

INDUCTIVE ALGEBRAS FOR THE MOTION GROUP OF THE PLANE

PROMOD SHARMA AND M. K. VEMURI

ABSTRACT. Each irreducible representation of the motion group of the plane has a unique maximal inductive algebra, and it is self adjoint.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a separable locally compact group and π an irreducible unitary representation of G on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . An *inductive algebra* is a weakly closed abelian sub-algebra \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that is normalized by $\pi(G)$, i.e., $\pi(g)\mathcal{A}\pi(g)^{-1} = \mathcal{A}$ for each $g \in G$. If we wish to emphasize the dependence on π , we will use the term π -inductive algebra. A *maximal inductive algebra* is a maximal element of the set of inductive algebras, partially ordered by inclusion.

The identification of inductive algebras can shed light on the possible realizations of \mathcal{H} as a space of sections of a homogeneous vector bundle (see e.g. [5, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10]). For self-adjoint maximal inductive algebras there is a precise result known as Mackey's Imprimitivity Theorem, as explained in the introduction to [6]. Inductive algebras have also found applications in operator theory (see e.g. [3, 2]).

In Section 2, we recall the structure and representations of the motion group of the plane and set up the notation. The main result is proved in the Section 3.

2. THE INDUCTIVE ALGEBRAS

Recall (see [9, p155]) that the motion group of the plane is the semidirect product

$$M(2) = SO(2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with the standard action of $SO(2)$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . The group $M(2)$ may be identified with the group

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C}, |a| = 1 \right\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C33, 43A80.

Key words and phrases. Inductive algebra; Induced representation; Motion group; Lie algebra.

Let $G = M(2)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\rho_n \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = a^n,$$

then each ρ_n is a character of G . These, of course, have the trivial inductive algebra \mathbb{C} , which is self adjoint. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. Recall (see [9, p157]), the irreducible representations other than the characters of G are parameterized by a non zero real number ξ and act on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by the formula:

$$(\pi_\xi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) F)(z) = e^{i\xi \operatorname{Re}(b\bar{z})} F(a^{-1}z).$$

For each $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, let $m_\varphi : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$ be defined by $m_\varphi(F) = \varphi F$. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{m_\varphi \mid \varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})\}.$$

Then \mathcal{B} is a maximal-abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$, and \mathcal{B} is π_ξ -inductive. Therefore \mathcal{B} is a maximal π_ξ -inductive algebra. Moreover, it is self-adjoint. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *\mathcal{B} is the only maximal π_ξ -inductive algebra.*

3. THE PROOF

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . Let \mathcal{H}^∞ be the space of all smooth vectors in \mathcal{H} for the representation π_ξ . Recall that $v \in \mathcal{H}$ is smooth if the map $g \mapsto \pi_\xi(g)v$ is smooth mapping on G . The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} acts on \mathcal{H}^∞ [1]. Observe that \mathfrak{g} is span over \mathbb{R} of the matrices:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $e_n(z) = z^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (d\pi_\xi(L)e_n)(z) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e_n(e^{-it}z) \\ &= -ine_n(z), \\ (d\pi_\xi(M_x)e_n)(z) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e^{i\xi \operatorname{Re}(t\bar{z})} e_n(z) \\ &= i\xi \operatorname{Re}(\bar{z}) e_n(z) \\ &= i\xi \operatorname{Re}(z) e_n(z). \\ &= \frac{i\xi}{2} (e_{n+1}(z) + e_{n-1}(z)), \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(d\pi_\xi(M_y)e_n)(z) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (e^{i\xi\text{Re}(it\bar{z})}e_n)(z) \\
&= i\xi\text{Re}(i\bar{z})e_n(z) \\
&= \xi\text{Im}(z)e_n(z) \\
&= \frac{\xi}{2}(e_{n+1}(z) - e_{n-1}(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Extend $d\pi$ to a \mathbb{C} -linear map on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Let $M = M_y + iM_x$ and $\bar{M} = M_y - iM_x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d\pi_\xi(M)e_n &= d\pi_\xi(M_y)e_n + id\pi_\xi(M_x)e_n \\
&= -\xi e_{n-1}, \quad \text{and} \\
d\pi_\xi(\bar{M})e_n &= \xi e_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$K = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid |w| = 1 \right\}.$$

Then K is a compact subgroup of G . Let dk denote the Haar probability measure on K . For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\chi_m : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\chi_m \left(\begin{bmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = w^m.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a π_ξ -inductive algebra. For each $g \in G$ define a map $\kappa(g) : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $T \mapsto \pi_\xi(g)T\pi_\xi(g)^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_m = \{T \in \mathcal{A} \mid \kappa(g)T = \chi_m(g)T, g \in K\}$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, define $\Pi_m(T) = \int_K \pi_\xi(k)T\pi_\xi(k)^{-1}\overline{\chi_m(k)} dk$, then $\mathcal{A}_m = \Pi_m(\mathcal{A})$. By the Peter-Weyl theorem $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_m$ is sequentially dense in \mathcal{A} (see [8]). Note that if $T \in \mathcal{A}_m$ then $Te_n = c_{m+n}e_{m+n}$ for some $c_{m+n} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let \mathcal{A}^∞ be the collection of all smooth vectors in \mathcal{A} . We use the following facts from Section 3 of [8]:

- $\mathcal{A}_m \cap \mathcal{A}^\infty$ is sequentially dense in \mathcal{A}_m .
- $T \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ and $F \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ then $TF \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$.
- \mathcal{A}^∞ is invariant under $d\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ as well as $\kappa(G)$.

For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $T_X = [T, d\pi_\xi(X)]$. If $T \in \mathcal{A}_m \cap \mathcal{A}^\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
T_L(e_n) &= [T, d\pi_\xi(L)](e_n) \\
&= T(d\pi_\xi(L))(e_n) - d\pi_\xi(L)(Te_n) \\
&= T(-ine_n) - c_{m+n}d\pi_\xi(L)(e_{n+m}) \\
&= -inc_{m+n}e_{m+n} - c_{m+n}i(m+n)e_{m+n} \\
&= -inc_n e_{m+n}, \\
T_M(e_n) &= [T, d\pi_\xi(M)](e_n) \\
&= \xi(c_{m+n} - c_{m+n-1})e_{m+n-1}, \quad \text{and} \\
T_{\overline{M}}(e_n) &= [T, d\pi_\xi(\overline{M})](e_n) \\
&= \xi(c_{n+m+1} - c_{n+m})e_{n+m+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}I$, where I is the identity operator.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathbb{C}I \subset \mathcal{A}_0$. Let $T \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Then there exists $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Te_n = c_n e_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [T, T_M](e_n) \\
&= i\xi(c_{n+1} - c_n)^2 e_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $c_{n+1} = c_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Define $S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by the formula

$$S(e_n) = e_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 3. $\mathcal{A}_m = \mathbb{C}S^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The case $m = 0$ is Lemma 2. Let $T \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Then there exists $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Te_n = c_{n+1}e_{n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $T_M \in \mathcal{A}_0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T_M = kI$. Therefore $T_M e_n = ke_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(c_{1+n} - c_n)e_n &= ke_n, \\
\therefore \xi(c_{1+n} - c_n) &= k, \\
\therefore c_{1+n} &= c_n + \frac{k}{\xi}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{c_n\}$ is an arithmetic progression, i.e. there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_n = a + \frac{k}{\xi}n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since T is a bounded operator, it must be the case that $k = 0$. Thus there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_n = a$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $T = aS$. This proves the statement for $m = 1$. Now assume that the statement is true for $m = N$. Let $T \in \mathcal{A}_{N+1}$. Then there

exists $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Te_n = c_{N+n+1}e_{N+n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $T_M \in \mathcal{A}_N$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T_M e_n = ke_{n+N}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(c_{N+n+1} - c_{N+n})e_{N+n} &= ke_{N+n}, \\ \therefore \xi(c_{N+n+1} - c_{N+n}) &= k, \\ \therefore c_{N+n+1} &= \frac{k}{\xi} + c_{N+n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{c_n\}$ is an arithmetic progression, i.e. there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_n = a + \frac{k}{\xi}n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since T is a bounded operator, it must be the case that $k = 0$. Therefore there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_n = a$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $T = aS^{N+1}$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_{N+1} = \mathbb{C}S^{N+1}$. By induction it follows that $\mathcal{A}_m = \mathbb{C}S^m$ for $m > 0$. The case $m < 0$ is similar. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. W. Knap, *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton, NJ **36** (1986). MR 855239
2. Adam Korányi, *Homogeneous bilateral block shifts*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **124** (2014), no. 2, 225–233. MR 3218892
3. Amritanshu Prasad and M. K. Vemuri, *Inductive algebras and homogeneous shifts*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **4** (2010), no. 4, 1015–1027. MR 2735316
4. Promod Sharma and M. K. Vemuri, *Inductive algebras for compact groups*, arXiv arxiv.org/abs/2211.12763.
5. ———, *Inductive algebras for the affine group of a finite field*, Afr. Mat. **33** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 46, 4. MR 4404435
6. Giovanni Stegel, *Inductive algebras for trees*, Pacific J. Math. **216** (2004), no. 1, 177–200. MR 2094587
7. ———, *Even automorphisms of trees and inductive algebras*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (2006), no. 4, 521–552. MR 2242811
8. Tim Steger and M. K. Vemuri, *Inductive algebras for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$* , Illinois J. Math. **49** (2005), no. 1, 139–151. MR 2157372
9. Mitsuo Sugiura, *Unitary representations and harmonic analysis*, Kodansha Ltd., Tokyo; Halstead Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1975, An introduction. MR 0498995
10. M. K. Vemuri, *Realizations of the canonical representation*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **118** (2008), no. 1, 115–131. MR 2434197

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, IIT (BHU), VARANASI 221 005, INDIA