

# FURTHER PROPERTIES OF BALL PROLATES AND APPROXIMATION OF RELATED ALMOST BAND-LIMITED FUNCTIONS

AHMED SOUABNI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we aim to investigate the approximation of almost band limited functions using their expansions in the base of ball polynomials and the base of ball prolate spheroidal wave functions. To do this, we start by giving some required properties on the ball prolate spheroidal wave functions for our proposed proof. Note that these functions are both an extension of the classical PSWFs ( $d = 1$ ) and the ball polynomials ( $c = 0$ ).

**MSC :** 42C10, 65L70, 41A10.

**Keywords:** Ball prolate spheroidal wave functions, Ball polynomials, Finite Fourier transform, Almost band-limited functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Time-limited and band-limited functions are fundamental tools in signal processing. By Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, a signal can not be time and band-limited simultaneously. That is why a natural assumption is that a signal is almost band-limited. This issue has been initially carried thought Landau, Pollak and Slepian since their pioneer work in the 1960's, where prolate spheroidal wave functions have been introduced as the optimal orthogonal system to represent almost band-limited functions [6] [10] [11] [21]. From the investigation of the above problem, Slepian was the first to note that PSWFs are the eigenfunctions of the finite Fourier transform operator corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , i.e

$$\int_{-1}^1 e^{icxt}\psi(t)dt = \lambda\psi(x) \quad x \in I = (-1, 1).$$

Slepian et al.[21] proved that this integral operator commutes with some Sturm-Liouville operator. Hence, PSWFs are also solutions of the second order differential equation

$$\left( (1-x^2)\psi'(x) \right)' + (\chi - c^2x^2)\psi = 0$$

recovered also by separation of variables for solving the Helmholtz equation in spherical coordinates.

This point is fundamental because that it is a perturbation of the Legendre's differential equation and in that way we link up PSWFs with orthogonal polynomials.

We are interested in the theory of prolate spheroidal wave functions because they have a wide range of applications and remarkable properties. Many extensions of the time-frequency concentration problem on the finite interval to other geometries like the disk, 3D ball, sphere, triangle... have been considered. The reader may consult for example [8] [18] [19] [23].

---

This work was supported in part by the DGRST research grant LR21ES10 and the PHC-Utique research project 20G1503.

We are interested in the extension given by Slepian in [20] where this problem has been extended to the  $d$ -dimensional case. In contrast with the one dimensional case, the problem of time-frequency concentration over bounded higher dimension domain has not received enough attention.

In the first part of this work, we will be interested in the prolate spheroidal wave functions in the multidimensional ball. Note that the first who studied this issue is Slepian in [20] by extending the finite Fourier transform to the  $d$ -dimension. Recently, in [24], authors have given a very important contribution consisting on writing the Sturm-Liouville operator defining ball prolate spheroidal wave functions in a suitable form allowing to preserve the key features of the one-dimensional case. More precisely, they expressed the Sturm-Liouville operator of interest as a perturbation to the order  $c^2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2$  of the one defining the ball polynomials. Thus, we have all ingredients to develop spectral methods relative to the study of prolate spheroidal wave functions. We should mention here that whereas a more general context has been considered in [24], the aim of this work is to give some refined bounds of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the integral operator and to establish some other properties of the ball PSWFs.

The second purpose of this work is to study the quality of approximation of almost band-limited functions by ball prolate spheroidal wave functions series expansions. In spite of their important properties, we can't handle ball PSWFs in a straightforward way because there is no explicit formula to compute them. That is why one classical scheme is to compute explicitly their coefficients in terms of ball polynomials basis. Then, it is convenient to develop almost band-limited functions directly in the base of ball polynomials and see what happens with the quality of approximation in this framework.

Let us now be a little more specific, ball prolate spheroidal wave functions  $(\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)})$  are defined as solutions of the following concentration problem

$$\text{Find } f = \arg \max_{f \in \mathcal{B}_c} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_c &:= \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \hat{f}(u) = 0 \quad \forall u \notin \mathbb{B}^d(0, c)\}, \\ \mathbb{B}^d(0, c) &:= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq c\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{B}^d := \mathbb{B}^d(0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of this problem are eigenfunctions of the finite Fourier transform given by

$$\mathcal{F}_c.f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

and then, by commutativity, eigenfunctions of

$$\mathcal{L}_{c,\mathbf{x}} = -\nabla \cdot (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \nabla - \Delta_0 + c^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2.$$

Namely,

$$\mathcal{F}_c.\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)} = \mu_n(c)\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{c,\mathbf{x}}.\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)} = \chi_n^{(m)}(c)\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}.$$

Note that by the form under which this last differential operator is given, by Zhang et al. in [24], the ball PSWFs extend the orthogonal ball polynomials ( $c=0$ )

$$P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{P}_k^{(0, m + \frac{d}{2} - 1)}(2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 1) Y_l^m(\hat{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{B}^d, \quad 1 \leq l \leq \frac{2m + d - 2}{m} \binom{m + d - 3}{m - 1}, \quad k, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and also provide a Bouwkamp spectral algorithm for the computation of ball PSWFs. Our first result is an estimation of  $\left\|\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}\right\|_{\infty}$ .

**Theorem A :** Let  $c > 0$ . For any integer  $k$  such that  $\chi_k^{(m)} > \max\left\{\frac{c^2 + 8}{(2m + d)}, (2/3)^6 \left(\frac{2\pi}{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1}\right)^2 + 4\left(m + \frac{d}{2}\right)\left(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1\right) - 4 + c^2\right\} + m(m + d)$ , we have

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{B}^d} \left| \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3\left(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1\right)}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{N(d, m)}{\Omega_{d-1}}} \sqrt{\chi_k^{(m)}(c)}.$$

As mentioned before, and as application of this first part, we will give the quality of approximation by ball PSWFs and by ball polynomials. We should mention here that this question has been solved in the one-dimensional case in [7]. At first, let us define the concept of almost-band-limited function.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $c > 0$ ,  $\Omega = \mathbb{B}^d(0, c)$  and  $\epsilon_{\Omega} > 0$ . A function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is said to be  $\epsilon_{\Omega}$ -band-limited function if

$$\int_{\|\mathbf{x}\| > c} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \epsilon_{\Omega}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

The approximation of almost band-limited functions by ball prolate spheroidal functions and by ball polynomials are given by the two following theorems.

**Theorem B :** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an  $\epsilon_{\Omega}$ -band-limited function. Then for any positive integer  $N \geq \frac{ec}{4}$ , we have

$$\|f - S_N \cdot f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \left(2\epsilon_{\Omega} + C_{m,d} |\mu_N(c)| \left(\chi_N^{(m)}(c)\right)^{1/2}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where  $C_{m,d} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{c}{(4\pi)^{1/4}}\right)^d \sqrt{\frac{3\left(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1\right)}{\frac{d}{2} + 1}}$  and  $S_N f$  is the orthogonal projection of a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  on the space spanned by the  $N + 1$  first ball prolate spheroidal wave functions.

**Theorem C :** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an  $\epsilon_{\Omega}$  band-limited function with  $\Omega = \mathbb{B}(0, c)$ . Then, for any positive integer  $N \geq \frac{ec - m - \frac{d+1}{2}}{2}$ , we have

$$\|f - \Pi_N \cdot f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \left(2\epsilon_{\Omega} + C_N \left(\frac{ec}{2(N+1) + m + \frac{d+1}{2}}\right)^{2(N+1) + m + \frac{d+1}{2}}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where  $C_{N,m,d} = \frac{1}{2^{2N+m+\frac{d}{2}+3} \sqrt{ec(4N+3m+d+4)}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{4 \ln\left(\frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}}\right)}\right]^{1/2}$  and  $\Pi_N f$

is the orthogonal projection of a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  on the space spanned by the  $N + 1$  first

ball polynomials.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to some preliminary results that will be useful afterwards. In section 3, we give some spectral properties of ball prolate spheroidal wave functions, namely the behaviour of the eigenvalues of the associated integral operator and some local estimates giving an upper bound of  $\left\| \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)} \right\|_{\infty}$ . We conclude, in section 4, by the quality of approximation in the ball PSWFs basis comparing with the ball polynomials one.

## 2. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES ABOUT SOME SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

In this section, we recall some important properties about some special functions, mainly, the ball polynomials. For this purpose, we introduce some preliminaries about spherical harmonics which appear in the definition of ball polynomials. Furthermore, we recall some properties about Bessel functions which will be frequently used throughout the forthcoming sections.

**2.1. Bessel functions.** For  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ , the Bessel functions  $J_{\alpha}$  are the bounded solutions of the ordinary differential equation given by, (see for example [22]),

$$x^2 y'' + xy' + (x^2 - \alpha^2)y = 0, \quad x > 0,$$

which is equivalent to :

$$(xy')' + \left(x - \frac{\alpha^2}{x}\right)y = 0.$$

Many recurrence relations for Bessel function of the first kind exist in literature (we refer reader for example to [22]), among which we cite

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d}{dx}[x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(x)] = x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha-1}(x),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d}{dx}[x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}] = -x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha-1}(x).$$

Bounds and local estimates of  $J_{\alpha}$  are frequently used in this paper. A first simple and useful local estimate is given by, see [15]

$$\sup_{x \geq 0} \sqrt{x} |J_{\alpha}(x)| \leq c_{\alpha},$$

with

$$c_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2/\pi} & \text{if } |\alpha| \leq 1/2 \\ 0.675 \sqrt{\alpha^{1/3} + \frac{1.9}{\alpha^{1/3}} + \frac{1.1}{\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha > 1/2. \end{cases}$$

A second well known estimate of the Bessel function is given in [[16] p.227] by

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \frac{J_{\nu}(x)}{x^{\nu}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu + 1)}.$$

An other estimate of the Bessel function, when the argument is less than the order, is given by

$$(2.4) \quad 1 \leq \frac{J_{\nu}(\nu x)}{x^{\nu} J_{\nu}(\nu)} \leq e^{\nu(1-x)} \quad \nu > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < x \leq 1.$$

In [17], author has given a more precise inequality where it has been shown that

$$(2.5) \quad \exp\left[\frac{\nu^2(1-x^2)}{4\nu+4}\right] \leq \frac{J_\nu(\nu x)}{x^\nu J_\nu(\nu)} \leq \exp\left[\frac{\nu^2(1-x^2)}{2\nu+4}\right] \quad \nu > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < x \leq 1.$$

The following inequality gives us a lower bound of  $J_\nu(\nu)$  (we refer reader to [5])

$$J_\nu(\nu) \geq \frac{\Gamma(1/3)}{2^{2/3}3^{1/6}\pi(\nu+\alpha_0)^{1/3}} \quad \alpha_0 \cong 0.0943498$$

Thus, by combining the last two inequalities, one gets

$$(2.6) \quad J_\nu(\nu x) \geq \frac{\Gamma(1/3)}{2^{2/3}3^{1/6}\pi(\nu+\alpha_0)^{1/3}} x^\nu \exp\left[\frac{\nu^2(1-x^2)}{4\nu+4}\right]. \quad (\nu > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < x \leq 1).$$

The spherical Bessel functions are defined as

$$(2.7) \quad j_{n,c}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sqrt{2(2n+\alpha+1)} \frac{J_{2n+\alpha+1}(cx)}{\sqrt{cx}}, \quad x \in (0, \infty).$$

This later set of functions satisfy the orthogonality relation,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} j_{n,c}^{(\alpha)}(x) j_{m,c}^{(\alpha)}(x) x dx = \delta_{n,m}.$$

Recall that the Hankel transform of a function  $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H}^\alpha . f(x) := \int_0^\infty \sqrt{xy} J_\alpha(xy) f(y) dy; \quad \alpha > -1/2.$$

The Hankel transform of the spherical Bessel functions are given by, see for example [20]

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{H}^\alpha . j_{n,c}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2(2n+\alpha+1)}}{c} \left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} P_n^{(\alpha,0)} \left(1 - 2\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^2\right) \chi_{[0,c]}(x).$$

By noticing that the Hankel transform is an involution, one can write (2.8) in a more suitable form

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^1 y^{\alpha+1} J_\alpha(cxy) P_n^{(0,\alpha)}(2y^2-1) dy = (-1)^n \frac{J_{2n+\alpha+1}(cx)}{cx}.$$

**2.2. Spherical harmonics.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^d$  be the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space,  $\mathbf{x}$  will denote the column vector  $(x_1, \dots, x_d)^T$ . We will denote the inner product over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , by

$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^d x_i y_i$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$  will denote the Euclidean associated norm  $\|\mathbf{x}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2}$ . We also introduce its polar spherical coordinates  $(r := \|\mathbf{x}\|, \hat{\mathbf{x}} := \frac{\mathbf{x}}{r})$ .

The unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^d$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are denoted respectively by

$$\mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}\| = 1\}, \quad \mathbb{B}^d := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1\}.$$

The inner product of  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  is defined as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) g(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}),$$

where  $d\sigma$  is the surface measure.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_n^d$  be the space of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$  and  $N(d, n) := \dim \mathcal{H}_n^d$ . It is well known that  $N(d, n) = \frac{2n+d-2}{n} \binom{n+d-3}{n-1}$ . Note that the radial and the angular dependence of a function  $H_n \in \mathcal{H}_n^d$  can be separated :  $H_n(\mathbf{x}) = H_n(r\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = r^n H_n(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A spherical harmonic of degree  $n$  denoted  $Y_n(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$  is a harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $d$  variables restricted to the unit  $(d-1)$ -sphere.

It is well known that the spherical harmonics satisfy

$$\Delta_0 \cdot Y_n = -n(n+d-2)Y_n.$$

In other words,  $Y_n$  are eigenfunctions of the angular part of the Laplace operator given by

$$\Delta_0 = \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq d} D_{ij}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad D_{ij} = x_j \partial x_i - x_i \partial x_j.$$

The spherical harmonics of different degrees are orthogonal over the unit sphere, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} Y_n(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) Y_m(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = 0 \quad m \neq n.$$

Given a set of  $N(d, n)$  linearly independent spherical harmonics of degree  $n$ , one can construct an orthonormal set  $\{Y_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^{N(d,n)}$ . Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} Y_i^{(n)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) Y_j^{(m)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \delta_{n,m} \delta_{i,j}.$$

The spherical harmonics also satisfy the following addition formula

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N(d,n)} Y_j^{(n)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) Y_j^{(n)}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \frac{N(d,n)}{\Omega_{d-1}} \frac{C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle)}{C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(1)}; \quad \Omega_{d-1} := \sigma(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})},$$

which shows that the ultra-spherical polynomial  $C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}$  is the basic spherical harmonic in  $d$  dimensions analogous to  $\cos$  in the case  $d = 2$ .

Recall that the ultra-spherical polynomials are given by

$$C_n^{(\lambda)}(x) := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}{\Gamma(2\lambda)} \frac{\Gamma(n+2\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1/2)} P_n^{(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}, \lambda-\frac{1}{2})}(x); \quad C_n^{(\lambda)}(1) = \frac{\Gamma(n+2\lambda)}{\Gamma(2\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Here  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  are the Jacobi polynomials defined by

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!} (1-x)^{-\alpha} (1+x)^{-\beta} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left[ (1-x)^{n+\alpha} (1+x)^{n+\beta} \right].$$

We will re-write the normalization of the ultra-spherical polynomials under the following form

**Lemma 2.2.** For any positive integer  $n$  and under the above notations, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle)|^2 d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) = \frac{\Omega_{d-1}}{N(d, n)} \left( C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(1) \right)^2.$$

*Proof.* This proof is simply based on (2.10) and the normalization of  $\{Y_n\}_n$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle)|^2 d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) &= \left( \frac{\Omega_{d-1}}{N(d, n)} \right)^2 \left( C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(1) \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N(d, n)} Y_j^{(n)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) Y_j^{(n)}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \right)^2 d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &= \left( \frac{\Omega_{d-1}}{N(d, n)} \right)^2 \left( C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(1) \right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N(d, n)} Y_j^{(n)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) Y_j^{(n)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \\ &= \left( \frac{\Omega_{d-1}}{N(d, n)} \right)^2 \left( C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(1) \right)^2 \frac{N(d, n)}{\Omega_{d-1}} \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $Y_n$  be any spherical harmonic of degree  $n$ . Using the orthogonality of  $Y_n$ , together with (2.10), one gets

$$Y_n(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{N(d, n)}{C_n^{(\lambda)}(1)\Omega_{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} Y_n(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) C_n^{(\frac{d-2}{2})}(\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}).$$

By taking into account the normalization of ultra-spherical polynomials (2.11) and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one gets

$$(2.12) \quad |Y_n(\hat{\mathbf{x}})| \leq \sqrt{\frac{N(d, n)}{\Omega_{d-1}}}.$$

To finish with this part, it is useful to note that the finite Fourier transform (over the unit sphere) of spherical harmonics is given by the following explicit expression given in [14], [1], (2.13)

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{-iw\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle} Y_\ell^m(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} (-i)^m}{w^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} J_{m+\frac{d-2}{2}}(w) Y_\ell^m(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \quad w > 0$$

**2.3. Ball polynomials: Orthogonal polynomials on  $\mathbb{B}^d$ .** The ball polynomials are defined as

$$P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{P}_k^{(0, m+\frac{d}{2}-1)}(2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 1) Y_\ell^m(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{B}^d \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq N(d, m) \quad k, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{P}_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_k}} P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x), \quad h_k = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(k+\alpha+1) \Gamma(k+\beta+1)}{k! (2k+\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma(k+\alpha+\beta+1)}.$$

It has been shown in [14] that the ball polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the usual inner product. Recall also that the total degree of  $P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}$  is  $m+2k$ . In addition, the ball polynomials are eigenfunctions of the following differential operator :

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) = \left( -\nabla \cdot (I - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^t) \nabla \right) P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) = (m+2k)(m+2k+d) P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Note that in [24], authors have proven that this last operator can be written in different more suitable forms given by

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} &= -\nabla \cdot (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \nabla - \Delta_0 \\ &= -(1 - r^2) \partial_r^2 - \frac{d-1}{r} \partial_r + (d+1)r \partial_r - \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_0, \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will compute the finite Fourier transform of the ball polynomials  $P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}$  in what follows

**Lemma 2.3.** *For all  $\mathbf{y} = \tau \hat{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{B}^d$ , we have*

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} P_{j,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} (-i)^m (-1)^j J_{2j+m+d/2}(c\tau) Y_\ell^{(m)}(\hat{\mathbf{y}})}{2 (c\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x} = \rho \hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \tau \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ , then using (2.13) together with (2.9) one gets

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} P_{j,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= \int_0^1 \rho^{m+d-1} P_k^{(0,m+d/2-1)}(2\rho^2-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{-ic\rho\tau\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle} Y_\ell^{(m)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) d\rho \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} (-i)^m}{(c\tau)^{d/2-1}} \int_0^1 \rho^{m+d/2} J_{m+\frac{d}{2}-1}(c\rho\tau) P_j^{(0,m+\frac{d}{2}-1)}(2\rho^2-1) d\rho \cdot Y_\ell^{(m)}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} (-i)^m (-1)^j J_{2j+m+d/2}(c\tau) Y_\ell^{(m)}(\hat{\mathbf{y}})}{2(c\tau)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sqrt{c\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. BALL PROLATE SPHEROIDAL WAVE FUNCTIONS : DEFINITIONS AND SPECTRAL PROPERTIES

**3.1. Definition and normalization.** We should mention here that the equivalent definitions given in this paper of ball prolate spheroidal wave functions are an association of those given by Slepian in [20] and recently by Zhang and co-authors in [24]. We introduce the ball PSWFs in the classical way, namely as solutions of an energy maximization problem therefore as eigenfunctions of an integral operator and finally, by a "commutativity property", as eigenfunctions of a suitable differential operator.

In this work, we adopt the following definition of the Fourier transform over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Recall that, with this normalization, one has  $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  and the inversion formula is then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

We are dealing with the issue of most concentrated band-limited functions on the unit ball, that is

$$(3.19) \quad \text{Find } f = \arg \max_{f \in \mathcal{B}_c} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}}.$$



From (2.13), and by writing the integral in the spherical-polar coordinates  $\mathbf{x} = r\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , one gets

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} \rangle} d\mathbf{x} = \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2}}{(c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \int_0^1 r^{\frac{d}{2}} J_{\frac{d}{2}-1}(cr\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|) dr.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 r^{\frac{d}{2}} J_{\frac{d}{2}-1}(cr\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|) dr &= \frac{1}{(c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^1 (cr\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\frac{d}{2}-1}(cr\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{(c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (r\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\frac{d}{2}-1}(r\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|) \left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \chi_{[0,c]}(r) dr \\ (3.20) \quad &= \frac{J_{d/2}(c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)}{c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality is from  $n=0$  in (2.9). Finally, combining the last two equations gives us

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} \rangle} d\mathbf{x} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \frac{J_{d/2}(c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)}{(c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

We retain this result, that will be useful in the sequel, in the following lemma

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any positive real number  $c$ , and for all  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{B}^d$ , we have*

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} \rangle} d\mathbf{x} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \frac{J_{d/2}(c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)}{(c\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle} \overline{\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z} \right) d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^d \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^d(0,c)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}^d(0,c)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z} \rangle} d\mathbf{x} \right) \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) \overline{\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}} \\ (3.22) \quad &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^d(0,c)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}^d(0,c)} \frac{J_{d/2}(\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)}{(\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) \overline{\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z}}{\int_{\mathbb{B}^d(0,c)} \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by a straightforward change of variable and function, the solutions of  $(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}}$

are the eigenfunctions of the integral operator  $\mathcal{Q}_c$  given by

$$(3.23) \quad \mathcal{Q}_c.f(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^d \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} (2\pi)^{d/2} \frac{J_{d/2}(c\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{z}\|)}{(c\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{d}{2}}} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

One can easily check that  $\mathcal{Q}_c = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^d \mathcal{F}_c^* \circ \mathcal{F}_c$  where  $\mathcal{F}_c$  is the finite Fourier integral operator defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{B}_d)$  by

$$(3.24) \quad \mathcal{F}_c.f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

We denote by  $\lambda_n^{(m)}(c)$  the eigenvalues corresponding to  $\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}$  as eigenfunction of  $\mathcal{Q}_c$ , i.e

$$\lambda_n^{(m)}(c) \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{z}) = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^d \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} (2\pi)^{d/2} \frac{J_{d/2}(c\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{z}\|)}{(c\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{z}\|)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

We define the ball spheroidal wave functions as solutions of the energy maximization problem (3.19) which are at the same time eigenfunctions of both operators  $\mathcal{Q}_c$  and  $\mathcal{F}_c$ .

On the other hand, in [24], it has been shown that the finite Fourier integral operator commutes with the following positive self-adjoint differential operator

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{c,\mathbf{x}} &= -\nabla \cdot (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \nabla - \Delta_0 + c^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \\ &= -(1 - r^2) \partial_r^2 - \frac{d-1}{r} \partial_r + (d+1)r \partial_r - \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_0 + c^2 r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can also say that the ball PSWFs are also the eigenfunctions of (3.25). That is

$$\mathcal{L}_{c,\mathbf{x}} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_k^{(m)}(c) \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $\chi_k^{(m)}(c)$  are the corresponding eigenvalues and  $c$  is the bandwidth parameter. Note also that  $\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}$  has a separated form given by

$$(3.26) \quad \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) = r^m \phi_k^{(m,c)}(2r^2 - 1) Y_\ell^m(\hat{x}),$$

where  $\phi_k^{(m,c)}$  satisfies

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{-1}{\omega_{0,\beta_{m,d}}(\eta)} \partial_\eta \left( \omega_{1,\beta_{m,d}+1}(\eta) \partial_\eta \phi_k^{(m,c)} \right) + \frac{c^2(\eta+1)}{8} \phi_k^{(m,c)} = \frac{1}{4} (\chi_k^{(m)}(c) - \gamma_{m,d}) \phi_k^{(m,c)}, \quad \text{with } \gamma_{m,d} = m(m+d).$$

*Remark 3.2.* We use in this remark the separated form of the ball prolate spheroidal wave functions to show that the radial part of these functions are also eigenfunctions of the finite Hankel transform. We should mention that this remark has been given differently in [20].

Thanks to (3.26) and (2.13), one can write

$$\lambda_k^{(m)} r^m \phi_k^{(m,c)}(2r^2 - 1) = (2\pi)^{d/2} (-i)^m \int_0^1 \frac{J_{m+\frac{d}{2}-1}(cr\tau)}{(cr\tau)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \tau^{m+d-1} \phi_k^{(m,c)}(2r^2 - 1) d\tau.$$

It is convenient to make the substitution  $\varphi_k^{(m,c)}(r) := r^{m+\frac{d-1}{2}} \phi_k^{(m,c)}(2r^2 - 1)$  to obtain

$$\lambda_k^{(m)} \varphi_k^{(m,c)}(r) \sqrt{c} \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} (i)^m = \int_0^1 J_{m+\frac{d}{2}-1}(cr\tau) \sqrt{cr\tau} \varphi_k^{(m,c)}(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then

(3.28)

$$\alpha_k^{(m)} \varphi_k^{(m)}(r) = \int_0^1 J_\nu(cr\tau) \sqrt{cr\tau} \varphi_k^{(m)}(\tau) d\tau = \mathcal{H}_c^{(\alpha)} \cdot \varphi_k^{(m)}(r); \quad \nu = m + \frac{d}{2} - 1; \quad \alpha_k^{(m)} = \sqrt{c} \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \lambda_k^{(m)}(c).$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}_c^{(\alpha)}$  is the finite Hankel transform given by

$$\mathcal{H}_c^{(\alpha)} \cdot f(x) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{cxy} J_\alpha(cxy) f(y) dy.$$

It may be useful to note that the problem of the finite Hankel transform has been extensively studied, see for example [4], [13] and [3].

We note finally that the ball PSWFs are normalized through the following rule :

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} (\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} = 1,$$

or equivalently, in terms of the radial part:

$$\int_0^1 r^{2m+d-1} \left(\phi_k^{(m,c)}(2r^2 - 1)\right)^2 dr = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1+t)^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1} |\phi_k^{(m,c)}|^2(t) dt = 2^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1}.$$

Finally, we will write the ball prolate in term of limiting operators. Let  $U$  be a set of finite measure in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  be the subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  formed by the functions supported in  $U$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}(U) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \notin U\},$$

and recall that  $\mathcal{B}_c$  is Paley-Wiener space formed by functions whose Fourier transform are supported in  $\mathbb{B}^d(0, c)$ ,

$$\mathcal{B}_c = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mathcal{F} \cdot f(u) = 0 \quad \forall u \notin \mathbb{B}^d(0, c)\}.$$

Let  $D_U \cdot f(x) = \chi_U(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x})$  be the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  onto  $\mathcal{D}(U)$  and  $B_c \cdot f(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\mathbb{B}^d(0,c)} \mathcal{F} \cdot f(x)$  be the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_c$ .

Using (3.21),

$$B_c \cdot f(x) = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2\pi)^{d/2} \frac{J_{d/2}(c\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|)}{(c\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|)^{d/2}} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$

Then, one can write the integral operator  $\mathcal{Q}_c$  in terms of the limiting operators as

$$\mathcal{Q}_c = D_{\mathbb{B}^d} B_c D_{\mathbb{B}^d}$$

**3.2. Behaviour of  $\lambda_n^{(m)}(c)$ .** Recently in [3], the author gives a well precise behaviour of the eigenvalues  $\alpha_k^{(m)}$  which are related, by remark 3.2, to the eigenfunctions corresponding to ball prolate functions. Mainly, one can derive an asymptotic super-exponential decay of  $\lambda_n^m(c)$  directly by writing theorem 3.2 of [3] under our notations :

**Proposition 3.3.** *For given real numbers  $m, c > 0$ , there exists a constant  $A$  depending only on  $c$ , such that for every  $n > \frac{ec}{4}$ , we have*

$$(3.29) \quad \lambda_n^{(m)}(c) \leq A \left[ \frac{ec}{4n + 2m + d} \right]^{4n+2m+d}.$$

In the following proposition, we provide the reader with a lower bound of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_n^m(c)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *For any positive real number  $c > 0$  and for any  $n \geq \frac{ec}{4}$ , we have*

$$\lambda_n^m(c) \geq C \left( \frac{ec}{4n + 2m + d} \right)^{4n+2m+d},$$

$$\text{where } C = \left( \frac{\Gamma(1/3)}{2^{2/3} 3^{1/6} \pi (2k + \alpha + \alpha_0 + 1)^{1/3}} \right)^2 \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2}}{c^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}$$

*Proof.* We will prove this lower bound for the eigenfunctions of the finite Hankel transform and use again the remark giving the relation between the eigenfunctions of the ball PSWFs and those of the circular ones. For this purpose, we recall that the eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{Q}_c^{(\alpha)} := c\mathcal{H}_c^{(\alpha)} \circ \mathcal{H}_c^{(\alpha)}$  are characterized, using Courant-Fischer max-min theorem, by

$$\alpha_n^{(m)}(c) = \max_{V \in G_n} \min_{y \in V; \|y\|=1} \langle \mathcal{Q}_c^{(\alpha)} y; y \rangle$$

Since  $(T_n^{(\alpha)} := \sqrt{2(2n + \alpha + 1)} x^{\alpha+1/2} P_n^{(0,\alpha)}(2x^2 - 1))_n$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(0, 1)$ , then

$$\alpha_n^{(m)}(c) \geq \langle \mathcal{Q}_c^{(\alpha)} T_n^{(\alpha)}, T_n^{(\alpha)} \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}.$$

On the other hand, using (2.8) together with (2.7), one gets

$$\left\| \mathcal{H}_c^{(\alpha)} \cdot T_k^{(\alpha)} \right\|_2^2 = \left\| j_k^{(\alpha)} \right\|_2^2 = 2(2k + \alpha + 1) \int_0^1 \left| \frac{J_{2k+\alpha+1}(cx)}{\sqrt{cx}} \right|^2 dx.$$

Thanks to (2.6), one can write,

$$J_{2k+\alpha+1}(cx) \geq \frac{\Gamma(1/3)}{2^{2/3} 3^{1/6} \pi (2k + \alpha + \alpha_0 + 1)^{1/3}} e^{-(2k+\alpha+1) \left( \ln(2k+\alpha+1) - 1/4 \right)} (cx)^{2k+\alpha+1} e^{\frac{-(cx)^2}{4(2k+\alpha+1)}}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{H}_c^{(\alpha)} \cdot T_k^{(\alpha)} \right\|_2 &\geq C^2 e^{-2(2k+\alpha+1) \left( \ln(2k+\alpha+1) - 1/4 \right)} \int_0^c x^{2(2k+\alpha+1/2)} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(2k+\alpha+2)}} dx \\ &\geq C^2 e^{-2(2k+\alpha+1) \left( \ln(2k+\alpha+1) \right)} \int_0^c x^{2(2k+\alpha+1/2)} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(2k+\alpha+2)}} dx \\ &\geq C^2 \left( \frac{ec}{2(2k+\alpha+1)} \right)^{2k+\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

To conclude for the proof, it suffices to use remark 3.2.  $\square$

We will give in the next proposition a brief description, to the first order, of the counting number of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_n^{(m)}(c)$ . We use here the well known Landau's technique [9] based on computing the trace and the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of  $\mathcal{Q}_c$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $0 < \delta < 1$  and let  $M_c(\delta)$  denote the number of eigenvalues  $\lambda_k(c)$  not smaller than  $\delta$ . Then*

$$M_c(\delta) = \frac{c^d}{2^d \Gamma^2(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} + o(c^d).$$

*Proof.* We start by computing the trace of  $\mathcal{Q}_c$  by using Mercer's theorem together with the fact that  $K(0) = \frac{1}{2^{d/2} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}$  and  $\mu(\mathbb{B}^d) = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}$ ,

$$(3.30) \quad \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}_c) = \sum_n \lambda_n^{(m)}(c) = \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^d \mu(\mathbb{B}^d) K(0) = \frac{c^d}{2^d \Gamma^2(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}.$$

Here  $K(x) := \frac{J_{d/2}(\|x\|)}{(\|x\|)^{d/2}}$ . On the other hand, to compute an estimates of  $\|\mathcal{Q}_c\|_{HS}$ , we proceed as follows

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_c\|_{HS} = \sum_n \left( \lambda_n^{(m)}(c) \right)^2 = \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{2d} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |K(c\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\||)^2 d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}.$$

Applying the change of variable  $y = \sigma$ ,  $x = \sigma + \frac{\tau}{c}$  gives us

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_c\|_{HS} = \left( \frac{c}{2\pi} \right)^d \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \left( \int_{c(\mathbb{B}^d - \sigma)} |K(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) d\sigma.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{c(\mathbb{B}^d - \sigma)} |K(\tau)|^2 d\tau &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(\tau)|^2 d\tau = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{J_{d/2}^2(\|\tau\|)}{\|\tau\|^d} d\tau \\ (3.31) \quad &= \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{J_{d/2}^2(t)}{t} dt = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

(The last equality is due to [[16] eq 22.58 page 244]) Moreover,  $\mathbb{B}^d - \sigma$  contains some  $B(0, \alpha)$  then, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem one has  $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c^d} \|\mathcal{Q}_c\|_{HS} = \frac{1}{2^d \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}$ ,

that is

$$(3.32) \quad \|\mathcal{Q}_c\|_{HS} = \frac{c^d}{2^d \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} + o(c^d).$$

Next, we notice that

$$(3.33) \quad \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}_c) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{M_c(\delta)} \lambda_k \geq \delta M_c(\delta),$$

and using Marzò's formula (see [12]), one gets

$$(3.34) \quad M_c(\delta) \geq \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}_c) - \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left( \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}_c) - \|\mathcal{Q}_c\|_{HS} \right).$$

Let  $M_+ := \limsup_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_c(\delta)}{c^d}$  and  $M_- := \liminf_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_c(\delta)}{c^d}$ . The next step is to prove that both  $M_+$  and  $M_-$  are independent of  $\delta$  for all  $0 < \delta < 1$ . For this claim, we start by noticing that the number of eigenvalues not close to 0 or 1 is  $o(c^d)$  by considering

$$J_c := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k(c)(1 - \lambda_k(c)) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{Q}_c) - \|\mathcal{Q}_c\|_{HS} = o(c^d).$$

Now let  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  be two fixed real such that  $0 < \delta < \gamma < 1$ . Taking into account that each eigenvalue  $\delta < \lambda_k(c) < \gamma$  has contribution to  $J_c$  at least by  $\delta(1 - \gamma)$ , one has  $\delta(1 - \gamma) [M_c(\delta) - M_c(\gamma)] \leq J_c = o(c^d)$  and consequently  $M_+$  and  $M_-$  are both independent of  $\delta$ . Collecting together (3.33), (3.34), (3.32), (3.32) and the fact that  $M_+$  and  $M_-$  are independent of  $\delta$  gives us

$$(3.35) \quad \frac{1}{2^d} \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} \leq M_- \leq M_+ \leq \frac{1}{2^d} \frac{1}{\delta \Gamma^2(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}.$$

To conclude for the proof it suffices to choose  $\delta$  near 1 on the right.  $\square$

**3.3. Further basic properties.** We study here some other basic properties of the ball prolate spheroidal wave functions.

First, we give the bounds of the eigenvalues corresponding to the Sturm-Liouville operator.

**Lemma 3.6.** *For any positive real number  $c$ , we have*

$$(m + 2k)(m + 2k + d) \leq \chi_k^{(m)}(c) \leq (m + 2k)(m + 2k + d) + c^2 \quad n \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Using the Min-Max theorem, one gets

$$\chi_n^{(m)}(c) = \min_{\dim H=n} \max_{u \in H; \|u\|=1} \langle \mathcal{L}_{c,\mathbf{x}}.u, u \rangle.$$

Then

$$\min_{\dim H=n} \max_{u \in H; \|u\|=1} \langle \mathcal{L}_{0,\mathbf{x}}.u, u \rangle \leq \chi_n^{(m)}(c) \leq \min_{\dim H=n} \max_{u \in H; \|u\|=1} \langle \mathcal{L}_{0,\mathbf{x}}.u, u \rangle + c^2 \|u\|^2.$$

Once again, the Min-Max theorem applied to  $\chi_n^{(m)}(0)$  gives

$$\chi_n^{(m)}(0) \leq \chi_n^{(m)}(c) \leq \chi_n^{(m)}(0) + c^2.$$

To conclude for the proof, it suffices to use (2.15).  $\square$

The next proposition proves that we keep the fundamental property of double orthogonality already seen in the classical case, and this, by computing the Fourier transform of the ball prolate spheroidal wave functions.

**Lemma 3.7.** *The Fourier transform of the ball PSWFs are given by*

$$\mathcal{F}.\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(x) = \frac{(2\pi)^d}{c^d \sqrt{\lambda_k^{(m)}(c)}} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}\left(\frac{-x}{c}\right) \chi_{(\mathbb{B}^d)}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right).$$

*Proof.* By the inverse Fourier transform, one has for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle \mathbf{z}, (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \rangle} f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x}.$$

On the other hand, from

$$\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\mu_k^{(m)}(c)} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y},$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}.\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\mu_k^{(m)}(c)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} d\mathbf{x} \\ (3.36) \quad &= \frac{1}{\mu_k^{(m)}(c)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x} \rangle} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(-\mathbf{y}/c) \chi_{(\mathbb{B}^d)}(\mathbf{y}/c) d\mathbf{y} \right) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**3.4. Some explicit estimates and bounds of eigenfunctions.** The purpose of this paragraph is to give an explicit upper bound of the ball prolate spheroidal wave functions. To do this, we start by showing that under some conditions, these functions reached their maximum at the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Let  $c > 0$ , recall that

$$\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) = r^m \phi_k^{(m,c)}(\eta) Y_\ell^{(m)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \mathbf{x} = r\hat{\mathbf{x}} \quad \eta := 2r^2 - 1.$$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\alpha_k^{(m)} := \frac{1}{4} \left( \chi_k^{(m)}(c) - m(m+d) \right)$  and  $c$  be a positive real number. If  $\alpha_k^{(m)} > \frac{c^2}{4}$  then we have*

$$(3.37) \quad \sup_{\eta \in [a_{m,d}, 1]} |\phi_k^{(m)}(\eta)| = |\phi_k^{(m)}(1)| \quad a_{m,d} = \frac{2m+d-2}{2m+d}.$$

*Proof.* For sake of clarity, we will write, throughout the proofs of this section,  $\phi_k$  and  $\alpha_k$  instead of  $\phi_k^{(m,c)}$  and  $\alpha_k^{(m)}$  respectively. Hence (3.27) can be written under the form

$$(3.38) \quad (p(\eta)\phi_k'(\eta))' + q_k(\eta)\phi_k(\eta) = 0; \quad p(\eta) = (1-\eta)(1+\eta)^{m+\frac{d}{2}}, \quad q_k(\eta) = \alpha_k \left( 1 - \frac{c^2(1+\eta)}{8\alpha_k} \right) (1+\eta)^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1}.$$

Straightforward computations show that the auxiliary function  $Z_k(\eta) := \phi_k^2(\eta) + \frac{p(\eta)}{q_k(\eta)}\phi_k'^2(\eta)$  admits a first order derivative only on  $\phi_k'^2$  given by

$$Z_k'(\eta) = -\frac{1}{q_k^2(\eta)}(p(\eta)q_k(\eta))'\phi_k'^2(\eta).$$

Then, in our case

$$Z_k'(\eta) = -\frac{1}{q_k^2(\eta)}(1+\eta)^{2m+d-2} \left[ \left( \alpha_k - \frac{c^2(1+\eta)}{8} \right) (2m+d-2-t(2m+d)) - \frac{c^2}{8}(1-\eta^2) \right] \phi_k'^2(\eta).$$

To conclude for the proof, it suffices to note that under the condition  $\alpha_k^{(m)} > \frac{c^2}{4}$ ,  $Z_k$  is increasing over  $[a_{m,d}, 1]$  and

$$\left| \phi_k^2(\eta) \right| \leq Z_k(1) \leq \left| \phi_k^2(1) \right|.$$

□

**Lemma 3.9.** *Under conditions of the previous lemma, we have*

$$(3.39) \quad \sup_{\eta \in [a_{m,d}, 1]} \sqrt{(1-\eta)(1+\eta)^{m+\frac{d}{2}}} |\phi_k(\eta)| \leq \sqrt{2^{m+\frac{d}{2}+1} \left(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1\right)}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is based on a fairly well known technique for the Sturm-Liouville theory consisting on the use of the following auxiliary function  $K_k(\eta) = p(\eta)Z_k(\eta)$ . Straightforward computations shows that

$$K_k'(\eta) = p'(\eta)\phi_k^2(\eta) - \frac{p^2(\eta)q'(\eta)}{q^2(\eta)}(\phi_k')^2(\eta).$$

Taking into account that  $\alpha_k > \frac{c^2}{4}$  and the fact that  $\eta \geq \frac{2m+d-2}{2m+d}$ , one has

$$K_k'(\eta) \geq (1+\eta)^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1} \left[ \left(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1\right) - \left(m + \frac{d}{2} + 1\right)\eta \right] \phi_k^2(\eta).$$

Hence,

$$K_k(\eta) = K_k(\eta) - K_k(1) \leq 2 \int_{-1}^1 (1+t)^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1} \phi_k^2(t) dt = 2^{m+\frac{d}{2}+1} \left(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1\right).$$

□

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $c$  be a real positive number. If  $\alpha_k^{(m)} > \frac{c^2}{4}$ , then*

$$(3.40) \quad \sup_{\eta \in [a_{m,d}, 1]} |\phi_k(\eta)| \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{2^{m+\frac{d}{2}+1} \left(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1\right)} (\alpha_{k,m}^{(c)})^{1/2}$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, one may assume that  $\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(1) > 0$ . By (3.27), one has

$$\left( (1-\eta^2)(1+\eta)^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1} \phi_k'(\eta) \right)' = -\alpha_{km}^{(c)} \left( 1 - \frac{c^2(\eta+1)}{8\alpha_{km}^{(c)}} \right) (1+\eta)^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1} \phi_k(\eta).$$



Then by integrating over  $[x, 1]$ , one gets

$$\phi'_k(\eta) = \frac{\alpha_k}{(1-\eta^2)(1+\eta)^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1}} \int_{\eta}^1 (1+t)^{m+\frac{d}{2}-1} \left(1 - \frac{c^2(t+1)}{8\alpha_k}\right) \phi_k(t) dt.$$

It has been shown that for  $\alpha_k > \frac{c^2}{4}$ ,  $q$  is decreasing over  $[a_{m,d}, 1]$ , then

$$\phi'_k(\eta) \leq \frac{\alpha_k}{1-\eta^2} \left(1 - \frac{c^2(\eta+1)}{8\alpha_k}\right) (1-\eta) \phi(1) = \alpha_k \left(1 - \frac{c^2(\eta+1)}{8\alpha_k}\right) \phi_k(1).$$

Then,

$$\phi_k(1) - \phi_k(\eta) \leq \alpha_k \phi_k(1) \left(1 - \frac{c^2(\eta+1)}{8\alpha_k}\right) (1-\eta).$$

Let  $x_k$  be in a neighbourhood of 1 such that  $\left(1 - \frac{c^2(x_k+1)}{8\alpha_{km}^{(c)}}\right) (1-x_k) = \frac{A}{\alpha_{km}^{(c)}}$ . Consequently,

$$\phi_k(1) \leq \frac{1}{1-A} \frac{\sqrt{2^{m+\frac{d}{2}+1} (m + \frac{d}{2} - 1)}}{\sqrt{(1-x_n)(1+x_n)^{m+d/2}}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2^{m+\frac{d}{2}+1} (m + \frac{d}{2} - 1)}}{(1-A)A^{1/2}} (\alpha_k)^{1/2}.$$

One concludes for the proof by noticing that  $\min_A \frac{1}{A^{1/2}(1-A)} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}$ .

□

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $c > 0$  and  $\alpha_k^{(m)} > \max\{\frac{c^2+8}{4(2m+d)}, (2/3)^6 \left(\frac{\pi}{m+\frac{d}{2}-1}\right)^2 + C_{m,d}(c)\}$  then*

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{B}^d} |\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{2^{m+\frac{d}{2}+1} (m + \frac{d}{2} - 1)} \sqrt{\frac{N(d,m)}{\Omega_{d-1}}} \cdot \sqrt{\chi_k^{(m)}(c)},$$

with  $C_{m,d}(c) = \frac{c^2}{4} + (m + \frac{d}{2})(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1) - 1$ .

*Proof.* We start by recalling the Butlowski theorem concerning the behaviour of the local extrema of the solution of a second order differential equation :

**Theorem 3.12** (Butlowski see [1] p238). *If  $\phi$  is a solution of the differential equation*

$$(p(t)y'(t))' + q(t)y(t) = 0 \quad t \in (a, b) \quad p, q > 0 \text{ and } p, q \in C^1(a, b),$$

*then the local maximums of  $|\phi|$  is increasing or decreasing according to the condition that  $p(t)q(t)$  is decreasing or increasing*

In our case, we recall that

$$\left(p(\eta)q_k(\eta)\right)' = (1+\eta)^{2m+d-2} \left[ \left(\alpha_k^{(m)} - \frac{c^2(1+\eta)}{8}\right) (2m+d-2 - \eta(2m+d)) - \frac{c^2}{8}(1-\eta^2) \right],$$

then it is easy to see that there exists a unique real number  $\eta_n$  so that  $pq$  is increasing in  $[0, \eta_n]$  and decreasing in  $[\eta_n, 1]$ . Hence, the local maxima of  $\phi_k$  are decreasing in  $[0, \eta_n]$  and increasing in  $[\eta_n, 1]$ . Let  $\eta'_{1,n}$  denote the first zero of  $\phi'_k$ . the next step is to locate  $\eta'_{1,n}$ . For

this claim, we start by using the change of function  $U(\eta) := p^{1/2}(\eta)\phi_k(\eta)$  which transform (3.38) to the following equation on  $U$ ,

$$U'' + \left[ \frac{p'(\eta)^2 - 2p''(\eta)p(\eta)}{4p^2(\eta)} + \frac{q_k(\eta)}{p(\eta)} \right] U = 0, \quad \eta \in (0, 1).$$

We should mention that  $U$  and  $\phi_k$  have the same zeros on  $(0, 1)$ . Straightforward computations shows that

$$\frac{p'(\eta)^2 - 2p''(\eta)p(\eta)}{p^2(\eta)} = \frac{1 - (m + \frac{d}{2})^2}{(1 + \eta)^2} + \frac{4(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1)}{(1 + \eta)^2(1 - \eta)} + \frac{4}{(1 - \eta^2)^2} \geq (m + \frac{d}{2})(1 - m - \frac{d}{2}) + 1.$$

Since,  $\frac{q_k(\eta)}{p(\eta)} = \frac{\alpha_k - \frac{c^2}{8}(1 + \eta)}{1 - \eta^2} \geq \alpha_k - \frac{c^2}{4}$  thus,

$$\frac{p'(\eta)^2 - 2p''(\eta)p(\eta)}{4p^2(\eta)} + \frac{q_k(\eta)}{p(\eta)} \geq \alpha_k - C_{m,d}(c); \quad C_{m,d}(c) = \frac{c^2}{4} + (m + \frac{d}{2})(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1) - 1.$$

then, by Sturm comparison theorem, between two zeros of  $\sin(\sqrt{\alpha_k - \frac{c^2}{4}}\eta)$  viewed as solution of  $V'' + (\alpha_k - \frac{c^2}{4})V = 0$ , there exists a zero of  $U$ . Consequently,  $\eta_{k,1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha_k - \frac{c^2}{4}}}$  and

$$\eta'_{k,1} \leq \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha_k - \frac{c^2}{4}}} = b_k.$$

Let  $\eta \in (0, \eta'_{n,1})$  and recall that

$$\left( (1 - \eta^2)(1 + \eta)^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1} \phi'_k(\eta) \right)' = -\alpha_k \left( 1 - \frac{c^2(\eta + 1)}{8\alpha_k} \right) (1 + \eta)^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1} \phi_k(\eta).$$

Then by integrating over  $[\eta, \eta'_{n,1}]$ , one gets

$$\phi'_k(\eta) = -\frac{\alpha_k}{(1 - \eta^2)(1 + \eta)^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1}} \int_{\eta}^{\eta'_{n,1}} (1 + t)^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{c^2(t + 1)}{8\alpha_{km}^{(c)}} \right) \phi(t) dt.$$

Using Hölder inequality and the normalization of  $\phi_k$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi'_k(\eta)| &\leq \frac{\alpha_k}{(1 - \eta^2)(1 + \eta)^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1}} \sqrt{2^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1}} \left| \int_{\eta}^{\eta'_{n,1}} (1 + t)^{\frac{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1}{2}} \phi(t) dt \right| \\ (3.41) \quad &\leq \sqrt{2^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1}} \frac{\sqrt{\eta'_{k,1}}}{1 - \eta'_{k,1}} \alpha_k \leq \sqrt{2^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1}} \frac{\sqrt{b_k}}{1 - b_k} \alpha_k \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$|\phi_k(\eta'_{n,1})| \leq \sqrt{2^{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1}} \frac{(b_k)^{3/2}}{1 - b_k} \alpha_k \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1)}}{2} (\alpha_k)^{1/2}.$$

It may be useful to note that the last inequality follows from the fact that  $\alpha_k \geq (2/3)^6 \left( \frac{\pi}{m + \frac{d}{2} - 1} \right)^2 + \frac{c^2}{4}$ . To conclude for the proof, it suffices to combine the previous analysis with (2.12).  $\square$

**3.5. Computation of ball spheroidal wave functions.** Note that  $\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)} \in L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ , then it can be expanded with respect of the ball polynomials basis as

$$\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j \beta_j^{(k,m)} P_{j,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}).$$

In [24], authors have used the Bouwkamp method to compute ball PSWFs where it has been shown that the computation of these functions and their eigenvalues amounts to the determination of the eigenvectors and associate eigenvalues of a tridiagonal matrix. Then it is interesting to study the spectral decay rate of the ball PSWFs expansion coefficients  $(\beta_j^{(k,m)})_j$ . For this purpose, we recall the finite Fourier transform of ball polynomials given by (3.21).

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} P_{j,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} (-i)^m (-1)^j J_{2j+m+d/2(c\tau)}}{2(c\tau)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sqrt{c\tau}} Y_\ell^{(m)}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_j^{(k,m)} &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) P_{j,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\mu_k^{(m)}(c)} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{-ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} P_{j,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right) \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} (-i)^m (-1)^j}{\mu_k^{(m)}(c) \cdot c^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int_0^1 \tau^{m+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_k^{(m,c)}(2\tau^2-1) \frac{J_{2j+m+d/2(c\tau)}}{\sqrt{c\tau}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality together with the normalization of the radial part of ball spheroidal wave functions, one gets

$$|\beta_j^{(k,m)}| \leq \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} \times c^{4j+2m+\frac{d-1}{2}}}{2^{4j+2m+d} (4j+2m+d) |\mu_k^{(m)}(c)|} \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(2j+m+\frac{d}{2}+1)}.$$

Recall that from [2], one has

$$(3.42) \quad \sqrt{2e} \left( \frac{x+1/2}{e} \right)^{1/2} \leq \Gamma(x+1) \leq \sqrt{2\pi} \left( \frac{x+1/2}{e} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, by combining the two last inequalities, one gets

$$(3.43) \quad |\beta_j^{(k,m)}| \leq \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} \times c^{2j+m}}{2^{4j+2m+d} (4j+2m+d) |\mu_k^{(m)}(c)|} \left[ \frac{ec}{2j+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right]^{2j+m+\frac{d+1}{2}}.$$

We may therefore summarise this calculations in the following

**Proposition 3.13.** *For given real number  $c > 0$ , let*

$$\beta_j^{(k,m)} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) P_{j,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Then we have

$$|\beta_j^{(k,m)}| \leq \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2} \times c^{2j+m}}{2^{4j+2m+d}(4j+2m+d)|\mu_k^{(m)}(c)|} \left[ \frac{ec}{2j+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right]^{2j+m+\frac{d+1}{2}}.$$

#### 4. APPROXIMATION OF ALMOST BAND-LIMITED FUNCTIONS OVER THE D-DIMENSIONAL UNIT BALL

The aim of this section is to study the quality of approximation in the framework of the d-dimensional ball prolate spheroidal wave functions and the ball polynomials series expansion.

**4.1. Approximation by ball prolate spheroidal wave functions.** In this paragraph, we show that the ball prolate spheroidal wave functions are well adapted for the approximation of almost band-limited functions. For this claim, we start by proving that ball PSWFs are also well adapted to approach functions from the Paley-Wiener space

$$\mathcal{B}_c = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mathcal{F}.f(u) = 0 \quad \forall u \notin \mathbb{B}^d(0, c)\}.$$

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $c > 0$  and  $\epsilon_\Omega$ . A function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is said to be  $\epsilon_\Omega$ -band-limited function if

$$\int_{x \notin \Omega} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} \leq \epsilon_\Omega \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

Let us denote by  $S_N.f := \sum_{k=0}^N \langle f, \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)} \rangle \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x})$  the orthogonal projection of a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)$  on the span of the  $N+1$  first ball prolate functions, then we may now state our first main theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an  $\epsilon_\Omega$ -band-limited function with  $\Omega = \mathbb{B}(0, c)$ . Then for any positive integer  $N \geq ec/2$ , we have

$$(4.44) \quad \|f - S_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \left( 2\epsilon_\Omega + C |\mu_N(c)| (\chi_N(c))^{1/2} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

$$\text{where } C = \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{d/2} \frac{\pi^{d/4}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}} \frac{3\sqrt{3(m + \frac{d}{2} - 1)}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{N(d, m)}{\Omega_{d-1}}}.$$

*Proof.* Let us first study the case where  $f \in \mathcal{B}_c$ . By Parseval's inequality,

$$(4.45) \quad \|f - S_N.f\|_2^2 = \sum_{k>N} |\langle f, \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)} \rangle|^2.$$

Then the main step in this proof is how to estimate  $|\langle f, \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)} \rangle|$ .

Recall that from the Fourier inversion formula, one has  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \frac{c}{2\pi} \right)^d \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$ .

Consequently, for any positive integer  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)} \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} f(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^d \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^d \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \\
&= \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^d |\mu_k(c)| \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) \psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\
(4.46) \quad &\leq \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^d \frac{\pi^{d/4}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(d/2+1)}} |\mu_k(c)| \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{B}^d} |\psi_{k,\ell}^{(m,c)}(\mathbf{y})|.
\end{aligned}$$

By combining (4.45), (4.46) and theorem 3.11, one gets

$$\|f - S_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \left(C |\mu_N(c)| (\chi_N(c))^{1/2}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Now, let  $f$  be an  $\epsilon_\Omega$ -band-limited function.

We have

$$\|f - S_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|f - B_c f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \|B_c f - S_N B_c f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \|S_N [B_c f - f]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)}.$$

On the other hand, since  $f$  is  $\epsilon_\Omega$ -band-limited then  $\|B_c f - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \epsilon_\Omega \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ . Using the fact that  $S_N$  is a contraction one gets

$$\|S_N [B_c f - f]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|B_c f - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \epsilon_\Omega \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Finally, we apply the previous proposition to the second term by noticing that  $B_c f$  is a band-limited function.  $\square$

**4.2. Approximation of almost band limited functions by ball polynomials.** We study in this section the quality of approximation of almost band limited functions by their expansion in the basis of ball polynomials. To do this, we start by the following technical lemma controlling the general term of the associated projection series.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $c > 0$ , then for every  $f \in B_c$  and any  $k \geq \frac{ec}{2}$*

$$(4.47) \quad \left| \langle f, P_{k,\ell}^{(m)} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k+m+\frac{d}{2}+1} \sqrt{2ec(4k+3m+d)}} \left( \frac{ec}{2k+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{2k+m+\frac{d+1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in B_c$  be a band-limited function, then by the Fourier inversion formula, we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d(0,c)} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} d\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{c^2}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \hat{f}(c\mathbf{y}) e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} d\mathbf{y}.$$

Recall that the finite Fourier transform of the ball polynomials is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^d} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \frac{(-i)^m (-1)^j}{2} \frac{J_{2k+m+d/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{d/2}} Y_\ell^m(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \quad \mathbf{x} = \rho \hat{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Then, by this last two relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, P_{k,\ell}^{(m)} \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} f(\mathbf{x}) P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \left( \frac{c^2}{2\pi} \right)^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \hat{f}(c\mathbf{y}) e^{ic\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} d\mathbf{y} P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\
(4.48) \quad &= c^d \frac{(-i)^m (-1)^j}{2} \int_0^1 \rho^{m+d-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \hat{f}(c\rho\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \frac{J_{2k+m+d/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{d/2}} Y_\ell^m(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) d\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), one gets

$$\left| \frac{J_{2k+m+d/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{d/2}} \right| \leq \frac{(c\rho)^{2k+m}}{2^{2k+m+\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(2k+m+\frac{d}{2}+1)},$$

then

$$(4.49) \quad \left| \langle f, P_{k,\ell}^{(m)} \rangle \right| \leq \frac{c^{2k+2m+d-1}}{2^{2k+m+1+d/2} \Gamma(2k+m+1+d/2)} \int_0^1 \rho^{2k+2m+d-1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \hat{f}(c\rho\hat{\mathbf{y}}) Y_\ell^m(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \right| d\rho.$$

On the other hand

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \hat{f}(c\rho\hat{\mathbf{y}}) Y_\ell^m(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \right| \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\hat{f}(c\rho\hat{\mathbf{y}})|^2 d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \right)^{1/2}$$

Now let  $g(\rho) := \rho^{\frac{m+d-1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\hat{f}(c\rho\hat{\mathbf{y}})|^2 d\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \right)^{1/2}$ . We remark that

$$(4.50) \quad \int_0^1 |g(\rho)|^2 d\rho = \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} |\hat{f}(c\mathbf{y})|^2 d\mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{c^d} \|f\|_2^2.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \langle f, P_{k,\ell}^{(m)} \rangle \right| \leq \frac{c^{2k+m+d/2}}{2^{2k+m+1+\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{4k+3m+d} \Gamma(2k+m+1+\frac{d}{2})} \|f\|_2.$$

To conclude for the proof of this lemma, it remains to estimate  $\Gamma(2k+m+1+\frac{d}{2})$  via Batir's inequality (3.42).  $\square$

In analogy to the previous case, we will define  $\Pi_N \cdot f := \sum_{k=0}^N \langle f, P_{k,\ell}^{(m)} \rangle P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}$  the orthogonal projection on the span of the  $N+1$  first ball polynomials. We may have now all the ingredients to state our second main theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an  $\epsilon_\Omega$  band-limited function with  $\Omega = \mathbb{B}(0, c)$ . Then for any positive integer  $N \geq \frac{ec-m-\frac{d+1}{2}}{2}$ , we have*

$$(4.51) \quad \|f - \Pi_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \left( 2\epsilon_\Omega + C_N \left( \frac{ec}{2(N+1)+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{2(N+1)+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

$$\text{Here } C_N := \frac{1}{2^{2N+m+\frac{d}{2}+3} \sqrt{ec(4N+3m+d+4)}} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{4 \ln \left( \frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)} \right]^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b$ . Note that for  $x \in \mathbb{B}^d$ ,  $f(x) - \Pi_N \cdot f(x) = \sum_{k>N} \langle f, P_{k,\ell}^{(m)} \rangle P_{k,\ell}^{(m)}(x)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \Pi_N \cdot f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 &= \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |\langle f, P_{k,\ell}^{(m)} \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2^{4k+2m+d+2} ec(4k+3m+d)} \left( \frac{ec}{2k+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4k+2m+d+1} \\ (4.52) &\leq \frac{1}{2^{4N+2m+d+6} ec(4N+3m+d+4)} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{ec}{2k+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4k+2m+d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us treat the main factor separately,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{ec}{2k+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4k+2m+d+1} \\ &= \left( \frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4N+2m+d+5} + \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{ec}{2k+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4k+2m+d+1} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4N+2m+d+5} + \int_{N+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{ec}{2(N+2)+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4x+2m+d+1} dx \\ &= \left( \frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4N+2m+d+5} + \\ &\quad \exp \left[ (2m+d+1) \ln \left( \frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right) \right] \int_{N+1}^{\infty} \exp \left[ 4x \ln \left( \frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right) \right] dx \\ &\leq \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{4 \ln \left( \frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)} \right] \left( \frac{ec}{2N+m+2+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{4N+2m+d+5}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all  $f \in \mathcal{B}_c$

$$(4.53) \quad \|f - \Pi_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq C_N \left( \frac{ec}{2(N+1)+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \right)^{2(N+1)+m+\frac{d+1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Now, let  $f$  be an  $\epsilon_\Omega$ -band-limited function.

$$\|f - S_N f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|f - B_c f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \|B_c f - S_N B_c f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} + \|S_N [B_c f - f]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)}.$$

On the other hand, since  $f$  is  $\epsilon_\Omega$ -band-limited then  $\|B_c f - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \epsilon_\Omega \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ . Using the fact that  $S_N$  is a contraction one gets

$$\|S_N [B_c f - f]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|B_c f - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \epsilon_\Omega \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

By the previous analysis and (4.53), (4.51) follows at once.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. ANDREWS, R. ASQEY AND R. ROY. Special Functions. Cambridge University Press ,Cambridge , New York , 1999.
- [2] N.BATIR. Inequality for the Gamma Function.Arch.**91**, (2008), 554–563.
- [3] M.BOULSANE. Non Asymptotic behavior and the distribution of the spectrum of the finite Hankel transform operator. Integral transform and special functions, **32**(12),(2021), 948-968.
- [4] M. BOULSANE AND A. KAROUI. The Finite Hankel Transform Operator: Some Explicit and Local Estimates of the Eigenfunctions and Eigenvalues Decay Rates. J. Four. Anal. Appl, **24**, (2018), 1554-1578.
- [5] A.ELBERT AND A.LAFORGIA. A lower bound for  $J_\mu(\mu)$ . Appl.Anal, **19**, (1985), 137-145.
- [6] J.A.HOGAN AND J.D.LACKEY. Duration and bandwidth limiting prolate functions, sampling and applications Applied and numerical harmonic analysis series Birkhäuser, Springer, New York, London (2013).
- [7] P.JAMING, A.KAROUI, S.SPEKTOR. The approximation of almost time and band-limited functions by their expansion in some orthogonal polynomials bases. JAT, **212**, (2016), 41–65.
- [8] Z.KHALID,R.A.KENNEDY AND J.D.MCEWEN. Slepian spatial-spectral concentration on the ball. Appl.Comput.Harmon.Anal, **40**(3) , (2016), 470–504.
- [9] H.J.LANDAU On Szegő's Eigenvalues Distribution Theorem and non-Hermitian Kernels. J. Anal. Math, **28**(1) , (1975), 335-357.
- [10] H.J. LANDAU AND H.O.POLLAK. Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. II. Bell System Tech. J. **40** (1961) 65–84.
- [11] H.J. LANDAU AND H.O.POLLAK. Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. III: The dimension of space of essentially time and band limited signals. Bell System Tech. J. **41** (1962) 1295–1336.
- [12] J.MARZO. Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities and interpolation by spherical harmonics. J. Func. Anal., **250**(2) , (2005), 559-587.
- [13] T. MOUMNI AND A. KAROUI. Spectral Analysis of the Finite Hankel Transform Operator and Circular Prolate Spheroidal Wave Functions. J. Comput. Appl. Math, **233** (2), (2009), 315-333.
- [14] F.DAI AND Y.XU. Approximation theory and harmonic analysis on spheres and balls. Springer Monograph in mathematics, (2013).
- [15] A. YA. OLENKO. Upper bound on  $\sqrt{x}J_\mu(x)$  and its applications. Integral Transforms and Special Functions. **17** (2006), 455–467.
- [16] F. W. OLVER, DANIEL W. LOZIER, RONALD F. BOISVERT, AND CHARLES W.CLARK. NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1st edition (2010).
- [17] R.B. PARIS. An inequality for the Bessel function  $J_\nu(\nu x)$ . SIAM J.Math.Anal **15** 1 (1984) 203–205.
- [18] Y.SHKOLNISKY. Prolate spheroidal wave functions on a disk integration and approximation of two-dimensional band limited function.. Appl.Comput.Harmon.Anal, **22**(2) , (2007), 235–256.
- [19] F.J.SIMONS,F.A.DAHLEN AND M.A.WIECZOREK. Spatio-spectral concentration on a sphere . SIAM Rev, **48**(3) , (2006), 504–536.
- [20] D. SLEPIAN. Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. IV. Extensions to many dimensions; generalized prolate spheroidal functions. Bell System Tech. J. **43** (1964) 3009–3057.
- [21] D.SLEPIAN AND H.O.POLLAK. Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. I. Bell System Tech. J. **43** (1964) 3009–3058.
- [22] G. N. WATSON. *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions.second edition*. Cambridge University Press.1966.
- [23] M.A TAYLOR AND B.A WINGATE. A generalization of prolate spheroidal wave functions with more uniform resolutions to the triangle. J.Engrg.Math **56** (3)(2006) 221–235 .
- [24] J.ZHANG, H.LI, L.L.WANG AND Z.ZHANG. Ball prolate spheroidal wave functions in arbitrary dimensions. Appl.Comput.Harmon.Anal. **1276** (2018) .

AHMED SOUABNI ADDRESS: UNIVERSITY OF CARTHAGE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF BIZERTE, BIZERTE, TUNISIA.

*Email address:* souabniahmed@yahoo.fr