

TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON \mathcal{L}^p -SPACES OF A TREE

MINGMEI HUANG, XIAOYAN ZHANG, AND XIANFENG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let T be a rooted, countable infinite tree without terminal vertices. In the present paper, we characterize the spectra, self-adjointness and positivity of Toeplitz operators on the spaces of p -summable functions on T . Moreover, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for Toeplitz operators to have finite rank on such function spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us begin with the preliminary definitions, concepts and relevant notation on trees. A *graph* G is a pair (V, E) consisting of a countably-infinite set of *vertices* V and a set of *edges* $E \subset \{(u, v) : u, v \in V, u \neq v\}$ (which is a subset of $V \times V$). If $(u, v) \in E$, then we say that u and v are *adjacent* (or *neighbors*) and we denote this by $u \sim v$. For each vertex v , the *degree* of v is the number of vertices adjacent to v , which is denoted by $\deg(v)$. We say that G is *locally finite* if the degree of every vertex is finite. We call that a vertex v is a *terminal vertex* if v has a unique neighbor. A *path of length n* joining two vertices u and v is a finite sequence of $(n + 1)$ distinct vertices

$$u = u_0 \sim u_1 \sim u_2 \sim \cdots \sim u_n = v.$$

By a *tree* T we mean a locally finite, connected and simply-connected graph, i.e., for each pair of vertices there is one and only one path between them. We shall identify T with the collection of its vertices V . In the present paper, the tree we consider has a distinguished vertex, which we call the root of T and we denote it by o . The length of a path joining the root o and a vertex v is called the *length* of v and is denoted by $|v|$.

Given a tree T rooted at o and a vertex $v \in T$, a vertex w is called a *descendant* of v if v lies in the unique path from o to w . In this case, the vertex v is called an *ancestor* of w . We denote by v^- the neighbor of a vertex v which is an ancestor of v , and the vertex v is called a *child* of v^- . For each $w \in T$, we denote the set of all children of w by $\text{Chi}(w)$. Given a vertex v , the *sector determined by v* is the set S_v consisting of v and all its descendants. In particular, $S_o = T$.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. The function space $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ of T is defined as the set of functions $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{v \in T} |f(v)|^p < \infty.$$

It is easy to show that every $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ is a Banach space when endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\sum_{v \in T} |f(v)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

since it is isomorphic to the p -summable sequence space $\ell^p(V)$. Moreover, the dual space of $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ can be identified with $\mathcal{L}^q(T)$ under the sesquilinear dual pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \sum_{v \in T} f(v) \overline{g(v)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T), \quad g \in \mathcal{L}^q(T),$$

Date: January 23, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A30, 47B37, 05C05.

Key words and phrases. Toeplitz operator; spectrum; positivity; finite rank operator; tree.

where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ is the conjugate exponent of p . In particular, $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$ is a Hilbert space with the obvious inner product. When $p = \infty$, the space $\mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$ is the collection of bounded functions f on T equipped with the supremum norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(v)| : v \in T\}$. In addition, we say that $q = \infty$ is the conjugate exponent of $p = 1$ and that $q = 1$ is the conjugate exponent of $p = \infty$.

The interest of the study of operators on infinite trees is motivated mainly by the research in harmonic analysis dealing with the spectrum of the Laplace operator on discrete structures, one can consult [9] and [10] for more information. Linear operators on discrete structures other than Laplacian have been studied by many authors. For instance:

- *Toeplitz operators.* Pavone studied the properties of Toeplitz operators on a discrete group and discussed the extent to which they parallel the properties of Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space of the unit circle, see [21, 22]; In [14], Colonna and Martínez-Avendaño defined the Toeplitz operator on \mathcal{L}^p -spaces of a tree, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and then characterized the boundedness and the eigenvalues of such Toeplitz operator; Motivated by the paper [14], Zhang and Zhao [23] established several sufficient conditions for Toeplitz operators to be compact on \mathcal{L}^p -spaces of a tree.
- *Composition operators.* Pavone [20] characterized the class of hypercyclic composition operators on the \mathcal{L}^p -space of the Poisson boundary of a homogeneous tree, and showed that such operators are actually chaotic. Allen, Colonna and Easley [5] investigated the boundedness, compactness and spectra of the composition operators on the Lipschitz space of a tree; Later, Allen and Pons ([7, 8]) obtained the characterizations for the (weighted) composition operators with closed range, and to be bounded, compact, bounded below, invertible and Fredholm on weighted Banach spaces of a tree; In addition, the bounded, compact, invertible, isometric composition operators on Hardy spaces of the homogenous rooted trees were investigated in the recent paper [19].
- *Shift operators.* The operator-norm estimate, spectral properties, hyponormality, subnormality and hypercyclicity of (weighted) shift operators on certain function spaces of a tree were well-studied in [16, 17, 18]; Moreover, the norm estimate, kernels and eigenvalues of the shift operator (usually called the adjacency operator) on the \mathcal{L}^p -space of a graph were systematically investigated in the paper [1].
- *Multiplication operators.* The boundedness, compactness, invertibility, hypercyclicity and essential norm of multiplication operators on Lipschitz-type spaces of a tree were discussed in a series of papers of Allen, Colonna, Easley and Prudhom [2, 3, 4, 6, 11, 12].

However, little is known about the spectral properties, self-adjointness and positivity of classical operators on discrete structures. The purpose of this paper is to study some fundamental properties about Toeplitz operators on \mathcal{L}^p -spaces of a tree, such as the spectrum, self-adjointness, positivity and finite rank. Before stating the definition of the Toeplitz operator on \mathcal{L}^p -spaces of a tree, we need to introduce the following two important linear operators on such function spaces. For any function $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the operator ∇ is defined by

$$(\nabla f)(u) := f(u) - \sum_{v^- = u} f(v), \quad u \in T.$$

Recall that the operator Δ is the transformation with domain $\mathcal{L}^1(T)$ defined by

$$(\Delta f)(u) := \sum_{v \in S_u} f(v), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^1(T), \quad u \in T,$$

where S_u is the sector determined by u . For more details concerning the above two operators, one can consult [14]. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and φ be a complex-valued function on T . The *Toeplitz operator* on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with symbol φ is defined by

$$T_\varphi f := \Delta(\varphi \nabla f), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T).$$

Some useful properties and important conclusions about ∇ , Δ and Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ will be included in the next section.

The main part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we will study the spectra of some classes of bounded Toeplitz operators on the Banach spaces $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. More precisely, we will show in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 that the spectrum of the Toeplitz operator is equal to the closure of the range of its symbol under certain mild assumptions. This answers an open question posed by Colonna and Martínez-Avendaño [14]. In Section 4, we investigate the self-adjointness and positivity of Toeplitz operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$. Surprisingly, we find that there is no nontrivial self-adjoint Toeplitz operator on $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$, see Theorem 4.1 for the detailed discussion. Section 5 is devoted to the characterization for finite rank Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In fact, we will prove that the Toeplitz operator on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ is of finite rank if and only if the support of its symbol is a finite set, see Theorem 5.1 for the proof.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, we recall some important definitions and known results which will be required in the next three sections. We shall make use of the following derivative of a function on a tree repeatedly.

Definition 2.1. *Given a complex-valued function f on a tree T . We define the function f_- on T by*

$$f_-(v) = \begin{cases} f(v^-), & \text{if } v \neq o, \\ 0, & \text{if } v = o. \end{cases}$$

In addition, the function f' on T is defined by

$$f'(v) = f(v) - f_-(v), \quad v \in T.$$

Recall that the operators ∇ and Δ were introduced in Section 1. The relationship between these two operators on $\mathcal{L}^1(T)$ is given by the following lemma, see [14, Proposition 5.7] if necessary.

Lemma 2.2. *If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(T)$, then $\Delta(\nabla f) = f$ and $\nabla(\Delta f) = f$.*

The following expression for the Toeplitz operator on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ is quite useful in the study of the boundedness, compactness, positivity and spectra of Toeplitz operators, which was proved in [14, Lemma 6.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and let q be the conjugate exponent of p . If φ and φ' are both in $\mathcal{L}^q(T)$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$, then we have that $\varphi \nabla f \in \mathcal{L}^1(T)$ and*

$$T_\varphi f = f\varphi_- + \Delta(f\varphi').$$

The next two lemmas were established in [14, Theorems 6.4 and 6.6], which give nice sufficient conditions for the boundedness of Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that $1 \leq p < \infty$ and q is the conjugate exponent of p . If the functions φ and $\widehat{\varphi}$ are both in $\mathcal{L}^q(T)$, then the Toeplitz operator T_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$, where $\widehat{\varphi}$ is defined by*

$$\widehat{\varphi}(v) := (|v| + 1)\varphi'(v), \quad v \in T.$$

Lemma 2.5. *If φ and φ' are both in $\mathcal{L}^1(T)$, then the Toeplitz operator T_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$.*

Recall that [14, Theorem 6.10] obtained a characterization on the point spectrum (the set of eigenvalues) of the Toeplitz operator on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ in terms of the range of its symbol. For the sake of convenience, we quote this theorem in the following.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let q be the conjugate exponent of p . Suppose that $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$ and the Toeplitz operator T_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$. Then:*

- (a) $\sigma_p(T_\varphi) = \{\varphi(w) : w \in T\}$ if there is no nonzero function $g \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with $\nabla g = 0$;
- (b) $\sigma_p(T_\varphi) = \{0\} \cup \{\varphi(w) : w \in T\}$ if there is a nonzero function $g \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with $\nabla g = 0$.

3. THE SPECTRA OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

The study of the spectral properties of operators on infinite trees is relatively new. In this section, we investigate the spectra of Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let q be the conjugate exponent of p and φ be a function in $\mathcal{L}^q(T)$. Based on the characterization for eigenvalues of Toeplitz operators (Lemma 2.6), we show that the spectrum of the Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi : \mathcal{L}^p(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^p(T)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$ equals the closure of $\varphi(T)$ if $\widehat{\varphi}$ is also in $\mathcal{L}^q(T)$. Recall that the function $\widehat{\varphi}$ was introduced in Lemma 2.4. On the other hand, we also prove that the spectrum of the Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi : \mathcal{L}^\infty(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$ is coincided with the closure of $\varphi(T)$ when $\varphi' \in \mathcal{L}^1(T)$.

Let us consider the case that $1 \leq p < \infty$ first. As the spectrum of the Topelitz operator on $\mathcal{L}^1(T)$ was obtained in [14] via the multiplication operator with the same symbol, it is sufficient for us to discuss the case of $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and q be the conjugate exponent of p . Suppose that φ and $\widehat{\varphi}$ are both in $\mathcal{L}^q(T)$, where*

$$\widehat{\varphi}(v) = (|v| + 1)\varphi'(v), \quad v \in T.$$

Then T_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ and in this case we have

$$\sigma(T_\varphi) = \text{clos}\{\varphi(v) : v \in T\}.$$

Proof. As we mentioned above, the conclusion for the case of $p = 1$ was obtained in Section 7 of [14]. So we need only to consider the case for $p > 1$. By Lemma 2.4, we see that the Toeplitz operator T_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$. Clearly, $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$ implies that $\varphi' \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$. Then using Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\text{clos}\{\varphi(w) : w \in T\} = \text{clos}[\sigma_p(T_\varphi)] \subset \sigma(T_\varphi).$$

To prove the reverse inclusion, it is sufficient to show that $T_\varphi - \lambda I$ is invertible on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ if λ is not in the closure of the range of φ , where I is the identity operator on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$. Observe that $\lambda \notin \text{clos}\{\varphi(v) : v \in T\}$ implies that λ is not an eigenvalue of T_φ . This means that $T_\varphi - \lambda I$ is an injective from $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ to $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$. According to the Banach inverse operator theorem, we need only to show that $T_\varphi - \lambda I$ is a surjective on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ if $\lambda \notin \text{clos}\{\varphi(v) : v \in T\}$.

Suppose that

$$\inf_{v \in T} |\varphi(v) - \lambda| \geq \delta$$

for some positive constant δ . Let $\delta_0 = \min\{\delta, |\lambda|\}$. Then we have that $\delta_0 > 0$ and

$$|\varphi(v) - \lambda| \geq \delta_0$$

and

$$|\varphi_-(v) - \lambda| \geq \delta_0$$

for all $v \in T$. Letting g be a function in $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$, we define

$$f := \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\Delta \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \nabla g \right) - g \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

In the following, we will show that $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$ and f is the preimage of g under $T_\varphi - \lambda I$.

To show $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$, we need only to prove that

$$\Delta \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \nabla g \right) \in \mathcal{L}^p(T).$$

Let us show $\widehat{\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)} \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$ first. Noting that

$$\widehat{\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)}(v) = (|v| + 1) \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)'(v) = (|v| + 1) \left[\frac{\varphi(v)}{\varphi(v) - \lambda} - \frac{\varphi_-(v)}{\varphi_-(v) - \lambda} \right]$$

for $v \in T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)} \right\|_q &= \left[\sum_{v \in T} \left| (|v| + 1) \left(\frac{\varphi(v)}{\varphi(v) - \lambda} - \frac{\varphi_-(v)}{\varphi_-(v) - \lambda} \right) \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left[\sum_{v \in T} \left| (|v| + 1) \left(\frac{\varphi(v)}{\varphi(v) - \lambda} - \frac{\varphi_-(v)}{\varphi(v) - \lambda} + \frac{\varphi_-(v)}{\varphi(v) - \lambda} - \frac{\varphi_-(v)}{\varphi_-(v) - \lambda} \right) \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then triangle inequality gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)} \right\|_q &\leq \left[\sum_{v \in T} \left| (|v| + 1) \frac{\varphi(v) - \varphi_-(v)}{\varphi(v) - \lambda} \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left[\sum_{v \in T} \left| (|v| + 1) \frac{\varphi_-(v)[\varphi(v) - \varphi_-(v)]}{[\varphi_-(v) - \lambda][\varphi(v) - \lambda]} \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left[\sum_{v \in T} \left| (|v| + 1) \frac{\varphi'(v)}{\varphi(v) - \lambda} \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left[\sum_{v \in T} \left| (|v| + 1) \frac{\varphi'(v)\varphi_-(v)}{[\varphi_-(v) - \lambda][\varphi(v) - \lambda]} \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta_0} \left(\sum_{v \in T} |(|v| + 1)\varphi'(v)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \frac{1}{\delta_0^2} \left(\sum_{v \in T} |(|v| + 1)\varphi_-(v)\varphi'(v)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta_0} \left(\sum_{v \in T} |(|v| + 1)\varphi'(v)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \frac{\|\varphi\|_\infty}{\delta_0^2} \left(\sum_{v \in T} |(|v| + 1)\varphi'(v)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\delta_0} + \frac{\|\varphi\|_\infty}{\delta_0^2} \right) \|\widehat{\varphi}\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\widehat{\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)} \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$, since $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$.

Next, we are going to show that $\Delta\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\nabla g\right) \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$. Noting that $\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}$ and $\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)'$ are both in $\mathcal{L}^q(T)$, we obtain by Lemma 2.3 that

$$\Delta\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\nabla g\right) = T_{\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}}g = \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)_-g + \Delta\left[\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)'g\right].$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta\left[\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)'g\right] \right\|_p &\leq \left\| \Delta\left[\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)'g\right] \right\|_1 = \sum_{v \in T} \left| \Delta\left[\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)'g\right](v) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in T} \sum_{u \in S_v} \left| \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)'(u)g(u) \right| \\ &= \sum_{v \in T} (|v| + 1) \left| \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)'(v)g(v) \right| \\ &= \sum_{v \in T} \left| \widehat{\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)}(v) \right| |g(v)| \\ &\leq \|g\|_p \left\| \widehat{\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)} \right\|_q, \end{aligned}$$

to get that $\Delta\left[\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)'g\right] \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$. Since $\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$ implies that $\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$, we obtain that $\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)_-$ is also bounded. It follows that $\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\right)_-g$ is in $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$, which gives that $\Delta\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}\nabla g\right) \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$. Therefore, we obtain that the function defined in (3.1) is in $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$.

To finish the proof, it remains to verify that

$$(T_\varphi - \lambda I)f = g.$$

Indeed, we have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \nabla g \in \mathcal{L}^1(T),$$

since $g \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$ and $\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda}, (\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda})' \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$. It follows from (3.1) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\nabla f = \nabla \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\Delta \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \nabla g \right) - g \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \nabla g - \frac{\nabla g}{\lambda} = \frac{\nabla g}{\varphi - \lambda}.$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (T_\varphi - \lambda I)f &= T_\varphi f - \lambda f = \Delta(\varphi \nabla f) - \lambda f \\ &= \Delta \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \nabla g \right) - \Delta \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \nabla g \right) + g \\ &= g, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Now we give a description for the spectrum of $T_\varphi : \mathcal{L}^\infty(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$ with $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{L}^1(T)$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *If φ and φ' are both in $\mathcal{L}^1(T)$, then T_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$ and in this case we have*

$$\sigma(T_\varphi) = \text{clos}\{\varphi(v) : v \in T\}.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.5 tells us that $T_\varphi : \mathcal{L}^\infty(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$ is bounded if $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^1(T)$ and $\varphi' \in \mathcal{L}^1(T)$. According to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need only to show that

$$\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)_- g \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \left[\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)' g \right]$$

are both in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$ if $\lambda \notin \text{clos}\{\varphi(v) : v \in T\}$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(T)$. In fact,

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)_- g \right\|_\infty = \sup_{v \in T} \left| \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)_-(v) g(v) \right| \leq \frac{\|g\|_\infty}{\delta_0} \|\varphi\|_\infty,$$

where δ_0 is the constant defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta \left[\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)' g \right] \right\|_\infty &= \sup_{v \in T} \left| \sum_{u \in S_v} g(u) \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)'(u) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{v \in T} \sum_{u \in S_v} |g(u)| \left| \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(u) - \lambda} - \frac{\varphi_-(u)}{\varphi_-(u) - \lambda} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|\lambda| \|g\|_\infty}{\delta_0^2} \sup_{v \in T} \left[\sum_{u \in S_v} |\varphi(u) - \varphi_-(u)| \right] \\ &= \frac{|\lambda| \|g\|_\infty}{\delta_0^2} \|\varphi'\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that $\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)_- g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$ and $\Delta \left[\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi - \lambda} \right)' g \right] \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(T)$.

The rest of the proof of this theorem is similar to the previous one, so we omit the details. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Remark 3.3. *The conclusions in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are comparable to the corresponding results for analytic Toeplitz operators on the Hardy and Bergman spaces of the open unit disk, see the books [15] and [24] for more information.*

4. THE POSITIVITY OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

We say that a bounded linear operator T is self-adjoint (positive) on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} if $\langle Tx, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is real (nonnegative) for every x in \mathcal{H} . In this section, we study when a bounded Toeplitz operator is self-adjoint (positive) on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$.

Observing that the adjoint of the Toeplitz operator T_{φ} does not equal $T_{\overline{\varphi}}$ in general, which leads to certain difficulties in the study of the self-adjointness and positivity of Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$. However, we are able to obtain a complete characterization for the self-adjoint Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$ by using their point spectra.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that φ is a function in $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$ such that $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}^2(T)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) T_{φ} is positive on $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$;
- (2) T_{φ} is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$;
- (3) $\varphi(v) = 0$ for all $v \in T$.

Proof. Clearly, (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial and (3) \Rightarrow (1) follows immediately from Lemma 2.3. To complete the proof of this theorem, it remains to show that (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Now we suppose that T_{φ} is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$. Then we have by Lemma 2.6 that φ must be real-valued, since

$$\{\varphi(v) : v \in T\} = \sigma_p(T_{\varphi}) \subset \sigma(T_{\varphi}) \subset \mathbb{R}$$

or

$$\{0\} \cup \{\varphi(v) : v \in T\} = \sigma_p(T_{\varphi}) \subset \sigma(T_{\varphi}) \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Let f be any function in $\mathcal{L}^2(T)$. Since $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}^2(T)$, we see that the series $\sum_{v \in T} [T_{\varphi} f(v)] \overline{f(v)}$ is absolutely convergent. Now using Lemma 2.3 again gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{\varphi} f, f \rangle &= \sum_{v \in T} [T_{\varphi} f(v)] \overline{f(v)} = \sum_{v \in T} [f(v) \varphi_{-}(v) \overline{f(v)} + \Delta(f\varphi')(v) \overline{f(v)}] \\ &= \sum_{v \in T} |f(v)|^2 \varphi_{-}(v) + \sum_{v \in T} \overline{f(v)} \Delta(f\varphi')(v). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Furthermore, we have by the definitions of φ' and the operator Δ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{v \in T} \overline{f(v)} \Delta(f\varphi')(v) \\ &= \sum_{v \in T} \left[\overline{f(v)} \sum_{u \in S_v} (f\varphi')(u) \right] \\ &= \sum_{v \in T} \left\{ \overline{f(v)} \sum_{u \in S_v} f(u) [\varphi(u) - \varphi_{-}(u)] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{v \in T} |f(v)|^2 \varphi(v) - \sum_{v \in T} |f(v)|^2 \varphi_{-}(v) + \sum_{v \in T} \left\{ \overline{f(v)} \sum_{u \in S_v \setminus \{v\}} [f(u) \varphi(u) - f(u) \varphi_{-}(u)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We first show that φ is a constant function. Otherwise, we can choose two vertices ξ and η in T such that $\varphi(\xi) \neq \varphi(\eta)$. Since the path joining ξ and η is a finite sequence of distinct vertices, we may assume that $\xi \sim \eta$. In other words, we obtain that $\xi \in \text{Chi}(\eta)$ or $\eta \in \text{Chi}(\xi)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\eta \in \text{Chi}(\xi)$. Let us consider the function $g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$g(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v = \xi, \\ i, & \text{if } v = \eta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\varphi g, g \rangle &= |g(\xi)|^2 \varphi(\xi) + |g(\eta)|^2 \varphi(\eta) + \overline{g(\xi)} [g(\eta) \varphi(\eta) - g(\eta) \varphi(\eta^-)] \\ &= |g(\xi)|^2 \varphi(\xi) + |g(\eta)|^2 \varphi(\eta) + \overline{g(\xi)} g(\eta) [\varphi(\eta) - \varphi(\eta^-)] \\ &= [\varphi(\xi) + \varphi(\eta)] + [\varphi(\eta) - \varphi(\xi)]i. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\langle T_\varphi g, g \rangle \notin \mathbb{R}$, which contradicts that T_φ is self-adjoint. Thus φ is a constant function. However, the condition $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2(T)$ yields that φ must be zero. This completes the proof. \square

5. FINITE RANK TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

The final section is devoted to establishing a equivalent characterization for finite rank Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. More concretely, we will show in the following theorem that the Toeplitz operator T_φ having finite rank if and only if $\{v \in T : \varphi(v) \neq 0\}$ is a finite subset of T .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and φ be a function in $\mathcal{L}^q(T)$ such that $\varphi' \in \mathcal{L}^q(T)$ and the Toeplitz operator T_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then T_φ has finite rank on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ if and only if $\varphi(v) = 0$ except for finitely many points $v \in T$.*

Proof. We show the sufficiency first. Suppose that $\varphi(v) = 0$ for all $v \in T$ except v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . For any $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(T)$, we have

$$T_\varphi f(v) = \Delta(\varphi \nabla f)(v) = \sum_{u \in S_v} \varphi(u) \nabla f(u), \quad v \in T.$$

It follows that $T_\varphi f(v) = 0$ for all $v \in T$ with $|v| > \max\{|v_k| : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let

$$W := \left\{ w \in T : |w| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |v_k| \right\}.$$

Then W is a finite set and its elements can be enumerated by

$$W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N\}.$$

Fix a vertex $\xi \in T$ and define

$$e_\xi(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v = \xi, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Since $T_\varphi f$ is a vector in $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$, it can be expressed as

$$T_\varphi f = c_1 e_{w_1} + c_2 e_{w_2} + \dots + c_N e_{w_N}$$

with constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N . This implies that

$$\text{range}(T_\varphi) \subset \text{span}\{e_{w_1}, e_{w_2}, \dots, e_{w_N}\},$$

to obtain that T_φ is of finite rank on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$.

To show the necessity, we suppose that T_φ is a finite rank operator on $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$ and

$$\text{range}(T_\varphi) \subset \text{span}\{e_{v_1}, e_{v_2}, \dots, e_{v_n}\},$$

where v_k is a vertex of T and e_{v_k} is defined in (5.1). According to Lemma 2.3 and the computations in (4.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_\varphi e_w(v) &= e_w(v)\varphi(v^-) + \sum_{u \in S_v} e_w(u)[\varphi(u) - \varphi(u^-)] \\ &= e_w(v)\varphi(v^-) + e_w(v)[\varphi(v) - \varphi(v^-)] + \sum_{u \in S_v \setminus \{v\}} e_w(u)[\varphi(u) - \varphi(u^-)] \\ &= e_w(v)\varphi(v) + \sum_{u \in S_v \setminus \{v\}} e_w(u)[\varphi(u) - \varphi(u^-)] \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

for every $v \neq o$ and $w \in T$.

If φ does not vanish at infinitely many vertices of T , then we can choose a vertex $w \in T$ such that $|w| > \max\{|v_1|, |v_2|, \dots, |v_n|\}$ and $\varphi(w) \neq 0$. It follows from (5.2) that

$$T_\varphi e_w(w) = \varphi(w).$$

We claim that $T_\varphi e_w \notin \text{span}\{e_{v_1}, e_{v_2}, \dots, e_{v_n}\}$. Indeed, if

$$T_\varphi e_w = c_1 e_{v_1} + c_2 e_{v_2} + \dots + c_n e_{v_n}$$

for some scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n , then we would have

$$0 = c_1 e_{v_1}(w) + c_2 e_{v_2}(w) + \dots + c_n e_{v_n}(w) = T_\varphi e_w(w) = \varphi(w) \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. This yields that

$$\dim[\text{range}(T_\varphi)] > n.$$

But this contradicts that the dimension of $\text{range}(T_\varphi)$ is less than or equal to n . Therefore, the proof of Theorem 5.1 is finished. \square

Remark 5.2. *It is worth noting that Theorem 5.1 is analogous to the corresponding results for finite rank Toeplitz operators on the Bergman and Fock spaces, see [13] and [25, Theorem 6.42] respectively.*

Acknowledgment. This work was partially supported by NSFC (grant number: 11701052) and Chongqing Natural Science Foundation (cstc2019jcyj-msxmX0337). The third author was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant numbers: 2020CDJQY-A039, 2020CDJ-LHSS-003).

REFERENCES

- [1] Agrawal, A., Berge, A., Colbert-Pollack, S., Martínez-Avendaño, R. A., Sliheet, E.: Norms, kernels and eigenvalues of some infinite graphs. arXiv:1812.08276 (2018)
- [2] Allen, R. F., Colonna, F., Easley, G. R.: Multiplication operators between Lipschitz-type spaces on a tree. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **36**, Article ID: 472495 (2011)
- [3] Allen, R. F., Colonna, F., Easley, G. R.: Multiplication operators on the iterated logarithmic Lipschitz spaces of a tree. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **9**, 575-600 (2012)
- [4] Allen, R. F., Colonna, F., Easley, G. R.: Multiplication operators on the weighted Lipschitz space of a tree. *J. Operator Theory* **69**, 209-231 (2013)
- [5] Allen, R. F., Colonna, F., Easley, G. R.: Composition operators on the Lipschitz space of a tree. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **11**, 97-108 (2014)
- [6] Allen, R. F., Colonna, F., Prudhom, A.: Multiplication operators between iterated logarithmic Lipschitz spaces of a tree. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14**, Paper No. 212 (2017)
- [7] Allen, R. F., Pons, M. A.: Composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of a tree. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **41**, 1805-1818 (2018)
- [8] Allen, R. F., Pons, M. A.: Weighted composition operators on discrete weighted Banach spaces. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44146-022-00051-w>

- [9] Cartier, P.: Fonctions harmoniques sur un arbre, in: Symposia Mathematica, vol. IX, Convegno di Calcolo delle Probabilità, INDAM, Rome, 1971. Academic Press, London (1972)
- [10] Cartier, P.: Géométrie et analyse sur les arbres, in: Séminaire Bourbaki, 24ème année (1971/1972), Exp. No. 407, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 317. Springer, Berlin, pp. 123-140 (1973)
- [11] Colonna, F., Easley, G. R.: Multiplication operators on the Lipschitz space of a tree. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **68**, 391-411 (2010)
- [12] Colonna, F., Easley, G. R.: Multiplication operators between the Lipschitz space and the space of bounded functions on a tree. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **9**, 423-438 (2012)
- [13] Luecking, D.: Finite rank Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136**, 1717-1723 (2008)
- [14] Colonna, F., Martínez-Avenidaño, R. A.: Some class of operators with symbol on the Lipschitz space of a tree. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14**, Paper No. 18 (2017)
- [15] Douglas, R.: *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 179. Springer, New York (1998)
- [16] Jabłoński, Z. J., Jung, I. B., Stochel, J.: Weighted shifts on directed trees. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **216**, 1017 (2012)
- [17] Martínez-Avenidaño, R. A.: Hypercyclicity of shifts on weighted L^p spaces of directed trees. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **446**, 823-842 (2017)
- [18] Martínez-Avenidaño, R. A., Rivera-Guasco, E.: The forward and backward shift on the Lipschitz space of a tree. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **92**, Paper No. 3 (2020)
- [19] Muthukumar, P., Ponnusamy, S.: Composition operators on Hardy spaces of the homogenous rooted trees. *Monatsh. Math.* **192**, 721-743 (2020)
- [20] Pavone, M.: Chaotic composition operators on trees. *Houston J. Math.* **18**, 47-56 (1992)
- [21] Pavone, M.: Toeplitz operators on discrete groups. *J. Operator Theory* **27**, 359-384 (1992)
- [22] Pavone, M.: Partially ordered groups, almost invariant sets, and Toeplitz operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **113**, 1-18 (1993)
- [23] Zhang, X., Zhao, X.: Toeplitz operator and the operator ∇ on the L^p space of a tree. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **516**, Paper No. 126540 (2022)
- [24] Zhu, K.: *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, 2nd edn, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 138. American Mathematical Society (2007)
- [25] Zhu, K.: *Analysis on Fock Spaces*, vol. 263. Springer-Verlag, New York (2012)

¹ COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, 401331, P. R. CHINA
Email address: huangmm0909@163.com

² COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, 401331, P. R. CHINA
Email address: xiaoyanzhang@cqu.edu.cn

³ COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, 401331, P. R. CHINA
Email address: xianfengzhao@cqu.edu.cn