

AN IMPROVEMENT OF NON-INTEGRATED DEFECT RELATION FOR MEROMORPHIC MAPS FROM KÄHLER MANIFOLDS WITH ARBITRARY HYPERSURFACES

TRAN DUC NGOC AND SI DUC QUANG

ABSTRACT. Our purpose in this paper is to establish a non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic mappings from a complete Kähler manifold into a projective variety intersecting an arbitrary family of hypersurfaces with explicit truncation level. In our result, both the above bound of the total defect and the truncation level are estimated independently of the number of involving hypersurfaces. Our result generalizes and improves the previous results in this topic.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Throughout this paper, we call a hypersurface of degree q in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ a non-zero homogeneous polynomial

$$Q(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} a_I x^I, \quad a_I \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathcal{T}_d = \{(i_0, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}; i_0 + \dots + i_n = d\}$, $x^I = x_0^{i_0} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ for each $I = (i_0, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{T}_d$. The support of Q is defined by

$$Q^* = \{(x_0 : \dots : x_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}); Q(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0\}.$$

Let V be a k -dimension subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. A family of hypersurfaces $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$ in is said to be in N -subgeneral position with respect to V if

$$V \cap \bigcap_{j=0}^N Q_{i_j}^* \quad \forall 1 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_N \leq q.$$

If $N = k$ then that \mathcal{Q} is said to be in general position with respect to V .

Let M be a complete Kähler manifold of dimension m . Let $f : M \rightarrow V$ be a meromorphic mapping and Ω_f be the pull-back of the Fubini-Study form Ω on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ by f . For a positive integer μ_0 and a hypersurface Q of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ with $f(M) \not\subset Q^*$, we denote by $\nu_{Q(f)}$ the pull back by f of the divisor Q (i.e., $\nu_{Q(f)}(z)$ is the zero multiplicity at z of the holomorphic function $Q(f_0, \dots, f_n)$, where $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ is a reduced representation of f in a neighborhood of z).

In 1985, H. Fujimoto [5] defined the notion of non-integrated defect of f with respect to Q truncated to level μ_0 by

$$\delta_f^{[\mu_0]} := 1 - \inf\{\eta \geq 0 : \eta \text{ satisfies condition } (*)\}.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32H30, 32A22; Secondary 30D35.
Key words and phrases: Kähler manifold, non-integrated defect relation, distributive constant.

Here, the condition (*) means that there exists a bounded non-negative continuous function h on M whose order of each zero is not less than $\min\{\nu_{Q(f)}, \mu_0\}$ such that

$$d\eta\Omega_f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h^2 \geq [\min\{\nu_{Q(f)}, \mu_0\}].$$

With this definition, Fujimoto gave a result analogous to the defect relation in Nevanlinna theory as follows.

Theorem A (see [5, Theorem 1.1]). *Let M be an m -dimensional complete Kähler manifold and ω be a Kähler form of M . Assume that the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{C}^m . Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic map. Let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. Assume that there exist $\rho \geq 0$ and a bounded continuous function $h \geq 0$ on M such that $\rho\Omega_f + \text{dd}^c \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric } \omega$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f^{[n]}(H_i) \leq n + 1 + \rho n(n + 1).$$

The inequality in Theorem A is called the non-integrated defect relation with truncating multiplicity (to level n) of the meromorphic mapping f on Kähler manifold M with the family of hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_q and the quantity in its right hand side is called the total defect. In some recent years, based on the development of Nevanlinna theory, the non-integrated defect relation of meromorphic mappings with hypersurfaces have been studied very intensively. We state here some of them.

Firstly, consider the case where $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ is an algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic mapping and $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$ is a family of hypersurfaces with $Q_i^* \not\subset f(M)$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$). By using the filtration method of P. Corvaja and U. Zannier [2], some authors obtained the following results:

- M. Ru and S. Sogome [13]; for \mathcal{Q} is in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f^{[L-1]}(Q_i) \leq n + 1 + \epsilon + \frac{\rho L(L-1)}{d}$$

where $L = \left\lceil 2^{n^2+4n} e^n d^{2n} (nI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n \right\rceil$, $d = \text{lcm}(\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q)$ and $[x]$ (resp. $I(x)$) denotes the smallest integer not exceed (resp. exceed) the real number x .

- Q. Yan [15]; for \mathcal{Q} is in N -subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f^{[L-1]}(Q_i) \leq N(n+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho L(L-1)}{d},$$

where $L = \lceil (3eNdI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n (n+1)^{3n} \rceil$.

- S. D. Quang and N. T. Q. Phuong and N. T. Nhung [8]; for \mathcal{Q} is in N -subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^{[L-1]}(Q_j) \leq (N-n+1)(n+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho L(L-1)}{d},$$

where $L = \lceil e^{n+2} (d(N+n-1)(n+1)^2 I(\epsilon^{-1}))^n \rceil$.

In the above three results, the right hand side of the inequalities not depend on the number q of hypersurfaces. However, they can not be applied to the case of degenerate mappings, i.e., the mapping is into a projective variety of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

In another direction, by using the Nochka's weighs for hypersurfaces constructed by the first author and D. P. An in [7], D. D. Thai-S. D. Quang [14] and W. Chen-Q. Han [1] initially considered the case where the meromorphic mapping f from M into a k -dimension subvariety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and independently obtained:

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{H_V(d)-1}^f(Q_j) \leq \frac{(2N - k + 1)H_V(d)}{k + 1} + \frac{\rho(2N - k + 1)H_V(d)(H_V(d) - 1)}{(k + 1)d},$$

where \mathcal{Q} is in N -subgeneral position with respect to V , the map f is assumed to be nondegenerate over $I_d(V)$ (i.e., there is no hypersurface Q such that $f(M) \subset Q$ and $Q \not\subset V$) and $H_V(d)$ is the Hilbert function of V . In this result, the truncation level $H_V(d)$ is much smaller than that of the above three mentioned results. However, the first quantity $\frac{(2N-k+1)H_V(d)}{k+1}$ of the right hand side is much larger than as usual.

Recently, by using the below bound of Chow weights of J. Evertse and R. Ferretti [3, 4], S. D. Quang *et al.* [9, 10] considered the case of algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic mapping f from M into a k -dimension subvariety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and obtained the following:

- S. D. Quang and L. N. Quynh and N. T. Nhung [9]; for \mathcal{Q} is in N -subgeneral position with respect to V , $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^{[L-1]}(Q_j) \leq (N - k + 1)(k + 1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho \epsilon L(L - 1)}{d},$$

where $L = \left[d^{k^2+k} \deg(V)^{k+1} e^k p^k (2k + 4)^k l^k \epsilon^{-k} + 1 \right]$ and $l = (k + 1)(q!)$.

- S. D. Quang [10]; for \mathcal{Q} has distributive constant $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}$ with respect to V , $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^{[L-1]}(Q_j) \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k + 1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho \epsilon (L - 1)}{d^{k+1}(2k + 1)(k + 1)(q!) \deg(V)},$$

where $L = \left[d^{k^2+k} \deg(V)^{k+1} e^k \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}^k (2k + 4)^k l^k \epsilon^{-k} + 1 \right]$ and $l = (k+1)(q!)$, the distributive constant $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}$ of the family \mathcal{Q} with respect to V is defined by (cf. [10, Definition 3.3])

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} := \max_{\Gamma \subset \{1, \dots, q\}} \frac{\#\Gamma}{\dim V - \dim \left(V \cap \bigcap_{j \in \Gamma} Q_j^* \right)}$$

(note that $\dim \emptyset = -\infty$). For $V = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, we will write $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}}$ for $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})}$.

The above two results can be applied to the case of degenerate meromorphic mappings and also the quantity $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k + 1)$ is quite small. However, in the coefficient of ρ , there is the term $(q! \epsilon^{-1})^{k-1} > ((q - 1)!)^{k-1}$. Then if ρ is large enough, the right hand side of the defect relation always exceeds q and hence the defect relations are meaningless. This term comes from the appearance of the error term in the below bound estimate for Hilbert weights (the last term in the inequality of Theorem 2.1 when this theorem is applied).

Our purpose in this paper is to improve the last above mentioned result (which is the most general case) by reducing the above bound of the total defect (i.e, the quantity in

the right hand side) and making it not depend on q . In order to do so, we will apply the new below bound of Chow weight in [12] (see Lemma 2.4) and also give some new technique to control the error term occuring when the theorem on the estimate of Hilbert weights is applied. Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an m -dimensional complete Kähler manifold and ω be a Kähler form of M . Assume that the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{C}^m . Let f be an algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic map of M into a subvariety V of dimension k in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_q be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ with the distributive constant $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}$ with respect to V . Let $d = \text{lcm}\{\deg Q_1, \dots, \deg Q_q\}$. Assume that for some $\rho \geq 0$, there exists a bounded continuous function $h \geq 0$ on M such that*

$$\rho\Omega_f + \text{dd}^c \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric } \omega.$$

Then, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^{[L-1]}(Q_j) \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho(L-1)(k+1)}{ud},$$

where $u = \lceil \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(2k+1)(k+1)d^k \deg(V)(\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1)\epsilon^{-1} + 1) \rceil$ and $L = d^k \deg(V)^k \binom{k+u}{k}$, which satisfies $L \leq d^{k^2+k} \deg(V)^{k+1} e^k \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}^k (2k+5)^k (\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1)\epsilon^{-1} + 1)^k$.

Here, by $\lceil x \rceil$ we denote the smallest integer which does not less than the real number x . We see that the total defect obtained from Theorem 1.1 is bounded above by the quantity:

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1) + \epsilon + \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}^{k-1} \frac{\rho d^{k^2-1} \deg(V)^k e^k (2k+5)^k (\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1)\epsilon^{-1} + 1)^{k-1}}{2k+1}.$$

The map f is said to be ramified over a hypersurface Q_i with multiplicity at least m_i if either $f(M) \subset Q_i^*$ or $\nu_{Q_i(f)}(z) \geq m_i$ for all $z \in \text{Supp } \nu_{Q_i(f)}$. By choosing $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, from Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $M, \omega, V, \mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$ and d be as in Theorem 1.1. Let f be a meromorphic mapping from M into V . Assume that for some $\rho \geq 0$, there exists a bounded continuous function $h \geq 0$ on M such that $\rho\Omega_f + \text{dd}^c \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric } \omega$. If f is ramified over Q_i with multiplicity at least m_i such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{L-1}{m_i}\right) > \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\rho(L-1)(k+1)}{ud},$$

where $u = \lceil \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(2k+1)(k+1)d^k \deg(V)(2\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1) + 1) \rceil$ and $L = d^k \deg(V)^k \binom{k+u}{k}$, then f is algebraically degenerate.

2. BASIC NOTIONS AND AUXILIARY RESULTS FROM NEVANLINNA THEORY

A. Notation. We set $\|z\| = (|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2)^{1/2}$ for $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and define

$$\mathbb{B}^m(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \|z\| < r\}, \quad S(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \|z\| = r\} \quad (0 < r < \infty).$$

Define

$$v_{m-1}(z) := (\text{dd}^c \|z\|^2)^{m-1} \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma_m(z) := d^c \log \|z\|^2 \wedge (\text{dd}^c \log \|z\|^2)^{m-1} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\}.$$

For a divisor ν on a ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R)$ of \mathbb{C}^m , with $0 < r_0 < r < R$, define

$$N(r, r_0, \nu) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt \quad \text{where} \quad n(t) = \begin{cases} \int_{|\nu| \cap \mathbb{B}^m(t)} \nu(z) v_{m-1} & \text{if } m \geq 2, \\ \sum_{|z| \leq t} \nu(z) & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

For a positive integer M or $M = \infty$, we define $\nu^{[M]}(z) = \min \{M, \nu(z)\}$. Similarly, we define $n^{[M]}(t)$ and $N(r, r_0, \nu^{[M]})$ (denote it by $N^{[M]}(r, r_0, \nu)$).

For a meromorphic function φ on $\mathbb{B}^m(R)$, denote by ν_φ its divisor of zeros. Define

$$N_\varphi(r, r_0) = N(r, r_0, \nu_\varphi), \quad N_\varphi^{[M]}(r, r_0) = N^{[M]}(r, r_0, \nu_\varphi).$$

For brevity, we will omit the character $^{[M]}$ if $M = \infty$.

Let $f : \mathbb{B}^m(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping with a reduced representation $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$. Set $\|\tilde{f}\| = (|f_0|^2 + \dots + |f_n|^2)^{1/2}$. The characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T_f(r, r_0) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t^{2m-1}} \int_{\mathbb{B}^m(t)} \Omega_f \wedge v^{m-1}, \quad (0 < r_0 < r < R).$$

By Jensen's formula, we will have

$$T_f(r, r_0) = \int_{S(r)} \log \|\tilde{f}\| \sigma_m - \int_{S(r_0)} \log \|\tilde{f}\| \sigma_m + O(1), \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow R).$$

The proximity function of f with respect to a hypersurface Q of degree d , denoted by $m_f(r, r_0, Q)$, is defined by

$$m_f(r, r_0, Q) = \int_{S(r)} \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}\|^d}{|Q(\tilde{f})|} \sigma_m - \int_{S(r_0)} \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}\|^d}{|Q(\tilde{f})|} \sigma_m,$$

where $Q(\tilde{f}) = Q(f_0, \dots, f_n)$. This definition is independent of the choice of the reduced representation of f . Also, by Jensen's formula, we have

$$N(r, r_0, \nu_{Q(f)}) = \int_{S(r)} \log |Q(\tilde{f})| \sigma_m - \int_{S(r_0)} \log |Q(\tilde{f})| \sigma_m.$$

Then, the first main theorem in Nevanlinna theory for is stated as follows

$$dT_f(r, r_0) = m_f(r, r_0, Q) + N(r, r_0, \nu_{Q(f)}) + O(1), \quad (r_0 < r < R),$$

where $O(1)$ is independent of f . For simplicity we will write $N_{Q(f)}^{[M]}(r)$ for $N^{[M]}(r, r_0, \nu_{Q(f)})$.

If $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{T(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} = \infty$, then the Nevanlinna's defect of f with respect to the hypersurface Q truncated to level l is defined by

$$\delta_{f,*}^{[l]}(Q) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N_{Q(f)}^{[l]}(r)}{\deg Q T_f(r, r_0)}.$$

There is a fact that

$$0 \leq \delta_f^{[l]}(Q) \leq \delta_{f,*}^{[l]}(Q) \leq 1.$$

(See Proposition 2.1 in [13])

B. auxiliary results. Using the argument in ([5], Proposition 4.5), we have the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Let F_0, \dots, F_N be meromorphic functions on the ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ in \mathbb{C}^m such that $\{F_0, \dots, F_N\}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . Then there exists an admissible set*

$$\{\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{im})\}_{i=0}^N \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^m$$

with $|\alpha_i| = \sum_{j=1}^m |\alpha_{ij}| \leq i$ ($0 \leq i \leq N$) such that the following are satisfied:

- (i) $W_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N}(F_0, \dots, F_N) \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \det(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_i} F_j)_{0 \leq i, j \leq N} \neq 0$.
- (ii) $W_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N}(hF_0, \dots, hF_N) = h^{N+1} W_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N}(F_0, \dots, F_N)$ for any nonzero meromorphic function h on $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$.

The following proposition is due to M. Ru and S. Sogome [13].

Proposition 2.2 (see [13], Proposition 3.3). *Let L_0, \dots, L_N be linear forms of $N + 1$ variables and assume that they are linearly independent. Let F be a meromorphic mapping of the ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ with a reduced representation $\tilde{F} = (F_0, \dots, F_N)$ and let $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N)$ be an admissible set of F . Set $l = |\alpha_0| + \dots + |\alpha_N|$ and take t, p with $0 < tl < p < 1$. Then, for $0 < r_0 < R_0$, there exists a positive constant K such that for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$,*

$$\int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_N} \frac{W_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N}(F_0, \dots, F_N)}{L_0(\tilde{F}) \cdots L_N(\tilde{F})} \right|^t \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^q.$$

Here $z^{\alpha_i} = z_1^{\alpha_{i1}} \cdots z_m^{\alpha_{im}}$, where $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{im}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a projective variety of dimension k and degree δ . For $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ we write $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ for the monomial $x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$. Let $I = I_X$ be the prime ideal in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ defining X . Let $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_u$ denote the vector space of homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ of degree u (including 0). For $u = 1, 2, \dots$, put $I_u := \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_u \cap I$ and define the Hilbert function H_X of X by

$$H_X(u) := \dim(\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_u / I_u).$$

By the usual theory of Hilbert polynomials,

$$H_X(u) = \delta \cdot \frac{u^k}{k!} + O(u^{k-1}).$$

Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_n)$ be a tuple in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ and let $e_X(\mathbf{c})$ be the Chow weight of X with respect to \mathbf{c} . The u -th Hilbert weight $S_X(u, \mathbf{c})$ of X with respect to \mathbf{c} is defined by

$$S_X(u, \mathbf{c}) := \max \left(\sum_{i=1}^{H_X(u)} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{c} \right),$$

where the maximum is taken over all sets of monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}^1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}^{H_X(u)}}$ whose residue classes modulo I form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_u / I_u$.

The following theorem is due to J. Evertse and R. Ferretti [3].

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem 4.1 [3]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be an algebraic variety of dimension k and degree δ . Let $u > \delta$ be an integer and let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{uH_X(u)}S_X(u, \mathbf{c}) \geq \frac{1}{(k+1)\delta}e_X(\mathbf{c}) - \frac{(2k+1)\delta}{u} \cdot \left(\max_{i=0, \dots, n} c_i \right).$$

The following lemma is due to the first author [11].

Lemma 2.4 (see [11, Lemma 3.2]). *Let Y be a projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^R(\mathbb{C})$ of dimension $k \geq 1$ and degree δ_Y . Let l ($l \geq k+1$) be an integer and let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_R)$ be a tuple of non-negative reals. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_0, \dots, H_R\}$ be a set of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^R(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $H_i = \{y_i = 0\}$ ($0 \leq i \leq R$). Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ be a subset of $\{0, \dots, R\}$ such that:*

- (1) $c_{i_j} = \min\{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_l}\}$,
- (2) $Y \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{l-1} H_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$,
- (3) and $Y \not\subset H_{i_j}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, l$.

Let $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}, Y}$ be the distributive constant of the family $\mathcal{H} = \{H_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^l$ with respect to Y . Then

$$e_Y(\mathbf{c}) \geq \frac{\delta_Y}{\Delta_{\mathcal{H}, Y}}(c_{i_1} + \dots + c_{i_l}).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

By using the universal covering if necessary, we may assume that $M = \mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$. Replacing Q_i by Q_i^{d/d_j} ($j = 1, \dots, q$) if necessary, we may assume that all hypersurfaces Q_i ($1 \leq i \leq q$) are of the same degree d . Consider the mapping Φ from V into $\mathbb{P}^{q-1}(\mathbb{C})$, which maps a point $\mathbf{x} = (x_0 : \dots : x_n) \in V$ into the point $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{P}^{q-1}(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = (Q_1(x) : \dots : Q_q(x)),$$

where $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$. We set $\tilde{\Phi}(x) = (Q_1(x), \dots, Q_q(x))$ and fix a reduced representation $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ of f .

Let $Y = \Phi(V)$. Since $V \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^q Q_j = \emptyset$, Φ is a finite morphism on V and Y is a complex projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{q-1}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\dim Y = k$ and of degree $\delta := \deg(Y) \leq d^k \cdot \deg(V)$.

For every $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^q$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_q)$ we denote $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}} = y_1^{a_1} \dots y_q^{a_q}$. Let u be a positive integer. We set $\xi_u := \binom{q+u}{u}$ and define

$$Y_u := \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_q]_u / (I_Y)_u,$$

which is a \mathbb{C} -vector space of dimension $H_Y(u)$. Put $n_u = H_Y(u) - 1$ and let v_0, \dots, v_{n_u} be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_q]_u$, whose equivalent classes form a basis of Y_u . Now, consider the meromorphic mapping F from $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ into $\mathbb{P}^{n_u}(\mathbb{C})$ with the reduced representation given by

$$\tilde{F} = (v_0(\tilde{\Phi} \circ \tilde{f}), \dots, v_{n_u}(\tilde{\Phi} \circ \tilde{f})).$$

Since f is algebraically nondegenerate, F is linearly nondegenerate.

Then there exists an admissible set $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n_u}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^m)^{n_u+1}$ such that

$$W^\alpha(F_0, \dots, F_{n_u}) = \det(D^{\alpha_i}(v_s(\tilde{\Phi} \circ \tilde{f})))_{0 \leq i, s \leq n_u} \neq 0.$$

Fix a point $z \in \mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ such that $Q_i(\tilde{f}(z)) \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$. We define

$$\mathbf{c}_z = (c_{1,z}, \dots, c_{q,z}) \in \mathbb{Z}^q,$$

where

$$c_{i,z} := \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^d \|Q_i\|}{|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|} \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, q.$$

By the definition of the Hilbert weight, there are $\mathbf{a}_{0,z}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n_u,z} \in \mathbb{N}^q$ with

$$\mathbf{a}_{j,z} = (a_{j,1,z}, \dots, a_{j,q,z}),$$

where $a_{j,i,z} \in \{1, \dots, u\}$, such that the residue classes modulo $(I_Y)_u$ of $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}_{0,z}}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}_{n_u,z}}$ form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_q]_u / (I_Y)_u$ and

$$S_Y(u, \mathbf{c}_z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_u} \mathbf{a}_{j,z} \cdot \mathbf{c}_z.$$

We see that $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}_{j,z}} \in Y_u$ (modulo $(I_Y)_u$). Then we may write

$$\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}_{j,z}} = L_{j,z}(v_0, \dots, v_{n_u}),$$

where $L_{j,z}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n_u$) are independent linear forms. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{j=0}^{n_u} |L_{j,z}(\tilde{F}(z))| &= \log \prod_{j=0}^{n_u} \prod_{i=1}^q |Q_i(\tilde{f}(z))|^{a_{j,i,z}} \\ &= -S_Y(u, \mathbf{c}_z) + du(n_u + 1) \log \|\tilde{f}(z)\| + O(u(n_u + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(3.1) \quad S_Y(u, \mathbf{c}_z) = \log \prod_{j=0}^{n_u} \frac{1}{|L_{j,z}(\tilde{F}(z))|} + du(n_u + 1) \log \|\tilde{f}(z)\| + O(u(n_u + 1)).$$

From Theorem 2.3 we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{u(n_u + 1)} S_Y(u, \mathbf{c}_z) \geq \frac{1}{(k+1)\delta} e_Y(\mathbf{c}_z) - \frac{(2k+1)\delta}{u} \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} c_{i,z}$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(k+1)\delta} e_Y(\mathbf{c}_z) &\leq \frac{1}{u(n_u + 1)} \log \prod_{j=0}^{n_u} \frac{1}{|L_{j,z}(\tilde{F}(z))|} + d \log \|\tilde{f}(z)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{(2k+1)\delta}{u} \sum_{i=1}^q \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^d \|Q_i\|}{|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|} + O(1/u). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $c_{1,z} \geq c_{2,z} \geq \dots \geq c_{q,z}$ and denote by l the smallest index such that $V \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^l Q_j^* = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} e_Y(\mathbf{c}_z) &\geq \frac{\delta}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} (c_{1,z} + \dots + c_{l,z}) = \frac{\delta}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^q \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^d \|Q_i\|}{|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|} \right) \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^q \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^d \|Q_i\|}{|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|} \right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} \log \prod_{i=1}^q \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^d}{|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|} \leq \frac{k+1}{u(n_u+1)} \log \prod_{j=0}^{n_u} \frac{1}{|L_{j,z}(\tilde{F}(z))|} + d(k+1) \log \|\tilde{f}(z)\| \\ + \frac{(2k+1)(k+1)\delta}{u} \sum_{i=1}^q \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^d \|Q_i\|}{|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|} + O(1),$$

where the term $O(1)$ does not depend on z .

Set $m_0 = (2k+1)(k+1)\delta$ and $b = \frac{k+1}{u(n_u+1)}$. From (3.5), we get

$$(3.6) \quad \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^{\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}}dq-d(k+1)-\frac{dm_0q}{u}} |W^\alpha(\tilde{F}(z))|^b}{\prod_{i=1}^q |Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|^{\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}}-\frac{m_0}{u}}} \leq b \log \frac{|W^\alpha(\tilde{F}(z))|}{\prod_{j=0}^{n_u} |L_{j,z}(\tilde{F}(z))|} + O(1).$$

Here we note that $L_{j,z}$ depends on i and z , but the number of these linear forms is finite (at most ξ_u). We denote by \mathcal{L} the set of all $L_{j,z}$ occurring in the above inequalities.

Then, from (3.6) there exists a positive constant K_0 such that

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^{\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}}dq-d(k+1)-\frac{dm_0q}{u}} |W^\alpha(\tilde{F}(z))|^b}{\prod_{i=1}^q |Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|^{\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}}-\frac{m_0}{u}}} \leq K_0^b \cdot S_{\mathcal{J}}^b,$$

where $S_{\mathcal{J}} = \frac{|W^\alpha(\tilde{F}(z))|}{\prod_{L \in \mathcal{J}} |L(\tilde{F}(z))|}$ for some $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{L}$ with $\#\mathcal{J} = n_u + 1$ so that $\{L \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is linearly independent.

We now estimate the divisor $\nu_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}$. Fix a point $z \in \mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ outside the indeterminacy locus of f . Suppose that

$$\max\{0, \nu_{Q_1(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - n_u\} \geq \cdots \geq \max\{0, \nu_{Q_q(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - n_u\}$$

and let l be as above. We set $c_i = \max\{0, \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - n_u\}$ and

$$\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^q.$$

It is clear that $c_i = 0$ for all $i \geq l$. Then there are

$$\mathbf{a}_j = (a_{j,1}, \dots, a_{j,p}), a_{j,s} \in \{1, \dots, u\}, j = 0, \dots, n_u$$

such that $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}_0}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}_{n_u}}$ is a basis of Y_u and

$$S_Y(m, \mathbf{c}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_u} \mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{c}.$$

Similarly as above, we write $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}_j} = L_j(v_0, \dots, v_{n_u})$, where L_0, \dots, L_{n_u} are independent linear forms in variables y_i ($1 \leq i \leq q$). By the property of the general Wronskian, we have

$$W^\alpha(\tilde{F}) = cW^\alpha(L_0(\tilde{F}), \dots, L_{n_u}(\tilde{F})),$$

where c is a nonzero constant. This yields that

$$\nu_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}^0(z) = \nu_{W^\alpha(L_1(\tilde{F}), \dots, L_{H_Y(u)}(\tilde{F}))}^0(z) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n_u} \max\{0, \nu_{L_j(\tilde{F})}^0(z) - n_u\}$$

We also easily see that $\nu_{L_j(\tilde{F})}^0(z) = \sum_{i=1}^q a_{j,i} \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z)$, and hence

$$\max\{0, \nu_{L_j(\tilde{F})}^0(z) - n_u\} \geq \sum_{i=1}^q a_{j,i} c_i = \mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{c}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \nu_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}^0(z) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n_u} \mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{c} = S_Y(u, \mathbf{c}).$$

By Lemma 2.4 we have

$$e_Y(\mathbf{c}) \geq \frac{\delta}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} \sum_{i=1}^l c_i = \frac{\delta}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} \sum_{j=1}^q \max\{0, \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - n_u\}.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_Y(u, \mathbf{c}) &\geq \frac{u(n_u + 1)}{(k + 1)\delta} e_Y(\mathbf{c}) - (2k + 1)\delta(n_u + 1) \max_{1 \leq i \leq q} c_i \\ &\geq \frac{u(n_u + 1)}{(k + 1)\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} \sum_{i=1}^q \max\{0, \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - n_u\} \\ &\quad - (2k + 1)\delta(n_u + 1) \sum_{i=1}^q \max\{0, \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - n_u\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality and (3.8), we have

$$(3.9) \quad b\nu_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}^0(z) \geq \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} - \frac{m_0}{u} \right) \sum_{j=1}^q \max\{0, \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - n_u\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} - \frac{m_0}{u} \right) \sum_{i=1}^q \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - b\nu_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}^0(z) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} - \frac{m_0}{u} \right) \sum_{i=1}^q \min\{\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z), n_u\}.$$

Setting $x = \frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} - \frac{m_0}{u}$, from the above inequality we have

$$(3.10) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} - \frac{m_0}{u} \right) b\nu_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}^0(z) - x \left(\sum_{i=1}^q \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z) - \min\{\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0(z), n_u\} \right) \geq 0.$$

Assume that

$$\rho\Omega_f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric}\omega.$$

We now suppose that

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^{[n_u]}(Q_j) > \frac{k+1}{x} + \frac{\rho n_u(n_u+1)b}{d}.$$

Then, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, there exist constants $\eta_j > 0$ and continuous plurisubharmonic function \tilde{u}_j such that $e^{\tilde{u}_j} |\varphi_j| \leq \|\tilde{f}\|^{d\eta_j}$, where φ_j is a holomorphic function with $\nu_{\varphi_j} = \min\{\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^0, n_u\}$ and

$$q - \sum_{j=1}^q \eta_j > \frac{k+1}{x} + \frac{\rho n_u(n_u+1)b}{dx}.$$

Put $u_j = \tilde{u}_j + \log |\varphi_j|$, then u_j is a plurisubharmonic and

$$e^{u_j} \leq \|\tilde{f}\|^{d\eta_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Let

$$v(z) = \log \left| (z^{\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_{n_u}})^b \frac{|W^\alpha(\tilde{F}(z))|^b}{\prod_{i=1}^q |Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|^x} \right| + x \sum_{j=1}^q u_j(z).$$

Therefore, we have the following current inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{dd}^c[v] &\geq b[\nu_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}] - x \sum_{j=1}^q [\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}] + x \sum_{j=1}^q 2\text{dd}^c[u_j] \\ &\geq b[\nu_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}] - x \left(\sum_{j=1}^q [\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}] - \sum_{j=1}^q [\min\{n_u, \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}\}] \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that v is a plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$.

On the other hand, by the growth condition of f , there exists a continuous plurisubharmonic function $\omega \not\equiv \infty$ on $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ such that

$$e^\omega dV \leq \|\tilde{f}\|^{2\rho} v_m$$

Set

$$t = \frac{2\rho}{dx \left(q - \frac{k+1}{x} - \sum_{j=1}^q \eta_j \right)} > 0$$

and

$$\lambda(z) = \left| (z^{\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_{n_u}})^b \frac{|W^\alpha(\tilde{F}(z))|^b}{|Q_1(\tilde{f})(z) \cdots Q_q(\tilde{f})(z)|^x} \right|.$$

It is clear that $\frac{n_u(n_u+1)b}{2}t < 1$, and the function $\zeta = \omega + tv$ is plurisubharmonic on the Kähler manifold M . Choose a position number γ such that $0 < \frac{n_u(n_u+1)b}{2}t < \gamma < 1$.

Then, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} e^\zeta dV &= e^{\omega+tv} dV \leq e^{tv} \|\tilde{f}\|^{2\rho} v_m = |\lambda|^t \left(\prod_{j=1}^q e^{txu_j} \right) \|\tilde{f}\|^{2\rho} v_m \\ &\leq |\lambda|^t \|\tilde{f}\|^{2\rho+tx \sum_{j=1}^q d\eta_j} v_m = |\lambda|^t \|\tilde{f}\|^{dtx(q-\frac{k+1}{x})} v_m. \end{aligned}$$

(a) We first consider the case where $R_0 < \infty$ and $\limsup_{r \rightarrow R_0} \frac{T_f(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(R_0 - r)} < \infty$. It suffices for us to proof the Theorem in the case where $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0) = \mathbb{B}^m(1)$. Integrating both sides of (3.11) over $\mathbb{B}^m(1)$ and using (3.7), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} e^\zeta dV &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} |\lambda|^t \|\tilde{f}\|^{dtx(q-\frac{k+1}{x})} v_m. \\ &= 2m \int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \left(\int_{S(r)} (|\lambda| \|\tilde{f}\|^{dxq-d(k+1)})^t \sigma_m \right) dr \\ &\leq 2m \int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \left(\int_{S(r)} \sum_{\mathcal{J}} |(z^{\alpha_0+\dots+\alpha_{n_u}}) K_0 S_{\mathcal{J}}|^{bt} \sigma_m \right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is taken over all $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{L}$ with $\sharp \mathcal{J} = n_u + 1$ and $\{L \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is linearly independent.

We note that $(\sum_{i=0}^{n_u} |\alpha_i|)bt \leq \frac{n_u(n_u+1)b}{2}t < \gamma < 1$. Then by Proposition 2.2 there exists a positive constant K_1 such that, for every $0 < r_0 < r < r' < 1$, we have

$$\int_{S(r)} |(z^{\alpha_0+\dots+\alpha_{n_u}}) K_0 S_{\mathcal{J}}(z)|^{bt} \sigma_m \leq K_1 \left(\frac{r'^{2m-1}}{r' - r} dT_f(r', r_0) \right)^\gamma.$$

Choosing $r' = r + \frac{1-r}{eT_f(r, r_0)}$, we get $T_f(r', r_0) \leq 2T_f(r, r_0)$ outside a subset $E \subset [0, 1]$

with $\int_E \frac{dr}{1-r} < +\infty$. Hence, the above inequality implies that

$$\int_{S(r)} |(z^{\alpha_0+\dots+\alpha_{n_u}}) K_0 S_{\mathcal{J}}(z)|^{bt} \sigma_m \leq \frac{K}{(1-r)^\gamma} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^\gamma$$

for all r outside E , where K is a some positive constant. By choosing K large enough, we may assume that the above inequality holds for all $r \in (0; 1)$. Then, the inequality (3.12) yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} e^\zeta dV \leq 2m \int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \frac{K}{(1-r)^\gamma} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^\gamma dr < +\infty$$

This contradicts the results of S. T. Yau and L. Karp (see [6, 16]).

Hence, we must have

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^{[n_u]}(Q_j) \leq \frac{k+1}{x} + \frac{\rho n_u(n_u+1)b}{d} = \frac{k+1}{x} + \frac{\rho n_u(k+1)}{ud}.$$

On the other hand, we have $u \geq \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} m_0 (\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1) + \epsilon) \epsilon^{-1}$. This implies that $\frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} m_0}{u} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1) + \epsilon} = 1 - \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1)}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1) + \epsilon}$. Therefore

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{k+1}{x} = \frac{k+1}{\frac{1}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}} - \frac{m_0}{u}} = \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1)}{1 - \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} m_0}{u}} \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1) + \epsilon.$$

We have $n_u = H_Y(u) - 1 \leq \delta \binom{k+u}{k} - 1 \leq d^k \deg(V) \binom{k+u}{k} - 1 = L - 1$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^{[L-1]}(Q_j) \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho(k+1)(L-1)}{ud}.$$

We estimate L as follows. If $k = 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} L &= d \deg(V)(1+u) \\ &< d \deg(V) (\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} 6d \deg(V) (2\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} \epsilon^{-1} + 1) + 2) \\ &< d^2 \deg(V)^2 e \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} 7(2\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} \epsilon^{-1} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if $k \geq 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} L &\leq d^k \deg(V) e^k \left(1 + \frac{u}{k}\right)^k \\ &< d^k \deg(V) e^k \left(1 + \frac{d^k \deg(V) \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V} (2k+1)(k+1)(\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1)\epsilon^{-1} + 1) + 1}{k}\right)^k \\ &< d^{k^2+k} \deg(V)^{k+1} e^k \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}^k (2k+5)^k (\Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1)\epsilon^{-1} + 1)^k. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We now consider the remaining case where $\limsup_{r \rightarrow R_0} \frac{T(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(R_0 - r)} = \infty$. It is clear that we only need to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.14. *With the assumption of Theorem 1.1. Then, we have*

$$(q - \Delta_{\mathcal{Q},V}(k+1) - \epsilon) T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{1}{d} N_{Q_i(f)}^{[L-1]}(r) + S(r),$$

where $S(r)$ is evaluated as follows:

(i) In the case $R_0 < \infty$,

$$S(r) \leq K \left(\log^+ \frac{1}{R_0 - r} + \log^+ T_f(r, r_0) \right)$$

for all $0 < r_0 < r < R_0$ outside a set $E \subset [0, R_0]$ with $\int_E \frac{dt}{R_0 - t} < \infty$ and K is a positive constant.

(ii) In the case $R_0 = \infty$,

$$S(r) \leq K (\log r + \log^+ T_f(r, r_0))$$

for all $0 < r_0 < r < \infty$ outside a set $E' \subset [0, \infty]$ with $\int_{E'} dt < \infty$ and K is a positive constant.

Proof. Repeating the above argument, we have

$$\int_{S(r)} \left| (z^{\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_{nu}})^b \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\|^{xdq-d(k+1)} |W^\alpha(\tilde{F})(z)|^b}{\prod_{i=1}^q |Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|^x} \right|^t \sigma_m \leq K_1 \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} dT_f(R, r_0) \right)^\delta.$$

for every $0 < r_0 < r < R < R_0$. Using the concativity of the logarithmic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & b \int_{S(r)} \log |(z^{\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_{nu}})| \sigma_m + (xdq - d(k+1)) \int_{S(r)} \log \|\tilde{f}\| \sigma_m \\ & + b \int_{S(r)} \log |W^\alpha(\tilde{F})| \sigma_m - x \sum_{j=1}^q \int_{S(r)} \log |Q_j(\tilde{f})| \sigma_m \leq K \left(\log^+ \frac{R}{R-r} + \log^+ T_f(R, r_0) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant K . By Jensen's formula, this inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad & (xdq - d(k+1))T_f(r, r_0) + bN_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}(r) - x \sum_{i=1}^q N_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(r) \\ & \leq K \left(\log^+ \frac{R}{R-r} + \log^+ T_f(R, r_0) \right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.9), we have

$$x \sum_{i=1}^q N_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(r) - bN_{W^\alpha(\tilde{F})}(r) \leq x \sum_{i=1}^q N_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^{[L-1]}(r).$$

Combining this estimate and (3.15), we get

$$(3.16) \quad \left(q - \frac{k+1}{x} \right) T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{1}{d} N_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^{[L-1]}(r) + \frac{K}{x} \left(\log^+ \frac{R}{R-r} + \log^+ T_f(R, r_0) \right) + O(1).$$

Choosing $R = r + \frac{R_0 - r}{eT_f(r, r_0)}$ if $R_0 < \infty$ and $R = r + \frac{1}{T_f(r, r_0)}$ if $R_0 = \infty$, we see that

$$T_f\left(r + \frac{R_0 - r}{eT_f(r, r_0)}, r_0\right) \leq 2T_f(r, r_0)$$

outside a subset $E \subset [0, R_0)$ with $\int_E \frac{dr}{R_0 - r} < +\infty$ in the case $R_0 < \infty$ and

$$T_f\left(r + \frac{1}{T_f(r, r_0)}, r_0\right) \leq 2T_f(r, r_0)$$

outside a subset $E' \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\int_{E'} dr < \infty$ in the case $R_0 = \infty$. Thus, from (3.13) and (3.16) we get the desired inequality of Theorem 3.14.

$$(q - \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1) - \epsilon)T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{1}{d} N_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}^{[L-1]}(r) + S(r).$$

Return to the case (b). From Theorem 3.14, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_f^{[L-1]}(Q_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{f,*}^{[L-1]}(Q_j) \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}, V}(k+1) + \epsilon.$$

The theorem is proved in this case. □

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Chen and Q. Han, *A non-integrated hypersurface defect relation for meromorphic maps over complete Kähler manifolds into projective algebraic varieties*, Kodai Math. Journal, **41** (2018), 284–300.
- [2] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, *On a general Thue’s equation*, Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), 1033–1055.
- [3] J. Evertse and R. Ferretti, *Diophantine inequalities on projective variety*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **25** (2002), 1295–1330.
- [4] J. Evertse and R. Ferretti, *A generalization of the subspace theorem with polynomials of higher degree*, Developments in Mathematics **16**, 175–198, Springer-Verlag, New York (2008).
- [5] H. Fujimoto, *Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into $\mathbb{P}^{N_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{N_k}(\mathbb{C})$* , Japan. J. Math. **11** (1985), no 2, 233–264.
- [6] L. Karp, *Subharmonic functions on real and complex manifolds*, Math. Z. **179** (1982), 535–554.
- [7] S. D. Quang and D. P. An *Second main theorem and unicity of meromorphic mappings for hypersurfaces in projective varieties*, Acta Math. Vietnamica **42** (2017) 455–470.
- [8] S. D. Quang, N. T. Q. Phuong and N. T. Nhung, *Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps from a Kähler manifold intersecting hypersurfaces in subgeneral position of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **452** (2017), 1434–1452.
- [9] S. D. Quang, L. N. Quynh and N. T. Nhung, *Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps from Kähler manifolds with hypersurfaces of a projective variety in subgeneral position*, Tohoku Math. J. **73**, no 2, (2021), 199–219.
- [10] S. D. Quang, *Generalizations of degeneracy second main theorem and Schmidt’s subspace theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **318(1)** (2022) 153–188.
- [11] S. D. Quang, *An effective function field version of Schmidt’s subspace theorem for projective varieties, with arbitrary families of homogenous polynomials*, J. Number Theory **241** (2022) 563–580.
- [12] S. D. Quang, *Meromorphic mappings into projective varieties with arbitrary families of moving hypersurfaces*, J. Geom. Anal. **32**, Article No. 52 (2022).
- [13] M. Ru and S. Sogome, *Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ intersecting hypersurfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 3, 1145–1162.
- [14] D. D. Thai and S. D. Quang, *Non-integrated defect of meromorphic maps on Kähler manifolds*, Math. Z. **292** (2019), 211–229.
- [15] Q. Yan, *Non-integrated defect relation and uniqueness theorem for meromorphic maps of a complete Kähler manifold into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **398** (2013), 567–581.
- [16] S. T. Yau, *Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry*, Indiana U. Math. J. **25** (1976), 659–670.

TRAN DUC NGOC

Faculty of Mathematics and Applications, Saigon University,
273 An Duong Vuong, Ward 3, Dist.5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.
E-mail: tdngoc@moet.edu.vn

SI DUC QUANG

Department of Mathematics, Hanoi National University of Education,
136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam.
E-mail: quangsd@hnue.edu.vn