

# Differential Operators and Unifying Relations for 1-loop Feynman Integrands from Berends-Giele Currents

Qi Chen\*

*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

Yi-Xiao Tao†

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

## Abstract

We reproduce the differential operators and the unifying relations for 1-loop Feynman integrands between the Yang-Mills theory and the Yang-Mills scalar theory by the sewing procedure of the Berends-Giele currents. We also generalize the discussion to the (A)dS case for the scalar theory with a minimal coupling with gluons.

arXiv:2301.08043v2 [hep-th] 5 Mar 2023

---

\*Electronic address: [chenq20@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn](mailto:chenq20@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn)

†Electronic address: [taoyx21@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn](mailto:taoyx21@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn)

## Contents

<b>I. Introduction</b>	3
<b>II. Differential Operators and Unifying relations at the tree Level in Flat Spacetime</b>	4
A. Review on Unifying Relations	4
B. Semi-on-shell Methods Approach to Unifying Relations	5
<b>III. Loops in Minkowski spacetime</b>	9
<b>IV. Differential Operators and Unifying relations at the 1-loop Level in Flat Spacetime</b>	12
<b>V. Loops in (A)dS spacetime</b>	18
A. BG currents in (A)dS	19
B. The sewing procedure in (A)dS	20
C. 2-pt one loop correlation function	24
1. Feynman rule perspective	25
2. Sewing procedure perspective	26
<b>VI. Conclusion and Outlook</b>	27
<b>VII. Acknowledgement</b>	28
References	28

## I. INTRODUCTION

Unifying relations [1] reveal the implicit relations between the amplitudes of different theories. The on-shell factorization can reach the proof of these relations. Another way to get these relations is based on the Cachazo-He-Yuan (CHY) formalism [2, 3] by acting the operators on the CHY integrands [4]. These relations construct a unifying web that links many theories together and can also help us understand the universal expansion of the amplitudes at the tree level [5, 6]. Recently, another proof has been found in the recent work [7]. In this work, a corollary of the original unifying relations is proved by using Berends-Giele (BG) recursions [8] in (A)dS case, and this method can also be used in flat spacetime. Compared with the on-shell factorization, BG recursion has some advantages and disadvantages. On the one hand, BG recursion is a semi-on-shell method and its origin is Feynman rules, which means it is a very intuitive method and a direct way to generalize the on-shell things to off-shell; on the other hand, BG currents are gauge dependent, and we must keep in mind which gauge we have chosen during the calculation of the BG currents. We need to point out that there have been some other recursion methods in AdS, see [9–12]; and also in dS, see [13–18].

Recently, [19] pointed out that BG currents can also be used to construct 1-loop integrands. Precisely speaking, we can take all legs of the BG currents off-shell first and then “sew” two off-shell legs to get a loop integrand. This work allows us to generalize the proof of the unifying relations at the tree level to the 1-loop level. The previous proof at the 1-loop level is based on the so-called “forward limit” [20], which can glue 2 on-shell legs to be a loop in the CHY formalism and obtain the corresponding differential operators for the 1-loop integrand [21]. Another way to the off-shell recursion relation at the loop level has been given in [22], based on the Dyson–Schwinger equation. This paper will focus on the unifying relations between the Yang-Mills (YM) theory and the Yang-Mills scalar (YMS) theory at the 1-loop level and reproduce the differential operators for 1-loop integrands by using BG currents. After discussing the flat case, we generalize the sewing procedure to the (A)dS case and discuss the relation between the YM theory and the conformally coupling scalar theory with gluon minimal coupled, which is a more concerned case in cosmology. Another way to the loop amplitudes in AdS can be found in [23].

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we review how to prove unifying relations at the tree level by using BG currents. In section III, we review how to sew two off-shell legs of the off-shell BG currents. In section IV, we first discuss how to obtain differential operators from the sewing procedure by a simple example: the scalar theory with a gluon minimal coupling; then we show how to construct a multi-trace YMS current and give a brief proof of the unifying relations between the YM theory and the YMS theory at the 1-loop level based on BG recursion. In section V, we generalize the sewing procedure to (A)dS and discuss the unifying relations in (A)dS.

## II. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS AND UNIFYING RELATIONS AT THE TREE LEVEL IN FLAT SPACETIME

In this section, we review some of the elegant unifying relations that reveal some intrinsic connections between theories that look different formally. In particular, we focus on a specific unifying relation which can be viewed as a simplified corollary from the unifying relation between YM and YMS. In such a corollary, the scalar is minimally coupled to gluons. Moreover, we introduce an off-shell method to prove the unifying relation between pure YM and scalars minimally coupled to gluons. In this section, all of our discussion is in the Minkowski spacetime.

### A. Review on Unifying Relations

From the Lagrangian perspective, it is evident that YMS theory is somewhat different from pure YM theory. However, their amplitudes at the tree level have intrinsic connections. Concretely speaking, the amplitudes of pure YM theory can be transferred into YMS amplitudes through some differential operators, which the on-shell kinematics can determine and gauge invariance [1, 4, 24]. Recent research has found that such differential operators can even be generalized to the 1-loop amplitudes [21, 25]. We should note that the YM and YMS theory, the bi-adjoint scalar (BS) theory, and even the gravity theory can have connections in amplitudes perspective. This paper mainly focuses on the unifying relation between YM and YMS. Let us explicitly write down the unifying relation between YM and YMS for color-ordered amplitudes:

$$A_{\text{YMS}} = \mathcal{T}[i_1 j_1] \mathcal{T}[i_2 j_2] \cdots \mathcal{T}[i_n j_n] \cdot A_{\text{YM}} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}[ij]$  is the differential operator transferring the color-ordered amplitude for one theory to another theory:

$$\mathcal{T}[ij] = \partial_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j}. \quad (2)$$

Here  $\epsilon_i$  is the polarization vector of the  $i$ -th particle. We need to point out that the particles denoted by indices in each differential operator  $\mathcal{T}[\alpha]$  are in the same trace after  $\mathcal{T}[\alpha]$  acting on a certain amplitude. By the way, the differential operators  $\mathcal{T}[ij]$  are also called “trace operator”. For  $|\alpha| \geq 2$ , the operator  $\mathcal{T}[\alpha]$  is

$$\mathcal{T}[\alpha] = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_n} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_{i-1} \alpha_i \alpha_n}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{ij} = \mathcal{T}[ij]$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{ijk} = \partial_{k_i \epsilon_j} - \partial_{k_k \epsilon_j}$  with  $k_i$  the momentum of the  $i$ -th particle.

We should mention that the unifying relation between YM and YMS theory (1) can also be valid for partial trace operators. Here, the partial trace operators mean we can construct

the differential operators for several external legs rather than the total ones. In other words, the partial trace operators can only transfer some of the gluons in pure YM amplitudes to scalars and obtain a mixed amplitude with both gluons and scalars. For example, consider a 4-pt gluon amplitude  $A_{\text{YM}}(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4)$ , then we can act the partial trace operator  $\mathcal{T}[123]$  to obtain a mixed amplitude  $A_{\text{YMS}}(\phi_1\phi_2\phi_3, g_4)$ :

$$A_{\text{YMS}}(\phi_1\phi_2\phi_3, g_4) = \mathcal{T}[123] \cdot A_{\text{YM}}(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4). \quad (4)$$

An important corollary of the unifying relations (1) is the relations between the YM theory and the scalar with a minimal coupling with gluons [7]. In this case, no  $\phi^3$  and  $\phi^4$  vertices exist, and scalars have only one color index. This case is equivalent to the case that we choose the second color group of the YM scalar to be the  $U(1)$  group (the first color group is the gauge group). We define that the scalars separated from other scalars by gluon propagators are in the same trace, just a generalization of the trace for scalars in the general YMS theory. Obviously, each trace can only have 2 scalars. Scalars have only one color index means that we cannot distinguish different trace structures of a certain set of scalars by Feynman rules and that we have to sum over all possible trace structures to get the color-ordered amplitudes we want. Hence the unifying relations for the YM theory and the YMS theory can be modified as follows so that they hold for the scalar theory with a minimal coupling with gluons:

$$\mathcal{T}^X A_{\text{YM}}(g_1, g_2 \cdots g_n) = A_{\text{S}}(\phi_X, g_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}\setminus X}) \quad (5)$$

where  $A_{\text{S}}$  is the amplitude of the scalar theory with a minimal coupling with gluons, and  $\phi_X$  means that the letters in  $X \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  correspond to scalar legs in  $A_{\text{S}}$  and so as  $g_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}\setminus X}$  for gluon legs. Here  $\mathcal{T}^X$  means we pair the letters in the word  $X$  to get a product of  $\mathcal{T}[ij]$  based on this pairing method and then sum over all pairing methods. For example, we have  $\mathcal{T}^{1234} = \mathcal{T}[12]\mathcal{T}[34] + \mathcal{T}[13]\mathcal{T}[24] + \mathcal{T}[14]\mathcal{T}[23]$ . Note that  $|X|$ , the length of the word  $X$ , must be even, or we will get zero because in such a theory we cannot have an amplitude with odd number scalar legs. In the following discussion, we also denote the connection between minimally coupled scalar and YM theory at amplitudes as unifying relations. Moreover, to avoid ambiguity from the terminology of the unifying relation, we will quote the corresponding equations (1) and (5) respectively.

## B. Semi-on-shell Methods Approach to Unifying Relations

In previous work, the unifying relations between YM and YMS (1) were proved by the on-shell recursive methods[1] and have been found to be consistent with the CHY formulae[4, 24]. In flat spacetime, the factorization and on-shell methods have been well developed. However, in curved spacetime, especially dS spacetime, the study for such factorization methods is still not comprehensive. Thus, to generalize the unifying relation (1) to curved spacetime, we

introduce a method that can escape the subtlety of the factorization in curved spacetime. The BG currents recursion stands out as its semi-on-shell (semi-on-boundary in (A)dS) property [7, 8, 26]. In this subsection, we take the unifying relations between minimally coupled scalar and YM theory (5) as an example and investigate the proof for (5) through the BG currents.

The  $n$ -pt BG currents can be defined from the  $n$ -pt tree level correlation functions with an external leg being off-shell. Meanwhile, the BG currents are also a solution of the non-linear equation of motion [27, 28]. BG currents can be approached systematically through the perturbiner formalism[29]. To show such a procedure in more detail, let us take the YM theory as an example. The equation of motion for YM can be written as:

$$\square \mathbf{A}_\mu = -i[\mathbf{A}^\nu, \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}] + i[\mathbf{A}^\nu, \partial_\nu \mathbf{A}_\mu], \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a$  is the Lie algebra valued gluon field with Lie group generators  $T^a$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathbf{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathbf{A}_\mu - i[\mathbf{A}_\mu, \mathbf{A}_\nu]$  is the Lie algebra valued field strength tensor. We should note that we consider the Lorenz gauge here. Moreover, the multi-particle solution to (6) can be solved through the following perturbiner expansion ansatz:

$$\mathbf{A}_\mu = \sum_P \mathcal{A}_{P\mu} e^{ik_{P\cdot x}} T^{a_P} \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} = i \sum_P \mathcal{F}_P^{\mu\nu} T^P e^{ik_{P\cdot x}} \quad (8)$$

where  $P$  is a bunch of letters  $I = i_1 \cdots i_s$  and each  $i_s$  represents for a single particle state. Here  $k_{P\mu} = k_{1\mu} + \cdots + k_{s\mu}$  is the total momentum for the multi-particle state and  $T^{a_P} = T^{a_{i_1}} \cdots T^{a_{i_s}}$ . The Lie algebra valued  $\mathbf{A}_\mu$  which is in the adjoint representation of some gauge group, should satisfy the shuffle identity[27, 30, 31]:

$$\mathcal{A}_{P\sqcup Q}^\mu = \mathcal{F}_{P\sqcup Q}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad P, Q \neq \emptyset \quad (9)$$

where  $\sqcup$  means we sum over all the permutations of the labels in  $P \cup Q$  with the ordering of labels in  $P$  and  $Q$  preserved respectively. It is valuable to note that the perturbiner expansion ansatz (6) can be understood as the Taylor expansion of the structure constant  $f^{abc}$  for some gauge group. The expansion coefficients  $\mathcal{A}_{P\mu}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_P^{\mu\nu}$  do not contain any color degrees of freedom. These color-stripped coefficients are the BG currents[8, 27, 31]. Substituting the perturbiner expansion ansatz (7) and shuffle identity(9) into the equation of motion (6), we can obtain the BG currents for gluons:

$$-s_P \mathcal{A}_P^\mu = \sum_{P=XY} [\mathcal{A}_X^\mu (k_X \cdot \mathcal{A}_Y) + \mathcal{A}_{X\nu} \mathcal{F}_Y^{\mu\nu} - (X \leftrightarrow Y)] \quad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_Y^{\mu\nu} = k_Y^\mu \mathcal{A}_Y^\nu - k_Y^\nu \mathcal{A}_Y^\mu - \sum_{Y=RS} (\mathcal{A}_R^\mu \mathcal{A}_S^\nu - \mathcal{A}_S^\mu \mathcal{A}_R^\nu). \quad (11)$$




FIG. 1: Binary representations for Lie monomials. (a) is the diagrammatic representation for  $[[1,2],3]$  and (b) represents the Lie algebra structure for  $[1,[2,3]]$ .

Here  $1/s_P$  is the propagator for the off-shell leg, and the deconcatenation sum  $P = XY$  represents the sum over divisions, including all the order-preserving ways of splitting the  $P$  into  $XY$ , like  $P = 12345$  and  $X = 123$ ,  $Y = 45$ . We should note that each deconcatenation can be diagrammatically represented as a binary tree[32]. This thing is desired to explain more in the following. Substituting the perturbiner expansion ansatz (7) and shuffle identity (9) into the equation of gluon motion(6), we can obtain some Lie monomials like  $[[T^1, T^2], T^3]$  (for simplicity, in the following discussion, we denote  $T^i$  as  $i$  and the Lie monomials  $[[T^1, T^2], T^3]$  can be denoted as  $[[1, 2], 3]$ .) This Lie monomial corresponds to such a binary tree: 1 and 2 are two different branches originating from the vertex; then 1 and 2, as a whole, together with 3 are also two different branches from a new vertex. These can be diagrammatically represented by the binary tree FIG. 1 (a), and the deconcatenation  $P = XY$ ,  $X = RS$  for this binary tree is  $P = 123$ ,  $X = 12$ ,  $Y = 3$ ,  $R = 1$ ,  $S = 2$ . For the 3-pt BG currents, there is another deconcatenation:  $P = 123$ ,  $X = 1$ ,  $Y = 23$ ,  $R = 2$ ,  $S = 3$ ; this can be diagrammatically represented as FIG. 1 (b). The full 3-pt gluon BG current can be obtained from the sum of the two binary trees. More generally, for  $n$ -pt BG currents, the full currents can be derived from the sum of all possible deconcatenation, and each deconcatenation relates to a unique binary tree, which can be understood as a Lie monomials version of Feynman diagrams.

The recursive BG currents for minimally coupled scalar can be derived as the gluon case. First, let us write down the equation of motion for scalars coupling to gluons minimally. Now, we have turned on the interaction between gluons and scalars. Therefore, the equation of motion for gluons should also be modified:

$$\square \mathbf{A}_\mu = -i[\mathbf{A}^\nu, \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}] + i[\mathbf{A}^\nu, \partial_\nu \mathbf{A}_\mu] - \mathbf{J}_\mu \quad (12)$$

$$\square \phi = i[\partial_\mu \phi, \mathbf{A}^\mu] - i[\mathbf{A}^\mu, \partial_\mu \phi] + [[\mathbf{A}^\mu, \phi], \mathbf{A}_\mu], \quad (13)$$

where  $\mathbf{J}_\mu = [(i\partial_m \phi + [\mathbf{A}_m, \phi]), \phi]$  is the interaction current between the minimal coupled scalar and gluons. We note that we still choose the Lorenz gauge here. For the scalar in the adjoint representation of gauge group, the multi-particle perturbiner expansion ansatz can

be organized as

$$\phi = \sum_I \phi_I(z) T^{a_I} e^{ik_I \cdot x}. \quad (14)$$

Similarly, substituting the scalar perturbiner expansion ansatz (14) into the equation of motion, we can obtain the BG currents for the scalars minimally couple to gluons:

$$\begin{aligned} -s_P \phi_I &= \sum_{I=JK} [2\phi_J(k_J \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K) - (J \leftrightarrow K)] \\ &+ \sum_{I=JKL} [(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_J \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K)\phi_L - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_J \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L)\phi_K + (J \leftrightarrow L)]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here we have added the tilde to distinguish the BG currents for pure YM theory and the gluons in minimal coupled to scalars. And  $1/s_P$  is the propagator for scalars which is the same as gluons as their equation of motion coincident at linear order. For the gluon BG currents with the interaction, we should add the interaction current into the BG currents we have derived in the pure gauge case (10):

$$\mathcal{J}_{I\mu} = \sum_{I=JK} (-k_{J\mu}\phi_J\phi_K + k_{K\mu}\phi_K\phi_J) + \sum_{I=JKL} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{J\mu}\phi_K\phi_L - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{K\mu}\phi_J\phi_L - \phi_J\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{K\mu}\phi_L + \phi_J\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{L\mu}\phi_K] \quad (16)$$

The starting points of the BG currents are the one-point current or the single particle state. The initial condition for the gluons is just the single particle polarization function  $\epsilon_i$ . And for the scalars, the initial condition is the wave function  $\phi_i$ , which satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation. We should remind that the  $n$ -pt correlation function can be constructed from the  $(n-1)$ -pt BG currents:

$$A_{\text{YM}}(1, 2, \dots, N) = s_P \mathcal{A}_N \cdot \mathcal{A}_{1\dots N-1}, \quad (17)$$

$$A_{\text{S}}(1, 2, \dots, N) = s_P \phi_N \phi_{1\dots N-1}. \quad (18)$$

In the following, we will outline the proof of the relation (5). The detailed proof can refer to our previous work where we have presented the complete full for unifying relations (5) in (A)dS[7]. First, let us emphasize again that the deconcatenation sum in the BG currents can be represented as a unique binary tree. Thus, our proof will focus on an arbitrary given binary tree. We will show that even for an arbitrary binary tree, the BG current for gluons and minimally coupled scalars can be related by differential operators. Naturally, the differential operators can also connect the total BG currents for such two theories. Finally, according to the definition of correlation function (17), we can show the unifying relations between gluons and minimally coupled scalars (5).

The key point of our proof is the recursive BG currents. As we have argued above, the differential operators  $\mathcal{T}^X$  in (5) can also connect the gluons and minimally coupled scalars at the BG current level, which can be organized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{In} \mathcal{A}_I \cdot \epsilon_n &= (-1)^{\frac{|I|-1}{2}} \phi_I \quad (|I| \text{ odd}) \\ \mathcal{T}^I \mathcal{A}_I \cdot v &= (-1)^{\frac{|I|}{2}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_I \cdot v \quad (|I| \text{ even}) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$



The vector  $v_\mu$  can be any vector, such as a momentum vector or a polarization vector. Remind that the tilde represents the gluons minimally coupled to scalars. The total BG currents are the sum of all possible deconcatenation, and we can show that for each given deconcatenation, the relations (19) still hold.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^{I^n} \mathcal{A}_I^\Gamma \cdot \epsilon_n &= (-1)^{\frac{|I|-1}{2}} \phi_I^\Gamma \quad (|I| \text{ odd}) \\ \mathcal{T}^I \mathcal{A}_I^\Gamma \cdot v &= (-1)^{\frac{|I|}{2}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_I^\Gamma \cdot v \quad (|I| \text{ even})\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Here the upper label  $\Gamma$  represents a specific deconcatenation or binary tree. For example, the 3-pt BG current has two different deconcatenation:  $\Gamma = [[1, 2], 3]$  and  $\Gamma = [1, [2, 3]]$ . Thus, we just need to prove the BG current  $\mathcal{A}_I^\Gamma$  both for  $\Gamma = [[1, 2], 3]$  and  $\Gamma = [1, [2, 3]]$  satisfy (20), and then the total BG currents  $\mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}_I^{[[1, 2], 3]} + \mathcal{A}_I^{[1, [2, 3]]}$  would satisfy (19) obviously. The story is the same for higher point BG currents, and we can approach higher point proof by induction. The calculations during the induction procedure are quite straightforward, and for more details, readers can refer to our previous work[7]. Once we prove the connections between BG currents (19), the proof for unifying relation in correlation function perspective (5) can also be straightforward. As we just need to substitute the first equation in (19) into the definition of correlation function (17).

In the following discussion, we will show that the unifying relation (5) can be generalized to loop level, and the proof succeeds from the tree level result through the ‘‘sewing procedure’’, which tells us how to construct the loop integrand from tree level correlation function.

### III. LOOPS IN MINKOWSKI SPACETIME

Starting with the tree-level off-shell currents, we can construct the loop integrands through the sewing procedure originating from [19]. This section reviews the sewing procedure for BG currents in Minkowski spacetime. Briefly speaking, from the recursive BG currents, we need to take the currents with all external legs off-shell first. Then, we sew two legs together to get a loop integrand. Finally, we should recover the cyclic completion by hand and remove the redundant terms. In the following discussion, we take the YM theory as an example to show the sewing procedure in more detail.

The perturbative methods for YM theory were first proposed from multi-gluons scattering in [8]. We use such perturbative methods to derive the BG currents for pure YM theory. Before our calculation, we need to emphasize some subtleties. For the derivation of recursive BG currents at the tree level, the gauge fixing is trivial, while at the loop level, the gauge fixing should be considered carefully as the Faddeev-Popov ghosts. Contrary to II, we consider the  $\xi = 1$  gauge in the following discussion and mainly focus on the gluon part only. For the ghost contribution, people can refer to the previous literature [19]. Then the Lagrangian containing the gauge fixing term can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{A}^\mu)^2 + \partial^\mu b (\partial_\mu c - i[\mathbf{A}_\nu, c]),\tag{21}$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathbf{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathbf{A}_\mu - i[\mathbf{A}_\mu, \mathbf{A}_\nu]$  is the field strength tensor and  $\mathbf{A}_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a$  is the Lie algebra valued gauge field. And  $b$  and  $c$  are the Lie-algebra valued ghost field. To build up the BG current recursion, we should first write down the equation of motion for gauge fields in  $\xi = 1$  gauge:

$$\square \mathbf{A}_\mu = -i[\mathbf{A}^\nu, \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}] + i\partial_\nu[\mathbf{A}^\nu, \mathbf{A}_\mu] - i\{\partial_\mu b, c\}. \quad (22)$$

The multi-particle solution to (7) can be solved through the same perturbative expansion ansatz(7) as in Lorenz gauge. The ghost parts can be calculated similarly to what we have done for gauge fields, and we just ignore the details here. Substituting the ansatz for both gauge fields (7) and ghost fields into the equation of motion (22), we can obtain the off-shell currents for YM theory with ghost fields contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} s_P \mathcal{A}_P^\mu &= \sum_{P=QR} [k_R^\mu b_{RCQ} + \mathcal{A}_Q^\nu \mathcal{A}_R^\rho (k_{P\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu + k_{R\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu + k_Q^\mu \eta_{\nu\rho}) - (Q \leftrightarrow R)] \\ &+ \sum_{P=QRS} [\mathcal{A}_Q^\nu \mathcal{A}_R^\rho \mathcal{A}_S^\sigma (\eta_{\nu\sigma} \delta_\rho^\mu - \eta_{\nu\rho} \delta_\sigma^\mu) + (Q \leftrightarrow S)]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Here  $s_P = -k_P^2$  is the Mandelstam variables, and the sums over  $P = RS$  and  $Q = TU$  denote deconcatenations of the word  $P$  into  $R$  and  $S$ , and the word  $Q$  into  $T$  and  $U$ , respectively. For example, consider a three-particle solution where  $P = ijk$  then  $P = RS$  means we have  $(R, S) = (i, jk), (ij, k)$ .

To continue our discussion, let us give some remarks on the BG currents, including both gauge fields and ghost fields first. Here, the momentum  $k$  will not be null for the off-shell gluon leg. Note that this current only coincides with BG current at the multi-particle level. For single-particle states, the off-shell currents will give nothing but a formal vector  $\mathcal{A}_{i\mu}$ , not the polarization vector  $\epsilon_{i\mu}$ . For simplicity, we will not distinguish  $\mathcal{A}_{i\mu}$  and  $\epsilon_{i\mu}$  from now on. We just keep in mind that for on-shell legs  $k_i \cdot \epsilon_i$  vanishes while for off-shell legs not. To construct the loop integrand, we should first single out one leg and sew such off-shell leg with another together to generate the whole loop integrand. To show this explicitly, we can recast the BG currents  $P' = lP$  with a single leg  $l$ :

$$\begin{aligned} s_{P'} \mathcal{A}_{P'}^\mu &= [k_P^\mu b_{PCl} + \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{A}_P^\rho (k_{lP\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu + k_{P\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu + k_l^\mu \eta_{\nu\rho}) - (l \leftrightarrow P)] \\ &+ \sum_{P=QR} [\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{A}_Q^\rho \mathcal{A}_R^\sigma (\eta_{\nu\sigma} \delta_\rho^\mu - \eta_{\nu\rho} \delta_\sigma^\mu) + (l \leftrightarrow R)] \\ &+ \sum_{P=QR} [k_{lQ}^\mu b_{lQC_R} + \mathcal{A}_{lQ}^\nu \mathcal{A}_R^\rho (k_{lQR\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu + k_{R\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu + k_{lQ}^\mu \eta_{\nu\rho}) - (lQ \leftrightarrow R)] \\ &+ \sum_{P=QRS} [\mathcal{A}_{lQ}^\nu \mathcal{A}_R^\rho \mathcal{A}_S^\sigma (\eta_{\nu\sigma} \delta_\rho^\mu - \eta_{\nu\rho} \delta_\sigma^\mu) + (lQ \leftrightarrow S)] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Remind that the initial condition for gluon currents is the one-particle state  $\mathcal{A}_i^\mu = \epsilon_i^\mu$  while for the ghost field, we consider the absence of one-particle state  $b_l = c_l = 0$ . Then the gluon

BG currents  $\mathcal{A}_{P'}^\mu$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{P'}\mathcal{A}_{P'}^\mu &= \mathcal{A}_{P\rho}[\delta_\mu^\rho(k_{lP} + k_P)_\nu + \delta_\nu^\rho(k_l - k_P)_\mu - \eta_{\mu\nu}(k_l + k_{lP})^\rho] \\
&\quad + \sum_{P=QR} (2\delta_\mu^\rho\delta_\nu^\sigma - \delta_\mu^\sigma\delta_\nu^\rho - \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\rho\sigma})\mathcal{A}_{Q\rho}\mathcal{A}_{R\sigma} \\
&\quad + \sum_{P=QR} [\delta_\mu^\sigma(k_{lP} + k_R)^\rho - \delta_\mu^\rho(k_{lP} + k_{lQ})^\sigma + \eta^{\rho\sigma}(k_l + k_Q - k_R)_\mu]\mathcal{J}_{Q\rho\nu}\mathcal{A}_{R\sigma} \\
&\quad + (2\delta_\gamma^\rho\delta_\mu^\sigma - \eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\gamma} - \delta_\gamma^\sigma\delta_\mu^\rho) \sum_{P=QRS} \mathcal{J}_{Q\rho\nu}\mathcal{A}_{R\sigma}\mathcal{A}_S^\gamma.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The amplitudes can be constructed from BG current by multiplying the off-shell leg polarization  $\epsilon_n^\mu$  with momentum  $k_n^\mu$  and imposing the momentum conservation constraint simultaneously.

$$A(l, P, n) = \lim_{k_{lPn}} s_{lP}\mathcal{A}_{lP\mu}\epsilon_n^\mu = \lim_{k_{lPn}\rightarrow 0} s_{lP}(\epsilon_l^\nu\mathcal{J}_{P\mu\nu})\epsilon_n^\mu \tag{26}$$

It shows that the sewing procedure can be carried out as follow. First, we should replace the polarization of two off-shell legs to be the propagator:  $\epsilon_l^\mu\epsilon_n^\mu \rightarrow \eta^{\mu\nu}/k_l^2$ . Then, we should also impose the momentum conservation condition:  $k_l^\mu = -k_n^\mu$ . Consequently, we can obtain a look-alike one-loop integrand:  $I^{1\text{-loop}}(P) \approx \eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{J}_{P\mu\nu}$  for a single trace color-ordered correlator. We should note that the first two terms above after the sewing contribute to the tadpole, and we would remove such diagrams explicitly. Then the one-loop gluon integrand can be written as

$$I_{\text{gluon}}^{1\text{-loop}}(P; l) = \eta^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{P\mu\nu}(l) \tag{27}$$

where we have imposed the momentum conservation condition:  $k_P^\mu = 0$  and  $l$  is the loop momentum  $l^\mu = k_l^\mu$ . And  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{P\mu\nu}(l)$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{P\mu\nu}(l) &= \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{P=[QR]} \mathcal{J}_{Q\rho\mu}\mathcal{A}_{R\sigma}[\delta_\nu^\sigma(k_R + l)^\rho - \delta_\nu^\rho(k_Q + 2l)^\sigma + \eta^{\rho\sigma}(2k_Q + l)_\nu] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{P=[QRS]} \mathcal{J}_{Q\rho\mu}\mathcal{A}_{R\sigma}\mathcal{A}_{S\gamma}(2\delta_\nu^\sigma\eta^{\gamma\rho} - \eta^{\sigma\gamma}\delta_\nu^\rho - \delta_\nu^\gamma\eta^{\rho\sigma})
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Here  $[QR]$  represents the cyclic completion. That is, we should sum over  $P = [QR]$  consisting of all inequivalent cyclic permutations of a given deconcatenation of  $P$ . For  $P = [RS]$ , we take the usual deconcatenation of  $P$  into the non-empty words  $R$  and  $S$ , then add  $|S| - 1$  cyclic permutations in  $P$ . For example, the word  $P = 1234$ , the usual deconcatenations are

$$(R, S) = (1, 234), (12, 34), (123, 4) \tag{29}$$

The operation  $P = [RS]$  leads instead to

$$\begin{aligned}
(R, S) &= (1, 234), (2, 134), (3, 412), \cancel{(4, 123)}, \\
&\quad (12, 34), (23, 41), \cancel{(34, 12)}, \cancel{(41, 23)}, \\
&\quad (123, 4), \cancel{(234, 1)}, \cancel{(341, 2)}, \cancel{(412, 3)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Here the term with a backslash is equivalent to one of the terms left. And the operation  $P = [QRS]$  is simply the sum of all deconcatenation plus the cyclic permutation, i.e., we do not need to strip any term.

We should note that the gluon one-loop integrand (27) contributes to the single-trace partial amplitudes. And the total one-loop amplitudes for gluons can be systematically constructed from Del Duca-Dixon-Maltoni color decomposition [33, 34]. Let us consider the construction of total gluon amplitudes from its partial amplitudes  $A_{n;0}$  with  $n = |P|$ :

$$A_{\text{total}}^{\text{1-loop}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}/\mathcal{R}} c_n(\sigma) A_{n;0}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n). \quad (31)$$

Here  $\sigma$  is the color order, and  $c_n(\sigma)$  is the color basis defined by nested commutators of the group generators:

$$c_n(\sigma) = \text{tr} (T^a [T^{a_{\sigma_1}}, [\dots, [T^{a_{\sigma_{n-1}}}, [T^{a_{\sigma_n}}, T^a] \dots]]]). \quad (32)$$

And in the sum,  $S_{n-1}$  represents the permutations of  $(n-1)$  legs and  $\mathcal{R}$  denotes the reflection. The partial amplitude  $A_{n;0}$  is what we consider in this paper.

**Summary:** At the end of this section, let us summarize the sewing procedure in Minkowski spacetime. The first step is computing BG currents with all external legs off-shell. We should write down the BG currents from some specific equation of motion first. The second step is to single out an external leg from the word of the BG currents (usually the first letter) and take the other legs on-shell, after which there are 2 off-shell legs left. The third step is sewing the two off-shell legs. Precisely speaking, we replace the two formal polarization vectors from the two off-shell legs with the propagator. Finally, we should recover the cyclic completion by hand and remove the redundant terms. There is an ambiguity we should especially pay attention to. We would better carry out all the calculations in  $\xi = 1$  gauge instead of the Lorenz gauge since, in the second step, we should pick up a leg to be off-shell, and if we impose Lorenz gauge, then the expression  $k_\mu \mathcal{A}^\mu$  will cause some misleading with the transverse condition. Therefore, to emphasize that we have no transverse condition this time, it is convenient to choose the  $\xi = 1$  gauge in the sewing procedure.

#### IV. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS AND UNIFYING RELATIONS AT THE 1-LOOP LEVEL IN FLAT SPACETIME

In this section, we will prove the unifying relations at the 1-loop level using the sewing procedure on BG currents. First, let us show a simple case. For scalar theory with a minimal coupling with gluons, we can obtain the off-shell scalar currents with all legs gluon (in this

case  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{P\mu} = \mathcal{A}_{P\mu}$  for any word  $P$ ) in  $\xi = 1$  gauge:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_P \phi_P &= \sum_{P=QR} [(k_P \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q \phi_R + k_R \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q \phi_R) - (Q \leftrightarrow R)] \\
&+ \sum_{P=QRS} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S \phi_R - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R \phi_S + (Q \leftrightarrow S)],
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where the gluon currents with a tilde denote the gluon currents, including scalar coupling terms, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_P^\mu &= \sum_{P=QR} [k_R^\mu b_{RCQ} + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q^\nu \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R^\rho (k_{P\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu + k_{R\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu + k_Q^\mu \eta_{\nu\rho}) + k_R^\mu \phi_R \phi_Q - (Q \leftrightarrow R)] \\
&+ \sum_{P=QRS} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q^\nu \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R^\rho \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S^\sigma (\eta_{\nu\sigma} \delta_\rho^\mu - \eta_{\nu\rho} \delta_\sigma^\mu) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q^\mu \phi_R \phi_S - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R^\mu \phi_Q \phi_S + (Q \leftrightarrow S)].
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Then we let  $P' = lP$  to choose a leg  $l$ , which will be sewed with the leg  $n$  in the next step. We write down the current with an explicit label  $l$  here:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{P'} \phi_{P'} &= (k_{lP} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_l \phi_P + k_P \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_l \phi_P) - (l \leftrightarrow P) \\
&+ \sum_{P=QR} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_l \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R \phi_Q - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_l \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q \phi_R + (l \leftrightarrow R)] \\
&+ \sum_{P=QR} [(k_{lP} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{lQ} \phi_R + k_R \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{lQ} \phi_R) - (lQ \leftrightarrow R)] \\
&+ \sum_{P=QRS} [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{lQ} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S \phi_R - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{lQ} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R \phi_S + (lQ \leftrightarrow S)]
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Then we take particles in the word  $P$  on-shell, and let  $\phi_l \Phi_P = \phi_{lP}$ . Moreover, here we only consider a loop with a scalar running in it as an intuitive discussion, so  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{lP}$  must vanish. Otherwise, the loop we obtain will include gluon propagators. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{lP} \Phi_P^s &= -k_{lP} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_P - k_l \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_P - \sum_{P=QR} (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R) \\
&+ \sum_{P=QR} [-k_{lP} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R \Phi_Q^s - k_{lQ} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R \Phi_Q^s] \\
&+ \sum_{P=QRS} [-\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S \Phi_Q^s],
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where the upper label  $s$  means we only consider the scalar loop terms. Similar to section III, the loop pre-integrand is (we have stripped the tadpole terms, and for the loop diagram we have  $k_P^\mu = 0$ )

$$-\tilde{\Phi}_P^s = \frac{1}{l^2} \left\{ \sum_{P=[QR]} (k_Q + 2l) \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R \Phi_Q^s + \sum_{P=[QRS]} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_S \Phi_Q^s \right\}, \tag{37}$$

while we also have the modified currents for the YM theory.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{P\mu\nu} = & \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{P=[QR]} \mathcal{J}_{Q\rho\sigma} \mathcal{A}_{R\sigma} (k_R + l)^\rho - \mathcal{J}_{Q\rho}^\rho \mathcal{A}_{R\sigma} (k_Q + 2l)^\sigma + \mathcal{J}_Q^{\rho\nu} \mathcal{A}_{R\rho} (2k_Q + l)_\nu \\ & + \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{P=[QRS]} 2\mathcal{J}_{Q\rho}^\sigma \mathcal{A}_{R\sigma} \mathcal{A}_S^\rho - \mathcal{J}_{Q\rho}^\rho (\mathcal{A}_R \cdot \mathcal{A}_S) - \mathcal{J}_{Q\rho\sigma} \mathcal{A}_R^\rho \mathcal{A}_S^\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Consider the following operator:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial d}, \quad (39)$$

Here  $d$  is the dimension comes from  $\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu\nu}$ . The terms where  $d$  comes from can only be found in  $\mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu\nu}$ . For BG currents, unifying relations also hold for  $\xi = 1$  gauge using the method in [7]. So if we want to turn the gluon loop into the scalar loop, we only need to act  $\mathcal{T}[ln]$  on the pure gluon currents first to set the legs  $l$  and  $n$  be scalars in the same trace, which means that there is no gluon propagator between them, and then sew these two legs together. The only terms survive from  $\mathcal{T}[ln]$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{lP} \cdot \epsilon_n = \epsilon_{l\mu}\epsilon_{n\nu}\mathcal{J}_P^{\mu\nu}$  correspond to the terms in  $\mathcal{J}_{P\mu\nu}$  proportional to  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ . Hence if we replace the  $\epsilon_{l\mu}\epsilon_{n\nu}$  with  $\eta_{\mu\nu}/l^2$ , we will get terms proportional to  $\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu\nu} = d$ . Then the operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial d}$  acting on the loop amplitudes is equivalent to the operator  $\mathcal{T}[ln]$  acting on the BG currents and then sewing the legs  $l$  and  $n$  together. From the analysis above, it is not hard to find that only the terms proportional to  $\mathcal{J}_{P\rho}^\rho$ , which contains  $\eta_\rho^\rho = d$  in it, survive, i.e., the surviving terms are

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{P\mu\nu} \sim \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{P=[QR]} -\mathcal{J}_{Q\rho}^\rho \mathcal{A}_{R\sigma} (k_Q + 2l)^\sigma + \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{P=[QRS]} -\mathcal{J}_{Q\rho}^\rho (\mathcal{A}_R \cdot \mathcal{A}_S). \quad (40)$$

We impose the ansatz:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial d} \mathcal{J}_{P\rho}^\rho = \Phi_P^s \quad (41)$$

Here  $\Phi$  is the scalar loop integrand with all external legs gluons. Then this ansatz can easily be proved by induction, which means we have the method to transmute a gluon loop to a scalar loop. However, there will be more subtleties if we also want to transmute the gluon legs to scalar legs. The unifying relation at the tree level for the YM theory and the scalar theory with a gluon minimally coupling requires a sum of some operators. However, the sewing procedure constrains that if we only want to get a scalar loop, we must take a trace operator  $\mathcal{T}[ln]$ , which means we cannot get all operators in the sum and the unifying relation has to be failed. If we want to transmute both the loop and the legs, the scalar running in the loop and the external scalars cannot be in the same trace. We can summarize this as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}^X \frac{\partial}{\partial d} \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}^X \mathcal{T}[ln] \quad (42)$$

where we use  $\mathcal{S}$  to denote the sewing procedure. The equation above means that the unifying relation is still valid if we want to get a loop integrand with a scalar loop and arbitrary external legs. We will focus on this case in the following discussions.

In curved spacetime, tadpole diagrams may contribute. Hence we still need to find the unifying relations between them. For the YM theory, the tadpole terms are

$$\mathcal{A}_{P\rho}[(k_{lP} + k_P)^\rho + (k_l - k_P)^\rho - d(k_l + k_{lP})^\rho] + \sum_{P=QR} (1-d)\mathcal{A}_Q \cdot \mathcal{A}_R, \quad (43)$$

while for scalars, we have

$$-k_{lP} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_P - k_l \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_P - \sum_{P=QR} (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_Q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_R) \quad (44)$$

Obviously, they are the same after acting  $\frac{\partial}{\partial d}$  on the tadpole terms of the YM theory.

When we consider the total YM loop-integrand, we must consider ghost loops. Thus the behavior of the ghost loops after acting  $\frac{\partial}{\partial d}$  is important for the unifying relation. First of all, we write down the ghost currents:

$$\begin{aligned} -s_P b_P &= \sum_{P=QR} b_Q(k_Q \cdot \mathcal{A}_R) - (Q \leftrightarrow R) \\ -s_P c_P &= \sum_{P=QR} c_Q(k_P \cdot \mathcal{A}_R) - (Q \leftrightarrow R) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Then we single out an off-shell ghost leg  $l$ , and then let  $b_{lP} = b_l \mathcal{B}_P$ ,  $c_{lP} = c_l \mathcal{C}_P$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -s_{lP} \mathcal{B}_P &= k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_P + \sum_{P=QR} \mathcal{B}_Q(k_{lQ} \cdot \mathcal{A}_R) \\ -s_{lP} \mathcal{C}_P &= k_{lP} \cdot \mathcal{A}_P + \sum_{P=QR} \mathcal{C}_Q(k_P \cdot \mathcal{A}_R) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Not hard to find that there will not be a  $\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu\nu}$  anywhere. The 1-loop integrands are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_P &= -\frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{P=[QR]} \mathcal{B}_Q(k_{lQ} \cdot \mathcal{A}_R) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_P &= -\frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{P=[QR]} \mathcal{C}_Q(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_R), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

which have no contribution to the scalar loop.

In fact, things are better for the general YMS theory since we do not need to sum over operators and can easily transmute both loop and legs. Now we consider this more non-trivial case. The Lagrangian of the YMS theory is

$$\mathcal{L}_{YMS} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \phi^A)^a (D^\mu \phi^A)^a - \frac{g^2}{4} f^{abe} f^{ecd} \phi^{Aa} \phi^{Bb} \phi^{Ac} \phi^{Bd} + \frac{1}{3!} \lambda g F^{ABC} f^{abc} \phi^{Aa} \phi^{Bb} \phi^{Cc}, \quad (48)$$

and the equation of motion of the YMS scalars is (let the YM coupling constant be 1 and choose  $\xi = 1$  gauge)

$$\square\phi = [\phi^B, [\phi, \phi^B]] - \frac{1}{2}\lambda[[\phi, \phi]] - 2i[\partial_\mu\phi, A^\mu] + i[\partial_\mu A^\mu, \phi] - [[A^\mu, \phi], A_\mu], \quad (49)$$

where the  $\lambda$  is the scalar coupling. We use the following perturbiner ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \sum_P \phi_P T^P \\ \tilde{A}_\mu &= \sum_P \tilde{A}_{P\mu} T^P \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_P &= \sum_{\text{all possible traces}} \phi_{P|Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{m-1}, Q_m} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \text{tr}(\tilde{T}^{Q_i}) \tilde{T}^{Q_m} \\ \tilde{A}_{P\mu} &= \sum_{\text{all possible traces}} \tilde{A}_{P|Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{m-1}, Q_m\mu} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \text{tr}(\tilde{T}^{Q_i}), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where for the color factor for gluons and the first color of bi-adjoint scalars  $T^P = T^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_n}$  for  $P = p_1 \dots p_n$  and so as the color factor for the second color of scalars  $\tilde{T}^P$ . Note that here  $Q_m$  for scalar currents can include only one letter while other  $Q_i$  at least 2. The word  $Q$  with a trace  $\text{tr}(\tilde{T}^Q)$  can be empty, and in this case we let  $\text{tr}(\tilde{T}^Q) = 1$ . Another important thing we want to emphasize is that for the words in traces, like  $\text{tr}(\tilde{T}^Q)$ , the coefficients, or the BG currents, are invariant under the cyclic permutation of  $Q$ . Thus the sum of all possible traces is up to a cyclic permutation of the words in the traces, such as  $\text{tr}(T^Q)$ . The reason for using this ansatz is that the trace structure is determined by the Feynman rules and depends on the initial conditions we impose. Thus if we want to choose some scalar external legs as our initial conditions, we must add the trace structures of these scalars as a part of the initial conditions. We do not need to take the generators in  $Q_m$  into the trace formalism because particles in  $Q_m$  are in the same trace as the off-shell leg  $n$ ; thus this trace part will take the trace operation only after multiplying the one-particle state of the leg  $n$  and generating the amplitudes. Then if we still keep the color factors, after the trace operation, we will get the correct color-ordered amplitudes with the corresponding traces of the generators. In other words, the bi-adjoint property of the scalars allows us to give a more precise classification of the terms in our ansatz.

We choose the basis of the Lie algebra satisfying  $\text{tr}(T^A T^B) = \delta^{AB}$ , then we have

$$\phi_P^B \phi_R^B = \sum_{\text{all possible trace in } Q, S} \phi_{P|Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{m-1}, Q_m} \phi_{R|S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{k-1}, S_k} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \text{tr}(\tilde{T}^{Q_i}) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \text{tr}(\tilde{T}^{S_j}) \text{tr}(\tilde{T}^{Q_m} \tilde{T}^{S_k}) \quad (52)$$



We can write down the BG current:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -k_P^2 \phi_{P|P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{m-1}, P_m} = \\
& \sum_{P=XY} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{P_i=JK} \left[ \sum_{Y=CD} \phi_{C|P \setminus P_i \cap C, P_m} (\phi_{X|P \setminus P_i \cap X, J} \phi_{D|P \setminus P_i \cap D, K} + \sum_{KJ=RS} \phi_{X|P \setminus P_i \cap X, R} \phi_{D|P \setminus P_i \cap D, S}) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (C \leftrightarrow D) \right] \right. \\
& \left. - \sum_{P_m=JK} \lambda (\phi_{X|P \cap X, J} \phi_{Y|P \cap Y, K}) + \phi_{X|P \cap X, P_m} (k_X \cdot \tilde{A}_{Y|P \cap Y}) + \phi_{X|P \cap X, P_m} (k_P \cdot \tilde{A}_{Y|P \cap Y}) \right. \\
& \left. + \tilde{A}_{X|P \cap X} \cdot \left( \sum_{Y=CD} \tilde{A}_{C|P \cap C} \phi_{D|P \cap D, P_m} - (C \leftrightarrow D) \right) \right] - [X \leftrightarrow Y]
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where the notation like  $P \cap X$  denote the traces in  $P$  which all letters are also in the word  $X$ , and the notation  $P \setminus P_i$  means that we strip the letters of  $P_i$  in  $P$ . The term with  $\sum_{KJ=RS}$  may be confusing. We need to point out that these terms come from the terms like  $\phi_X^A \phi_Y^A$ , which already have a trace factor. Then from  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ , we need to consider all possible combinations, which leads to  $\sum_{KJ=RS}$ . In fact, after doing this, the currents are invariant under the cyclic permutation of  $P_i$ . We need to point out that for the trace  $P_m$ , the trace to which the off-shell leg belongs, we cannot do this operation since the generator of the off-shell leg is not included in it, and there is no trace operation for the series of the generator  $T^{P_m}$ . For gluon currents of the YMS theory, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -k_P^2 \tilde{A}_{P|P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{m-1}, P_m \mu} = \sum_{P=XY} k_{Y\mu} (\tilde{A}_{X|P \cap X} \cdot \tilde{A}_{Y|P \cap Y}) - \tilde{A}_{Y|P \cap Y \mu} (k_Y \cdot \tilde{A}_{X|P \cap X}) \\
& - \tilde{A}_{Y|P \cap Y \mu} (k_P \cdot \tilde{A}_{X|P \cap X}) - k_{Y\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{P_i=JK} (\phi_{X|P \setminus P_i \cap X, J} \phi_{Y|P \setminus P_i \cap Y, K} + \sum_{KJ=RS} \phi_{X|P \setminus P_i \cap X, R} \phi_{Y|P \setminus P_i \cap Y, S}) \\
& - \sum_{Y=CD} [\tilde{A}_{C|P \cap C \mu} [\tilde{A}_{X|P \cap X} \cdot \tilde{A}_{D|P \cap D} \\
& \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{P_i=JK} (\phi_{X|P \setminus P_i \cap X, J} \phi_{D|P \setminus P_i \cap D, K} + \sum_{KJ=RS} \phi_{X|P \setminus P_i \cap X, R} \phi_{D|P \setminus P_i \cap D, S}) \right] \\
& - \tilde{A}_{D|P \cap D \mu} [\tilde{A}_{X|P \cap X} \cdot \tilde{A}_{C|P \cap C} \\
& \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{P_i=JK} (\phi_{X|P \setminus P_i \cap X, J} \phi_{C|P \setminus P_i \cap C, K} + \sum_{KJ=RS} \phi_{X|P \setminus P_i \cap X, R} \phi_{C|P \setminus P_i \cap C, S}) \right]] - [X \leftrightarrow Y]
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The unifying relations can be proved by proving the relations for BG currents [7]. In this case, things are manifest if we let  $\lambda = 2$ . Such coupling constants can be restored by considering the types of external legs and the trace structures of the currents. In fact, in this case, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}[P_1] \mathcal{T}[P_2] \cdots \mathcal{T}[P_m n] (A_P \cdot \epsilon_n) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^m |P_i| - m} \phi_{P|P_1, \dots, P_m} \\
& \mathcal{T}[P_1] \mathcal{T}[P_2] \cdots \mathcal{T}[P_m] (v \cdot A_P) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^m |P_i| - m} v \cdot \tilde{A}_{P|P_1, \dots, P_m}
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Here the tilde is used to distinguish the gluon currents in YMS theory and YM theory. The factor  $(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^m |P_i| - m}$  comes from the opposite sign between some 3-pt currents and the Feynman rules. In addition to the case discussed in [7], the  $\phi^3$  vertices also have this subtlety. It is not hard to prove (55), and we only write down some key points. For a sub-current of the total BG current  $A_{P\mu}$ , say,  $A_{X\mu}$ , if  $P_i \subset X$ , then we will have  $\mathcal{T}[P_i]A_X \cdot v = (-1)^{|P_i|-1}A_{X|P_i} \cdot v$  and  $\mathcal{T}[P_i n]A_X \cdot \epsilon_n = (-1)^{|P_i|-1}\phi_{X|P_i}$ . If  $P_i$  is not a subset of  $X$  but  $P_i \cap X \neq \emptyset$  and  $P_i \setminus X$  is in the word of another sub-current, say  $A_{Y\mu}$ , which is in the same term as the former one, then we will get a deconcatenation of  $P_i = JK$  (we let  $J \subset X$  and  $K \subset Y$ . If we cannot set  $P_i$  to such a case by cyclic permutation, then it will lead to 0. If we can, then such operation corresponds to the terms with  $\sum_{KJ=RS}$  in the currents of the YMS theory), and after acting the corresponding operators, we will get  $\mathcal{A}_{X|J\mu}(\phi_{X|J})$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{Y|K\mu}(\phi_{Y|K})$ . Note that if  $J$  is not a subset of  $X$  then  $\mathcal{A}_{X|J\mu}$  will be zero, which means a deconcatenation sum  $\sum_{P_i=JK}$  is equivalent to select the suitable  $J$  and  $K$  to be  $J \subset X$  and  $K \subset Y$ , and this lead to the formalism in the YMS currents. Another important thing is that when  $\mathcal{T}[P_i]$  ( $P_i \cap X \neq \emptyset$  and  $P_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ ) acts on the term like  $A_{Y\mu}k_Y \cdot A_X$ , the word  $P_i$  will be “broken” into two pieces, and each current obtain a part. One can verify this by investigating the concrete form of the operator  $\mathcal{T}[P_i]$ . Then similar to the process in [7], we can prove (55).

The next step is sewing a scalar loop. WLOG, we choose the first letter in  $P$  to be the scalar sewed with the off-shell leg. This procedure can also be reached by acting  $\mathcal{T}[lP_m n]$  on the YM currents and then sewing the leg  $l$  and the off-shell leg. For there is a  $\mathcal{T}[ln]$  in  $\mathcal{T}[lP_n n]$ , the operator for loop integrand can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}[P_1]\mathcal{T}[P_2] \cdots \mathcal{T}[P_{m-1}]\partial_{k_l \cdot \epsilon_{\alpha_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{|P_m|-1} \partial_{k_{\alpha_i} \cdot \epsilon_{\alpha_{i+1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial d} \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}[P_1]\mathcal{T}[P_2] \cdots \mathcal{T}[lP_m n], \quad (56)$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is the  $i$ -th letter in  $P_m$ . Note that in BG current, the momentum of the off-shell leg  $k_{n\mu}$  will not appear explicitly, thus the operator  $\mathcal{T}_{ijn} = \partial_{k_i \epsilon_j} - \partial_{k_n \epsilon_j}$  can also be written as  $\partial_{k_i \epsilon_j}$  in the operator  $\mathcal{T}[P_m n]$ .

In the following discussion, we focus on the conformally coupled scalar with gluon minimal coupled, which is a special case for the above YMS theory, by setting the second color group of the scalars to be  $U(1)$  group. The results of the scalar with gluon minimal coupled with different types of legs can be derived easily from the results of the YMS theory [7].

## V. LOOPS IN (A)DS SPACETIME

This section considers the loop integrand construction via the sewing procedure in (A)dS. Similar to section III, we still review the gluon equation of motion and BG currents in (A)dS. For the experience of the sewing procedure in flat space, we expect that we can generalize such procedure to curved spacetime. And in this section, we show the expected sewing procedure in (A)dS. And we also give some examples to present the concrete steps to

sewing a loop integrand from the tree-level currents. Then, we calculate some (A)dS gluon loop integrands from the traditional Feynman rules and compare them with the results from the sewing procedure. With the loop integrand sewing from the tree-level BG currents, we expect the unifying relation would inherit from the tree-level correlation function[7]. At the final of this section, we consider the gluons conformally coupled to scalars as an example to show the unifying relation at the loop level in (A)dS.

### A. BG currents in (A)dS

We consider the  $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$  in the Poincaré patch where the metric can be parameterized as

$$ds^2 = \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{z^2}(dz^2 + \eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu), \quad (57)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the radius and  $0 < z < \infty$ . Here  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is the flat boundary metric with Lorentzian signature and  $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$ . It should be noted that the  $dS_{d+1}$  metric can be derived from (57) through analytical continuation  $z \rightarrow -i\eta$  and  $l \rightarrow -i\mathcal{R}$  after taking the boundary metric to be Euclidean.

For the same reason as the flat case, we also consider the boundary  $\xi = 1$  gauge instead of the boundary Lorenz gauge here. Then the equation of motion for gluons in the Poincaré patch can be written as

$$g^{np}\partial_p\mathbf{F}_{mn} = ig^{np}[\mathbf{A}_p, \mathbf{F}_{mn}] + \mathbf{J}_m + g^{np}(\Gamma_{mp}^q\mathbf{F}_{qn} + \Gamma_{np}^q\mathbf{F}_{mq}) - \frac{1}{\xi}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\delta_m^\rho\partial_\mu\mathbf{A}_\nu. \quad (58)$$

We should mention that the index  $\rho$  in the last term should not be summed over and is just a notation to remind us that such a term only contributes to the equation of motion for boundary terms. The last term is the gauge fixing term. As we have said in the Minkowski spacetime, we also choose the boundary  $\xi = 1$  gauge instead of the boundary transversal gauge to avoid ambiguities in the loop integrand sewing procedure.

Similar to what we have done in the boundary Lorenz gauge, we can evaluate the multi-particle solution of the equation of motion through the same perturbative expansion ansatz even in  $\xi = 1$  gauge:

$$\mathbf{A}_\mu(x, z) = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{z} \sum_I \mathcal{A}_{I\mu}(z) T^{a_I} e^{ik_I \cdot x}, \quad (59)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_z(x, z) = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{z} \sum_I \alpha_I(z) T^{a_I} e^{ik_I \cdot x}, \quad (60)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_m(x, z) = \sum_I \mathcal{J}_{Im}(z) T^{a_I} e^{ik_I \cdot x}. \quad (61)$$

Here, we have denoted the subscript  $\mu$  as the boundary component and  $z$  as the bulk component. Substituting the ansatz into the equation of motion, we can derive the recursion for

gluons in  $\xi = 1$  gauge:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{z^2}(\mathcal{D}_I^2 + d - 1)\mathcal{A}_{I\mu} &= ik_{I\mu}(\partial_z + \frac{2-d}{z})\alpha_I - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{z}\mathcal{J}_{I\mu} + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{z}\sum_{I=JK}\{(k_{K\mu}\alpha_K + 2i\partial_z\mathcal{A}_{K\mu})\alpha_J \\
&\quad + k_{K\mu}(\mathcal{A}_J \cdot \mathcal{A}_K) + \mathcal{A}_{K\mu}[i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z})\alpha_J - k_I \cdot \mathcal{A}_J - k_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_J] - (J \leftrightarrow K)\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{z^2}\sum_{I=JKL}\{[\alpha_J\alpha_K\mathcal{A}_{L\mu} + (\mathcal{A}_J \cdot \mathcal{A}_K)\mathcal{A}_{L\mu} - (K \leftrightarrow L)] \\
&\quad + [\alpha_K\alpha_L\mathcal{A}_{J\mu} + (\mathcal{A}_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_L)\mathcal{A}_{J\mu} - (J \leftrightarrow K)]\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

The bulk component should also be solved recursively, and we can find that the bulk component is mixed with the boundary term and such two equations should be solved simultaneously.

$$\begin{aligned}
k_I^2\alpha_I &= \frac{\mathcal{R}}{z}\sum_{I=JK}[\alpha_K(k_I \cdot \mathcal{A}_J) + \alpha_K(k_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_J) - \alpha_J(k_I \cdot \mathcal{A}_K) - \alpha_J(k_J \cdot \mathcal{A}_K) \\
&\quad + i(\mathcal{A}_J \cdot \partial_z\mathcal{A}_K) - i(\mathcal{A}_K \cdot \partial_z\mathcal{A}_J)] \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{z}\mathcal{J}_{Iz} + \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{z^2}\sum_{I=JKL}[\alpha_K(\mathcal{A}_J \cdot \mathcal{A}_L) - \alpha_L(\mathcal{A}_J \cdot \mathcal{A}_K) + (J \leftrightarrow L)],
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

We should emphasize that we do not impose the Lorenz gauge:  $k_I \cdot \mathcal{A}_I = 0$ . The interaction current [7, 26] between gluons and minimally coupled scalars in (A)dS can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{I\mu} &= \sum_{I=JK}(-k_{J\mu}\phi_J\phi_K + k_{K\mu}\phi_K\phi_J) \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{z}\sum_{I=JKL}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{J\mu}\phi_K\phi_L - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{K\mu}\phi_J\phi_L - \phi_J\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{K\mu}\phi_L + \phi_J\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{L\mu}\phi_K] \\
\mathcal{J}_{Iz} &= \sum_{I=JK}(-i\phi_J\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_z\phi_K) + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{z}\sum_{I=JKL}[\tilde{\alpha}_J\phi_K\phi_L - \tilde{\alpha}_K\phi_J\phi_L - \phi_J\tilde{\alpha}_K\phi_L + \phi_J\tilde{\alpha}_K\phi_L].
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Here, the boundary and bulk components are also mixed. And for cosmological application, we focus on the minimally coupled scalar conformally coupled with gluons. And we denote such scalar currents as  $\phi$ .

## B. The sewing procedure in (A)dS

With the BG currents for scalars and gluons above, we want to generalize the sewing procedure to (A)dS case, and then construct the 1-loop integrands of the YM theory and the scalar theory in (A)dS and discuss the unifying relation between them.

At the beginning of the subsection, we focus on the boundary gluons loop integrand construction. Analog to the sewing procedure in flat spacetime, let us pick up a leg first

from the gluon BG currents and set it to be in bulk. An important thing we need to point out here is that the integral of the radial component for vertices connected by the bulk legs should be stripped first and then restored after the sewing procedure. Thus the currents we consider must have two varieties for general terms and one variety for tadpole terms. For the boundary component of the gluon BG currents, we have (from now on, we always take the (A)dS radius  $\mathcal{R} = 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{z^2}(\mathcal{D}_{I'}^2 + d - 1)\mathcal{A}_{I'\mu} &= ik_{lI\mu}(\partial_z + \frac{2-d}{z})\alpha_{lI} + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{I'=lI} \{(k_{I\mu}\alpha_I + 2i\partial_z\mathcal{A}_{I\mu})\alpha_l \\
&\quad + k_{I\mu}(\mathcal{A}_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_I) + \mathcal{A}_{I\mu}[i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z})\alpha_l - k_{lI} \cdot \mathcal{A}_l - k_I \cdot \mathcal{A}_l] - (l \leftrightarrow I)\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{I'=lJK} \{[\alpha_l\alpha_J\mathcal{A}_{K\mu} + (\mathcal{A}_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_J)\mathcal{A}_{K\mu} - (J \leftrightarrow K)] \\
&\quad + [\alpha_J\alpha_K\mathcal{A}_{l\mu} + (\mathcal{A}_J \cdot \mathcal{A}_K)\mathcal{A}_{l\mu} - (l \leftrightarrow J)]\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{I'=lJ|K} \{(k_{K\mu}\alpha_K + 2i\partial_z\mathcal{A}_{K\mu})\alpha_{lJ} \\
&\quad + k_{K\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{lJ} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K) + \mathcal{A}_{K\mu}[i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z})\alpha_{lJ} - k_{lJK} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{lJ} - k_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_{lJ}] - (lJ \leftrightarrow K)\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{I'=lJ|K|L} \{[\alpha_{lJ}\alpha_K\mathcal{A}_{L\mu} + (\mathcal{A}_{lJ} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K)\mathcal{A}_{L\mu} - (K \leftrightarrow L)] \\
&\quad + [\alpha_K\alpha_L\mathcal{A}_{lJ\mu} + (\mathcal{A}_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_L)\mathcal{A}_{lJ\mu} - (lJ \leftrightarrow K)]\} - \frac{1}{z}\mathcal{J}_{lI\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Similar to the pre-integrand in the Minkowski spacetime, such terms in the first four lines will contribute to the tadpole diagrams. In flat spacetime, the tadpole diagrams vanish after dimensional regularization. However, in (A)dS spacetime, the propagator has an effective mass, which means the tadpoles also contribute. From the experience of the flat case, it is not hard to find that only the two legs sewed together are both boundary gluon legs will lead to the terms survive from  $\mathcal{T}[ln]$  before the sewing procedure. Thus we will only consider this case in the following discussion. We denote  $\mathcal{A}_{lI\nu} = \mathcal{A}_l^\mu \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}$  for those boundary legs picked out from boundary currents and  $\alpha_{lI} = \mathcal{A}_l^\mu \mathcal{K}_{I\mu}$  for boundary legs originating from bulk currents.

For the case that the two legs to be sewed are both the boundary component  $\mathcal{A}_{l\mu}$  (which

means that  $\alpha_l = 0$ ), the boundary component current can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{z^2}(\mathcal{D}_{I'}^2 + d - 1)\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{I\mu\nu} &= ik_{lI\mu}(\partial_z + \frac{2-d}{z})\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{I\nu} - \frac{1}{z}\mathcal{J}_{II\mu} + \frac{1}{z}\{-2i\partial_z\mathcal{A}_{l\mu}\alpha_I \\
&+ (k_{I\mu} - k_{l\mu})(\mathcal{A}_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_I) - \mathcal{A}_{I\mu}(k_{lI} \cdot \mathcal{A}_l) - \mathcal{A}_{I\mu}(k_I \cdot \mathcal{A}_l) \\
&- \mathcal{A}_{l\mu}[i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z})\alpha_I - k_{lI} \cdot \mathcal{A}_I - k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_I]\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{I'=IJK} \{[(\mathcal{A}_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_J)\mathcal{A}_{K\mu} - (J \leftrightarrow K)] \\
&+ [\alpha_J\alpha_K\mathcal{A}_{l\mu} + (\mathcal{A}_J \cdot \mathcal{A}_K)\mathcal{A}_{l\mu} - (\mathcal{A}_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_K)\mathcal{A}_{J\mu}]\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{z} \sum_{I'=IJ|K} \{(k_{K\mu}\alpha_K + 2i\partial_z\mathcal{A}_{K\mu})\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu} - (k_{lJ\mu}\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu} - 2i\partial_z(\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu}))\alpha_K \\
&+ \mathcal{A}_l^\nu k_{K\mu}(\mathcal{J}_{J\nu} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K) + \mathcal{A}_{K\mu}[i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z})\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu} - k_{lJK} \cdot \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\nu} - k_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\nu}] \\
&- k_{lJ\mu}(\mathcal{A}_K \cdot \mathcal{J}_{J\nu}\mathcal{A}_l^\nu) - \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu}[i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z})\alpha_K - k_{lJK} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K - k_{lJ} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K]\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{I'=IJ|K|L} \{[\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu}\alpha_K\mathcal{A}_{L\mu} + \mathcal{A}_l^\nu(\mathcal{J}_{J\nu} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K)\mathcal{A}_{L\mu} \\
&- \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu}\alpha_L\mathcal{A}_{K\mu} - \mathcal{A}_l^\nu(\mathcal{J}_{J\nu} \cdot \mathcal{A}_L)\mathcal{A}_{K\mu}] \\
&+ [\alpha_K\alpha_L\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu} + (\mathcal{A}_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_L)\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu} - \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu}\alpha_L\mathcal{A}_{K\mu} - \mathcal{A}_l^\nu(\mathcal{J}_{J\nu} \cdot \mathcal{A}_L)\mathcal{A}_{K\mu}]\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

It is desirable to note that the existence of such terms containing  $\partial_z\mathcal{A}_l^\mu$  seems to be quite confusing. In particular, in the sewing procedure, the meaning of gluing the  $\partial_z\mathcal{A}_l^\mu$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n^\mu$  together to construct the loop propagator should be explained more. Actually, such partial derivative two points correlation can be understood through the integration by part as we have the  $z$  integral for each bulk vertices.

The next step is sewing the two legs we picked together and replacing the corresponding single-point currents with the two point correlation function. Then we recover the cyclic completion by hand and remove the repeat terms, and finally we can obtain the loop integrand in (A)dS. Precisely speaking, after the following replacement

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_l^\mu(z')\mathcal{A}_n^\nu(z) &\rightarrow \eta^{\mu\nu} \langle \phi_l(z')\phi_n(z) \rangle \\
(\partial\mathcal{A}_l^\mu)(z')\mathcal{A}_n^\nu(z) &\rightarrow \eta^{\mu\nu} \langle (\partial\phi_l)(z')\phi_n(z) \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

and the modification of the deconcatenation sum as the flat case, one can get  $\eta^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mu\nu}(z, z')$  from  $\mathcal{A}_n^\mu(\mathcal{D}_{I'}^2 + d - 1)\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}(z, z')$ . The pre-integrand can be defined as  $I_{1-loop} = \eta_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{\mu\nu}$ . Here the correlation function  $\langle \phi_n(z)\phi_l(z') \rangle$  denote the correlation function  $\langle \phi(z)\phi(z') \rangle = G_{k_l}(z, z')$  with the momentum of the internal line got by sewing the legs  $l$  and  $n$ .

Such steps should be quite straightforward and for the following unifying relation, we just

strip the terms which cannot include terms proportional to  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  (we have used  $k_I = 0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{I\mu\nu}^{\text{stripped}} &= -z\{2i\alpha_I\eta_{\mu\nu}\langle\phi_n\partial_z\phi_l\rangle + \eta_{\mu\nu}\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle[i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z})\alpha_I - 2(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_I)]\} + \sum_{I=JK} \langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\mathcal{A}_J \cdot \mathcal{A}_K\eta_{\mu\nu} \\
&+ z \sum_{I=JK} \{-2i\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle(\partial_z\mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu})\alpha_K + \langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu}[i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z})\alpha_K - k_{lJK} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K - k_{lJ} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K]\} \\
&+ \langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle \sum_{I=JKL} [\alpha_K\alpha_L\mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu} + (\mathcal{A}_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_L)\mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu}].
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

For the scalar, we can take the same steps to construct the loop integrand from the tree-level BG currents. First, let us write down the BG currents for conformally coupled scalar in (A)dS:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{z^2}(\mathcal{D}_I^2 + d - 1)\phi_I &= \frac{1}{z} \sum_{I=JK} [\phi_J(k_I \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K) + \phi_J(k_J \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K) - i(\phi_J\partial_z\tilde{\alpha}_K + 2\tilde{\alpha}_K\partial_z\phi_J - \frac{d}{z}\phi_J\tilde{\alpha}_K) - (J \leftrightarrow K)] \\
&+ \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{I=JKL} [(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_J \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K)\phi_L - (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_J \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L)\phi_K + \tilde{\alpha}_J\tilde{\alpha}_K\phi_L - \tilde{\alpha}_J\tilde{\alpha}_L\phi_K + (J \leftrightarrow L)].
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Here  $\phi$  is the BG current for the scalar field. Similar to the gauge fields BG currents in curved spacetime, the scalar currents should also be divided into bulk and boundary components. In the scalar theory, the bulk component for gluon currents is  $\tilde{\alpha}_I$ , and the boundary component is  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_I$ .

Then we single out a leg and set it to be on bulk and we denote the on bulk leg formally as  $\phi_l$ , which should not be obscured with the one-point scalar BG current (from now on, we take the legs in  $I$  be boundary components of the gluons, thus  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{J\mu} = \mathcal{A}_{J\mu}$  if  $J \subset I$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{z^2}(\mathcal{D}_I^2 + d - 1)\phi_l\Phi_I &= \frac{1}{z}[\phi_l(k_{lI} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_I) + \phi_l(k_l \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_I) - i(\phi_l\partial_z\tilde{\alpha}_I + 2\tilde{\alpha}_I\partial_z\phi_l - \frac{d}{z}\phi_l\tilde{\alpha}_I)] \\
&+ \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{I=Kl} [(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K)\phi_l + \tilde{\alpha}_L\tilde{\alpha}_K\phi_l] \\
&+ \frac{1}{z} \sum_{I=JK} [\phi_l\Phi_J(k_{lI} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K) + \phi_l\Phi_J(k_{lJ} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K) \\
&- i(\Phi_J\phi_l\partial_z\tilde{\alpha}_K + 2\tilde{\alpha}_K\partial_z(\phi_l\Phi_J) - \frac{d}{z}\phi_l\Phi_J\tilde{\alpha}_K)] \\
&+ \frac{1}{z^2} \sum_{I=JKL} [(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K)\Phi_J\phi_l + \tilde{\alpha}_L\tilde{\alpha}_K\Phi_J\phi_l].
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Again, such terms in the first two lines will contribute to the scalar tadpole diagrams, and we would not consider them in this work. The next step is gluing the two bulk legs together and replacing the two formal single-point BG currents with a propagator. Then we can get

the loop integrand with a scalar loop:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Phi}_I^s &= z[2\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle(k_l\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_J) - i(\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\partial_z\tilde{\alpha}_J + 2\tilde{\alpha}_J\langle\phi_n\partial_z\phi_l\rangle - \langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\frac{d}{z}\tilde{\alpha}_J)] \\
&+ \sum_{I=KL} [\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K) + \langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\tilde{\alpha}_L\tilde{\alpha}_K] \\
&+ z \sum_{I=JK} [2\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\Phi_J(k_l\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K) - i(\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\Phi_J^s\partial_z\tilde{\alpha}_K \\
&+ 2\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\tilde{\alpha}_K\partial_z\Phi_J - \langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\frac{d}{z}\Phi_J^s\tilde{\alpha}_K)] \\
&+ \sum_{I=JKL} [\langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K)\Phi_J^s + \langle\phi_n\phi_l\rangle\tilde{\alpha}_L\tilde{\alpha}_K\Phi_J^s].
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Thus the unifying relation in (A)dS as an integrand can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{I\mu\nu} = \tilde{\Phi}_I^s \tag{72}$$

where the effect of operator  $\mathcal{D}$  is selecting the terms proportional to  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mu\nu}$ . The proof of these relations is the same as the flat case, based on the proof of the tree-level one [7]. Note that here we choose  $\mathcal{D}$  rather than  $\frac{\partial}{\partial d}$  to relate different loops. The reason for this choice is that there are other  $d$  that come from the change of the background metric, and we cannot distinguish them from the one that comes from the sewing procedure. All difference between the (A)dS case and the flat case is the process of the sewing procedure and this operator  $\mathcal{D}$ .

After restoring the integral of the radial coordinate, the loop integrand can be written as follows:

$$I_{1\text{-loop}}(P) = \int \frac{dz}{z^{d+1}} \tilde{\Phi}_P^{\text{tadpole}}(z) + \int \frac{dz}{z^{d+1}} \int \frac{dz'}{z'^{d+1}} \tilde{\Phi}_P^{\text{non-tadpole}}(z, z'). \tag{73}$$

This equation gives a connection between the integrands and the currents in (A)dS case.

### C. 2-pt one loop correlation function

In this subsection, we want to give an example to verify the relation we talk about before. In the following discussion, we calculate the two point bubble diagram in the  $\xi = 1$  gauge. We also refer to [35].





FIG. 2: 2-pt one loop gluon correlation function



### 1. Feynman rule perspective

First, we calculate the 2-pt gluon correlation at the 1-loop level with boundary components of a gluon running in the loop (radial component loop has no contribution to the unifying relation) via the traditional Feynman rule. It is not hard to derive the Feynman rule for gluons in (A)dS. For the 3-pt vertex, we have



$$= g \int_0^\infty z^{-d} f^{abc} [\eta^{\mu\nu} (k-p)^\rho + \eta^{\nu\rho} (p-q)^\mu + \eta^{\rho\mu} (q-k)^\nu]. \quad (74)$$

Then, by the Feynman rule above, the one loop 2-pt correlation function can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \epsilon_1 \cdot A_1^a \epsilon_2 \cdot A_2^b \rangle &= g^2 \int dz_1 dz_2 z_1^{-d} z_2^{-d} \epsilon_{1\mu} \epsilon_{2\nu} \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) f^{acd} f^{bfe} \\ &\times \int d^d q (\eta^{\mu\rho} (k_1-p)^\sigma + \eta^{\rho\sigma} (p+q)^\mu - \eta^{\sigma\mu} (q+k_1)^\rho) (-\eta^{\nu\tau} (k_2+p)^\lambda \\ &+ \eta^{\lambda\tau} (q+p)^\nu + \eta^{\lambda\nu} (-q+k_2)^\tau) G_{\sigma\lambda}^{df}(z_1, z_2; q) G_{\rho\tau}^{ce}(z_1, z_2; p), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where  $G_{\sigma\lambda}^{df}(z_1, z_2; q) = G_q(z_1, z_2) \delta^{df} \eta_{\sigma\lambda}$  and  $G_q(z_1, z_2) = \langle \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) \rangle$  with the momentum  $q$ . Note that the color factor here can be written as  $f^{acd} f^{bdc} = -\text{tr}(T^i [T^a, [T^b, T^i]])$ , which means that the partial correlation function is


$$\begin{aligned} \langle \epsilon_1 \cdot A_1 \epsilon_2 \cdot A_2 \rangle_{\text{partial}} &= -g^2 \int dz_1 dz_2 z_1^{-d} z_2^{-d} \int d^d q [-6(p \cdot \epsilon_1)(p \cdot \epsilon_2) + 4d(p \cdot \epsilon_1)(p \cdot \epsilon_2) \\ &+ (-5k_1 \cdot k_2 + 2k_1 \cdot p + 2p^2)(\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2)] G_q(z_1, z_2) G_p(z_1, z_2) \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Then, we tend to the scalar theory. We can also calculate the 2-pt gluon correlation at the 1-loop level with a scalar running in the loop. Let us write down the interaction terms between gluons and scalars in Lagrangian. We should note that we work in the  $\xi = 1$  gauge here:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(g^{mn} \mathbf{A}_m \mathbf{J}_n) &= \text{tr}(z^2 \mathbf{A}_z [i\partial_z \phi + [\mathbf{A}_z, \phi], \phi] + z^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{A}_\mu [i\partial_\nu \phi + [\mathbf{A}_\nu, \phi], \phi]) \\ &= -z f^{abc} \alpha^c \partial_z \phi^a \phi^b - f^{abc} f^{cde} \alpha^a \phi^b \phi^d \alpha^e - z f^{abc} \mathcal{A}^{\mu} \partial_\mu \phi^a \phi^b - f^{abc} f^{cde} \mathcal{A}_\mu^a \mathcal{A}^{\mu} \phi^b \phi^d. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Then, we can write down the Feynman rule for vertex among  $\phi$  and  $A$  according to the

Lagrangian (77) above:



$$= g \int_0^\infty z^{-d} f^{abc}(q_\mu - k_\mu). \quad (78)$$

Thus for correlation function  $\langle AA \rangle$  with scalar loop, the integrand is

$$\mathcal{I}_{AA, \text{scalar}} = -g^2 \int dz_1 dz_2 z_1^{-d} z_2^{-d} f^{acd} f^{bcd} (p+q) \cdot \epsilon_1^a \phi_1(z_1) G_p(z_1, z_2) G_q(z_1, z_2) (p+q) \cdot \epsilon_2^b \phi_2(z_2), \quad (79)$$

and the partial correlation function is

$$\mathcal{I}_{AA, \text{scalar}}^{\text{partial}} = -g^2 \int dz_1 dz_2 z_1^{-d} z_2^{-d} (p+q) \cdot \epsilon_1 \phi_1(z_1) G_p(z_1, z_2) G_q(z_1, z_2) (p+q) \cdot \epsilon_2 \phi_2(z_2) \quad (80)$$

## 2. Sewing procedure perspective

We can also show that we can obtain the correct loop integrands by BG currents. The diagram we consider is a bubble diagram; thus the tadpoles have no contribution. The only terms that contribute are

$$\begin{aligned} & z \sum_{I'=IJ|K} \{ (k_{K\mu} \alpha_K + 2i \partial_z \mathcal{A}_{K\mu}) \mathcal{A}_I^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu} - (k_{lJ\mu} \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu} - 2i \partial_z (\mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu})) \alpha_K \\ & + \mathcal{A}_l^\nu k_{K\mu} (\mathcal{J}_{J\nu} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K) + \mathcal{A}_{K\mu} [i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z}) \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{K}_{J\nu} - k_{lJK} \cdot \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\nu} - k_K \cdot \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\nu}] \\ & - k_{lJ\mu} (\mathcal{A}_K \cdot \mathcal{J}_{J\nu} \mathcal{A}_l^\nu) - \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{J\mu\nu} [i(\partial_z - \frac{d}{z}) \alpha_K - k_{lJK} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K - k_{lJ} \cdot \mathcal{A}_K] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

In this 2-pt case we have  $I = 12$ , which means that the leg 1 and 2 are boundary legs. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z \{ \mathcal{A}_l^\nu k_{2\mu} (\mathcal{J}_{1\nu} \cdot \mathcal{A}_2) + \mathcal{A}_{2\mu} [-k_{l12} \cdot \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{1\nu} - k_2 \cdot \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{1\nu}] \\ & - k_{l1\mu} (\mathcal{A}_2 \cdot \mathcal{J}_{1\nu} \mathcal{A}_l^\nu) + \mathcal{A}_l^\nu \mathcal{J}_{1\mu\nu} [k_{l12} \cdot \mathcal{A}_2 + k_{l1} \cdot \mathcal{A}_2] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

From the recursions, we can easily get the 2-pt currents (for pure boundary gluon diagrams, all  $\alpha$  currents are zero) in the YM theory:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_{l1}^2 + d - 1) \mathcal{A}_{l1\mu} &= z [(k_{1\mu} - k_{l\mu}) (\mathcal{A}_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1) + 2 \mathcal{A}_{l\mu} (k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1) - \mathcal{A}_{1\mu} (k_1 \cdot \mathcal{A}_l) - \mathcal{A}_{1\mu} (k_{l1} \cdot \mathcal{A}_l)] \\ &= z \mathcal{A}_l^\nu [(k_{1\mu} - k_{l\mu}) \mathcal{A}_{1\nu} + 2 \eta_{\mu\nu} (k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1) - \mathcal{A}_{1\mu} k_{1\nu} - \mathcal{A}_{1\mu} k_{l1\nu}]. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Note that there should not exist any integral of the radial coordinate in the expression of (82), which means that we simply let  $\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{l1}^2 + d - 1} = G_q(z, z')$  without the integral of  $z'$ . In fact,

here  $k_{l\mu} = p_\mu$  and  $q_\mu = k_{l1\mu}$ . The reason for that is there are 2 legs in bulk and 2 vertices in the diagram. Now we contract it with  $\mathcal{A}_{n\mu}$  and impose the sewing procedure

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_l^\mu(z')\mathcal{A}_n^\nu(z) &\rightarrow \eta^{\mu\nu}\langle\phi_l(z')\phi_n(z)\rangle = \eta_{\mu\nu}G_p(z, z') \\ (\partial\mathcal{A}_l^\mu)(z')\mathcal{A}_n^\nu(z) &\rightarrow \eta^{\mu\nu}\langle(\partial\phi_l)(z')\phi_n(z)\rangle = \eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_{z'}G_p(z, z'),\end{aligned}\tag{84}$$

we will get

$$\begin{aligned}&zz'G_p(z, z')G_q(z, z')\{[(k_1 - k_l) \cdot \mathcal{A}_2\mathcal{A}_1 \cdot k_2 + 2k_2 \cdot \mathcal{A}_2(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1) - \mathcal{A}_1 \cdot \mathcal{A}_2(k_1 + k_{l1}) \cdot k_2] \\ &\quad - [(k_1 - k_l) \cdot (k_2 + k_l)\mathcal{A}_1 \cdot \mathcal{A}_2 + 2(k_2 + k_l) \cdot \mathcal{A}_2(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1) - \mathcal{A}_1 \cdot (k_2 + k_l)(k_1 + k_{l1}) \cdot \mathcal{A}_2] \\ &\quad - [(k_1 - k_l) \cdot \mathcal{A}_2\mathcal{A}_1 \cdot k_{l1} + 2k_{l1} \cdot \mathcal{A}_2(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1) - \mathcal{A}_1 \cdot \mathcal{A}_2(k_1 + k_{l1}) \cdot k_{l1}] \\ &\quad + 2[(k_1 - k_l) \cdot \mathcal{A}_1 + 2d(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1) - \mathcal{A}_1 \cdot (k_1 + k_{l1})](k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_2)\} \\ = &zz'G_p(z, z')G_q(z, z')[-6(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1)(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_2) + 4d(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1)(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_2) + (-5k_1 \cdot k_2 + 2k_1 \cdot k_l + 2k_l^2)(\mathcal{A}_1 \cdot \mathcal{A}_2)].\end{aligned}\tag{85}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$  brings the coordinate  $z'$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{2\mu}$  brings the coordinate  $z$ . After restoring the radial integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_{1\text{-loop}} &= \int \frac{dz}{z^d} \int \frac{dz'}{z'^d} G_p(z, z')G_q(z, z')[-6(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1)(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_2) + 4d(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1)(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_2) \\ &\quad + (-5k_1 \cdot k_2 + 2k_1 \cdot k_l + 2k_l^2)(\mathcal{A}_1 \cdot \mathcal{A}_2)],\end{aligned}\tag{86}$$

while the result for the 1-loop integrand (scalar loop) of the scalar theory from the unifying relation (72) is

$$I_{1\text{-loop}}^s = 4 \int \frac{dz}{z^d} \int \frac{dz'}{z'^d} G_p(z, z')G_q(z, z')(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_1)(k_l \cdot \mathcal{A}_2)\tag{87}$$

The result from BG currents is the same as the result from Feynman rules up to an overall minus sign. Thus the sewing procedure and the unifying relation at the 1-loop level have been verified in this case.

## VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we construct differential operators for 1-loop integrands through the BG currents and prove the corresponding unifying relations at the 1-loop level both in flat and (A)dS. Precisely speaking, we mainly talk about the unifying relations between YMS and YM theory (1) and their corollary where the gluons are minimally coupled to scalars (1). And we have also considered the (A)dS case.

At the beginning of our work, we first review some of the differential operators and unifying relations. And we present the outline of the BG currents approach to unifying relation (5) between the YM and minimally coupled scalars at the tree level. Then to generalize such differential operators and unifying relations to the 1-loop level, we introduce

a method called sewing procedure to construct the 1-loop integrand from BG currents in Sec. III. We can expect the unifying relations (55) at the 1-loop level can succeed from tree-level amplitudes during the sewing procedure. And in Sec IV, we present the differential operators explicitly and prove the corresponding unifying relations (55) between YM and minimally coupled scalars at the 1-loop level. Besides, we also show the differential operators and unifying relations between YMS and YM theory (56).

The construction of differential operators in (A)dS can be more subtle. In flat spacetime, the only source of the spacetime dimension is the metric contraction, and thus we can pick up the related contribution through the spacetime dimension differential operator. However, in (A)dS, the spacetime dimension  $d$  itself enters the integral measures and finally contributes to the correlation functions leading to some ambiguities. In Sec. V, we show the solution where we construct the differential operator  $\mathcal{D}$  instead of the naive spacetime dimension differential operator. At the end of our discussion, we also explicitly calculate the gluon 1-loop integrand from Feynman rules and find that the results coincide with the expression from the sewing procedure.

There are still some open problems left. We wonder whether we can find some nontrivial relations about the graviton BG currents [36]. We also wonder whether we can extend our discussion to the double copy [37–40]. We hope that we can solve these problems in future work.

## VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Yi-Jian Du and Kang Zhou for useful comments on the draft. QC is partly supported by National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2017YFA0402204). YT is partly supported by National Key R&D Program of China (NO. 2020YFA0713000).

- 
- [1] C. Cheung, C.-H. Shen and C. Wen, *Unifying Relations for Scattering Amplitudes*, *JHEP* **02** (2018) 095 [[1705.03025](#)].
  - [2] F. Cachazo, S. He and E.Y. Yuan, *Scattering of Massless Particles in Arbitrary Dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 171601 [[1307.2199](#)].
  - [3] F. Cachazo, S. He and E.Y. Yuan, *Scattering of Massless Particles: Scalars, Gluons and Gravitons*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 033 [[1309.0885](#)].
  - [4] K. Zhou and B. Feng, *Note on differential operators, CHY integrands, and unifying relations for amplitudes*, *JHEP* **09** (2018) 160 [[1808.06835](#)].
  - [5] K. Zhou, *Unified web for expansions of amplitudes*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 195 [[1908.10272](#)].
  - [6] J. Dong, S. He and L. Hou, *Universal expansions of scattering amplitudes for gravitons, gluons, and Goldstone particles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 105007 [[2111.10525](#)].

- [7] Y.-X. Tao and Q. Chen, *A Type of Unifying Relations for Berends-Giele Currents in (A)dS*, [2210.15411](#).
- [8] F.A. Berends and W.T. Giele, *Recursive Calculations for Processes with  $n$  Gluons*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **306** (1988) 759.
- [9] S. Raju, *BCFW for Witten Diagrams*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 091601 [[1011.0780](#)].
- [10] S. Raju, *Recursion Relations for AdS/CFT Correlators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 126002 [[1102.4724](#)].
- [11] S. Raju, *New Recursion Relations and a Flat Space Limit for AdS/CFT Correlators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 126009 [[1201.6449](#)].
- [12] S. Albayrak, C. Chowdhury and S. Kharel, *New relation for Witten diagrams*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 274 [[1904.10043](#)].
- [13] D. Baumann, C. Duaso Pueyo, A. Joyce, H. Lee and G.L. Pimentel, *The cosmological bootstrap: weight-shifting operators and scalar seeds*, *JHEP* **12** (2020) 204 [[1910.14051](#)].
- [14] D. Baumann, C. Duaso Pueyo, A. Joyce, H. Lee and G.L. Pimentel, *The Cosmological Bootstrap: Spinning Correlators from Symmetries and Factorization*, *SciPost Phys.* **11** (2021) 071 [[2005.04234](#)].
- [15] D. Baumann, C. Duaso Pueyo and A. Joyce, *Bootstrapping Cosmological Correlations*, *AAPPS Bull.* **30** (2020) 2.
- [16] D. Baumann, D. Green, A. Joyce, E. Pajer, G.L. Pimentel, C. Sleight et al., *Snowmass White Paper: The Cosmological Bootstrap*, in *2022 Snowmass Summer Study*, 3, 2022 [[2203.08121](#)].
- [17] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Maldacena, *Cosmological Collider Physics*, [1503.08043](#).
- [18] N. Arkani-Hamed, D. Baumann, H. Lee and G.L. Pimentel, *The Cosmological Bootstrap: Inflationary Correlators from Symmetries and Singularities*, *JHEP* **04** (2020) 105 [[1811.00024](#)].
- [19] H. Gomez, R. Lipinski Jusinskas, C. Lopez-Arcos and A. Quintero Velez, *One-loop off-shell amplitudes from classical equations of motion*, [2208.02831](#).
- [20] S. He and E.Y. Yuan, *One-loop Scattering Equations and Amplitudes from Forward Limit*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 105004 [[1508.06027](#)].
- [21] K. Zhou, *On differential operators and unifying relations for 1-loop Feynman integrands*, *JHEP* **10** (2021) 150 [[2108.04025](#)].
- [22] K. Lee, *Quantum off-shell recursion relation*, *JHEP* **05** (2022) 051 [[2202.08133](#)].
- [23] S. Albayrak and S. Kharel, *Spinning loop amplitudes in anti-de Sitter space*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 026004 [[2006.12540](#)].
- [24] M. Bollmann and L. Ferro, *Transmuting CHY formulae*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 180 [[1808.07451](#)].
- [25] K. Zhou, *Transmutation operators and expansions for one-loop Feynman integrands*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 025014 [[2201.01552](#)].
- [26] C. Armstrong, H. Gomez, R. Lipinski Jusinskas, A. Lipstein and J. Mei, *New recursions for tree-level correlators in (Anti) de Sitter space*, [2209.02709](#).

- [27] S. Lee, C.R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, *Non-linear gauge transformations in  $D = 10$  SYM theory and the BCJ duality*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 090 [[1510.08843](#)].
- [28] C.R. Mafra, *Berends-Giele recursion for double-color-ordered amplitudes*, *JHEP* **07** (2016) 080 [[1603.09731](#)].
- [29] K.G. Selivanov, *Post-classicism in Tree Amplitudes*, in *34th Rencontres de Moriond: Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories*, pp. 473–478, 1999 [[hep-th/9905128](#)].
- [30] R. Kleiss and H. Kuijf, *Multi - Gluon Cross-sections and Five Jet Production at Hadron Colliders*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **312** (1989) 616.
- [31] S. Mizera and B. Skrzypek, *Perturbative Methods for Effective Field Theories and the Double Copy*, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 018 [[1809.02096](#)].
- [32] H. Frost, C.R. Mafra and L. Mason, *A Lie bracket for the momentum kernel*, [2012.00519](#).
- [33] V. Del Duca, L.J. Dixon and F. Maltoni, *New color decompositions for gauge amplitudes at tree and loop level*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **571** (2000) 51 [[hep-ph/9910563](#)].
- [34] Y.-J. Du, B. Feng and C.-H. Fu, *Dual-color decompositions at one-loop level in Yang-Mills theory*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 157 [[1402.6805](#)].
- [35] S. Albayrak and S. Kharel, *Towards the higher point holographic momentum space amplitudes*, *JHEP* **02** (2019) 040 [[1810.12459](#)].
- [36] H. Gomez and R.L. Jusinkas, *Multiparticle Solutions to Einstein's Equations*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 181603 [[2106.12584](#)].
- [37] H. Lee and X. Wang, *Cosmological Double-Copy Relations*, [2212.11282](#).
- [38] S. Albayrak, S. Kharel and D. Meltzer, *On duality of color and kinematics in  $(A)dS$  momentum space*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 249 [[2012.10460](#)].
- [39] Y.-Z. Li, *Flat-space structure of gluon and graviton in AdS*, [2212.13195](#).
- [40] K. Cho, K. Kim and K. Lee, *The off-shell recursion for gravity and the classical double copy for currents*, *JHEP* **01** (2022) 186 [[2109.06392](#)].