

Bounds on ρ -invariants and simplicial complexity of triangulated manifolds

Geunho Lim and Shmuel Weinberger[†]

Abstract. We show the existence of linear bounds on Atiyah-Singer ρ -invariants of PL manifolds, employing a new combinatorial concept of G -colored polyhedra. As application, we show that how the number of h-cobordism classes of manifolds simple homotopy equivalent to a lens space with V simplices and the fundamental group of \mathbb{Z}_n grows in V . Furthermore we count the number of homotopy lens spaces with bounded geometry in V . Similarly, we give new linear bounds on Cheeger-Gromov ρ -invariants of PL manifolds endowed with a faithful representation also. A key idea is to construct a cobordism with a linear complexity whose boundary is π_1 -injectively embedded, using relative hyperbolization. As application, we study the complexity theory of high-dimensional lens spaces. Lastly we show the density of ρ -invariants over manifolds homotopy equivalent to a given manifold for certain fundamental groups. This implies that the structure set is not finitely generated.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	1
2. Atiyah-Singer ρ -invariant and Cheeger-Gromov L^2 ρ -invariant	4
3. G -colored polyhedra and towers of its coverings	5
4. Quantitative relative hyperbolization	11
5. Complexity and homotopy structures of homotopy lens spaces	15
6. Density of Cheeger-Gromov L^2 ρ -invariants over structure sets	17
References	18

1. Introduction and main results

The invariants we consider in this paper originally arose in the context of finite (quotient groups of a) fundamental group : in work of Browder-Livesay on classifying free involutions on the sphere [BL67], related to invariants of Atiyah-Bott [AB68] (which they used for showing that different lens spaces are not h-cobordant) by Hirzebruch [Hir68], and thence to η -invariants by Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [APS76]. For manifolds with finite fundamental group, these invariants are what are necessary to add to characteristic classes to classify all the manifolds in a given simple homotopy type (see [Wal99], [CW21]).

There is a natural L^2 analogue of the above invariants for manifolds with infinite fundamental group, introduced by Cheeger and Gromov [CG85a]. Besides their original application to integrality formula for certain characteristic classes of complete Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvature and finite volume, they have found application to showing that certain structure sets of manifolds are infinite [CW03] (and indeed infinitely generated, as we will remark below), moduli of metrics of positive scalar curvature have infinitely many components [PS14], and to three-dimensional topological knot cobordism [COT03], and complexity of 3-manifolds (in various senses) [Cha16] among others.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57N16, 57N70, 57Q20, 55U10, 55U15.

[†] Partially supported by an NSF grant.

For some of these applications, an important inequality for smooth Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry, due to Cheeger and Gromov [CG85b], is critical:

$$\rho^{(2)}(M) \leq C(d, \text{inj}, K) \cdot \text{vol}(M)$$

where $d = \dim(M)$, inj is a lower bound on the injectivity radius, and K is a bound on the absolute value of the sectional curvature of M . (We will refer to such bounds on a Riemannian metric as *a bound on its geometry*.)

On the basis of this inequality Gromov conjectured [Gro82] that manifolds with bounded geometry that are nullcobordant actually bound (bounded geometry) manifolds with at most linearly increased volume. (Such estimates would give a topological explanation for the above inequality.) Whether this is the case is still unknown, but some progress is given in [CDMW18].

In this paper, we will consider analogues of all of these invariants and prove for PL manifolds analogous inequalities where $\text{vol}(M)$ is replaced by the total number of simplices in the manifold. That these invariants can even be extended to PL (and, even topological) manifolds is straightforward by a bordism argument given in [Wal99, Chapter 13]. All of these invariants have generalizations to Witt spaces (see [Sie83] and [ALMP12]) and our results should apply in this setting, as well; we hope to pursue this direction in a future paper. Unlike Cheeger and Gromov, who argue analytically, we will depend on purely geometric arguments.

This inequality is *not* the natural analogue of the Cheeger-Gromov inequality for the PL setting, because we do *not* impose any local condition on the geometry: the number of neighbors of a vertex can be unbounded in this collection of manifolds. We also don't know what the smooth analogue of our inequality is: perhaps it involves the “engulfing radius”, the largest r so that balls of radius r in M are included in larger smooth balls?

We will soon discuss the precise statement of our results and some applications. However, Gromov's heuristic reasoning suggests that a possible linearity (or almost linearity) conjecture for the number of simplices in PL cobordism (and Witt cobordism). Currently we have no idea how to prove such a result.¹

Theorem 1.1. *If M is an odd dimensional PL manifold and one is given a homomorphism $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$ for a finite abelian group G , then $|\rho_g(M)| \leq C(d) \cdot |G| \cdot \Delta(M)$, for any nontrivial element g in G .*

where $C(d)$ is a constant just depending on the dimension, $|G|$ is the order of G , and $\Delta(M)$ is the number of simplices in a triangulation of M . See the discussion in section 3, for the optimality of various aspects of this inequality.

Theorem 1.2. *If M is an $(4k - 1)$ -dimensional PL manifold endowed with a faithful representation of π_1 , then the Cheeger-Gromov invariant satisfies $|\rho^{(2)}(M)| \leq C'(d) \cdot \Delta(M)$.*

Since smooth manifolds with bounded geometry metrics have PL triangulations whose number of simplices is proportional to the volume, see [CMS84], the above inequality does imply the Cheeger-Gromov inequality. Note that the factor $|G|$, which is necessary in Theorem 1.1, is not present in Theorem 1.2. Either theorem can be applied to prove

Theorem 1.3. *The number of simplices of the standard lens space $L_N(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ of dimension $2d - 1$ (with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}_N) grows (in N) like N^{d-1} , i.e. the number of simplices is bounded above and below by dimensional constants times N^{d-1} .*

We remark that the theorems remain true, with their given proofs, for arbitrary, i.e. non PL triangulations of manifolds with the same proof. It is worth noting the indirectness of the proof we give of Theorem 1.3 where dimension is 1 mod 4 in that case, there is no Cheeger-Gromov invariant.

¹ [MW] gives a proof, for PL manifolds of bounded geometry, of the analogue of [CDMW18]. The implied constants depend in an unknown way on the bounds on the local geometry.

In dimension 3, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.2 are due to Cha [Cha16]. The first author gave a better estimate for C'_3 [Lim22]. This paper is the result of rethinking some of the algebra in those papers from a more geometrical perspective and actually gives an estimate of 12 for C'_3 . (However, in high dimensions, the constants are surely much too big.)

In a successor paper by Cha and the first author of this paper, extensions of Theorem 1.2 to other L^2 ρ -invariants will be given by a mixture of the algebraic and geometric ideas in all of these papers. The philosophical point is that redoing the geometric arguments of this paper algebraically allows one to establish functoriality (while geometrically we would need to include a measurement of the complexity of the homomorphism).

The following is the simplest nontrivial example of a general method of producing many homotopy equivalent manifolds with a bounded number of simplices.

Theorem 1.4. *The number of h -cobordism classes of manifolds simple homotopy equivalent to a lens space L_N^d with at most V simplices is bounded between two constant multiples which depend on $d \times V^{\lfloor (N-1)/2 \rfloor + \delta(N,d)}$ where $\delta(N,d) = 0$ unless N is even and d is 3 mod 4, and in that case it is 1.*

This is based on the connection between ρ -invariants and surgery, with a trick from [Wei82] being used to construct that many homotopy lens spaces with V simplices, and the inequality in this paper to give an the bound on the ρ -invariants of such manifolds. The result can be thought of as saying that the number of simplices needed for an element of the homotopy structures of a lens space [Wal99], is approximately the same as its distance from the origin in that set, thought of as an abelian group.

Counting the manifolds seems more natural than counting h -cobordism classes of these manifolds. This would require estimates on Reidemeister torsions, which are analogous to the above inequalities for ρ -invariants. But the idea of [Sou99] suggests that bounded geometry suffices for this project. However it is not known how to do this in general. Using the result of [CMS84] and these ideas, we have:

Theorem 1.5. *The number of homotopy lens spaces with bounded geometry with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}/N in dimension d and volume V can be bounded above and below by constants times $V^{N-d(N)+\delta(N,d)}$.*

This differs from the simple homotopy equivalence results above in two ways: We allow homotopy equivalence rather simplicity, which requires and estimate on torsion in terms of geometry, and we need a construction of examples. The second is a routine modification of what we do above.

Finally, in Section 6, we will prove the following variation on [CW03]. It is essentially independent of the rest of the paper.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose M is a closed oriented manifold of dimension 3 mod 4, and $\pi_1(M)$ has finite subgroups of arbitrary large order, then the values of $\rho^{(2)}(M')$ as M' varies over manifolds homotopy equivalent to M is a dense subset of \mathbb{R} . This implies that the group $S(M)$ of such manifolds (studied by surgery theory) is not finitely generated.*

The condition on π in this theorem arises in all known examples where L^2 betti numbers are not a discrete subset of \mathbb{R} . It seems very likely that if the Farrell-Jones conjecture is true then this condition is necessary as well for the density of values of $\rho^{(2)}$. We note that it is conjectured that all the numbers in this set of real numbers differ from one another by rational numbers (Chang). If true, this would enable trying to make estimates using p -adic heights of ρ invariants.

It is worth remarking that the conclusion of this theorem would follow from conjectures in [WY15]; indeed it goes a bit further for some groups, such as (finitely presented groups containing) the lamplighter group that only has elements of order 2 (but contains \mathbb{Z}_2^n for all n).

We close by mentioning a drawback of the purely geometric nature of our methods: we cannot prove the natural analog of Theorem 1.1 for Atiyah-Patodi-Singer invariants of odd dimensional PL manifolds with representations of their fundamental group. It seems unbelievable that this could not hold, but our methods that make essential use of bordism does not gracefully extend to the bordism of manifolds with

flat bundles – because that bordism group, rationally, is an infinite dimensional vector space. Here, as in [CW03], [Cha16], and [Lim22], we avoid this in the case of $\rho^{(2)}$ this is avoided using the functoriality of this invariant with respect to inclusions - something we don't yet have an analogue of in the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer setting.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 briefly recalls definitions of the Atiyah-Singer ρ -invariant and the Cheeger-Gromov L^2 ρ -invariants. Section 3 discusses geometric concepts of G -colored polyhedra and towers of its coverings and proves Theorem 1.1 by employing the concepts. Section 4 quantitatively studies relative hyperbolization in order to prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 5, we give applications on complexity and homotopy structure sets of homotopy lens spaces, proving Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.3. Finally, in Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.6 which is independent of the remainder of the paper.

We would like to thank Jae Choon Cha and Fedya Manin for very stimulating conversations on a number of topics around the contents of this paper.

Note: *In this paper, we assume that manifolds are closed and oriented triangulated PL manifolds unless otherwise noted.*

2. Atiyah-Singer ρ -invariant and Cheeger-Gromov L^2 ρ -invariant

In this section, we briefly recall definitions of the Atiyah-Singer ρ -invariant and the Cheeger-Gromov L^2 ρ -invariants.

For a closed $(2k-1)$ -manifold M with a finite fundamental group $\pi = \pi(M)$, Atiyah and Singer [AS68] defined $\rho(M) = \frac{1}{r} \text{sign}_\pi(\tilde{W}) \in \mathbb{Q}R^{(-1)^k}(\pi)/I_{reg}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $(2k)$ -manifold W such that $\pi(W) \cong \pi$ and $\partial W^{4k} = rM$ (r disjoint copies of M), where $\mathbb{Q}R^{(-1)^k}(\pi)$ is the abelian group of the $(-1)^k$ -eigenspace of the rationalized complex representation ring of π and I_{reg} is the ideal generated by the regular representation. In general, the classical ρ -invariant can be defined on M endowed with a representation α of π into a finite group G . For a $(2k)$ -manifold W with $\partial W^{4k} = rM$ that α factors through $\pi_1(W)$, the ρ -invariant can be obtained from the representation on $(2k)$ -dimension homology of the induced G -cover of W .

Definition 2.1. (Atiyah-Singer's classical ρ -invariant) For a finite group G and a $(2k-1)$ -manifold M endowed with a representation $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$, the ρ -invariant $\rho(M, \alpha)$ is defined by,

$$\rho(M, \alpha) := \frac{1}{r} \text{sign}_G(\tilde{W}) \in \mathbb{Q}R^{(-1)^k}(G)/I_{reg},$$

where W is a $(2k)$ -manifold such that $\partial W^{2k} = rM$, \tilde{W} is the induced G -cover of W , α factors through $\pi_1(W)$, and sign_G is the G -signature [AS68] of the induced representation α_* on the middle dimension homology of the induced G -cover \tilde{W} of W .

Remark 2.2. The existence of W and the well-definedness are from cobordism theory and the cohomological analogue of the G-index theorem respectively [AS68].

These invariants are what are necessary to add to characteristic classes to classify all the manifolds in a given simple homotopy type (see [Wal99], [CW21]).

In [CG85a] and [CG85b], Cheeger and Gromov defined a natural L^2 analogue of the above invariants for manifolds with an infinite fundamental group. They defined L^2 ρ -invariants on a closed $(4k-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold M endowed with an arbitrary representation $\varphi : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$, which is the differences of the η -invariant of the signature operator of M and L^2 η -invariant of that of the G -cover of M which is defined using the von Neumann trace. (The analog is generalized in the case of foliations in [Ram93].) They showed Cheeger-Gromov inequality that there are universal bounds for

ρ -invariant regardless of the given representation. Chang and Weinberger extended the definition of Cheeger-Gromov ρ -invariants to topological manifolds [CW03].

Definition 2.3. (Chang-Weinberger’s topological definition of Cheeger-Gromov ρ -invariant) For a $(4k - 1)$ -manifold M , the Cheeger-Gromov ρ -invariant $\rho^{(2)}$ is defined by the L^2 -signature defect,

$$\rho_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(M) := \frac{1}{r}(\text{sign}_{\Gamma}^{(2)} W_{\Gamma} - \text{sign} W) \in \mathbb{R},$$

where W is a $4k$ -manifold such that $\partial W^{4k} = rM$ with $\pi = \pi_1(M)$ injecting into $\Gamma = \pi_1(W)$, W_{Γ} is the induced Γ -cover of W , and $\text{sign}_{\Gamma}^{(2)}$ is the L^2 -signature of the symmetric form induced by cap product on the middle cohomology [CW03].

Remark 2.4. Thom’s classical work on cobordism and Hausmann [Hau81] gave the existence of W . Well-definedness is obtained from the Γ -induction property of Cheeger-Gromov [CG85b] and the usual Novikov additivity argument. For details, refer readers to [CW03], [Cha16], and [Lim22].

In [Cha16], Cha proved Cheeger-Gromov inequality for topological manifolds in every dimension and gave explicit universal linear bounds for 3-manifolds in terms of the minimal complexity of triangulations. The first author provided more efficient bounds for 3-manifolds with controlled chain null-homotopies in all dimensions [Lim22].

Besides Cheeger and Gromov’s original application to integrality formula for certain characteristic classes of complete Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvature and finite volume, they have found numerous applications to showing that certain structure sets of manifolds are infinite [CW03] (and indeed infinitely generated, as we will remark below), moduli of metrics of positive scalar curvature have infinitely many components [PS14], to K-theory [LP01], to three-dimensional topological knot cobordism [COT03], and to complexity of 3-manifolds (in various senses) [Cha16] among others.

3. G -colored polyhedra and towers of its coverings

In this section, we introduce geometric concepts of G -colored polyhedra and towers of its coverings and prove Theorem 1.1.

First we need the observation that, for a $(2k - 1)$ -manifold M endowed with a representation $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$, the Atiyah-Singer ρ -invariant can be obtained from a cobordism W between M over G and a manifold trivially over G , instead of a $(2k)$ -manifold bounded by M because the trivial end does not affect to the ρ -invariant. Since the dimension of the middle k -dimension homology of the induced G -cover of W cannot be greater than $|G|$ times the number of k -cells in W , the dimension of ρ -invariant is linearly bounded by the number of k -cells in W by Definition 2.1. Thus we may focus on an explicit construction of W .

We construct a desired W , bounding the complexity of each step. The main idea is to find a *quantitative* controlled $(2k)$ -chain in the Moore complex [Moo58] with an explicit efficient complexity whose boundary is some copies of the fundamental class $[M]$ of M in the classifying space of a finite abelian group. This method is new and different from the Cheeger-Gromov ρ -invariant case that we embed any group into the Baumslag-Dyer-Heller acyclic container [BDH80]. Unlike in the acyclic container, it was unknown whether there exists a nice explicit way to obtain the desired $(2k)$ -chain in a finite abelian group that we can use in order to construct our bordism W so far. In this section we present a new method to obtain the desired $(2k)$ -chain by introducing new concepts of *a colored polyhedron and its covering* which model chains in the Moore complex [Moo58] of a simplicial classifying space [May92].

We proceed as follows:

- (1) By the simplicial-cellular approximation of maps to a classifying space [Cha16, Theorem 3.7], the given representation $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$ induces a chain map $\alpha_* : C_*(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}BG_*$, where $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$

is the Moore complex of simplicial classifying space BG . We embed the fundamental class $[M]$ into $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$.

- (2) Since G is a finite abelian group and $[M]$ is a cycle, we can show that there exists a $(2k)$ -chain u whose boundary is $r\alpha_*([M])$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We explicitly construct this $(2k)$ -chain u , introducing new concepts of *a colored polyhedron and its covering* in $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$.
- (3) By [ML95, p. 236], there is a projection $p : \mathbb{Z}BG_* \rightarrow C_*(BG)$ which is controlled by 1. (The image of any generator of $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$ under p consists of less than equal to one simplex in $C_*(BG)$.) We map u to $p(u)$ in $C_*(BG)$.
- (4) Let $BG^{(i)}$ be the set of i -skeletons of the geometric realization of BG for $i = 1, 2, \dots, (2k-1)$. We use a high-dimensional analogue of the geometric construction of [Cha16]. By adding 1-handles between algebraically corresponding two $(2k-1)$ -simplices in $\partial p(u)$ and $\partial r\alpha_*([M])$, we may obtain a desired cobordism W_{2k-1} over BG from rM over $BG^{(2k-1)}$ to some $(2k-1)$ -manifold N_{2k-2} over $BG^{(2k-2)}$. That is, the top boundary $rM \rightarrow BG^{(2k-1)}$ induces the given representation of $\pi(M) \rightarrow G$.
- (5) We follow the geometric construction of [CL]. Backward-inductively employing the $(i+1)$ -chain of u , we can obtain a cobordism W_i over G from $N_i \rightarrow BG^{(i)}$ to $N_{i-1} \rightarrow BG^{(i-1)}$ where N_i is a $(2k-1)$ -manifold $i = 2k-2, 2k-3, \dots, 2, 1$.
- (6) Gluing cobordisms $W_i, i = 2k-1, 2k-2, \dots, 2, 1$, we obtain a cobordism W over G from $rM \rightarrow BG^{(2k-1)}$ to $N_0 \rightarrow BG^{(0)}$. Since BG is connected, $N_0 \rightarrow BG^{(0)}$ is homotopic to a constant map. By altering the map $W \rightarrow BG$ on a collar neighborhood of N_0 using the homotopy, we obtain a desired bordism W between the given rM and a trivial end N_0 .


It is known that $\alpha_* : C_*(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}BG_*$ and $p : \mathbb{Z}BG_* \rightarrow C_*(BG)$ are controlled by 1 [Cha16]. The geometric constructions of [Cha16] and [CL] provide a linear bound for complexity of W which depends on only dimension $(2k-1)$. The complexity of W is just the sum of that of $W_i, i = 2k-1, 2k-2, \dots, 2, 1$. That is, every step contributes a linear bound for complexity of W except (2). Now we verify that (2) contributes a linear bound for complexity of W with a coefficient which depends on only dimension $(2k-1)$ and $|G|$.

We first define the concept of *a colored polyhedron and its covering*.

Definition 3.1. For a group G , an *(abstract) G -colored (directed) n -polyhedron* is an n -dimensional polyhedron which satisfies below:

- (i) Each edge is directed.
- (ii) A group element is assigned to each edge.
- (iii) Any path along edges represents a group element. First think of the identity element $e \in G$. We do right multiplication in order of the path. If the direction of the edge corresponds to the path, we do right multiplication of the group element assigned to the edge. Otherwise we do right multiplication of the inverse.
- (iv) Any loop along edges represents a group relation. (That is, any two paths joining two vertices represent the same group element.)

Remark 3.2. By definition, each $(n-1)$ -face of a G -colored n -polyhedron is a G -colored $(n-1)$ -polyhedron. Furthermore, for an abelian group G , the n -polyhedron P which is obtained by identifying two G -colored n -polyhedra P_1 and P_2 along the same $(n-1)$ -face is again a G -colored n -polyhedron.




For example, here is a G -colored 2-polyhedron, where G is a finite abelian group and $a, b, c, d \in G$. In order to check whether this polyhedron satisfies (iv), it is enough to check a loop starting from any vertex represents a group relation because G is abelian. The clockwise loop starting from A represents $abcc^{-1}a^{-1}d^{-1}b^{-1}d$ which is a group relation so the polyhedron is G -colored. Notice that if G is not abelian, then this is not a G -coloring.

We may understand the n -simplices of the Moore complex $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$ [Moo58] of the simplicial classifying space BG [May92] as G -colored n -polyhedra. (In fact, n -polytopes.) Denote an oriented n -simplex given by the vertices $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n \in G$ by an ordered pair (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n) . Let g_i be $h_{i-1}^{-1}h_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then each directed edge of (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n) joining h_i and h_j ($i < j$) has the direction from h_i to h_j with the corresponding group element $g_{i+1}g_{i+2} \cdots g_j$. We denote this G -colored n -simplex by $[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n]$. In fact, $[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n]$ corresponds to the generator of the Moore complex $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$. The two choice of an orientation of the n -simplex represents the sign of the generator $[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n]$ in $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$.

Remark 3.3. Readers are warned that common alternative notations are (g_1, \dots, g_n) or $[g_1 | \dots | g_n]$ in place of $[g_1, \dots, g_n]$, depending on literature.

By (iv) in Definition 3.1, we can divide a G -colored n -polyhedron into G -colored n -simplices, considering orientation. Furthermore any loop along edges of simplices also represents a group relation. In fact, the decomposition of a G -colored n -polyhedron represents an n -chain in $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$. For example, a decomposition of the previous G -colored 2-polyhedron represents a 2-chain in $\mathbb{Z}BG_2$ which is $[a, b] + [ab, c] - [ab, c] - [b, a] - [bd^{-1}, d] + [d, bd^{-1}]$, considering a choice of an orientation:



In abuse of notation, for a G -colored n -polyhedron P , we denote a decomposition of P or the corresponding n -chain in $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$ by the same P . Since G -colored polyhedra represent chains in $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$, we can define a concept corresponding to a cycle.


Definition 3.4. A G -colored n -polyhedron P is called a cycle if $P \in \mathbb{Z}BG_n$ is a cycle. That is, $\partial P = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$.

In fact, the previous polyhedron P is a cycle. One can easily check $\partial([a, b] + [ab, c] - [ab, c] - [b, a] - [bd^{-1}, d] + [d, bd^{-1}]) = 0$.

Now we introduce a G -colored n -polyhedron endowed with a vertex set of group elements.


Definition 3.5. For a G -colored n -polyhedron P , we may assign a group element to a vertex. Then this determines every group element which is assigned to each vertex by right multiplication of the group element of the edge, according to the direction. The G -colored n -polyhedron is said to be *endowed with a vertex set of group elements*.

For example, if we assign e to the vertex A in the previous example, then we obtain below:



Remark 3.6. There are at most $|G|$ ways to assign group elements to a G -colored n -polyhedron for a finite group G . In fact, a G -colored n -polyhedron $P = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^n$ endowed with a vertex set T represents a *simplicial cylinder between P and E with respect to T* [Lim22, Section 4.3], denoted by $\text{Cyl}(P, E, T)$, which is a G -colored $(n+1)$ -chain in $\mathbb{Z}BG_*$, where σ_i^n is a signed n -simplex and $E = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{sign}(\sigma_i^n)[e, e, \dots, e]$ is a degenerate G -colored n -chain.

Actually the previous example represents a simplicial cylinder as modeled below;




Now we introduce a *covering* of a cycle.

Definition 3.7. Let G and P be a finite abelian group and a (simplex-decomposed) G -colored n -cycle respectively. Assume that there is a $(n-1)$ -simplex B in ∂P . (So there is $-B$ in ∂P also.) A *covering of P with respect to B* is a G -colored n -polyhedron which is obtained from $|G|$ -copies of P by gluing $-B$ on the i -th copy of P and $+B$ on the $(i+1)$ -th copy of P where $i = 1, 2, \dots, |G| - 1$.

Remark 3.8. As the construction, a covering of a cycle is a cycle again. By the definition of G -colored n -polyhedron and the finiteness of G , the covering of P with respect to B endowed with a vertex set of group elements has $+B$ and $-B$ in its boundary with the same group element at their vertices. Thus we

can geometrically identify $+B$ and $-B$ on the boundary of a covering of P . For example, if we assume $|G| = 5$, P in the previous example has a covering with respect to a below:




We may *inductively* construct a tower of coverings.

Definition 3.9. Let G and P be a finite abelian group and a (simplex-decomposed) G -colored n -cycle respectively. Let $\pm B_1$ and $\pm B_2$ be $(n-1)$ -simplices on ∂P such that $B_1 \neq B_2$. Let P_{B_1} be a covering of P with respect to $B_1 \in \partial P$. A *tower of coverings of P with respect to B_1 and B_2 in order*, denoted by $P_{(B_1, B_2)}$, is a G -colored n -polyhedron which is obtained from $|G|^2$ -copies of P by identifying $-B_2$ on the i -th copy of P of j -th copy of P_{B_1} and $+B_2$ on the i -th copy of P of $(j+1)$ -th copy of P_{B_1} where $i = 1, 2, \dots, |G|$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, |G| - 1$. Inductively we can define a covering of P with respect to $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_s \in \partial P$ from $|G|^s$ -copies of P .

Remark 3.10. The condition (iv) in Definition 3.1 plays a key role for the tower of coverings to be a G -colored n -polyhedron. In order to check this out, we need to verify that new loops obtained from this process should represent group relations. By the construction, it is enough to check $l = e$ for a loop l in $P_{(B_1, B_2)}$ representing a new generator of $\pi_1(P_{(B_1, B_2)})$. For brevity, we may assume l lies on the first two copies (denoted by $P_{1,1}$ and $P_{1,2}$) of P in the first copy of P_{B_1} and the first two copies (denoted by $P_{2,1}$ and $P_{2,2}$) of P in the second copy of P_{B_1} . As the simplest generator, we may assume l passes the identified B_k of $P_{i,j}$ where $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$ exactly one time respectively. Since B_1 and B_2 are simply connected and G -colored, we may assume l passes specific vertices b_k of the identified $B_k, \forall k$. Let $p : P_{(B_1, B_2)} \rightarrow P$ be the covering map. Since G is abelian, $p(l)$ represents a loop joining b_1 of $+B_1, b_2$ of $-B_2, b_1$ of $-B_1$, and b_2 of $+B_2$ in P . The group elements of $p(l)$ and l are the same. Recall P is G -colored. So, $p(l) = l = e$.

Remark 3.11. By the finiteness of a group G , the corresponding pair of $+B_k$ and $-B_k, k = 1, 2$ in $\partial P_{(B_1, B_2)}$ has the same group elements at their vertices for any endowed vertex set as Remark 3.8.

As the previous example, we schematically present the first two copies of P_a in $P_{(a,b)}$ below. (We identify the pair of b -edges in the same color.):



Now we show a key lemma in order to have a linear bound for complexity of u in (2).

Lemma 3.12. *For a finite abelian group G and an n -cycle $C = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^n \in \mathbb{Z}BG_n$, there is an $(n+1)$ -chain u whose boundary is $N_{n,G,C}$ -copies of $C - \sum_{i=1}^k \text{sign}(\sigma_i^n) \cdot [e, e, \dots, e]$ and whose simplicial complexity is $N_{n,G,C} \cdot |C|$, where σ_i^n is a signed n -simplex which is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}BG_n$ and $N_{n,G,C} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a natural number depending on the dimension n , the order of G , and the complexity of C .*

Proof. Recall that all faces of σ_i^n ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) are algebraically paired up because C is a cycle. First we construct a set of G -colored n -polytopes which represents the given $C \in \mathbb{Z}BG_n$. Pick a signed n -simplex σ_1^n . If all faces of σ_1^n are paired up algebraically, we leave σ_1^n itself and may choose another n -simplex. So we may assume that one face of σ_1^n is algebraically paired up with a face of another n -simplex. We glue two n -simplices along the faces which are algebraically paired up. We inductively glue n -simplices to this polytope. If a face of this polytope is algebraically paired up with another face of itself we do not glue the faces and we leave them apart. Since there are only finitely many n -simplices, this process should stop and give us a set of G -colored n -polytopes whose union represents the given $C \in \mathbb{Z}BG_n$. Denote the set of G -colored n -polytopes by $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_l\}$ where $l \leq k$. By construction each P_j is cycle $\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$.

Since each G -colored n -polytope P_j is a cycle, we may arrange $(n-1)$ -simplices in ∂P_j as pairs of $+B_k$ and $-B_k$ where $k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{|\partial P_j|}{2}$. Recursively construct a tower of covering $P_{(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{\frac{|\partial P_j|}{2}})}$ of P with respect to $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{\frac{|\partial P_j|}{2}}$, briefly denoted by \overline{P}_j .

Put $\overline{P}_j = \sum_{m=1}^s \sigma_m^j$. As Remark 3.6, we may obtain an $(n+1)$ -chain $\text{Cyl}(\overline{P}_j, \overline{E}_j, T)$, endowing \overline{P}_j with a vertex set where $\overline{E}_j = \sum_{m=1}^s \text{sign}(\sigma_m^j) [e, e, \dots, e]$, T is the corresponding vertex set, and σ_m^j is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}BG_{n+1}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, s$).

By [Lim22, Lemma 4.7], $\partial \text{Cyl}(\overline{P}_j, \overline{E}_j, T) = \overline{P}_j - \overline{E}_j - \Sigma \text{Cyl}(\partial \overline{P}_j, \partial \overline{E}_j, \partial T)$. As Remark 3.8 and Remark 3.11, the algebraically corresponding $(n-1)$ -simplices $+B$ and $-B \in \partial \overline{P}_j$ have the same group element for any endowed vertex set. In other words, the simplicial cylinders of $+B$ and $-B \in \partial \overline{P}_j$ are the same except the sign. Thus $\Sigma \text{Cyl}(\partial \overline{P}_j, \partial \overline{E}_j, \partial T) = 0, \forall j$. That is, $\sum_{j=1}^l \text{Cyl}(\overline{P}_j, \overline{E}_j, T)$ is a desired $(n+1)$ -chain u whose boundary is some copies of $C - \sum_{i=1}^k \text{sign}(\sigma_i^n) \cdot [e, e, \dots, e]$.

Put $P = \sum_j \overline{P}_j$. By the construction of Definition 3.7 and Definition 3.9, $|P| \leq |G|^{\frac{(n+1)|C|}{2}} \cdot |C|$. By Remark 3.6, $|u| \leq (n+1) \cdot |G|^{\frac{(n+1)|C|}{2}} \cdot |C|$. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let π be the fundamental group of M . M may represent both the triangulated $(2k-1)$ -manifold M and the geometric realization $|M|$ of the simplicial set induced by the triangulation. By the simplicial-cellular approximation theorem [Cha16, Theorem 3.7] there is a chain map $\alpha_* : \mathbb{Z}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}BG$ induced by the given representation $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G$. Consider the composition of chain maps:

$$C_*(M) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Z}_*(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \mathbb{Z}BG_* \xrightarrow{p} C_*(BG)$$

As a cellular complex and a simplicial complex, the triangulation of M induces the cellular chain complex $C_*(M)$ and the Moore complex $\mathbb{Z}_*(M)$ both. Also there exists the inclusion chain map $i : C_*(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_*(M)$. By [ML95] there is a projection

$$p : \mathbb{Z}BG_* \longrightarrow C_*(BG) \cong \mathbb{Z}BG_*/D_*(BG),$$

where $D_*(X)$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}X_*$ generated by degenerate simplices of X . Note the inclusion and the projection are 1-controlled.

Now we find a desired $(2k)$ -chain $u \in C_*(BG)$. By Lemma 3.12, for an n -cycle $C = \sum_i \sigma_i^n \in \mathbb{Z}BG_n$, denote the desired $(n+1)$ -chain by $\Phi(C) \in BG_{n+1}$ such that $\partial \Phi(C) = N_{n,G,C}(C - \sum_i \text{sign}(\sigma_i^n) [e, e, \dots, e])$.

Define $u := p(\Phi(i([M]))) \in C_{2k}(BG)$. Then, by Lemma 3.12 and the construction, we obtain a desired u such that $\partial u = N_{n,G,M} \cdot p(\alpha_*(i([M])))$ and $|u| \leq N_{n,G,M} \cdot \Delta(M)$, where $N_{n,G,M} = 2k \cdot |G|^{\frac{2k \cdot \Delta(M)}{2}}$.

Consider $N_{n,G,M}$ -copies $\coprod M$ of M and $\coprod M \times [0, 1]$. Recall $\partial u = N_{n,G,M} \cdot p(\alpha_*(i([M])))$. As the reduction process of [Cha16], we may add 1-handles between algebraically corresponding two $(2k-1)$ -simplices in ∂u and $\coprod M \times \{1\}$ in order to obtain a cobordism W_{2k-1} over G between the top boundary $\coprod M \times \{0\} \rightarrow BG^{(2k-1)}$ and the bottom boundary $N_{2k-2} \rightarrow BG^{(2k-2)}$, where $BG^{(i)}$ is the set of i -skeletons of the geometric realization of BG for $i = 1, 2, \dots, (2k-1)$ and N_{2k-2} is a $(2k-1)$ -manifold over G . The Cartesian product with $[0, 1]$ and the reduction process linearly contribute a multiplicative constant which depends on dimension for the complexity of W_{2k-1} .

As the geometric construction [CL], the existence of u allows us to backward-inductively construct a cobordism W_i over G such that the top boundary is $N_i \rightarrow BG^{(i)}$ and the bottom boundary $N_{i-1} \rightarrow BG^{(i-1)}$ where N_i is a $(2k-1)$ -manifold $i = 2k-2, 2k-3, \dots, 2, 1$. Furthermore each reduction process linearly contribute a multiplicative constant which depends on dimension for the complexity of $W_i, \forall i$.

Gluing cobordisms $W_i, i = 2k-2, 2k-3, \dots, 2, 1$, we obtain a cobordism W over G between the top boundary $\coprod M \rightarrow BG^{(2k-1)}$ and the bottom boundary $N_0 \rightarrow BG^{(0)}$. Since BG is connected, $N_0 \rightarrow BG^{(0)}$ is homotopic to a constant map. By altering the map $W \rightarrow BG$ on a collar neighborhood of N_0 using the homotopy, we obtain a desired bordism W over G between the given $\coprod M$ and a trivial end N_0 . Since gluing manifolds adds the complexities for cobordisms, we obtain $\Delta W \leq C_{2k-1} \cdot N_{n,G,M} \cdot \Delta(M)$ for some constant $C_{2k-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ which depends on only dimension $2k-1$.

Since $\Delta(\tilde{W}) \leq |G| \cdot C_{2k-1} \cdot N_{n,G,M} \cdot \Delta(M)$, we obtain below by Definition 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho_g(M)| &= \left| \frac{1}{N_{n,G,M}} \text{sign}_G(g, \tilde{W}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N_{n,G,M}} \text{rank } H_{2k}(\tilde{W}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N_{n,G,M}} \frac{\binom{2k+1}{k+1}}{k+1} \cdot |G| \cdot C_{2k-1} \cdot N_{n,G,M} \cdot \Delta(M) \\ &= \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{k+1} \cdot |G| \cdot C_{2k-1} \cdot \Delta(M) \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Quantitative relative hyperbolization

In this section, we quantitatively study relative hyperbolization [DJW01] and give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Following and geometrizing an idea of [Cha16] and [Lim22], the main theorem follows directly from the Cheeger-Gromov Atiyah-Patodi-Singer theorem (or alternatively from the topological definition of ρ -invariant given in [CW03]) and the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Given a PL n -manifold M , there is a cobordism W from M to M , and a quotient group Γ of $\pi_1(W)$, so that (1) One component of M has π_1 mapping trivially to Γ , (2) the other component is mapped injectively, and (3) the number of simplices in W is linearly bounded by the number of simplices in M .*

$\rho_\Gamma^{(2)}(M)$ is then the difference of the L^2 -signature of the Γ -cover of W and its ordinary signature as Definition 2.3. Since signatures are clearly bounded by the number of simplices in W , so is $\rho_\Gamma^{(2)}(M)$.

Our approach to a cobordism W is based on relative hyperbolization of Davis, Januszkiewicz, and Weinberger [DJW01]. The idea to construct W is to replace each simplex in the barycentric subdivision of a cone CM by a π_1 -injective hyperbolized “simplex” and then to remove a singularity at the cone point.

We first recall basic definitions and the Williams construction [Wil63] which is required to construct a hyperbolized n -simplex [DJW01]. Denote Δ^n the standard n -simplex.

Definition 4.2. Let X be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is a continuous map. Then, the pair (X, f) is called a *space over n -simplex*.

Definition 4.3. If L is an abstract simplicial complex and $g : X \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is a nondegenerate simplicial map, then the pair (L, g) is called a *simplicial complex over Δ^n* . Let both pairs (L_1, g_1) and (L_2, g_2) be simplicial complexes over Δ^n . We call a nondegenerate simplicial map $g : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ a *map over Δ^n* , provided that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & L_2 \\ & \searrow g_1 & \swarrow g_2 \\ & \Delta^n & \end{array}$$

We denote the category of simplicial complexes over Δ^n and maps over Δ^n by $\mathcal{K}(\Delta^n)$.

Remark 4.4. The barycentric subdivision can be understood as a functor from the category of simplicial complexes and nondegenerate simplicial maps to $\mathcal{K}(\Delta^n)$. For an arbitrary abstract simplicial complex over Δ^n of dimension n , one can define a degree map $d : L \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which assigns to each simplex its dimension. Since the poset of nonempty subsets of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ recognizes Δ^n , the pair (L', d) naturally becomes a simplicial complex over Δ^n where L' is the barycentric subdivision of L . Furthermore, for a nondegenerate simplicial map $g : K \rightarrow L$ of n -dimensional complexes, $g' : K' \rightarrow L'$ is a map over Δ^n .

Now we are ready to define the Williams construction. Roughly speaking this process is to replace each simplex in a simplicial complex L with a special “simplex” X .

Definition 4.5. (Williams construction) Let (X, f) be a space over Δ^n and (L, g) be a simplicial complex over Δ^n . We define $X \widetilde{\Delta} L$ as the fiber product of X and $|L|$ over Δ^n where $|L|$ is the geometric realization of L . To be specific, $X \widetilde{\Delta} L$ is the subspace of $X \times |L|$ that we collect all pairs (x, y) such that $f(x) = g(y)$. We use f_L and p to denote natural projections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \widetilde{\Delta} L & \xrightarrow{f_L} & |L| \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & \Delta^n \end{array}$$

If L is any n -dimensional simplicial complex, then define $X \Delta L := X \widetilde{\Delta} L'$ where L' is the barycentric subdivision of L which is a simplicial complex over Δ^n in Remark 4.4.

Remark 4.6. It is known that $X \widetilde{\Delta} - : \mathcal{K}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow Top$ is a functor from the category of simplicial complexes over Δ^n to the category of topological spaces [DJ91]. By Remark 4.4, $X \Delta - : \mathcal{K}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow Top$ is also functorial.

We define a hyperbolized “simplex” (X, f) with which we will replace each simplex in a simplicial complex L in order to hyperbolize L .

Definition 4.7. (Hyperbolized n -simplex) Let (X, f) be a space over Δ^n where X is a compact n -dimensional PL manifold with boundary and $f : X \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is piecewise linear such that the boundary operation is preserved under f^{-1} . That is, $\partial(X_\alpha) = X_{\partial\alpha}$ where α is any k -dimensional face of Δ^n and X_α is $f^{-1}(\alpha)$ which is a k -dimensional PL submanifold of ∂X . If X is a geodesic space of curvature ≤ 0 and the subspace X_J is totally geodesic for any connected subcomplex J of Δ^n , then (X, f) is called a *hyperbolized n -simplex*.

Remark 4.8. We use Cartesian product with an interval to inductively construct hyperbolized n -simplices (X^n, f) in every dimension n . (There are several other ways. For details, refer readers to [DJW01, Section 4].) Take X^1 to be the Δ^1 together with the canonical map $f : X^1 \rightarrow \Delta^1$. We inductively define $X^{n+1} := Y^n \times [0, 1]$ where Y^n is a hyperbolized n -sphere defined by $X^n \triangle (\partial \Delta^{n+1})$, applying the Williams construction. We need to define the map $f : X^{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta^{n+1}$. The restriction of f to the boundary is defined to be two copies of the natural projection $Y^n \rightarrow \partial \Delta^{n+1}$. Since Δ^{n+1} is contractible, the restriction is arbitrarily extended to $X^{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta^{n+1}$. Then (X^{n+1}, f) is hyperbolized simplices in every dimension by construction. This construction explicitly gives the number of n -simplices of X^n which is given by $z(n) := n!(n-1)!^2(n-2)!^2 \cdots (3!)^2 2!$.

By replacing each simplex in a simplicial complex by a hyperbolized “simplex”, we obtain a hyperbolization. In this paper we use a specific hyperbolized “simplex” in Remark 4.8.

Definition 4.9. (Hyperbolization) Let K be an n -dimensional simplicial complex and (X^n, f) is a hyperbolized n -simplex which is constructed in Remark 4.8. Then $X^n \triangle K$ is called a *hyperbolization of K* and denoted by $H(K)$.

We introduce the concept of relative hyperbolization. The picture is to replace each simplex in the barycentric subdivision of a cone with the hyperbolized simplex in Remark 4.8 and then to remove the singularity at the cone point.

Definition 4.10. (Relative hyperbolization) Let K be a simplicial complex and $L = \cup L_i$ be a subcomplex of K where $\{L_i\}$ be the set of path components of L . We use $K \cup CL$ to denote the simplicial complex obtained by attaching cones to K on each L_i . We denote the cone point corresponding to L_i by l_i and the link of l_i in $H(K \cup CL)$ by lk_i . Notice that there is a subdivision of L_i which recognizes lk_i . We define *relative hyperbolization of K with respect to L* as the space $J(K, L)$ obtained by removing a small open conical neighborhood of each l_i from $H(K \cup CL)$. Since the boundary of such a neighborhood is $lk_i (= l_i)$, there exists a subspace of $J(K, L)$ recognizing L .

We now show Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We take K to be a PL manifold M . Let W denote the relative hyperbolization $J(M \times [0, 1], M \times \{0\} \amalg M \times \{1\})$ of $M \times [0, 1]$ with respect to $M \times \{0\} \amalg M \times \{1\}$. Then W is a PL manifold with boundary of two copies of M by construction. Let Γ be $\pi_1(W \cup C(M \times \{1\}))$. In order to show $M \times \{i\} \subset W$, $i = 1, 2$ is π_1 -injective, it is enough to prove that replacing simplices of dimension at least two gives a π_1 -injective map $\partial \Delta^2 \subset X^2$. As Remark 4.8, we choose a hyperbolized 2-simplex X^2 as an abstract cylinder $X^2 = Y^1 \times [0, 1] = X^1 \triangle (\partial \Delta^2) \times [0, 1] = \Delta^1 \tilde{\Delta} (\partial \Delta^2)' \times [0, 1] \cong S^1 \times [0, 1]$. That is, $\partial \Delta^2 \subset X^2$ is π_1 -injective. Since replacing a $(n+1)$ -simplex by the hyperbolized $(n+1)$ -simplex X^{n+1} causes replacing each codimension 1-face by the hyperbolized n -simplex X^n , $M \subset W$ is π_1 -injective by Seifert–Van Kampen theorem. Furthermore, the link type of the singularity does not change.

$H(SM)$ has two singularities, which we remove the open star of, producing the cobordism W . Since the link of the singular point is M , we obtain the cobordism of M to itself. The copy of $M \times \{1\}$ which maps trivially to Γ by construction is the copy that was the link of the singularity. We then obtain a




FIGURE 1. The hyperbolization process is to fiber-wisely replace an abstract simplex in a barycentric subdivision of a simplicial complex by a hyperbolized simplex. This picture describes $H(\sigma) = X^2 \Delta \sigma = X^2 \tilde{\Delta} \sigma'$ where σ is an abstract 2-simplex. The hyperbolic 2-simplex $X^2(= \partial \Delta^2 \times [0, 1])$ has an orange color and the barycentric subdivision of a 2-simplex σ has a gray color. One can see $\partial \sigma$ is non-trivial after hyperbolization.

cobordism W between two copies of M , together with a commute diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_1(M \times \{0\}) & & & & \\
 \swarrow i_{0*} & \searrow i_{W*} \circ i_{0*} & & & \\
 & \pi_1(W) & \xrightarrow{i_{W*}} & \Gamma = \pi_1(W \cup C(M \times \{1\})) & \\
 \nwarrow i_{1*} & & & & \\
 \pi_1(M \times \{1\}) & & \xrightarrow{\times 0} & &
 \end{array}$$

where i_{0*} , i_{1*} , and i_{W*} are induced maps from $M \times \{0, 1\} \subset W \subset H(M \times [0, 1]) \cup C(M \times \{1\})$. \square

We end this section, giving the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 4.1, $\partial W = M \times \{0\} \amalg M \times \{1\}$ over Γ . Then, the L^2 signature defect of W is $\rho_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(M \times \{0\}) - \rho_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(M \times \{1\})$. Since $M \times \{1\}$ is π -trivially over Γ , $\rho_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(M \times \{1\}) = 0$. Since signatures of W are bounded by the rank of its middle dimension homology, $\rho_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(M) = \rho_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(M \times \{0\})$ is bounded by two times the number of the middle dimension simplices of W . One can obtain W as a subcomplex of $H(SM)$ since $(SM - \text{two neighborhoods of cone points})$ is homeomorphic to $M \times I$. Then, the number of $4k$ -simplices of $H(SM)$ is $2 \cdot z(4k) \cdot (4k+1)! \cdot \Delta(M)$, where $\Delta(M)$ is the number of $(4k-1)$ -simplex of M and $z(x)$ is the function introduced in Remark 4.8. By bounding the number of $2k$ -simplices of W , we obtain $|\rho_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(M)| \leq \frac{2}{2k+1} \cdot \binom{4k+1}{2k+1} \cdot 2 \cdot z(4k) \cdot (4k+1)! \cdot \Delta(M)$. \square

We end this section, noting that we do not succeed in proving *universal* linear bounds on Cheeger-Gromov ρ -invariants which do *not* depend on the given representation. This will be done in a future paper of [CL] by Cha and the first author of this paper.

5. Complexity and homotopy structures of homotopy lens spaces

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4, and Theorem 1.5.

As applications, our new bounds for ρ -invariants of high-dimensional manifolds are useful in studying the complexity theory of manifolds, specially for both lens spaces and homotopy lens spaces. The complexity of 3-manifolds is defined to be the smallest number of 3-simplices to recognize the 3-manifold as a quotient space of the simplices. Although the complexity is defined naturally and clearly, it is not easy to compute. For example it is well-known that there are only finitely many 3-manifolds whose complexity is less than or equal to a given natural number. However, classifying 3-manifolds with respect to the complexity remains as a difficult problem. The complexity of 3-manifolds is extensively studied by Matveev [Mat90]. Lackenby and Purcel [LP19] showed that the complexity relates to many other key topological and geometric quantities from the geometry of the mapping class group and Teichmüller space. For the complexity of 4-manifolds, Costantino [Cos06] introduces the 4-dimensional analogue of Matveev's complexity of 3-manifolds, using Turaev shadows. As low-dimensional difficulty, the study of complexity of high-dimensional manifolds is still mysterious and there are few examples, given the high-dimensional sphere recognition. Our main results on high-dimensional manifolds give new application in the complexity theory of high-dimensional lens spaces and homotopy lens spaces.

The first application is to confirm the high-dimensional analogue of the conjecture by Matveev [Mat90] and Jaco, Rubinstein, and Tillmann [JRT09] for specific high-dimensional lens spaces, up to a bounded multiplicative error which depends on only its dimension. Independently [Mat90] and [JRT09] conjectured the complexity of $L^3(N; 1)$ is $N - 3$. In [JRT09], they showed the upper bound and prove the case of even N . But finding a lower bound had remained as a difficult open problem. [Cha16] provided a lower bound for $L^3(N; 1)$ by using the linear upper bounds for ρ -invariants. [LP19] showed them for general $L^3(p; q)$ in terms of continued fractions by using the geometry of the mapping class group, up to a multiplicative error which depends on only its dimension. Theorem 1.3 extends the conjecture for high-dimensional lens spaces and confirm the analogue of this conjecture for general $L^{2d-1}(N; 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ as new examples of the complexity theory of high-dimensional lens spaces.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.3: the number of simplices of the standard lens space $L_N(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ of dimension $2d - 1$ (with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}_N) grows (in N) like N^{d-1} , i.e. the number of simplices is bounded above and below by dimensional constants times N^{d-1} .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For the upper bound, we use an inductive construction. Any \mathbb{Z}_N -quotient of S^1 is S^1 . One can obtain $L^{2(d+1)-1}(N; 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ as a \mathbb{Z}_N -quotient of the join of the universal cover of $L^{2d-1}(N; 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and a N -gon. Thus, we can inductively obtain the upper bound.

For the lower bound, we first consider the case that d is even. Atiyah and Bott [AB68, Theorem 6.27] computed their ρ -invariant for general lens spaces in terms of G -signatures. For the regular representation α of $\pi_1(L^{2d-1}(N; 1, 1, \dots, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}_N$, the theorem gives the following:

$$\rho_\alpha(L^{2d-1}(N; 1, 1, \dots, 1)) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \cot^d\left(\frac{\pi k}{N}\right).$$

For $N \geq 4$, $(\frac{1}{\pi}N)^d < \rho_\alpha(L^{2d-1}(N; 1, 1, \dots, 1))$. Combining it with Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$C \cdot N^{d-1} < \Delta(M)$$

where C is a constant that depends on the dimension $2d - 1$.

For a given odd d , we obtain the lower bound by the above construction of $L^{2(d+1)-1}(N; 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ as a \mathbb{Z}_N -quotient of the join of the universal cover of $L^{2d-1}(N; 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and a N -gon. \square

Another application of our bounds for the Atiyah-Singer ρ -invariants is to claim that the number of simplices needed for an element of the homotopy structures of a lens space [Wal99], is approximately the same as its distance from the origin in that set, thought of as an abelian group. This is based on the connection between ρ -invariants and surgery, with a trick from [Wei82] being used to construct that many homotopy lens spaces with V simplices, and the inequality in this paper to give an the bound on the ρ -invariants of such manifolds.

A consequence of surgery theory and the h-cobordism theorem is that the h-cobordism classes of manifolds homotopy equivalent to M and the manifolds simple homotopy equivalent to M are essentially the same, up to 2 *torsion phenomena* which are finite whenever the Whitehead group of the fundamental group is finitely generated, and in particular, for finite fundamental group.

The manifolds simple homotopy equivalent to a lens spaces, are determined by the ρ_g invariants for $g \neq e$ (note that ρ_g and $\rho_{g^{-1}}$ are essentially equivalent). For g an element of order 2, there is such an invariant in dimensions 3 mod 4, but not 1 mod 4. (In 1 mod 4, the bounding manifold will have a skew symmetric invariant inner product, but there are no interesting invariants of these for automorphisms of order 2.)

As a result, one has for N odd, $[(N-1)/2]$ invariants of these manifolds. For N even, there are $[(N-1)/2]$ such invariants in all odd dimensions and 1 extra in dimension 3 mod 4. This can be summarized as $[(N-1)/2] + \delta(N, d)$ where $\delta(N, d) = 0$ unless N is even and d is 3 mod 4, in which case it is 1. For $N = 2k + 1$, this is k and for $N = 2k$, this is $k - 1 + \delta$.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.4: the number of h-cobordism classes of manifolds simple homotopy equivalent to a lens space L_N^d with at most V simplices is bounded between two constant multiples (depending on d) $\times V^{(N-1)/2 + \delta(N, d)}$ where $\delta(N, d) = 0$ unless N is even and d is 3 mod 4, and in that case it is 1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We use the Wall realization $L_{2d}(\mathbb{Z}/N) \rightarrow S(M)$ to obtain a $\mathbb{Z}^{(N-1)/2}$ number of such manifolds, detected by the ρ_G invariant. This L -group is finitely generated. Pick a codimension one submanifold X of M so that $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is an isomorphism. Then one can lift the map $L_{2d}(\mathbb{Z}/N) \rightarrow S(M)$ through $L_{2d}(\mathbb{Z}/N) \rightarrow S(X \times [0, 1] \text{ rel } \partial) \rightarrow S(M)$. One cuts along X and glues in between the two sides the Wall realization in $X \times [0, 1] \text{ rel } \partial$ [Wei82]. The constant for the lower bound is the reciprocal of the largest number of simplices in any of the Wall realizations for any of the generators (with a negative additive constant for the number of simplices in a triangulation of M in which N is a subcomplex).

(If $d = 3$, then the submanifold X has dimension 4. In that case one might have to connect sum some $S^2 \times S^2$ to achieve the Wall realization. (See [CS71].)

For the upper bound, Theorem 1.1 plays a key role. As the above discussion, we have $[(N-1)/2] + \delta(N, d)$ invariants where $\delta(N, d) = 0$ unless N is even and d is 3 mod 4, in which case it is 1. Since we showed the upper bound of ρ -invariant ρ_g linearly depends on the complexity of the given homotopy lens space in Theorem 1.1, the number of h-cobordism classes of manifolds homotopy equivalent to a lens space L_N^{2d-1} with V simplices is bounded above by $V^{(N-1)/2 + \delta(N, d)}$ (in V) with a multiplicative coefficient which depends on only dimension. \square

The difference between homotopy equivalence and simple homotopy equivalence is governed by the Whitehead group. $Wh(G)$ for G is finite is detected (up to a finite group) by taking the determinants of real representations. However, when a sum of representations is rational, then the determinant will be root of unity. In other words, this abelian group has rank = #irreducible real representations of - #rational representations. The rational representations are in a 1 - 1 correspondence with the divisors of N , the number of which, we call $d(N)$. The for $N = 2k + 1$, this is $k + 1 - d(N)$ and for $N = 2k$ this is $k + 1 - d(N)$.

Combining these, the number of invariants we have for homotopy lens spaces, for $N = 2k + 1$, is thus $k + k + 1 - d(N) = N - d(N)$. For $N = 2k$, we obtain $k - 1 + \delta + k + 1 - d(N) = N - d(N) + \delta$.

Now we show Theorem 1.5: the number of homotopy lens spaces with bounded geometry with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}/N in dimension d and volume V can be bounded above and below by constants times $V^{N-d(N)+\delta(N,d)}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. There are only finitely many homotopy types of lens spaces, so we are not harmed by lumping them all together. The upper bounds follow from our bounds on ρ and torsions. The lower bounds follow from two analogous constructions.

For realizing the torsions, we note that in the above notation, the subgroup of the Whitehead group $2Wh(\mathbb{Z}/N)$ (which is a free abelian group of the same rank as $Wh(\mathbb{Z}/N)$) is realized by h-cobordisms of N to itself. As before *cutting and pasting* along the boundary of a regular neighborhood of the 2-skeleton give a construction of the right number of simple homotopy types.

To get the estimate on torsion we note:

Proposition 5.1. *The combinatorial torsion of a simplicial complex of bounded geometry is S simplices is $\exp(O(S))$.*

This follows from the definition of the combinatorial torsion as:

$$\text{Exp}(\Sigma(-l)^{q+1} \cdot q \cdot \log(\det(\Delta_q)))$$

The logarithm of this gives an additive injection (as one varies over irreducible flat bundles) of $Wh(\mathbb{Z}/N)$ into $\mathbb{R}^{[N/2]}$ (whose image is a lattice). Since the complex has bounded geometry the determinants of the relevant Laplacians trivially grow like $\exp(S)$ giving the result.

The constructions do not interfere with each other, so we obtain the full number of manifolds desired. \square

6. Density of Cheeger-Gromov L^2 ρ -invariants over structure sets

We end this paper, proving Theorem 1.6. It is essentially independent of the rest of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. The proof is a variant of the theorem of [CW03] and uses the surgery exact sequence and some related maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & L_0(G) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ H_0(M; \mathbf{L}) & \longrightarrow & L_0(\pi) & \longrightarrow & S(M) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

The map $L_0(\pi)$ to \mathbb{R} assigns to a symmetric quadratic form over \mathbb{Z}_π the difference between its L^2 signature and its ordinary signature. The composite with the map $H_0(M; \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow L_0(\pi)$ is trivial by Atiyah's theorem. The map $L_0(\pi) \rightarrow S(M)$ is the Wall realization, and the commutativity of the square is simply the fact that $(\rho^{(2)}(M') - \rho^{(2)}(M)) - (\rho(M') - \rho(M))$ is the same as difference of signatures arising in the normal cobordism between M' and M . Now if G is finite group, Wall [Wal99] showed that the transfer map $L_0(G) \rightarrow L_0(e)$ is surjective. Since the composite with the inclusion $L_0(e) \rightarrow L_0(G) \rightarrow L_0(e)$ is multiplication by $|G|$, we can find an element which has signature 0, and whose G -fold cover has signature 8. This element has gives realization of the element $8/|G|$ and all its multiples. As, by hypothesis, $\pi_1(M)$ has subgroups of arbitrarily large order, this image is dense.

For each G the image of $L_0(G) \rightarrow L_0(\pi) \rightarrow S(M)$ is a subgroup, and as $|G|$ increases this set of subgroups is evidently not stabilizing (as their images in \mathbb{R} are not), so $S(M)$ cannot be a finitely generated group. \square

Remark 6.1. This theorem has an analogue for spaces of metrics of positive scalar curvature.

References

- [ALMP12] Pierre Albin, Éric Leichtnam, Rafe Mazzeo, and Paolo Piazza, *The signature package on Witt spaces*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **45** (2012), no. 2, 241–310. MR 2977620
- [AB68] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. II. Applications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 451–491. MR 232406
- [AS68] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. III*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 546–604. MR 236952
- [APS76] Michael F. Atiyah, Vijay K. Patodi, and Isadore M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), no. 1, 71–99. MR 397799
- [BDH80] Gilbert Baumslag, Eldon Dyer, and Alex Heller, *The topology of discrete groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **16** (1980), no. 1, 1–47. MR 549702
- [BL67] W. Browder and G. R. Livesay, *Fixed point free involutions on homotopy spheres*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 242–245. MR 206965
- [CS71] Sylvain E. Cappell and Julius L. Shaneson, *On four dimensional surgery and applications*, Comment. Math. Helv. **46** (1971), 500–528. MR 301750
- [Cha16] Jae Choon Cha, *A topological approach to Cheeger-Gromov universal bounds for von Neumann ρ -invariants*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), no. 6, 1154–1209. MR 3493628
- [CL] Jae Choon Cha and Geunho Lim, *Linearity of universal bounds for cheeger-gromov rho-invariants for (4k-1)-manifolds*, in preparation.
- [CDMW18] Gregory R. Chambers, Dominic Dotterer, Fedor Manin, and Shmuel Weinberger, *Quantitative null-cobordism*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (2018), no. 4, 1165–1203, With an appendix by Manin and Weinberger. MR 3836564
- [CW03] Stanley Chang and Shmuel Weinberger, *On invariants of Hirzebruch and Cheeger-Gromov*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 311–319. MR 1988288
- [CW21] ———, *A course on surgery theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 211, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2021. MR 4207574
- [CG85a] Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov, *Bounds on the von Neumann dimension of L^2 -cohomology and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for open manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **21** (1985), no. 1, 1–34. MR 806699
- [CG85b] ———, *On the characteristic numbers of complete manifolds of bounded curvature and finite volume*, H.E. Rauch Memorial Volume : Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis, vol. 1, I. Chavel and H. M. Farkas Eds., Springer, Berlin-New York, 1985. MR 780040
- [CMS84] Jeff Cheeger, Werner Müller, and Robert Schrader, *On the curvature of piecewise flat spaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **92** (1984), no. 3, 405–454. MR 734226
- [COT03] Tim D. Cochran, Kent E. Orr, and Peter Teichner, *Knot concordance, Whitney towers and L^2 -signatures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 2, 433–519. MR 1973052
- [Cos06] Francesco Costantino, *Complexity of 4-manifolds*, Experiment. Math. **15** (2006), no. 2, 237–249. MR 2253547
- [DJ91] Michael W. Davis and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz, *Hyperbolization of polyhedra*, J. Differential Geom. **34** (1991), no. 2, 347–388. MR 1131435
- [DJW01] Michael W. Davis, Tadeusz Januszkiewicz, and Shmuel Weinberger, *Relative hyperbolization and aspherical bordisms: An addendum to: “Hyperbolization of polyhedra”*, J. Differ. Geom. **58** (2001), no. 3, 535–541. MR 1906785
- [Gro82] Michael Gromov, *Volume and bounded cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1982), no. 56, 5–99 (1983). MR 686042
- [Hau81] Jean-Claude Hausmann, *On the homotopy of nonnilpotent spaces.*, Math. Z. **178** (1981), no. 1, 115–123.
- [Hir68] F. Hirzebruch, *Involutions auf Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Proc. Conf. on Transformation Groups (New Orleans, La., 1967), Springer, New York, 1968, pp. 148–166. MR 0250339
- [JRT09] William Jaco, J. Hyam Rubinstein, and Stephan Tillmann, *Minimal triangulations for an infinite family of lens spaces*, J. Topol. **2** (2009), no. 1, 157–180. MR 2499441
- [LP19] Marc Lackenby and Jessica S. Purcell, *The triangulation complexity of fibred 3-manifolds*, 2019, arXiv:1910.10914.

- [LP01] Eric Leichtnam and Paolo Piazza, *On higher eta-invariants and metrics of positive scalar curvature*, *K-Theory* **24** (2001), no. 4, 341–359. MR 1885126
- [Lim22] Geunho Lim, *Enhanced bounds for rho-invariants for both general and spherical 3-manifolds*, to appear in *J. Topol. Anal.* (2022), 1–45, arXiv:2103.12889 (2021).
- [ML95] Saunders Mac Lane, *Homology*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, Reprint of the 1975 edition. MR 1344215
- [MW] Fedor Manin and Shmuel Weinberger, *Quantitative PL bordism*, in preparation.
- [Mat90] Sergei V. Matveev, *Complexity theory of three-dimensional manifolds*, *Acta Appl. Math.* **19** (1990), no. 2, 101–130. MR 1074221
- [May92] J. Peter May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1992, Reprint of the 1967 original. MR 1206474
- [Moo58] John C. Moore, *Semi-simplicial complexes and Postnikov systems*, Symposium internacional de topología algebraica International symposium on algebraic topology, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, Mexico City, 1958, pp. 232–247. MR 0111027
- [PS14] Paolo Piazza and Thomas Schick, *Rho-classes, index theory and Stolz’ positive scalar curvature sequence*, *J. Topol.* **7** (2014), no. 4, 965–1004. MR 3286895
- [Ram93] Mohan Ramachandran, *Von Neumann index theorems for manifolds with boundary*, *J. Differ. Geom.* **38** (1993), no. 2, 315–349. MR 1237487
- [Sie83] Paul H. Siegel, *Witt spaces: A geometric cycle theory for ko-homology at odd primes*, *American Journal of Mathematics* **105** (1983), no. 5, 1067–1105. MR 0714770
- [Sou99] C. Soulé, *Perfect forms and the Vandiver conjecture*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **517** (1999), 209–221. MR 1728540
- [Wal99] C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, second ed., *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 69, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999, Edited and with a foreword by A. A. Ranicki. MR 1687388
- [Wei82] Shmuel Weinberger, *Homotopy equivalent manifolds by pasting*, *Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 2* (London, Ont., 1981), *CMS Conf. Proc.*, vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 423–433. MR 686157
- [WY15] Shmuel Weinberger and Guoliang Yu, *Finite part of operator K-theory for groups finitely embeddable into Hilbert space and the degree of nonrigidity of manifolds*, *Geom. Topol.* **19** (2015), no. 5, 2767–2799. MR 3416114
- [Wil63] R. F. Williams, *A useful functor and three famous examples in topology*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1963), 319–329. MR 146832

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, United States

E-mail address: limg@ucsb.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, IL, United States

E-mail address: shmuel@math.uchicago.edu