble totally real extension E/F , an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and a RAESDC automorphic representation π' of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_E)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $\text{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi,\iota}$ is automorphic if and only if $\text{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi',\iota}$ is.
- (2) π' is of weight 0 and for each place $v|p$ of F , π'_v is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. In particular, π' is ι -ordinary.
- (3) $\overline{\tau}_{\pi',\iota}(G_E)$ contains a conjugate of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $a \geq a_0(p)$.
- (4) There exists a place $v_1 \nmid p$ such that π'_{v_1} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- (5) There exists an ι -ordinary RAESDC automorphic representation Π of $\text{GL}_{2r}(\mathbf{A}_E)$ such that $\overline{\tau}_{\Pi,\iota} \cong \varphi_p \overline{\tau}_{\pi',\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{\tau}_{\pi',\iota}$.

Proof. Let π' be as in Proposition 8.1. We see that π' satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 7.5, and the Corollary follows immediately from this. \square

Proposition 8.3. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, let $0 < r < p$ be an integer, and let F be a totally real field. Let π be a RAESDC automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) There exists an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that π is ι -ordinary and $\overline{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}(G_F)$ contains a conjugate of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^a})$ for some $a \geq a_0(p)$.
- (2) π has weight 0.
- (3) There exists a place $v_1 \nmid p$ such that π_{v_1} is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- (4) There exists an ι -ordinary RAESDC automorphic representation π_1 of $\text{GL}_{p-r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\overline{\tau}_{\pi_1,\iota} \cong \text{Sym}^{p-r-1} \overline{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}$.
- (5) There exists an ι -ordinary RAESDC automorphic representation π_2 of $\text{GL}_{2r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $\overline{\tau}_{\pi_2,\iota} \cong \varphi_p \overline{\tau}_{\pi,\iota} \otimes \text{Sym}^{r-1} \overline{\tau}_{\pi,\iota}$.

Then the $(p+r-1)^{\text{th}}$ symmetric power of π exists: there is an ι -ordinary RAESDC automorphic representation Π of $\text{GL}_{p+r}(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that $r_{\Pi,\iota} \cong \text{Sym}^{p+r-1} r_{\pi,\iota}$.

Proof. This is essentially [Tho22b, Theorem 7.6], except we replace the general assumption TP_r there (of the existence of $\text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_r \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2r}$ tensor product functoriality) with the weaker hypothesis (5), which is all that is actually used. \square

Theorem 8.4. *Conjecture SP_n holds for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. We prove it by induction on $n \geq 6$, the cases $n \leq 5$ being known already. In general we follow [Tho22b, Corollary 7.2]: choose a prime $p \geq 5$ such that $p < n < 2p$ and write $n = p + r$. Choosing a non-CM RAESDC automorphic representation π of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$, we see that the existence of $\text{Sym}^{n-1} \pi$ follows from Corollary 8.2, Proposition 8.3, and the induction hypothesis. \square

Finally, we deduce the following more general statement, which includes those automorphic representations associated to Hilbert modular forms of regular (but possibly non-paritious) weight.

Theorem 8.5. (1) *Let F be a totally real number field, and let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$, without CM, such that π_∞ is essentially square-integrable. Let $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \pi$ exists, in the sense that there is a cuspidal automorphic representation Π_n of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_F)$ such that for every place v of F , we have*

$$\mathrm{rec}_{F_v}(\Pi_{n,v}) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \circ \mathrm{rec}_{F_v}(\pi_v).$$

(2) *Let E be a CM number field, and let π be a RAECSDC automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_E)$ which is not automorphically induced from a quadratic extension. Let $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \pi$ exists, in the sense that there is a cuspidal automorphic representation Π_n of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_E)$ such that for every place w of E , we have*

$$\mathrm{rec}_{E_w}(\Pi_{n,w}) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} \circ \mathrm{rec}_{E_w}(\pi_w).$$

Proof. The first part follows from Theorem 8.4 and [Tho22b, Theorem 8.1]. The second part follows, in the case that π is RACSDC, from Theorem 8.4 and [CT17, Proposition 7.6]. The general case can be reduced to this one by twisting (using [CHT08, Lemma 4.1.4]). \square

REFERENCES

- [AC89] James Arthur and Laurent Clozel, *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 120, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [ANT20] Patrick B. Allen, James Newton, and Jack A. Thorne, *Automorphy lifting for residually reducible l -adic Galois representations, II*, Compos. Math. **156** (2020), no. 11, 2399–2422.
- [BG19] Rebecca Bellovin and Toby Gee, *G -valued local deformation rings and global lifts*, Algebra Number Theory **13** (2019), no. 2, 333–378.
- [BH11] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart, *Explicit functorial correspondences for level zero representations of p -adic linear groups*, J. Number Theory **131** (2011), no. 2, 309–331.
- [BK05] Kevin Buzzard and L. J. P. Kilford, *The 2-adic eigencurve at the boundary of weight space*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 3, 605–619.
- [BLGGT14] Thomas Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, and Richard Taylor, *Potential automorphy and change of weight*, Ann. of Math. (2) **179** (2014), no. 2, 501–609.
- [BLGHT11] Tom Barnet-Lamb, David Geraghty, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor, *A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **47** (2011), no. 1, 29–98.
- [Bor91] Armand Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [Car14] Ana Caraiani, *Monodromy and local-global compatibility for $l = p$* , Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 7, 1597–1646.
- [Che14] Gaëtan Chenevier, *The p -adic analytic space of pseudocharacters of a profinite group and pseudorepresentations over arbitrary rings*, Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 1, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 414, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014, pp. 221–285.
- [CHT08] Laurent Clozel, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor, *Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2008), no. 108, 1–181, With Appendix A, summarizing unpublished work of Russ Mann, and Appendix B by Marie-France Vignéras.

- [Clo90] Laurent Clozel, *Motifs et formes automorphes: applications du principe de fonctorialité*, Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L -functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), *Perspect. Math.*, vol. 10, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 77–159.
- [CT14] Laurent Clozel and Jack A. Thorne, *Level-raising and symmetric power functoriality, I*, *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), no. 5, 729–748.
- [CT15] ———, *Level raising and symmetric power functoriality, II*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **181** (2015), no. 1, 303–359.
- [CT17] ———, *Level-raising and symmetric power functoriality, III*, *Duke Math. J.* **166** (2017), no. 2, 325–402.
- [Die63] Jean Dieudonné, *La géométrie des groupes classiques*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963, Seconde édition, revue et corrigée.
- [Dim05] Mladen Dimitrov, *Galois representations modulo p and cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **38** (2005), no. 4, 505–551.
- [Dot18] Andrea Dotto, *Breuil–Mézard conjectures for central division algebras*, 2018.
- [DW11] Luis Dieulefait and Gabor Wiese, *On modular forms and the inverse Galois problem*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), no. 9, 4569–4584.
- [FL82] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Guy Laffaille, *Construction de représentations p -adiques*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **15** (1982), no. 4, 547–608 (1983).
- [Gee11] Toby Gee, *Automorphic lifts of prescribed types*, *Math. Ann.* **350** (2011), no. 1, 107–144.
- [Ger19] David Geraghty, *Modularity lifting theorems for ordinary Galois representations*, *Math. Ann.* **373** (2019), no. 3-4, 1341–1427.
- [Kis09] Mark Kisin, *Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti-Tate representations*, *Invent. Math.* **178** (2009), no. 3, 587–634.
- [KW09] Chandrashekhara Khare and Jean-Pierre Wintenberger, *Serre’s modularity conjecture. II*, *Invent. Math.* **178** (2009), no. 3, 505–586.
- [Lab11] J.-P. Labesse, *Les facteurs de transfert pour les groupes unitaires*, On the stabilization of the trace formula, *Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl.*, vol. 1, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011, pp. 411–421.
- [Mai02] Christian Maire, *On the \mathbf{Z}_l -rank of abelian extensions with restricted ramification*, *J. Number Theory* **92** (2002), no. 2, 376–404.
- [New22] James Newton, *Modularity of Galois representations and Langlands functoriality*, *Journal of the Indian Institute of Science* (2022).
- [NT] James Newton and Jack A. Thorne, *Adjoint Selmer groups of automorphic Galois representations of unitary type*, Preprint, to appear in *Journal of the EMS*, published online at <https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/1228>.
- [NT21a] ———, *Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **134** (2021), 1–116.
- [NT21b] ———, *Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms, II*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **134** (2021), 117–152.
- [Oht83] Masami Ohta, *On the zeta function of an abelian scheme over the Shimura curve*, *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)* **9** (1983), no. 1, 1–25.
- [Pat19] Stefan Patrikis, *Variations on a theorem of Tate*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **258** (2019), no. 1238, viii+156.
- [Sho18] Jack Shotton, *The Breuil–Mézard conjecture when $l \neq p$* , *Duke Math. J.* **167** (2018), no. 4, 603–678.
- [Sno18] Andrew Snowden, *Singularities of ordinary deformation rings*, *Math. Z.* **288** (2018), no. 3-4, 759–781.
- [SW01] C. M. Skinner and A. J. Wiles, *Base change and a problem of Serre*, *Duke Math. J.* **107** (2001), no. 1, 15–25.
- [Tay08] Richard Taylor, *Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations. II*, *Pub. Math. IHES* **108** (2008), 183–239.
- [Tho] Jack A. Thorne, *Reciprocity and symmetric power functoriality*, *Current Developments in Mathematics 2021–22*.
- [Tho12] Jack Thorne, *On the automorphy of l -adic Galois representations with small residual image*, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **11** (2012), no. 4, 855–920, With an appendix by Robert Guralnick, Florian Herzig, Richard Taylor and Thorne.

- [Tho15] Jack A. Thorne, *Automorphy lifting for residually reducible l -adic Galois representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 3, 785–870.
- [Tho22a] Jack A. Thorne, *On the vanishing of adjoint Bloch–Kato Selmer groups of irreducible automorphic Galois representations*, 2022.
- [Tho22b] ———, *A p -adic approach to the existence of level-raising congruences*, 2022.
- [Wil88] A. Wiles, *On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), no. 3, 529–573.
- [WWE19] Preston Wake and Carl Wang-Erickson, *Deformation conditions for pseudorepresentations*, Forum Math. Sigma **7** (2019), e20.