

NOTE ON MILNOR NUMBERS OF IRREDUCIBLE GERMS

ZBIGNIEW JELONEK

ABSTRACT. Let $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a complex hypersurface and let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a finite holomorphic mapping. If germs $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$ and $\mathbf{W} := (F^{-1}(\mathbf{V}), \mathbf{0})$ are irreducible and with isolated singularities, then

$$\mu(F^{-1}(\mathbf{V})) \geq \mu(\mathbf{V}),$$

where μ denotes the Milnor number.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to proving the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a complex hypersurface and let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a finite holomorphic mapping. If germs $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$ and $\mathbf{W} := (F^{-1}(\mathbf{V}), \mathbf{0})$ are irreducible and with isolated singularities, then*

$$\mu(F^{-1}(\mathbf{V})) \geq \mu(\mathbf{V}),$$

where μ denotes the Milnor number.

As a Corollary we obtain the main result of [1]:

Corollary 1.2. *Let $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a complex hypersurface and let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a finite holomorphic mapping. Then if the germ $(F^{-1}(\mathbf{V}), \mathbf{0})$ taken with the reduced structure is smooth, then also the germ $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$ is smooth.*

2. MAIN RESULTS

We start with

Definition 2.1. *Let $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a complex hypersurface with an isolated singularity at $\mathbf{0}$ and let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{O}_0$ be a generator of the ideal $I(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$. Then the Milnor number $\mu(\mathbf{f}) = \dim \mathcal{O}_0 / (\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial x_n})$ does not depend on \mathbf{f} but only on $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$. We write $\mu(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0}) := \mu(\mathbf{f})$.*

It is easy to see that this definition is well-defined, i.e., the number μ does not depend on f but only on $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$. Now we can prove our main result:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14R15, 14R99, 14P10.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a complex hypersurface and let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a germ of a finite holomorphic mapping. If germs $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$ and $\mathbf{W} := (F^{-1}(\mathbf{V}), \mathbf{0})$ are irreducible and with isolated singularities, then*

$$\mu(F^{-1}(\mathbf{V})) \geq \mu(\mathbf{V}).$$

Proof. We can assume that $n > 1$. Take $\epsilon > 0$ so small that all spheres $S_\eta = \{z : |z| = \eta\}$ are transversal to V for $0 < \eta \leq \epsilon$. Let g be a reduced equation for V . We can assume that for $|c| < \delta$ the fibers $\{f(z) = c\}$ are transversal to S_ϵ and they are Milnor fibers for g in $B(0, \epsilon)$ (see [3]). Take a ball B' so small that $F(B') \subset B(0, \epsilon)$. There is an $\eta_0 > 0$ so small that $F^{-1}(B(0, \eta_0)) \subset B'$. We can take δ_0 so small that for every C with $|c| \leq \delta_0$ fibers $g = c$ are transversal to spheres S_η for all $\eta_0 \leq \eta \leq \epsilon$.

Let h be a reduced (local) equation of $W = F^{-1}(V)$. By the holomorphic Nullstellensatz we have $g \circ F = h^r$ where $r > 0$ is a natural number. Hence $(g - c)(F) = \prod_{i=1}^r (h - \alpha_i c^{1/r})$, where α_i are all roots of order r from 1. In particular we see that the fiber $\{h = \alpha_i c^{1/r}\}$ is transformed onto fiber $\{g = c\}$. Let A_c be a Milnor fiber $\{g = c\} \cap B(0, \eta_0)$ and let $B_c = F^{-1}(A_c) \cap \{h = c^{1/r}\}$. Since the mapping $F : B_c \rightarrow A_c$ is finite, we have that $F_* : H_k(B_c) \rightarrow H_k(A_c)$ is surjective for every k (see [4]).

By the Milnor theorem $\text{rank } H_{n-1}(A_c) = \mu(\mathbf{V}) = s \geq 1$, where $\mu(\mathbf{V})$ is the Milnor number of g . In particular there are $n - 1$ cycles $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \subset A_c$, such that $[\alpha_i]$ are generators of $H_{n-1}(A_c)$. Let $\beta_i; i = 1, \dots, s$ be cycles in B_c such that $F(\beta_i) = \alpha_i$. Let $A'_c = \{g = c\} \cap B(0, \epsilon)$. By our assumptions the fiber A_c is a deformation retract of A'_c . Hence the cycles $\alpha_i; i = 1, \dots, s$ are generators of $H_{n-1}(A'_c)$, too. Let $B'_c = \{h = c^{1/r}\} \cap B'$ be a Milnor fiber of h in B' . Consider the mapping

$$F_* : H_{n-1}(B'_c) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A'_c).$$

We have $F_*([\beta_i]) = [\alpha_i]$. Hence $\text{rank } H_{n-1}(B'_c) \geq \text{rank } H_{n-1}(A'_c)$, i.e.,

$$\mu(F^{-1}(\mathbf{V})) \geq \mu(\mathbf{V}).$$

□

Corollary 2.3. *Let $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of a complex hypersurface and let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a germ of a finite holomorphic mapping. Then if the germ $(F^{-1}(\mathbf{V}), \mathbf{0})$ taken with the reduced structure is smooth, then also the germ $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$ is smooth.*

Proof. We proceed by induction. Note that if \mathbf{V} has an isolated singularity, then it is smooth if and only if $\mu(\mathbf{V}) = 0$. In particular in this case our Corollary follows directly from Theorem 2.2. In particular we get the thesis if $n = 2$.

Now assume that $n > 2$ and $\mathbf{S} := \text{Sing}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{0})$ has dimension greater than 0. Let \mathcal{L} be a linear system of hyperplanes in \mathbb{C}^n . On V let us consider the induced linear

system \mathcal{L}_V . Then a generic member L_V of \mathcal{L}_V is singular, because $S \cap L \neq \emptyset$ (see Lemma 2.5 in [2]). Moreover $F^*(L_V)$ (and $F^{-1}(L)$) are smooth because the system $F^*(\mathcal{L}_V)$ has no base points on smooth $W = F^{-1}(V) \subset F^{-1}(L)$ (the system $F^*(\mathcal{L})$ has no base points on \mathbb{C}^n). Since $\dim L \cap V < \dim V$, this is a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to prof. Maciej Denkowski and prof. Karolina Zajac for helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Giraldo, R. K. W. Roeder, *Pulling back singularities of codimension one objects*, **Proc. AMS**, 148, 1207—1217, (2020).
- [2] Jelonek, Z., Tibar, M. *Bifurcation locus and branches at infinity of a polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$* , **Math. Ann.**, 361, Issue 3–4, 1049-1054, (2015).
- [3] Milnor, J. *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, Series: Annals of Mathematics Studies (1968), Princeton University Press.
- [4] Wells, R. O. *Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings*, **Pac. J. Math.** 53, 281-300 (1974).

ZBIGNIEW JELONEK - INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK, ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSZAWA, POLAND.

Email address: najelone@cyf-kr.edu.pl