

Computing torsion for plane quartics without using height bounds

Raymond van Bommel*

23 January 2023

Abstract. We describe an algorithm that provably computes the rational torsion subgroup of the Jacobian of a curve without relying on height bounds. Instead, it relies on computing torsion points over small number fields. Both complex analytic and Chinese remainder theorem based methods are used to find such torsion points. The method has been implemented in `Magma` and used to provably compute the rational torsion subgroup for more than 98% of Jacobians of curves in a dataset due to Sutherland consisting of 82240 plane quartic curves.

1 Introduction

In the 1920s, Mordell and Weil proved that for abelian varieties over a number field K the group of rational points is finitely generated, [Mor22, Weil29]. In particular, the rational torsion subgroup is finite. The torsion conjecture asserts that there are only finitely many possible torsion groups, when the dimension of the abelian variety and the degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ are fixed. The conjecture has been proved in the case of elliptic curves, first over \mathbb{Q} by Mazur, and finally for all number fields by Merel, [Maz78, Mer96]. The exact determination of which groups can occur, and which of them occur infinitely often, is still an active area of research. In higher dimensions, even in the case of abelian surfaces, no upper bound is known for the rational torsion subgroup of an abelian variety over \mathbb{Q} .

The torsion subgroup is also of importance for the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, [BSD65]. The action of the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q} on the torsion plays

*Raymond van Bommel has been supported by the Simons Collaboration on Arithmetic Geometry, Number Theory, and Computation (Simons Foundation grant 550033).

Keywords: Abelian varieties, Galois representations, Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, Jacobians, Curves

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 11G10, 11F80, 11G40, 11G30, 14H40

an essential role in the definition of the Tate module and subsequently the L -function of an abelian variety over a number field. The order of vanishing of this conjecturally analytic function at 1, is asserted to equal the rank of the free part of the group of rational points. Moreover, a formula links the leading Taylor coefficient of this L -function to several arithmetic invariants, among which the order of the rational group of torsion points.

In this present paper, we consider the question of explicitly computing the rational torsion subgroup in case the abelian variety is the Jacobian of a curve defined over \mathbb{Q} . Recently, such a computation has been done by Müller and Reitsma for hyperelliptic curves of genus 3, [MüRe22]. For small genus, the torsion is typically computed by doing some form of an exhaustive search. The Néron-Tate height \widehat{h} , or canonical height, of torsion points is known to be 0. Then one chooses a naïve height h , finds a bound $|h - \widehat{h}| < c$, and subsequently uses the fact that the naïve height of a torsion point is at most c to find all of them, [Sto02, Sto17]. Such height bounds are known and relatively small for genus 1, 2, and 3, but especially in genus 3 and higher the enumeration of all rational points up to these height bounds can still be challenging. Therefore, we would like to advocate an alternative approach.

In practice, it seems that torsion points actually have a way smaller naïve height than the bound given by the height bounds, and are not too hard to find. However, the problem then still remains to prove that one has found the complete rational torsion group. For this purpose, one could use the following lemma whose proof can be found in [Katz81, Appendix].

Lemma 1. *Let K be a number field, let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of \mathcal{O}_K over the prime number p , and let A be an abelian variety over K . Suppose that A has good reduction at \mathfrak{p} and that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is its reduction. Moreover, suppose that $p > e(\mathfrak{p}/p) + 1$, where $e(\mathfrak{p}/p)$ is the ramification index of \mathfrak{p} over p . Then the natural reduction map*

$$A(K)[n] \longrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$$

is injective for any integer n such that $p \nmid n$.

In many cases, it does not suffice to only use $K = \mathbb{Q}$ in this lemma. For example, there could be nonrational 2-torsion points P_2 , P_3 , and P_6 such that P_j is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{j})$ for $j = 2, 3, 6$. In this case, for any prime p of good reduction, at least one of the three points will reduce to a point defined over \mathbb{F}_p , causing the reduction map to never be surjective on the \mathbb{Q} -rational 2-torsion points. In this case we say that the abelian variety has a *fake torsion point*.

The solution that we propose is to also search for torsion points defined over number fields of small degree to account for the nonsurjectivity of the reduction map. In Section 2, we discuss the background needed for this approach: the Weil pairing, Weil polynomials, the Newton-Raphson method, and different ways to do Jacobian arithmetic. In Section 3, we study the phenomenon of fake torsion points. The core

section of this paper in which we explain our actual methods to find torsion points over number fields is Section 4. In the final section 5, we talk about the computation of the torsion groups in a dataset consisting of 82240 plane quartic curves, [Suth19]. The implementation of our method in `Magma` can be found at [code].

Notation. Throughout this text, C denotes a smooth projective plane quartic curve over \mathbb{Q} , the symbol p denotes a prime number, J is the Jacobian of C , and C_p and J_p are the reduction of C and J , respectively, modulo p , when p is a prime of good reduction.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Edgar Costa, Bjorn Poonen, David Roe, and Andrew Sutherland for useful discussions that helped to improve the method, and for their help running the parallel computation on the servers of the Simons Collaboration at Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Weil pairing

For abelian varieties A over a field K , there is a pairing

$$w: A(\overline{K})[n] \times A^\vee(\overline{K})[n] \rightarrow \mu_n(\overline{K}),$$

called the *Weil pairing*, for any integer n prime to the characteristic of K . In particular, in the case of a Jacobian J of a curve, when the theta divisor on J induces a principal polarisation $J \rightarrow J^\vee$, we get a pairing

$$w: J(\overline{K})[n] \times J(\overline{K})[n] \rightarrow \mu_n(\overline{K}).$$

This pairing, which we will also call the Weil pairing, is symplectic, i.e. alternating and nondegenerate.

Now consider the case K is a number field and \mathfrak{p} is a prime of residue characteristic $p \nmid n$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1, then the Weil pairing is compatible with the reduction map modulo \mathfrak{p} , i.e. the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J(\overline{K})[n] \times J(\overline{K})[n] & \longrightarrow & \mu_n(\overline{K}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ J_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[n] \times J_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[n] & \longrightarrow & \mu_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \end{array}$$

Moreover, the absolute Galois group $G_K := \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ has to respect the Weil pairing, i.e.

$$\sigma(w(x, y)) = w(\sigma(x), \sigma(y))$$

for all $x, y \in J(\overline{K})[n]$ and all $\sigma \in G_K$. In particular the action of G_K must factor through the general symplectic group $\text{GSp}(J(\overline{K})[n], w)$. In the case $n = \ell$ is prime, this group can be identified with the classical general symplectic group $\text{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, where g is the dimension of J .

2.2 Weil polynomials

Let A be an abelian variety over \mathbb{Q} , and let p be an odd prime of good reduction. Then its reduction A_p is an abelian variety over \mathbb{F}_p and for any prime $\ell \neq p$, we can consider the Tate module $V_\ell := \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \varprojlim_n A_p[\ell^n](\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$. The Frobenius element in $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts on this \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector space of dimension $2\dim(A)$. Its characteristic polynomial P_{A_p} , the Weil polynomial, has coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , is independent of the choice of ℓ , and has the property that $\#A_p = P_{A_p}(1)$.

When $J = \text{Jac}(C)$ is the Jacobian of a curve and p is a prime of good reduction of the curve, the polynomial P_{J_p} can be computed by computing the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius on the étale cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^1(C, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. It is feasible to compute $P_{J_p} \bmod p$ for p of size about 10^6 in several minutes using algorithms described in [Cos15].

2.3 Newton-Raphson method and precision

For part of our computation, we will use complex valued numerical computations in order to try to find algebraic torsion points in $J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. For this reason, we will briefly recall the numerical methods that we use, their stability, speed of convergence, and the loss of precision that might occur.

The main numerical method that we use is the Newton-Raphson method. The method attempts to find a zero for a holomorphic function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by starting with some initial guess $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ for the root and iteratively computing the next approximation $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$. If x_0 is close enough to some simple root r of f , then the sequence (x_n) will converge quadratically fast to r .

However, when r is a root of multiplicity at least 2 (or in practice also when f has two simple roots very close to one another) problems may arise. As x_n gets closer to r , the denominator $f'(x_n)$ will get close to 0, requiring us to use a lot more precision to reliably compute the fraction $\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$. In principle, the method would still converge, but the following example explains why it is inevitable that we lose precision.

Example 2. Suppose we are looking for a root of $x^2 - 2x + 1$ close to the starting point $x_0 = 1.1$, and we are doing computations with 1000 digits of precision. Then we might end up finding the approximate root $\tilde{r} = 1 - 10^{-500}$. As $r^2 - 2r + 1 = 10^{-1000}$, this is a root within the precision of our computation, even though the actual root $r = 1$ lies at distance 10^{-500} . We lost half of our digits of precision.

If we now continue using \tilde{r} instead of r and look for a root of $x^2 - 2x + \tilde{r}$, then we could end up with the approximate root $1 + 10^{-250}$ instead of the intended solution 1. So the loss of digits in the process can accumulate.

The Newton-Raphson can also be used for multivariate functions $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. One has to replace $f'(x_n)$ by the Jacobian matrix J of the function evaluated at x_n . Here, problems arise when $J(r)$ has an eigenvalue of zero, then the computation of the inverse $J(x_n)^{-1}$ might become numerically unstable.

2.4 Jacobian arithmetic

For hyperelliptic curves, points on the Jacobian are typically represented using Mumford coordinates, [Can87], which gives a unique way to represent each curve. For general curves Khuri-Makdisi, [Khu04, Khu07, Khu18], developed ways to represent points on the Jacobian and do arithmetic. In [FOR08] Flon, Oyono, and Ritzenthaler describe a method specifically tailored to nonhyperelliptic genus 3 curves.

Many of these methods have been designed with the goal of implementing fast arithmetic over finite fields, which has potential applications in cryptography. Often these methods make assumptions on the curve that are easy to satisfy over finite fields, but not over number fields. For the purpose of our computation, we used two different methods to representing points on the Jacobian and do arithmetic. Even though these methods are most likely not state of the art in terms of their efficiency, we will describe them to inform the reader about the representations we used.

2.4.1 Over exact fields

Our goal will be to reconstruct points on the Jacobian from their reductions modulo different primes p . For this reason, we would like represent points on the Jacobian in such a way that it has the following properties:

- (α) the representation of each point is unique;
- (β) for a prime p of good reduction, and any point $P \in J(\mathbb{Q})$, there is a way to reduce the representation of P modulo p , and for all but finitely many of the primes p this reduced representation is the unique representation for the reduction $\overline{P} \in J_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of P modulo p .

If C does not have a \mathbb{Q} -rational divisor of degree 1, one can probably find a way to use the linear algebra methods as described in [Khu04]. However, because 99% of the curves in [Suth19] that we are considering have a \mathbb{Q} -rational point, we decided to only implement the algorithm in this case.

So we suppose that C has a \mathbb{Q} -rational point P . Then for any divisor D on C , there is a nonpositive integer m such that $h^0(D - mP) = 1$. If there are multiple such m , we take the largest one. Then looking at the divisor of any nonzero function $f \in H^0(D - mP)$, we find a way to represent D as $mP + E$, where E is an effective divisor. This representation is unique by construction.

Lemma 3. *This representation has property (β) .*

Proof. Let N be the product of the primes of bad reduction of C . Then C has a smooth model \mathcal{C} over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/N])$ and we can take the closures \overline{D} and \overline{P} inside \mathcal{C} of D and P , respectively. We consider the sheafs $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\overline{D} - m\overline{P})$ and $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\overline{D} - (m+1)\overline{P})$. We have that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ are \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces of dimensions 1 and 0, respectively. In particular, this implies that for all but finitely many p the \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ have dimensions 1 and 0, respectively, see [Har77, Theorem III.12.8, p. 288] for example. For these primes p , the representation of $D \bmod p$ has the same m and uses $E \bmod p$. \square

To add two points $m_1P + E_1$ and $m_2P + E_2$, we use `Magma`'s built in Gröbner basis function to compute the Riemann-Roch spaces $H^0(E_1 + E_2 - mP)$. This is most likely not the most efficient way to do this, but as this part of the computation was not a bottleneck for the whole computation, we did not put any effort into optimising this.

2.4.2 Over the complex numbers

For most divisor classes in $J(\mathbb{C})$, the representation described in the previous subsection would be of the shape $-3P + E$ with E effective of degree 3. Our (potential) torsion points, being special points on the Jacobian, quite regularly have a representation with $m > -3$. When we are doing numerical computations on $J(\mathbb{C})$, this often causes numerical instability for our algorithms. Luckily, there is an abundance of points on $J(\mathbb{C})$ and therefore we can use the following alternative presentation for elements of $J(\mathbb{C})$.

We represented them as $Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 - P_1 - P_2 - P_3$, where $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$ are three randomly generated points that are chosen in advance and $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$ is a triple of points depending on the divisor class. Because the P_i are randomly generated, we have a practical guarantee that the set $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$ will be unique for any divisor class that we encounter in our computation.

Proposition 4. *Let $D \in J(\mathbb{C})$ be any divisor class. Then for a general choice of $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$, the class D has a unique representation $Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 - P_1 - P_2 - P_3$, where two representations are called the same if the Q_i are the same up to reordering.*

Proof. If D has two such representations, this implies that $h^0(D + P_1 + P_2 + P_3) > 1$. The dimension $h^0(D + P_1 + P_2 + P_3)$ is upper semicontinuous as function in P_1, P_2, P_3 by [Har77, Theorem III.12.8, p. 288]. If $D + P_1 + P_2 + P_3 \sim 3Q$, where $Q \in C(\mathbb{C})$ is a non-Weierstraß point, then this dimension is equal to 1. In particular, for all but a codimension 1 set of $(P_1, P_2, P_3) \in C(\mathbb{C})^3$, the dimension must equal 1 and the representation must be unique. \square

The representation also has the following useful property.

Proposition 5. *Let $D \in J(\mathbb{C})$ be a nonzero divisor class. Then for a general choice of $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$, the unique representation $Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 - P_1 - P_2 - P_3$ for D has the property that $\{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\} \cap \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for a general choice of $P_1, P_2 \in C(\mathbb{C})$, the class D is not equivalent to $Q_1 + Q_2 - P_1 - P_2$ for any $Q_1, Q_2 \in C(\mathbb{C})$. Equivalently, we like to show that $h^0(D + P_1 + P_2) = 0$ generically. Suppose that this is not the case, then this dimension must be at least 1 for any choice of P_1 and P_2 by the semicontinuity in [Har77, Theorem III.12.8, p. 288]. In particular, for any distinct $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$, we now have three ways of representing D :

$$Q_1 + Q_2 + P_3 - \sum_i P_i, \quad R_1 + P_2 + R_3 - \sum_i P_i, \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 + S_2 + S_3 - \sum_i P_i,$$

for certain $Q_1, Q_2, R_1, R_3, S_2, S_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$. By the uniqueness of the representation, we now must have that $\{Q_1, Q_2, P_3\} = \{R_1, P_2, R_3\} = \{P_1, S_2, S_3\} = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$. In particular, $D = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

To add two points, we use the following algorithm, which is a modified version of the algorithm in [FOR08].

Algorithm 6. *Input: two triples of points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 and R_1, R_2, R_3 representing points $Q = \sum_i Q_i - \sum_i P_i$ and $R = \sum_i R_i - \sum_i P_i$ on $J(\mathbb{C})$.
Output: a triple of points S_1, S_2, S_3 representing the point $Q + R = \sum_i S_i - \sum_i P_i$.*

Step 1. Pick (another) random point $B \in C(\mathbb{C})$.

Step 2. Find the line ℓ through P_1 and P_2 , and compute the residual intersection A of this line with C , i.e. A is an effective divisor of degree 2 such that C intersects ℓ in $P_1 + P_2 + A$.

Step 3. Find the cubic c through $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, R_1, R_2, R_3, A,$ and B , and compute the residual intersection E of this cubic with C , i.e. E is an effective divisor of degree 3 such that C intersects c in $\sum_i Q_i + \sum_i R_i + A + B + E$.

Step 4. Find the conic n through $B, P_3,$ and E and compute the residual intersection S of this conic with C , i.e. S is an effective divisor of degree 3 such that C intersects n in $B + P_3 + E + S$.

Step 5. Output the three points $S_1, S_2,$ and S_3 of which S consists.

Proposition 7. *The output of Algorithm 6 is correct.*

Proof. Consider the rational function $\frac{c}{\ell n}$. By construction, its associated principal divisor is

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{c}{\ell n}\right) &= \sum_i Q_i + \sum_i R_i + A + B + E - P_1 - P_2 - A - B - P_3 - E - S \\ &= \sum_i Q_i + \sum_i R_i - \sum_i P_i - \sum_i S_i. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we see that $\sum_i S_i - \sum_i P_i$ is equivalent to $\sum_i Q_i + \sum_i R_i - 2 \sum_i P_i$. \square

Remark 8. To find the intersection of a line/conic/cubic with f numerically, using the root finding algorithms described in subsection 2.3, it is beneficial to not have any points of intersection with multiplicity higher than 1. In general, we expect the divisors $P_1 + P_2 + A$ and $\sum_i Q_i + \sum_i R_i + A + B + E$ to not have any double points. This causes the computation of A and E in **Step 2** and **Step 3** to be numerically stable and fast without any difficulty. In **Step 5**, there could be one double point in the divisor $B + P_3 + E + S$. The divisor S could namely contain P_3 , but according to Proposition 5, this only happens in the case $P + Q = 0$. In all other cases, there is generally no double point and our algorithm to compute S will be numerically stable and fast.

Another way that we will use to represent points in $J(\mathbb{C})$ is by the means of an element in a complex torus \mathbb{C}^3/Λ . The computation of a period lattice Λ and an Abel-Jacobi map $\iota: J(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3/\Lambda$ mapping $Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 - P_1 - P_2 - P_3$ to a corresponding point in the complex torus has been implemented in **Magma** by Neurohr, see also [Neu18]. We will also write $\iota(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$ for $\iota(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 - P_1 - P_2 - P_3)$.

In order to go back from a point in \mathbb{C}^3/Λ to a divisor class, we use the following algorithm to invert the Abel-Jacobi map.

Algorithm 9. *Input: an element $x \in \mathbb{C}^3/\Lambda$.*

Output: a triple of points $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\iota(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$ is close to x .

Step 1. Pick some integer n . We found that $n = 14$ worked good in practice for our examples.

- Step 2.** Use Newton-Raphson (see subsection 2.3) with starting point (P_1, P_2, P_3) to numerically approximate a solution to $\iota(Q_{1,n}, Q_{2,n}, Q_{3,n}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot x$.
- Step 3.** Add $Q_{1,n} + Q_{2,n} + Q_{3,n} - \sum_i P_i$ to itself using Algorithm 6. The output of this addition is an approximate solution to $\iota(Q_{1,n-1}, Q_{2,n-1}, Q_{3,n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \cdot x$. We then use Newton-Raphson to increase the precision of this solution $(Q_{1,n-1}, Q_{2,n-1}, Q_{3,n-1})$. Decrease n by 1 and repeat this step until $n = 0$.
- Step 4.** Use Newton-Raphson to refine $(Q_{1,0}, Q_{2,0}, Q_{3,0})$ to the desired precision and output the triple.

The reason for choosing an n and dividing by 2^n first, is to make sure that the starting point (P_1, P_2, P_3) in **Step 2** is close enough to the solution for the Newton-Raphson method to actually converge.

3 Fake torsion points

Let $P \in J(\mathbb{Q})$ be a point and let ℓ be a prime number. We define

$$D_\ell(P) = \{Q \in J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \ell \cdot Q = P\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\ell,p}(P) = \{Q \in J_p(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \mid \ell \cdot Q = \overline{P}\},$$

for every odd prime $p \neq \ell$ of good reduction. This is a torsor under the action of $J[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ or $J_p[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, respectively. We already saw in the introduction that it could happen that the set of \mathbb{Q} -rational points in $D_\ell(P)$ is smaller than any of the sets of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points in $D_{\ell,p}(P)$. In case this happen, we say that P has a *fake ℓ -divisor*.

In order to understand this phenomenon better, one considers the action of the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on $D_\ell(P)$. Because the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ has to respect the symplectic form on $J[\ell]$, the action factors through a subgroup H of the affine general symplectic group $\text{AGSp}(2g, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. In the case $P = 0$, we actually have that H is a subgroup of the smaller group $\text{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. In the case $P \neq 0$, we actually have that H also lies in a smaller subgroup of $\text{AGSp}(2g, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, as H must commute with the multiplication by n if $\text{gcd}(n, \ell) = 1$.

For each odd prime $p \neq \ell$ of good reduction, there is a conjugacy class Frob_p of H which describes how Frobenius acts on $D_{\ell,p}(P)$. Using these, we can exactly determine for which H the point P has a fake ℓ -divisor.

Proposition 10. *The point P has a fake ℓ -divisor if and only if for every element $h \in H$ we have*

$$D_\ell(P) \supset \text{Stab}(h) \supsetneq \text{Stab}(H) := \{x \in D_\ell(P) \mid \forall h \in H : h(x) = x\}.$$

Proof. The set $\text{Stab}(H)$ is exactly the set of \mathbb{Q} -rational points in $D_\ell(P)$. For each odd prime $p \neq \ell$ of good reduction, the set of points in $D_\ell(P)$ reducing to an \mathbb{F}_p -rational point in $D_{\ell,p}(P)$ is exactly $\text{Stab}(h)$ for some $h \in \text{Frob}_p$. Because of the Chebotarev density theorem, every conjugacy class will occur as Frob_p for some odd prime $p \neq \ell$, which concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

Looking at the group H , one cannot only determine whether there is a fake torsion point, but also the degrees of the actual torsion points. By enumerating all the appropriate subgroups of $\text{AGSp}(2g, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, we get the following result that shows that in certain cases the nonexistence of rational ℓ -divisors of P can be explained by points of degree at most 12.

Proposition 11. *Suppose either $\ell = 2$, or both $\ell = 3$ and $P = 0$. Then there exist points $Q_1, \dots, Q_k \in D_\ell(P)$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}(Q_i) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 12$ and a prime number p with the following properties. If $P \neq 0$, then $D_{\ell,p}(P) = \{Q_1 \bmod p, \dots, Q_k \bmod p\}$. If $P = 0$, then $D_{\ell,p}(P) = \langle Q_1 \bmod p, \dots, Q_k \bmod p \rangle$.*

Proof. This is a big group theoretic computation, enumerating all the appropriate subgroups of $\text{GSp}(6, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ or $\text{AGSp}(6, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, and figuring out the degrees of the fake torsion points needed. The code can be found at [code, extra/subgroups.m]. \square

4 Methods

In this section, we explain the main result of this paper: two methods to find torsion points over number fields. For the first method, we use the Chinese remainder theorem, taking torsion points modulo p_i for different primes p_i and trying to combine them into one torsion point over a number field. For the second method, we use a complex analytic approach, computing a complex approximation of torsion points up to high enough precision to reconstruct them algebraically. One could also imagine a third method, where one uses Hensel lifting to try to construct torsion points using methods from [Mas20], but this approach has not been implemented as of now.

4.1 Algebraic reconstruction

Given a rational number $\alpha = \frac{r}{s}$ and its residue class modulo N for some suitable $N \gg \max(r^2, s^2)$, one could wonder if it is possible to construct α from this residue class. This question has been answered positively in [Wang81, WGD92] with a fast algorithm using the Euclidean algorithm.

In this section, we will consider an algebraic number $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, its associated number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k$, such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\alpha) \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then we can reduce α modulo each \mathfrak{p}_i and we get finite field elements $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p_i}$. The question one can ask now is: can we reconstruct α from the α_i ? We will describe an algorithm that attempts to do this. Even though it is still practical for our purpose, the algorithm is definitely not as efficient as the rational reconstruction algorithm mentioned before.

For each i , let p_i be the residue class field characteristic of \mathfrak{p}_i , and let $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a lift of the minimum polynomial of α_i over \mathbb{F}_{p_i} . Then we can consider the ideal $I_i = (f_i, p_i)$ of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. The minimum polynomial f of α is an element of I_i for each i and hence of the intersection $I := \bigcap_i I_i$. The idea of our approach is to find a small element in I .

Algorithm 12. *Input: prime numbers p_i and polynomials f_i as described above.
Output: candidate minimum polynomial f for α .*

Step 1. Compute a Gröbner basis G for the ideal $I = \bigcap_i (f_i, p_i) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Step 2. Set $d := 1$, the degree for the candidate polynomial f that we are currently considering.

Step 3. For each $g \in G$, compute $B_g^d := \{x^i \cdot g \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ such that } \deg(x^i \cdot g) \leq d\}$. Let $B^d := \bigcup_g B_g^d$ and $\Lambda^d \subset \mathbb{R}\{x^0, \dots, x^d\}$ be the lattice generated by B^d .

Step 4. Find a short vector $f \in \Lambda^d$. Compute $|f|$, the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of f .

Step 5. If $(2|f|)^{d+1}$ is significantly smaller than $\text{lcm}(\{p_i\})$ and $f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ for any i , then return f , otherwise set $d := d + 1$ and return to **Step 3**.

For **Step 4** of the algorithm one could use any algorithm to find short vectors. In our implementation we used the LLL algorithm by Lenstra, Lenstra, and Lovász, see [LLL82]. In **Step 5**, we do a heuristic check to see if the polynomial f that we are currently considering is small enough. For this purpose, we compare the number of polynomials of the same degree with coefficients of equal or smaller size with the product of the primes p over which we have information about $f \pmod{p}$. If the latter is much greater than the former, this suggests that the polynomial that we are currently considering might be the correct one.

Example 13. Suppose that $k = 2$, $p_1 = 1009$, $p_2 = 1019$, $f_1 = x - 55$ and $f_2 = x - 241$. Then we find $G = \{x + 635615, 1028171\}$. For $d = 1$, the shortest vector that we can find is $x - 392556$, which is too big to pass the test in **Step 5**. For $d = 2$, we find the short vector $x^2 + 2$, which we will output as f .

4.2 Finding torsion points: the CRT method

In this section, we will describe how to find torsion points using the Chinese remainder theorem. We assume that ℓ is prime and that we have some ℓ -power torsion points $Q \in J(\mathbb{Q})$. Our goal is to find points $R \neq 0$ such that $\ell R = Q$. In this section, all points will be represented using the representation described in subsection 2.4.1. We give an outline of the method.

Algorithm 14. *Input: a prime number ℓ , a subgroup of known torsion points $K \subset J[\ell](\mathbb{Q})$, and a point $Q \in J(\mathbb{Q})$ as described above.*

Output: a (possibly empty) list of nonzero points $R \in J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\ell R = Q$.

- Step 1.** Pick some medium size ($\approx 10^6$) auxiliary prime numbers p_1, \dots, p_k , such that C has good reduction at these primes.
- Step 2.** For each p_i , compute the Weil polynomial P_{p_i} modulo p_i of the reduction J_{p_i} as described in subsection 2.2. Using inequalities for the coefficients of P_{p_i} found in [Hal10], construct a finite set B containing all the possible values of $N_i := \#J_{p_i}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i}) = P_{p_i}(1)$.
- Step 3.** Take a random point $S \in J_{p_i}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i})$ and use a baby step giant step approach to identify all $b \in B$ such that $b \cdot S = 0$. Discard all other elements of B . Repeat this step until $\#B = 1$, which must mean that $B = \{N_i\}$.
- Step 4.** For each p_i , decompose N_i as $\ell^{e_i} \cdot q_i$, where q_i has no factors ℓ . Then generate a bunch of random points S in $J_{p_i}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i})$ and compute $q_i \cdot S$, which is an element of $J_{p_i}[\ell^\infty]$. Keep finding new points, until there are enough points to generate the ℓ -power torsion of $J_{p_i}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i})$.
- Step 5.** For each p_i , find the set D_{p_i} of points $R_i \in J_{p_i}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i})$ such that $\ell R_i = Q \pmod{p_i}$, and compute the image K_{p_i} of K inside $J_{p_i}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i})$. Discard some of the primes p_i for which the set D_{p_i} is relatively large.
- Step 6.** For each finite set $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ for which $D_I := \prod_{i \in I} D_{p_i} / K_{p_i}$ not too large, enumerate all elements $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of D_I and execute the next three steps for each such element. After finishing that, continue to Step 10.
- Step 7.** For each $i \in I$ and $V \in K$ compute a representation

$$R_i + \bar{V} = m_{i,v} \bar{P} + \sum_{m=1}^{-m_{i,v}} R_{i,V,m}, \quad \text{where} \quad R_{i,V,m} \in C_p(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

as in subsection 2.4.1. If the multisets $\{m_{i,v} : V \in K\}$ are not all equal for the different $i \in I$, disregard this element of D_I . Otherwise, compute the polynomials $P_{x,i} = \prod_{m,R}(T - x(R_{i,V,m}))$ and $P_{y,i} = \prod_{m,R}(T - y(R_{i,V,m}))$ inside $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}[T]$.

Step 8. Use algebraic reconstruction, as described in subsection 4.1, to try to lift the matching coefficients of the $P_{x,i}$ and $P_{y,i}$ for the different $i \in I$ to elements of a number field. If the coefficients lift, and we get polynomials $P_x, P_y \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[T]$, apply the next step to them.

Step 9. For all possible combinations of the roots of P_x and P_y see which ones give points on $C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then try all combinations of m of these points to see if we can find an $R \in J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\ell R = Q$. Use the Jacobian arithmetic described in subsection 2.4.1 to verify this.

Step 10. After finishing the loop described in Step 5, output all R with $\ell R = Q$ that we found in Step 9 during the computation.

Steps 1 through 4 are precomputation steps that only need to be done once for each curve. In most cases, the CRT method was the faster method to find torsion points over number fields. The biggest bottleneck of the method is the combinatorial explosion that can take place in Steps 6 through 9; the sets D_J can become very big in cases where there is a lot of fake torsion.

4.3 Finding torsion points: the analytic method

The following analytic method to find torsion points has the advantage that there will be no combinatorial explosion of trying to combine torsion points modulo different primes into a torsion point over a number field. The downside is that we cannot utilise the fact that $J_{p_i}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i})[\ell^n]$ is typically much smaller than $J(\mathbb{C})[\ell^n]$. Recall that we assumed the existence of a point $P \in C(\mathbb{Q})$ and that we picked such a point at the start.

Algorithm 15. *Input:* a prime number ℓ , a subgroup of known torsion points $K \subset J[\ell](\mathbb{Q})$, and a point $Q \in J(\mathbb{Q})$ as described above.

Output: a (possible empty) list of nonzero points $R \in J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\ell R = Q$.

Step 1. Choose some $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$ as in subsection 2.4.2. Now write Q as $Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 - P_1 - P_2 - P_3$. Compute an Abel-Jacobi map $\iota: J(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ and compute the image $\iota(Q)$.

Step 2. Pick an element x in each class in $(\frac{1}{\ell}\iota(Q) + \frac{1}{\ell}\Lambda) / \iota(K)$ and apply the following three steps for each element.

Step 3. Use Algorithm 9 to find a point $R \in J(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\iota(R)$ is close to x . Use a modified version of Algorithm 6 to write R as $R_1 + R_2 + R_3 - 3P$ for some $R_1, R_2, R_3 \in C(\mathbb{C})$.

Step 4. Compute Mumford coordinates for R , i.e. compute the product polynomial $P_x := \prod_i (T - x(R_i))$ in $\mathbb{C}[T]$ and a polynomial P_y of degree 2 such that $P_y(x(R_i)) = y(R_i)$.

Step 5. Use a short lattice vector algorithm to try to find algebraic relations for the coefficients of P_x and P_y . If this succeeds, reconstruct the corresponding point in $J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, which we also call R by abuse of notation.

Step 6. After finishing the loop described in Step 2, output all R with $\ell R = Q$ that we found in Step 5 during the computation.

In practice, to recognise torsion points over number fields, we need several hundreds of digits of precision. This together with the sheer number of potential points we need to try (typically ℓ^6) makes the method slow in practice and only practical for $\ell = 2$ or $\ell = 3$.

5 Results

The algorithm has been implemented by the author in **Magma** and is publicly available at [code]. It has been run on a dataset consisting of 82240 plane quartic curves found by Andrew Sutherland, see [Suth19]. As a result, the rational torsion subgroup has been computed successfully for 81357 of the Jacobians of these curves. The total runtime for this computation was approximately 8 core months and has been done in parallel on a machine of the Simons Collaboration at Massachusetts Institute of Technology. For each computed torsion group a proof has been stored in the form of a list of primes, and a list torsion points over \mathbb{Q} and over some number fields which together can be used to prove the completeness of the list of rational torsion points using Lemma 1. These proofs can be verified significantly faster than it took to construct them and are stored in the file [code, `extra/proofs.tar.xz`]. In Table 5, you can see the 64 different orders of the torsion groups that we found and how often each of them occurred.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13				
58702	8855	5101	2695	1106	1435	616	697	452	214	51	382	42				
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
130	78	129	7	127	30	51	55	17	2	86	14	14	19	31	1	24
31	32	33	35	36	38	39	40	41	42	44	45	48	49	50	51	52
3	20	12	5	33	4	7	15	1	15	2	7	14	2	2	2	2
54	56	57	60	62	64	65	66	70	72	75	80	84	96	98	105	160
2	4	4	8	1	6	1	3	3	5	2	2	1	3	1	1	1

Table 1: Order statistics for torsion groups

For the majority of the 883 missing torsion groups, the reason that we could not compute them was the failure to find a rational point on the plane quartic curve. For

some of these curves, we could verify the nonexistence of rational points by proving that there are no points over some local field. For the remaining curves, which might give rise to counterexamples for the Hasse principle, we did not attempt to verify the nonexistence of rational points.

To conclude this section we exhibit an example where we managed to find a torsion point over a degree 12 number field in order to certify the correctness of the computed rational torsion subgroup.

Example 16. Consider the smooth plane quartic $C: f = 0$ with

$$f = x^3y - xy^3 + y^4 + x^3z + 2x^2yz + 2xy^2z - y^3z + x^2z^2 + 2xyz^2 + y^2z^2 - 2xz^3 - yz^3 + z^4.$$

Its Jacobian J modulo 11 has 1772 points, and J modulo 67 has 274944 points. As the primes 11 and 67 are both primes of good reduction, this implies that the torsion subgroup of J can have at most order $\gcd(1772, 274944) = 4$.

Besides 0, we find a second rational torsion point

$$T_2 = \left(\frac{1}{19}(-10\theta + 4) : -1 : 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{19}(-10\bar{\theta} + 4) : -1 : 1\right) - 2 \cdot (1 : 0 : 0),$$

where θ and $\bar{\theta}$ are zeros of $x^2 - \frac{27}{10}x - \frac{1713}{100}$. We easily find that there are no other points of order 2. After looking at a lot of different primes and seeing that T_2 has a 2-divisor modulo each of these primes, we suspect that T_2 might have a (fake) 2-divisor.

After about an hour of computation time, our program finds a torsion point T_4 over a degree 12 number field K defined by adjoining to \mathbb{Q} a root of

$$x^{12} - 5x^{10} - 2x^9 - 20x^8 - 20x^7 + 7x^6 - 50x^5 + 26x^4 - 40x^3 - 58x^2 - 24x - 15.$$

This point satisfies $2T_4 = T_2$. As the prime 67 splits into four primes of residue degrees 67, 67, 67^2 , and 67^8 in the ring of integers of K , the point T_4 explains two of the 2-divisors of T_2 modulo 67. As there are only two 2-divisors of T_2 in $J \bmod 67$, we conclude that T_2 doesn't have a 2-divisor over \mathbb{Q} , and $\{0, T_2\}$ is the full torsion subgroup of J .

References

- [BSD65] B. J. Birch, H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, Notes on elliptic curves. II. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **218** (1965), 79–108. 1
- [code] R. van Bommel, *genus3torsion*. Magma code, available at: <https://github.com/rbommel/genus3torsion> 3, 10, 14
- [Can87] David G. Cantor, Computing in the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve. *Math. Comp.* **48** (1987), no. 177, 95–101. 5

- [Cos15] Edgar Costa, Effective computations of Hasse–Weil zeta functions. Thesis (Ph.D.)—New York University. 2015. ISBN: 978-1321-95392-3. *ProQuest LLC*. 4
- [FOR08] Stéphane Flon, Roger Oyono, Christophe Ritzenthaler, Fast addition on non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curves. Algebraic geometry and its applications, 1–28, Ser. Number Theory Appl., 5, *Word Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ*, 2008. 5, 7
- [Hal10] Safia Haloui, The characteristic polynomials of abelian varieties of dimensions 3 over finite fields. *J. Number Theory* **130** (2010), no. 12, 2745–2752. 12
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. *Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg*, 1977. 6, 7
- [Khu04] Kamal Khuri-Makdisi, Linear algebra algorithms for divisors on an algebraic curve. *Math. Comp.* **73** (2004), no. 245, 333–357. 5, 6
- [Khu07] Kamal Khuri-Makdisi, Asymptotically fast group operations on Jacobians of general curves. *Math. Comp.* **76** (2007), no. 260, 2213–2239. 5
- [Khu18] Kamal Khuri-Makdisi, On Jacobian group arithmetic for typical divisors on curves. *Res. Number Theory* **4** (2018), no. 1, Paper No. 3, 29 pp. 5
- [LLL82] A. K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra Jr., L. Lovász, Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. *Math. Ann.* **261** (1982), no. 4, 515–534. 11
- [Katz81] Nicholas M. Katz, Galois properties of torsion points on abelian varieties. *Invent. Math.* **62** (1981), no. 3, 481–502. 2
- [Mas20] Nicolas Mascot, Hensel-lifting torsion points on Jacobians and Galois representations. *Math. Comp.* **89** (2020), no. 323, 1417–1455. 10
- [Maz78] B. Mazur, Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal. With an appendix by Mazur and M. Rapoport. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 47 (1977), 33–186 (1978). 1
- [Mer96] Loïc Merel, Bornes pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres. *Invent. Math.* **124** (1996), no. 1–3, 437–449. 1
- [Mor22] L. J. Mordell, On the rational solutions of the indeterminate equations of the third and fourth degrees. *Cambr. Phil. Soc. Proc.* **21** (1922), 179–192. 1
- [MüRe22] J. Steffen Müller, Berno Reitsma, Computing torsion subgroups of Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves of genus 3. Preprint. *arXiv:2211.03372*. 2
- [Neu18] Christian Neurohr, Efficient integration on Riemann surfaces & applications. *PhD thesis* (2018), <https://oops.uni-oldenburg.de/3607/1/neueff18.pdf>. 8
- [Sto02] Michael Stoll, On the height constant of genus two. II. *Acta Arith.* **104** (2002), no. 2, 165–182. 2
- [Sto17] Micael Stoll, An explicit theory of heights for hyperelliptic Jacobians of genus three. *Algorithmic and experimental methods in algebra, geometry, and number theory*, 665–715, *Springer, Cham*, 2017. 2
- [Suth19] A. V. Sutherland, A database of nonhyperelliptic genus 3 curves over \mathbb{Q} . *Thirteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium (ANTS XIII)*, Open Book Series **2** (2019), 443–459. 3, 6, 14

- [Wang81] Paul S. Wang, A p -adic algorithm for univariate partial fractions. Symbolic and algebraic computation, *Proc. AMC Symp.*, Snowbird/Utah 1981, 212–217. 10
- [Weil29] André Weil, L'arithmétique sur les courbes algébriques. *Acta Math.* **52**, no. 1, 281–315. 1
- [WGD92] Paul S. Wang, M. J. T. Guy, James H. Davenport. P-adic reconstruction of rational numbers, *SIGSAM Bull.* **16** (1982), no. 2, 2–3. 10